FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: POWELL MIDDLE SCHOOL

District Name: Hernando

Principal: Jamie Young

SAC Chair: James Peppe

Superintendent: Bryan Blavatt

Date of School Board Approval: 11/06/12

Last Modified on: 10/19/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Assis Principal	Lisa Piesik	MA Educational Leadership BA Management ESE K-12 Elementary Education K-6 Business Education 6-12	9	2	2011-2012 Assistant Principal. Powell Grade B/C. 60% meeting high standards in Reading; 49% meeting high standards in Math; 66% meeting high standards in Writing; 42% meeting high standards in Science; 64% making learning gains in Reading; 58% making learning gains in Math; 62% making learning gains in Reading lowest 25%; 54% making learning gains in Math lowest 25%; 534/560 points earned; 100% tested; 58% on free & reduced lunch; 29% minority rate 2010-2011 Acting Assistant Principal April- June, Powell Grade A - High Standards: Reading 73%; Math 68%; Writing 74%; Science 43%. Learning Gains: Reading 65%; Math 70%. Lowest %ile Learning Gains: Reading 63%; Math 71%. AYP Criteria 85%.
					2011-2012 Principal. Powell Grade B/C. 60% meeting high standards in Reading; 49% meeting high standards in Math; 66% meeting high standards in Writing; 42% meeting high standards in Science; 64%

Assis Principal Thomas Dye MA Educational Leadership 1 1 1 2012-2013 Assistant Principal, F Grade B

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Erica Bell McDowell	Educational Leadership, Reading Grades K-12	4	5	Powell does not have a dedicated instructional coach for any subject area. We utilize district personnel as needed.

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Offer a new teacher mentor program	Principal and	On-going throughout the year	
2		Mentor	On-going throughout the year	

3	Powell will be providing additional support to any teacher new to Powell and any teacher that is on annual contract through our content area department leaders.		On-going throughout the year	
4	Utilize professional development modules through the PD 360 program that are appropriate to individual teacher needs.	Jamie Young, Tom Dye, and Lisa Piesik, Administration	On-going throughout the year	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
Linda Buono, Intensive Reading & Language Arts Teacher Dinah McCue, Reading & Language Arts Teacher Deborah Chesteen, Intensive Reading & Language Arts Teacher	Support in continuation of reading endorsement and ESOL endorsement classes.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading	Board	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
64	3.1%(2)	26.6%(17)	46.9%(30)	26.6%(17)	40.6%(26)	26.6%(17)	21.9%(14)	0.0%(0)	25.0%(16)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Kathleen Turnbull	Matthew Schaeffer	Same department	School rules and procedures, best practices, Differentiated Instruction, behavioral and academic support services, PSRtI
Deborah Chesteen	Jennifer Akins	Same Department	School rules and procedures, best practices, Differentiated Instruction, behavioral and academic support services, PSRtI
Kathleen Marcucci	Danielle Roscoe	Experience of mentor.	School rules and procedures, best practices, Differentiated Instruction, behavioral and academic support services, PSR1. Being mentored for alternative certification program.

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

N/A			
Title I, Part C-	Migrant		
N/A			
Title I, Part D			

N/A

Title II

Powell Middle School will use its 2012-13 differentiated Title II site allocation to support ongoing research-based professional development programs involving Lesson Study, Effective Use of Formative Assessment Data to Differentiate & Drive Instruction, Access Points training, Best Practices in Discipline Interventions, Holistic Writing Rubric training and PS/Rt1. Select Powell Middle School teachers will also participate in district-wide Title II-funded professional development programs involving Next Generation Content Area Reading Professional Development, and Charlotte Danielson's Framework for Effective Teaching & Learning. All Title II-funded professional development programs at Powell Middle School are planned to support the district's strategic plan, including the 2012-13 District Improvement and Assistance Plan (DIAP) and School Improvement Plan (SIP) student performance goals and objectives.

Title III

All of Powell Middle School English language learners (ELLs) are primarily served in mainstream classrooms and receive supplemental services from our ESOL lead teacher and paraprofessionals in their mainstream classrooms. District annual Title III entitlement funds are used to purchase materials, equipment, and supplies to supplement ELL instruction in Powell Middle School mainstream classrooms that serve ELLs and in our ESOL resource rooms. Students, parents/guardians, and teachers have access to translated texts, dictionaries, graphic organizers, and worksheets and computer software packages designed to increase ELLs English and academic proficiencies. Title III funds will also be used to train Powell Middle School ESOL lead teacher and ESOL paraprofessionals how to better use computer software designed to improve ELL's English and academic proficiencies. Software purchased with Title III funds, like Rosetta Stone, will be regularly used to supplement mainstream classroom instruction and to increase ELLs academic proficiencies.

Title X- Homeless

N/A

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

N/A

Violence Prevention Programs

District Student Services Dept. staff and Powell Middle School guidance counselors provide substance abuse prevention and intervention initiatives for our students and families. These initiatives and activities consist of substance abuse evaluations and assessments, brief counseling, drug testing, student drug awareness classes, crisis intervention services, classroom substance abuse instruction, parent drug awareness classes, parent drug intervention training, substance abuse protocol training for staff and administrators, tobacco awareness classes, Involuntary Marchman Act petitions, and treatment referral services. Furthermore, prevention and intervention programs are in place to address bullying and harassment throughout the district. Powell Middle School staff regularly participate in district professional development programs on violence and substance abuse prevention. The district's Student Services Dept. initiated additional instructional programs for issues such as anger management, conflict resolution and sexual

harassment that will be used in lieu of lengthy suspensions in order to minimize loss of instructional time at all Hernando County schools in 2012-13.

Nutrition Programs

As part of the district's Food & Nutrition Dept., Powell Middle School cafeteria staff provide balanced, attractive, well-prepared

meals with good variety; give good, courteous, friendly service; meet high sanitary standards; are receptive to students' ideas and suggestions; and constantly strive for improvement. Powell Middle School cafeteria staff provide free and/or reduced-price lunches for students who qualify to participate in the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture's National School Lunch Program.

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

N/A

Adult Education

N/A

Career and Technical Education

Job Training

N/A

Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

School-based MTSS/Rtl Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

The school-based MTSSS (multi-tiered system of student supports) Leadership Team consists of: Jamie Young, Principal Lisa Piesik, Assistant Principal Tom Dye, Assistant Principal Laura Rufa, Assessment Teacher Maria Dumas, Reading Dept. Leader Sarah Edgecomb, Guidance Dept. Leader & PBS Coach Marilyn Haskedakes, Guidance Counselor Lili Southerland, Guidance Counselor Rachael Smith, School Psychologist Stephanie Moriarty, Behavioral Analyst Teresa Estrada, Social Worker Joi Ely, Math Dept. Leader Donna Dasher, Language Arts Dept. Leader

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

Administration provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based leadership team is implementing MTSSS, conducts assessment of MTSSS skills of school staff, implements intervention support and documentation, provides adequate professional development to support MTSSS implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based MTSSS plans and activities.

Mrs. Ely, Mrs. Dumas, and Mrs. Dasher, content area department leaders, provide information about core instruction, participate in data collection, assist teachers in the delivery of Tier I instruction/intervention, collaborate with staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrate Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

The School Psychologist, Rachel Smith, participates in collection, interpretation and analysis of data, facilitates development of intervention plans, provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation, provides professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation, and facilitates data-based decision making activities.

The Assessment Teacher, Laura Rufa, organizes and schedules school-wide assessments, accesses and/or develops technology necessary to manage and display data, and provides professional development and technical support to teachers and staff regarding data collection, management, and display.

Guidance counselors, Marilyn Haskedakes, Lili Southerland, and Sarah Edgecomb assist in the identification and development of interventions, and the implementation of MTSSS on their assigned grade level through PS/RtI meetings with staff, parents, and students. They also identify students in need of Tier 2 interventions through small group skill building activities based on frequency of teacher concerns, discipline and truancy data.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSSS Leadership Team consists of school based leaders and student services personnel that assist in providing schoolwide implementation and continued development of MTSSS. The MTSSS Leadership Team meets on a monthly basis and evaluates data to address concerns with core, grade level academic and behavioral concerns, while looking at individuals at risk in an effort to increase student performance and success at Powell. This problem solving team has set duties that include data collection and the development of tools to be used by staff to work toward full implementation of MTSSS. The 3 Tiers of intervention are being addressed throughout the SIP and are a direct reflection of the planning from this committee and other school leadership teams.

MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Tier 1 - FCAT results, SAMS diagnostic/benchmark assessment two times per year, common quarterly/semester exams, classroom tests and quizzes, Panther Prep - FCIM, FAIR testing three times per year for all students on prior year FCAT, Reading Program Assessments such as cold read exam and district writing prompt (DWAP). In addition, students that are placed in Advanced classes will be evaluated at the end of the first nine weeks to ensure proper placement. Our discipline secretary will also utilize RtIB to enter all discipline data.

Tier II - On-going reading progress monitoring such as CELLA for ESOL, Voyager benchmark test for intensive reading students, and utilization of FAIR toolkit for all students.

Tier III - On-going reading progress monitoring such as utilization of FAIR toolkit.

PMRN, Performance Matters and the district discipline data management system will be used to compile and store data in reading, math, science, writing, and behavior.

Progress monitoring and diagnostic data will be compiled by the Reading, Language Arts, and Math Department Leaders, and the Assessment Teacher.

The Assessment Teacher will be responsible for data management and communication of FAIR, SAMS, DWAP, and FCAT data.

The grade level teams will meet on a monthly basis to review assessment data. The MTSSS Leadership Team will meet on a monthly basis as well.

The technology support that will be used to aggregate and display data decisions include Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Performance Matters, and Voyager. Data from these programs will be printed and shared with the School Advisory Council, parents, teachers, and students via Edline and the data wall located in the faculty lounge. Behavioral and attendance data is reviewed on a monthly basis through grade level MTSSS meetings.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Training for PBS and MTSSS will be offered on the first in-service day, October 12, 2012, by the school psychologist, behavorialist, and administration. On-going staff development will continue over the course of the 2012-2013 school year. This will include frequent review of the MTSSS problem solving process at the monthly MTSSS grade level meetings.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Powell will continue to offer professional development utilizing district staff and PD 360 in the area of MTSS to ensure that our teachers understand the requirements and purpose.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Powell Middle School established a school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) several years ago. The school-based Literacy Leadership Team will be facilitated by Sharon Contois, Media Specialist, and will be made up of Powell's Leadership Team which includes the following members: Jamie Young, Principal Tom Dye, Assistant Principal Lisa Piesik, Assistant Principal Laura Rufa, Assessment Teacher Maria Dumas, Reading Department Leader, Reading Teacher Donna Dasher, Language Arts Department Leader, Language Arts Teacher Joi Ely, Math Department Leader, Math Teacher Kathleen Marcucci, Social Studies Department Leader, Social Studies and Civics/Amer History Teacher Anita Sola, ESE Department Leader, ESE Teacher David Pletincks, Fine Arts Department Leader, Chorus Director Ed Carlson, Science Department Leader, Science Teacher Ron Blackwell, PE Department Leader, PE Teacher Charlene Francisco, Athletic Director Lee Loffler, 8th grade Team Leader, PE Teacher Ricardo Hudson, 8th grade Team Leader, Math Teacher Paul Duncan, 7th grade Team leader, Math Teacher Althea Hudson, 7th grade Team Leader, Math Teacher Cheryl Hanik, 6th grade Team Leader, Science Teacher Jackie Cross, 6th grade Team Leader, Science Teacher

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT, under the direction of the Media Specialist, meets on a quarterly basis. The purpose of the LLT is to share reading knowledge within the school building and to focus on areas of literacy concern across the school. Each department within the school is represented on the LLT. School-wide reading plans that are developed by the LLT are introduced to each department by its LLT representative, with implementation and follow-up by administration and the Media Specialist.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The major initiatives of the LLT this year are to:

- train and support staff to ensure that reading strategies are being taught by every teacher. This will be accomplished with the assistance of district Reading Coaches who will work with our content area teachers by providing them with professional development in the areas of CCSS Literacy Standards, interactive literacy strategies, vocabulary acquisition, differentiated instruction, and think-alouds. We will also be utilizing Title II funds to send content area teachers to NGCAR-PD/Text Complexity training.

- ensure that all classrooms have word walls and that they are being used interactively.

- promote and encourage independent reading among students through on-going Reading Counts goal setting and reward programs.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

NA

*Grades 6-12 Only

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

District Reading coaches will work with content area teachers on the use of interactive literacy strategies, vocabulary acquisition, and differentiated instruction.Content area teachers will be offered the NGCAR-PD/Text Complexity training with the use of Title II funds.

All teachers will use FCIM mini-lessons and assessments.

Also, through the Literacy Leadership Team, which includes all content area leaders, information will be disseminated regarding use of effective reading strategies within their content area.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

NA

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

NA

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

NA

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	l on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and c	lefine areas in need
readi		g at Achievement Level 3	The percentage	e of students achieving pro 2013 FCAT will increase 7	
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
32% ((328)		39% (368)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of vocabulary instruction	 1.1 Continue to train staff on how to incorporate the use of interactive word-walls in all classrooms. 1.2 Teachers incorporate vocabulary acquisition strategies (e.g. picture notes, word mapping, column notes, and context clues) into their lessons before, during, and after reading content materials. 1.3 Utilizing the Powell News Broadcast we will have a school-wide word of the day. Each teacher will have to utilize the word throughout the day and relate it to their content area. 	Coaches and Administration 1.2 District Reading Coaches and Administration 1.3 Broadcast teacher, Media Specialist, Leadership Team	1.1 PLC meetings will focus on vocabulary performance on classroom assessments.	Classroom generated assessments; Administrative walkthroughs
2	Lack of reading instruction in the content areas		 2.1 Department Leader and Administration 2.2 Department Leader and Administration 2.3 District Professional Development Coordinator 	 2.1 Bi-weekly discussions in content area PLC meetings about the use of differentiated instruction and classroom-based assessment data 2.2 Performance on FCIM mini-assessments 2.3 Classroom walk- through's and evaluations after teachers have been trained to assess the use of reading strategies in content area classes 	and classroom walkthrough data 2.2 FCIM mini- assessments in all classrooms 2.3 Lesson plans and classroom walkthrough data

- 1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
	Stude	orida Alternate Assessn ents scoring at Levels 4, ng Goal #1b:			The percentage of Florida Alternate Assessment students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in Reading will remain 100% .		
	2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expect	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	100%	(2)		100%(4)	100%(4)		
		Pr	oblem-Solving Process 1	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	1	Teachers lack training on Access Points.	Teachers will attend professional development to learn effective use of Access Points.	County-level trainers from ESE ESE Team, Administration	Review of lesson plans, observation within classes implementing Access Points	Florida Alternative Assessment Test, classroom assessments, periodic lesson plan checks	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a:	The number of students achieving above proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 and 5) in Reading will increase 7 percentage points.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
24% (241)	31% (292)			
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement			

	Troblem-Solving Process to the case student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Many Level 4 & 5 students are not receiving a full class of reading instruction.	 1.1 Advanced Language Arts teachers will receive professional development on interactive literacy strategies from the District Reading Coaches. 1.2 FCAT Level 3 students receive a full class period of reading instruction per day in addition to a full class period of language arts instruction 		 1.1 2013 FCAT results will be analyzed to determine if Level 4 & 5 students made DSS gains. 1.2 Administration will analyze 2013 FCAT scores to determine the percentage of Level 3 students who increased to a 4 or 5. 	2013 FCAT Reading scores		
2	In the past we have not FAIR tested Level 4 & 5 students	2.1 FAIR test all level 4 & 5 students three times per year and progress monitor if necessary	2.1 Assessment Teacher & Advanced Language Arts Teachers	2.1 Analyze FAIR scores and compare test results each assessment to ensure students are making gains	FAIR results		

of imp	provement for the following	group:				
Stude readi	lorida Alternate Assessn ents scoring at or above ng. ing Goal #2b:			The percentage of Florida Alternate Assessment students scoring at or above Level 7 in Reading will remain 100% .		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
100%(2)			100%(4)	100%(4)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Teachers lack training on Access Points.	Teachers will attend professional development to learn effective use of Access Points.		Review of lesson plans, observations within classes implementing Access Points.	Florida Alternative Assessment	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group:	rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:	The percentage of students making learning gains in Reading will increase 4 percentage points.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
61% (554)	65% (560)
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Elimination of school- based reading coach	 1.1 Utilize the district middle school reading coaches as much as possible for professional development and modeling. 1.2 Utilize the expertise of the Reading Department Leader to support and model best practices in reading. 	Administrator in charge of Reading Department	5	2013 FCAT Reading scores FAIR test results			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:	The percentage of Florida Alternate Assessment students making learning gains in Reading will increase 5 percentage points .			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

50%(1)

55%(2)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Conflicts in master schedule to accommodate for proper placement in appropriate reading level class	to accommodate alternate assessment	Reading Dept.	Classroom observations, feedback from Reading teachers	Florida Alternate Assessment

 Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.

 Reading Goal #4:

 2012 Current Level of Performance:

 2013 Expected Level of Performance:

 56% (135)

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	There has been minimal feedback on student performance pertaining to assessment results	 1.1 Intensive reading teachers will have individual data chats with students to review performance on assessments. 1.2 Teachers will meet with Administration to discuss and identify students in the lowest 25th percentile to develop interventions for students to be discussed after each FAIR assessment with student. 1.3 With the support of administration and the use of PBS, Intensive Reading teachers will offer incentives to students who make gains on assessments 	Administration	Administration will review FAIR/Voyager/Corrective data to determine student progress in reading.	FAIR assessment, Voyager, Benchmark test, Corrective Reading Mastery tests			
2	There has been a lack of student knowledge regarding the availability of high interest books	2.1 Use of book chats on the Powell Middle School TV news		2.1 Teacher monitoring of Reading Counts points on a nine week basis				

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

		I		5A :			1		
Baselin 2010-		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-201	4	2014-201	5	2015-2016	2016-2017
		61%	64%	68%		71%		75%	
		analysis of stude t for the followir			eferenc	ce to "Guiding	j Quest	ions", identify and	define areas in nee
								ite students not ma by 4 percentage p	
Hispan	nic, Asia	ubgroups by e [:] an, American Ir progress in read	ndian) not m					panic students not by 5 percentage p	
	ng Goal		ung.					ck students not ma by 6 percentage p	
						e percentage crease from 53		panic students mak 58%.	ing AYP will
2012 C	Current	Level of Perfor	rmance:		20	013 Expected	d Leve	l of Performance:	
White -	36% (2	247) Hispanic -	50% (70) Bla	ack - 53% (41)	W	hite - 32%(21	9) His	panic - 45% (65) Bl	ack - 47% (26)
		F	Problem-Sol	ving Process t	to I ncr	rease Studer	nt Achi	evement	
	Antic	ipated Barrier	St	rategy	F Resp	erson or Position ponsible for onitoring		rocess Used to Determine ffectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Toc
u E E	up the m ELL popu ELL Lead	Students make hajority of our ulation, and our d teacher does lk Spanish.	ELL Parapr assist our population classroom other cont classes. 1.2 The EL paraprofes Spanish ar utilize an in staff for tr. needed. 1.3 Efforts to dissemin informatior and English	Hispanic in the reading and in their ent area .L sional speaks ad we will nterpreter on anslation when will be made nate n in Spanish n both in d when utilizing	ELL Parapi	eacher, rofessional	monite analyz	data results will be ored in addition to zing 2012 FCAT ng trend data	FAIR data and 2013 FCAT Readin data
ic p	dentifica proficien	ndividual ation of non- it students in th ibgroup.	Performani- reporting of teachers w how to ide performing each subgr 2.2 Individ with stude strengths a weaknesse assessmen 2.3 Teache active role solving util	capabilities, vill be trained ntify low students in roup. lual data chats ints to discuss and es in regards to	Teach 2.2 Te 2.3 Te Guida Couns Admin	eachers eachers, nce	test 1 2.2 Co test 1 2.3 Ol	omparison of FAIR and 2 omparison of FAIR and 2 oservations by istration	2013 AYP data

	d on the analysis of studer provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and c	lefine areas in need	
satis	nglish Language Learne factory progress in read ing Goal #5C:	-	satisfactory pro	The percent of English Language Learners(ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Reading will decrease by 7 percentage points.		
2012	Current Level of Perform	mance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
68%(22)			61%(13)	61%(13)		
	Pi	roblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	The percentage of students not making satisfactory progress exceeds 61% (13 students).	An ELL Teacher and ELL Paraprofessional will assist our ESOL population in the Reading classroom.	ELL Teacher, ELL Paraprofessional		The percentage will be measured on the 2012/2013 FCAT administered in April.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following subgroup:				
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D:	The percentage of students with disabilites(SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Reading will decrease by 7 percentage points.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
71%(66)	64% (56)			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	accommodations	required accommodations while students are testing on-line.	ESE Team Leader, ESE Case Manager, ESE Teacher, Assessment Teacher, Administration	participate in professional	FAIR results, SAMS data, Voyager data		
2	teacher and inclusion teacher in one	will provide additional support to dually certified teachers through a check-in process. 3.2 Offer professional development in differentiated instruction	3.2 Administration and District Reading Coaches3.3 Administration,	through PLCs from dually certified teachers 3.2 Review of lesson	2013 FCAT scores, Performance on district assessments		

			management		
3	}	paraprofessional offering support in the general	4.1 Assign ESE teachers to classrooms requiring support for a minimum of half a class period	5	FAIR test results, 2013 FCAT results
4		teacher allocation, causing the case load for existing ESE teachers to	Department Leader who	Feedback and discussion in PLCs	Preparedness and accuracy of IEPs

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
of improvement for the following subgroup:5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making
satisfactory progress in reading.The percentage of economically disadvantaged students not
making satisfactory progress in Reading will decrease by 5
percentage points.2012 Current Level of Performance:2013 Expected Level of Performance:47% (256)42%(241)

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Limited background knowledge based on socio-economic conditions	1.1 Through the use of technology and programs such as Voyager and United Streaming we can take virtual field trips and show content related videos to expose students to experiences they may not have otherwise experienced in order to build background knowledge. 1.2 Background knowledge will be built through our fine arts electives where students are exposed to performances, travel for competitions, and art studies.		Observations, walk- throughs, informal feedback.	2013 FCAT scores, District Assessments	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	subject grade	(e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g.	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	------------------------	--	---------------	---	---------------------------------------	---

NGCAR- PD/Text Complexity training for content area teachers	All grades - social studies, science, math teachers	District Trainers	Priority goes to social studies, science and math teachers	2012-2013 school year	Informal observations that show the use of reading strategies in the content area classes	Lisa Piesik, PD Liason
Access Points Training	All grades/subjects	Cassandra Hall & Susan Gemmatti	Teachers who have alternate curriculum students on their class rosters	quarter of the	Classroom walkthroughs, review of lesson plans, informal observations	Administration
Differentiated Instruction, Interactive Literacy Strategies, Interactive Word Walls	All grades Reading & Language Arts teachers	Erica McDowell	All grades Reading & Language Arts teachers	51.	Classroom walkthroughs, review of lesson plans, informal observations	Administration, Reading & Language Arts Dept. Leaders
Performance Matters Training	All teachers	Laura Rufa, Assessment Teacher	School-wide	Within the first 30 days of the 2012-2013 school year	Informal observations, data chats	Laura Rufa,Assessment Teacher and Administration

Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Program	n(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.
1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.
CELLA Goal #1:

By the end of the 2012-2013 school year, the percent of ELLs making progress on the CELLA listening and speaking assessment will increase from 80% in the 2011-2012 school year to 82%.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

			Person or	Process Used to	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	5	Supplemental extended day/year programs that are prescriptive toward ELL areas of deficiencies, including listening and speaking and emphasize developing ELL's English language proficiencies.	teacher and paraprofessional	Monitoring of FAIR Toolkits or Voyager/Corrective ORF scores with Reading teachers	The percentage will be measured on the 2012/2013 CELLA test administered in April.
2	A prescriptive approach, derived from CELLA data, targeting students' areas of deficiencies related to listening and speaking is needed in supplemental extended day/year programs.				
3		Supplemental training will be conducted 2-3 times during the school year by experienced trainers for extended day/year teachers and paraprofessionals on how to use targeted instructional strategies and best practices in listening and speaking for ELLs.			
4		Additional one on one reading opportunities and practice including multiple listening and speaking opportunities will be provided during English/Language Arts and/or supplemental extended day/year programs.			
5		Professional development will be provided by ESOL Lead teachers to mainstream classroom teachers focusing on best practices, targeted instruction, and effective strategies to support ELLs in deficient areas while still maintaining support in other assessed areas.			

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

By the end of the 2012-2013 school year, the percent of ELLs making progress on the CELLA reading assessment will increase from 52% in the 2011-2012 school year to 56%.

CELLA Goal #2:

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

52% (13 of 25 students)

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	The percentage of students showing proficiency on the reading section of the CELLA falls below 56% (12 of 22 students).	An ELL Teacher and ELL Paraprofessional will assist in their other content area classes.	ELL Teacher ELL Paraprofessional	Monitoring of FAIR and/or Benchmark testing on Performance Matters.	The percentage will be measured on 2012/2013 CELLA test administered in April.	
2	A prescriptive approach, derived from CELLA data, targeting students areas of deficiencies related to reading is needed in supplemental extended/year programs.	ESOL paraprofessionals will assist in providing needed support in group and individualized settings utilizing bilingual building blocks such as vocabulary development and comprehension techniques.				
3		Supplemental training will be conducted 2-3 times during the school year by experienced trainers for extended day/year teachers and paraprofessionals on how to use targeted instructional strategies and best practices in reading for ELLs.				
4		Professional development will be provided by ESOL Lead teachers to mainstream classroom teachers focusing on best practices, targeted instruction, and effective strategies in reading to support ELLs in deficient areas while still maintaining support in other assessed areas.				

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.				
	The percent of students scoring proficient in CELLA writing will increase by 6 percentage points.			
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:				

	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Additional training for mainstream English/Language Arts and core content classroom teachers in best practices, targeted instruction, and effective strategies in writing for ELLs is needed.	Supplemental Extended day/year programs that are prescriptive toward ELLs areas of deficiencies, including writing, and emphasize developing ELL's English proficiencies.	ELL Paraprofessional	Monitoring of DWAP scores on Performance Matters.	The percentage will be measured on the 2012/2013 CELLA test administered in April.
2	A prescriptive approach, based on CELLA data, targeting students areas of deficiencies related to writing is needed in supplemental extended day/year programs.	Professional development will be provided by ESOL Lead teachers to mainstream classroom teachers focusing on best practices, targeted instruction, and effective strategies to support ELLs in deficient areas while still maintaining support in other assessed areas.			

CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	terial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Training ESOL lead teacher and ESOL para on the utilization of Rosetta Stone	Rosetta Stone Program	Title III Expenditures	\$315.00
			Subtotal: \$315.0
			Grand Total: \$315.0

End of CELLA Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
math	CAT2.0: Students scoring ematics. ematics Goal #1a:	g at Achievement Level 🤇	Students scorin	N Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Mathematics will increase by 7 percentage points.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
27%	(256)		34% (320)			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Implementation of inquiry based instructional strategy	1.1 Teachers follow an instructional delivery model that includes inquiry and investigation of math ideas embedded in rich problem situations.	Administration, math department leader	PLC/Data Chats, walkthrough data, team review of quarterly progress monitoring assessment, grade level planning.	SAMS data, district provided assessment tools, quarterly progress monitoring.	
1		1.2 Questioning techniques will be used requiring students to investigate, problem solve, and inquire to solve mathematical problems.				
	Students' lack of critical thinking skills.	 2.1 Teachers will vary the levels of critical thinking skill complexity as appropriate for students at different levels of higher order thinking ability through accommodations and extension of content. 2.2 Through group 	Administration, math department leader	PLC/Data Chats, walkthrough data	SAMS data, district provided assessment tools.	
2		activities students will engage in "accountable talk" to show, explain and prove reasoning during investigation and guided practice. 2.3 Critical thinking skills				
		strategies will be infused in math instruction focusing on student skill deficiencies as it relates to the NGSSS.				
		2.4 Teachers will use interactive word walls.2.5 Students will complete written reflections as provided with each mathematical				

		unit.			
3	Students' lack of fundamental math skills and organization.	3.1 Student performance and assessment data will be analyzed and used as a basis for providing specific levels of differentiated instruction structured around the individual students' skill deficiencies during class instruction.	math department	PLC/Data Chats, classroom walkthrough, lesson plans	Student performance on classroom based assessments. SAMS data.
		3.2 During instruction students will be actively engaged in varied small group activities that reinforce or enhance skills on previously taught content.			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b:	The percentage of Florida Alternate Assessment students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics will remain 100% .			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
100%(1)	100%(4)			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Teachers lack training on Access Points.	Teachers will attend professional development to learn effective use of Access Points.		observations within classes implementing Access Points	Florida Alternative Assessment, classroom assessments, perodic lesson plan check
2	Teachers do not have experience with Number Worlds Alternative Math Curriculum	professional development to learn effective use of Number Worlds.			Florida Alternative Assessment, classroom assessments, perodic lesson plan check.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2a:	The percentage of students scoring at or above Achievement level 4 in mathematics will increase by 7 percentage points.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
21% (205)	28% (264)			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of Critical Thinking Skills	 1.1 Teachers will vary the levels of critical thinking skill complexity as appropriate for students at different levels of higher order thinking ability through accommodations and extension of content. 1.2 Through group activities students will engage in "accountable talk" to show, explain and prove reasoning during modeled instruction and guided practice. 1.3 Critical thinking skills strategies will be infused in the instruction focusing on students' skill difficiencies as it relates to the NGSSS in mathematics. 	Administration, math department leader	PLC/Data Chats, walkthrough data	SAMS data, district provided assessments
2	Instructional goals in the classroom lack rigor and higher cognitive compexity	 2.1 Instructional tasks and assessments will meet each NGSSS benchmark's cognitive complexity rating. 2.2 Teachers will incorporate higher order questioning into daily lessons. 	Administration and math department leader	Walkthrough data, lesson plans, PLC/Data Chats.	SAMS data, common assessments
3	Level 4 & 5 students in 8th grade are enrolled in Algebra or a higher level math class and not being exposed to 8th grade math curriculum on a daily basis.	3.1 Algebra teachers need to cover the 8th grade NGSSS through	Administration and math department leader	Review of lesson plans, walkthrough data, PLC/Data Chats	SAMS data

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b:			The percentage	The percentage of Florida Alternate Assessment students scoring at or above achievement Level 7 in mathematics will remain 100% .		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
100%	(1)		100%(4)	100%(4)		
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1	Teachers Lack Training on Access Points	ongoing professional development to learn	trainers from ESE,ESE	Review of lesson plans, observations within classes implementing Access Points	Florida Alternative Assessment, classroom assessments, periodic lesson plan review
2	Teachers do not have experience with Number Worlds Alternative Math Curriculum	Teachers will attend professional development to learn effective use of Number Worlds	trainer, ESE and regular math	Review of lesson plans, observation within classes using Number Worlds	Florida Alternative Assessment, classroom assessments, periodic lesson plan check

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a:	The percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics will increase by 4 percentage points.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
55% (489)	59% (508)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Students lack fundamental math skills.	 1.1 Student performance and assessment data will be analyzed and used as a basis for providing specific levels of differentiated instruction structured around the individual students' skill deficiencies during intensive math class instruction. 1.2 During math class instruction students will be actively engaged in varied small group activities that reinforce or enhance skills on previously taught 	Administration, math department leader	PLC/Data Chats, classroom walkthrough, lesson plans	Student performance on classroom assessments. SAMS data.			
2	Student deficiency in math vocabulary	content. 2.1 Teachers will use interactive word walls that are current and referenced throughout instruction in ways that help students increase their vocabulary acquisition and use of math vocabulary. 2.2 Teachers will require students to "speak mathematically" when showing,telling or presenting results to investigations.	Administration, math department leader	PLC/Data Chats, classroom walkthrough data	SAMS Data, biweekly vocabulary mini- assessments			

3	allow time to target below proficient students with instruction and remediation in FCAT	and/or Compass Odyssey	leader	classroom walkthrough data, lesson plans, Compass Odyssey Data Management Reports, Pearson successnet Reports.	Pearson assessment instruments, Compass Odyssey
		3.2 All Level 1 students are placed in Intensive Math in addition to their regular grade level math class.			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
3b. Florida Alternate As Percentage of students mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b:	The percentage of Florida Alternate Assessment students making Learning Gains in Mathematics will increase by 5 percentage points.						
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nce:		
50%(1)			55%(2)				
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for .		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	No Data Submitted						

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and ro g group:	eference	to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4:				The percentage of students in the bottom quartile will increase learning gains by 5 percentage points.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:				3 Expected	Level of Performance:	
55% (122)				60% (129)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Incre	ase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Pc Respo	rson or osition onsible for nitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Inadequate reading comprehension skills impact students' ability to solve math problems.	1.1 Use of differentiated instruction in the presentation of content.	Principa Departn		Classroom walkthroughs, review of lesson plans	Vocabulary assessments, SAMS Data
	Students lack fundamental math skills	2.1 Student performance and assessment data will			SAMS, Compass Odyssey data, Pearsonsuccessnet	

2		be analyzed and used as a basis for providing specific levels of differentiated instruction structured around the individual students' skill deficiencies during instruction. 2.2 During intensive math class instruction students will be actively engaged in varied small group activities that reinforce or enhance skills on previously taught content through the Pearson manipulative kit that comes with the math series. 2.3 Use of Number Worlds for ESE students.		data, classroom walkthroughs, lesson plans	Compass Odyssey reports, Pearsonsuccessnet reports.
3	Lack of mathematical vocabulary instruction	3.1 Train staff on how to incorporate the use of interactive word walls in mathematic classrooms.3.2 Use of PD360 for developing skills for successful use of interactive word walls.	Principal, Math department leader, Reading department leader	Classroom walkthroughs	Vocabulary Assessments, unit assessments

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target								
			Middle School Mathe	ematics Goal #				
5A. Ambitious Measurable Of school will red by 50%.	<i>.</i>	s). In six year	B _Y 2016, 74%	of students will	be proficient in	n mathematics. 🗾		
by 3078.			5A :			V		
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
	59%	63%	66%	70%	74%			

 Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.
 The percent of whites not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.

Satisfactory progress in mathematics.Mathematics Goal #5B:Mathematics Goal #5B:2012 Current Level of Performance:2012 Current Level of Performance:White - 48% (324)Hispanic 63% (89)Blacks - 56% (43)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
Students' lack of critical thinking skills		department leader	assessments	SAMS data, Compass Odyssey reports and			

1		as appropriate for students at different levels of higher order thinking ability through accommodations and extension of content. 1.2 Through group activities students will engage in "dialogue" to show, explain and prove reasoning during modeled instruction and guided practice. 1.3 Critical thinking skills strategies will be infused in instruction focusing on students' skill deficiencies as it relates to the NGSSS in mathematics.		Pearsonsuccessnet Data	Pearsonsuccessnet reports.
2	Student deficiency in math vocabulary	 2.1 Teachers will use interactive word walls that are current and referenced throughout instruction in ways that help students increase their vocabulary acquisition and use of math vocabulary. 2.2 Teachers will require students to "speak mathematically" when showing, telling or explaining answers in class. 2.3 Hispanic students in the ELL program will receive support from ELL lead teacher in math vocabulary building. 	Principal, Assistant Principal, Math Department leader, ELL Lead Teacher	discussion, LEP meetings	SAMS Data, Compass Odyssey data, Pearsonsuccessnet data

Based on the analysis of student achievement data,	and reference to	"Guiding Questio	ns", identify	and define a	areas ir	n need
of improvement for the following subgroup:						

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C:	The percent of English Language Learners(ELL)not making satisfactory progress in mathematics will decrease by 7 percentage points.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
78%(25)	71%(15)			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making

	factory progress in math ematics Goal #5D:	nematics.		Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics will decrease by 8 percentage points.		
2012	2012 Current Level of Performance:			d Level of Performance:		
81%(76)		73% (64)			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Dually certified teacher servicing students as the general education teacher and inclusion teacher in one.	2.1 ESE case managers will provide additional support to dually certified teachers through a check-in process.2.2 Offer professional development in differentiated instruction.		Informal feedback through PLC's from dually certified teachers. Review of lesson plans. Discipline data specific to dually certified teachers.	2012 FCAT scores	
		2.3 Offer professional development in behavior/classroom management.				
2	Inadequate reading comprehension skills impact students' ability to solve math problems.	1.1 ESE inclusion teacher will provide comprehension support in the general education classroom following students IEP and enriching instruction through the use of various modalities of learning.	Math department	PLC data chat collection and discussion. Classroom Walkthrough observation.	SAMS data, student performance on quarterly progress assessments, Compass Odyssey data and Pearsonsuccessnet data.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following subgroup:	rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics will decrease by 5 percentage
Mathematics Goal #5E:	points.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

59%(318)

54% (310)

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	impacted by families	will be made available to students needing additional help.	Math department leader	Parent Survey, Data collection of tutoring participation for low SES students.	SAMS Assessment, 2012 Math FCAT			

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
	udents scoring at Achiev ora Goal #1:	ement Level 3 in Algebra	Students scorin	Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra will increase 6 percentage points.		
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
46%(2	29)		52%(55)			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy R		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1						
	Implementation of inquiry based instructional strategy	1.1 Teachers follow an instructional delivery model that includes inquiry and investigation of math ideas embedded in rich problem situations.	Principal, Math department leader	PLC/Data Chats, walkthrough data, team review of quarterly progress monitoring assessment, grade level planning.	SAMS data, district provided assessment tools, quarterly progress monitoring.	
2	1.2 Questioning techniques will be used requiring students to investigate, problem solve, and inquire to solve mathematical problems.					
	Deficiency in Student Math Skills	1.1 Students will be screened to determine prior knowledge math skills.	Math Department leader, Algebra teachers, Guidance	PLC/Data Chats, team review of ongoing assessments, grade level planning.	Pearson Screening Test, Pearson Assessments, SAMS data	
3		1.2 Teacher will continually monitor student progress in a variety of assessments to identify students in need of a change of placement.				

 Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4

	Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in Algebra will increase by 5 percentage points.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:

51%(32)

56%(59)

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Ineffective / Inconsistent engagement of higher order thinking skills	1.1 Teachers provide students with opportunities to engage in accountable talk. Students should be defending, justifying and explaining their problem solving in multiple ways whenever possible.	Algebra Teacher, Math Department Chair, Principal	Higher order thinking questions posed by teacher. Student Reflections on topics covered. Administrative walkthroughs.	SAMS assessment, Pearson assessments, walk- through observation data.			
2	Low Level of Student Engagement / frequent off task behavior	 1.1 Teachers will utilize Group Observation Rubric to reinforce appropriate classroom behaviors for effective lesson engagement. 1.2 Teachers will consistently utilize PBS program as reinforcement. Teacher will use their own classroom system (if available in addition to PBS). 	Algebra Teacher, Math Department Chair, Grade Level Team	Grade Level team will discuss data at weekly grade level meetings. Focused administrative walkthroughs and observation data.	SAMS assessment data, Progress Monitoring quarterly assessments, Pearson assessments, Group Observation Rubric			

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target							
3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Algebra Goal # By the year : proficient. 3A :	2016, 99% of Algel	bra students will	be 🔺	
Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013			2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	98%	98%	98%	98%	99%		
	analysis of stu nt for the follow		ent data, and refere	nce to "Guiding Ques	tions", identify and	define areas in need	
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3B:				The percentage of Whites not making satisfactory progress in Algebra will decrease by 1 percentage point while the percentage of Blacks, Hispanics, and Asians not making satisfactory progress in Algebra will remain 0%.			
2012 Current	2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
Whites 1% (1) Blacks 0% (0) Hispanics 0% (0) Asians 0% (0)				Whites 0% (0) Blacks 0% (0) Hispanics 0% (0) Asians 0% (0)			
		Problem-Sol	ving Process to I n	crease Student Ach	nievement		

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of soft of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, and Ilowing subgroup:	d refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
		The percent of English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra will remain 0%			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nce:
0%(1)		0%(0)			
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to l	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
for			Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
		The percent of students with disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra will remain 0%.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Performar	nce:
0% (0)		0% (0)			
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for		for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.

The percent of Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra will decrease 1 percentage point.

Algebra Goal #3E:

2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:	
4% (1)	3% (1)	
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement		

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Out of school support is impacted by the families educational background, work schedule, and availability making contact and support difficult.	 1.1 After school tutoring will be made available to students needing additional help. 1.2 Teachers will compile a list of on-line resources for parents to utilize to assist with homework activities. 1.3 Afterschool tutoring will also allow access to online resources that student may not have outside of school. 	department leader	Parent Survey, Data collection of tutoring participation for low SES students.	SAMS Assessment, 2012 Math FCAT

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

÷

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
		The percent of students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry will remain 100%.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
100% (1)		100% (3)			
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to L	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	t
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for			on or ion onsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels	
	The percentage of students scoring at or above
	Achievement Level 4 or above in Geometry will increase
Geometry Goal #2:	by 33 percentage points.

2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
0% (0)		33% (1)			
Problem-Solving Process to I		ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Pers Posit Resp for Moni		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual	Measurable	Objectives	(AMOs),	AMO-2,	Reading and Math Performance
Target					

3A. Ambitious but Annual Measurable (AMOs). In six yea reduce their achie 50%.	e Objectives ar school will	Geometry Goal # The percentag remain 100%. 3A :	e of students pro	oficient in Geomet	ry will
Baseline data 2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	100%	100%	100%	100%	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and	reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas
in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	
2P. Student subgroups by othnicity (White Plack	

Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making	The percentage of Whites, Blacks, Hispanics, Asians, and
satisfactory progress in Geometry.	American Indians not making satisfactory progress in
Geometry Goal #3B:	Geometry will remain 0%
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Whites 0% (0)	Whites 0% (0)
Blacks 0% (0)	Blacks 0% (0)
Hispanics 0% (0)	Hispanics 0% (0)
Asians 0% (0)	Asians 0% (0)

American Indians 0% (C		American Indians 0% (0)				
	t					
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.

Geometry Goal #3C:

The percentage of English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry will remain 0%.

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
0% (0)			0% (0)		
Problem-Solving Process to I			Increase Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3D:			The percentage of Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry will remain 0%.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
0% (0)			0% (0)		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Res for			on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
			The percentage of Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry will remain 0%.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
0% (0)			0% (0)		
	Problem-Solving Proces	is to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for			on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Mathematics Budget:

			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a:	The percentage of students achieving proficiency 3 on the Science portion will increase by 6 percentage points.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
35%(103)	41%(153)			

	Prob	lem-Solving Process	to Increase Stud	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students reading level and vocabulary skills are not adequate for the level in which science informational text is written.		Classroom	1.1 Daily monitoring of classroom activities by teacher, discussion of evidence in Science Department PLCs/Data Chats	(SAM/Performanc Matters),
2	Student depth of knowledge in basic mathematical skills, as well as transferrance and application of those skills, in the sciences are not adequate at this level of education.	 2.1 Teachers will use Common Core State Standards for integrating mathematics into the current science curriculum. 2.2 Teachers will use infused math skills practice resources to assist in transferrance of math skills in the current science curriculum. 	Science Teachers, Science Dept. Leader, Administration	2.1 Weekly monitoring of classroom lessons by teacher, Science Department PLCs/Data Chats.	Diagnostic assessments (SAM/Performand Matters), classroom assessments - including lesson, chapter and unit tests - projects, and quizzes
3	Teachers do not conduct inquiry-based activities and/or laboratory experiments on a regular and consistent basis	3.1 Teachers will become familiar with available equipment	Science Teachers, Science Dept Leader, Administration	3.1 Weekly lesson plans, Science Department PLCs/Data Chats	Quarterly and mid-year assessments, FCAT, and formative assessments.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipate	d Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Teachers lac on Access Pe	5	proffesional development to learn	trainers from ESE, ESE Team,	classes implementing Access Points	Florida Alternative Assessment, classroom assessment, perodic lesson plan checks

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a:	The percentage of students scoring Level 4 or Level 5 on the Science portion of the FCAT will increase by 9 percentage points.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
6%(18)	15%(56)				

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of fidelity between teachers of purpose, procedure and usage of FCIM model.	utilization of common	Science Department Leader	Daily monitoring of FCIM lessons by teacher, Science Department PLCs/Data Chats.	Bi-weekly benchmark mini- assessments to show mastery of benchmarks.
2	Teachers are not yet acclimated to the new student reporting system (Performance Matters/SAMS).	the science department to model effective	Science Department Leader, Assessment Teacher	Monitoring of lesson plans for effective data-driven instruction. Classroom walkthroughs, formal and informal observations.	Monitoring of data derived from SAMS benchmark assessments, FCIM mini- assessments.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		
2	b. Florida Alternate Assessment:	
S	tudents scoring at or above Achievement Level 7	
ir	n science.	
S	cience Goal #2b:	
2	012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:

	Problem-Solving Proces	s to Increase S	itudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Performance Matters Training	All grades/all subjects	Laura Rufa, Assessment Teacher	school wido	Within the first 30 days of the 2012-2013 school year	Data chats, informal observations	Laura Rufa, Assessment Teacher & Administration
ACCESS Points Training	All grades	Hall & Susan Gemmatti		The first quarter of the 2012-2013 school year	Review of lesson plans incorporating Access Points, informal observations	Administration

Science Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Science 6-8 Instructional Materials Adoption	McGraw Hill	District	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
2012-2013 Science 6-8 McGraw Hill Trainings	McGraw Hill	District	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Writing Goals

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identi	ify and define areas
3.0 a	CAT 2.0: Students scor nd higher in writing. ng Goal #1a:	ing at Achievement Le	Students scori	ng a level 3.0 or higher percentage points.	in writing will
		2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performanc	ce:	
63%	(198)		67% (250)		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too
1	skills learned in	 1.1 Students will follow a common writing process to produce essays and compositions including prewriting/planning, writing/drafting, revising, editing/proofreading and publishing. 1.2 Science and social studies teachers will include short and extended-response questions on tests. 1.3. The PE Department will assign a quarterly health or physical education-related reading passage about which students will answer short and extended- response questions. 	Administration Language Arts Department Leader Content Area Department Leader	Classroom walk- throughs Monitor Lesson Plans	District Writing Prompt Scores
2	Teachers in subjects other than Language Arts often feel ill- equipped to grade essays or extended- response questions.	 2.1 The Language Arts Department will supply other departments with a set of clear expectations for short and extended- response questions, as well as training in how to use the writing rubric. 2.2 The Language Arts and Social Studies Departments will collaborate on process papers and essays in 	Language Arts Department Leader Content Area Department Leader	Classroom walk- throughs Monitor lesson plans	District Writing Prompt Scores

		units.			
	ambition to improve	teachers will conduct individual data chats	Administration Language Arts	Classroom walk- throughs	District Writing Prompt Scores
	revising and editing.	with students after each District Writing Assessment Prompt.	Department Leader	Monitor lesson plans	
3		3.2 Language Arts teachers will instruct students in how to use editing symbols to show evidence of revising and editing their rough drafts.			
4	0 0	The Language Arts Department will streamline its curriculum through mandated common planning time.	Administration Language Arts Department Leader	Attendance at Common Planning Sessions	District Writing Prompt Scores
5	separate Language Arts class.	Teachers of Voyager classes will incorporate the writing portion of the program on the eleventh day of each Expedition.	Administration Reading Department Leader	Classroom walk- throughs Monitor lesson plans	District Writing Prompt Scores
			Language Arts Department Leader		

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b:			ıg				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	9:	
Problem-Solving Process to I			to I n	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Res	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Teachers lack training on Access Points	Teachers will attend professional development to learn effective use of Access Points	trair ESE	team,	Review of lesson plans, observation within classes implementing Access Points	Florida Alternative Assessment, classroom assessment, perodic lesson plan checks	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

	Target Dates	
	Turget Dutes	

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator		(e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Revising/Editing/Grading for Content Area Teachers	grades/content	Dasher I A	All content area teachers	quarter of the 2012-2013	Review of lesson plans, informal observations, student work	Administration

Writing Budget:

			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Training content area teachers on grading/incorporating writing into their curriculum.	Supplies for training	Operating Budget	\$100.00
			Subtotal: \$100.0
			Grand Total: \$100.0

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

	I on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for th		nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	y and define areas	
Civics Cool #1:			2012-2013 WI	80% of our students taking Civics will be proficient on the		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			67%	67%		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Students require more preparation through classroom instruction	1.1 Provide training for6-8 Social Studiesteachers and Civics	Administration, District Curriculum Specialists	Lesson plans that include document based questions, Observations	,	

1	and assessment with respect to questions representing different levels of cognitive complexity and Civics standards and benchmarks. Students require more instruction with respect to document based questions and writing opportunities need to be included in social studies instructional lessons.	integrated teachers in document based questions instruction, common core state standards, Civics EOC item specifications, and Civics education standards. 1.2 Social Studies 6-8 teachers and Civics integrated teachers will incorporate DBQ activities, common core state standards, civics education standards and cognitive complexity in instruction & assessments.		
2	Since the 2012-2013 school year marks the start of the new Civics course requirement for the 6th grade student cohort and also being a field test year, Civics integrated teachers will need preparation in the new Civics standards, Civics course descriptions, and Civics EOC exam item specifications in preparation for the accountability year of 2013-2014 (30% of final grade) and 2014- 2015 (passing score required for middle grades promotion).	statewide assessment progress monitoring results in preparation for the accountability year of the Civics EOC	Administration, District Curriculum Specialists, Dept. Chairperson	Data Chat Agendas

 Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.

 Civics Goal #2:

 2012 Current Level of Performance:

 2013 Expected Level of Performance:

 N/A

 %

 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Students require more preparation through classroom instruction and assessment with respect to questions representing different levels of cognitive complexity and civics standards and benchmarks. Students	5	District Curriculum Specialists		Classroom walk- throughs

1	require more instruction with respect to document based questions and writing opportunities need to be included in social studies instructional lessons.	standards. 1.2 Social studies teachers and civics teachers will incorporate DBQ activities, common core standards, civics education standards and cognitive complexity in instruction and assessments.				
---	---	---	--	--	--	--

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
District 2012- 2013 Instructional Materials Adoption Training - Holt McDougal	All grades/Social Studies	District Personnel	District-wide	Summer 2012	District directed	Administration
Civics integrated (4 days of training)	7th - Civics teachers	District Personnel	District-wide	Preschool, District Inservice Training Day, 2 other days where substitutes will be hired	District directed	Administration
Civics on-line training provided by University of Central Florida	7th - Civics treachers	UCF/District Personnel	District-wide	District Directed	District Directed	Administration

Civics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	terial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Instructional Materials Adoption	Holt McDougal textbook adoption	District	\$19,143.48
			Subtotal: \$19,143.48
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Attendance Goal(s)

1. At	ttendance				
Atte	ndance Goal #1:			students with 10 or mo decrease by 2 percentag	
2012	2 Current Attendance Ra	ate:	2013 Expecte	ed Attendance Rate:	
93%				ndance rate will increase 94% students in attend	
	2 Current Number of Stu ences (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2013 Expecte Absences (10	d Number of Students or more)	with Excessive
490 :	students with 10 or more	absences	Reduce the nui unexcused abs	mber of students with 10 sences to 424) or more
	2 Current Number of Stu lies (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2013 Expecte Tardies (10 or	d Number of Students r more)	with Excessive
198 :	students had 10 or more	tardies		mber of students with 10 dies by 10 percentage p	
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too
1	No reward for coming to school.	 1.1 Continue "Perfect Attendance Award" for those with 0 absences each quarter in all grades. 1.2 Global Connect phone calls home on the day a student is absent to make parents aware. 	Administration, Attendance Secretary, Classroom Teachers, SBLT	Data gathered at the end of each quarter	Absentee data gathered at the end of each quarter from TERMS
	Lack of consequences for not coming to school on time or at all.	2.1 Send letter to students with five or		Data gathered at the end of each semester	Absentee and tardy data gathered at the end of each semester

2	2.4 Institute attendance criteria for participation in extra curricular activities.	
	2.5 Students with seven unexcused absences will receive a letter requiring a parent to provide a doctor's note for any future absences.	
	2.6 Have a "No Tardy Party" for those students with no unexcused tardies for the semester.	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Attendance Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developmer	ht		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Strategy			
Strategy Attendance Parties	Refreshments for attendance/reward parties	Student activities fees	\$1,750.00

Suspension Goal(s)

	d on the analysis of susp provement:	ension data, and referen	nce to	o "Guiding Que	stions", identify and defin	ne areas in need	
1. Su	Ispension		-	The second se			
Susp	ension Goal #1:			5 percentage p	In-School Suspensions v points.	will be reduced by	
2012	Total Number of In–Sc	chool Suspensions		2013 Expecte	d Number of In-School	Suspensions	
403				The number of reduced to 384	In-School Suspensions f I.	or the 2013 will be	
2012	2 Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended In-Sch		2013 Expecte School	d Number of Students	Suspended In-	
193 (19%)			The number of students suspended in school for 2013 will be reduced to 159.			
2012	Number of Out-of-Sch	ool Suspensions		2013 Expecte Suspensions	d Number of Out-of-Sc	hool	
274				The number of be reduced to	Out-of-School Suspensio 260.	ons for 2013 will	
2012 Scho		ents Suspended Out-of-		2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School			
137 (13%)			The number of students suspended out of school for 2013 will be reduced to 115.			
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to I r	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Inconsistent behavior expectations in the classroom	 1.1 Review of student code of conduct in all classes during the first week of school. 1.2 Class meetings at beginning of each quarter reviewing behavior expectations and concerns based on previous data. 	Edg Tea Adn	jecomb, PBS	Administrative walk throughs, number of students assigned to ISS and OSS, Administration will review discipline data at the end of each quarter. Feedback from teachers to the PBS committee.	Discipline data from terms reviewed each quarter. RtI B data Monthly RtI minutes	
1		 1.3 Panther Bucks for individual rewards given out by classroom teachers & school personnel. 1.4 Create a new PBS 	1				
		TTeam to establish schoolwide expectations.					
		1.5 Post reminders around campus specific to schoolwide expectations and					

		designated areas.			
2	Early identifcation of students with behavior concerns.	 2.1 Start MTSSS process immediately on those students who have a history of excessive disciplines. 2.2 Utilize social worker, school psychologist, and behavior specialist to identify student behavior problems and develop relevant interventions at Tier II. 2.3 Re-vamp ISS curriculum to include reflection of behavior, character education, and require students to complete FCAT related coursework instead of being able to do their classwork in ISS. 	Administration, ISS teacher, Social Worker, School Psychologist, Behavior Specialist	2.1 Discipline data collected at monthly MTSSS meetings2.3 Feedback from ISS teacher	MTSSS and discipline data reviewed each month. Behavioral concerns in respect to specific areas are addressed immediately.
3	ISS has not been viewed as a deterrent to students.	3.1 Enviromental changes to include physical barriers to reduce student socialization.	ISS Teacher, and Administration	3.1 Discipline data collected at monthly MTSSS meetings and Feedback from ISS teacher.	Discipline data from terms reviewed each quarter.

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Behavior Interventions	All	Rachael Smith & Allison Gibson	School-wide	Pre-school	presented at	Guidance Counselors, Administration

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)	/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Behavior Interventions	Pre-school in-service	District	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
 Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who 	Volunteer Hours at Powell Middle School will increase by 5 percentage points for the 2013 school year					
participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.						
2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:					
3082 Volunteer Hours for the 2011-2012 school year	3234 Volunteer Hours for the 2012-2013school year					

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Middle School Students tend to not want parents with them at the school setting making it difficult to provide meaningful volunteer opportunities in the classroom and apathy among parents to be involved.	Connect automated phone system and Edline Parent Portal advertise volunteer opportunities on	Principal, Volunteer Coordinator	 1.1 Monitor parent usage of Edline 1.2 Participation at volunteer banquet 	Report from the volunteer coordinator stating the amount of volunteer hours per quarter.
2	Students fail to communicate functions and activites at school	2.1 Utilize Edline and		Sign in sheets, participation in events, quantity of users on Edline	Report from the volunteer coordinator stating the amount of volunteer hours per quarter.

		studens during non school hours.			
3	Current economic conditions may prohibit parents from getting to the school to volunteer	that could be sent home and completed at	Principal, Volunteer Coordinator		Report from the volunteer coordinator stating the amount of volunteer hours per quarter.
	Parents do not have a localized site to access the schools volunteer needs.	 4.1 Add a volunteer calendar to edline so parents can log on and sign up for volunteer activities. 4.2 Teachers will give Mrs. Walker, Volunteer Coordinator, their volunteer needs through a form she created. 	Mangaged by Deana Walker (volunteer coordinator.	from parents looking to volunteer for an event	Monthly evaluation of the amount of volunteers needed vs. those who signed up.

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Subtotal: \$0.00

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Volunteer Banquet	Refreshments, decorations, gifts for volunteers at the end of the year	Operating Budget	\$500.00
			Subtotal: \$500.00

Grand Total: \$500.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. STEM

STEM Goal #1:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

STEM Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-		Subtotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
STEM Training	District Office	District Office	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:							
1. CTE CTE Goal #1:			Technical class	Powell Middle School will offer at least three (3) Career & Technical classes for students to choose from in their elective choice selections.			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Reduction of elective teachers	1.1 Create classes for students to get exposure to career & technical skills using general education teachers.	Guidance counselors, Administration	Number of students enrolled in Career & Technical classes	TERMS		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	No Data Submittee	d		

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.00
			End of CTE Goal

Additional Goal(s)

CPALMS Training Goal:

	d on the analysis of studeed of improvement for the		nd ı	reference to "G	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas
	ALMS Training Goal MS Training Goal #1:		Each department will be introduced to the CPLAMS website and available resources by content area.			
2012	Current level:			2013 Expected level:		
N/A				N/A		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to I	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy R				Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of knowledge as to the availability of resources that are available that provide a cross-walk of the NGSSS and CCSS.	Invite CPALMS representative to site to provide initial exposure training to all staff members by department.	Adı	ministration	Informal observations, staff feedback at data chat meetings	Evidence provided by teachers that shows incorporation of CPALMS resources.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	No Data Submitte	d		

Budget:

Evidence-based Progr	ram(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		·	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00
			End of CPALMS Training Goal(s,

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Progra		B		
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Science	Science 6-8 Instructional Materials Adoption	McGraw Hill	District	\$0.00
Civics	Instructional Materials Adoption	Holt McDougal textbook adoption	District	\$19,143.48
				Subtotal: \$19,143.48
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Science	2012-2013 Science 6-8 McGraw Hill Trainings	McGraw Hill	District	\$0.00
Suspension	Behavior Interventions	Pre-school in-service	District	\$0.00
STEM	STEM Training	District Office	District Office	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
CELLA	Training ESOL lead teacher and ESOL para on the utilization of Rosetta Stone	Rosetta Stone Program	Title III Expenditures	\$315.00
Writing	Training content area teachers on grading/incorporating writing into their curriculum.	Supplies for training	Operating Budget	\$100.00
Attendance	Attendance Parties	Refreshments for attendance/reward parties	Student activities fees	\$1,750.00
Parent Involvement	Volunteer Banquet	Refreshments, decorations, gifts for volunteers at the end of the year	Operating Budget	\$500.00
				Subtotal: \$2,665.00

and Total: \$21,808,48

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority

jn Focus jn Prevent

jn NA

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/15/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately

balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
Student Incentives	\$1,500.00
Reading Counts Liscense Renewal	\$1,200.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The School Advisory Council will participate in creating and reviewing the school improvement plan for 2012-2013. Additionally, they will review and vote on the tardy policy and the dress code policy. The October 17, 2012 meeting is slated to develop an action plan for utilization of SAC funds for the 2012-2013 school year. This will be facilitated by the SAC Chair focusing on parent input in regards to students needs and school improvement.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010 SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	73%	68%	74%	43%	258	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/o science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	65%	70%			135	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	63% (YES)	71% (YES)			134	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					527	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	68%	65%	83%	40%		Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/o science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	61%	69%			130	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	62% (YES)	68% (YES)			130	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					516	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					в	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested