FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: GLADES ACADEMY AGRI/ECOLO STUDIES

District Name: Palm Beach

Principal: Don Zumpano

SAC Chair: Emilia Fanjul

Superintendent: William F. Malone

Date of School Board Approval: December 2012

Last Modified on: 9/12/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Don Zumpano	BS Sociology/Urban Studies, MA School Administration, SAS Advanced Degree in School Administration and Supervision, Educational Doctorate Degree	8	16	For the 2011-2012 school year Glades Academy made AYP with 100% proficience met in all required sub groups and core subject areas. For the 2010-2011 school year Glades Academy met 100% proficiency in all required subgroups and core subject areas. Glades Academy Elementary is no longer a school in need of improvement having made Annual Yearly Progress for two consecutive years in a row. Glades Academy scored a total of 543 points achieving a school grade of A. Eighty two percent (82) of all students tested met high standards in reading, eighty seven percent (87%) met high standards in math, seventy three percent (73%) met high standards in writing, ninety percent (90%) of all students tested made learning gains in reading, fifty five percent of all students tested made learning gains in math.

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
NONE	Glades Acsademy currently has no Instructional Coaches.				

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Emphasis is placed on good compensation package, salary and benefits Emphasis is placed on strong teacher support system from administrator Ongoing professional development opportunities and appropriate education opportunities	Board of Directors Board of Directors Board of Directors Board of Directors	ongoing	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
All Glades Academy teaching staff are highly qualified and are teaching in field.	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Numbe of Instructiona Staff	% of		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
7	0.0%(0)	42.9%(3)	57.1%(4)	0.0%(0)	42.9%(3)	100.0%(7)	0.0%(0)	0.0%(0)	100.0%(7)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale	Planned Mentoring
	Assigned	for Pairing	Activities
			The mentor and the

Dena Phelps	Erica Jones	Erica is a Florida certified teacher with very little classroom experience. She is eager to learn additional teaching strategies which will result in high student achievement levels.	mentee are meeting on a weekly basis in a professional learning community to discuss evidenced based strategies for each domain. The mentor is allocated release time to observe the men tee. Time is also allocated for feedback, coaching and planning assistance. The reading coach is also utilized in classroom modeling lessons for Ms. Jones. She uses reading and writing strategies to teach language arts concepts.
-------------	-------------	--	---

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Glades Academy is a Title I school. Glades Academy will utilize these allocated funds for the 2012-2013 school years in the following areas: Family Involvement activities and parent workshops and trainings, staff development, tutorial, Glades Academy will hire a paraprofessional to assist and supplement the classroom teacher.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Migrant Liaison provides services and support to students and parents. The liaison coordinates with Title I and other programs to ensure student needs are met.

Title I, Part D

District receives funds to support the Educational Outreach Program. Services are coordinated with District Out Prevention Programs.

Title II

District receives supplemental funds for improving basic education programs through the purchase of small equipment and supplemental education programs. New technology in classrooms will increase the instructional strategies provided to students and new instructional software will enhance literacy in math and reading of struggling students. Funds at Glades Academy are used to purchase additional (supplemental education program) materials.

Title III

Services are provided through the district for education materials and ELL district support services improve the education of immigrants and English Language learners.

Title X- Homeless

District homeless social worker provides resources (clothing, school supplies, social service referrals) for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Glades Academy offers (Supplemental Education Services) tutoring companies approved through the State of Florida who work directly with students and teachers supplementing our core curriculum. Glades Academy offers in-school tutoring to all our students that fall within the lowest 25% in all core curriculum areas.

Violence Prevention Programs

Glades Academy offers a violence prevention program to all grade 2 through 5 students. Volunteer police officers conduct student workshops on a regular basis.

District-wide implementation of Single School Culture as well as Appreciation of Multicultural Diversity.

Nutrition Programs
N/A
Housing Programs
N/A
Head Start
N/A
Adult Education
N/A
Career and Technical Education
N/A
Job Training
N/A
Other
N/A

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal: provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision making, ensures that the school based team is implementing RTI, conducts assessment of RTI skills of school staff, insures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RTI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school based plans and activities.

All General Education Teachers: provides information concerning all core instruction, participates in school data collection, delivers Tier 3 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Instructional Coaches: develops, leads and evaluates school core content standards/programs, identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence based intervention strategies, assist with whole school screening programs that provide early intervention services for children to be considered "at-risk"; assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analyses, participates in the design and delivery of professional development, and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

ESE AND ELL contacts: Provides support and guidance to Glades Academy students, staff and family members as needed.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The School Leadership Team will focus meetings around one question: how do we develop and maintain a problem solving system to bring out the best in our school, our teachers, and our students.

The team meets once a week to engage in the following activities: review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at all grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RTI Team will meet with the school Advisory Council (SAC) and principal to develop the 2011-2012 School Improvement Plan (SIP). The team will provide data on: Tier 1,2, and 3 targets; academic and social/emotional areas that need to be addressed, help set clear expectations for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, Relationship); facilitate the development of a systematic approach to teaching (Gradual Release, Essential Questions, Activating Strategies, Teaching Strategies, Extending, Refining, and Summarizing), and aligned processes and procedures.

-MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline Data: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR), Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI), FLKRS, determines Kindergarten Readiness, FCAT Diagnostic Test (Fall, Winter, Spring testing, Reading Running Records (ongoing assessment), Scott Foresman Reading Curriculum Assessments (weekly, monthly), Student Portfolios (ongoing) Teacher Development Assessment (ongoing), Office Discipline, Referrals, Retentions, Absences.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development on RTI strategies will be provided during teacher planning times, scheduled professional development days, after school and weekends throughout the school year. Professional development will be to RTI /Inclusion facilitator by district staff during 2011-2012. The school based RTI /Inclusion facilitator will provide in-service to the faculty on designated professional development days (PDD). These in-service opportunities will include, but not be limited to, the following:

- Problem Solving Model
- · Consensus building
- Positive Behavioral Intervention and Support
- Data based decision making to drive instruction
- Progress monitoring
- · Selection and availability of research-based interventions
- Tools utilized to identify specific discrepancies in reading

Describe the plan to support MTSS.							

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The Glades Academy school-based Literacy Leadership team consists of all teaching staff, principal, and coaches.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The team meets on a weekly basis. At this time the learning team will review all assessment data and jointly make recommendations and corrections to the core curriculum as deemed necessary.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The principal and leadership team will meet with individual teachers at weekly meetings or one-on-one to discuss assessment results and student progress. During these meetings lesson plans, data binders, and student portfolios will be utilized to provide evidence of instruction, assessments, and differentiation to address individual student needs. Progress monitoring logs will be utilized to document the process of teaching, assessing, and re-assessing students.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 9/6/2012)

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

- 1. Parents are directly involved in the transition
- 2. The Kindergarten program id developmentally appropriate for the students
- 3. The FLKRS Test is given to all incoming students to determine their individual readiness
- 4. Students will be assessed using the Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener to determine their readiness for Kindergarten
- 5. Ongoing communication and cooperation between Glades Academy and several local pre-schools, all local pre-school are invited to visit Glades Academy during the school year. Pre-Kindergarten students participate in structured "formal and informal" activities
- 6. Parent involvement opportunities are provided for parents to ask questions and provide information about themselves, and their programs in an open atmosphere, the foundation is laid for effective cooperation during the transition
- 7. Open House every fall
- 8. Written material concerning our program is made available to all new parents
- 9. Arrangements are made to provide special information and assistance for non-English Speaking Parents
- 10. Teachers plan phase in groups during the first week of school in order to provide more individual attention to each student
- 11. Parents are invited to come and spend a minimal amount of time with their child on the first day of school
- 12. Parents of all upcoming Kindergarten students are cordially invited to visit the school during the month of May. As in previous years Glades Academy will schedule an Open-House for the parents to view the school, meet our entire staff, and participate in an hour of formal and informal activities. The Kindergarten curriculum will also be discussed in depth at this time.
- 13. Parents of all upcoming Kindergartners will be given transitional materials which will assist them and their children.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

N/A

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in By June 2013 increase by 5% or more the number of Glades reading. Academy students in grades 3 through 5 that will score a level 3 on the 2013 Spring Reading FCAT Test, Reading Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: The current level of performance for all grades 3 through 5 The expected level of performance for all grade 3 through 5 students who scored a level 3 on the 2012 Spring Reading students taking the 2013 Spring Reading FCAT Test will be FCAT Test is Eighty Two percent (82%). 85% or higher.

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Low student effort in completing required tasks	Additional supplemental reading materials will be added to each classroom to support the core reading curriculum.	classroom teacher, principal	the school year. Glades Academy will hold learning team meetings on a weekly basis throughout the school year to evaluate student progress and curriculum effectiveness.	With the use of weekly, monthly and quarterly assessments students progress will be monitored regulary.
2	1.1. Anticipated barrier: low student self esteem,	1.1. use student praise on a daily basis,	1.1. principal, teacher, paraprofessional, reading coach	and strategies as deemed necessary. 1.2 The school will hold learning team meetings weekly to study test data information to determine student proficiency gains or loses in the core area of reading. 1.3. Student portfolios are checked on a regular basis by the school	will be monitored regularly. The following assessments will be used: SRI Diagnostic Tests in grades 1 through

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

gains or los proficiency	es in reading FCAT results. Classroom walkthrough log and focused walkthroughs to determine frequency of higher order questions.
--------------------------	--

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Strategy Responsible Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

Level 4 in reading.	By June of 2013 increase by twenty-five percent (25%) the number of Glades Academy students in grads 3 through 5 that will score a level 4 or 5 on the 2013 Spring Reading FCAT Test
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
3 1 1 7	It is expected that twenty five-percent (25%) of all grades 3 through 5 students will score a level 4 or 5 on the 2013 Spring Reading FCAT Test.

L								
		Anticipated Barrier Strategy		Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		Low parent participation in all school matters.	The school will continue to keep in touch with parentsby mail, phone calls, parent professional development programs etc.	teacher, principal	With the use of weekly, monthly and quarterly assessments student progress will be monitored throughout the school year.	all assessments,teacher made or standardized testing.		
		2.1. anticipated barrier: Low student motivation levels,	2.1. Glades Academy will base all instruction on the k-5 Sunshine State Standards, Benchmarks, Grade Level	2.1. principal, teacher, reading coach	2.1. The process used to determine the effectiveness of this action step is as follows: The principal, teaching	2.1. With the use of weekly, monthly and quarterly assessments student's progress		

	Expectations, and ongoing student assessments with the primary focus on the five (5) critical areas of reading: phonics, fluency, comprehension, vocabulary, phonemic awareness,	stall and the reading coach will monitor all reading assessments on an ongoing basis throughout the school year.	will be monitored regularly. The following assessments will be used: SRI Diagnostic Test in grades 1 through 5 quarterly, FLKRS beginning school year (Kindergarten Readiness), SSS FCAT Diagnostics
2		observed during classroom walkthroughs and will be submitted weekly during LTM's.	Fall, Winter, Spring Testing, Reading Running Records ongoing assessment, McMillan Heritage Core Reading Program assessments, weekly, monthly, quarterly Academic and attendance records from tutoring sessions, student portfolios, teacher developed assessments, 2013 Reading FCAT results, classroom walkthroughs to determine frequency of higher order questions.

ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need improvement for the following group:						
2b. Florida Alternate As Students scoring at or a reading.	nt Level 7 in					
Reading Goal #2b:						
2012 Current Level of P		2013 Exp	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solv	ing Process to I	ncrease S ⁻	tudent Achievement		
for		IProcess Used to		Evaluation Tool		
	No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:	By June 2013 increase the number of students in grades 3 through 5 making learning gains in reading by five –percent (5%) or higher.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				

ninety percent (93%) of all grades 3 through 5 students made learning gains during the 2011-2012 school years

It is expected that by June 2013 at least ninety five percent (95% or higher of all grades 3 through 5 students will make learning gains in reading

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	absentee parent/parents in the students home	The school will keep in touch with all parents on a regular basis encouraging them to participate in their childs schoo activities and education.	teacher, principal	review of assessment data reports to ensure that teachers are teaching and assessing students according to their strengths and weaknesses.	diagnostic and FCAT data results
2	3.1. anticipated barrier: low parent involvement in school matters and activities,	3.1. Glades Academy teachers will keep in touch with their parents encouraging them to attend parent conferences, parent workshops and school programs	_	3.1. administrator will review student portfolios, teacher/student chats will be held on an ongoing basis throughout the school year, weekly Learning Team meetings will be held to analyze data to determine student gains or loses in the core area of reading, the Learning Team will make adjustments to the curricul as deemed necessary.	2.1. With the use of weekly, monthly and quarterly assessments student's progress will be monitored regularly. The following assessments will be used: SRI Diagnostic Test in grades 1 through 5 quarterly, FLKRS beginning school year (Kindergarten Readiness), SSS FCAT Diagnostics Fall, Winter, Spring Testing, Reading Running Records on-going assessment, McMillan Heritage Core Reading Program assessments, weekly, monthly, quarterly Academic and attendance records from tutoring sessions, student portfolios, teacher developed assessments, 2013 Reading FCAT results, classroom walkthroughs to determine frequency of higher order questions.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:
Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.

Reading Goal #3b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Anticipated Barrier	Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:	By June of 2013 at least eighty five percent (85%)) of all grades 3 through 5 students in the lowest twenty five percent (25%) will make learning gains in reading.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
The percentage of grades 3 through 5 students in the lower 25% who made learning gains during the 2011-2012 school year was seventy-one percent (71%).	The expected level of learning gains for those students in the lowest 25% for the 2012-2013 school year is 85% or higher.			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	lack of effort in completing calssroom assignments	One on one teaching, small groups, guided learning enviroment continuous teacher supervision and observation of students	teacher	student observation, results from tests and quizes	all teast results
	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.
2	Anticipated barrier to high achievement levels in the lower 25% are as follows: student discipline problems,	Glades Academy will review and up-date the School Student Discipline Plan adding additional strategies which will result in higher student achievement levels in the core area of reading	Principal, teacher, reading coach.	Administrators will review portfolios for student achievement chats during daily walkthroughs.	
3	Anticipated barrier to high achievement levels in the lower 25% are as follows: student discipline problems,	Glades Academy will hire a paraprofessional to directly assist the teaching staffin the core area of reading.	Principal, teacher, reading coach	Administrators will review portfolios for student achievement chats during daily walkthroughs.	
4	Anticipated barrier to high achievement levels in the lower 25% are as follows: student discipline problems,	Glades Academy will offer a before school tutoring program in reading for all tiered III students.	Principal, teacher, reading coach	Administrators will review portfolios for student achievement chats during daily walkthroughs.	
5	Anticipated barrier to high achievement levels in the lower 25% are as follows: student discipline problems,	Glades Academy will utlize Wilson Fundations Supplemental Reading Strategies.	Principal	Administrators will review portfolios for student achievement chats during daily walkthroughs.	

Basec	d on Amb	itious but Achie	vable Annual	Measurable Ob	jecti	ves (AMOs), AM	10-2, F	Reading and Math P	erformance Target
		but Achievable		Reading Goal #	#				_
Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.									
Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013				5A : 2013-201	1	2014-201	5	2015-2016	2016-2017
2010	0-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-201	4	2014-201	5	2015-2010	2010-2017
		analysis of stud		ent data, and r	efere	ence to "Guiding	g Ques	tions", identify and	define areas in need
1		ubgroups by	_						
satist		an, American I progress in rea #5B:		iakirig		By June of 2013 increase their p		or higher of all stud ncy in reading.	dent subgroups will
2012	Current	Level of Perfo	ormance:			2013 Expected	d Leve	el of Performance:	
		demy subgroup 2011-2012 scl		ndred percent A ria		Academy subgr again in order t	oups v o mak	vill meet all the ned	2013 school year by
			Problem-Sol	ving Process	to I r	ncrease Studer	nt Ach	ievement	
	Antic	ipated Barrier	St	rategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring		rocess Used to Determine ffectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	language	e barriers		egies will be ed within the setting	tea	cher,principal	stand	quizes, lardized testing, ler observations	all test results
	5A.1. White:		5A.1.		5A.		5A.1.		5A.1.
2	Anticipa subgrou	: n Indian: ted barrier for a ps: lack of supervision,	trainings w throughou year for th training pa	in dealing with student	rea	ncipal, teacher, ding coach.	all as	sessments	all assessments
				ent data, and r	efere	ence to "Guiding	g Ques	tions", identify and	define areas in need
<u> </u>		nt for the follow anguage Learr		ot making					
satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C:					By June of 2013 all subgroups not making adequate Yearly Progress will increase their proficiency levels in reading by at least fifteen percent (15%).				
2012	Current	Level of Perfo	ormance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
make		demy subgroup e Yearly Progre		criteria required e 2011-2012		By June of 2013 all student subgroups will master all criteria necessary to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)by increasing the proficieny by at least 5%.			
			Problem-Sol	ving Process	to I r	ncrease Studer	nt Ach	ievement	

Person or

Position

Responsible for

Strategy

Anticipated Barrier

Process Used to

Determine

Effectiveness of

Evaluation Tool

			Monitoring	Strategy	
1		the classroom teacher must continue to monitor and make adjustments to the curriculum and classroom strategies to meet the needs of their ESOL students	'' '	'	all tests and quizes, FCAT,
	5B.1.	teaching staff will be		The principal and teacher	
2	The anticipated barrier: low teacher expectations,	offered professional development opportunities throughout the school year with an emphasis placed on teacher strategies for instructing ELL Students,	0	0	through FAIR assessment.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making By June of 2013 all students labeled with a disability will satisfactory progress in reading. increase their proficiency level in reading by at least fifteen percent (15%). Reading Goal #5D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: It is expected that one-hundred percent of all grade 3 One hundred percent 100% of all grade 3 through 5 students through 5 students with Disabilities will meet all criteria with Disabilities met all the criteria necessary to make AYP necessary to make Adequate Yearly Progress AYP by June of for the 2011-2012 school year 2013 by increasing the proficieny by at least 15%. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy behavior issues teachers and staff will teacher, principal referrals, test scores, referrals, all test administer student student observations scores. behavior program on a daily basis, 5C.1. 5C.1. 5C.1. 5C.1. 5C.1. Diagnostic and Anticipated barrier: The school and the principal, teacher, review Common FCAT Data absentee parent/parents, teacher will keep in touch reading coach Assessment data reports 2 with parents on a regular to ensure teachers are basis encouraging them teaching and assessing to participate in all students according to school matters and their weaknesses and following the school scheduled activities/programs, created schedule.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:				
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E:	By June of 2013 all students in grades 3 through 5 who are labeled as Economically Disadvantaged will increase their proficiency level in reading by at least ten percent (10%).			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

One –hundred percent 100% of all students labeled as being Economically Disadvantaged met all the criteria required to meet Adequate Yearly Progress AYP in reading for the 2011-2012 school year.

It is expected that all grade 3 through 5 students labeled as being Economically Disadvantaged will meet one-hundred percent 100% of the required criteria necessary for making Adequately Yearly Progress by June of 2013 by increasing the proficieny by at least 10%.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	·	the teacher and the staff will continue to give positive reinforcement on a daily basis to all students labeled as Economically Disadvantaged		attendance records,	referrals, all test results, student behavior pattern		
2	of time spent at home on homework assignments/incomplete	Glades Academy staff and parents will up-date the Parent Compact and the Family involvement policy,	teacher, principal, reading coach.	Review Common Assessment Data reports to ensure teachers are teaching and assessing students according to their individual needs/weaknesses.	all assessments		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Charlette Danielson Group Certified Training.	Subject-	Danielson certified		Target Dates: November6, 2012 and February 19, 2013.	teacher evaluations	school principal/classroom teacher

Reading Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Glades Academy will hire the Charlette Danielson I Observation Training Group to assist teaching staff and administration in having a better understanding of the Framework for Teaching the core subject of reading.	Glades Academy teachers and administrators will be trained in beast practices in the core subject area of reading. A Charlette Danielson consultant will be engaged to provide training inboth student and self evaluation.	Title I	\$5,700.00
Glades Academy will offer additional tutoring in the subject area of reading to all lower 25% students.	students will receive tutoring in the core area of reading before school 30 minutes daily.	Title I	\$1,500.00
Glades Academy will hire a paraprofessional to directly assist the teaching staff in the core area of reading.	The paraprofessional under the direct supervision of the teacher will assist the students in the core area of reading.	Title I	\$17,700.00
			Subtotal: \$24,900.00

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Re-charging Computer cart for reading lab computers.	additional computers will be purchased for our new computer Lab.	Title I	\$1,700.00
Glades Academy will purchase eighteen (18) additional computers for our new reading lab.	Glades Academy students will utilize these additional computers to perform supplemental reading activities.	Title I	\$13,800.00
I Observation Software Support teacher self Evaluation System.	Technical Training one-on-one virtual; Three sessons; I observation teacher growth plans, Student progress monitoring, Advanced monitoring.	Title I	\$1,097.00
			Subtotal: \$16,597.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Availabl Amour
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0
		-	Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Availabl Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$41,497.0

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

CELLA Goal #1:

By the end of the 2012-2013 school years eighty percent (80%) of all CELLA students will be proficient in listening and speaking.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

for the 2012-2013 academic school years seventy five percent (75% of all Cella students are proficient in listening and speaking.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1		Teaching staff and support staff will continue to support our ESOL students on an on-going basis. Supplemental technology will be added to the core curriculum to support the educational needs of all ESOL students.	Classroom teacher, Principal	Tests and quizes; teacher observation;	tests and quizes; tacher observation, homework;		

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

	tudents scoring proficie	eighty-two per	By the end of the 2012-2013 academic school years eighty-two percent (82%) of all (CELLA) students will read grade level text in English in a mannor				
CELL	_A Goal #2:	similar to non	0	giisii iii a iiiaiiiioi			
2012	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:						
The	The current percent of students proficient in reading is fifty-percent (50%)						
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Lack of effort	additional supplemental reading materials will be introduced to all ELL students.	classroom teacher, principal, learning team	classroom observations, homework, tests and quizes, standardized testing results	tests, quizes, homework, standardized tesrts, teacher observation		

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.						
3. Students scoring proficient in writing. CELLA Goal #3:			,	By the end of the 2012-2013 school year forty-percent of all ELL students will be proficient in writing.		
2012	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:					
For the 2012-2013 school years presently, thirty-percent (30%) of all ELL students are proficient in writing. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	lack of parental support	Throughout the 2012- 2013 school years Glades Academy will offer parent workshops to all ELL parents which will enable them to better assist their children with their homework.	learning team.	classroom observations, writing homework, tests and quizes.		

CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount		
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00		
			Subtotal: \$0.00		
Technology					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount		

No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in By June of 2013 45% or higher of all grades 3 through five mathematics. students will achieve proficiency level 3 on the 2013 Spring FCAT Test. Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Thirty percent (33%) of all grade 3 through 5 students who It is expected that 75% of all grade 3 through 5 students took the 2012 Spring Math FCAT Test scored a level 3 on the taking the Spring 2013 FCAT Math test will score a level 3 or test. higher on the test. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy teaching staff will hold the teacher will monitor With the use of low student self esteem classroom teacher weekly chats with weekly, monthly all math assessments on individual students in an on-going basis. The and quarterly reference to all school will hold learning assessments student/school matters team meetings on a student progress weekly basis to will be monitored determine student on a regular basis. individual progress and curriculum effectiveness. low student motivation The principal and the Additional real life teacher, principal common levels experiences and hands teacher will monitor all assessments. on materials will be added science assessments on chapter tests, to the science an ongoing basis Diagnostics and throughout the school Year end Science curriculum. year. FCAT Test. student behavior the school principal The number of Monitoring of all teaching staff will problems attend student behavior and the school weekly/monthly behavior weekly/monthly modification strategy referrals written will be referrals written learning team workshops throughout monitored throughout the and monitoring of 3 the 2012-2013 school school year. Student weekly/monthly assessment results will vear assessments to also be monitored determine gains throughout the school and loses. year.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following group:				
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement			

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to '	'Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
Leve	CAT 2.0: Students scoring 4 in mathematics. ematics Goal #2a:	ng at or above Achievem	By June a level 4	4 or 5 or	II students in grades 3 thron the 2013 Spring Math FC at least ten percent (10%	AT Test will make
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 E	xpected	Level of Performance:	
Twelve percent (12%) of all grade 3 through 5 students scored a level 4 or 5 on the Spring 2012 Math FCAT Test.			five per	cent (25	D13 school year, it is expe %) or greater will score a th FCAT Test.	
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase	Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Persor Positi Responsil Monitor	on ble for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	low student expectations	learning team meetings will be held on a weekly basis to determine student progression and to adjust curriculum as needed.	principal, te	eacher	daily principal walkthrough with an emphasis placed on lesson plans.	All assessments
2	student behavior problems	behavior modification program will be offered throughout the school year.	teacher, pri	ncipal	daily principal classroom walkthrough with an emphasis placed on student behavior and classroom atmosphere	All assessments
3	2.1. low parent involvement in all school matters and planned school trainings and programs,	2.1. The school and teaching staff will keep in touch with our parents on a grgular basis encouraging them bo be involved in all school planned activities,	2.1. principal, to Learning Te		2.1. The process used to determine the effectiveness of these strategies are as follows: With the use of weekly, monthly, and quarterly assessments student progress will be monitored on a regular basis. The learning team will collect and analyze data on a weekly basis, ex, math diagnostic tests, classroom assessments, observation records; adjustments to the math curriculum will be made as deemed necessary. Glades Academy Learning Team will continue to review the implementation of math strategies used on an ongoing basis, setting new goals and strategies as needed	2.1. All assessments, With the use of weekly, monthly and quarterly assessments student progress will be monitored on a on-going basis.

Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the fo		data, and refe	rence to "G	uiding Questions", ident	tify and define areas in need
2b. Florida Alternate As Students scoring at or a mathematics.		Level 7 in			
Mathematics Goal #2b:					
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfori	mance:
	Problem-Solving	Process to	Increase S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp	son or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Submitted		
Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the fo		data, and refe	rence to "G	uiding Questions", ident	tify and define areas in need
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentag gains in mathematics.	ge of students makin	g learning	By June of	f 2013 the nercent of st	udents making learning

of improvement for the following group:				
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a:	By June of 2013 the percent of students making learning gains in math will increase by at least twenty percent (20%).			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
fifty five percent (55%) of all grades 3 through 5 students made learning gains in math during the 2011-2012 school years.	It is expected that 75 percent (75%) or higher of all grades 3 through 5 students will make learning gains by June 2013.			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	33	curriculum will be adjusted to accomodate all ESOL students in the classrooms.	teacher, principal	hols weekly meetings to	the use of weekly, monthly and quarterly tests and quizes, diagnostics, FCAT
	much time was spent on "too difficult text" and not enough time spent on "just right text."	3.1 Professional development will be provided for classroom teachers throughout the school year with an emphasis placid on curriculum construction	3. principal, teacher, Learning Team.	will monitor all math assessments on a weekly basis throughout the school year.	.1. With the use of weekly, monthly and quarterly assessments student progress will be monitored on a regular basis. Glades Academy Learning Team will continue to review the implementation

		on a weekly basis to	and strategies as
2		necessary changes to classroom math strategies when deemed necessary.	Common assessments tied to Next Generation math standards administered as appropriate after instruction.

based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer f improvement for the following group:			ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Performar	nce:
	Problem-Solving Proces	stol	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.

By June of 2013 the number of students in the lowest twenty five percent (25%) will make learning gains of at least fifteen percent (15%).

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

The percentage of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains in math during the 2011-2012 school year was hundred percent (100%) of all grade 3 through 5 students in

Based on Amb	itious but Achi	evable Annual	Measurable Objective	es (AMOs), AMO-2, I	Reading and Math Pe	erformance Target
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.		Elementary School N	Mathematics Goal #			
		5A :			<u>*</u>	
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making By June of 2013 all student sub-groups not making AYP in satisfactory progress in mathematics. the core area of math will make learning gains of at least ten percent (10%) or higher. Mathematics Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: It is expected that all grade 3 through 5 student subgroups will meet all criteria necessary for making Adequate Yearly By June of 2012 all student sub-groups made adequate Annual Yearly Progress (AYP) in the core area of math. Progress (AYP) for the 2012-2013 school year by increasing the proficiency by at least 10%. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

L					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	students education	continue to encourage all parents to assist and encourage their children to complete assignments and work hard in school.	teacher principal	parent participation in all school related matters, tests quizes FCAT	all test results
	It is expected that all grade 3 through 5	5A.1.	5A.1.	SA.1.	5A.1.

2	student subgroups will meet all criteria necessary for making Adequate Yearly Progress AYP for the 2012-2013 school year. White Black: Hispanic Asian: American Indian	be directly involved in all		classroom performance, review common assessment data to ensure teachers are teaching and assessing	With the use of weekly, monthly and quarterly assessments student progress will be monitored on a regular basis. Common assessments tied to Next Generation Math Standards administered as appropriate after instruction,
---	--	-----------------------------	--	--	---

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making By June of 2013 one-hundred percent 100% of all grades 3 satisfactory progress in mathematics. through 5 students labeled English Language Learners Ell will make learning gains in math of ten percent (10%) or higher. Mathematics Goal #5C: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: One-hundred percent 100% of all students labeled as being It is expected that one-hundred percent 100% of all English Language Learners ELL met all the criteria necessary students labeled as being English Language Learners ELL will to make Adequate Yearly Progress AYP for the 2011-2012 make learning gains in math of ten percent (10%) or higher school year. for the 2012-2013 school year. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy culture barriers the teacher and all staff student attitude, test all tests and teacher, principal dealing with ESOL results, behavior, quizes, FCAT students during the FCAT, teacher school day must have an observation understanding of their students cultural ways as compared to ours. lack of motivation teacher will continue to student attitude, all tests and teacher, principal quizes, FCAT, give positive behavior, test results, reinforcement to all ESOL student attendance Referrals students on a daily basis records 5B.1. 5B.1. 5B.1. 5B.1. 5B.1. Teacher, principal, The principal, Learning

learning team.

Team and staff will

basis throughout the

assessments on a weekly

school year, the school

will hold learning team

meetings on a weekly

basis to decipher test

data information to

determine proficiency

monitor all math

The use of weekly,

students' progress

will be monitored

on a regular basis.

The Learning Team

analyze data on a

will collect and

monthly and

assessments

quarterly

language barrier: student Behavior modification

programs will be offered to our students

throughout the school

year, ex. Local police

agency volunteers,

behavior problems,

3				the principal will monitor student progress on a daily basis using the following techniques: the principal will conduct daily walkthroughs placing an emphasis on teacher organizational skills, mastery of subject area, student portfolios, technology used, and teacher lesson presentation.	records, adjustments to the math curriculum will be made as
---	--	--	--	---	--

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making By June of 2013 all grades 3 through 5 students labeled as satisfactory progress in mathematics. Students With Disabilities will make learning gains in math of at least ten percent (10%) or higher. Mathematics Goal #5D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: It is expected that one-hundred percent 100% of all grade 3 One-hundred percent 100% of all grade 3 through 5 students through 5 subgroups will meet all the criteria necessary to labeled with Disabilities met all the criteria necessary to make make Adequate Yearly Progress AYP for the 2012-2013 Adequate Yearly Progress for the 2011-2012 school year. school year by increasing the proficieny by at least 10%.

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	low self esteem	teachers and staff will continue to give positive reinforcement to all students with disabilities on a daily basis	teacher, principal	referrals, behavior/student attitude, test results,attendance	referrals, attendance records, all tests and quizes
2	low motivation levels	Glades Academy will continue to introduce interesting and relivant curriculum within the classrooms.	teacher, principal	student motivation level, test and quiz results, student behavior pattern	student attendance, all test results
3	5C.1. Anticipated barrier: student tardiness/absentee rate,	Glades Academy Learning Team will make necessary changes to our Glades Academy Student Behavior Plan, adding new strategies which are intended to increase student tardiness, absence,	5C.1. Principal, Learning Team, teacher.	The principal, learning team and teaching staff will monitor all math assessments on a weekly basis throughout the school year, the school will hold learning team meetings on a weekly basis to decipher test data information to determine proficiency gains or loses in math, teachers will make continued changes to math strategies as deemed necessary throughout the school year.	With the use of weekly, monthly and quarterly assessments student progress will be monitored on a regular basis, the learning team will collect and analyze data on a weekly basis ex, math diagnostic testa, common assessments, observation records, adjustments to the math curriculum will be made as

				deemed necessary, Glades Academy learning team will continue to review the implementation of math strategies used on an on- going basis, setting new goals and strategies as deemed necessary.
--	--	--	--	--

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making By June of 2013 all students that are labeled as Economically satisfactory progress in mathematics. disadvantaged not making AYP will make learning gains of at least ten percent (10%). Mathematics Goal #5E: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: It is expected that one-hundred percent 100% of all grades One hundred percent 100% of all students in grades 3 3 through 5 students labeled as being Economically through 5 labeled as being Economically Disadvantage met all Disadvantaged will met all the criteria necessary for making the criteria for making Adequate Yearly Progress AYP for the Adequate Yearly Progress AYP for the 2012-2013 school year 2011-2012 school year. by increasing the proficieny by at least 10%.

	T	T	_		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	low self esteem	the teacher and the staff will continue to encourage students using positive reinforcement strategies on a daily basis	·	attendance records, teacher, principal observations, test and quizes	all test results, student attitude and behavior patterns
2	poverty	the teacher and the staff are there at all times to support our disadvantaged students to the fullest of our ability	teacher, principal	referrals, attendance records, student behavior,direct observation	all test results, student attitude
3	Anticipated barrier: lack of supplemental math technology/materials,	Glades Academy will add additional technology/math materials to our core math curriculum during the 2012-2013 school year,	5D.1. Teacher, learning team, principal.	will monitor all math assessments on a weekly basis throughout the school year, the school will hold learning team meetings on a weekly basis to decipher test data information to determine proficiency gains or loses in the core area of math, teachers will make the necessary changes to math strategies when deemed necessary, the principal will monitor student progress on a daily basis using the following	administered as appropriate after instruction, RTI Team Grade Level Teams will review results of common assessment data

	organizational skills, mastery of subject area, lesson plans, student portfolios, technology used, teacher presentation.	
--	---	--

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate	rial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Glades Academy will offer all lower 25% students additional tutorial time.	Before school tutoring 30 minutes daily.	Title I	\$1,567.00
			Subtotal: \$1,567.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$1,567.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement

 $^{^*\} When\ using\ percentages,\ include\ the\ number\ of\ students\ the\ percentage\ represents\ (e.g.,\ 70\%\ (35)).$

Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a:			scoring a level	By June of 2013 the percent of grade 5 students scoring a level 3 on the 2012 FCAT Science Test will be 50% or higher.			
2012	2 Current Level of Perf	ormance:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
takin	y-three percent (43%)og the 2011 Spring Scier 3 on the test.			that 50% of all grade 5 ng Science FCAT Test w			
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	low student motivation levels	Additional real life experiences and hands on materials will be added to the science curriculum.	teacher, principal	The principal and the teacher will monitor all science assessments on an ongoing basis throughout the school year.	common assessments, chapter tests, Diagnostics and Year end Science FCAT Test.		
2	Anticipated barrier: lack of school/teacher emphasis placed on core science curriculum,	All teachers will teach appropriate level science benchmarks and Grade Level Expectations using the Scott Foresman science text, and additional technology/science materials will be introduced into the classroom,	1.1. Teacher, principal, Learning Team.	The principal, learning team and the teacher will monitor all science assessments on an ongoing basis, the Learning Team will review assessment results to determine progress toward benchmark mastery on common assessments/chapter tests, administrative walkthroughs.	on a weekly basis to perform data analysis in order to monitor student		
areas	in need of improvemen	dent achievement data, at for the following group		Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define		
	Torida Alternate Asses ents scoring at Levels	ssment: 4, 5, and 6 in science.					
Scier	nce Goal #1b:						
2012	2 Current Level of Perf	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performand	ce:		
	Proh	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	1100	nom borving i roccss t	o moreuse stade	Sitt Admic vollidit			

By June of 2013 the percent of grade 5 students

Person or

Responsible

Position

for

Anticipated Barrier

Strategy

Process Used to

Effectiveness of

Evaluation Tool

Determine

Strategy

	s in need of improvemen):		
Achi	FCAT 2.0: Students sco evement Level 4 in sc nce Goal #2a:	_	scoring a leve	013 the percent of gra el 4 or 5 on the Spring ifteen-percent 15% or	g 2012 Science FCAT
2012	2 Current Level of Perf	ormance:	2013 Expect	ted Level of Perform	ance:
	percent (0%) of all gra 2 Spring Science FCAT T cest.				
	Prok	olem-Solving Process	to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible fo Monitoring	Process Used to Determine r Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too
1	student behavior problems	behavior modification program will be offered throughout the school year.	teacher, principa	Il daily principal classroom walkthrou with an emphasis placed on student behavior and classro atmosphere	
areas 2b. I	d on the analysis of studes in need of improvemental forida Alternate Assessents scoring at or about 10 months and 10 months at 10 months and 10 months are seen to 10 months and 10 months are seen to 10 months and 10 months are seen to 10 mon	it for the following group ssment:): 	2.1. The principal, Learni Team and the teach will monitor all scien assessments on an going basis throughthe school year; Learning Team will review results of common assessmen data on a weekly bato determine studer progress toward Benchmark mastery. "Guiding Questions", in	assessments, chapter Tests on-tied to Florida out Science Standards administered as appropriate after instruction, all assessments, Science FCAT Test.
in sc	cience. nce Goal #2b:	ve Achievement Leve			
2012	2 Current Level of Perf	ormance:	2013 Expect	ed Level of Perform	ance:
	Prok	olem-Solving Process	to Increase Stud	dent Achievement	
Anti	icipated Barrier Stra	tegy P	desponsible Eff	ocess Used to termine fectiveness of rategy	Evaluation Tool

No Data Submitted

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		N	lo Data Submitte	d		

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level3.0 and higher in writing.Writing Goal #1a:	By June 2013 the percentage of students in grade 4 who will score a level 3 or higher on the 2013 Spring Writing FCAT Test will be eighty-percent (80%).			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

Sixty-five percent (100%) of the grade four (4) students scored a level 3 or higher on the 2012 Spring Writing FCAT Test.

It is expected that eighty percent (80%) of the grade 4 students will score a level 3 or higher on the 2013 Spring Writing FCAT Test students will score a level 3 or higher on the 2013 Spring Writing FCAT Test

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	1.1.	1.1.	.1.	1.1.	1.1.
1	Anticipated barrier: low parent involvement in all school matters and planned trainings and programs,	Glades Academy teachers and administration will keep in touch with our parents on a on-going basis throughout the school year encouraging them to get directly involved in their child's education be attending all school related conferences, workshops, parent trainings,.	teachers, principal, Learning Team, teacher,principal	The principal, teachers and learning team will monitor all writing assessments throughout the school year, the school will hold Learning Team Meetings on a weekly basis to decipher test data results and make changes in classroom strategies and supplemental core curriculum materials as deemed necessary, the school principal will monitor student progress by conducting daily classroom walkthroughs, checking lesson plans, teacher lesson presentations, organizational skills, structure, knowledge of subject matter, technology used.	analysis in order to monitor student progress, changes in classroom strategies will be made as deemed necessary and

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and r in need of improvement for the following group:	eference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.	
Writing Goal #1b:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	tor	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		N	No Data Submitted	d		

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Writing Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. Attendance

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Atte	ndance Goal #1:			By June of 2013 the Glades Academy attendance rate will have increased by at least two percent (2%).		
2012	2 Current Attendance R	ate:	2013 Expecte	ed Attendance Rate:		
	es Academy daily attenda ol year was 93%	ance rate for the 2011-20		ny expected attendance ear is predicted to be 95°		
	2 Current Number of Sto ences (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2013 Expecte Absences (10	ed Number of Students or more)	with Excessive	
	n students (6) students h g the 2011-2012 school			Glades Academy hopes to increase student attendance by 2% for the 2012-2013 school year.		
	2 Current Number of Stoles (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)		
The current number of students with excessive tardies is 3.				The expected number of student with excessive tardies for the 2012-2013 school year will be approximately 3.		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	1.1. The absence of a stable home/living arrangement	1.1. Glades Academy will monitor student attendance and absence through a computerized attendance record-keeping system that keeps track of any unexcused tardiness/absences that are more than 30 minutes.	1.1. principal, Data processor	1.1. Glades Academy will keep accurate attendance records that will show student absences on a daily basis	1.1. Glades Academy evaluation tool is our daily attendance record keeping process.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
	No Data Submitted						

Attendance Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference of improvement:	to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need		
1. Suspension			
Suspension Goal #1:	By June of 2013 the Glades Academy suspension rate will have decreased by at least ten percent (10%).		
2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions		
The total number of in-school suspensions for the 2011-2012 school year was five (6).	The expected number of in-school suspensions for the 2012-2013 school years is five (5).		
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School		
The total number of student's suspended in-school for the 2011-2012 school years was five (5).	The total number of students that will be suspended in- school for the 2012-2013 school years will be 5 or fewer.		
2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions		
The number of out of school suspensions for the 2011- 2012 school years was Twelve (9).	The number of out of school suspensions for the 2012-2013 school years will be 5 or less.		
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of- School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School		
The total number of out of school suspensions for the 2011-2012 school years was five (9).	The total number of out of school suspensions expected for the 2012-2013 school year will be five (5) or less.		
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement		
Р	erson or Process Used to		

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1.1. Anticipated barrier: student discipline problems,	1.1. teacher/student chats on a regular basis, throughout the school year,	principal, Learning Team	increase or decrease in the n umber of suspensions or detention during the school year, parent/student/teachers	1.1. weekly, monthly yearly suspension/detention records, principal walk through, number of parent conferences dealing with discipline matters, number of detentions, suspensions both in and out of school during the school year, principal/teacher observation.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Parent FCAT workshop	k-5	Dena Phelps	all k-5 parents teaching staff	January of 2013	tests and quizes; teacher/principal observations	classroom teacher; principal
parent reading workshop	k-5	Dena Phelps	all k-5 parents and teachers	November 2012	teacher/principal	classroom teacher principal
parent math workshop	k-5	Dena Phelps	all k-5 parents and teachers	November 2012	teacher/principal	classroom teacher principal

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	ım(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.	By June of 2013 Glades Academy will have increased its parent involvement participation rate by at least ten percent (10%).			
2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:			
In conducting the end of the 2011-2012 school years Parent/School Survey seventy percent (70%) of our parents participated in completing and returning this survey. On average approximately thirty-five percent (35%) of all Glades Academy Parents participated in trainings and workshops throughout the 2011-2012 school	By the end of the 2012-2013 school year Glades Academy will increase our parent participation/involvement an additional ten percent (10%) to forty -five percent (45%).			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1.1. Parent transportation issues 1.2. low parent concern/involvement in all school sponsored activities 1.3. Language barriers	1.1. Encourage fellow parents to car pool and volunteer to pick up those parents in need of transportation 1.2. FCAT NIGHT, Language facilitators for Spanish/Creole will be available 1.3. FCAT and Literacy night with language facilitators available for Spanish/Creole speakers.	teachers 1.2. principal ,SAC committee, teachers 1.3. principal, SAC Committee, teachers	1.1 The number of parents attending parent trainings and special school programs. 1.2. Parent participation with sign in sheets, 1.3. Parent participation with sign in sheets	1.1. Sign in sheets at parent trainings and school special programs. 1.2. Parent participation with sign in sheets, 1.3. Parent's participation with sign in sheets.
2	low parent concern/involvement in all school sponsored activities	Recruit and retain parent volunteers and business partners.	principal, SAC Committee, teachers	Parent participation with sign in sheets	Parent's participation with sign in sheets.
3	low parent concern/involvement in all school sponsored activities	Host Title I Annual Meeting, review compact and policy/plan and allow for parent input.	principal, SAC Committee, teachers	Parent participation with sign in sheets	Evaluations

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Parent writing workshop	three through five	Donna Masucci and Dena Phelps	All grades three through five teachers and parents	February 2013	Palm Beach Writes results, classroom activities, teacher observation, FCAT Writes.	classroom teacher, principal, Learning Team.
Parent Reading Workshop	Grades k through five	Dena Phelps and Donna Masucci	All grades k through five teachers and parents	November 2012	teacher/principal observations, classroom tests and quizes, Reading Diagnostics Tests, FCAT Reading results.	classroom teacher, principal, learning team.
FCAT parent training night	k-5 reading- math	Dena Phelps	all teaching staff; parents.	January 2013.	teacher/principal student	classroom teacher; principal

Parent Involvement Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
All glades Academy parents attending the workshops will be provided with food and drinks. Hopefully, this strategy will encourage additional parent participation.	food and drinks	Title I	\$1,100.00
			Subtotal: \$1,100.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			
1. STEM			
STEM Goal #1:			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

STEM Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Progra		Description of		
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Reading	Glades Academy will hire the Charlette Danielson I Observation Training Group to assist teaching staff and administration in having a better understanding of the Framework for Teaching the core subject of reading.	Glades Academy teachers and administrators will be trained in beast practices in the core subject area of reading. A Charlette Danielson consultant will be engaged to provide training inboth student and self evaluation.	Title I	\$5,700.00
Reading	Glades Academy will offer additional tutoring in the subject area of reading to all lower 25% students.	students will receive tutoring in the core area of reading before school 30 minutes daily.	Title I	\$1,500.00
Reading	Glades Academy will hire a paraprofessional to directly assist the teaching staff in the core area of reading.	The paraprofessional under the direct supervision of the teacher will assist the students in the core area of reading.	Title I	\$17,700.00
Mathematics	Glades Academy will offer all lower 25% students additional tutorial time.	Before school tutoring 30 minutes daily.	Title I	\$1,567.00
Parent Involvement	All glades Academy parents attending the workshops will be provided with food and drinks. Hopefully, this strategy will encourage additional parent participation.	food and drinks	Title I	\$1,100.00
				Subtotal: \$27,567.0
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Reading	Re-charging Computer cart for reading lab computers.	additional computers will be purchased for our new computer Lab.	Title I	\$1,700.00
Reading	Glades Academy will purchase eighteen (18) additional computers for our new reading lab.	Glades Academy students will utilize these additional computers to perform supplemental reading activities.	Title I	\$13,800.00
Reading	I Observation Software Support teacher self Evaluation System.	Technical Training one- on-one virtual; Three sessons; I observation teacher growth plans, Student progress monitoring, Advanced monitoring.	Title I	\$1,097.00
				Subtotal: \$16,597.0
Professional Developm	nent			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Othor				Subtotal: \$0.0
Other		Description of		
Goal	Strategy	Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.0
				Grand Total: \$44,164.0

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority	jm Focus	jn Prevent	j n NA	

Are you a reward school: † Yes † No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



No. Disagree with the above statement.

If NO, describe the measures being taken to Comply with SAC Requirement

- * The School Advisory Council is the sole body responsible for final decision making relating to the implementation of the provisions of the School Improvement Plan.
- * Each School Advisory Council member shall assist in the preparation of the schools annual budget.
- * The school advisory council makes recommendations to the school principal regarding the prioritization of expenditures of school money's.
- * The School Advisory Council meets monthly to discuss means for determining whether decisions affecting the educational process are advancing or impeding student achievement.
- * The School Advisory Council meets monthly to discuss school safety issues.
- * Prior to the beginning of each school year the School Advisory Council adopts high, but achievable goals and objectives for the school.
- * The School Advisory Council adopts a plan for the schools accountability program designed to measure the adequacy and efficiency of the education program.
- * The school Advisory Council will review the school 2012-2013 School Improvement before it's submission to the district and the state.

Describe projected use of SAC funds	Amount
No data submitted	

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

- * Adopt goals and objectives for improvement of education in the school;
- * Make recommendations to the school's principal relative to the prioritization of the school budget;
- * Discuss the means for determining whether decisions affecting the educational process are advancing or impeding student achievement:
- * Report to students, parents, and the Board of Education on the educational performance of the school and provide data for the appraisal of the school's performance;
- * Make recommendations to the school principal on the expenditure of school grants;
- * Discuss safety issues related to the school environment;

Any issue dealing with specific student, staff member, or parent;

- * Individual student discipline issues;
- * Personal issues, including hiring or evaluation of staff.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Palm Beach School Dis GLADES ACADEMY ELE 2010-2011		SCHOOL I NO	;			
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	82%	87%	73%	8%	250	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	90%	55%			145	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	93% (YES)	55% (YES)			148	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					543	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Palm Beach School Dis GLADES ACADEMY AGI 2009-2010		STUDIES				
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	74%	85%	58%	61%	278	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	78%	96%			174	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?		96% (YES)			174	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					626	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*						Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested