# FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: HOWELL L. WATKINS MIDDLE SCHOOL

District Name: Palm Beach

Principal: Don Hoffman, Ed.D

SAC Chair: Jane Panse

Superintendent: Wayne Gent

Date of School Board Approval: January 2013

Last Modified on: 11/20/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

#### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal  Don Hoffman, Ed.D  Ed.D  BS - Elementary Education, M.Ed Math Education, Ed.D Educational Leadership, Nova Southeastern University		1	10	Principal of KEC/Canal Point Elementary 2011-2012: Grade: C, Reading Mastery: 29%, Math Mastery: 40%, Science Mastery: 33%, Writing Mastery: 82%.  Principal of KEC/Canal Point Elementary 2010-2011: Grade: D, Reading Mastery: 42%, Math Mastery: 52%, Science Mastery: 38%, Writing Mastery: 76%	
Assis Principal	Richard Brown	Degrees: BS - Varying Exceptionalities, MS - Educational Leadership Certifications: Educational Leadership, Varying Exceptionalities	4	4	2011-2012: Grade: C, Reading Mastery: 37%, Math Mastery: 39%, Science Mastery: 30%, Writing Mastery: 84%  2010-2011: Grade: B, Reading Mastery: 52%, Math Mastery: 56%, Science Mastery: 32%, Writing Mastery: 92%. AYP: 85% met.  FY 09-10, School Grade C, AYP 77%,

					Meeting high standards 54% Reading, 51% Math, 92% Writing, 24% Science
Assis Principal	Imogene Clarke	Degree: BS - Elementary Education, MS - Counseling and Educational Leadership Certifications: Educational Leadership, Elementary Education, ESOL, Guidance Counseling, Elementary Education / ESOL	4	4	2011-2012: Grade: C, Reading Mastery: 37%, Math Mastery: 39%, Science Mastery: 30%, Writing Mastery: 84%  2010-2011: Grade: B, Reading Mastery: 52%, Math Mastery: 56%, Science Mastery: 32%, Writing Mastery: 92%. AYP: 85% met.  FY 09-10, School Grade C, AYP 77%, Meeting high standards 54% Reading, 51% Math, 92% Writing, 24% Science
Assis Principal	Dennis Pfeil	Degrees: BS - Education English, MS - Educational Leadership. Certifications: Educational Leadership, English	5	7	2011-2012: Grade: C, Reading Mastery: 37%, Math Mastery: 39%, Science Mastery: 30%, Writing Mastery: 84%  2010-2011: Grade: B, Reading Mastery: 52%, Math Mastery: 56%, Science Mastery: 32%, Writing Mastery: 92%. AYP: 85% met.  FY 09-10, School Grade C, AYP 77%, Meeting high standards 54% Reading, 51% Math, 92% Writing, 24% Science

#### INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Math	Kim Jastrome	BS - Elementary Education MS - Math Education	1	1	2011-2012: LC Swain Middle Grade: B, Reading Mastery: 42%, Math Mastery: 56%, Science Mastery: 29%, Writing Mastery: 75% 2010-2011: LC Swain Middle Grade: A, Reading Mastery: 59%, Math Mastery: 73%, Science Mastery: 40%, Writing Mastery: 81%.
Reading	Diann Spencer	Elementary Education, ESOL, Primary Education, Reading, SLD. MS - Reading	5	3	2011-2012: Grade: C, Reading Mastery: 37%, Math Mastery: 39%, Science Mastery: 30%, Writing Mastery: 84%  2010-2011: Grade: B, Reading Mastery: 52%, Math Mastery: 56%, Science Mastery: 32%, Writing Mastery: 92%. AYP: 85% met.  FY 09-10, School Grade C, AYP 77%, Meeting high standards 54% Reading, 51% Math, 92% Writing, 24% Science

#### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Pairing teachers who are new to the profession and new to the school with veteran staff	Assistant Principal	On-going	
2	2. Meetings with new teachers	Principal and Assistant Principal	On-going	
		Principal, Assistant Principal and		

#### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective	
No data submitted		

#### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	otal Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers		% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
4	8	0.0%(0)	10.4%(5)	43.8%(21)	45.8%(22)	37.5%(18)	95.8%(46)	22.9%(11)	2.1%(1)	27.1%(13)

#### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale	Planned Mentoring
	Assigned	for Pairing	Activities
Lauren Hamilton	Kate Strein	5	Shadowing Mentorship Commonn Planning

#### ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

#### Coordination and Integration

#### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Services are provided to ensure students requiring additional remediation are assisted through after-school programs and summer school. The district coordinates with Title II and Title III in ensuring staff development needs are provided.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

#### Title I, Part D

Title funds allows for extensive staff development, parent trainings, and purchase of academic supplemental materials/supplies. Also, Title I dollars are being utilized to fund the following positions: Math Coach, Reading Teacher and .5

Social Science Teacher. Tutorial Services will also be provided to identified students.
tle II
tle III
tle X- Homeless
upplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
olence Prevention Programs
Guest speakers will provide staff at HL Watkins information about violence and violence prevention by the following topics, groups and/or organizations: Bullying & Harassment - Safe Schools. In addition, local Police departments will discuss issues affecting local communities and collaborate with school administration on preventive measures.
District-wide implementation of Single School Culture as well as Appreciation of Multicultural Diversity.
utrition Programs
Grab and Go – An estimated 600 students receive a Free breakfast each morning.
ousing Programs
ead Start
dult Education
areer and Technical Education
Pre-Medical Magnet and Math, Science & Robotics Magnet Choice Programs are offered at HL Watkins Middle.
bb Training
ther
Required instruction listed in Fla. Stat 1003.42(2) as applicable to appropriate grade levels
lulti-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)
School-based MTSS/RtI Team-
dentify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

The school-based RTI Leadership Team is comprised of the combination of the following members: Don Hoffman—Principal; Dennis Pfeil, Richard Brown, & Imogene Clarke - Assistant Principals; Jacqueline Batista - Guidance Counselor; ESE Contact Kerrie Huering; Cathy Cominio - ESOL Contact; Classroom teachers as applicable, Diann Spencer -Reading Coach and Kim Jastrome - Math Coach.

Don Hoffman - Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing RtI, conducts assessment of RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.

Dennis Pfeil, Richard Brown & Imogene Clarke – Assistant Principals: supports the principal in all endeavors listed above. Select General Education Teachers: Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Selected Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as coteaching and provide support for an ESE inclusion model.

Kim Jastrome (Math), Diann Spencer (Reading)Instructional Coaches:

Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Reading Instructional Specialist: Provides guidance on K-12 reading plan; facilitates and supports data collection activities; assists in data analysis; provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data-bases instructional planning; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans.

Selected English to Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) Teachers: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into effective ESOL strategies for instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching.

Kerrie Huering - ESE Contact: Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitates development of intervention plans; provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; provides professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation; facilitates data-based decision making activities.

Technology Specialist: Develops or brokers technology necessary to manage and display data; provides professional development and technical support to teachers and staff regarding data management and display.

Speech Language Pathologist: Educates the team in the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction, as a basis for appropriate program design; assists in the selection measures; and helps identify systemic patterns of student need with respect to language skills.

Student Services Personnel: Provides quality services and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students. In addition to providing interventions, school social workers continue to link child-serving and community agencies to the schools and families to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The school-based RtI Leadership Team will meet regularly to review universal screening data, diagnostic data, and progress monitoring data. After determining that effective Tier 1- Core Instruction is in place, the team will identify students who are not meeting identified academic targets. The identified students will be referred to the school-based RtI Leadership Team.

The SBT will use the Problem Solving Model\* to conduct all meetings. Based on data and discussion, the team will identify students who are in need of additional academic and/or behavioral support (supplemental or intensive). An intervention plan will be developed (PBCSD Form 2284) which identifies a student's specific areas of deficiencies and appropriate research-based interventions to address these deficiencies. The team will ensure the necessary resources are available and the intervention is implemented with fidelity. Each case will be assigned a case liaison to support the interventionist (e.g., teacher, RtI/Inclusion Facilitator, guidance counselor) and report back on all data collected for further discussion at future meetings.

#### \*\* Problem Solving Model

The four steps of the Problem Solving Model are:

Problem Identification entails identifying the problem and the desired behavior for the student.

Problem Analysis involves analyzing why the problem is occurring by collecting data to determine possible causes of the identified problem.

Intervention Design & Implementation involves selecting or developing evidence-based interventions based upon data previously collected. These interventions are then implemented.

Evaluating is also termed Response-to-Intervention. In this step, the effectiveness of a student's or group of students' response to the implemented intervention is evaluated and measured.

The problem solving process is self-correcting, and, if necessary, recycles in order to achieve the best outcomes for all students. This process is strongly supported by both IDEA and NCLB. Specifically, both legislative actions support all students achieving benchmarks regardless of their status in general or special education.

\*\* Problem Solving & Response to Intervention Project 2008

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Members of the school-based Rtl Leadership Team will meet with the School Advisory Council (SAC) and will help develop the SY11 SIP. Utilizing the previous year's data, information on Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 targets and focus attention on deficient areas will be discussed.

Topics for discussion include, but are not limited to, the following:

FCAT scores and the lowest 25%

AYP and subgroups strengthens and weaknesses of intensive programs mentoring, tutoring, and other services

#### MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

#### Baseline data:

- Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)
- · Curriculum Based Measurement
- Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
- Palm Beach County Fall Diagnostics
- Palm Beach Writes
- Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN)
- Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)
- · Office Discipline Referrals
- Retentions
- Absences

#### Midyear data:

- Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
- Palm Beach County Winter Diagnostics
- · Palm Beach Writes
- Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN)

#### End of year data:

- Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
- Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)
- FCAT Writes

Frequency of required Data Analysis and Action Planning Days:

Once within a cycle of instruction (refer to appropriate focus calendar)

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development will be offered to RtI/Inclusion Facilitator by district staff every Monday during SY11.

The school-based RtI/Inclusion Facilitator will provide in-service to the faculty on designated professional development days (PDD). These in-service opportunities will include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Problem Solving Model
- · consensus building
- Positive Behavioral Intervention and Support (PBIS)
- data-based decision-making to drive instruction
- · progress monitoring
- selection and availability of research-based interventions
- · tools utilized to identify specific discrepancies in reading

Individual professional development will be provided to classroom teachers, as needed

Describe the plan to support MTSS.								
п								

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) will consist of the reading coach, principal, assistant principal, intensive reading teachers, media specialist, and classroom teachers.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT will meet monthly to discuss Comprehensive Reading Plan, reading initiatives and their effectivess.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Major initiatives of the LLT this year will be where all teachers demonstrate effective reading strategies through read-aloud, focused independent reading or school-wide novels, with aligned focus questions. Additionally, the Reading Coach will provide modeling, classroom visits and feedback to all core area teachers to build their knowledge base of effective reading strategies and to ensure that our reading program is implemented with fidelity.

#### Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

No Attachment

\*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

N/A

\*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Major initiatives of the LLT this year will be where all teachers demonstrate effective reading strategies through read-aloud, focused independent reading or school-wide novels, with aligned focus questions. Additionally, the Reading Coach will provide modeling, classroom visits and feedback to all core area teachers to build their knowledge base of effective reading strategies and to ensure that our reading program is implemented with fidelity.

\*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

N/A

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

N/A

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

# Reading Goals

* Whe	n using percentages, include	the number of students the p	percentage represents	s (e.g., 70% (35)).		
	on the analysis of studen		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
readi		g at Achievement Level 3		On the FCAT 2013, 40% of students will score a Level 3 or		
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance:		
	ides 6-8, 37% (159)of stu administration of the FCAT		the On the FCAT 20 better.	013, 40% of students will s	score a Level 3 or	
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Read 180 Program should be utilized to meet the individualized needs of the students.	1. Implementation of Read 180 with the ability to modify the program to meet the needs of students.  2. Incorporate before and after school tutorial programs for remediation and enrichment of students.  3. Utilization of Instructional Focus Calendars in all Language Arts classrooms  4. Utilize Title I dollars to purchase teachers.  5. Teachers are pursuing their Reading Endorsement.  6. Utilize data from formative teacher assessments to determine the needs of students.	<ol> <li>Principal,</li> <li>Assistant Principal,</li> <li>and Reading Coach</li> <li>Principal,</li> <li>Assistant Principal,</li> </ol>	Read 180 data analysis     Attendance will be taken. Lesson plans will be submitted to	Read 180 reports 2. Administration will review attendance, lesson plans, and assessment results 3. Pre and Post Assessments.	
2	Limited Title 1 Funding.	Teachers will attend district professional development workshops throughout the school year along with the opportunity to attend Professional Reading Conferences outside of the school district.  Teachers will participate in Professional Learning Communities to develop the skills necessary to effectively implement reading across the curriculum to maintain proficiency amongst students	Principal, Assistant Principal's and Reading Coach	1. Administration will review Instructional Focus Calendars and monitor implementation during walkthroughs 2. Lesson plans from teachers, Reading Coach log sheets, and walkthroughs 3. Student participation in Reading Counts Program with support of the classroom teacher and Media Specialist. 4. Teacher led Professional Development to staff members.	1. Attendance sheets, demonstrations of professional development. 2. Professional development signin sheets and teacher implementation	

students.

3	Grade 6 Reading test is computer based	CORE K12 assessments	Principal's and Reading Coach	Development sign-in	results 2. Professional Development sign-
---	--	----------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------	---

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. On the FAA 2013, 5% of students will score a Level 4, 5 or 6 on the 2013 Reading FAA Test. Reading Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: On the FAA 2013, 5% of students will score a Level 4, 5 N/A or 6 on the 2013 Reading FAA Test. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy The trend is that our FAA Students will be Principal, Administration will Assessment data Assistant students identified in the reading conduct classroom and progress are not maintaining classrooms and Principals, walkthroughs, lesson monitoring teachers will Reading Coach, ESE plan checks and proficiency. reports. differentiate instruction Coordinator monitor assessment based on the needs of and Learning results. the students. Team Facilitator.

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading.  Reading Goal #2a:			On the FCAT 20	On the FCAT 2013, 19% of students will score a Level 4 or 5 on the 2013 Reading FCAT Test.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	Level of Performance:			
In grades 6-8, 14% (78) of students scored a Level 4 or 5 or the 2012 administration of the FCAT Reading Test.				On the FCAT 2013, 19% of students will score a Level 4 or 5 on the 2013 Reading FCAT Test.			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Providing outside reading enrichment for students.	Provide reading enrichment for students through all content classes. 2. Provide		Administration will conduct classroom walkthroughs, lesson plan checks and monitor	Reading Counts Participation Reports, Weekly/Bi-weekly		

assessment results.

assessments and

opportunities for

		students to participate in Book Club and Reading Counts.			Progress Reports.
2	Focus tends to shift to the lowest 30% of students which becomes detrimental to the highest acheiving students.	students to monitor proficieny on benchmark assessments. 2. All teachers will meet	reading coach, teachers 2. Teachers and learning team facilitator.	teacher checklist 2. Student Samples of work and assessments 3.	Data tracking, benchmark graphs and progress monitoring checklists

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in On the 2013 FAA Reading Test, 95% students scored at or reading. above Achievement Level 7 on the 2013 administration of the FAA Reading Test. Reading Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: On the 2013 FAA Reading Test, 95% students scored at or N/A above Achievement Level 7 on the 2013 administration of the FAA Reading Test. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Total number of students Provide reading Principal, Administration will Data tracking, in class. enrichment for students. Assistant conduct classroom benchmark graphs Principal, and walkthroughs, lesson and progress Teachers will track Reading Coach plan checks and monitoring their students to monitor monitor assessment proficieny on benchmark results. assessments.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
			On the FCAT 2013, 65% of students will make learning gains in reading.		
			saamig		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
In grades 6-8, 60% (327)of students made learning gains in Reading.			On the FCAT 2013, 65% of students will make learning gains in reading.		
	Problem-Solving Process	to Incr	ease Studen	t Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Resp	erson or Position ponsible for ponitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Read 180 Program shou	ld 1. Implementation of	1. Prin	icipal,	1. Read 180 data analysis	1. Print out of

1		Read 180 with the ability to modify the program to meet the needs of students.  2. Incorporate before and after school tutorial programs for remediation and enrichment of students.  3. Utilization of the Instructional Focus Calendars in all Language Arts classrooms  4. Utilize Title I dollars to purchase to Reading Teachers.  5. Use data from formative teacher assessments to determine the needs of students.	and Reading Coach  2. Principal, Assistant Principal, and Reading Coach  3. Principal,	taken. Lesson plans will be submitted to administration. 3. Administration will conduct classroom walkthroughs, lesson plan checks and monitor	Read 180 reports 2. Administration will review attendance, lesson plans, and assessment results 3. Benchmark Assessments
2	Limited Title 1 Funding.	1. Teachers will attend district professional development workshops throughout the school year along with the opportunity to attend Professional Reading Conferences outside of the school district. Reading strategies will also be infused in all core classes.  2. Teachers will participate in Professional Learning Communities to develop the skills necessary to effectively implement reading across the curriculum to maintain proficiency mongst students.	Principal's and Reading Coach	1. Administration will review Instructional Focus Calendars and monitor implementation during walkthroughs 2. Lesson plans from teachers, Reading Coach logs sheets, and walkthroughs 3. Student participation in Reading Counts Program with support of the classroom teacher and Media Specialist. 4. Teacher lead Professional Development to staff members.	1. Attendance sheets, demonstrations of professional development. 2. Professional development signin sheets and teacher implementation

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in On the 2013 FAA Reading Test, 100% students will make reading. satisfactory learning gains on the FAA Reading Test. Reading Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: On the 2013 FAA Reading Test, 100% students will make N/A satisfactory learning gains on the FAA Reading Test. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Increased number of Principal, Teachers will Walkthroughs, Benchmark students in class. work closely with Assistant monitoring lesson Assessments and individual students and Principal, ESE Diagnostic plans, sign-in sheets assess and monitor their Coordinator, Assessment Data. Teachers progress. Progress Students Monitoring Data

Tracking Tools

will participate in

	afterschool tutorial and/or in-school pull-out for remediation.		
2	district professional development workshops	lesson plans and	Progress Monitoring Data Tracking Tools

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. On the FCAT 2013, 71% of students identified in the lowest 25% will show learning gains in Reading. Reading Goal #4: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In grades 6-8, 66% (92)students identified in the lowest 25% On the FCAT 2013, 71% of students identified in the lowest showed learning gains in Reading. 25% will show learning gains in Reading. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 1. Read 180 data analysis 1. Print out of Read 180 program should 1. Implementation of 1. Principal, be utilized to meet the Assistant Principal, Read 180 with the ability Read 180 reports individualized needs of to modify the program to and Reading Coach 2. Attendance will be 2. Administration students. meet the needs of taken. Lesson plans will will review students. 2. Principal, be submitted to attendance, lesson 2. Incorporate before and Assistant Principal, administration. plans, and and Reading Coach Walkthroughs will be after school tutorial assessment results programs for remedial and conducted. 3. Pre and Post enrichment of students. 3. Principal, Assessments Assistant Principal, 3. Utilization of Instructional Focus and Reading Coach Calendar in all Language Arts classrooms 4. Utilize Title I dollars to purchase to Reading Teachers. 5. Utilize data from formative teacher assessments to determine the needs of students. Limited Title 1 Funding. 1. Teachers will attend Principal, Assistant 1. Administration will 1. Attendance Principal's and district professional review Instructional sheets, Reading Coach demonstrations of development workshops Focus Calendars and monitor implementation throughout the school professional year along with the during walkthroughs development. 2. Lesson plans from 2. Professional opportunity to attend teachers, Reading Coach Professional Reading development sign-Conferences outside of log sheets, and in sheets and

teacher

implementation

walkthroughs

in Reading Counts

3. Student participation

the school district

participate in Professional

2. Teachers will

	Learning Communities to develop the skills encessary to effectively implement reading across the curriculum to maintain proficiency amongst students.		Program with support of the classroom teacher and Media Specialist. 4. Teacher led Professional Development to staff members.	
3	teachers will identify their lowest 30% of reading students utilizing	Principal's, Reading Coach, and	Administration will hold data chats with the lowest 30% in their grade level	EDW reports

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target Reading Goal # 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual \*(2010-2011)52% Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year 2011-2012 = 37% school will reduce their achievement gap 2012-2013 = 40% by 50%. 2013-2014 = 43% 5A Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 2010-2011 37% 40% 43% 46% 49%

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making 34% of Black students, 78% of white, 58% of Hispanic, and satisfactory progress in reading. 65% of Asian will score a level of proficiency in reading on the 2013 FCAT Assessment. Reading Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In 2012, 29% (122) percent of Black students, 73% (32) In 2013,34% of black students,78% of white, 58% of white, 53% (40) Hispanic, 60% (9) Asian made proficiency in Hispanic, and 65% of Asian will score a level of proficiency in reading according to the 2012 state assessment. reading on the 2013 FCAT Assessment. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Black students are not showing adequate progress.	differentiate instruction	Principals, Reading Coach, and		Assessments and teacher reports.
/	Black students are not maintaining proficiency year to year.	advanced language arts	Principals, Reading Coach, and Learning Team Facilitator,		Assessment data and progress monitoring reports.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.

94% students English Language Learners (ELL) will make

Reading Goal #5C:

94% students English Language Learners (ELL) will make satisfactory progress in reading.

2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
In 2012, 93% (48)students English Language Learners (ELL) did not make satisfactory progress in reading.	In 2013, 94% students English Language Learners (ELL) will make satisfactory progress in reading.
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	The trend is that our ELL students are not maintaining satisfactory progress year to year.	Utilize data from formative teacher assessments to determine the needs of students.  Incorporate before and after school tutorial programs for remedial and enrichment of students.  Utilization of Instructional Focus Calendar.  Teachers will attend district professional development workshops throughout the school year along with the opportunity to attend Professional Reading Conferences outside of the school district Teachers will participate in Professional Learning Communities to develop the skills necessary to effectively implement reading strategies.	Principal, Assistant Principal,Teachers and Reading Coach.	Attendance will be taken. Lesson plans will be submitted to administration.  Walkthroughs will be conducted.	Administration will review attendance, lesson plans, and assessment results.  Data tracking, benchmark graphs and progress monitoring checklists.  Pre and Post Assessments.  EDW Reports.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. 70% of our students with disability will score a level of proficiency on the 2013 FCAT Assessment Test. Reading Goal #5D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 33% (81) of students with disabilities made satisfactory 70% of students with disabilities will make satisfactory progress on the 2012 FCAT Assessment. progress on the 2013 FCAT Assessment. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Increased number of 1.Inclusion teachers will Principal, Assistant Teacher maintance of benchmark students have been work closely with Principal, ESE lesson plans and teacher assessments and

Coordinator,

Inclusion Teachers

logs.

Diagnostic

Assessment Data.

individual students and

progress. 2. Students

assess and monitor their

placed in Inclusion

Classes vs. full time.

1		reading below grade level will utilize the Read 180 Reading Program. 3. Students will participate in after-school tutorial and/or in-school pull-out for remediation.		
2	Limited Title 1 Funding	district professional	Principal, ESE Coordinator, Inclusion Teachers	 Progress Monitoring data tracking tools

	on the analysis of studen or overment for the following	t achievement data, and re	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
satisf	5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5E:			68% of economically disadvantaged students will make satisfactory progress on the 2013 FCAT Assessment Test. The above percentage is based on Safe Harbor requirements.		
2012	Current Level of Perforr	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
67% (340) of economically disadvantaged students did not make satisfactory progress in reading on the 2012 FCAT Assessment Test.			will make satisf assessment or will score a leve	By June 2013, 68% of economically disadvantaged students will make satisfactory progress on the FCAT reading assessment or 68% of economically disadvantaged students will score a level of proficiency in reading on the 2013 FCAT Assessment based on Safe Harbor requirements.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	The trend is that our Economically Disadvantaged students are not maintaining proficiency year to year.	1. Students will be identified in the regular and advanced classrooms and teachers will differentiate instruction based on teh needs of each subgroup. 2. L1 and L2 students will receive intensive reading instruction in Read 180 and other supplemental materals. 3. Technology access and 4. Tutoring and other services through agencies with cooperative agreements (Boys and Girls Club).	Reading Teachers.	Administration will conduct classroom walkthroughs, lesson plan checks and monitor assessment results	Assessment data and progress monitoring reports	

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Item Spec. Training Read 180 Training	Reading and Language Arts Teachers. Reading Teachers	North Area Reading Resource Teacher and Reading Coach. Professional Development Team	Reading Teachers and Language Arts. Reading Teachers	On Going training; incorporated into each PD completed August 2013	targeted to individual benchmarks. Implementation of Read 180 Centers	
Data Disaggration	All Teachers	PD Team	Teahers and Administrators	September 2013	Learning Team	Principal, Assistant Principals, Learning Team Facilitator, and coaches.
Lesson Studies	Teachers	Learning Team Facilitator	Teachers	August through May 2013	Classroom	Principal, Assistant Principals, Coaches and Learning Team Facilitator

### Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	iterial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
FCAT Prep Workbooks	Teachers will use FCAT 2.0 workbooks to remediate and assess students	Title I	\$1,500.00
Purchase Reading Coach (.5)	A reading coach (.5) will be purchased to support reading teachers while building capacity	Operating Budget/Trade Off	\$27,452.00
Purchase Reading Plus	Teachers will use Reading Plus in the reading classrooms. All students will also be able to access this at home.	Title I	\$8,120.00
		Suk	ototal: \$37,072.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Attend Reading Conference	Teachers will travel to local/state reading conferences	Title I	\$2,000.00
Substitutes for PD	Substitutes will be provided for teachers to attend trainings	Title I	\$556.00
		St	ubtotal: \$2,556.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

After School Tutorial	Tutorial will be provided for all students in grades 6-8	Title I	\$4,000.00
Teachers will be provided basic classroom supplies (paper, pens, chart paper, printer cartridges, other academic supplies		Title I	\$1,000.00
			Subtotal: \$5,000.00

End of Reading Goals

Grand Total: \$44,628.00

### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

Instructional Focus

Calendars.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. In 2013, 48% of our students will be proficient in listening/speaking. CELLA Goal #1: 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking: In 2012, 43% (25) of our students proficient in listening/speaking. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Meeting the Teachers will modify Principal, Administration Lesson plans will individualized needs of lessons to meet the Assistant be submitted to will review the students. needs of students. Principal's and administration. lesson plans, Reading Coach assessment Teachers will Administration will results, and conduct classroom Benchmark incorporate more listening/speaking walkthroughs, lesson Assessments. activities into lessons. plan checks and monitor assessment Utilization of the results.

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.						
2. Students scoring proficient in reading.  CELLA Goal #2:  In 2013,31% of students will be proficient in reading.						
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:						
In 2012, 26% (15) students proficient in reading.						
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Reading strategies	Teachers will utilize	Principal,	Attendance will be	Administration	

	should be utilized to	CORE K12 assessments	Assistant	taken. Lesson plans will	will review
	meet the individualized	on the computer to	Principal,	be submitted to	attendance,
	needs of the students.	monitor student data.	Teachers and	administration.	lesson plans, and
			Reading Coach		assessment
		Teachers will teach	_	Administration will	results
		students how to use		conduct classroom	
		the tools on the		walkthroughs, lesson	Core K12 data
1		computer and develop		plan checks and	results.
1		new reading strategies		monitor assessment	
		to meet the needs of		results.	Professional
		students.			Development
				Core K12 data	sign-in sheets.
		Professional		results	
		Development on			
		different reading		Professional	
		strategies.		Development sign-in	
				sheets.	

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.						
	3. Students scoring proficient in writing.  CELLA Goal #3:  In 2013, 21% of our students will be proficient in writing.					
2012	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:					
In 20	In 2012, 16% (9) of students proficient in writing.					
	Prol	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	No allocation for Writing Coach	writing process daily; all writing will be dated and recorded in a portfolio for monitoring	·	saving students work will be established (portfolios).	Watkins Writing Plan data tracking tool.	
•		of growth across time.  One-on-one writing conferences will be conducted with students.		Progress monitoring. The Principal and Assistant Principals will conduct walk throughs and monitor.	Scores from monthly prompts, Palm Beach Writes scores,	

### CELLA Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-	•	Subtotal: \$0.00
echnology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		<del></del>	

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
		N- D-t-	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00 Subtotal: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

#### Middle School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. 40% of all students will score a level of proficiency on the 2012 FCAT Assessment Test. Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 25% (140) of all students scored a level of proficiency on the 40% of all students will score a level of proficiency on the 2012 Math FCAT Assessment Test. 2013 Math FCAT Assessment Test. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Second year for FCAT Principal, Assistant 1.The use of lesson 1.Common/Core 2.0 Principals, Math Mathematics teachers plans. K12 Assessments will incorporate bell Coach 2. Winter and Fall ringers so that skills can Department head shall Diagnostics be built day to day. monitor through Department planning and All mathematics teachers communication with lead will develop and teacher. implement Instructional Focus Calendars with Department meeting fidelity minutes given to Administration. Department Planning, to help teachers implement the Instructional Focus Calendars and help promote single school culture. 4. Identified students will participate in after school and Saturday tutorial. New changes to the Item 1. Increase the use of Principal, Assistant 1. Review and analyze 1. Diamond technology, such as assessments, diagnostic Specifications and Principals, Math reports, and CORE Standards. Gizmos, FOCUS, scores, and diamond K12 reports Coach Riverdeep, FCAT Explorer reports. 2. Assessment and Core K12, with results including 2. Classroom fidelity, to improve math walkthroughs diagnostics and skills. 3. Attendance will be **FCAT** 3. Administration 2. Increase the use of taken. Lesson plans will hands-on manipulatives be submitted to will review to reinforce math administration. attendance, lesson Walkthroughs will be concepts. plans, and 3. Incorporate before and conducted. assessment results after school tutorial programs for remedial and enrichment of students. Lack of intensive math 1. Develop an Principal, Assistant 1. Review and analyze 1.Lesson plans and instruction Instructional Focus Principals, Math assessments, diagnostic benchmark Calendar for identified Coach scores and CoreK12 assessments Mathematics reporting assessment data. 2. Review of EDW 2. Administration will catergories. reports on 2. Utilization of a review Instructional diagnostic test and Mathematics Coach to Focus Calendars and FCAT results assist teachers in monitor implementation 3. Progress providing specific lessons during walkthroughs. Monitoring

		and best instruction strategies for students. 3. tracking student data and following the FCIM model of instruction.		3. Lesson plans from teachers, Mathematics Coach logs sheets, and walkthroughs 4. Use of computer lab for assessments.	checklist.
4	Algebra students' EOC counts for school grade and the credit is applied to their high school GPA	<ol> <li>Track and monitor students' progress on the EOC diagnostics, quarter grades and classroom assessments.</li> <li>Discuss students' grades and data with the parent and student prior to scheduling students in the Algebra class.</li> </ol>	Principals, Math Coach, teachers	assessments, diagnostic scores and Core K12 assessment data. 2. On going discussions regarding students progress and placement in the program.	1.Lesson plans and benchmark assessments 2.Review of EDW reports on diagnostic test and FCAT results 3. Progress Monitoring checklist.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. On the FAA 2013, 5% of the students will score a level 4,5, or 6. Mathematics Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: On the FAA 2013, 5% of the students will score a level 4,5, N/A or 6. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Responsible Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
				its will score a level of 4 or ent test.	5 on the 2013	
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	(78) of students scored a Assessment Test.	level 4 or 5 on the 2012 M		20% of students will score a level of 4 or 5 on the 2013 FCAT Assessment test.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Advanced math curriculum needs additional enrichment materials in order for students to achieve and maintain a level 4 or 5	Higher order questioning and critical thinking questions.     Enrichment through differentiation of instruction.	Principal, Assistan Principal, Math Coach, Learning Team Facilitator and Teachers.	t data assessment review in LTM and department meetings. Classroom Walkthroughs identifying specific math strategies within the	1. Math Diagnostics 2. Common formative assessments	

	develop benchmark	instructional process.	
	projects to enrich the		
	curriculum.		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

or improvement for the following group.	
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #2b:	95% of the students will score a level 7 or higher on the Math FL Alt. Assessment Test.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
N/A	95% of the students will score a level 7 or higher on the Math FL Alt. Assessment Test.

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	·				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Third year for FCAT 2.0	1. Mathematics teachers will incorporate bell ringers so that skills can be built day to day. 2. All mathematics teachers will develop and implement Instructional Focus Calendars with fidelity 3. Department Planning, to help teachers implement the Instructional Focus Calendars and help promote single school culture.  4. Identified students will participate in after school and Saturday tutorial.	Principal, Assistant Principals, Math Coach	1.The use of lesson plans. 2. Department head shall monitor through Department planning and communication with lead teacher. 3. Department meeting minutes given to Administration.	1.Common/Core K12 Assessments 2.Winter and Fall Diagnostics
2	New changes to the Item Specifications and Standards.	1. Increase the use of technology, such as Gizmos, FOCUS, Riverdeep, FCAT Explorer and Core K12, with fidelity, to improve math skills. 2. Increase the use of hands-on manipulatives to reinforce math concepts. 3. Incorporate before and after school tutorial programs for remedial and enrichment of students.	Principals, Math Coach	1. Review and analyze assessments, diagnostic scores, and diamond reports. 2. Classroom walkthroughs 3. Attendance will be taken. Lesson plans will be submitted to administration. Walkthroughs will be conducted.	Diamond reports, and CORE K12 reports     Assessment results including diagnostics and FCAT     Administration will review attendance, lesson plans, and assessment results
3	Lack of intensive math instruction	1.Develop an Instructional Focus Calendar for identified Mathematics reporting catergories. 2. Utilization of a Mathematics Coach to assist teachers in providing specific lessons and best instruction	Principal, Assistant Principals, Math Coach	Review and analyze assessments, diagnostic scores and CoreK12 assessment data.     Administration will review Instructional Focus Calendars and monitor implementation during walkthroughs.     Lesson plans from	1.Lesson plans and benchmark assessments 2.Review of EDW reports on diagnostic test and FCAT results 3. Progress Monitoring checklist

		strategies for students. 3. tracking student data and following the FCIM model of instruction.		teachers, Mathematics Coach logs sheets, and walkthroughs 4. Use of computer lab for assessments.	
4	Algebra students' EOC counts for school grade and the credit is applied to their high school GPA	1. Track and monitor students' progress on the EOC diagnostics, quarter grades and classroom assessments. 2. Discuss students' grades and data with the parent and student prior to scheduling students in the Algebra class.	Principals, Math Coach, teachers	scores and Core K12 assessment data. 2. On going discussions regarding students progress and placement in the program.	1.Lesson plans and benchmark assessments 2.Review of EDW reports on diagnostic test and FCAT results 3. Progress Monitoring checklist

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. 95% of all students will achieve learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Assessment Test. Mathematics Goal #3a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 95% of all students will achieve learning gains on the 2013 N/A FCAT Assessment Test. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy No intensive math Principal, Assistant 1. progress monitoring 1. Utilizing the FCIM to Diagnostic tests classes are offered. monitor the progress of Principals, Math tool 2. % of students and common level 1 and 2 students to Coach and attending after school assessments. and Saturday tutorials 3. ensure progress. Teachers. 2. Remediation will be classroom walkthroughs. offered after school and at Saturday Tutorials. 3. Differentiation of instruction within the classroom. Lack of Common Planning 1. Providing time within Principal, Assistant 1. Sign-in sheets Student data and due to the master the school day for Principals, Coach 2. Samples of student progress schedule teachers to meet as a and Learning Team work and lesson plans monitoring graphs team to discuss data, Facilitator determine a differentiated instruction plan and develop rigourous and relevant assignments.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in ne of improvement for the following group:					
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3b:	90% of students will make learning gains on the 2013 Math FI. Alt. Assessment Test.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
100% of students(3) made learning gains on the 2012 Math	90% of students will make learning gains on the 2013 Math				

FI. Alt. Assessment Test.			FI. Alt. Assessment Test.		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to Increase St	udent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. 60% of the lowest 25% of students will make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Assessment Test. Mathematics Goal #4: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 55% of students in the lowest 25% made learning gains on 60% of the lowest 25% of students will make learning gains the 2012 Math FCAT Assessment Test. on the 2013 FCAT Assessment Test. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Effectiveness of Responsible for Monitoring Strategy L1 and L2 students do Principal, Assistant Progress monitoring Follow the FCIM model Classroom not have intensive math and provide remediation Principals, Math tracking tool Walkthroughs and courses offered. and enrichment to Coach and common students according to Teachers. assessments. data.

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target							
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.				ematics Goal # tudents will score T Assessment Test	_	iciency on	
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	23%	40%	50%	60%	73%		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #5B:

40% of all Black students will make Adequate Yearly Progress on the 2013 FCAT Assessement Test and 77% of White students, 60% of Hispanic and 65% of Asian students will show Adequate Yearly Progress.

Black students scored 29% (122) proficiency on the 2012 FCAT Assessment Test, while the White students scored a level of 73% (32), Hispanic students scored 53% (40) and Asian students scored 60% (9) on the 2012 FCAT Math Assessment Test.

40% of all Black students will make Adequate Yearly Progress on the 2013 FCAT Assessement Test and 77% of White students, 60% of Hispanic and 65% of Asian students will show Adequate Yearly Progress.

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Black students are not showing adequate progress.	Students will be identified in the reading classrooms and teachers will differentiate instruction based on the needs of each subgroup.	! '	J ,	Assessments and progres monitoring tools
2	maintaining proficiency year to year.	5	Principals, Math Coach and	5 ,	Assessments and progres monitoring tools

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. 25% of all ELL students will make Adequate Yearly Progress on the 2013 FCAT Assessement Test. Mathematics Goal #5C: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 25% of all ELL students will make Adequate Yearly Progress ELL students scored 7% (3) proficiency on the 2012 FCAT Assessment Test. on the 2013 FCAT Assessement Test. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy NA NA NA NA NA

1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
				25% of all SWD students will make Adequate Yearly Progress on the 2013 FCAT Assessement Test.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
SWD students scored 13% (11) proficiency on the 2012 FCAT Assessment Test.				25% of all SWD students will make Adequate Yearly Progress on the 2013 FCAT Assessement Test.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1	Students with Disabilities are mainstreamed into regular classrooms.	monitor the performance of each student; revise instruction and intervention groups as	Principals, Math	intervention strategies.	Classroom Walkthroughs, Diagnostic Assessments, and weekly
		needed.			Assessements.

	l on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and reg subgroup:	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal E:				Economically Disadvantaged students will score 40% proficiency on the 2013 FCAT Assessment Test.		
2012	Current Level of Perforr	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
Adequ	of all Economically Disadva uate Yearly Progress on th sement Test.			Economically Disadvantaged students will score 40% proficiency on the 2013 FCAT Assessment Test.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	L1 and L2 students are not offered Intensive Math Classes.	1. Differeniated Instruction within the classroom and identification of benchmark specific student needs. 2. Before and afterschool tutorial will be provided to identified students.	Principals, Math Coach, and Teachrs.	Classroom Walkthroughs, benchmark appropriate groupings.	Weekly Assessments and Diagnostic Assessments.	
2	L1 and L2 students are not offered Intensive Math Classes.	Remediation will be offered after school and at Saturday Tutorials.	Principal, Assistant Principals, Math Coach, and Teachrs.	Tutorial Sign-in Sheets	Pre & Post Assessment.	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

# Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
		70% of Studen 2013.	70% of Students scored a level 3 on the Algebra 1 EOC 2013.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
64%( EOC 2	14)of Students scored a 2012.	level 3 on the Algebra 1	70% of Studen 2013.	70% of Students scored a level 3 on the Algebra 1 EOC 2013.		
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

	students' progress on the EOC diagnostics,	Assistant Principals, Math Coach, and	intervention strategies	Classroom Walkthroughs, Diagnostic Assessments, and weekly Assessements.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #2:				its scored a level 4 or 5 (	on the Algebra 1	
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	e:	
1	(7)of Students scored a ra 1 EOC 2012.	level 4 & above on the	40% of Studen EOC 2013.	40% of Students scored a level 4 or 5 on the Algebra 1 EOC 2013.		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Algebra students' EOC counts for school grade and the credit is applied to their high school GPA	students' progress on the EOC diagnostics,	Principal, Assistant Principals, Math Coach, and Teachers	Progress reporting and intervention strategies	Classroom Walkthroughs, Diagnostic Assessments, and weekly Assessements.	

End of Algebra EOC Goals

### Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.

Geometry Goal #1:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

70% of Students will score a level 3 or higher on the Geometry EOC 2013.

70% of Students will score a level 3 or higher on the Geometry EOC 2013.

70% of Students will score a level 3 or higher on the Geometry EOC 2013.

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

				·	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Geometry students' EOC counts for school grade and the credit is applied to their high school GPA	students' progress on the EOC diagnostics,	Principals, Math Coach, and	Progress reporting and intervention strategies	Classroom Walkthroughs, Diagnostic Assessments, and weekly Assessements.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define area in need of improvement for the following group:					lentify and define areas
<ul><li>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels</li><li>4 and 5 in Geometry.</li><li>Geometry Goal #2:</li></ul>		N/A			
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

 $\label{thm:please} \textit{Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.}$ 

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount		

Utilize Title I budget to purchase 1 math teacher	8th grade math teacher	Title I	\$63,644.00
FCAT Prep workbooks	Teachers will use FCAT 2.0 workbooks to remediate and assess students	Title I	\$1,500.00
		-	Subtotal: \$65,144.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Purchase Math Coach	Math coach will be utilized to work with all teachers on content and best practices	Title I	\$67,588.00
Math Conference	Teachers will attend local and state math conferences.	Title I	\$2,000.00
			Subtotal: \$69,588.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Classroom Supplies	Basic classroom materials (paper, pens, chart paper, math resource materials)	Title I	\$1,000.00
After School Tutoring	Tutorial will be provided for all students in grades 6-8	Title I	\$4,000.00
			Subtotal: \$5,000.00
			Grand Total: \$139,732.00

End of Mathematics Goals

# Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
Leve	CAT2.0: Students scor I 3 in science. nce Goal #1a:	ring at Achievement		35% of students will score at or above a level 3 on the 2013 FCAT Science Test.		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ce:	
	(39) of students achieve Science Test.	ed proficiency on the 20		35% of students will score at or above a level 3 on the 2013 FCAT Science Test.		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Maintaining proficient students.	Student levels will be identified and enrichment and/or reteaching will follow.      All students will be required to complete	Principal, Assistant Principals, Science Teachers, LTF	EDW data anlysis of diagnostic results     Data analysis of benchmark assessments	1. Progress Monitoring tools 2. FCIM lesson plans	
	Spiraling Curriculm is	Science Fair Projects.  1. Need on-going Labs,	Principal,	1. Creation and	1. Student	

2	only tested in 8th grade	use of manipulatives to reinforce NAture of		implementation of essential labs and gizmos. 2. Progress data graphs 3. Secondary benchmark calendar	samples of lab notebooks 2. Progress Monitoring tools 3. Classroom Walkthroughs
3	Science Coach not in Title 1 Budget.	North Area Resource will help develop reteaching/enrichment lessons     Department has to develop benchmark focused lessons and assessments to track data and ensure proficiency levels.	Assistant	Copies of lesson plans     Progress monitoring tracking tools	Benchmark focused, differentiated lesson plans     Diagnostic and formative assessment data

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. 100% of sudents will achieve a proficient level of 4 or better on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment. Science Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 100%(6) of sudents achieved a proficient level of 4 or 100% of sudents will achieve a proficient level of 4 or better on the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment. better on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy No allocation for Student subgroups will Principal, Small Group Activities, Weekly Science Coach. be identified and Assistant Continual Improvement Assessments and enrichment provided. SSS Diagnostic Principal, and model, and lesson Teachers providing Science plans. Tests. ancillary/supplemental Teachers. enrichment in the form of Gizmos and District Computer-Based Assistance.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a:	40% of sudents will achieve a proficient level of 4 or better on the 2013 FCAT Science Test.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
30% (53)of sudents achieved a proficient level of 4 or better on the 2012 FCAT Science Test.	40% of sudents will achieve a proficient level of 4 or better on the 2013 FCAT Science Test.			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1	No allocation for Science Coach	Instruction to ensure	Science	Small Group Activities, Continuous Improvement model, and differentiated lesson plans.	Benchmark Assessments and Diagnostic Tests				
2	Scope and Sequence Pacing.	1 3	Assistant		Lesson plans and classroom walkthroughs.				

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b:			6	60% of the students will score a level 7 or higher on the Science FL Alt. Assessment Test.				
2012	Current Level of Perf	ormance:	2	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ce:		
N/A	N/A				udents will score a level Alt. Assessment Test.	7 or higher on		
	Prob	olem-Solving Process t	to I n	ncrease Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Res	Person or Position sponsible for Wonitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	New changes to the Item Specifications and Standards.	1.Increase the use of technology, such as FCAT Explorer and Core K12, with fidelity, to improve science skills. 2. Increase the use of hands-on manipulative to reinforce scienceconcepts. 3. Incorporate before and after school tutorial programs for remedial and enrichment of students.	Ass	ncipal, istant ncipals, Math nch	1. Review and analyze assessments, diagnostic scores, and diamond reports. 2. Classroom walkthroughs 3. Attendance will be taken. Lesson plans will be submitted to administration. Walkthroughs will be conducted.	Diamond reports, and CORE K12 reports     Assessment results including diagnostics and FCAT     Administration will review attendance, lesson plans, and assessment results		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

#### Science Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
FCAT Prep Material	Teacher will use FCAT 2.0 workbooks to remediate and assess students	Title I	\$500.00
			Subtotal: \$500.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
After School Tutoring	Tutorial will be provided for all students in grades 6-8	Title I	\$3,000.00
			Subtotal: \$3,000.0
<u></u>			Grand Total: \$3,500.0

End of Science Goals

# Writing Goals

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

1	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd reference to "Gu	iding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.  Writing Goal #1a:			In grade 8, 90°	In grade 8, 90% of students will achieve mastery on the 2013 Writing FCAT test.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	ade 8, 84% of students a Writing FCAT test.	chieved mastery on the		In grade 8, 90% of students will achieve mastery on the 2013 Writing FCAT test.		
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	

. Monitoring Strategy

			<del> </del>		-
1	No allocation for Writing Coach	1.Students will use the writing process daily; all writing will be dated and recorded in a portfolio for monitoring of growth across time.  2. A school wide consistent method of saving student work will be established.  3. Social Studies will reinforce the writing process.  1. Grade 8 writing	Principals and Department Chair	1. A school wide consistent method of saving student work will be established. During the class period, students will place their writing notebooks, open to their last entry, on the top of their desk for the Principal and Assistant Principals to walk through and monitor.  2. Progress monitoring tools  1. Writing conference	
2	N/A	teachers will have one- on-one writing conferences with students prior to FCAT Writes.	teachers, Principal, Assistant Principal, Department Chair	days will be set up for students. Each teacher will meet, individually, with each student for	monthly prompts, Palm Beach Writes scores, FCAT Writes scores for grade 8
3	N/A	Incorporate before and after school tutorial programs, as well as Saturday Tutorial for remedial and enrichment of students.	Principal, Assistant Principal	Attendance will be taken. Lesson plans will be submitted to administration. Walkthroughs will be conducted.	Administration will review attendance, lesson plans, and assessment results
4	8th grade reading profciency scores drop each year	1. Develop targets for 6th and 7th grade students to meet in order ease the burden on the 8th grade teachers 2. Develop a common writing plan to be utilized across the curriculum.	Principal, Assistant Principal, LTF	Student samples and data tracking tools     Professional     Development for non-writing teachers	Progress Monitoring data tracking tools
5					

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
at 4 c	lorida Alternate Assess or higher in writing. ng Goal #1b:	sment: Students scorin	90% of FAA st	90% of FAA students will score at a 4 or higher in wirting on the 2013 Writing FCAT.			
2012	2012 Current Level of Performance:			d Level of Performance	<del>)</del> :		
No da	No data available			90% of FAA students will score at a 4 or higher in wirting on the 2013 Writing FCAT.			
	Prok	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Ro		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1		1.Students will use the writing process daily; all writing will be dated and recorded in a portfolio for monitoring of growth across time.  2. A school wide consistent method of	Principal,     Assistant     Principals and     Department Chair	1. A school wide consistent method of saving student work will be established. During the class period, students will place their writing notebooks, open to their last entry, on			

saving student work wil be established. 3. Social Studies will reinforce the writing process.	the top of their desk for the Principal and Assistant Principals to walk through and monitor. 2. Progress monitoring tools
---	--

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
What's New in FCAT Writes		North Area Writing Resource Teacher	Grade 8 Language Arts teachers and Media Specialist	September / October 2012	Writing scores on monthly prompts, Palm Beach Writes, FCAT Writes	Principal, Assistant Principal, Department Chairs and classroom teachers
Providing strategies for teachers to enrich and bring up writing scores so that a greater number of students score at or above a 4.0	Grade 8 Language Arts teachers & Media Specialist	School based personnel	Grade 8 Language Arts teachers & Media Specialist	September / October 2012	Writing scores on monthly prompts, Palm Beach Writes, FCAT Writes	Principal, Assistant Principal, Department Chairs and classroom teachers

### Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)	/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
After School Tutorial	Tutorial will be provided for all students in grade 8	Title I	\$3,375.00
Classroom Supplies	Teachers will be provided basic classroom supplies (paper, pens, chart paper, printer cartridges, other academic supplies	Title I	\$571.00

Subtotal: \$3,946.00

Grand Total: \$3,946.00

End of Writing Goals

#### Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)). Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics. Civics Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. Civics Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

#### Civics Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Civics Goals

### Attendance Goal(s)

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
Attendance  Attendance Goal #1:	During the 2013 School Year, the attendance rate will increase by 7%.			
2012 Current Attendance Rate:	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:			
85%	92%			
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)			
172	155			

	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)		
233			210	210		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		Attendance clerk, administration.	Decrease in excessive absences on Attendance reports.	Attendance records and Mainframe.		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
1200	All grades and teachers	ITSA		August 14, 2012; August 17, 2012	and collaborate with	Administration, Guidance, Attendance Clerk

#### Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

### Suspension Goal(s)

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of susp provement:	ension data, and referen	ice to "Guiding Que	estions", identify and def	fine areas in need	
	spension ension Goal #1:			During the 2013 school year, the Out-of- School and In- School Suspension rate will decrease by 10%.		
2012	Total Number of In-Sc	chool Suspensions	2013 Expecte	ed Number of In-Schoo	ol Suspensions	
	g the 2012 school year, hool Suspension.	161 students were placed		13 school year, 10% (70 School Suspension.	) or less students	
2012	Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended In-Sch	ool 2013 Expecte School	ed Number of Students	Suspended In-	
	g the 2012 school year, nded during In-School S	there were 188 students uspension.		13 school year, 10% (70 uspension while serving		
2012	Number of Out-of-Sch	ool Suspensions	2013 Expecte Suspensions	ed Number of Out-of-S	chool	
	g the 2012 school year, Df-School Suspensions.	there were a total of 171		During the 2013 school year, 10% (70) or less students (total school)will received Out-of-School Suspension.		
2012 Scho		ents Suspended Out-of-	- 2013 Expecte of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School		
	g the 2012 school year, thool Suspensions.	191 students received O		13 school year, 10% (70 tt-of-School Suspension		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Grade level assembly to		Principal	Discipline Referrals	Out-of-School and In-School- Suspension weekly reports.	
2	Funding	Student handbook is provided with all school rules and expectations.	Total Staff	Discipline Referrals	Out-of-School and In-School- Suspension weekly reports.	
3	N/A	Teachers collaborate as a team in developing classroom rules and expectations.	Total Staff	Discipline Referrals	Out-of-School and In-School- Suspension weekly reports.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	subject grade	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Create a SwPBS System	All grades	PBS Team; Administration	All teachers	August 14, PDD days	Administration will attend SwPBS meetings and review minutes from the meeting	Administration

#### Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

### Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: At least 50% of the parents will attend one school activity. Those events include open houses, curriculum \*Please refer to the percentage of parents who nights, SAC meetings, Choice meetings, parent conferences, sporting events, orientation. participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: Over 2500 parent involvement hours were recorded in In SY13 our parental involvement will increase by 5%. SY2012. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of Responsible for

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

			Monitoring	Strategy	
1	Change in Management System	Letter to staff regarding new protocol for parental volunteers.	Principal, Assistant Principal, teachers and staff.	Volunteer Log Book and School Community Involvement Sign-In.	Volunteer Log and School Community Involvement Report.
2	Teacher Request for Volunteer Assistance	Teacher contact volunteers from pre-identified list when services are required.	Principal and Assistant Principal.	Volunteer Log Book and School Community Involvement Report.	Volunteer Log and School Community Involvement Report.
3	Notification of Meetings To Parents	Post Meeting Dates on ED-Line, News Letter, and Front Display and Marquee.	Principal and Assistant Principal.	Attendance at Meetings.	Attendance Log.
4	Meeting Separation.	Meetings will be scheduled in conjunction with Robotics and Medical Magnet Program.	Principal and SAC Board.	Attendance at meeting.	Attendance Log.
5	Lack of Parental Involvement	1. Parents will be notified of all meetings in advance via, newsletters, Parent Link and personal phone calls. 2. Parents will assist with the development of School Compact and Policy Plan through SAC participation. 3. FCAT Parent Night will be held in November and February. This is an opportunity for parents to meet with their childs teacher to discuss their academic progress. 4. Curriculum Night is held in September. This is an opportunity for parents to meet their child's teacher at the opening of the school year.		Attendance at meeting	Attendance Log
6		Develop a "new" volunteer program for the upcoming 2013 school year. Will continue to work on the Business Partnership component.	Principal	Donation, Volunteer Sign-in Sheets	Business Partnership Agreements, VIPS Computer Log

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

(	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
	No Data Submitted							

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/M	lateriar(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Parent Workshops	Supplies and refreshment for the parent trainings	Title I	\$2,500.00
			Subtotal: \$2,500.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Communication with parents	Parents will receive flyers/newsletters and other required documents through the mail.	Title I	\$1,200.00
			Subtotal: \$1,200.0
			Grand Total: \$3,700.0

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

### Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of	f school data, identify and de	efine areas in ne	ed of improvement:				
1. STEM							
STEM Goal #1:							
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
	No	Data Submitted					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

#### STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

# Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

 $^*\ When\ using\ percentages,\ include\ the\ number\ of\ students\ the\ percentage\ represents\ (e.g.,\ 70\%\ (35)).$ 

Based on the analysis	of school data, ident	ify and define are	as in ne	eed of improvement:	
1. CTE					
CTE Goal #1:					
	Problem-Solvin	g Process to Inc	rease S	Student Achievemen	t
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person Positio Respor for Monito	n nsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data Sul	omitted		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

#### CTE Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CTE Goal(s)

# Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

### FINAL BUDGET

Eviderice-based Progr	am(s)/Material(s)	B 111 2		
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	FCAT Prep Workbooks	Teachers will use FCAT 2.0 workbooks to remediate and assess students	Title I	\$1,500.00
Reading	Purchase Reading Coach (.5)	A reading coach (.5) will be purchased to support reading teachers while building capacity	Operating Budget/Trade Off	\$27,452.00
Reading	Purchase Reading Plus	Teachers will use Reading Plus in the reading classrooms. All students will also be able to access this at home.	Title I	\$8,120.00
Mathematics	Utilize Title I budget to purchase 1 math teacher	8th grade math teacher	Title I	\$63,644.00
Mathematics	FCAT Prep workbooks	Teachers will use FCAT 2.0 workbooks to remediate and assess students	Title I	\$1,500.00
Science	FCAT Prep Material	Teacher will use FCAT 2.0 workbooks to remediate and assess students	Title I	\$500.00
Parent Involvement	Parent Workshops	Supplies and refreshment for the parent trainings	Title I	\$2,500.00
				Subtotal: \$105,216.00
Technology		Description of		
Goal	Strategy	Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Professional Developn	nont			Subtotal: \$0.00
Goal	Strategy	Description of	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Attend Reading Conference	Resources Teachers will travel to local/state reading	Title I	\$2,000.00
Reading	Substitutes for PD	conferences Substitutes will be provided for teachers to attend trainings	Title I	\$556.00
Mathematics	Purchase Math Coach	Math coach will be utilized to work with all teachers on content and best practices	Title I	\$67,588.00
Mathematics	Math Conference	Teachers will attend local and state math conferences.	Title I	\$2,000.00
				Subtotal: \$72,144.00
Other		Description		
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	After School Tutorial	Tutorial will be provided for all students in grades 6-8	Title I	\$4,000.00
Reading	Classroom Materials	Teachers will be provided basic classroom supplies (paper, pens, chart paper, printer cartridges, other academic supplies	Title I	\$1,000.00
Mathematics	Classroom Supplies	Basic classroom materials (paper, pens, chart paper, math	Title I	\$1,000.00

Mathematics	After School Tutoring	Tutorial will be provided for all students in grades 6-8	Title I	\$4,000.00
Science	After School Tutoring	Tutorial will be provided for all students in grades 6-8	Title I	\$3,000.00
Writing	After School Tutorial	Tutorial will be provided for all students in grade 8	Title I	\$3,375.00
Writing	Classroom Supplies	Teachers will be provided basic classroom supplies (paper, pens, chart paper, printer cartridges, other academic supplies	Title I	\$571.00
Parent Involvement	Communication with parents	Parents will receive flyers/newsletters and other required documents through the mail.	Title I	\$1,200.00
				Subtotal: \$18,146.00
				Grand Total: \$195.506.00

### Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/20/2012)

### School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
Fund school initiatives, projects, PD opportunities, provide supplies, cover staff development costs, provide money for incentives, allow for completion of small classroom projects.	\$3,000.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The SAC will participate in the decision making process when it aligns itself to the operation and function of the school day. Initiatives, proposals, and changes will be brought before the committee for review and insight. Parents, business partners, and citizens will be given an active voice during the meetings.

### AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

### SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Palm Beach School District HOWELL L. WATKINS MI DDLE SCHOOL 2010-2011						
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	52%	56%	92%	32%		Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	60%	69%			129	3 ways to make gains:  Improve FCAT Levels  Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5  Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	77% (YES)	72% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					510	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*	·				В	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Palm Beach School District HOWELL L. WATKINS MIDDLE SCHOOL 2009-2010						
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	54%	51%	92%	24%	221	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	61%	64%			125	3 ways to make gains:  Improve FCAT Levels  Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5  Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?		63% (YES)			132	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					478	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					С	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested