### FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: PARKWAY MIDDLE SCHOOL

District Name: Osceola

Principal: EVELITH OLMEDA-GARCIA

SAC Chair: Anita Makula-Smith

Superintendent: Melba Luciano

Date of School Board Approval: October 10, 2012

Last Modified on: 9/10/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Evelith Olmeda- Garcia	Specialist Degree- Administration and Supervision Master Degree in Environmental Science Bachellor Degree in Biology Spanish Certification and School Principal certification	3	9	Parkway Middle School 2010/11 B 74% AYP Narcoossee Community School 2008/09 A 87% AYP 2007/08 A 95% AYP 2006/07 A 87% AYP
Assis Principal	Megan Gould	MA Administration and Supervision BA in Elementary Education	3	3	Math Resource Teacher

#### INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of

years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Math/Science Coach	Zayra Diaz	BA in Elementary Education MS Educational Leadership	3	2	As the Math anmd Science Coach at Parkway Middle School the school grade changed 41% scored 3 or above in the FCAT, (6th) 42% scored 3 or above in the FCAT, (7th) 48% scored 3 or above in the FCAT, (8th) In 2012, AYP was not met in all catagories.
Reading Coach	Rebecca Martinez	BA in Education, Masters in Curriculum, Masters in Administration and Supervision.	3	3	As reading teacher and instructional Coach at Parkway Middle School the school grade changed from an A to B. 62% made learning gains in reading, 72% made learning gains in the lowest 25%. 87% met high standards in writing. In 2011, AYP was not met in all catagories.

### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Professional Staff Development	Rebecca Martinez	on going	
2	Professional Learning Community	Evelith Olmeda- Garcia	on going	
3	Recruitment via E-Job Fair	Principal and Assistant Principal	ongoing	
4	Mentor program	Elfi Salsbury	ongoing	

### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

who are not     the staff in       highly     becoming       effective.     highly
--

### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Fotal Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	 % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	with	Effective	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	Certified	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers

¢	7 10.4%(7)	10.4%(7)	56.7%(38)	22.4%(15)	20.9%(14)	143.3%(96) 23.9%(16)	3.0%(2)	41.8%(28)	
---	------------	----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	----------------------	---------	-----------	--

### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Mrs. Gould and Ms Salisbury	Ms. Sam	Both are 7th grade teachers	Class observation Assistance with School Procedures Provide Profesional Development
Mrs. Rogacki	Ms. Boessel	IB teachers	Class observation Monitoring Lesson Plan Participate in Professional Development
Elfi Salsbury	Ms. Braun	Subject area experience	Class observation Assistance with School Procedures Provide Profesional Development
Mrs. Rousch	Nick Parziale	7th and 8th grade teachers	Class observation Assistance with School Procedures Provide Profesional Development
Carla Keenum	Ms.Dalien	Subject area experience	Class observation Assistance with School Procedures Provide Profesional Development
Ms Martino	Ms. Aponte	counselors	Assistance with School Procedures Provide Profesional Development
Carmen Delgado	Sheri Johnson	Subject area experience	Assistance with School Procedures Provide Profesional Development

### ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

#### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

#### Title I, Part A

Services are provided to ensure students requiring remediation are assisted through after-school, before-school, and Saturday Academic School. The district coordinates with Title II and Title III in providing the necessary staff development.

#### Title I, Part C- Migrant

Migrant liaison provides services and support to students and parents. The liaison coordinates with Title I and other programs to ensure student needs are met.

#### Title I, Part D

SAI funds are utilized in morning and after-school instruction, tutoring and class size reduction. Parkway Middle School offers extended learning opportunities for our students at various times. Before school, after school, and Saturday school tutorials are available to all students at Parkway. SES tutoring is also available to our low income families at a location of their choice; whether it be at home, school campus, or facility site. At Parkway, a student mentor program is being initiated for our high risk students where the student meets with a school administrator or staff personnel to review grades, obstacles, and goals.

Title II

Parkway Middle School utilizes technology in classroom instruction. Two computer labs and one mobile computer lab are available for students and teachers. Teachers participate in Professional Development training to incorporate SmartBoards and Smart Response into their lessons. Laptops, Document cameras and LCD projectors are also available to provide an

enhanced learning experience for the student. Discovery Education, TeenBiz, Read 180, instructional software and online resources available to teachers and students.

#### Title III

Services are provided through the district for education materials and ELL support services to improve education of immigrant and English Language Learners. This includes Voyager for reading acceleration. These programs are coordinated by the ESOL Educational Specialist and Literacy Coach. ESOL paraprofessional provide additional support to ELL students.

Title X- Homeless

District Homeless Social Worker provides resources (clothing, school supplies, social services referrals) for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI funds will be used to offer before school tutoring in math, reading in two computer labs. Grade recovery programs will be offered along with tutorial services in each core classes.

Violence Prevention Programs

The Administrative team, Deans and Guidance coordinate Bullying program and activities to create awarenes about violence prevention in the school.

Nutrition Programs

Mrs. Josephine Rosado and Michelle Oquendo coordinates a wellness program at Parkway that promotes a nutrition and exercise for students and teachers. They also coordinate a Health Fair inviting parents, students and the community.

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

N/A

Adult Education

N/A

Career and Technical Education

Parkways offers Career Prep. classes in Business, Marketing and Culinary Arts. A high school credit course is offered in Culinary Arts and Computer for Colleges.

Job Training

N/A

Other

### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/Rtl Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

The MTSS Team is:

Administrator: Mrs. Olmeda-Garcia and Mrs. Gould Guidance Counselors: Mrs. Aponte and Ms. Jannine DeMarie Deans: Mr. Greg Johnson and Mr. Vanard Dinkins, Jason Bowe EES: Evelyn Ruiz Literacy Coach: Rebecca Martinez Math/Science coach: Zayra Diaz School Psychologist: Matt Wiggins RCS: Carla Keenum Teachers

Each member is trained using district guidelines that provide a common vision using concrete and empirical data to identify

students in need at all level of interventions.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The MTSS team meets monthly to discuss, monitor and analyze data to ensure that students' achievement goals are met. If a need is found, the committee determines the necessary steps to provide interventions/remediation strategies. The MTSS team meets with the reading teachers to ensure that interventions for improving reading skills are administered properly and results are recorded accurately. The MTSS team also meets with specific teachers to discuss the implementation of behavioral interventions for specific students.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS Leadership Team meets with the principal and members of SAC to develop and discuss the SIP and analyze data for Tier 1,2, or 3 students to develop best practices and appropriate interventions for students identified. The team will review progress monitoring data at the school level and classroom level to identify each child's risk level. Based on data, the team will evaluate, collaborate and respond with effective shared intervention strategies aimed at making each child successful in the current environment if possible.

#### MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Student baseline profile will include an exhaustive list of data available using the following platforms: TERMS, Pinnacle, Principal Viewer, PMRN, Teen-Biz, ODMS, Read 180, Success Maker, anecdotal records and staff observations.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Staff will receive training on each data platform by a trained specialist. The steps to MTSS will be included in staff development during periodic trainings. Trainings will be school wide and additional follow-up sessions will take place during teachers' planning periods or during assigned Wednesdays.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Time alotted for meetings, support from administration.

### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team —

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The Literacy Leadership Team is composed of Evelith Olmeda-Garcia- Principal, Rebecca Martinez- Reading Coach, Aime Rogacki-IB coordinator, Nicholas Paraziale AVID coordinator, Eloise Hughes, Tanya Badillo, Georgia Hicks, Shawn Greaves, Yolanda Lopez, Sabrina Huesing, Terry Rivera, Gloria Hernandez, LA Department Head- Andrea Williams, Justin Revell SS Department Head, Amy Rousch Math Department, Jo-Ann Feliciano Science Department, Shirley Johnson, and Anita Smith-Media Center specialist. Other members are invited to form part of the team.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The team will meet once a quarter to discuss and review Reading data and instructional best practices to meet the needs of all students. The team will also design activities for Literacy Night, summer reading, Battle of the Books District and in-school competitions.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Celebrate Literacy month Battle of the books at the district level as well as intramural using the summer reading list and the Blueford series. Competitions to include character illustrations, book jackets, trailers. Reading aloud by teachers and Literacy Team

#### Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 9/10/2012)

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

#### \*Grades 6-12 Only

#### Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Every teacher will participate in several Professional Development that focus on literacy.

Teachers will incorporate reading in their lessons daily.

As part of the US History class, students will be required to complete a career choice profile. Social Studies and US History will participate in TeenBiz Program weekly.

#### \*High Schools Only

#### Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

#### Postsecondary Transition

#### Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

### Reading Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

1a F	CAT2.0: Students scoring	a at Achievement Level :	3 in		
read			Increase numbe	r of Level 3's 28%(255) by AT Reading to meet district	
2012	2 Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
7th g	rade 26% (83) rade 26% (84) rade 31% (88)		7th grade will in	(83) will increase to 34% ( icrease from 26% (84) to 3 icrease from 31% (88)to 36	4% (111)
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process 1	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Teachers who have not taught level 3 need more time to design classes using novel units and USA Today and use of Teenbiz with fidelity.	Level 3 students have been scheduled in reading classes. Daily logs, aligned with the NGSSS, and novel units will promote higher level thinking. Use Teenbiz with fidelity.	Principal, AP, Reading Coach	Formative assessments, Daily log mini- assessments, class observations, data analysis, PLC's discussion, and monitoring district formative assessments	FCAT, formative assessments, and the daily log mini assessments.
2	Money for additional resources.	Gold Seal Saturdays	Deans, Reading Coach	Attendance, student survey, test results	formative assessments, Teenbiz reports, FCAT reports
3	Planning time	Common planning periods for grade level teachers. Teachers will continuously meet, share and collaborate with lesson plannning and common assessments (PLC).	Grade level chairs or department leaders.	PLC's agendas, minutes, and informal observations	FCAT results
4	Lack of basic skills in pre algebra	Students will use the computer lab once a week using PLATO TEST PACK.	Algebra teacher, Math and Science Coach, Principal, AP	Principal viewer,formative assessments, PLATO reports	EOC
ō	Students reading below grade level	Use of various computer programs such as Teen Biz, SussessMaker, Plato Test Pack. Professional development on reading strategies in content areas, lessons studies	REading coach, Principal, AP, and department chair	Formative assessments, observations, walkthroughs	EOC, FCAT,
ó	Lack of necessary skils in reading and math	programs such as Teen	Math and science coach, Principal, AP, and department chair.	Formative assessments, observations, walkthroughs	Science FCAT, En of quarter, and formatives

7	common core standards strategies in lesson planning.		engagement and results of assessments.	summative assessments.
8	not taught level 3 need more time to design nove	Principal and other	-	formative, assessments.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:	All FCAT level 4 and 5's 65%(200) will meet the dstrict/state percentages and /or increase by 5% .
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
6th grade 24% (76) 7th grade 24% (76) 8th grade 17% (48)	6th grade 24% (76) will increase to 29% (95) 7th grade 24% (76) will increase to 29% (95) 8th grade 17% (48) will icrease to 22% (74)

	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Class size requirements	Smaller teacher student ratio.	Principal and Assistant Principal	Master schedule, claaroom walkthroughs and observations	FCAT assessments, data charts, and formative assessments
2	Scheduling	Decrease transition time and increase on task behaviors.	Principal and Assistant Principal, faculty and staff	Master schedule, claaroom walkthroughs and observations	FCAT assessments, data charts, and formative assessments
3	Acquiring and using reading materials that promote higher order thinking.	Professional development on text complexity, close reading, and other straegies.		Observation, walkthroughs, lesson plans, and results from formative assessments.	Formative and summative assessments.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

	9	· ·				
Level	CAT 2.0: Students scorin 4 in reading. ing Goal #2a:	g at or above Achievemer	t All level 4/5's- 25% (221)will meet the district and /or state percentage and increase by 5% to 30% (270).			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
6th grade 26% (82 students) 7th grade 27% (85 students) 8th grade 20% (54 students)			<ul> <li>6th grade 26% (82 students) will increase to 31% (98 students)</li> <li>7th grade will increase from 27% (85 students) to 32% (101 students)</li> <li>8th grade will increase from 20% (54 students) to 25% (68 students).</li> </ul>			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process to	Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	

Responsible for

Monitoring

Effectiveness of

Strategy

1	Maintain reading achievement level 4 or higher	classes.	Principal, AP,	of Achieve 3000 data	FCAT, Formative assessments
2	Acquiring and using reading materials that promote higher level thinking.	Most FCAT Level 4 and 5 students are required to take reading classes besides their language arts class. IB FCAT Level 4 and 5 students will incorporate reading in the LA class. Use USA Today, novel units, Literature circles, and Teenbiz.	Reading Coach	stategies through lesson plan and classroom walkthroughs. Monthly discussions of	Formative assessments, Daily log mini- assessments, teacher assessments and observations.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refe of improvement for the following group:	erence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and c	define areas in need	
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:	Increase percent of students making learning gains by 5% from 62% (555)to 67%(663).			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
62% (555) of 6-8 students made learning gains.	The percent of learning gains will increase from 62%(555) to 67%(663).			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Person or	Process Used to		

		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	1	Limited teacher time	5	councselors,	FCAT results, individual student progress, student data	FCAT, mini- assessments,and formative assessments.
Ī		Limited time	Semi-block scheduling,	Assistant Principal,	FCAT results, individual	FCAT, mini-

2		MAPS (Making Academic Progress Successful)		student progress, student data walls.	assessments,and formative assessments
3	population.	school tutoring, communication with	Reading coach, Title I liason and guidance.	activities. Increase in	FCAT, FAIR,formative assessments, Daily log assessments, Teenbiz, FCAT Explorer, SuccessMaker, Voyager Learning.

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:			N/A		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nce:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and c	define areas in need	
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:				Increase by 5% the number of students in the lowest quartile making learning gains in reading FCAT in 2013.		
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
62% (286) of the 462 students performing in the lowest 25% in grades 6-8 made learning gains in reading on the 2012 FCAT.			67% of the lowe	67% of the lowest 25% will make learning gains in the reading FCAT of 2013.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process 1	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Parental support	Academic tutoring weekly targeting the lowest quartile students	Coaches, Principal, AP, teachers	Attendance and progress monitoring charts	FCAT scores 2013	
2	Availability of classes .	Schedule all Level 1 students in 90 minutes intensive reading classes. Schedule the L2's in 45 minute classes using the same core reading program but intensifying	AP, Reading coach, guidance.	Progress monitoring of students through FAIR, Teenbiz, and program specific assessment monitoring.	FCAT, FAIR, Teenbiz, formative assessments, and mini-assessments of benchmark aligned daily logs.	

		the activities. Schedule all L1 7th and 8th grade students in Read 180 program.			
	Attendance to tutoring programs.	Promote before and after school (SES)tutoring programs for all L1 and L2 students.	Coach, AP,	fluency, vocabulary, and reading comprehension. 95% attendance rate in	FCAT, Fair, Voyager,daily log mini-assessments, and teacher observation.
4	Parent communication and support.	to communicate with	guidance, EES		FCAT, FAIR, Mini- assessments, teacher abservations.
5					

Based on Amb	Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target							
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Reading Goal # 5A :					
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
	<u> </u>							

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5B:	All subgroups will make 5% increase in learning gains in order to make Adequately Yearly Progress (AYP) in reading.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
62% (555) of sub-group made adequate yearly progress.	All sub-groups making AYP will increase by at least 5% from 62% to 67%.				

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Limited paraprofessionals for support and facilitation.	Build a comprehensive schedule around student's needs.	EES, Principal, AP	0	FCAT, CELLA, formative assessments.			
2	Communication with parents.	Use of IRIS calls, flyers, informational meetings, school website.	Principal, AP, Reading Coach, EES	Attendance to school functions/programs.	FCAT, FAIR, reading and language formatives, mini assessments.			
2	monitoring of low	Increase parent teacher communication. offer parents workshops on parenting.	EES, Reading coach, Principal, AP ,and guidance.	Results from assesments, attendance in tutoring programs and other interventions.	FAIR, FCAT, success Maker, min-assessments.			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

satisf	nglish Language Learner Factory progress in readi ing Goal #5C:			The ELL's will increase by 5% to make adequate yearly progress in the 2013 FCAT.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
65% (91) of 140 ELL 's made AYP in the 2012 FCAT.			70% (119) of E 2013 FCAT .	70% (119) of ELL's will make adequate yearly progress in the 2013 FCAT .		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Inclusion of ELL students with in regular classes.	Professional development for teachers in accommodations for ELL students.	EES, Principal, AP, Coaches.	Observations, walkthroughs, teacher feedback, conferencing	FCAT,CELLA, formative assessments	
2	Transcient population.	Continual monitoring of students' needs to support academic achievement. Increase communication with parents to promote attendance to school.	Reading Coach, ESOL Educational Specialist, Principal.	Progress monitoring through content specific program (SOLO) grades,and student observation.	Voyager assessment tools, FCAT, FAIR, Daily log mini- assessments.	
3	Increased number of on non-English speakers.	Schedule paraprofessionals to provide academic support in classrooms. Provide tutoring before/after school.	Principal, AP, EES, Reading Coach.	Progress monitoring, grades, student observation.	Class grades, Voyager, and progress reports.	

 Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.

 Reading Goal #5D:

 2012 Current Level of Performance:

 2013 Expected Level of Performance:

 56% (41) of 73 SWD students made adequate progress in

56% (41) of 73 SWD students made adequate progress in reading. 55% of the lowest quartile made adequate yearly progress; Students with disabilities will increase performance by 5% in the 2013 FCAT.

	Pi	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studen	t Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	with disabilities in regular	Professional development for teachers in accommodations for Inclusion of Students with disabilities.	RCS, Principal, AP, Coaches.	Observations, walkthroughs, teacher feedback,conferencing	FCAT, formative assessments
2	Increasing number of students without support at home	5	Counselors,teachers, RCS, and support facilitation teachers.	observations,	FCAT 2013
3	mainstreamed, teacher knowledge of exceptional education	development for the	Principal,AP, RCS,and Reading coach.	Results from student's progress monitoring, teacher observations, and grades.	FAIR, FCAT, classroom walkthroughs, and teacher progress monitoring.

	strategies.	students.				
	d on the analysis of studer provement for the following	nt achievement data, and r g subgroup:	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and c	lefine areas in need	
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.			All students ecc	All students ecomically disadvantgesd will make 5% increase in the 2013 FCAT.		
Read	ling Goal #5E:					
2012	Current Level of Perform	mance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
61% (459)of economically disadvantaged made adequate progress in reading.			5	Ecomically disadvantaged students will increase learning gains by 5% to 66%(496).		
	Pi	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Availability of extended day activities.	Provide before and after school tutoring and recreational activities. PBS implementation.	Principal, AP, EES, Deans, Reading Coach	Attendance to programs, teacher observation, Voyager and other acceleration programs.	FCAT, Teenbiz, FAIR	

### Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Unpacking the Common core standards	studies, reading,	Reading Coach, AP, district trainers	Department PLC's	Wednesday, once a month.	Observation and lesson planning	Principal, Reading coach, Math and Science Coach, AP
Close reading	reading and social	Reading coach	Grade level PLC'S		Observation, lesson planning, walkthroughs	Principal, Reading coach, AP
Achieve 3000 (Teenbiz)	6-8/ reading and social studies	Reading coach	Department PLC		walkthroughs,	Principal, reading coach, AP

Reading Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading intervention program	SuccessMaker	Title 1	\$5,000.00
Scholastic Magazines	SCope, Action, Choices		\$800.00
Text books (Consumable)	READ 180	Title 1	\$2,000.00
Reluctant readers	Workbooks for Birdges to Literature	Title 1	\$1,800.00
			Subtotal: \$9,600.00

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Substitutes for MYP teachers and PDA.	Title 1	\$3,000.00
			Subtotal: \$3,000.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$12,600.00

End of Reading Goals

### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.					
			g. Students will ir skills by 10%.	nprove their English liste	ning and speaking
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:					
54% (77) total grades 6-8					
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Access to ESL classes	Provide professional development in English as a Second Language to ESL teachers and other professionals. Provide opportunities for listening and speaking in a consistant manner.	ESL teachers, EES (ESOL Educational Specialist)and other professionals as needed.	Observations, walkthroughs, pre and post tests, fluency.	CELLA, Jamestown Fluency, JOurneys, and SOLO.

 Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

 2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

 CELLA Goal #2:

 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

		sient certing recees t			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Language proficiency	skills by offering professional development in		Teacher made tests, Mini Assessment, BenchMark tests.	CELLA FAIR FCAT

 Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

 3. Students scoring proficient in writing.

 CELLA Goal #3:

 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:

 37% (53) students in grades 6-8

 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Language acquisition	teachers.		Osceola Writes and FCAT Writes.	FCAT

### CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Subtotal: \$0.00

Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	l on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and c	lefine areas in need	
mathematics.			the 2013 FCAT.	the 2013 FCAT. 6th grade 16%, 7th grade 16% and 8th Grade 7% based on State Standards. All subgroups will meet		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
6th gr	rade 22% (71) students					
7th gr	ade 36% (139)students		grade from 22% 7th grade from 3	The FCAT Level 3 or above in mathematics will increase 6th grade from 22% to 38% 7th grade from 36% to 52% 8th grade from 33% to 40%.		
8th gr	rade 33% (151) students					
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process 1	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Teachers who have not taught level 3 need more time to design classes using novel units and USA Today and use of Teenbiz with fidelity.	Level 3 students have been scheduled in reading classes. Daily logs, aligned with the NGSSS, and novel units will promote higher level thinking. Use Teenbiz with fidelity.	Principal, AP, Reading Coach	Formative assessments, Daily log mini- assessments, class observations, data analysis, PLC's discussion, and monitoring district formative assessments	FCAT, formative assessments,and the daily log mini assessments.	
2	Money for additional resources.	Gold Seal Saturdays	Deans, Reading Coach	Attendance, student survey, test results	formative assessments, Teenbiz reports, FCAT reports	
3	Planning time	Common planning periods for grade level teachers. Teachers will continuously meet, share and collaborate with lesson plannning and common assessments (PLC).	Grade level chairs or department leaders.	PLC's agendas, minutes, and informal observations	FCAT results	
4	Lack of basic skills in pre algebra	Students will use the computer lab once a week using PLATO TEST PACK.	Algebra teacher, Math and Science Coach, Principal, AP	Principal viewer,formative assessments, PLATO reports	EOC	
5	Lack of necessary skils in reading and math	programs such as Teen	Math and science coach, Principal, AP, and department chair.	Formative assessments, observations, walkthroughs	Science FCAT, End of quarter, and formatives	
6	Students feel Advanced or Honors classes are too hard.	Provide support to students by offering honer classes, tutoring and organizational skills through AVID and International Studies programs.	AP, guidance, AVID coordinator, IB coordinator, and Math Coach	Data reports and teacher feedback	Teacher grades, mini-assesments, state and district tests.	

	l on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and ro group:	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b:			s. N/A			
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Class size requirements	Smaller teacher student ratio.	Principal and Assistant Principal	Master schedule, claaroom walkthroughs and observations	FCAT assessments, data charts, and formative assessments	
2	Scheduling	Decrease transition time and increase on task behaviors.	Principal and Assistant Principal, faculty and staff	Master schedule, claaroom walkthroughs and observations	FCAT assessments, data charts,and	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
	Students in grades 6-8 will increase their math scores on the 2013 FCAT. 6th grade 16%, 7th grade 16% and 8th grade 7% to meet State Standards.			

charts,and formative assessments

2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
7th grade 16% (60)	The goal for students achieving above proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 and 5) in mathematics on the 2013 will increase 6th grade 16%, 7th grade 16% and 8th grade 7% to meet State Standardsin FCAT.			
Desidence Calification Designs to Language Charles to Astronomy				

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Maintain reading achievement level 4 or higher	Achieve3000 enrichments activities, participation in advanced reading classes.			FCAT, Formative assessments			
2	Higher order thinking needs to be promoted through high order questioning and inquiry	Students will be placed in Honors classes. Teachers will continue to train and communicate through PLC's in AVID, International Studies and the Gifted programs to maintain high order questioning.	Math/ Science	assessments, district and state tests, class observations, and data	mini assessments, student work displaying higher order thinking. State and district tests			
3	Students are not recognized or proud of their academic accomplishments outside	and technology clubs competitions		Paticipation in targeted activities.	Outcome of competitions			

of the classroo
-----------------

Olympiad

I, ISA)
---------

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b:			N/A		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving	Process to L	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for		son or Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following group:					
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a:	Students in grades 6-8 will make 5% learning gains on the 2013 FCAT.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
64% students in grades 6-8 made learning gains in mathematics on the 2012 FCAT.	69% of the students in grades 6-8 will make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT.				

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Limited teacher time	Track students progress weekly.	Teachers, councselors, deans, EES, RCS	FCAT results, individual student progress, student data	FCAT, mini- assessments,and formative assessments.	
2		MAPS (Making Academic	Assistant Principal, Principal, MYP Coordinator	FCAT results, individual student progress, student data walls.	FCAT, mini- assessments,and formative assessments	
3	choices when it comes to	Positive Behavior Support program incorporated in everyday life at Parkway.		Discipline data reports, academic data reports and teacher feedback	Formative and mini assessments.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in

mathematics.			N/A		
Mathematics Goal #3b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
for			Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
makiı	AT 2.0: Percentage of st ng learning gains in mat ematics Goal #4:			5% of the students performing in the lowest 25% will make learning gains in mathematics on the 2013 FCAT		
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	of students performing in t learning gains in mathema	he lowest 25% in grades 6 atics on the 2011 FCAT.		66% in the lowest 25% will make learning gains in mathematics on the 2013 FCAT.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process 1	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	nticipated Barrier Strategy R		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Parental support	Academic tutoring weekly targeting the lowest quartile students	Coaches, Principal, AP, teachers	Attendance and progress monitoring charts	FCAT scores 2013	

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target						
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Middle School Mathe	ematics Goal # e student achieven	ment gap by 40%	A
Baseline data         2011-2012         2012-2013		2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
		40%				

coach

Data reports and teacher feacher grades, feedback during PLC monthly mini-

assesments, FCAT,

and formative assessments.

meetings.

Students below grade in<br/>math.Tutorial programs before<br/>and after school.AP, guidance,<br/>math/Science

2

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B:			All subgroups will make 5% increase in learning gains in order to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in mathematics.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
64% of all subgroups will make adequate progress in mathematics.				61% of student	s will make adequate gair	IS.
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to I r	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Limited paraprofessionals for support and facilitation.	tuild a comprehensive EE chedule around tudent's needs.		S, Principal, AP	Walkthroughs, observations, teacher feedback.	FCAT, CELLA, formative assessments.
2	Problem solving.	Include hands on activities.	adn teai	ninistrative m	Data from EOQ exams and formative assessments.	Classroom walk throughs, lesson plans, grades, FCAT, district and state

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:				
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C:	All subgroups will make learning gains in order to make Adequately Yearly Progress (AYP) in mathematics.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
59% of the English Language Learners made adequate progress.	61% of students classified as English Language Learners will make adequate gains.			

assessments.

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1		Professional development for teachers in accommodations for ELL students.	EES, Principal, AP, Coaches.	Observations, walkthroughs, teacher feedback, conferencing	FCAT,CELLA, formative assessments	
2	English Language/math	Enhance second language through the use of appropriate vocabulary and manipulatives.		Data from assessments, word walls, stations.	Classroom walkthroughs, daily log, mini assessments, and district and state assesments.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making	
satisfactory progress in mathematics.	All subgroups will make 5% in learning gains in order to make
Mathematics Goal #5D:	Adequately Yearly Progress (AYP) in mathematics.

2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
N/A	5% in learning gains.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Inclusion of Students with disabilities in regular classes.	Professional development for teachers in accommodations for Inclusion of Students with disabilities.	RCS, Principal, AP, Coaches.	Observations, walkthroughs, teacher feedback,conferencing	FCAT, formative assessments
2	Increasing number of students without support at home	Weekly monitoring	Counselors,teachers, RCS, and support facilitation teachers.	observations,	FCAT 2013
3	Students classified as ESE have been included in general classrooms.	ESE teachers assisting in the classroom 2-3 times per week to offer extra support to the ESE students as well as the general education teacher.	RCS, Principal, AP, Math Coach	student data, feedback from ESE teachers and general education teacher.	Mini assessments, district and state assessments.
4	Student textbooks adopted for grade level too high for students.	Use of the Triumphs books through math adoption.	RCS,Principal, AP, Math Coach	IEP, feedback from ESE and general population teachers, walkthroughs, and data chats.	Mini assessments, district and state assessments.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5E:			9		II make 5% in learning gaiı rly Progress (AYP) in math	
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
62% of Economically Disadvantaged made adequate progress			ess.	Increase 5 % of students classified as Economically Disadvantaged will make adequate gains.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process 1	to li	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	School is not a top priority in students' life due to other personal situations.	Offer incentives through PBS program for attendance, good behavior, and achievement rewards in the classroom.	Prir	an, teachers, ncipal, AP, Math ach, Guidance	Attendance reports, feedback from teachers, PBS reports.	Grades, PBS reports,and district/ state assessments.

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

### Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need

1 St	tudents scoring at Achiev	ement Level 3 in Algebra	а		
	ebra Goal #1:	Students in gra in the school ye	ide 8 will increase their Algo ear 2012-13.	ebra score by 10%	
2012	2 Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance:	
	88% (89/101) of the studer bra test.	nt in 8th grade pass the EC	C The 98% of the test.	e students taking Algebra w	ill pass the EOC
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Teachers who have not taught level 3 need more time to design classes using novel units and USA Today and use of Teenbiz with fidelity.	Level 3 students have been scheduled in reading classes. Daily logs, aligned with the NGSSS, and novel units will promote higher level thinking. Use Teenbiz with fidelity.	Principal, AP, Reading Coach	Formative assessments, Daily log mini- assessments, class observations, data analysis, PLC's discussion, and monitoring district formative assessments	FCAT, formative assessments, and the daily log mini assessments.
2	Money for additional resources.	Gold Seal Saturdays	Deans, Reading Coach	Attendance, student survey, test results	formative assessments, Teenbiz reports, FCAT reports
3	Planning time	Common planning periods for grade level teachers. Teachers will continuously meet, share and collaborate with lesson plannning and common assessments (PLC).	Grade level chairs or department leaders.	PLC's agendas, minutes, and informal observations	FCAT results
4	Lack of basic skills in pre algebra	Students will use the computer lab once a week using PLATO TEST PACK.	Algebra teacher, Math and Science Coach, Principal, AP	Principal viewer,formative assessments, PLATO reports	EOC
5	Students feels that class is too difficult. Application of technology in the Algebra classes.	Provide meaningfull activities to improve	Mr. Barra	Data reports, teacher grades and feedback	EOC Algebra test Teachers tests

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra. Algebra Goal #2:			Studnets in grac	Studnets in grade 8th will increase their Algebra Score by 10% in the school year 2012-13.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
The 20% (20/101) of the students in 8th grade pass the EOC Algebra test.			OC The 98% if the s	$^{ m C}$ The 98% if the students taking Algebra will pass the EOC.		
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	

			Monitoring	Strategy	
1	Application of technology in the Algebra classes.	activities to improve			EOC Algebra test Teachers tests

Based on Amb	Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target					
3A. Ambitious Measurable Ol school will red by 50%.	J (	s). In six year	Algebra Goal # Our goal for 10% in 2012-1 3A :	this year is to : L3	improve student p	erformance 🔺
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
<u></u>	83%	93%				

 Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.

 Algebra Goal #3B:

 2012 Current Level of Performance:

 The average of our students scores is 83% in 2012

 We are expecting to improve by 10% in 2013 (93%)

 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

 Person or
 Process Used to

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	The second se	Build a comprehensive schedule around student's needs.		observations, teacher	FCAT, CELLA, formative assessments.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. To improve our scores by 10% in 2012-13 Algebra Goal #3C: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Our level of performance is 83% in 2012. We are expecting to improve by 10% in 2013 (93%) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Evaluation Tool Responsible for Effectiveness of

		Monitoring	Strategy	
1		Coaches.		FCAT,CELLA, formative assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in new of improvement for the following subgroup:			
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3D:	To imprve our scores by 10% in 2012-13		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
Our level of performance in 2012 is 83%.	We are expecting to improve 10% of our level of performance in 2013 to achieve 93%.		

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	with disabilities in regular classes.	Professional development for teachers in accommodations for Inclusion of Students with disabilities.	RCS, Principal, AP, Coaches.	,	FCAT, formative assessments			
2	Increasing number of students without support at home		Counselors,teachers, RCS, and support facilitation teachers.	observations,	FCAT 2013			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.					
Algebra Goal #3E:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving	) Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

End of Algebra EOC Goals

# Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.					
Geometry Goal #1:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
<ol> <li>Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels</li> <li>4 and 5 in Geometry.</li> </ol>					
Geometry Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Pers Posi Resp for Moni		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target								
3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.		Geometry Goal #						
Baseline data 2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.					
Geometry Goal #3B:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	cipated Barrier Strategy Res for		on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Sub					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3C:			To improve our scores by 10% in 2012-13		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
Our current level of performance is 83% in 2012.			We are expecting an imprvement of 10% in our scores to achieve 93%.		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	son or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas				
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3D:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.						
Geometry Goal #3E:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Graphing calculators	6-8 grade	School and district personnel	Math teachers	First Semester 2012	Monitor implementation in classroon	Administration and Math Coach.
Common Core	6-8 grade	School and district personnel	Math teachers	First Semester 2012	Monitor implementation in classroon	Administration and Math Coach.

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mater Strategy	rial(s) Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
	Math workbooks	School budget	\$5,000.00
Make the transition from NGSSS to CCS	Common Core for Math workbooks	Title 1	\$500.00
Scholastic Magazine	Scholastic Math	School budget	\$300.00
			Subtotal: \$5,800.00

Technology

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Increase knowledge of usage and application	Graphic Calculators	Title 1	\$4,000.00
			Subtotal: \$4,000.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$9,800.00

End of Mathematics Goals

EOC

### Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. The percentage of students meeting high standards in science will increase by 5% for the 2013 FCAT. Science Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 27% of 277 students achieved proficiency (FCAT Level 30% of the students taking the 2013 science FCAT will 3) in science on the 2012 FCAT. achieve proficiency (FCAT Level 3). Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Level 3 students have Principal, AP, FCAT, formative Teachers who have Formative not taught level 3 been scheduled in Reading Coach assessments, Daily log assessments, and need more time to reading classes. Daily mini-assessments, the daily log mini logs, aligned with the design classes using class observations, assessments. NGSSS, and novel novel units and USA data analysis, PLC's Today and use of units will promote discussion, and monitoring district Teenbiz with fidelity . higher level thinking. Use Teenbiz with formative fidelity. assessments. Money for additional Gold Seal Saturdays Deans, Reading Attendance, student formative assessments, resources. Coach survey, test results 2 Teenbiz reports, FCAT reports Planning time Grade level chairs PLC's agendas, FCAT results Common planning periods for grade level or department minutes, and informal teachers. Teachers will leaders. observations

Students will use the Algebra teacher, Principal

continuously meet,

and common assessments (PLC).

share and collaborate with lesson planning

3

Lack of basic skills in

4	pre algebra	computer lab once a week using PLATO TEST PACK.	Math and Science Coach, Principal, AP	viewer,formative assessments, PLATO reports	
5	Lack of necessary skils in reading and math	Use of various computer programs such as Teen Biz, SussessMaker, Plato Test Pack. Professional development on reading strategies in content areas, lessons studie	Math and science coach, Principal, AP, and department chair.	Formative assessments, observations, walkthroughs	Science FCAT, End of quarter, and formatives
6	Students only take FCAT in 8th grade so data will be unknown for lower levels.	School based mini- assessments every 4 weeks. District formatives assessments.	Math/ science coach, teachers, and Principal.	Reports from data director, grades,PLC data discussions	Mini assessments, district data.
7	Students need to apply higher level thinking skills.	Increase the use of inquiry based lessons and labs or hands on activities on a weekly basis.	AP, Principal, math/ science coach	Data from formative assessments, school based mini assessments.	AP monitor lesson plans. Classroom walkthroughs performed by administrative team.

	ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define reas in need of improvement for the following group:						
Stude	lorida Alternate Asses ents scoring at Levels nce Goal #1b:		N/A				
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performanc	ce:		
N/A			N/A	N/A			
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Class size requirements	Smaller teacher student ratio.	Principal and Assistant Principal	Master schedule, claaroom walkthroughs and observations	FCAT assessments, data charts,and formative assessments		
2	Scheduling	Decrease transition time and increase on task behaviors.	Principal and Assistant Principal, faculty and staff	Master schedule, claaroom walkthroughs and observations	FCAT assessments, data charts,and formative assessments		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a:	The percentage of students meeting high standards in science will increase by 5% for the 2013 FCAT.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
1% or 3 of the 277 students achieved above	6% of students will achieve above proficiency (FCAT			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Maintain reading achievement level 4 or higher	Achieve3000 enrichments activities, participation in advanced reading classes.	Reading coach, Principal, AP, reading and LA teachers and other staff members.	PLC's common assessments, monitoring of Achieve 3000 data	FCAT, Formative assessments			
2	Higher order thinking needs to be promoted through high order questioning and inquiry.	Students will be placed in Honors classes. Teachers will continue to train and communicate through PLC's, AVID, and International Studies and the Gifted programs to maintain high order questioning throughout all grades and classes	math/ science coach, teachers	Data reports, district and state assessments, teachers feedback.	Mini assessments, student work displaying higher order thinking, formative assessments.			
3	Students are not recognized or proud of their academic accomplishments outside of the classroom.			Student participation in clubs and competitions.Feedback from teachers.	Outcomes of competitions.			

5	ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define reas in need of improvement for the following group:				
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b:			N/A		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	mance:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for			on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Science Fair	5	School and district personnel	Science teachers 6-8 grade	First Semester		School administration and Science Coach
Graphing calculator application in Science	9	district	Science teachers 6-8 grade	First Semester	calculators in the	School administration and Science Coach

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)	/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Interactive teaching and learning	Smart Boards	Title 1	\$27,000.00
			Subtotal: \$27,000.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$27,000.00

End of Science Goals

# Writing Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a:			I Increase writing scores by 8% in meeting high standards in writing for the 2013 FCAT.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	(219) of 8th grade studer ards in writing.	nts met 3.0 or higher	Writing scores for the 8th grade students will increase by 8% to 88% (295)			
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	

			Monitoring	Strategy	
1	for writing.	teachers will be trained	Reading coach, AP, Principal	writing prompts, lesson	Osceola writes, formatives, FCAT writing
2	orthograpy.	lessons in grammar and	dept chair,	plan, rubrics	Osceola Writes, formatives, FCAT Writing.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b:	Students will increase 5% in level 4 or higher.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
43% (117)achieved level 4 and 5 in the FCAT 2012.	Students will increase from 43%(117) to 48% (161).			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Anecdote writing	Focus on developing anedotes to sustain the main idea of the essays.	Reading Coach	observation, mini-	Oscoela writes, FCAT Writes Mini-assessments

# Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PDA workshops	8th grade	Chris Lewis	8th grade teachers	ТВА	Osceola writes	Langauge Arts teachers

Writing Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
PDA strategies	Workshops- teacher substitutes	Title 1	\$300.00
			Subtotal: \$300.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$300.00

End of Writing Goals

### Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Г

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics. Civics Goal #1:	50% of students will score 3 or above on the Ciics EOC for 2013			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
No previous data available	50% of students will score 3 or above on the Ciics EOC for 2013			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students reading below grade level	computer programs	REading coach, Principal, AP, and department chair		EOC, FCAT,
2	Be able to implement successfuly the CCS integrating literacy in History	To anchor CCS standards and high school standards in literacy work.	Mrs. Martinez & School Adminstration	Classroom observation	Teacher, District and State test results

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
<ul><li>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels</li><li>4 and 5 in Civics.</li><li>Civics Goal #2:</li></ul>	40% of students will score 4 or above in the Civics EOC in 2013.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
No previous data available	40% of students will score 4 or above in the Civics EOC in 2013.			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students reading below grade level	Use of various computer programs such as Teen Biz, SussessMaker, Plato Test Pack. Professional development on reading strategies in content areas, lessons studies	Principal, AP, and department chair		EOC, FCAT	
2	Implementation of Common Core Standards in social studies and history classes.	To anchor CCS standards and high school standards in literacy work.	Mrs. Martinez & School Adminstration		District and State test results, EOC.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Integration of Civics and Literacy Skills	6-8 grade	teachers	Social Studies Department teachers and Literacy teachers	All year process	observations	Literacy Coach and School Administration.
Lesson studies	6-8	SS chair	Social Studies Department teachers and Literacy teachers	meeting of each	Diservations,	Literacy Coach and School Administration.

Civics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	aterial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Adhere to new requirements for EOC.	Text books, workbooks	School budget	\$6,000.00
	-		Subtotal: \$6,000.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-		Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

### Attendance Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of atte provement:	ndance data, and referer	nce to "Guiding Que	estions", identify and defi	ne areas in need	
	ttendance ndance Goal #1:		Increase daily	Increase daily average attendance by 2% to 96 %.		
2012	2 Current Attendance R	ate:	2013 Expecte	ed Attendance Rate:		
94%	(843)		96% or better	(861)		
	2 Current Number of St ences (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2013 Expecte Absences (10	ed Number of Students or more)	with Excessive	
in 6t	h grade totaling 21.5%.	10 absences. 66 student 58 students in 7th grade n 8th grade totaling 27.8	7th grade - de	crease from 21.5% to 159 crease from 23.7% to 18 ease from 27.8% to 22%	%.	
	2 Current Number of St lies (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)		
Data	not available 100		A decrease of	A decrease of 10% for the whole school.		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students Mobility	Contact parents or relatives to find status of students with excesive absences Out-dials daily notifying parents of students absences Referrals to attencance officer after 3		Print excesive absences report	End of the year attedance report	
		unexcused absences Include perfect attendance award every quarter				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PLC focus on strategies to promote attendance.	Grades 6-8 all subjects	ТВА	ТВА		Monthly attendance reports	Deans

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
	PBS rewards	School budget	\$1,000.00
			Subtotal: \$1,000.00
			Grand Total: \$1,000.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

# Suspension Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1:	To decrease schoolwide behaviors that influence OSS by 6%.			
2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions			
In grades 6-8 the total number of in-school suspentions was 413.	388: we expect the number of suspensions in-school to decrease by 6%.			
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School			
222	For 2012-13 the total number of students with ISS will be reduced by 6%.			
2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions			

191			For 2012-13 th reduced by 6%	ne total number of studer 5.	nts with OS will be
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of- School			d Number of Students	Suspended Out-
191			179		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students repeating offenses	Increase use of ISS prior to resorting to OSS Begin referrals to guidance for any student with an OSS Revitalize and utilize the PBS program	Guidance Counselor PBS Coordinator Classroom teachers	Print, evaluate, and monitor reports Use of PBS program to plan and implement rewarding events for lack of discipline action	Suspension Reports (ISS and OSS) Referral reports (discipline and positive)
		Increase use of positive referrals			

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PBS training for all teachers.	6-8		All grades and subjects	ТВА	Increase in positive referrals and	deans

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Program	n(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

### Parent Involvement Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

 Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

 1. Parent Involvement

 Parent Involvement Goal #1:

 \*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.

 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:

 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:

 26%

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Job conflicts (economy)	Offer meetings at different times of the day and Translation services will be provided.	Zayra Diaz Mrs. Olmeda- Garcia	Attendance sign-in sheets, surveys, and parent feedback.	sign-in sheets		
2	parents	Expand communication venues to include email, IRIS phone calls, school website,homework hotline, and parent internet viewer.	and other staff.	Increase in participation to activities. Parent feedback, parent teacher conferences.	Sign-in sheets and call logs.		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Title 1 parenting workshops. ESOL Parent workshops.	6-8	ESOL Specialist, Title 1 liason	6-8	IRA	Attendance to workshops,	Principal

Parent Involvement Budget:

			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developmer	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	·	-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
	Parent Magazine	Title 1	\$300.00
			Subtotal: \$300.0
			Grand Total: \$300.0

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

### Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
1. STEM STEM Goal #1:				75% of the students will have access to STEM educational initiatives.		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Student motivation	To provide activities in the classroom that encourage students to develop their creativity in technology,Science and Math.	Teachnology teacher	Data report and teacher feedback	Teacher's ditrict and state tests	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
To provide orintation about the mechanism for federal and state coordination that bring coherence to STEAM educational initiatives.	6-8 grade	STEM Teacher	Schoolwide	First semester	Class observations and PIC	School administration and STEM teacher

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	lent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

### Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:							
1. CT CTE C	E Goal #1:		70% of 6-8 gra reding in the 2	ade level students will sc 013 FCAT.	core 3 or above in		
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Student motivaiton	Provide activities in the classroom that encorage the students to become tomorrow's	CTE teacher	Data reports and teacher's feedback	teacher grades and test as well as district and state tests.		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
To provide our teachers with teaching skills and experiences to use technology with the students in the classroom	6-8 grades all subjects	CTE Teacher and School tech-person	School-wide	all year	Classroom obsevations	School administration

CTE Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CTE Goal(s)

### Additional Goal(s)

### AVID and IB programs Goal:

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd reference to "G	uiding Questions", identify	y and define areas		
	ID and IB programs Go and IB programs Goal			75% MYP and AVID students will meet district and state levels of performance.			
2012	Current level:		2013 Expecte	2013 Expected level:			
6th 1 level 7th 1 level 8th 1 level	Data for AVID and MYP 2/18 students level 1-2 v 3 or more. 8/46 students level 1-2 v 3 or more. 5/42 students level 1-2 v 3 or more 2011-12 to 2012-13 Sch Prot	will increase their score t will increase their score t will increase their score t	<ul> <li>An an an</li></ul>	2012-13 Avid and MYP Goals 1. Raise the Level 1 scores to Level 2 2. Raise Level 2 scores to Level 3 3. Maintain Level 3 or increase to Level 4 or 5 for the 4. At least 60% of the incoming 6th grade class to achieve Level 3 or higher Fifty of one hundred twenty students in the AVID Program will achieve level 3 or more in the FCAT 2013.			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1		FCAT learning in each class.	AVID Teacher and Administration	FCAT Practice test, daily SAT practice to improve test taking strategies.	Teacher and district tests. FCAT		
2	Some teachers think that this is another thing they have to do.	Show the teachers that these are good teaching strategy.	AVID & IB Teachers and Administration	Monthly IB PLC Meetings. Provide PD to remaining 6-8 grade teachers who have not had AVID & IB training	and state tests		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
AVID Teaching strategies	6-8 grade	AVID teacher	Schoolwide	hoolwide all year		School administration
IB Teaching and implementation strategies	6-8 grade	IB Coordinator	Schoolwide	All year		School administration & IB coordinator

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
	MYP training	Title 1	\$5,000.00
	Teacher training	School budget	\$2,000.00
			Subtotal: \$7,000.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$7,000.0
		End of	f AVID and IB programs Goa

### FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Program	m(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Reading intervention program	SuccessMaker	Title 1	\$5,000.00
Reading	Scholastic Magazines	SCope, Action, Choices		\$800.00
Reading	Text books (Consumable)	READ 180	Title 1	\$2,000.00
Reading	Reluctant readers	Workbooks for Birdges to Literature	Title 1	\$1,800.00
Mathematics		Math workbooks	School budget	\$5,000.00
Mathematics	Make the transition from NGSSS to CCS	Common Core for Math workbooks	Title 1	\$500.00
Mathematics	Scholastic Magazine	Scholastic Math	School budget	\$300.00
Civics	Adhere to new requirements for EOC.	Text books, workbooks	School budget	\$6,000.00
				Subtotal: \$21,400.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Science	Interactive teaching and learning	Smart Boards	Title 1	\$27,000.00
				Subtotal: \$27,000.00
Professional Developme	ent			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Reading	Substitutes for MYP teachers and PDA.	Title 1	\$3,000.00
Mathematics	Increase knowledge of usage and application	Graphic Calculators	Title 1	\$4,000.00
Writing	PDA strategies	Workshops- teacher substitutes	Title 1	\$300.00
AVID and IB programs		MYP training	Title 1	\$5,000.00
AVID and IB programs		Teacher training	School budget	\$2,000.00
				Subtotal: \$14,300.0
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Attendance		PBS rewards	School budget	\$1,000.0C
Parent Involvement		Parent Magazine	Title 1	\$300.00
				Subtotal: \$1,300.0
				Grand Total: \$64,000.00

### Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority jn Focus jn Prevent jn NA

Are you a reward school:  $j \cap Yes \ j \cap No$ 

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 8/24/2012)

#### School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
2012-2013 SAC funds were not approved. We have limited roll over funds from last year.	\$780.00
escribe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year	
Escribe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year The SAC committee will the first Thursday of every month in the school media center.	
The SAC committee will the first Thursday of every month in the school media center. Continue to promote SAC parent involvement.	

## AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010 SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	61%	52%	87%	33%		Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above or Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/o science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	62%	67%			129	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	72% (YES)	71% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					505	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					в	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Osceola School Distric PARKWAY MI DDLE SC 2009-2010						
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	62%	55%	89%	31%	237	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/o science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	66%	76%			142	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	71% (YES)	78% (YES)			149	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					528	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					A	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested