## FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: SOMERSET ACADEMY EAST PREPARATORY

District Name: Broward

Principal: Mary C. Stuart

SAC Chair: Cara Martinez

Superintendent: Robert W. Runcie

Date of School Board Approval:

Last Modified on: 10/29/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
					2011-2012 School Grade- A AYP-N/A High Standards Rdg-56% High Standards Math-54% Lrng. Gains Rdg-69% Lrng. Gains Math-70% Lowest 25% gains Rdg -72% Lowest 25% gains Math -69% 2010-2011 School Grade- A AYP-No High Standards Rdg-63% High Standards Math-72% Lrng. Gains Rdg-58% Lrng. Gains Rdg-58% Lrng. Gains Rdg-58% Lowest 25% gains Rdg -67% Lowest 25% gains Rdg -67% Lowest 25% gains Math -72% 2009-2010 Data School Grade - C AYP-No High Standards Rdg-68%

Principal	Mary C. Stuart	Doctorate in Leadership, Nova Southeastern University; MS in Elementary Education from FIU: BA in Liberal Studies from FIU: K-6/ESOL Endorsement/Educational Leadership	4	8	<ul> <li>High Standards Math-59%</li> <li>Lrng. Gains Rdg - 59%</li> <li>Lrng. Gains Math-61%</li> <li>Lowest 25% gains math - 67%</li> <li>2008-2009 Data</li> <li>Previous School - Mater Performing Arts &amp; Entertainment Academy</li> <li>School Grade- A</li> <li>AYP - No</li> <li>High Standards Rdg - 60%</li> <li>High Standards Rdg - 60%</li> <li>High Standards Math - 88%</li> <li>Learning gains rdg - 62%</li> <li>Learning gains rdg - 62%</li> <li>Learning gains rdg - 55%</li> <li>Lowest 25% gains rdg - 76%</li> <li>2007-2008 Data</li> <li>Previous School-Doral Academy</li> <li>School Grade - A</li> <li>AYP - Yes</li> <li>High Standards Math - 87%</li> <li>Learning gains rdg - 76%</li> <li>Learning gains rdg - 76%</li> <li>Learning gains rdg - 76%</li> <li>Learning gains rdg - 70%</li> <li>Lowest 25% gains rdg - 85%</li> <li>High Standards Rdg - 85%</li> <li>Learning gains rdg - 68%</li> <li>Learning gains rdg - 68%</li> <li>Learning gains rdg - 52%</li> <li>Lowest 25% gains rdg - 68%</li> <li>Learning gains rdg - 68%</li> <li>Learning gains rdg - 68%</li> <li>Learning gains rdg - 69%</li> <li>Learning gains rdg - 69%</li> <li>Learning gains math - 83%</li> <li>Learning gains math - 83%</li> <li>Learning gains math - 83%</li> <li>Learning gains math - 69%</li> <li>Lowest 25% gains rdg - 66%</li> <li>Lowest 25% gains rdg - 66%</li> <li>Lowest 25% gains</li></ul>
-----------	-------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---	---	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

#### INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
		MS- Reading, Florida Atlantic University; BS- Elementary Edu.,Florida Atlantic			2011-2012 School Grade- A AYP-N/A High Standards Rdg-56% High Standards Math-54% Lrng. Gains Rdg-69% Lvng. Gains Math-70% Lowest 25% gains Rdg -72% Lowest 25% gains Math -69% 2010-2011 School Grade- A AYP-No High Standards Rdg-63% High Standards Math-72% Lrng. Gains Rdg-58% Lrng. Gains Math-72% Lowest 25% gains Rdg -67% Lowest 25% gains Rdg -67%

Reading Cara Martinez	University; /Elementary Edu K-6; Middle Grades Integrated Curriculum; ESOL Endorsement; Reading K-12	4 4	2009-2010 Data- School Grade- C AYP- No Reading Mastery- 68% Math Mastery- 59% Learning Gains Rdg- 59% Learning Gains Math- 61% Lowest 25% Rdg- 60% Lowest 25% Math- 67% 2008-2009 Data- Previous School-Fox Trail School Grade- A AYP- Yes Reading Mastery: 87% Math Mastery: 91% Learning Gains in Rdg: 75% Learning Gains in Math: 72% Lowest 25% Rdg: 64% Lowest 25% Math: 63%
-----------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	In-house training based on participants' needs	Cara Martinez	Ongoing	
2	Sharing of Best Practices	Kristin Nunez/ Amber Geary	Ongoing	
3	Common Planning Time	Dr. Mary Stuart	Ongoing	
4	Peer Buddy Support within Grade Levels	Grade Level Team Leaders K-2: Amber Geary 3-5: Kristin Nunez	Ongoing	
5	Monthly Meetings- Topics of Interest and Need	Cara Martinez/Kristin Nunez/Amber Geary	Ongoing	
6	Somerset Academy Inc. Job Fair and Recruiting at Universities	Dr. Mary Stuart	March 2011	
7	Soliciting referrals from current employees	Dr. Mary Stuart	Ongoing	
8	Data Chats and Lesson Planning	Dr. Mary Stuart	Ongoing- weekly	

### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
N/A	N/A

#### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
14	14.3%(2)	92.9%(13)	7.1%(1)	0.0%(0)	28.6%(4)	100.0%(14)	7.1%(1)	0.0%(0)	92.9%(13)

### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Team Leader K-2: Amber Geary	Caroline Antunez	Amber Geary is the team leader with several years experience in the classroom. She provided ongoing support for the previously mentioned first year teacher.	<ul> <li>* Weekly conferencing</li> <li>* Evaluation of lesson plans</li> <li>* Data chats</li> <li>* Sharing of best practices developed from PLC meetings</li> <li>* Sharing of strategies and implementation of various focus skills</li> <li>* Informal observations</li> </ul>
Team Leader 3-5: Kristin Nunez	Gianelle Amoedo	Kristin Nunez is the team leader. She provided ongoing support for the previously mentioned first year teacher.	<ul> <li>* Weekly conferencing</li> <li>* Evaluation of lesson plans</li> <li>* Data chats</li> <li>* Sharing of best practices developed from PLC meetings.</li> <li>* Sharing of strategies and implimentation of various focus skills</li> <li>* Informal observations</li> </ul>
Reading Teacher: Cara Martinez	Gianelle Amoedo	Cara Martinez is a highly qualified teacher with certification in Reading and will provide assistance in the area of Reading.	<ul> <li>* Weekly conferencing</li> <li>* Evaluation of lesson plans</li> <li>* Data chats</li> <li>* Sharing of best practices in Reading developed from PLC meetings.</li> <li>*Sharing of strategies and implimentation of various focus skills in the area of reading</li> <li>* Informal observations</li> <li>* Provide professional development in the area of reading.</li> </ul>

### ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

#### Coordination and Integration

#### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

#### Title I, Part A

Title I funds are distributed based on the needs of the school. Below are the ways in which

\* Professional Development: Conscious Discipline, FCAT Tutoring Training from Triumph Learning

\* Staff Development: Reading Resource Teacher (conduct double dose, PLC and mentor's teachers

\* Parent Involvement: Communication training via student agenda books, refreshments served at monthly parent meetings covering content area support at home

#### Title I, Part C- Migrant

#### N/A

\*

Title I, Part D

### N/A

Title II

#### N/A

Title III

### N/A

Title X- Homeless

#### N/A

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

### N/A

Violence Prevention Programs

N/A

Nutrition Programs

N/A

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

### N/A

Adult Education

N/A

Career and Technical Education

N/A

Job Training

N/A

Other

N/A

### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

School-based MTSS/Rtl Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

The Response to Intervention (RTI) Leadership team consists of the Principal (Mary Stuart), School Psychologist, Reading Teacher (Cara Martinez), ESE Specialist (Alison Siegel), Speech Language Pathologist, Case Manager, General Education Teacher, Reporter and Bilingual School Psychologist.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work

with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The case manager meets with the assigned teacher regarding the student. Together, they brainstorm specific interventions, monitor progress, collect data, and observe the student in a classroom setting. General education teacher relays student progress to the team. If necessary, the team meets with the general education teacher following Tier 2 data collection to determine the next step. The team analyzes the data collected throughout the various tiers and makes further recommendations. This is done as needed.

In addition, the team meets bi-monthly to engage in the following activities:

Review progress monitoring data gathered at the grade level meetings by each teacher. Team will identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify several strategies to better assist students' specific needs.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI team provides educational support for all students. The process is a standardized procedure that guides data collection, development of interventions, and monitoring of student progress. RTI is a structured problem-solving process with follow-up so no student is left behind. The purpose of the RtI leadership team is to increase student achievement through specific research-based interventions.

#### MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Data sources include weekly assessments (Cold Reads), Mini-BATs, Behavior Frequency Charts, Rigby, IRI's, Anecdotals, and Fluency Probes. Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN) will be used to record the data for FAIR/FLKRS and Echoes for Kindergarten. The Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) for our English Language Learners (ELLS). All students in grades 3+ will partake in the Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT).

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Our highly-qualified specialist teachers will train the faculty in their area of expertise. Teachers will also be trained in proper conferencing techniques, data analysis, proper intervention techniques to be used in the classroom, and the collection and monitoring of data through the three tiers. All teachers will receive a refresher training annually.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Staff will be available for translation, guidance with paperwork will be given via the ESE specialist, and professional development opportunities from the district will be taken.

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Dr. Mary Stuart- Principal Cara Martinez- Reading Leader Kristin Nunez- Team Leader 3-5 Amber Geary- Team Leader K-2 Alison Siegel- ESE Specialist

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) meets on a monthly basis to review monthly focus skills, best practices, classroom walk-through's, and any professional development regarding literacy. The team will also focus on progress by monitoring data gathered at each grade level meeting. The team will identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify several strategies to better assist students' specific needs. During team meetings, the team will also desegregate

data. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. This team then disseminates the information via team meetings and faculty meetings held monthly.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The LLT will meet with the principal and the SAC to help develop the SIP. The team provided data on: academic as well as social/emotional areas that may be addressed. The team will also help set clear expectations for instruction through quarterly guides and pacing guides. Another goal for the LLT is to develop and model differentiated instruction by integrating reading across the curriculum while addressing all six areas of reading.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/25/2012)

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

N/A

#### \*Grades 6-12 Only

#### Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

N/A

#### \*High Schools Only

#### Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

N/A

#### Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

N/A

# PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

# Reading Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
readi	CAT2.0: Students scoring ng. ing Goal #1a:	g at Achievement Level 3	Our goal is to e maintains at le	Our goal is to ensure that every child not only meets and maintains at least this level of achievement, but continuously improves to a higher level.			
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:			
34%	(34 students out of 100 st	udents tested)	36% of our stu	36% of our student will achieve profeciency in reading.			
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	within the school must be identified. This can be difficult due to the wide range of variables. Time	Professional development in the areas of differentiated instruction and appropriate reading strategies.	Team Leaders; Reading Teacher; ESE Specialist; Principal	Follow-up activities from trainings will be discussed during team meetings and PLC's.	BAT Assessment, Mini- BATs, CWTs, FCAT, Classroom Assessments		
2	the FCAT) and those who scored a level one or two	according to specific skill areas requiring early data	Principal; Reading Teacher; ESE Specialist; ELL Contact; All Teachers	Through data chats,progress monitoring charts, and classroom assessments, student growth will be continuously monitored.	BAT Assessment, Mini- BATs, CWTs, FCAT, DAR Word Lists, Fluency Probes, Classroom Assessments		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:	N/A		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A	N/A		
Droblem Solving Drosses to	Lagrages Student Ashievement		

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier		Position	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a:	The specific needs of our level 4 and 5 students must be addressed in order to maintain and accelerate student achievement. Our goal is not to increase student work but to expand the depth of their knowledge.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
28.2%(31/110) of our students achieved above proficiency levels in reading	Our expected level of performance will increase by one percentage point: 30%.		

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Early identification of qualifying students is essential to provide them with the appropriate education.	High Achiever Classrooms through a Multiaged setting	Principal; Reading Teacher; ESE Specialist; Classroom Teachers	assessments,	BAT Assessment, Mini- BATs, CWTs, FCAT, Classroom Assessments		
2	Technology must be updated and maintained.	Provide students with research opportunities and notetaking skills to expand their reading knowledge. Incorporate project-based learning into activities.	Classroom Teachers; IT technicians.	Through data chats, progress monitoring charts, and classroom assessments, student growth will be continuously monitored.	Rubrics		

5		efer	ence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b:			N/A		
Current Level of Perforn	nance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Studen	nt Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	orovement for the following lorida Alternate Assessm ents scoring at or above ng. ing Goal #2b: Current Level of Perforn Pr	Problem-Solving Process	Problem-Solving Process to I Anticipated Barrier	provement for the following group:         Iorida Alternate Assessment:         ents scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in         ng.         ing Goal #2b:         Current Level of Performance:         2013 Expected         N/A         Problem-Solving Process to Increase Studer         Anticipated Barrier       Strategy         Person or         Position         Responsible for	Iorida Alternate Assessment:         ents scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in         ng.         ing Goal #2b:         Current Level of Performance:         2013 Expected Level of Performance:         N/A         Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement         Anticipated Barrier       Strategy         Person or Position Responsible for       Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of

1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

	l on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and c	define areas in need	
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:			Our goal for all stagnate or bec	Our goal for all of our students is to not allow them to stagnate or become complacent with current levels, but to consistently strive for higher levels of achievement.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
	per of student tested:46 Nu ng gains:43/63(68.7%)		70%	ents who will make learning	g gains in reading:	
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process 1	i	1		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	General Ed. Teachers not having the knowledge of how to provide differentiated instruction and meet needs of all the learners.	instruction to students in small groups according to skill	Classroom Teachers; Reading Teacher;ESE Specialist	Through data chats,progress monitoring charts, and classroom assessments, student growth will be continuously monitored. Classroom walkthroughs.	BAT Assessment, Mini- BATs, CWTs, FCAT, Classroom Assessments, Fluency Probes, Project Rubrics Walkthrough evaluation	
2	Generel Ed. teachers needing additional assistance with analysing data and making instructional choices.	Analyze data continuously and consistently to ensure that specific skill areas in need are being targeted through differentiated instruction with other team memebers that can reflect on the data.	Principal; Reading Teacher; ESE Specialist	Through data chats, progress monitoring charts, and classroom assessments, student growth will be continuously monitored.	BAT Assessments, Mini-BATs,FCAT, Classroom Assessments, Fluency Probes, Project Rubrics	

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		reference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:			N/A	N/A		
2012	Current Level of Perforr	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:	Most of our student did not take the FCAT in the past, therefore only 36% of our students made learning gains. We need to make sure that all students continue to make learning gains in the future.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
The lowest 25% of students who made learning gains: 11.9 out of 16- 74.4%	The lowest 25% of students who will make learning gains will increase by one percentage point: 76%

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students are in need of instruction in addition to what they receive in the classroom in order to meet reading proficiency and make learning gains. Funding for our FCAT Tutoring Program, however,could be a potential barrier.	Offer students who receive a level one and two on the FCAT will receive tutoring to reinforce necessary skills.	Principal; Classroom Teachers who are tutoring; Reading Teacher	Through data chats, progress monitoring charts, and classroom assessments, student growth will be continuously monitored.	BAT Assessment, Mini- BATs, CWTs, FCAT, Classroom Assessments, Fluency Probes, DAR Word Lists Pre/Post Test		

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target						
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			timeline deli	close the achiever neates the ambit: proficiency by 20	ious measurable o	
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	56	64	72	80	88	

	on the analysis of student provement for the following		eference to "Guidin	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5B:			Hispanic. Our	The subgroups that did not make AYP include Black, and Hispanic. Our goal is to increase their proficiency through differentiated instruction.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	roficiency scores in the tw AYP include :(Black): 46.9	0		Our expected level of performance for the two subgroups include (Black): 45% and (Hispanic): 44%.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	

			Monitoring	Strategy	
	Students arrive to	Provide	Kindergarten	Work samples will	Classroom
	school with different	differentiated	-Sixth	be collected and	assignments, state
1	capabilities.	instruction to ensure	Grade	monitored for growth	and district
<b> </b> '		that students receive a	Classroom	along with the analysis of	assessments; BAT
		variety of reading	teachers	state and district	Assessments, and
		strategies.		assessments.	Mini BATs

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refe of improvement for the following subgroup:	rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C:	Narrow the achievement gap of ELLs who are not making satisfactory progress in reading to 70% through differentiated instruction.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
English Language Learners not making satisfactory progress in reading is 10/14 (71.4%).	Our expected level of performance of ELLs not making satisfactory in reading next year is 70%.
	ncrease Student Achievement

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Our ELL student population is very transient and does not receive enough time in school to learn the language.	Set up conferences with ELL parents immediately and providing tips for homework help in their native language.		Conference forms, email communication, BAT assessments for progress monitoring.	FCAT Results		

	d on the analysis of studer provement for the followin		nd reference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	l define areas in nee	
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D:			N/A	N/A		
2012	2 Current Level of Perfor	mance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance	:	
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Ρ	roblem-Solving Proce	ess to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following subgroup:				
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E:	not making Our goal is to raise this level of performance by providing re- reinforcement at home.			

2012	2012 Current Level of Performance:			Level of Performance:		
	46.2% of our students were not proficient. 43 out of 93 students did not make satisfactory progress in reading.			Our expected level of students not making satisfactory progress in reading will decrease to 44%.		
	Pr	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students lack additional reinforcement at home				Sign in sheet for meetings will be used to determine who received the information and the teachers will monitor progressand student participation in homework.	

# Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Reading Data	K-5	Principal, Team Leaders, and Reading Coach	All Teachers	Weekly (Tuesdays)	Progress monitoring tools and classroom assessments	
Reading Strategies/Best Practices		Team Leaders and Reading Coach	All Teachers	Weekly (Thursdays)	Lesson Plans, Classroom Assessments	Team Leaders

Reading Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Teachers will use the Treasures series to cover all 14 benchmarks in reading using various genres and pacing guides to guide instruction in the classroom.	Treasures	FTE	\$2,500.00
			Subtotal: \$2,500.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Teachers will implement Accelerated Reader into the classroom to promote comprehension and reading of new text.	Accelerated Reader	FTE	\$1,745.00
		•	Subtotal: \$1,745.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Teachers attended CCSS overview, 3 day institute and summer conferences to implement CCSS into the classroom via text complexity, integration of subjects and preparation for full implementation of new standards.	CCSS Training	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Teachers tutored for 1 hour three days a week in reading to prepare for the FCAT.	FCAT Tutoring	FTE	\$2,500.00
			Subtotal: \$2,500.00
			Grand Total: \$6,745.00

End of Reading Goals

# Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.	The goal is to increase the students scoring proficient in
CELLA Goal #1:	listening and speaking by one percentage point(totaling- 44%)

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

(43%(53/123))

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students need more time practicing speaking and listening strategies at home.		SAC Chair/Teachers	Student classroom performace	CELLA

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.							
2. Stu	idents scoring proficiei	nt in reading.	U	The goal is to increase students scoring proficient in			
CELLA Goal #2:			reading by one	reading by one percentage point (totaling-26%).			
2012	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:						
(25%(31/123))							
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		

		Monitoring	Strategy	
1	Assist the parents with homework help and reading tips during the monthly SAC meetings	Chair/Classroom	Classroom Assessments	CELLA

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.				
3. Students scoring proficient in writing. CELLA Goal #3:	The goal is to increase the students scoring proficient in writing by one percentage point (totaling- 25%).			

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:

(24%(30/123))

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	The students need additional support practicing their writing strategies at home.	Train the parents to assist their children at home in the monthly SAC meetings.	SAC Chair/ Classroom Teachers	Classroom Assessments	CELLA		

### CELLA Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Monthly ESOL Contact Meetings- Tips and Resources to bring back to the classroom teachers.	PowerPoints and Recorded Sessions	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

range of the data, and identifying different parts

of a graph.

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and c	lefine areas in need	
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1a:			The results of t 33% of student	The results of the FCAT Mathematics Test indicates that 33% of students achieved level 3 proficiency. Our goal is to increase level 3 student proficiency by 1 percentage point.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
33/11	10 (33%)		34% increase o	34% increase of one percentage point		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy R		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	test, data analysis and algebraic thinking seems to be the content that the cluster students need most help in. Students lack prior	Provide the opportunities for data analysis to include (depending on grade level appropriate specific standards) making and stating conclusions and predicitons based on data, comparing data, determining appropriate scale increments dependent upon the	Leadership Team RTI Team General Ed. Teacher	District Benchmark Assessments (the school follow the districts testing calendar) Informal Assessments Beginning and End of Year assessments Observations Pre/Post Tests	FCAT	

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and re g group:	eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b:			S. N/A	N/A		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2a:			23.6% of stude Our goal is to	The results of the FCAT Mathematics test indicates that 23.6% of students achieved level 4 and 5 above proficiency. Our goal is to increase level 4 and 5 student proficiency by 1 percentage point.		
2012	2 Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
26/110 (23.6%)			25% increased	25% increased by one percentage point		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Based on the administration of the FCAT Math Test, algebraic thinking seems to be the content cluster students need most help in. Students demonstrate knowledge, but lack the structure and/or discipline to explain their thinking process.	mathematic relationships using expressions, equations, and visual representations; and recognize and write	Leadership team RTI team General Ed. Teacher	District Benchmark Assessments( the school will follow the districts testing calendar) Pre/Post Tests	FCAT	

	l on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and re group:	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and c	define areas in need	
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b:			N/A	N/A		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a:	The results of the 2009-2010 FCAT Mathematics Test indicates that 70.2% of students made learning gains. Our goal is to increase student learning gains to 71% or more.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
(44.9/64 (70.2%))	71% increase of one percentage point			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	seems to be the contenct cluster students need most help in. Students demonstrate lack of basic mathematical skills.	online interventions through Carnegie Cognitive Tutor 5 times a week.	General Ed Teacher	District Benchmark Assessments (the school follows the districts testing calendar) Carnegie Reports Pre/Post Tests	FCAT		

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b:			N/A	N/A		
2012	2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A	N/A			N/A		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		efer	ence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and c	lefine areas in need
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4:			Based on the FCAT mathematics test, 70% of students made learning gains. Our goal is to increase student student learning gains to 71%.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
(70% (11.9/17))				71% increase of one percentage point		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to I i	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Based on the administration of the FCAT math test, students demonstrate lack of basic	Incorporate FCAT Explorer practice into the math curriculum. Offer before school tutoring in math.	RTI Ger	adership Team Team heral Ed. acher	District Benchmark Assessments (the school follows the districts testing calendar) Carnegie Reports	FCAT

Г

Based on Amb	Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target						
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Elementary School Mathematics Goal # In order to close the achievement gap by 50% the following timeline delineates the ambitious measurable objectives to achieve 94% proficiency by 2016-2017. 5A :				
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	54	62	70	78	86		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B:	Our goal is that we will decrease those not making satisfactory progress in mathematics by one percentage point next school year.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
(0%(0/1)) White; (46.9%(30/64)Black; (51.4%(18/35)) Hispanic; (50%(1/2)) Asian	0% White; 45% Black; 50% Hispanic; 49% Asian - decrease by one percentage point				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1		leveled vocabulary	RTI Team General Ed.	Data chats Classroom walkthroughs Teacher made tests	FCAT Mini-BAT'S BAT's		

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
satisf	nglish Language Learner Factory progress in math ematics Goal #5C:		making satisfac	The goal is to decrease English Language Learners not making satisfactory progress in mathematics by one percentage point,			
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:			
(71.4% (10/14))			70% decrease l	70% decrease by one percentage point			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	Understanding of academic language within	Teachers will use the ESOL Matrix to enhance	Classroom Teachers/ ESOL	Classroom assessments	FCAT		

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D:				Our goal is to decrease SWD who are not making satisfactory progress in mathematics by one percentage point.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
(12/1	5(80%)		79% decrease	by one percentage point		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students lack basic math skills (multiplication, division, adding and subtracting).	ESE Specialist will pull small groups for math and receive additional support in the classroom.	classroom teachers	Classroom assessments, discussions in data chats,annual IEP meetings	FCAT	
	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
satisf	E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal E:			Our goal is to decrease the number of students who are economically disadvantaged not making satisfactory progress in mathematics by one percentage point.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
(45/9	(45/93(48.4%))			47% decrease by one percentage point		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1		knowledge with lessons	Generel Ed. Teacher	and classroom walk	Pre/Post Test Data BAT's FCAT

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Data Chats	K-5/ Mathematics	Principal	K-5 Teachers	Every Tuesday (weekly)	Classroom assessments	Principal/ Team Leaders

#### Mathematics Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Students will utilize researched based text and manipulatives to acquire math skills.	GO Math Textbooks	FTE	\$1,564.00
			Subtotal: \$1,564.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Data Chats	BAT Assessments, Classroom Assessments, FCAT Results	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Students will participate in 3 hours a week of tutoring in the area of mathematics before school in small groups.	FCAT Tutoring	FTE	\$2,500.00
			Subtotal: \$2,500.0
			Grand Total: \$4,064.0

End of Mathematics Goals

# Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
			students achie	On the administration of the Science FCAT, 50% of students achieved proficiency. The expected level of performance 51% to achieve proficiency.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
(14/28(50%))			51% increase (	51% increase one percentage point			
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

1	analysis our students demonstrated a lack of prior knowledge and exposure to scientific thinking and were not	hands-on inquiry- based learning opportunities for students to analyze,	General Ed Teacher	Science projects weekly Informal Assessments Observations District Benchmark Assessments (the school will follow the testing calendar)	FCAT Science Investigations Lab reports
---	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b:			N/A	N/A			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ce:		
N/A	N/A			N/A			
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

	3	dent achievement data, a nt for the following group:		Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define	
Ach	Achievement Lever 4 in Science.			On the administration of the Science FCAT, 21.4% of students scored above proficiency. The expected level of performance for 2011 is 23% above proficiency.		
201	2 Current Level of Perf	formance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performanc	ce:	
(6/2	28(21.4))		23% increased	23% increased level of performance		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process to	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Based on our data analysis, our students demonstrated a lack of prior knowledge and exposure to scientific thinking and were not able to make real- world connections.		Leadership Team RTI Team GeneralEd Teacher	Science labs Teacher made tests District Benchmark Assessments (the school will follow the testing calendar) Project requiring scientific process	FCAT Science Investigations Lab reports observations	

1
---

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7

in science.			N/A			
Science Goal #2b:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

# Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Lesson Planning	K-5	Principal/ Team Leaders	All Teachers	Mondays/ Tuesdays (Weekly)	Lesson Plans	Principal/ Team Leaders
Data Chats	K-5	Principal	All Teachers		Classroom Assessments	Principal

Science Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Use researched based curriculum which includes science projects to increase basic science concepts taught	Science Fusion	FTE	\$255.00

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$255.00

End of Science Goals

## Writing Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for th	ent achievement data, ar e following group:	nd reference to "G	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
<ul><li>1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level</li><li>3.0 and higher in writing.</li><li>Writing Goal #1a:</li></ul>			72% of our stu higher in writin	72% of our students scored at achievement level 3 or higher in writing. Our goal is to increase by one percentage point.		
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performanc	e:	
(31/43(72%))			73% increase	by one percentage point		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students arrive to school with different capabilities.	Provide differentiated instruction to ensure that students receive a variety of strategies to scaffold their writing capabilities.	Kindergarten through fifth Grade Classroom teachers	Work samples will be collected and monitored for growth.	Monthly school wide writing prompts an FCAT rubrics	
2	Students have difficulty organizing their thoughts on paper.	Graphic organizers to assist with the organization of expository and narrative prompts.	Kindergarten through fifth Grade classroom teachers	Work samples will be collected and monitored for growth.	Monthly school wide writing prompts with planning sheets, and graphic organizers FCAT rubrics	
3	Students come to school with a limited vocabulary.	Enrich the writing process by expanding the students vocabulary.	Kindergarten through fifth Grade Classroom teachers	Work samples will be collected and monitored for growth.	Monthly school wide writing prompts and FCAT rubrics	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b:			N/A			
2012	2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A				N/A		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process	to I r	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A

# Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Writing and Reading	K-5	District Trainers	K-5	Various times throughout the school year	Reading Coach/ Principal

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat	erial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
CCSS integration of Reading and Writing	Writing Tips and Strategies provided by the district	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

# Attendance Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need	
of improvement:	

1							
Attendence Cool #1			Our goal for th	Attendance is vital to students academic performance. Our goal for this year is to increase the number of students who attend school on a regular basis.			
2012 Current Attendance Rate:			2013 Expecte	ed Attendance Rate:			
The attendance rate for the school year was 97.4%.			For the school 98%	For the school year, the expected attendance rate is 98%			
	Current Number of Stunces (10 or more)	Idents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)			
	umber of students with outputs).	excessive absences was	5% The number of absences is 49		n excessive		
-	Current Number of Stues (10 or more)	Idents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			
The number of students with excessive tardies was 15.4% (46 students).			The number of is 14%.	The number of expected students with excessive tardies is 14%.			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

	·		Monitoring	Strategy	
1	school alone or need to take care of younger siblings.	home and principal will	Principal; IMT; Kindergarten- fifth Grade	5	Daily Attendance Report
2	pattern of absences and/or tardies.	Incentives are used to reward good attendance habits (i.e.perfect attendance, interventions, School Social Worker contacts families to offer assistance).	IMT; Kindergarten- fifth Grade	5	Daily Attendance Report

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	(e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g.	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---------------------------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------

Importance of Attendance/ Reporting of individual student data	K-5	Teacher			Attendance records	Teachers/IMT/Principal
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----	---------	--	--	-----------------------	------------------------

#### Attendance Budget:

			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Importance of Attendance	Parent Conferences- Pinnacle Reports	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

# Suspension Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1:	By next school year, the number of suspensions will decrease significantly.			
2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions			
(3/298 (1%))	The expected number will be one less in-school school suspensions (2).			
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School			
(1/298 (0.03%))	The expected number will be one less suspended in- school (0).			
2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions			
There was a total of four external suspension during the school year (4/298(1%)).	During next school year, there will be a 50% decrease in suspensions totaling 2.			

2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of- School			- 2013 Expecte of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School		
	e was a total of four exte bl year (3/298(1%)).	rnal suspension during th	0	During next school year, there will be a decrease in suspensions totaling 2.		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achiever				nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students in need of an individualized behavior plan.	Positive behavior plans will be implemented. (certificates, special activities).	Classroom teachers; ESE Specialist; Principal		Daily or weekly behavior logs. Behavior contract.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Discipline Matrix	K-5	Princpal		Monthly at Faculty Meeting	Referrals written	Principal

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/M	laterial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Review Discipline Matrix and Positive Reinforcement Strategies	Discipline Matrix	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

## Parent Involvement Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:							
1. Pa	rent Involvement						
Parer	nt Involvement Goal #1	1:	During the sch	During the school year, percent participation was 100%			
*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.			Our goal for th	During the school year, parent participation was 100%. Our goal for the next school year is to maintain parent participation at 100%.			
2012	Current Level of Parer	t Involvement:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Parent I nvol	lvement:		
100%	(298)		100% (298)				
	Prot	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Lack of 100% participation due to individual family working schedules.	Academic family nights will be advertised using a variety of methods including, but not limited to: flyers, agendas, e-mail, school/teacher websites, morning announcements, and phone links at various times to accommodate all families.	Principal, SAC Chair, Teachers	Teachers/Volunteers will provide a sign-in sheet at each academic family night.	Documentation of the number of attendees at academic family nights.		
2	Different age groups have different interest levels.	It is imperative to provide activities that appeal to all age- groups to ensure participation.	Principal, SAC Chair, Teachers	Teachers/Volunteers will provide a sign-in sheet at each academic family night.	Documentation of the number of attendees at academic family nights.		
3	Lack of participation in school wide activities by parents of English Language Learners due to language barrier.	Provide written letters, flyers and brochures in English and home language.	Community Involvement, Teachers, Principal	Teachers/Volunteers will provide a sign-in sheet at each academic family night.	Documentation of the number of attendees at academic family nights.		

# Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Home- School/ School-Home Communication	K-5 Parents	SAC Chair	K-5 Parents	tho month	Agenda book records	Teachers/ SAC Chair

Parent Involvement Budget:

Description of Resources Agenda Books, refreshments for training Description of Resources No Data	Funding Source Title 1 Funds Funding Source No Data	Available Amoun \$1,432.00 Subtotal: \$1,432.0 Available Amoun \$0.00
Agenda Books, refreshments for		Amour \$1,432.0
Agenda Books, refreshments for		Amoun \$1,432.0
Agenda Books, refreshments for		Amoun
Description of Resources	Funding Source	
	•	Subtotal: \$0.0
No Data	No Data	\$0.0
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Availabl Amour
		Subtotal: \$0.0
NO Data		Subtotal: \$0.0
	-	Available Amoun
	•	No Data No Data Description of Resources Funding Source

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

# Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
1. ST	EM						
STEN	1 Goal #1:		N/A	N/A			
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	No Data Submittee	d		

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	ım(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

End of STEM Goal(s)

### Additional Goal(s) No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

# FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Progr	ram(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Teachers will use the Treasures series to cover all 14 benchmarks in reading using various genres and pacing guides to guide instruction in the classroom.	Treasures	FTE	\$2,500.00
Mathematics	Students will utilize researched based text and manipulatives to acquire math skills.	GO Math Textbooks	FTE	\$1,564.00
Science	Use researched based curriculum which includes science projects to increase basic science concepts taught	Science Fusion	FTE	\$255.00
				Subtotal: \$4,319.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Teachers will implement Accelerated Reader into the classroom to promote comprehension and reading of new text.	Accelerated Reader	FTE	\$1,745.00
				Subtotal: \$1,745.00
Professional Developr	ment			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Teachers attended CCSS overview, 3 day institute and summer conferences to implement CCSS into the classroom via text complexity, integration of subjects and preparation for full implementation of new standards.	CCSS Training	N/A	\$0.00
CELLA	Monthly ESOL Contact Meetings- Tips and Resources to bring back to the classroom teachers.	PowerPoints and Recorded Sessions	N/A	\$0.00
Mathematics	Data Chats	BAT Assessments,Classroom Assessments, FCAT Results	N/A	\$0.00
Writing	CCSS integration of Reading and Writing	Writing Tips and Strategies provided by the district	N/A	\$0.00
Attendance	Importance of Attendance	Parent Conferences- Pinnacle Reports	N/A	\$0.00
Suspension	Review Discipline Matrix and Positive Reinforcement Strategies	Discipline Matrix	N/A	\$0.00
Parent Involvement	Increase communication by providing free agenda books to the parents along with a training at the SAC meeting and refreshments.	Agenda Books, refreshments for training	Title 1 Funds	\$1,432.00
				Subtotal: \$1,432.00

Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Teachers tutored for 1 hour three days a week in reading to prepare for the FCAT.	FCAT Tutoring	FTE	\$2,500.00
Mathematics	Students will participate in 3 hours a week of tutoring in the area of mathematics before school in small groups.	FCAT Tutoring	FTE	\$2,500.00
				Subtotal: \$5,000.00
				Grand Total: \$12,496.00

# Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority	jn Focus	jn Prevent	jn NA
2 · · ·	5	5	5

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/26/2012)

### School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
There will be parent trainings at all SAC meetings. Some areas addressed are Fluency Practice, Comprehension Strategies, Homework Help, Communication, Student Data, Conscience Discipline, etc. Teachers will take turns providing this training monthly.	\$0.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The School Advisory Council's primary objective is to assist the school improvement plan. In order to assist the school with the all the goals, the SAC will provide training to the parents to better assist their children. Every month there will be a different training based on the needs of the parents. Parents who attend the title 1 meetings will also present the information.

# AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010 SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Broward School Distric SOMERSET ACADEMY 2010-2011		ARATORY				
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	63%	72%	81%	67%	283	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	58%	72%			130	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	67% (YES)	72% (YES)			139	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					552	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	68%	59%	84%	29%	240	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	59%	61%			120	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	60% (YES)	67% (YES)			127	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					487	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					с	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested