SCHOOL NAME: McRae Elementary School

School Based Leadership Team

Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

Identify the school-based RtI Leadership Team.

• Indicate who is on the team and their position. Also explain why they have been included on the team.

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing RtI, conducts assessment of RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.

Select General Education Teachers (Primary and Intermediate including 6th grade): Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching.

Instructional Coach(es) Reading/Math/Science:

Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches.

Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

District Intervention Specialist: Facilitates and supports data collection activities; assists in data analysis; provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data-based instructional planning; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans. Assists grade levels in the development of Professional Learning Communities and/or Lesson Study.

School Psychologist: Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitates development of intervention plans; provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; provides professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation; facilitates data-based decision making activities.

Speech Language Pathologist: Educates the team in the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction, as a basis for appropriate program design; assists in the selection of screening measures; and helps identify systemic patterns of student need with respect to language skills

Student Services Personnel: Provides quality services and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students. In addition to providing interventions, school social workers continue to link child-serving and community agencies to the schools and families to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success.

• Describe how the school-based RtI Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate RtI efforts?

The Leadership Team will focus meeting around one question: How do we develop and maintain a problem-solving system to bring out the best in our schools, our teachers, and in our students? The team meets once weekly to engage in the following activities:

Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation.

• Describe the role of the school-based RtI Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI Leadership Team met with the School Advisory Council (SAC) and principal to help develop the SIP. The team provided data on: Tier 1, 2, and 3 targets; academic and social/emotional areas that needed to be addressed; helped set clear expectations for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, Relationship); facilitated the development of more rigorous instructional standards as outlined in our School Action Plan (Text-Based questioning, Increase in complex text, Balance of informational text and narrative text, Model in Mathematics, Active Thinking); and aligned processes and procedures. Additionally, the Team assisted the school's in-service coordinator in the writing of the professional development priorities to ensure that RtI training is provided to all instructional and support staff members throughout the year. Team members will assist the School Advisory Committee in the monitoring of the SIP and provide updates to the SAC on the implementation of RtI goals.

RtI Implementation

• Describe the data source(s) and the data management systems(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science writing, and behavior.

Baseline data, Benchmark, and End of Year Data: FAIR via Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Assessment and Information Management System (DataStar), Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Math Benchmark Tests (K-2), Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Running Records, SuccessMaker, Early Reading Diagnostic Assessment (ERDA), Diagnostic Reading Assessment, (DAR), Compass Learning, FASTT Math

Describe the plan to train staff on RtI.

Professional Development will be provided during teachers' common planning time and small sessions through professional learning communities which will occur throughout the year. A District Intervention Specialist will be assigned to cluster sites to provide training and support. Bi-monthly Curriculum Council Meetings will be conducted for school administrators where training will focus on topics such as: Data-based Decision-making, and Supporting and Evaluating Interventions. The School-based RtI Leadership Team will provide training throughout the year as determined by data collection and implementation concerns. The District Intervention Specialist attends trainings once per month on procedural updates and relays this information back to related personnel at the school level.

Literacy Leadership

• Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is the K-12 Reading Plan, ensures assessments are conducted as required, ensures implementation of reading intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support effective reading implementation, and communicates with parents regarding reading plans and activities.

Select General Education Teachers (Primary and Intermediate including 6th grade): Provides information about core and supplemental reading instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers instruction/intervention, and collaborates with other staff to implement K-12 Reading Plan.

Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities such as co-teaching, PLC's and Lesson Study.

Instructional Coach(es) Reading: Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; coordinates the administration of the FAIR, assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

District Intervention Coach: Provides guidance on K-12 reading plan; facilitates and supports data collection activities; assists in data analysis; provides professional

development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data-based instructional planning; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans,

• Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The team meets once a month to engage in the following activities:

The team will collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills as related to our School Action Plan and share ideas for incorporation of Common Core State Standards across Content Areas. The team will also facilitate professional development in the form of Professional Learning Communities and Lesson Study.

• What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The team will work together to ensure implementation of CCSS, Text-Based Questioning, Increased text complexity, Modeling in Mathematics, Active Thinking, and Incorporating Common Core Literacy Standards into Content Area teaching.

Elementary Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

At McRae Elementary School, all incoming Kindergarten students are assessed prior to or upon entering Kindergarten in order to ascertain individual and group needs and to assist in the development of robust instructional/intervention programs. All students are assessed within the areas of Basic Skills/School Readiness, Oral Language/Syntax, Print/Letter Knowledge, and Phonological Awareness/Processing. The Florida Kindergarten Reading Screener (FLKRS) will be given within the first 30 days of school. ECHOS will serve as a screener for social/emotional development and overall school readiness. The Florida Assessment in Reading (FAIR) will be administered one-on-one to all Kindergarten students to determine their initial success probability in reading.

Screening data will be collected and aggregated prior to September 25th, 2011. Data will be used to plan daily academic and social/emotional instruction for all students and for groups of students or individual students who may need intervention beyond core instruction. Core Kindergarten academic and behavioral instruction will include daily explicit instructions, modeling, guided practice and independent practice of all academic and/or social emotional skills identified by screening data. Social skills instruction will occur daily and will be reinforced throughout the day through the use of a common language, re-teaching, and positive reinforcement of pro-social behavior. Kindergarten teachers also uses the BRT regularly to assist in reinforcing appropriate social skills.

FAIR will be re-administered mid-year and at the end of the year in order to determine student learning gains in order to determine the need for changes to the instructional/intervention programs.

Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

• For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

McRae currently has two 6th grade teachers who are participating in NG-CARPD training to incorporate reading strategies into their content area instruction (Science & Social Studies). They will complete this endorsement training in Spring 2013.

• The entire 6th grade team will participate in a year-long DBQ (Document-Based Questioning) Project that uses historical text for students to study closely and incorporate into all content areas. This will occur in week-long projects once per quarter.

High Schools Only Note: Required for High School – Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S., Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

• How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Additional information: Dropout Prevention

• Provide a plan to address the 15 Strategies below to support the improvement of the dropout rate at your school. These strategies, although appearing to be independent, frequently overlap and are synergistic. They can be implemented as stand-alone programs (i.e. mentoring or family involvement projects) or integrated into other components of your SIP (please reference the goal and page number on the form below where in the SIP the strategy is utilized, if planning to integrate within your plan) When schools develop an improvement plan that encompasses most or all of these strategies, positive outcomes will result.

4 Elements/15 Strategies:

The Basic Core Strategies

- Mentoring/Tutoring
- Service Learning
- Alternative Schooling
- After School Opportunities

Early Interventions

- Early Childhood Education
- Family Engagement
- Early Literacy Development

Making the Most of Instruction

- Professional Development
- Active Learning
- Educational Technology
- Individualized Instruction

Making the Most of the Wider Community

- Systemic Renewal
- School-Community Collaboration
- Career and Technical Education

Safe Schools

Postsecondary Transition Note: Required for High School – Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.
 Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

School District of Clay County

Smart Goals			
Smart = Specific Measurable			
Attainable Realistic Timely			
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school-specific goal,			
Goal 1: By 2013, K-2 students will increase the percentage of students meeting the JRF end-of-year recommended Reading goals by 10 percent. Students in grades 3-12 will decrease the number of non-proficient readers on FCAT 2.0 by 10 percent at each grade level and subgroup currently not meeting proficiency targets. Grades 3-12 will also increase the percentage of students scoring at or above proficient on FCAT 2.0, equal to or beyond the performance levels of the highest-performing districts.			
Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures			

I. Strategy 1: Implement the research-based strategy of planning and designing engaging, challenging, and relevant lessons to achieve student mastery based on state-adopted standards appropriate to the level of rigor using increased text-complexity and text-dependent questions					
*Progress measures are for the purpose of reaching your 3-5 year school improvement goals AND AMO's.	Progress Measure August 2012	Progress Measure August 2013	Progress Measure August 2014	Progress Measure August 2015	Progress Measure August 2016
II.Adult Implementation Indicator (s): "CAUSE DATA" 100% of teachers will plans and design engaging, challenging, and relevant lessons to achieve student mastery based on state-adopted standards appropriate to the level of rigor using increased text-complexity and text-dependent questions	PK: 0% K: 20% 1st: 20% 2nd: 20% 3rd: 30% 4th: 50% 5th: 60% 6th: 20%	PK: 25% K: 40% 1st: 40% 2nd: 40% 3rd: 47.5% 4th: 62.5% 5th: 70% 6th: 40%	PK: 50% K: 60% 1st: 60% 2nd: 60% 3rd: 65% 4th: 75% 5th: 80% 6th: 60%	PK: 75% K: 80% 1st: 80% 2nd: 80% 3rd: 82.5% 4th: 87.5% 5th: 90% 6th: 80%	PK: 100% K: 100% 1st: 100% 2nd: 100% 3rd: 100% 4th: 100% 5th: 100% 6th: 100%
	FCAT/EOC August 2012	FCAT/EOC August 2013	FCAT/EOC August 2014	FCAT/EOC August 2015	FCAT/EOC August 2016
III. STUDENT PERFORMANCE INDICATOR(S): "EFFECT DATA" Students will consistently increase their FCAT Reading scores until we reduce the % of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016. % of non-proficient students in red	3rd 63% (37%) 4th 60% (40) 5th 58% (42%) 6th 64% (36%)	3rd 67.625% 4th 65% 5th 63.25% 6th 68.5%	3rd 72.25% 4th 70% 5th 68.5% 6th 73%	3rd 76.875% 4th 75% 5th 73.75% 6th 77.5%	3rd 81.5% (18.5%) 4th 80% (20%) 5th 79% (21%) 6th 82% (18%)

IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

Action Steps Evidence/Sources	Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementatio n Timeline	Resources Needed: Material/ Technology/ Trainer	Related PD	Funding/ Funding Source
-------------------------------	---------------------------------	-----------------------------	---	------------	----------------------------

1.1			2012 2012	-	DDOD :	T: 1 1 0 1:
Teacher asks explicit questions that require students to infer	Observation of teacher questions, Posted questions	Grade level/ Dept. chair, principal,	2012-2013 school year	Example question stems, DBQ Project Manuals/Title 1 Lead	DBQ Project planning, On-site PD at monthly	Title 1 funding
Task 1: Donna Whyte trainings at school Task 2: Book study talks at monthly faculty meetings on Donna Whyte books, <i>You Can't Teach a Class</i>	Meeting sign-in sheets Meeting agendas	additional appropriate faculty members Title 1 Personnel	8/13/12 and 10/ 18/12	Teacher, Curriculum Coach, County Curriculum Specialist, Donna Whyte books, Donna Whyte instructional software	faculty meeting delivered by Title 1 Staff	
You Can't Manage and The More Ways You Teach, the More Students You Reach	Wiceting agendas					
Task 3: Teachers will use PLC time to plan 1 lesson per week utilizing skills learned with Donna Whyte books.						
Task 4 : Thomas Gerds training 5 th & 6 th grade teachers on DBQ project implementation	Lesson plans					
			9/27-9/28/12			

Students are asked to explain and defend their inferences with evidence from the text Task 1: Thomas Gerds training 5th & 6th grade teachers on DBQ project implementation	Observation of students finding evidence in the text, student work samples	Grade level/ Dept. chair, principal, additional appropriate faculty members	2012-2013 school year	Example question stems, DBQ Project Manuals/Title 1 Lead Teacher, Curriculum Coach, County Curriculum Specialist	DBQ Project planning, On-site PD at monthly faculty meeting delivered by Title 1 Staff	Title 1 funding
Task 2: On-site PD at faculty meetings Task 3: Lesson Studies with Grade Level Teams & Reading Coach to model lessons	Meeting agendas	Title 1 Staff, Assistant Principal Teachers Reading Coach		Assistant Principal summer planning Subs	Lesson Study debriefs with Reading Coach	2012-2013 SIP Funds 0100 Professional Development Funds
1.3						

Smart Goals					
Smart = Specific Measurable					
Attainable Realistic Timely					
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school-specific goal, Goal 2: By 2013, 70% of students (with an emphasis on LQ) will achieve proficiency (FCAT Level 3 or above) in Math and 75% of 4th and 5th					
grade students will make learning gains compared to the previous year FCAT data.					
Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures					
I. Strategy 2: Implement the research-based strategy of designing and modifying instruction to deepen students' understanding of content area and advance student learning while addressing preconceptions or misconceptions by modeling in mathematics					
*Progress measures are for the purpose of reaching your 3-5 year school improvement goals AND AMO's.	Progress Measure August 2012	Progress Measure August 2013	Progress Measure August 2014	Progress Measure August 2015	Progress Measure August 2016

II. Adult Implementation Indicator (s): "CAUSE DATA" 100% of teachers will design and modify instruction to deepen students' understanding of content area and advance student learning while addressing preconceptions or misconceptions by modeling in mathematics.	PK: 50% K: 50% 1st: 50% 2nd: 40% 3rd: 30% 4th: 20% 5th: 50% 6th: 20%	PK: 62.5% K: 62.5% 1st: 62.5% 2nd: 55% 3rd: 47.5% 4th: 40% 5th: 62.5% 6th: 40%	PK: 75% K: 75% 1st: 75% 2nd: 70% 3rd: 65% 4th: 60% 5th: 75% 6th: 60%	PK: 87.5% K: 87.5% 1st: 87.5% 2nd: 85% 3rd: 82.5% 4th: 80% 5th: 87.5% 6th: 80%	PK: 100% K: 100% 1st: 100% 2nd: 100% 3rd: 100% 4th: 100% 5th: 100% 6th: 100%
	FCAT/EOC August 2012	FCAT/EOC August 2013	FCAT/EOC August 2014	FCAT/EOC August 2015	FCAT/EOC August 2016
III. STUDENT PERFORMANCE INDICATOR(S): "EFFECT DATA" Students will consistently increase their FCAT Math scores until we reduce the % of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016. % of non-proficient students in red	3rd 66% (34%) 4th 68% (32%) 5th 48% (52%) 6th 57% (43%)	3rd 70.25%% 4th 72% 5th 54.5% 6th 62.375%%	3 rd 74.5% 4 th 76% 5 th 61% 6 th 67.75%	3 rd 78.75% 4 th 80% 5 th 67.5% 6 th 73.125%	3 rd 83% (17%) 4 th 84% (16%) 5 th 74% (26%) 6 th 78.5% (21.5%)

School District of Clay County

Implementation Details

Teacher instruct using abstract models to represent mathematical concepts Task 1: On-site PD based on District Math Coach meetings Task 2: Continued use of instruction abstract wind abstract with a concept s Observation of teachers modeling bept. chair, principal, additional appropriate faculty members Math Learning (examples at Monthly Faculty Meetings), Math Learning Wheels Differentiated instruction tools Task 1: On-site PD based on District Math Coach meetings Task 2: Continued use of instruction and other transport of teachers modeling expectations and during "Snapshot" observations additional appropriate faculty members Meeting Agendas Math Coach Math Coach Subs for Lesson Studies/ Meetings District Subs for Lesson Studies/ Meetings District Subs for Lesson Studies/ Meetings O100 Professiona
instructional strategies and learning games from Math Learning Wheels workshop Task 3: Math Lesson Study – 3rd – 5 th Grades with District Curriculum Specialist Lisa Goodwin Johnson

Teacher & students use manipulatives Task 1: On-site PD based on District Math Coach meetings	Observation of teachers & students using manipulatives during lesson & practice time. Meeting Agendas	Grade level/ Dept. chair, principal, additional appropriate faculty members Math Coach	2012-2013 School Year	Math manipulative kits, Math Learning Wheels differentiated instruction tools	Math Learning Wheels Differentiated Instruction PD (Julie Teague) Common Core Training at Monthly Faculty Meetings Math Coach trainings	Title 1
2.3						

School District of Clay County

Smart Goals					
Smart = Specific Measurable					
Attainable Realistic Timely					
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school-specific goal, Goal 3: By 2013, McRae Elementary will increase the number of students by at least 5% over the previous year in grade 4 who demonstrate					
overall proficiency by scoring a 4.0 or above on Writing FCAT					
Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures					
I. Strategy 3: Implement the research-based strategy of relating and integrating the subject matter with other disciplines during instruction by writing across content areas.					
*Progress measures are for the purpose of reaching your 3-5 year school improvement goals AND AMO's.	Progress Measure August 2012	Progress Measure August 2013	Progress Measure August 2014	Progress Measure August 2015	Progress Measure August 2016
II. Adult Implementation Indicator (s): "CAUSE DATA" 100% of teachers will implement the research- based strategy of relating and integrating the subject matter with other disciplines during instruction by writing across content areas.	PK: 0% K: 20% 1st: 20% 2nd: 20% 3rd: 30% 4th: 60% 5th: 60% 6th: 30%	PK: 25% K: 40% 1st: 40% 2nd: 40% 3rd: 47.5% 4th: 70% 5th: 70% 6th: 47.5%	PK: 50% K: 60% 1st: 60% 2nd: 60% 3rd: 65% 4th: 80% 5th: 80% 6th: 65%	PK: 75% K: 80% 1st: 80% 2nd: 80% 3rd: 82.5% 4th: 90% 5th: 90% 6th: 82.5%	PK: 100% K: 100% 1st: 100% 2nd: 100% 3rd: 100% 4th: 100% 5th: 100% 6th: 100%

	FCAT/EOC	FCAT/EOC	FCAT/EOC	FCAT/EOC	FCAT/EOC
	August	August	August	August	August
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
III. STUDENT PERFORMANCE INDICATOR(S): "EFFECT DATA" Students will consistently increase their FCAT Writing scores until we reduce the % of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016. % of non-proficient students in red	4 th 32% (68%)	4th 40.5%	4 th 49%	4 th 57.5%	4 th 66% (34%)

Implementation Details

Action Steps Dat	vidence/ ata Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementatio n Timeline	Resources Needed: Material / Technology / Trainer	Related PD	Funding/ Funding Source
------------------	--	-----------------------------	---	------------	----------------------------

Integrates writing across all content areas Task 1: 4th Grade Teachers attend Just Write! Workshop with Kathryn Robinson Task 2: DBQ Project planning with Thomas Gerds (5th & 6th) Task 3: Teaching Argument Writing, Grades 6- 12: Supporting Claims with Relevant Evidence and Clear Reasoning by George Hillocks book for 6th Grade Writing time Task 4: On-site PD based on information/ ideas shared at Monthly Reading Coach Meetings	Observation of teachers modeling strategies during walk-through observations Student Work Samples Meeting signin sheets Lesson Plans	Grade level/ Dept. chair, principal, additional appropriate faculty members Reading Coach	2012-2013 school year	Knowledge of cross-curricular content Student notebooks/paper DBQ project materials Passwords Science Vocabulary Books – Grades 4-6	6 Traits of Writing Kathryn Robinson Just Write! Training	District Title 1 Department 0100 Professional Development Funds Title 1 Funding
Task 5: Selected K-3 teachers will attend Title 1 "6 Traits Writing Training" and redeliver throughout their grade-level teams Task 6: 5 th & 6 th Grade math teachers will attend Step Up to Writing: Math workshop provided by FDLRS Task 7: 4th grade team will utilize "Forte Writers" to increase keyboarding and writing proficiency	Meeting agendas Sig-in Sheets	5th & 6th Grade math teachers 4th Grade Team		Teaching Argument Writing book Subs 'Forte Writers''	Step Up to Writing: Math Work shop provided by FDLRS	Development Funds Title 1 5100.0510 funds

3.2						
Students make connections between interests and class content Task 1: 4th Grade Teachers attend Just Write! Workshop with Kathryn Robinson	Observation, progress checks through monthly grade	Principal, Teachers Title 1 Staff	2012-2013 school year	Lisa Goodwin Kathryn Robinson	6 Traits of Writing	District Title 1 Department
Task 2: DBQ Project planning with Thomas Gerds (5 th & 6 th) Task 3: Teaching Argument Writing, Grades 6-12: Supporting Claims with Relevant Evidence and Clear Reasoning by George Hillocks book for 6 th Grade Writing time Task 4: On-site PD based on information/	Student Work Samples Meeting agendas Meeting signin sheets	District Curriculum Specialist		Student notebooks/ paper DBQ Project Materials Title 1 Curriculum Staff	Kathryn Robinson Just Write! Training	0100 Professional Development Funds
ideas shared at Monthly Reading Coach Meetings Task 5: Teachers will use instructional strategies learned from Title 1 Common Core Workshops during summer & pre-planning				Teaching Argument Writing book Kagan materials		
3.3						

School District of Clay County

Smart Goals					
Smart = Specific Measurable					
Attainable Realistic Timely					
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school-specific goal, Goal 4: By 2012, students' academic performance in Science will improve by 15% in 5th grade					
over last years' results as measured by the FCAT Science					
Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures					
I. Strategy 4: Implement the research-based strategy of incorporating reading foundational skills into science instruction					
*Progress measures are for the purpose of reaching your 3-5 year school improvement goals AND AMO's.	Progress Measure August 2012	Progress Measure August 2013	Progress Measure August 2014	Progress Measure August 2015	Progress Measure August 2016
II. Adult Implementation Indicator (s): "CAUSE DATA" 100% of teachers will implement the research-based strategy of incorporating reading foundational skills into science instruction	PK: 60% K: 60% 1st: 60% 2nd: 50% 3rd: 30% 4th: 20% 5th: 50% 6th: 30%	PK: 70% K: 70% 1st: 70% 2nd: 62.5% 3rd: 47.5% 4th: 40% 5th: 62.5% 6th: 47.5%	PK: 80% K: 80% 1st: 80% 2nd: 75% 3rd: 65% 4th: 60% 5th: 75% 6th: 65%	PK: 90% K: 90% 1st: 90% 2nd: 87.5% 3rd: 82.5% 4th: 80% 5th: 87.5% 6th: 82.5%	PK: 100% K: 100% 1st: 100% 2nd: 100% 3rd: 100% 4th: 100% 5th: 100% 6th: 100%

	FCAT/EOC	FCAT/EOC	FCAT/EOC	FCAT/EOC	FCAT/EOC
	August	August	August	August	August
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
III. STUDENT PERFORMANCE INDICATOR(S): "EFFECT DATA" Students will consistently increase FCAT Science scores until we reduce the % of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016. % of non-proficient students in red	5th 51% (49%)	4 th 57.125%	4 th 63.25%	4 th 69.375%	4 th 75.5% (24.5%)

Implementation Details

Action Steps	Evidence/Data Sources	Person(s) Responsible / Group(s)	Implementatio n Timeline	Resources Needed: Material / Technology / Trainer	Related PD	Funding/ Funding Source
4.1						
Teachers ask students to explain their thinking to determine misconceptions Task 1: Students in grades 3-10 will utilize Science Notebooks to organize materials and develop a resource for inquiry projects	Observation, progress checks through monthly grade level minutes	Grade level/ Dept. chair, principal, additional appropriate faculty	2012-2013 School Year	Notebooks Dr. Larry Chew	NG-CAR- PD training Science Inquiry Training	District PD Funds District PD Funds
Task 2: 5 th Grade science teacher will attend FDLRS Science Inquiry Training and will redeliver to other faculty	Student work samples Meeting agenda	members				
	Meeting sign-in sheets					

Teacher utilizes Performance Matters data reports to record and monitor student progress Task 1: Monthly review at Grade-Level Data Meetings	Performance Matters reports Grade Level Meeting minutes	Grade level/ Dept. chair, principal, additional appropriate faculty members	2012-2013 School Year	Computers, Online Access to Performan ce Matters Data	Performan ce Matters Training through PD360	
Students will show evidence of active engagement during instruction Task 1: Explanation of S.L.A.N.T. evidence during grade level/faculty meetings Task 2: PD involving active lessons	Observation of students demonstrating S.L.A.N.T. during "snapshot" visits and formal observations Active learning discussions	Grade level/ Dept. chair, principal, additional appropriate faculty members	2012-2013 School Year	Kagan training materials obtained from June 2012 Title I Common Core workshop	Title 1 Common Core Workshop	District Title 1 funds

Smart Goals			

Smart = Specific Measurable Attainable Realistic Timely					
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school- specific goal,					
Goal 5: By 2013, Parental Involvement will improve by 10% over the previous year as measured by attendance at after-school and community events					
Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures					
I. Strategy 5: Implement the research-based strategy of fostering two-way home/school communication with all stakeholders to support student learning					
*Progress measures are for the purpose of reaching your 3-5 year school improvement goals and AMO's.	Progress Measure August 2012	Progress Measure August 2013	Progress Measure August 2014	Progress Measure August 2015	Progress Measure August 2016
. Adult Implementation Indicator (s): "CAUSE DATA"					
100 % of teachers will implement the research-based strategy of fostering two-way home/school communication with all stakeholders to support student learning	45%	58.75%	72.5%	86.25%	100%
Task 1: Title 1 School-Parent Compact Task 2: Tuesday Folders Task 3: Classroom Newsletters Task 4: Principal Newsletter Task 5: Parent-Teacher Conferences					

	Family Attendance Data	Family Attendance Data	Family Attendance Data	Family Attendance Data	Family Attendance Data
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
III. Student Performance Indicator (s): "EFFECT DATA" Faculty will consistently work to increase parental involvement until reaching at least 50% improvement in attendance at all events, which will also positively impact academic achievement in all tested grades and content area by 2016.	50%	56.25%	62.5%	68.75%	75%

Implementation Details

Action Steps	Evidence/ Data Sources	Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementatio n Timeline	Resources Needed: Material / Technology / Trainer	Related PD	Funding/ Funding Source
Teachers volunteer resources and guidance as needed Task 1: Grade Levels will divide up responsibilities for events to attract parents from various grade levels to attend the events. Door prizes will be awarded through a drawing for those families in attendance	Meeting Agenda and Minutes Attendance at Events	Principal, Teachers	2012-2013 School Year	Door Prizes Learning Wheels Games Julie Teague	Learning Wheels Worksho p	Title 1 Funds

5.2	Utilization of Title 1 School/Parent Compact	Title 1 School/ Parent Compact Follow- up Meeting Minutes	Teachers, Title 1 Coordinator	2012-2013 School Year	Title I School/ Parent Compact	
5.3						

Smart Goals			
Smart = Specific Measurable			
Attainable Realistic Timely			
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading			
Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math			
Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing			
Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science			
Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex.			
School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school-			
specific goal,			

Goal 6: By 2013, the School District of Clay County will improve School Climate by decreasing class II and class III discipline occurrences by 30% and improve the overall climate around the building by implementing the Go M.A.D. (Make A Difference) Bully Prevention Program.					
Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures					
I. Strategy 6: Implement the research-based program M.A.D. campus-wide to ensure consistent expectations are met by every student					
*Progress measures are for the purpose of reaching your 3-5 year school improvement goals AND AMO's.	Progress Measure August 2012	Progress Measure August 2013	Progress Measure August 2014	Progress Measure August 2015	Progress Measure August 2016
. Adult Implementation Indicator (s): "CAUSE DATA"	75%	81.25%	87.5%	93.75%	100%
	Discipline Data class II and class III discipline occurrences	Discipline Data class II and class III discipline occurrences 2012-2013	Discipline Data class II and class III discipline occurrences	Discipline Data class II and class III discipline occurrences	Discipline Data class II and class III discipline occurrences
III. Student Performance Indicator (s):	*% of occurrences				
"EFFECT DATA"	50% of total discipline occurrences (24% class III) 76% class II)	25% of total discipline occurrences	12.5% of total discipline occurrences	6.25% of total discipline occurrences	0% of total discipline occurrences will be class II or class III

Implementation Details

Action Steps	Evidence/ Data Sources	Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementatio n Timeline	Resources Needed: Material / Technology / Trainer	Related PD	Funding/ Funding Source
6.1 School-wide distribution of Go M.A.D. shirts to new students & faculty (returning students & faculty received these last year)	Distribution of shirts	Classroom teachers (count) Title 1 Lead Teacher	2012-2013 School Year	Go M.A.D. shirts	N/A	Box Tops for Education funds T-Shirt business sponsors
1 day per week (Wednesday) designated as "Go M.A.D." Day. Students & Faculty will wear Go M.A.D. shirts and reiterate Bully Prevention steps with students. Classroom teachers will record percentage of students wearing Go M.A.D. shirts. Each quarter, the class with the highest percentage of students wearing Go M.A.D. shirts on Wednesdays will be rewarded with a pizza party.	Students wearing shirts Lesson Plans	Classroom teachers Title 1 Lead Teacher Title 1 Curriculum Coach	2012-2013 School Year	Pizza	N/A	Box Tops for Education funds T-Shirt business sponsors
6.3						

Internal Checklist – Training Provided by School

P.D.Activity	Details	Υ	N	Comments
IF IT IS A:				
School-wide Training	Professional Development Details		Х	
	Goal the Activity is Supporting			
	Action Step #			
	Name of Activity			
	 Dates of Activity 			
	 Name of Consultant or Facilitator (if applicable) 			
	 Consultant Services Agreement (if applicable) 			
	Materials			
	Budget Items Required			
	Action Step #			
	Name of Activity			
	Funding Source			
	Cost of Consultant			
	Cost of Materials			
	Cost of Substitutes (if applicable)			
Learning Community	Professional Development Details		X	
	Goal the Activity is Supporting			
(collaborative teams that gathers	Action Step #			
research and studies new	Name of Activity			
programs or topics and shares	 Dates of Activity 			
their findings-must use Learning	Title of Book or Focus			
Community form)				
	Budget Items Required			
	Action Step #			
	Cost of Book/Teacher Materials			
Lesson Study/Action	Professional Development Details	X		
Research	Goal the Activity is Supporting Goal 2 Math			
(a teacher-driven and student-	Action Step #2.1	X		
focused team who does research	• Name of Activity: Math Lesson Study – 3rd – 5 th Grades			
and development by carefully	with District Curriculum Specialist			
studying what actually goes on in				
the classroommust use Lesson	 Dates of Activity: October 3, 2012 			
Study form)	Teaching strategy or method to be researched:			
	Modeling Effective Math Strategies			

	Budget Items Required	X	
	 Action Step # 2.1 Cost of Teacher Materials (If applicable): None Cost of Substitutes: \$364 Funding Source: 0100.6400.0140.0511.0000 	X	
Timelines		X	
Start Date: October 3, 2012			
End date: October 3, 2012			
Budget			
Local FTE (function 6400-no project)	\$364	х	0100.6400.0140.0511.0000
Project - Project - Project -			
Total Internal PD Budget (no project & project funds)	\$364	X	
Approvals: (Signature's require Principal: SAC Chair: Hilda Manning: Shannah Kosek:	d)		

Internal Checklist – Training Provided by School

P.D.Activity	Details	Υ	N	Comments
IF IT IS A:				
School-wide Training	Professional Development Details		х	
_	Goal the Activity is Supporting			
	Action Step #			
	Name of Activity			
	Dates of Activity			
	 Name of Consultant or Facilitator (if applicable) 			
	 Consultant Services Agreement (if applicable) 			
	Materials			
	Budget Items Required			
	Action Step #			
	Name of Activity			
	Funding Source			
	Cost of Consultant			
	Cost of Materials			
	Cost of Substitutes (if applicable)			
Learning Community	Professional Development Details		x	
	Goal the Activity is Supporting			
(collaborative teams that gathers	Action Step #			
research and studies new	Name of Activity			
programs or topics and shares	Dates of Activity			
their findings-must use Learning	Title of Book or Focus			
Community form)				
	Budget Items Required			
	Action Step #			
	Cost of Book/Teacher Materials			
Lesson Study/Action	Professional Development Details	х		
Research	Goal the Activity is Supporting Goal 1 Reading			

(a teacher-driven and student- focused team who does research and development by carefully studying what actually goes on in the classroommust use Lesson Study form)	 Action Step # 1.2 Name of Activity: Lesson Studies with Grade Level Teams & Reading Coach to model lessons Dates of Activity: November 7, 2012 Teaching strategy or method to be researched: Students being asked to explain and defend their inferences with evidence from the text 	x	
	Budget Items Required	X	
	 Action Step # 1.2 Cost of Teacher Materials (If applicable): None Cost of Substitutes: \$178.93 Funding Source: 0100.6400.0140.0511.0000 	x	
Timelines		X	
Start Date:			
November 7, 2012			
End date:			
November 7, 2012			
Budget		X	
Local FTE (function 6400-no		Х	0100.6400.0140.0511.0000
project)	\$178.93		
Project -			
Project -			
Project -			
Total Internal PD Budget (no project & project funds)	\$178.93	x	

Approvals: (Signature's required)

Principal:	Date://
SAC Chair:	Date://
Hilda Manning:	Date:/_/
Shannah Kosek:	Date://

Internal Checklist – Training Provided by School

P.D.Activity	Details	Υ	N	Comments
IF IT IS A:				
School-wide Training	Professional Development Details		х	
	Goal the Activity is Supporting			
	Action Step #			
	Name of Activity			
	Dates of Activity			
	 Name of Consultant or Facilitator (if applicable) 			
	 Consultant Services Agreement (if applicable) 			
	 Materials 			
	Budget Items Required			
	Action Step #			
	Name of Activity			
	 Funding Source 			
	 Cost of Consultant 			
	Cost of Materials			
	 Cost of Substitutes (if applicable) 			
Learning Community	Professional Development Details		x	
	Goal the Activity is Supporting			
(collaborative teams that gathers	Action Step #			
research and studies new	Name of Activity			
programs or topics and shares	 Dates of Activity 			
their findings-must use Learning	Title of Book or Focus			
Community form)				
	Budget Items Required			
	Action Step #			
	 Cost of Book/Teacher Materials 			
Lesson Study/Action	Professional Development Details	х		
Research	Goal the Activity is Supporting Goal 3 Writing			

(a teacher-driven and student- focused team who does research and development by carefully studying what actually goes on in the classroommust use Lesson Study form)	 Action Step # 1.2, 3.1 & 3.2 Name of Activity: DBQ Action Research Project Dates of Activity: Quarterly throughout 2012-2013 School Year Teaching strategy or method to be researched: Explaining & defending inferences with evidence from the text; Integrating writing across content areas 	X	
	Budget Items Required	X	
	 Action Step # 3.1 & 3.2 Cost of Teacher Materials (If applicable): \$199.96 Funding Source: 0100.6400.0510.0511.0000 	X	
Timelines			
Start Date: September 2012		х	
End date: March 2013		X	
Budget		X	
Local FTE (function 6400-no project)	\$199.96	X	0100.6400.0510.0511.0000
Project - Project - Project -			
Total Internal PD Budget (no project & project funds)	\$199.96	x	
Approvals: (Signature's require Principal: SAC Chair: Hilda Manning: Shannah Kosek:	d) Date:// Date:// Date:// Date://		

External ChecklistTraining Not Provided by School/District

School Improvement Plan Supervisor:	Shannah Kosek				
Professional Development Assistant:	Hilda Manning				
Approval: Yes No (For	office use only)				
Background					

	_
Background:	Pro
	fes
	sio
	nal
	De
	vel
	ор
	me
	nt
	is
	an
	inte
	gra
	par
	t
	of
	the
	Sc
	ho
	ol
	Im
	pro
	ve
	me
	nt
	Pla
	n.
	Te
	ach
	ers
	ne
	ed
	pro
	ven
	,

stu de nts ass ign ed to	
per for ma nce of the	
ren t inst ruc tion al str ate gie s to imp rov e the	

How is the training aligned to a research-based strategy for the Goal? In the comments section, please provide Goal and source of research-based strategy.			Goal 2 Math – Differentiating Math Instruction for all learners
Training Details - Consultants			
Please use the comments section to provide the information requested.	Ye s	No	Comments
Consultant Name and Organization – Please provide the trainers name and the organization with which they are affiliated.			Julie Teague "Learning Wheels"
Who will be trained?			All faculty
Date(s), Time(s), Location			September 19, 2012 – 12:30pm-3:30pm McRae Elementary School
Total Cost			\$4,624.07
Needs School Board approval			Already received approval (July 19, 2012)
Complete budget line for expenses			0420.6400.0310.0511.4013 0100.6400.0510.0511.0000
Name of facilitator/person responsible			Stephen C. Simmons, Title 1 Lead Teacher
Training Details – Conferences, Workshops, Seminars, Institutes, Online PD			
Please use the comments section to provide the information requested.	Ye s	No	Comments
Name of educational organization providing the training.			
Who will be trained?			

	1		
Date(s), Location			
Total Cost			
Complete budget line for expenses			
Name of facilitator/person responsible			
Timelines			
	Ye s	No	Comments
Start Date September 19, 2012			
End Date September 19, 2012			
Budget			
Local FTE (function 6400-no project) 0100.6400.0510.0511.0000			\$24.07
Project - 0420.6400.0310.0511.4013			\$4,600
Project -			
Project -			
Total External PD Budget (no project & project funds)			\$4,624.07

Approvais: (Signature's required)	
Principal:	Date://
SAC Chair:	Date://

Hilda Manning:	Date://
Shannah Kosek:	Date://
	External Checklist
Train	ing Not Provided by School/Distric
School Improvement Plan Supervisor:	Shannah Kosek
•	
Professional Development Assistant:	•
Approval: Yes No (For	office use only)

Background

Background:	Pro
Ĭ	fes
	sio
	nal
	Da
	De
	vel
	op
	me
	nt
	is
	an
	inte
	inte
	gra
	par t
	t
	of
	the
	Sc
	ho
	ol land
	Im
	pro
	ve
	me
	nt
	Pla
	n.
	Te
	ach
	ers
	ne
	ed
	pro
	ven
	,

	cur ren t		
	inst ruc tion al		
	str ate gie		
	to imp rov e the		
	per for ma nce of		
	the stu de nts		
	ass ign ed to the		
Ohioativas	m.		
Objectives	Ye	No	Comments
	S		

How is the training aligned to a research-based strategy for the Goal? In the comments section, please provide Goal and source of research-based strategy.			Goal 1 Reading - Differentiating Instruction for all learners and Teacher Modeling of Expectations
Training Details - Consultants			
Please use the comments section to provide the information requested.	Ye s	No	Comments
Consultant Name and Organization – Please provide the trainers name and the organization with which they are affiliated.			Donna Whyte "SDE – Staff Development for Educators"
Who will be trained?			All faculty
Date(s), Time(s), Location			August 13, 2012 & October 18, 2012 – 8am-3pm McRae Elementary School
Total Cost			\$8,904
Needs School Board approval			Already received approval (July 19, 2012)
Complete budget line for expenses			0420.6400.0310.0511.4013
Name of facilitator/person responsible			Stephen C. Simmons, Title 1 Lead Teacher
Training Details – Conferences, Workshops, Seminars, Institutes, Online PD			
Please use the comments section to provide the information requested.	Ye s	No	Comments

All Carlos Carlo			
Name of educational organization			
providing the training.			
Who will be trained?			
Date(s), Location			
Total Cost			
Complete budget line for expenses			
Name of facilitator/person responsible			
Timelines			
	Ye s	No	Comments
Start Date	_	No	Comments
Start Date August 13 2012	_	No	Comments
	_	No	Comments
August 13 2012	_	No	Comments
August 13 2012 End Date	_	No	Comments
August 13 2012 End Date October 18, 2012	_	No	Comments \$
August 13 2012 End Date October 18, 2012 Budget	_	No	
August 13 2012 End Date October 18, 2012 Budget Local FTE (function 6400-no project)	_	No	\$
August 13 2012 End Date October 18, 2012 Budget Local FTE (function 6400-no project) Project - 0420.6400.0310.0511.4013	_	No	\$

Approvals: (Sigr	nature's required)			
Principal: _		Date:	/_	

SAC Chair:		Date:		<u>/</u>	<u>/</u>				
Hilda Manning:		Date:			<u>/</u>				
Shannah Kosek:		Date:			<u>/</u>				
	Ex	terna	al	Cł	ec	klis	t		
Traini	ng Not	Prov	ide	þ	by S	cho	ol/D	istric	t
Sahaal Improvement Blan Supervisory	Channah	K oook							
School Improvement Plan Supervisor:									
Professional Development Assistant:	Hilda Man	ining							
Approval: Yes No (For o	nly)								
Background									

	_
Background:	Pro
	fes
	sio
	nal
	De
	vel
	op
	me
	nt
	is
	an
	inte
	gra
	par
	t
	of
	the
	Sc
	ho
	ol
	lm
	pro
	ve
	me
	nt
	Pla
	n.
	Te
	ach
	ers
	ne
	ed
	pro
	ven
	,

stu de nts ass ign ed to	
per for ma nce of the	
ren t inst ruc tion al str ate gie s to imp rov e the	

How is the training aligned to a research-based strategy for the Goal? In the comments section, please provide Goal and source of research-based strategy.			Goal 3 Writing – Text-based questioning and writing with text evidence
Training Details - Consultants			
Please use the comments section to provide the information requested.	Ye s	No	Comments
Consultant Name and Organization – Please provide the trainers name and the organization with which they are affiliated.			
Who will be trained?			
Date(s), Time(s), Location			
Total Cost			
Needs School Board approval			
Complete budget line for expenses			
Name of facilitator/person responsible			
Training Details – Conferences, Workshops, Seminars, Institutes, Online PD			
Please use the comments section to provide the information requested.	Ye s	No	Comments
Name of educational organization providing the training.			Kathryn Robinson "Just Write!"
Who will be trained?			Mia Catalini, Lauren Morgan, Mary Thacker, Darlene Evans, Sue Gorham
Date(s), Location			August 10, 2012

Total Cost			\$115
Complete budget line for expenses			0100.6400.0310.0511.0000
Name of facilitator/person responsible			Vicki Chappell, Assistant Principal
Timelines			
	Ye s	No	Comments
Start Date August 10, 2012			
End Date August 10, 2012			
Budget			
Local FTE (function 6400-no project)			\$
Project - 0100.6400.0310.0511.0000			\$115
Project -			
Project -			
Total External PD Budget (no project & project funds)			\$115

Approvals: (Signature's required) Principal: Date: __/__/__ SAC Chair: Date: __/__/__ Hilda Manning: Date: __/__/__

Shannah Kosek:	Date:	!!	
	Externa	al Checklist	
Trainir	ng Not Prov	ided by School/D)istrict
School Improvement Plan Supervisor: Professional Development Assistant:			
Approval: Yes No (For of	•		
Rackground]

Background:	Pro
	fes
	sio
	nal
	De
	vel
	ор
	me
	nt
	is
	an
	inte
	gra
	par
	t
	of
	the
	Sc
	ho ho
	ol
	Im
	pro
	ve
	me
	nt Pla
	n.
	Te
	ach
	ers
	ne
	ed
	pro
	ven
	,

	inst ruc tion al		
	str ate gie		
	s to imp rov		
	e the		
	per for ma nce of the		
	stu de nts		
	ass ign ed to		
	the m.		
Objectives			
	Ye s	No	Comments

How is the training aligned to a research-based strategy for the Goal? In the comments section, please provide Goal and source of research-based strategy.			Goal 3 Writing – Integrates Writing across content areas
Training Details - Consultants			
Please use the comments section to provide the information requested.	Ye s	No	Comments
Consultant Name and Organization – Please provide the trainers name and the organization with which they are affiliated.			
Who will be trained?			
Date(s), Time(s), Location			
Total Cost			
Needs School Board approval			
Complete budget line for expenses			
Name of facilitator/person responsible			
Training Details – Conferences, Workshops, Seminars, Institutes, Online PD			
Please use the comments section to provide the information requested.	Ye s	No	Comments
Name of educational organization providing the training.			FDLRS Workshop: Step Up to Writing: Math
Who will be trained?			Tara Lybarger, Edward Huffman
Date(s), Location			October 12, 2012
Total Cost			\$182
Complete budget line for expenses			0100.6400.0140.0511.0000

Name of facilitator/person responsible			Vicki Chappell, Assistant Principal
Timelines			
	Ye s	No	Comments
Start Date October 12, 2012			
End Date October 12, 2012			
Budget			
Local FTE (function 6400-no project)			\$
Project - 0100.6400.0140.0511.0000			\$182
Project -			
Project -			
Total External PD Budget (no project & project funds)			\$182

Approvals: (Signature's required)		
Principal:	Date:	
SAC Chair:	Date:	
Hilda Manning:	Date:	
Shannah Kosek:	Date:	