FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: PINEMOUNT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Columbia

Principal: Donna C. McAdams

SAC Chair: April Bolkosky

Superintendent: Michael F. Millikin

Date of School Board Approval:

Last Modified on: 9/28/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Donna C. McAdams	BS Academic Studies MS Education Certification: Elementary Education/School Principal/Educational Leadership/ESOL Endorsement	3	7	2012: School Grade: A Reading: 66% Proficiency; 63% Learning Gains; 57% Lowest Quartile Math: 67% Proficiency; 74% Learning Gains; 64% Lowest Quartile Science Proficiency: 74% Writing Proficiency: 90% 2011: School Grade: A Reading: 86% Proficiency; 77% Learning Gains; 70% Lowest Quartile Math: 81% Proficiency; 59% Learning Gains; 73% Lowest Quartile Science Proficiency: 66% Writing Proficiency: 94% 2010: School Grade: A Reading: 84% Proficiency; 71% Learning Gains; 61% Lowest Quartile Math: 79% Proficiency; 66% Learning Gains; 63% Lowest Quartile Science Proficiency: 66% Writing Proficiency: 66% Writing Proficiency: 86% Writing Proficiency: 86% Writing Proficiency: 86% 2009: A 97% AYP (former school) 2008: A 100% AYP (former school)

		2007: B 97% AYP (former school)
		2006: C 90% AYP (former school)

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Laura M. Stewart	BS Elem. Ed. MS Elem. Ed. Reading Endorsement	3	3	2012: A Reading: 66% Proficient; 63% Learning Gains 57% Lowest Quartile Making Gains in Reading 2011: A Reading: 86% Proficient; 77% Learning Gains 70% Lowest Quartile Making Gains in Reading 2010: A Reading: 84% Proficient; 71% Learning Gains 61% Lowest Quartile Making Gains

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Interns from Florida Cateway College FDI and TTT programs	Florida Gateway College and Principal	Ongoing	
2	Interns from St. Leo's University	St. Leo's Instructors and Principal	Ongoing	
3	Highly effective teachers, with Clinical Educator training, are mentors for new teachers.	Principal	Ongoing	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
None	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	Effective	% Reading Endorsed	Certified	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers

34 2.9%(1) 20.6%(7) 55.9%(19) 23.5%(8) 29.4%(10) 94.1%(32) 2.9%(1) 5.9%(2) 41.2	34	2.9%(1)	20.6%(7)	55.9%(19)	23.5%(8)	29.4%(10)	94.1%(32)	2.9%(1)	5.9%(2)	41.2%(
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----	---------	----------	-----------	----------	-----------	-----------	---------	---------	--------

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Il aurie Stenhens	None at this time		
Lisa Amparo Hampson	Cynthia Touchton	Beginning Teacher	Activities in the Columbia County School District Beginning Teacher Program

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Services are provided to students who need additional remediation support through: after school and summer programs, quality teachers through professional development, content resource teachers, and mentors.

We receive funding that is allocated for teacher in-service, teacher salaries, paraprofessional salaries, teaching supplies and materials, Professional Development, and parent involvement activities. We also receive SAI (Student Academic Intervention) funds which help us provide students with additional academic help in areas of need.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

The Title I, Part C Migrant Education Program (MEP) will closely monitor migrant student progress at each school site by meeting on a regular basis with students, teachers, guidance personnel and other appropriate staff. The MEP will implement supplementary literacy and mathematics tutorials that address the unique needs of migrant students. The MEP will also provide a family advocate to serve as the liaison between the student's family and school.

Title I, Part D

Title II

The district receives funds for staff development to increase student achievement through teacher training.

Title III

The district did not qualify for Title III funds.

Title X- Homeless

The district receives funds to provide resources (social workers and tutoring) for students for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI funds will be coordinated with Title I funds to provide remedial instruction to students in grades KG through 3rd.

Violence Prevention Programs

Per state staute, the district has adopted and now implements a district wide bullying poicy. Students participate in Too Good For Drugs curriculum.

Nutrition Programs

Family Nutrition is a federally funded program through the USDA, targeting first and second grade students. The program is

presented in a series of classes and is implemented through the University of Florida and the Columbia County Extension Office.

Housing Programs

NA

Head Start

We utilize information from students in Head Start to transition into Kindergarten.

Adult Education

Adult education is a district supported program.

Career and Technical Education

The career and technical support is specific to each school site in which funds can be utilized, in a specific program, within Title I regulations.

Students partipate in technology lab time at least once a week.

Job Training

Job training support is specific to each school site in which funds can be utilized, in a specific program, within Title I regulations.

Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

The MTSS Leadership Team includes:

Donna McAdams (Principal)

April Bolkosky (CRT)

Amanda Todd (Guidance Counselor)

Laura Stewart (Reading Coach)

These individuals were chosen for their expertise in the specific areas of reading, math, behavior, and special needs. Their combined expertise will enable them to make intervention decisions for the most struggling students in reading, math, and behavior.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The purpose of the RtILT in our school is to ensure high quality instruction/intervention matched to student needs and using performance level and learning rate over time to make data-based decisions to guide instruction. The RtILT reviews school-wide data to address the progress of low-performing students and determine the enrichment and acceleration needs of high performing students. The major goal is for all students to achieve adequate yearly progress and improve other long-term outcomes (behavior, attendance, etc.). The team uses the Problem Solving Model and ALL decisions are guided by the review and analysis of student data.

The RtILT is considered the main leadership team in our school. The RtILT will meet monthly and use the problem solving process to:

- Oversee the multi-layered model of service delivery (Tier 1/Core, Tier 2/Supplemental and Tier 3/Intensive)
- Based on student data, recommend, coordinate and implement supplemental services (Tiers 2 and 3) that match students' non-mastery of skills through:
- o Tutoring during the day in small group pull-outs in reading, math, and writing.
- o Create, manage and update the school resource map
- Determine scheduling needs, curriculum materials and intervention resources based on identified needs derived from data analysis
- Determine the school-wide professional development needs of faculty and staff and arrange trainings aligned with the SIP goals
- Review and interpret student data (academic, behavior and attendance) at the school and grade levels

- Organize and support systematic data collection as needed
- Strengthen the Tier 1 (core curriculum) instruction through supportive coaching, management of resources, and providing professional development in research based instruction.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

- The RtILT and SAC were involved in the School Improvement Plan development
- The School Improvement Plan is the working document that guides the work of the RtILT.
- The RtILT will communicate with and support the PLCs in implementing the proposed strategies by assigning members as consultants to the PLCs to facilitate planning and implementation. Once strategies are put in place, PLCs will periodically report on their efforts and student outcomes to the larger RtILT team through the subject area representatives.
- The RtILT and PLCs both use the problem solving process: Problem Identification, Problem Analysis, Intervention Design and Implementation and Evaluation to:
- o Review and analyze screening and collateral data
- o Develop and test hypotheses about why student/school problems are occurring (changeable barriers)
- o Develop and target interventions based on confirmed hypotheses
- o Establish methods to track students' progress with appropriate progress monitoring assessments at intervals matched to the intensity of the interventions and/or enrichment
- o Develop progress monitoring goals to determine when student(s) need more or less support (e.g., frequency, duration, intensity) to meet established class, grade, and/or school goals (e.g., use of data-based decision-making to fade, maintain, modify or intensify interventions and/or enrichment)
- o Review goal statements to ensure they are ambitious, time-bound and meaningful
- o Assess the fidelity of instruction/intervention implementation and other PS/RtI processes

MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Core Curriculum (Tier 1)

DATA - Source; FCAT released test; Progress Monitoring Assessments; Thinkgate, FAIR, Common Assessments* (see below) of chapter/segments tests using adopted curriculum resources; Subject Area Generated, Semester Exams, Mini-Assessments on specific tested Benchmarks, Kids College, Renaissance Learning, School-wide behavior plan; Discipline Referrals, Weekly behavior sheet

DATABASE - School Generated Excel Database; Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network, Navigator Plus data management (NEFEC), Grade book(EASY GRADE PRO), AS400 Behavior reports, Kids College data and Renaissance PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE -Reading Coach, individual teachers, Principal, Guidance Counselor

- *A Common Assessment covers a "chunk" of instruction within the District adopted curriculum. It covers all of the skills taught within a certain time period. The purpose of the Common Assessment is to assess students' knowledge of the core curriculum. The results of the Common Assessment are used to:
- Determine if the lesson plans and teaching strategies used to teach the core curriculum were effective or need to be modified.
- Determine which skills need to be taught with alternative strategies.
- Determine which skills need to be re-taught within the core curriculum and which skills need to be moved to the Reinforcement Instructional Calendar.
- Determine which students need Differentiated Instruction within the classroom and which students might need Supplemental Services.

SUPPLEMENTAL/INTENSIVE INSTRUCTION(TIER 2 AND 3) - Tutoring during the day (*see below)

DATA SOURCE - Ongoing Progress Monitoring (mini-assessments and other assessments from adopted curriculum resource materials), FAIR OPM, Renaissance Learning (STAR), Behavior point sheets

DATABASE - School Generated, easy cbm.com (University of Oregon), Renaissance Learning database

- *Students receiving pull-out tutoring during the school day will receive instruction on the specific skills they have not mastered in the core curriculum. As students work on these specific skills, they will be assessed during tutoring and to ensure mastery of skills. In order to make this process effective, a communication system between classroom teacher and the tutor will be developed by the PLC and RTILT and monitored for effectiveness throughout the school year. As students progress through Supplementary Support and Intensive Instruction, the number/type of supplemental services, time spent in the supplemental services and frequency of assessment will increase in duration.
- ** In addition to Core assessments, progress monitoring the outcomes of intensive interventions requires additional Curriculum Based Measures (CBM) and computer adaptive assessments (STAR) that:
- \bullet assess the same skills over time

- · have multiple equivalent forms
- · are sensitive to small amounts of growth over time.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Staff receives on-going training provided by the district. The Problem Solving Leadership Team will continue to work to build consensus with all stakeholders regarding a need for and a focus on school improvement efforts. The Problem Solving Leadership Team will work to align the efforts of other school teams that may be addressing similar identified issues. The trainings offered are: Data Analysis, Intervention Strategies and Materials, Behavior Interventions, Motivating Unmotivated Students, Kagan Strategies, Organizing Your Classroom to Maximize Learning. As the District MTSS Coordinator develops resources and staff development trainings on PS/MTSS, these tools and staff development sessions will be conducted with staff when they become available. New staff will be directed to participate in trainings relevant to PS/MTSS as they become available.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The purpose of the RtILT in our school is to ensure high quality instruction/intervention matched to student needs and using performance level and learning rate over time to make data-based decisions to guide instruction. The RtILT will continue to review student data, select appropriate materials, ensure fidelity of instructional delivery, and to support classroom teachers through the process. School resources are reviewed periodically to determine effectiveness; adjustments are made as necessary.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

 $\label{thm:comprised} \begin{tabular}{ll} The Literacy Leadership Team serves as the school's literacy Professional Learning Community. The team is comprised of: $$ (a) $ (a) $ (b) $ (b) $ (c) $ ($

- -Principal
- -Reading Coach
- -Teachers who have demonstrated effective reading instruction as reflected through positive student reading gains

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT is a subset of the Problem Solving Leadership Team. The team provides leadership for the implementation of the reading strategies on the SIP.

The principal is the LLT chairperson. The reading coach is a member of the team and provides extensive expertise in data analysis and reading interventions. The reading coach and principal collaborate with the team to ensure that data driven instruction support is provided to all teachers. The principal also ensures that the LLT monitors reading data, identifies school-wide and individual teachers' reading-focused instructional strengths and weaknesses, and creates a professional development plan to support identified instructional needs in conjunction with the Problem Solving Leadership team's support plan. Additionally the principal ensures that time is provided for the LLT to collaborate and share information with all site stakeholders including other administrators, teachers, staff members, parents and students.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

- -Implementation and evaluation of the SIP reading strategies across the content areas $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($
- -Professional Development
- -Co-planning, modeling and observation of research-based reading strategies within lessons across the content areas -Data analysis (on-going)

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title | Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as

applicable.

In Columbia County Public schools, all kindergarten children are assessed for Kindergarten Readiness using the FLKRS (Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener.) This state selected assessment contains a subset of the Early Childhood Observation System and the first five measures of the Florida Assessments in Reading (FAIR). The instruments used in the screening are based upon the Florida Voluntary Prekindergarten (VPK) Education Standards. Parents are provided with a letter explaining the assessments. Teachers will meet with parents after the assessments have been completed to review student performance. Data from the FAIR will be used to assist teachers in creating homogeneous groupings for small group reading instruction. Children entering Kindergarten may have benefited from the Columbia County Public Schools' Voluntary Prekindergarten Program. Parent Involvement events for Transitioning Children into Kindergarten include Kindergarten Orientation. This event provides parents with an opportunity to meet the teachers and hear about the academic program. Parents are encouraged to complete the school registration procedure at an early date to ensure that the child is able to start school on time.

Pinemount Elementary has two (2) prekindergarten classrooms; one serves VPK students, and the other serves VPK and preK handicapped children. Both teachers have a full-time paraprofessional. Instruction is standards-driven and based on the VPK standards. Progress monitoring is on-going using the state assessment.

every teacher.
subjects and
ctions, so that
f the <u>High Sch</u>

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

^ vvne	n using percentages, include	the number of students the p	percentage represents	S (e.g., 70% (35)).			
	d on the analysis of studer provement for the following	nt achievement data, and reg g group:	eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in nee		
readi		g at Achievement Level (Seventy percer	Seventy percent (70%)of students in grades 3 - 5 will score at or above a level 3 on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading			
2012	Current Level of Perform	mance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:			
68%	(134)		70% (138)				
	Pi	roblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Truancy	Provide incentives for students with perfect attendance and reward classrooms with highest percentage of attendance	Principal Classroom Teachers	Review attendance reports to determine attendance percentage	20 Day Attendand reports		
2	Parents lacking knowledge or resources to assist children with homework.	Provide parent workshops and access to parent resource room to help them meet the needs of children at home	CRT	participation percentages	sign-in sheets, documentation of the use of the parent resource room		
3	Implementation of curriculum	Teachers observing other teachers while implementing the curriculum	CRT	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT.	Performance Matters, FCAT		
4	Students Mobility	By using the county adopted materials and the county wide pacing guides, students will not have gaps in curriculum when they transfer from school to school in the district.	Classroom Teachers/County teams for developing pacing guides	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT.	Performance Matters, FCAT		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group:	rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
	No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a:	Forty percent (40%)of students in grades 3 - 5 will score at or above a level 4 on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading assessment.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
38% (75)	40% (79)			
Problem Solving Process to L	neroasa Student Achievement			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Truancy	Provide incentives for students with perfect attendance and reward classrooms with highest percentage of attendance	Principal Classroom Teachers	Review attendance reports to determine attendance percentage	20 Day Attendance reports
2	Parents lacking knowledge or resources to assist children with homework	Provide parent workshops and access to parent resource room to help them meet the needs of children at home	CRT	participation percentages	sign-in sheets, documentation of the use of the parent resource room
3	Implementation of curriculum	Teachers observing other teachers while implementing the curriculum	CRT	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT	Performance Matters, FCAT
4	Students Mobility	By using the county adopted materials and the county wide pacing guides, students will not have gaps in curriculum when they transfer from school to school in the district.	Classroom Teachers/County teams for developing pacing guides	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT.	Performance Matters, FCAT
5	Focus is on lower level students; higher performing students are not addressed	Provide Enrichment Activities Kids College	Principal ReadingCoach	Monitor growth of students achieving above proficiency in reading	FAIR

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:					
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in					
reading.					
Reading Goal #2b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Anticipated Barrier		Position Responsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:	Sixty percent (60%) of students in grades 4 & 5 will show learning gains as measured by the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading assessment.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
58% (74)	60% (70)			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Truancy	Providing incentives for students with perfect attendance and rewarding classrooms with highest percentage of attendance	Principal Classroom Teachers	Review attendance reports to determine attendance percentage	20 Day Attendance reports
2	Parents lacking knowledge or resources to assist children with homework	Provide parent workshops and access to parent resource room to help them meet the needs of children at home	CRT	participation percentages	sign-in sheets, documentation of the use of the parent resource room
3	Implementation of curriculum	Teachers observing other teachers while implementing the curriculum	CRT	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT	Performance Matters, FCAT
4	Students Mobility	By using the county adopted materials and the county wide pacing guides, students will not have gaps in curriculum when they transfer from school to school in the district.	Classroom Teachers/County teams for developing pacing guides	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT.	Performance Matters, FCAT
5	Vocabulary	Text Talk Word A Day CCRP Vocabulary	Reading Coach	Monitor Student achievement on FAIR assessment	Vocabulary on FAIR
6	Engagement	Kagan Strategies	Principal (CRT?) Reading Coach	Monitor student engagement levels	Vocabulary on FAIR

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.						
Reading Goal #3b:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solvin	g Process to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						
Based on the analysis of s	student achievement	data and refer	ence to "Gi	uidina Ouestions" ident	ify and define areas in need	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:	Sixty percent (60%) of students in the lowest quartile, in grades 4 & 5 will show learning gains as measured by the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading assessment.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
55% (70)	60% (70)				

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Truancy	Providing incentives for students with perfect attendance and rewarding classrooms with highest percentage of attendance	Principal Classroom Teachers	Review attendance reports to determine attendance percentage	20 Day Attendance reports
2	Parents lacking knowledge or resources to assist children with homework.	Provide parent workshops and access to parent resource room to help them meet the needs of children at home	CRT	participation percentages	sign-in sheets, documentation of the use of the parent resource room
3	Implementation of curriculum	Teachers observing other teachers while implementing the curriculum	CRT	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT.	Performance Matters, FCAT
4	Truancy	Provide parents with information about Attendance Policy Phone Calls Home Visits	Guidance Counselor	Monitor attendance of targeted students	20 Day Attendance Report
5	Background	Modeling Think-Pair-Share Kagan Strategies	Classroom Teacher		Observation of increased classroom interaction using strategies listed

Based on Amb	itious but A	chievable Annual	Measurable C)bjecti	ves (AMOs)), AMO-2, I	Reading and Ma	ath Pe	rformance Target
	jectives (Al	nble Annual MOs). In six year hievement gap		years	s, Pinemou gap by 50		ntary School	will	reduce their
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-201	2 2012-2013	2013-20)14	2014	-2015	2015-2016	5	2016-2017
		student achievem lowing subgroup:		refere	ence to "Gu	uiding Ques	tions", identify	and c	define areas in need
	an, America progress in	by ethnicity (Whan I ndian) not n reading.							
2012 Current	Level of Po	erformance:			2013 Expe	ected Leve	el of Performa	nce:	
		Problem-Sol	ving Process	s to I	ncrease St	udent Ach	nievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy			Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool		
			No	Data S	Submitted				
		tudent achieveme lowing subgroup:		refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Ques	tions", identify	and c	define areas in need
5C. English La satisfactory p Reading Goal	progress in	earners (ELL) no reading.	t making						
2012 Current		erformance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
		Problem-Sol	ving Process	stoli	ncrease St	udent Ach	ilevement		
Anticipated E	3arrier	Strategy		for		Process L Determin Effective Strategy	е	Eval	uation Tool
					Submitted	<u> </u>		<u>'</u>	

of improvement for the	following subgroup:				
			In grades 3-5 the percentage of SWD making adequate learning gains in Reading will increase from 70% to 72%.		
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfor	mance:
70%(22)			72%(13)		
	Problem-Solvi	ng Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or ion onsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	·	No Data	Submitted		
Based on the analysis o of improvement for the		t data, and refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
5E. Economically Disa	idvantaged students	not making			

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f		t data, and refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.					
Reading Goal #5E:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfor	mance:
	Problem-Solvii	ng Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for		on or ion onsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade	and for DLC	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or schoolwide)		Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Junior Great Books	Grades 1 - 5	Reading Coach	Teachers of grades 1 - 5	October 2012 November 2012	Lesson Plans	Reading Coach
Common Core Reading	Grades K - 5	Reading Coach	School-wide	ongoing	Lesson plans	Reading Coach

Text Complexity	Grades K - 5	Reading Coach	School-wide	February 2013	Lesson Plans	Reading Coach
Earobics	Kindergarten		Teachers of Kindergarten students	ICICTOPAR 2017	Reports from Earobics	Reading Coach
Test Specs	Gr. 3 - 5	Reading Coach	Teachers of grades 3 - 5	January 2013	Lesson Plans	Reading Coach

Reading Budget:

Stratogy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amoun
Increased use of "think alouds" by the teacher using	Jr. Great Books	Title 1 Title 6	\$5,514.58
Intervention materials for Tier 2	FOCUS materials, Ladders to Success, Saxon Phonics	Textbook allocation Title 1 SAI Funds	\$6,000.00
Increased use of non-fiction trade books for independent reading in the classrooms	Scholastic's Non-fiction classroom clooections	Title 1 Title 6	\$2,714.80
Literature Centers	Listening Centers recordings and books	Title 6	\$2,102.44
Phonics Building	Making Words	Title 1	\$20.00
		Subtot	al: \$16,351.8
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Implement use of Earobics with selected students	Earobics	Title 1	\$2,165.80
		Subto	tal: \$2,165.8
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Implementation of Common Core	32 Fantastic Reading and Math Centers to Support the Common Core State Standards	Title I	\$422.50
		Sub	total: \$422.5
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			subtotal: \$0.0

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

 * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

63% (8) students will be proficient on the listening/speaking portion of the CELLA test.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

50% (8) students were proficient on the listening/speaking portion of the CELLA test.

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	English is not spoken at home.	Provide parents with information about Adult Education classes in English.		Increase home-school communication	Parent Conferences	

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.						
Students scoring proficient in reading. CELLA Goal #2:			` '	75% (8) students will be proficient on the reading portion of the CELLA test.		
2012	Current Percent of Stu	idents Proficient in read	ding:			
63%	63% (8) students were proficient on the reading portion of the CELLA test.					
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Parents unable to provide help at home.	Small intervention groups	Laura Stewart	Data Meetings	STAR	

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.						
	udents scoring proficies A Goal #3:	nt in writing.	` '	50% (8) students will be proficient on the writing portion of the CELLA test.		
2012	Current Percent of Stu	idents Proficient in writ	ting:			
38%	38% (8) students were proficient on the writing portion of the CELLA test.					
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Parents unable to help provide help at home.	small group instruction	classroom teacher	Columbia Writes! scores	Columbia Writes!	

CELLA Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-	-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of studen		eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in nee		
1a. F matl	FCAT2.0: Students scoring nematics. nematics Goal #1a:		Seventy-five poscore at or abo	Seventy-five percent (75%)of students in grades 3 - 5 will score at or above a level 3 on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics assessment.			
2012	2 Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:			
69%	(136)		75% (148)				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Truancy	Provide incentives for students with perfect attendance and reward classrooms with highest percentage of attendance	Principal Classroom Teachers	Review attendance reports to determine attendance percentage	20 Day Attendand reports		
2	Parents lacking knowledge or resources to assist children with homework.	Provide parent workshops and access to parent resource room to help them meet the needs of children at home	CRT	participation percentages	sign-in sheets, documentation of the use of the parent resource room		
3	Implementation of curriculum	Teachers observing other teachers while implementing the curriculum	CRT	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT.	Performance Matters, FCAT		
4	Students Mobility	By using the county adopted materials and the county wide pacing guides, students will not have gaps in curriculum when they transfer from school to school in the district.	Classroom Teachers/County teams for developing pacing guides	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT.	Performance Matters, FCAT		
5	Lack of comfort facilitating math centers in the classrooms.	Provide trainings for teachers and purchase resources and materials to help facilitate the implementation of centers.	CRT	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT. Classroom observations.	Performance Matters, FCAT		
6	Missing strategies needed to decipher information contained in word problems.	Provide training on daily Glue and Dos to practice using test taking strategies.	CRT	Learning gains in progress monitoring.	Performance Matters, FCAT.		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:				
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.				
Mathematics Goal #1b:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2a:	Forty percent (40%) of students in grades 3 - 5 will score at or above a level 4 on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics assessment.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
35% (69)	40% (79)			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Truancy	Provide incentives for students with perfect attendance and reward classrooms with highest percentage of attendance	Principal Classroom Teachers	Review attendance reports to determine attendance percentage	20 Day Attendance reports		
2	Parents lacking knowledge or resources to assist children with homework	Provide parent workshops and access to parent resource room to help them meet the needs of children at home	CRT	participation percentages	sign-in sheets, documentation of the use of the parent resource room		
3	Implementation of curriculum	Teachers observing other teachers while implementing the curriculum	CRT	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT	Performance Matters, FCAT		
4	Students Mobility	By using the county adopted materials and the county wide pacing guides, students will not have gaps in curriculum when they transfer from school to school in the district.	Classroom Teachers/County teams for developing pacing guides	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT.	Performance Matters, FCAT		
5	Lack of comfort facilitating math centers in the classrooms.	Provide trainings for teachers and purchase resources and materials to help facilitate the implementation of centers.	CRT	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT. Classroom observations.	Performance Matters, FCAT		
6	Missing strategies needed to decipher information contained in word problems.	Provide training on daily Glue and Dos to practice using test taking strategies.	CRT	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT.	Performance Matters, FCAT		

Based on the analysis o of improvement for the		nt data, and refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", ident	tify and define areas in need
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics.					
Mathematics Goal #2k) :				
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfor	mance:
	Problem-Solvi	ing Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or ion onsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Submitted		
Based on the analysis o of improvement for the		nt data, and refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", ident	tify and define areas in need

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a:	Seventy percent (70%) of students in grades 4 & 5 will show learning gains as measured by the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics assessment.						
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:						
69% (88)	70% (81)						

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Truancy	Providing incentives for students with perfect attendance and rewarding classrooms with highest percentage of attendance	Principal Classroom Teachers	Review attendance reports to determine attendance percentage	20 Day Attendance reports
2	Parents lacking knowledge or resources to assist children with homework	Provide parent workshops and access to parent resource room to help them meet the needs of children at home	CRT	participation percentages	sign-in sheets, documentation of the use of the parent resource room
3	Implementation of curriculum	Teachers observing other teachers while implementing the curriculum	CRT	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT	Performance Matters, FCAT
4	Students Mobility	By using the county adopted materials and the county wide pacing guides, students will not have gaps in curriculum when they transfer from school to school in the	Classroom Teachers/County teams for developing pacing guides	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT.	Performance Matters, FCAT

		district.			
5	Lack of remediation materials	Use Accelerated Math and Brain Child	CRT		Performance Matters, STAR Math, FCAT
6	Lack of comfort facilitating math centers in the classrooms.	Provide trainings for teachers and purchase resources and materials to help facilitate the implementation of centers.	CRT	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT	Performance Matters, FCAT
7		Provide training on daily Glue and Dos to practice using test taking strategies.	CRT	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT	Performance Matters, FCAT

	on the analysis of s rovement for the fo			ata, and refe	rence to "Gu	iiding	Questions", identify	and c	define areas in need
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.									
Mathe	ematics Goal #3b:								
2012	Current Level of P	erform	nance:		2013 Expe	ected	Level of Performar	nce:	
		Pro	oblem-Solving	Process to	ncrease St	udent	Achievement		
Antic	ipated Barrier	Strate	egy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Eval	uation Tool
				No Data	Submitted				
	on the analysis of s rovement for the fo			ata, and refe	rence to "Gu	iiding	Questions", identify	and c	define areas in need
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4:				in grades 4	Sixty-five percent (65%) of students in the lowest quartile, in grades 4 & 5 will show learning gains as measured by the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics assessment.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expe	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
63% (20)				65% (19)	65% (19)				
		Pro	oblem-Solving	Process to	ncrease St	udent	Achievement		
					Person or	-	Process Used to	0	

Position

Responsible for

Monitoring

Principal

Classroom

Teachers

Strategy

Providing incentives for

students with perfect

rewarding classrooms with highest percentage

attendance and

of attendance

Anticipated Barrier

Truancy

Determine

Effectiveness of

Strategy

Review attendance

reports to determine

attendance percentage

Evaluation Tool

20 Day Attendance

reports

2	knowledge or resources to assist children with homework.	Provide parent workshops and access to parent resource room to help them meet the needs of children at home	CRT		sign-in sheets, documentation of the use of the parent resource room
3		Teachers observing other teachers while implementing the curriculum		Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT.	Performance Matters, FCAT
4	Lack of remedial materials	Use Accelerated Math and Brain Child	CRT	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT.	Performance Matters and FCAT
	Lack of knowledge or understanding of basic math facts	Math Facts in a Flash	Classroom Teacher	Progress Monitoring	Timed facts tests weekly

Based on Amb	itious but Ac	hievable Annual	Measurable Obje	ectiv	res (AMOs), AMO-2, I	Reading and Ma	th Pe	rformance Targe	et
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Elementary School Mathematics Goal # In six years, Pinemount Elementary School will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. 5A:							4
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014		2014-2015		2015-2016)	2016-2017	
		udent achieveme owing subgroup:	ent data, and ref	fere	nce to "Gu	uiding Ques	stions", identify	and c	define areas in n	eed
Hispanic, Asia	an, America progress in	y ethnicity (Wh n Indian) not m mathematics.								
2012 Current	Level of Pe	rformance:		2	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
		Problem-Sol	ving Process to) In	crease St	udent Ach	nievement			
Anticipated E	Pers Posi ticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for Moni		sitio	nsible	Process L Determin Effective Strategy	е	Eval	uation Tool		
			No Dat	a Sı	ubmitted					
	-	udent achieveme		fere	nce to "Gu	uiding Ques	stions", identify	and c	define areas in n	eed

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making

satisfactory progress in mathematics.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

Mathematics Goal #5C:

	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to I	ncrease S ⁻	tudent Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool					
No Data Submitted										
Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the following the following the soft improvement for the following the soft improvement for the following the soft improvement for the soft improvemen	student achievement data, ar llowing subgroup:	nd refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Questions", identify	y and define areas in need					
5D. Students with Disab satisfactory progress in	ilities (SWD) not making mathematics.									
Mathematics Goal #5D:										
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	ance:					
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool					
	N	o Data S	Submitted							
Based on the analysis of softimprovement for the following	student achievement data, ar llowing subgroup:	nd refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", identify	y and define areas in need					
5E. Economically Disadv satisfactory progress in Mathematics Goal #5E:	vantaged students not mak mathematics.	king								
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:							
	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement						
for			Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool						
	No Data Submitted									

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Glue and Dos/ Decoding Math Word Problems	Gr. 3 - 5	CRT	Teachers of students in grades 3 - 5.	October 2012	Lesson plans, classroom walk- throughs and observations	Principal, CRT
Making Math Enjoyable with Games	Gr. K - 5	CRT	Teachers of students in grades K - 5.	October 2012	Lesson plans, classroom walk- throughs and observations	Principal, CRT

Mathematics Budget:

	B 1 11 6 B	F !! 0	Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Practice using test-taking strategies with Glue and Dos.	Go Math Assessment Books	Textbook Allocation Title 1	\$720.00
			Subtotal: \$720.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Implementation of Common Core	32 Fantastic Reading and Math Centers to Support the Common Core State Standards	Title I	\$422.50
			Subtotal: \$422.50
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Use games to increase basic math facts fluency, increase operations fluency, place value knowledge	Cheryl Cox Math games	Title 6	\$2,254.72
Help incorporate the use of math centers	Singapore Math Place Value Sets	Title VI	\$319.90
			Subtotal: \$2,574.62
		- Gra	ind Total: \$3,717.12

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
	Eighty-five percent (85%) of students in grade 5 will score at or above a level 3 on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Science assessment.				

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

2012	2 Current Level of Perf	formance:	2013 Expe	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
80%	(52)		85% (43)	85% (43)					
	Prok	olem-Solving Process	to Increase Stu	udent Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine or Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1	Truancy	Provide incentives for students with perfect attendance and reward classrooms with highest percentage of attendance	Principal Classroom Teachers	Review attendance reports to determine attendance percentage	20 Day Attendance reports				
2	Parents lacking knowledge or resources to assist children with homework.	Provide parent workshops and access to parent resource room to help them meet the needs of children at home	CRT	participation percentages	sign-in sheets, documentation of the use of the parent resource room				
3	Implementation of curriculum	Teachers observing other teachers while implementing the curriculum	CRT	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT.	Performance Matters, FCAT				
4	Lack of time for preparation of materials to facilitate lessons.	Team planning times to choose Loose in the Lab activities to reinforce science standards. Bins to put materials in for lessons that will then be labeled for ease of gathering necessary materials.	Classroom Teachers/CRT	Learning gains in progress monitoring.	Performance Matters Science Fair				
5	Misunderstanding of the use of the Scientific Process	Provide a family PTO night to instruct what a science fair project will look like. Have a family work day for constructing projects. Mandatory science fair projects for Grades 3 - 5.	Classroom teachers/CRT/P	Learning gains in PTO progress monitoring.	Performance Matters Science Fair				
Base	d on the analysis of stud	dent achievement data.	and reference to	o "Guiding Questions", ide	ntify and define				
areas	s in need of improvemen	nt for the following group			g and domino				
	Florida Alternate Asse: lents scoring at Levels								
Scie	cience Goal #1b:								

· ·		•			
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.					
Science Goal #1b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above
Achievement Level 4 in science.

Science Goal #2a:

Thirty percent (30%)of students in grade 5 will score at or above a level 4 on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Science assessment.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

30% (15)

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Truancy	Provide incentives for students with perfect attendance and reward classrooms with highest percentage of attendance	Principal Classroom Teachers	Review attendance reports to determine attendance percentage	20 Day Attendance reports
2	Parents lacking knowledge or resources to assist children with homework	Provide parent workshops and access to parent resource room to help them meet the needs of children at home	CRT	participation percentages	sign-in sheets, documentation of the use of the parent resource room
3	Implementation of curriculum	Teachers observing other teachers while implementing the curriculum	CRT	Learning gains in progress monitoring and FCAT	Performance Matters, FCAT
4	Lack of time for preparation of materials to facilitate lessons.	Team planning times to choose Loose in the Lab activities to reinforce science standards. Bins to put materials in for lessons that will then be labeled for ease of gathering necessary materials.	Classroom Teachers/CRT	Learning gains in progress monitoring.	Performance Matters Science Fair
5	Misunderstanding of the use of the Scientific Process	Provide a family PTO night to instruct what a science fair project will look like. Have a family work day for constructing projects. Mandatory science fair projects for Grades 3 - 5.	Classroom teachers/CRT/PTO	Learning gains in progress monitoring.	Performance Matters Science Fair

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science.

Science Goal #2b:

26& (17)

2012 Current Level of Performance: 2		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion oonsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Loose in the Lab	Gr. K - 5	Bryce Hixon	School-Wide	August 2012	Lesson plans, classroom observations, pictures, sharing best practices	Principal

Science Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Incorporating science and technology	One year subscription to The Happy Scientist	Title I	\$20.00
			Subtotal: \$20.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$20.00

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

VVIIC	Trasing percentages, metac	the namber of stadents t	ne percentage repre	3cm3 (e.g., 7070 (30)).			
	d on the analysis of studeed of improvement for th	ent achievement data, ar e following group:	nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", ident	fy and define areas		
3.0 a	CAT 2.0: Students scornd higher in writing. ng Goal #1a:	ring at Achievement Le	Eighty-five per	Eighty-five percent (85%) of the students in 4th grade will score a 4.0 and higher in writing on FCAT Writing.			
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ce:		
99%	(78)		85% (56)				
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Struggling Writers	Provide struggling students with a hard copy of Mary Lewis writing samples and strategies Provide struggling students with a Mary Lewis generated Writing	CRT	Analyze Columbia Writes! scores and FCAT Writing scores	Columbia Writes! FCAT Writing		
2	Struggling Writers	Frame Pair stronger writers with struggling writers for tutoring and/or modeling.	Classroom teachers	Analyze Columbia Writes! scores and FCAT Writing scores	Columbia Writes! FCAT Writing		
in nee	ed of improvement for th	ent achievement data, ar e following group: sment: Students scorin		uiding Questions", ident	ify and define areas		
at 4 d	or higher in writing.		9				

in need of improvement	for the following group:	and r	eference to	o "Guiding Questions", i	dentity and define areas
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.					
Writing Goal #1b:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perforr	mance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to L	ncrease S	itudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data S	Submitted		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader		Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Melissa Forney Writing Workshop	Grades K - 5	Melissa Forney	Teachers of grades K - 5	August 2012	Columbia Writes! FCAT Writing	CRT/Reading Coach

Writing Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Implementation of Columbia Writes!	11 x 17 Paper	Title I	\$49.19
	-		Subtotal: \$49.1
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$49.1

End of Writing Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, an of improvement:	d reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
1. Attendance Attendance Goal #1:	The 2012/2013 attendance rate percentage will increase to 95%. The number of students with unexcused absences in excess of 10 will be 12 or less. The number of students with unexcused tardies/early dismissals will be 30 or less.
2012 Current Attendance Rate:	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:
92.6% (407)	95% (428 based on enrollment of 450)

l .	2 Current Number of Steences (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)			
19			12	12			
l .	2 Current Number of Stiles (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2013 Expec Tardies (10	ted Number of Students or more)	s with Excessive		
42			30				
	Pro	blem-Solving Process	to Increase Stud	dent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible fo Monitoring	Process Used to Determine r Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Spread of flu, other contagious illnesses, and head lice	PSA on morning news by school nurse to emphasize hand washing and how to prevent spreading of illnesses and lice	Principal Data Processor Nurse Custodial Staff	Review attendance records at 20 day intervals	Attendance records		
		Sanitize clinic and classroom restrooms twice a day during outbreaks					
	Lack of understanding about attendance policy	Remind parents of attendance policy through newsletter Remind parents about	Principal Guidance Counselor	Review attendance records at 20 day intervals	Attendance records		
2		written notes when dropping off tardy students; remind parents to obtain doctor's note if early dismissal					
3	Reliable transportation	Encourage parents to utilize school bus system Monitor and counsel students with bus suspensions	Principal Guidance Counselor Teachers	Review attendance records at 20 day intervals	Attendance records		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Anti-Bullying Assembly	Power of One Presentation	School Improvement Funds	\$392.50
		Su	btotal: \$392.50
		Grand	Total: \$392.50

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference of improvement:	to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1:	During the 2012/2013 school year out-of-school suspensions will be less than five (5).
2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions
0	0
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School
0	0
2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions
1	Less than 5
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of- School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School
1	Less than 5

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students lack an awareness/knowledge of behavioral expectations	Have classroom teachers spend two weeks at the beginning of school teaching the expectations for the classroooms, playground, sidewalks, cafeteria, and Media Center	Principal	Review office referrals	Discipline reports		
2	Bullying Incidents	School-wide anti- bullying policy Read age-appropriate trade book to classes about bullying, followed by discussion Bring in appropriate assembly	Principal	Review bullying referrals	Bullying referrals		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		N	No Data Submitted	d		

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progran	n(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

Subtotal: \$392.50 Grand Total: \$392.50

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. Parent Involvement				
Parent Involvement Goal #1:	Ninety percent (90%) of parents, with children enrolled			
*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.	during both FTE windows, will participate in at least 2 school activities during the 2012/2013 school year.			
2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:			
86% (383)	90% (409)			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Childcare needed	Provide supervised childcare in a classroom near the activity location.	CRT Paraprofessionals Teachers	Monitoring of parental involvement percentages as represented by event sign-in sheets	Sign-in sheets Survey
2	Proper Notice of Events	Provide earlier notice of events through planners, purple communication folders, CCSD Call out program, school website, and school marquee	Teachers CRT Greenwald Knapp	Monitoring of parental involvement percentages as represented by event sign-in sheets	Sign-in sheets Survey
3	Poor Teacher Participation in afterschool events	PTO incentives for teacher attendance at afterschool events	PTO	Compare current and prior Sign-in Sheets	Sign-in Sheets
4	Home & School Connection, Math & Science Connection(Primary and intermediate editions)	Provide easy to read parenting tips and activities for parents to try with their children on topics ranging from study skills to learning games, math activities to science investigations.	CRT Classroom Teachers	Feedback from parents and students.	Survey
5	Motivation to Attend	Involve more students in programs and presentations Provide incentives for most classroom participation Survey parents to find out what kinds of programs they are interested in attending	CRT Classroom Teachers	Increased attendance Feedback from parents	Sign-in Sheets Evaluation Survey

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Parent Involvement Staff Training Module 1: The Importance of Parental Involvement	Gr. PK - 5	CRT	School-Wide	November 2012	Grade level brainstorm session of activities they can implement to support parents in each of the six types of parent involvement.	CRT
Parent Involvement Staff Training Module 4: Communicating and Working with Parents	Gr. PK - 5	CRT	School-Wide		Examples of parent- friendly notices designed by the teacher.	CRT

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	torial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Fullding 30dice	Amount
Monthly Newsletters	11 x 17 Paper	Title I	\$49.18
Displays for Student Work	8 1/2 x 11 display easels	Title I	\$132.45
Science Fair Boards as an Incentive to Attend PTO/Science Night	Science Fair Boards	Title I	\$324.00
Communication through student planners	Student Planners	Title I	\$1,295.00
Communication through weekly folders	Communication Folders	Title I	\$475.00
			Subtotal: \$2,275.63
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$2,275.63

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
1. ST	EM I Goal #1:		9 1	(90%(177)) of students logy to research, constr project.	0	
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Limited access of technology in the homes for students to utilize.	Provide times when technology lab can be utilized by parents and students to complete their projects.	CRT/Technology Teacher	Completed science fair projects	Science fair participation 5th Grade FCAT Science scores	
2	Lack of knowledge of word processing software.	Tech lab training for students which focuses on the use of word processing software.	Technology Teacher	Completed science fair projects	Science fair participation	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Using Technology to Create Graphs	(¬r	Bolkosky Knapp	Teachers of grades 3 - 5 students	October 2012	Pictures of graphs on science fair projects	Bolkosky

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/M	aterial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Providing Science Fair Boards	Science Fair Boards	Title I	\$324.00
			Subtotal: \$324.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Subtotal: \$0.00

Grand Total: \$324.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Increased use of "think alouds" by the teacher using	Jr. Great Books	Title 1 Title 6	\$5,514.58
Reading	Intervention materials for Tier 2	FOCUS materials, Ladders to Success, Saxon Phonics	Textbook allocation Title 1 SAI Funds	\$6,000.00
Reading	Increased use of non- fiction trade books for independent reading in the classrooms	Scholastic's Non-fiction classroom clooections	Title 1 Title 6	\$2,714.80
Reading	Literature Centers	Listening Centers recordings and books	Title 6	\$2,102.44
Reading	Phonics Building	Making Words	Title 1	\$20.00
Mathematics	Practice using test- taking strategies with Glue and Dos.	Go Math Assessment Books	Textbook Allocation Title 1	\$720.00
Writing	Implementation of Columbia Writes!	11 x 17 Paper	Title I	\$49.19
Parent Involvement	Monthly Newsletters	11 x 17 Paper	Title I	\$49.18
Parent Involvement	Displays for Student Work	8 1/2 x 11 display easels	Title I	\$132.45
Parent Involvement	Science Fair Boards as an Incentive to Attend PTO/Science Night	Science Fair Boards	Title I	\$324.00
Parent Involvement	Communication through student planners	Student Planners	Title I	\$1,295.00
Parent Involvement	Communication through weekly folders	Communication Folders	Title I	\$475.00
STEM	Providing Science Fair Boards	Science Fair Boards	Title I	\$324.00
				Subtotal: \$19,720.64
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Implement use of Earobics with selected students	Earobics	Title 1	\$2,165.80
Science	Incorporating science and technology	One year subscription to The Happy Scientist	Title I	\$20.00
				Subtotal: \$2,185.80
Professional Developme	ent			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Implementation of Common Core	32 Fantastic Reading and Math Centers to Support the Common Core State Standards	Title I	\$422.50
Mathematics	Implementation of Common Core	32 Fantastic Reading and Math Centers to Support the Common Core State Standards	Title I	\$422.50
				Subtotal: \$845.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Mathematics	Use games to increase basic math facts fluency, increase operations fluency, place value knowledge	Cheryl Cox Math games	Title 6	\$2,254.72
Mathematics	Help incorporate the use of math centers	Singapore Math Place Value Sets	Title VI	\$319.90
Wattlefflatics				
Attendance	Anti-Bullying Assembly	Power of One Presentation	School Improvement Funds	\$392.50

Subtotal: \$3,359.62

Grand Total: \$26,111.06

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority	jn Focus	jn Prevent	j ∩ NA	

Are you a reward school: in Yes in No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 8/31/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
We will be requesting the use of SAC funds to provide Pinemount students with a performing arts presentation on bullying. It will address physical bullying, exclusion bullying, and cyber bullying. This production will benefit children in grades K - 5. It will address the attendance and suspension components of our School Improvement Plan.	\$785.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Columbia School District PI NEMOUNT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2010-2011						
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	86%	81%	94%	66%	327	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	77%	59%			136	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	70% (YES)	73% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					606	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Columbia School District PI NEMOUNT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2009-2010						
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	84%	79%	86%	66%	315	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	71%	66%			137	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	61% (YES)	63% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					576	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested