SCHOOL NAME: Plantation Oaks Elementary School

School Based Leadership Team

Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

Identify the school-based RtI Leadership Team.

• Indicate who is on the team and their position. Also explain why they have been included on the team.

Lee Oliver: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing RtI, conducts assessment of RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.

Jessica Streaty, Amy Anken, Lori Comeau, Laura Dodson, Celeste Sciandra, Erin Uria, Amy Olsen: Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3activities.

Lee Oliver, Kim Marks, Vickie Lurie, Priscilla Dobson:

Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches.

Identifies systematic patterns of student needs while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Kimm Farwell: Facilitates and supports data collection activities; assists in data analysis; provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data-based instructional planning; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans. Assists grade levels in the development of Professional Learning Communities and/or Lesson Study.

Jenny Gamble: Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitates development of intervention plans; provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; provides professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation; facilitates data-based decision making activities.

Jennifer Hall: Develops or brokers technology necessary to manage and display data; provides professional development and technical support to teachers and staff regarding data management and display.

Lisa Jacob and Jodi Nesi: Educates the team in the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction, as a basis for appropriate program design; assists in the selection of screening measures; and helps identify systemic patterns of student needs with respect to language skills

• Describe how the school-based RtI Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate RtI efforts?

The team meets monthly in collaboration with the RTI team to engage in the following activities:

Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate professional development in the form of Professional Learning Communities and Lesson Study.

• Describe the role of the school-based RtI Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI Leadership Team met with the School Advisory Council (SAC) and principal to help develop the SIP. The team provided data on: Tier 1, 2, and 3 targets; academic and social/emotional areas that needed to be addressed; helped set clear expectations for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, Relationship); facilitated the development of a systemic approach to teaching (Gradual Release, Essential Questions, Activating Strategies, Teaching Strategies, Extending, Refining, and Summarizing); and aligned processes and procedures. Additionally, the Team assisted the school's inservice coordinator in the writing of the professional development priorities to ensure that RTI training is provided to all instructional and support staff members throughout the year. Team members will assist the School Advisory Committee in the monitoring of the SIP and provide updates to the SAC on the implementation of RTI goals.

RtI Implementation

• Describe the data source(s) and the data management systems(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science writing, and behavior.

Baseline data: FAIR via Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Assessment and Information Management System (DataStar), Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Progress Monitoring, K-5 Successmaker. Compass Learning Assessments

Progress Monitoring: Progress Monitoring (K-6 Math, Reading): Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Running Records, Successmaker, Early Reading Diagnostic Assessment (ERDA), Diagnostic Reading Assessment, (DAR), Compass Learning, Class grades, Teacher judgment, Focus, and Quick Reads

End of year: FAIR, Progress Monitoring, FCAT, Successmaker

Frequency of Data Days: twice a month for data analysis

Describe the plan to train staff on RtI.

Professional development will be provided and small sessions through professional learning communities which will occur throughout the year. A District Intervention Specialist will be assigned to cluster sites to provide training and support. Curriculum Council Meetings will be conducted as needed for school administrators where training will focus on topics such as: Data-based Decision-making, and Supporting and Evaluating Interventions. The School-based RTI Leadership Team will provide training throughout the year as determined by data collection and implementation concerns.

The RtI team will also evaluate additional staff PD needs during the weekly RtI Leadership Team meetings.

Literacy Leadership

• Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Lee Oliver: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team follows the K-12 Reading Plan, ensures assessments are conducted as required, ensures implementation of reading intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support effective reading implementation, and communicates with parents regarding reading plans and activities.

Jessica Streaty, Amy Anken, Lori Comeau, Laura Dodson, Celeste Sciandra, Erin Uria, Amy Olsen: Provides information about core and supplemental reading instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers instruction/intervention, and collaborates with other staff to implement K-12 Reading Plan.

Lee Oliver, Kim Marks, Vickie Lurie, Priscilla Dobson: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through activities such as co-teaching, PLC's and Lesson Study.

Kimm Farwell: Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student needs while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Jennifer Hall: Develops or brokers technology necessary to manage and display data; provides professional development and technical support to teachers and staff regarding data collection from the PMRN and Progress Monitoring.

Lisa Jacob and Jodi Nesi: Educates the team in the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction, as a basis for appropriate program design; assists in the selection of screening measures; and helps identify systemic patterns of student needs with respect to language skills.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The team meets monthly in collaboration with the RTI team to engage in the following activities:

Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate professional development in the form of Professional Learning Communities and Lesson Study.

• What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The LLT will work to effectively integrate the RTI process to ensure that students most "at risk" in reading receive intensive and immediate intervention services. We will support

the implementation of the Common Core State Standards.

NCLB Public School Choice (If A	pplical	ole)
---------------------------------	---------	------

Notification of School in Need of Improvement (SINI) Status

Attach a copy of the Notification of SINI Status to Parents

Public School Choice with Transportation (CWT) Notification

Attach a copy of the CWT Notification to Parents

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Attach a copy of the SES Notification to Parents

Elementary Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

• Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Students that register early for kindergarten are scheduled for a kindergarten screening over the summer. The data collected from these screenings helps appropriately balance kindergarten classes.

Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

• For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

High Schools Only Note: Required for High School – Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S., Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

• How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Additional information: Dropout Prevention

• Provide a plan to address the 15 Strategies below to support the improvement of the dropout rate at your school. These strategies, although appearing to be independent, frequently overlap and are synergistic. They can be implemented as stand-alone programs (i.e. mentoring or family involvement projects) or integrated into other components of your SIP (please reference the goal and page number on the form below where in the SIP the strategy is utilized, if planning to integrate within your plan)

2012 – 2013 School Improvement Plan When schools develop an improvement plan that encompasses most or all of these strategies, positive outcomes will result. 4 Elements/15 Strategies: The Basic Core Strategies Mentoring/Tutoring Service Learning Alternative Schooling After School Opportunities **Early Interventions** Early Childhood Education Family Engagement Early Literacy Development **Making the Most of Instruction** Professional Development Active Learning Educational Technology Individualized Instruction Making the Most of the Wider Community Systemic Renewal School-Community Collaboration Career and Technical Education Safe Schools Postsecondary Transition Note: Required for High School – Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. • Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

School District of Clay County

Smart Goals	· ·		
Smart = Specific Measurable			
Attainable Realistic Timely			

	2012 – 2013 School	n mprovement	. 1 Iaii		
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school-specific goal, Goal 1: By 2013, 73% of students in grades 3-5 will achieve proficiency (FCAT level 3 or above) in Reading.					
Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures					
I. Strategy 1: Implement the research-based strategy of developing learning experiences utilizing a variety of instructional strategies and resources, including appropriate technology, that requires students to demonstrate a variety of relevant skills and competencies	FCAT/EOC August 2012 *Perceptual Data	FCAT/EOC August 2013	FCAT/EOC August 2014	FCAT/EOC August 2015	FCAT/EOC August 2016
II. Adult Implementation Indicator (s): 100% of teachers will implement the research- based strategy of developing learning experiences utilizing a variety of instructional strategies and resources, including appropriate technology, which requires students to demonstrate a variety of relevant skills and competencies.	Grades K-2 42 % Grades 3-5 50 %	Grades K-2 56 % Grades 3-5 63 %	Grades K-2 70 % Grades 3-5 75 %	Grades K-2 84 % Grades 3-5 87%	Grades K-2 100 % Grades 3-5 100 %
	FCAT/EOC August 2012	FCAT/EOC August 2013	FCAT/EOC August 2014	FCAT/EOC August 2015	FCAT/EOC August 2016

-	-01010 541100	,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1 10011		
III. Students will consistently increase their FCAT Reading scores until we reduce the percent of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016.	3rd 70% (30%) 4th 71% (29%) 5th 67 % (33%)	3rd 73.75% (26.25%) 4th 74.625% (25.375%) 5 th 71.125% (28.875%)	3rd 77.5% (22.5%) 4th 78.25% (21.75%) 5th 75.25% (24.75%)	3rd 81.25% (18.75%) 4th 81.875 % (18.125) 5 th 79.375% (20.625)	3rd 85% (15%) 4th 85.5% (14.5%) 5th 83.5% (16.5%)

IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

	Action Steps	Evidence/Data Sources	Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementatio n Timeline	Resources Needed: Material/ Technology/ Trainer	Related PD	Funding/Funding Source
1.	anchor charts with fiction and non- fiction Task 1: Teachers will establish a	Anchor charts, Lesson plans	Classroom teacher, principal	2012-2013 school year	Teacher Books "Smarter Charts" K-2: Optimizing an Instructional Staple to Create Independent Reading	Learning with Reading	Professional Development Funds 0100.6400.0590.0651.000
	common ritual of utilizing anchor charts in a pre- reading experience for students each time they begin a				Classroom libraries/ magazines with informational text		SIP Funds 0100.5100.0391.0651.118 3
	new story. This will allow teachers to introduce text complexity or reinforce reading skills so that students				"Pathways to the Common Core"	Common Core Reading Reading Lesson Study Group	Professional Development Funds Professional Development
	can better understand what they are reading.						Funds 0100.6400.0140.0651.000

2. Teachers will utilize FAIR data reports to record and monitor student progress						
Task 1. During common planning time, teachers will disaggregate data based on FAIR to determine areas of need within the grade level.	Meeting minutes, lesson plans, observation	Teachers, grade level chairs, principal	October 2012- May, 2013	Common planning time schedule Scholastic Systemic Sight Words	Systemic Sight Words	N/A Professional Development Funds 0100.6400.0590.0651.000

School District of Clay County

	chool District of C	Tay County			
Smart Goals					
Smart = Specific Measurable Attainable					
Realistic Timely					
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school- specific goal,					
Goal 2 . By 2013, 80% of students will achieve proficiency (FCAT level 3 or above) in Math.					
Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures					
I. Strategy 2: Implement the research-based strategy of using higher level thinking techniques and manipulatives in order to increase the higher order thinking of students in Math.					
	FCAT/EOC August 2012 *Perceptual Data	FCAT/EOC August 2013	FCAT/EOC August 2014	FCAT/EOC August 2015	FCAT/EOC August 2016

II. Adult Implementation Indicator (s): 100% of teachers will implement the research based strategy of using higher level questioning techniques (with an emphasis on lesson study and vertical collaboration), in order to increase the higher order thinking of students in Math. Grades 3-5 48% Gr 3-5 61 % Gr 3-5 73 % Gr K-2 87% Grades K-2 100%	2013 5011001 111	iipro veiment r	Idii		
August 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 III. Student Performance Indicator (s): Students will consistently increase their FCAT Math scores until we reduce the percent of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016 August 2013 2014 2015 2016 3rd 78% (22%) 3rd 80.75% (16.5%) (16.5%) (13.75%) 4th 80.75% (19.25%) 5th 73 % (27%) 5th 73 % (27%) 5th 76.375% 5th 86.5%					100%
Students will consistently increase their FCAT Math scores until we reduce the percent of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016 3rd 78% (22%) 3rd 80.75% (19.259%) (16.5%) 3rd 83.5% (13.75%) 3rd 86.25% (13.75%) 4th 80.75% (19.25%) 5th 73 % (27%) 5th 76.375% 5th 76.375% 5th 79.75% 5th 86.5%	August	August	August	August	August
Students will consistently increase their FCAT Math scores until we reduce the percent of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016 Students will consistently increase their FCAT Math scores until we reduce the percent of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016 (19.25%) 5th 73 % (27%) (19.25%) 5th 76.375% (16.5%) 4th 80.75% (13.75%) 5th 79.75% 5th 86.5%	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Students will consistently increase their FCAT Math scores until we reduce the percent of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016 Students will consistently increase their FCAT Math scores until we reduce the percent of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016 (19.25%) 5th 73 % (27%) (19.259%) 5th 76.375% (16.5%) 4th 80.75% (13.75%) 5th 79.75% 5th 86.5%	3rd 78% (22%)	3rd 80.75%	3rd 83.5%	3rd 86.25%	3rd 89% (11%)
Students will consistently increase their FCAT Math scores until we reduce the percent of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016 4th 78% (22%) 4th 80.75% (19.25%) 5th 73 % (27%) 5th 73 % (27%) 5th 76.375% 4th 80.75% (16.5%) 5th 79.75% 4th 86.25 % (13.75%) 5th 86.5%					(== / 0)
scores until we reduce the percent of students who are non- proficient by at least 50% by 2016 5th 73 % (27%) 5th 76.375% 5th 79.75% 5th 83.125% 5th 86.5%	4th 78% (22%)	4th 80.75%	4th 83.5%	4th 86.25 %	4th 89% (11%)
	5th 73 % (27%)	5 th 76.375%	5 th 79.75%	5 th 83.125%	5 th 86.5% (13.5%)
		FCAT/EOC August 2012 3rd 78% (22%) 4th 78% (22%)	Grades K-2 51% Gr K-2 63% Grades 3-5 48% Gr 3-5 61 % FCAT/EOC August 2012 2013 3rd 78% (22%) 3rd 80.75% (19.259%) 4th 78% (22%) 4th 80.75% (19.25%)	FCAT/EOC FCAT/EOC FCAT/EOC August August 2014 3rd 78% (22%) 3rd 80.75% 3rd 83.5% (16.5%) 4th 78% (22%) 4th 80.75% 4th 83.5% (16.5%) 5th 73 % (27%) 5th 76.375% 5th 79.75%	Grades K-2 51% Gr K-2 63% Gr K-2 75 % GrK-2 87% Grades 3-5 48% Gr 3-5 61 % Gr3-5 73 % Gr 3-5 86% FCAT/EOC August 2012 FCAT/EOC August 2014 August 2015 3rd 78% (22%) 3rd 80.75% (19.259%) 3rd 83.5% (13.75%) (13.75%) 4th 78% (22%) 4th 80.75% (19.25%) 4th 83.5% (16.5%) 4th 86.25 % (13.75%) 5th 73 % (27%) 5th 76.375% 5th 79.75% 5th 83.125%

Action Steps	Evidence/Data Sources	Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementation Timeline	Resources Needed: Material / Technology / Trainer	Related PD	Funding/Funding Source
--------------	--------------------------	---------------------------------	----------------------------	--	------------	---------------------------

		2012 - 2013 k	school improven	10110 1 10011		
1. Teachers will use higher- level thinking techniques with students during math instruction, class discussions, and think alouds. Task 1: Teachers will participate in lesson study/learning community on higher- level thinking and questioning techniques during common planning time.	Sign in sheets, agendas, evaluation forms, and feedback from grade level team meetings	Classroom teachers, Grade level chair, Principal	2012-2013 School Year	Kagan Learning Structure Cards	Math lesson study group	Professional Development Funds 0100.6400.0590.065 1.000
2. Teachers will transition to Common Core State Standards Task 1: Teachers will emphasize modeling and student thinking skills on K-5 Domains and Critical Areas.	Math Vertical team minutes	Classroom teachers, Vertical Team chair, Principal	2012-2013 School Year		TEACH Conference Bolles Physical Ed Conference Common Core Mathematics	Professional Development Funds 0100.6400.0330.065 1.000 Professional Development Funds 0100.6400.0140.065 1.000 0100.6400.0330.065 1.000 N/A

School District of Clay County

School District of Clay County			ĺ	I	
Smart Goals					
Smart = Specific Measurable					
Attainable Realistic Timely					
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area:					
Reading Goal 2: Student Performance					
Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student					
Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area:					
Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement					
Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate.					
Attendance, other measureable school-specific					
goal,					
Goal 3: By 2013, 93% of students will achieve					
proficiency (FCAT level 3 or above) in					
Writing.					
Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures					
Strategy 3: Implement the research-					
based strategy of relating and					
integrating the subject matter with					
other disciplines during instruction.					
	FCAT/EOC	FCAT/EOC	FCAT/EOC	FCAT/EOC	FCAT/EOC
	August				
	2012	August 2013	August 2014	August 2015	August 2016
	*Perceptual Data	2013	2014	2013	2010
. Adult Implementation Indicator (s):					
100% of teachers will implement the	K-5 29%	K-5 49%	K-5 69%	K-5 80%	K-5 100%
research-based strategy of relating and					
integrating subject matter with other					
disciplines during instruction					
	FCAT/EOC	FCAT/EOC	FCAT/EOC	FCAT/EOC	FCAT/EOC
	August	August	August	August	August
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016

. Student Performance Indicator(S):	4 th 92 % (8%)	4 th 93% (7%)	4 th 94% (6%)	4 th 95% (5%)	4 th 96% (4%)		
Students will consistently increase their FCAT Writing scores until we reduce the percent of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016.							

Implementation Details

Action Steps	Evidence/Data Sources	Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementatio n Timeline	Resources Needed: Material / Technology / Trainer	Relate d PD	Funding/ Funding Source
Teachers will use graphic organizers Task 1: Teachers will establish the practice of using graphic organizers across the curriculum so that students may learn to plan by organizing their thoughts and providing focus to their writing.	Graphic organizers, Student responses, Meeting minutes	Grade level chair, principal	2012-20123 school year			
Teachers use the NGSSS and Common Core Standards to integrate writing across the curriculum. Task 1. Provide support opportunities for teachers to collaborate during common planning time and vertical team meetings to develop a writing guide to ensure alignment to the NGSSS.	Grade level and vertical team meeting minutes	Grade level chairs, committee chairs, principal	2012-2013 School year			

2012 – 2013 School Improvement Plan School District of Clay County

Smart Goals					
Smart = Specific Measurable					
Attainable Realistic Timely					
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance: Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school- specific goal. Goal 4: By 2013, 55% of students will achieve proficiency (FCAT level 3 or above) in Science.					
Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures					
I. Strategy 4: Implement the research-based strategy of designing and modifying instruction to deepen students' understanding of content area and advance student learning while addressing preconceptions and misconceptions.					
	FCAT/EOC August 2012 *Perceptual Data	FCAT/EOC August 2013	FCAT/EOC August 2014	FCAT/EOC August 2015	FCAT/EOC August 2016
II. Adult Implementation Indicator (s): 100% of teachers K-5 will implement the research- based strategy of designing and modifying instruction to deepen students' understanding of content area and advance student learning while addressing preconceptions and misconceptions.	Grades K-2 15 % Grades 3-5 40 %	Gr K-2 36 % Gr 3-5 55 %	Gr K-2 57 % Gr3-5 70 %	Gr K-2 78 % Gr 3-5 85 %	Grades K-2 100 % Grades 3-5 100 %
	FCAT/EOC August 2012	FCAT/EOC August 2013	FCAT/EOC August 2014	FCAT/EOC August 2015	FCAT/EOC August 2016

		p			
III. Student Performance Indicator (s): Students will consistently increase their FCAT Science scores until we reduce the percent of students who are non-proficient by at least 50% by 2016.	5 th - 54% (46%)	5 th - 59.75% (40.25%)	5 th - 65.5% (34.5%)	5 th - 71.25% (28.75%)	5 th - 77% (23%)

Implementation Details

Action Steps	Evidence/Data Sources	Person(s) Responsible / Group(s)	Implementatio n Timeline	Resources Needed: Material / Technolog y / Trainer	Related PD	Funding/Funding Source
4.1 Teachers ask students to explain their thinking to determine misconceptions Task 1: Students in grades K-5 will utilize Science Notebooks to organize materials and develop a resource for inquiry projects.	Teacher observations, informal assessments, student notebooks	Classroom teachers, Principal	2012-2013 School Year	Kagan Think Trix Smart Cards	Learni ng with Science	Professional Development Funds 0100.6400.0590.0651.000 0
4.2 Teachers engage students in activities using complex text that require comparison and/or clarification Task 1: Incorporate inquiry based learning in daily instruction.	Lesson plans, Student notebooks	Classroom teachers, Principal	2012-2013 School Year	Kagan Think Trix Smart Cards		Professional Development Funds 0100.6400.0590.0651.000 0

Smart Goals			

201.	2 – 2013 School	improvement	Pian		
Smart = Specific Measurable					
Attainable Realistic Timely					
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math					
Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing					
Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex.					
School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school-					
specific goal,					
Goal 5: By 2013, Parental Involvement will maintain 90% or higher as measured by attendance at school and					
after school events.					
Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures					
Strategy 5:					
Implement the research-based strategy of fostering two-way home/school					
communication with all stakeholders to					
support student learning.					
	Baseline Measure	Progress	Progress	Progress	Progress Measure
	August 2012	Measure	Measure	Measure	August 2015 6
	*Perceptual data	August 2013	August 2014	August 2015	2013 0
	1 or coptum untu		-		
100% of teachers will implement the research-					
based strategy of fostering two-way home/school communication with all stakeholders to support student	K-5 69% (31%)	K-5 76.75%	K-5 84.5%	K-5 92.25%	K-5 100%
learning.		(23.25%)	(15.5%)	(7.75%)	
	Data from	August	August	August	August
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016

III. Student Performance Indicator (s):		•			
Grade levels will consistently increase parental involvement until reaching 100% improvement in	K-5 97% (3%)	K-5 97.75% (2.25%)	K-5 98.5% (1.5%)	K-5 99.25% (0.75%)	100 %
attendance at all events which will also positively impact academic achievement in all tested grades and					
content area by 2015.					

Implementation Details

Action Steps	Evidence/ Data Sources	Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementatio n Timeline	Resources Needed: Material / Technolog y / Trainer	Relate d PD	Funding/Funding Source
5.1 Teachers will foster two-way home/school communication						
Task 1: Teachers will use planners and Tuesday folders to provide opportunities for parents and teachers to communicate. Task 2: Administration will create a yearly	Planners, Tuesday folders	Principal, teachers	2012-2013 school year	Tuesday folders		School Improvement Funds 0100.5100.0391.0651.118 3
calendar of events to add to the monthly newsletter informing parents of upcoming events.	Newsletters	Principal, teachers	Aug. 2012, ongoing			
5.2 Teachers and administration will provide opportunities for parents to become involved						
Task 1: Administration, Grade levels and/or departments will organize events for parents and students.	Sign-in sheets, attendance at events	Principal, teachers	2012-2013 school year			

Smart Goals					
Smart = Specific Measurable					
Attainable Realistic Timely					
Goal 1: Student Performance Content Area: Reading Goal 2: Student Performance Content Area: Math Goal 3: Student Performance: Content Area: Writing Goal 4: Student Performance Content Area: Science Goal 5: Parental Involvement Goal 6: Other: Ex. School Climate, Attendance, other measureable school- specific goal.					
Goal 6:					
Strategies, Indicators and Progress Measures					
l. Strategy 6:					
	Baseline Measure August 2011 * Perceptual Data	Progress Measure August 2012	Progress Measure August 2013	Progress Measure August 2014	Progress Measure August 2015
. Adult Implementation Indicator (s):					
	Discipline Data from 2010 – 2011	August 2012	August 2013	August 2014	August 2015
III. Student Performance Indicator (s):	*% of occurrences by grade level				

Implementation Details

Action Steps	Evidence/ Data Sources	Person(s) Responsible/ Group(s)	Implementatio n Timeline	Resources Needed: Material / Technology / Trainer	Related PD	Funding/ Funding Source
6.1						
6.2						
6.3						

	1
Include only school-based funded activities / materials and exclude district funded activities / materials.	
Resources Needed: Material / Technology / Trainer:	
Total SIP available 4	
\$4,395.00	
Goal Area and Action Step Number	Des
•	
1.1	Classroom libraries/magazines with info text
5.1	Tuesday Folders
Professional Development	
Goal Area and Action Step Number	Des
Conference / Workshop Seminar / Institute / Online PD	Mileage
Goal and Action Step #(s) 2.2; 3.2	Meals
Title: TEACH Conference	Room
Location: Jacksonville, FL- Hyatt	Registration 10 x \$20.00 = \$200
Dates: September 15	Substitute(s)
Sponsoring Educational Institution:	
WJXT	
Goal and Action Step #(s) 2.2; 3.2	Mileage
Title: Bolles Physical Education	Meals
Location: Jacksonville, FL	Room
Dates: October 29	Registration 1 x \$100.00 = \$100
Sponsoring Educational Institution:	Substitute(s) 1 x \$100.00= \$100
Bolles	
Professional Learning Community	Materials List and Cost: Teacher Books
Goal and Action Step #(s) 1.1	12 books "Smarter Charts K-2: Optimizing an
Navigator Plus Activity Title:	Instructional Staple to Create Indp Readers
,	

2012 2013 School Improvement 1 ta	
Learning with Reading	
Goal and Action Step #(s) 2.1, 2.2	
Navigator Plus Activity Title:	
Common Core Mathematics	
Goal and Action Step #(s) 4.1,4.2	
Navigator Plus Activity Title:	Kagan Think Trix Smart Cards
Learning with Science	30 x \$4= \$120
Goal and Action Step #(s) 1.1	Pathways to the Common Core \$344.07
Navigator Plus Activity Title:	
Common Core Reading/ELA/SS	
Lesson Study	Materials List and Cost:
Goal and Action Step #(s)	Kagan Learning Structures Cards
Navigator Plus Activity Title:	\$30 x \$4= \$120
Math Lesson Study Group 2.1	Substitute(s) 6 x 100 = \$600
Reading Lesson Study Group 1.1	
School Workshop/County Workshops	Materials List and Cost: Scholastic Systematic
Goal and Action Step #(s) 1.2	Sight Words 2 x 67.49 + shipping 13.50
Navigator Plus Activity Title:	Consultant Fee: in house
Systematic Sight Words	Substitutes:
	Stipends:
Other	
Goal Area and Action Step Number	Des

P.D.Activity	Details	Y	N	Comments
IF IT IS A:				
School-wide Training	Professional Development Details			
_	Goal the Activity is Supporting 1 (Reading)			
	Action Step # 1.2			
	Name of Activity: Systemic Sight Words			
	Dates of Activity: TBD			
	Name of Consultant or Facilitator (if applicable) Anken			
	Consultant Services Agreement (if applicable) N/A Materials Separation Systemic Sight Words			
	Materials: Scholastic Systemic Sight Words Rudget Items Beguired	+		
	Budget Items Required ■ Action Step # 1.2	+	+	
	Name of Activity: Systemic Sight Words			
	 Funding Source: 0100.6400.0590.0651.0000 			
	Cost of Consultant N/A			
	Cost of Materials \$148.48 (Sight Words)			
	Cost of Substitutes (if applicable) N/A			
Learning Community	Professional Development Details			
	Goal the Activity is Supporting 1 (Reading)			
(collaborative teams that gathers	Action Step # 1.1			
research and studies new programs or	Name of Activity: Learning with Reading			
topics and shares their findings-must	 Dates of Activity: October 2012-May 2013 			
use Learning Community form)	 Title of Book or Focus: "Smarter Charts K-2: Optimizing an 			
	Instructional Staple to Create Independent Readers"	-		
	Budget Items Required			
	Action Step # 1.1 Action Step # 1.1			
	Cost of Teacher Materials (If applicable): \$225.00Funding source: 0100.6400.0590.0651.0000			
Learning Community	Professional Development Details	+		
Learning Community	•			
(callabarative teams that gathers	Goal the Activity is Supporting 1 (Reading)	+	+	
(collaborative teams that gathers	Action Step # 1.1 Action Step # 1.1			
	le Nome of Activity: Common Core Decared LA ACC			
research and studies new programs or topics and shares their findings-must	 Name of Activity: Common Core Reading/ELA/SS Dates of Activity: August 2012-May 2013 			

	2012 – 2013 School Improvement I lan		
	Budget Items Required Action Step # 1.1 Cost of Targeton Metarials (If applicable): #244.07		
	Cost of Teacher Materials (If applicable): \$344.07Funding source: 0100.6400.0590.0651.0000		
Learning Community	Professional Development Details		
	Goal the Activity is Supporting 2 (Math)		
	• Action Step # 2.1, 2.2		
	Name of Activity: Math Focus (Common Core)		
	Dates of Activity: August 2012-May 2013 Title of Book or Focus: Math. Title of Book or Focus: Math.		
	Title of Book or Focus: Math Budget Items Required		
	Action Step # 2.1, 2.2		
	Cost of Teacher Materials (If applicable): No cost		
Learning Community	Professional Development Details		
Loanning Community	Goal the Activity is Supporting 4 (Science)		
	• Action Step # 4.1, 4.2		
	Name of Activity: Learning with Science		
	 Dates of Activity: September 2012-May 2013 		
	Title of Book or Focus: Kagan Think Trix Smart Cards		
	Budget Items Required		
	• Action Step # 4.1, 4.2		
	Cost of Teacher Materials (If applicable): \$120.00		
Lancar Ofrada / Antina	Funding source: 0100.6400.0590.0651.0000		
Lesson Study/Action	Professional Development Details		
Research	Goal the Activity is Supporting 1 (Reading)		
(a teacher-driven and student-	Action Step # 1.1		
focused team who does research and	Name of Activity: Reading Lesson Study Group Bates of Activities TRB		
development by carefully studying what actually goes on in the classroom	 Dates of Activity: TBD Teaching strategy or method to be researched: Reading 		
must use Lesson Study form)	Teaching strategy of method to be researched. Reading Teacher Driven		
mast ass Essesii staay isiiii)	reaction briveti		
	Budget Items Required		
	Action Step # 1.1		
	Cost of Teacher Materials (If applicable): Kagan Learning		
	Structure Cards (\$120.00), Substitutes (\$600.00)		
	• Funding source: 0100.6400.0590.0651.0000;		
	0100.6400.0140.0651.0000		
1	1	1 1	1

Lesson Study/Action	Professional Development Details		
Research	Goal the Activity is Supporting 2 (Math)		
	Action Step # 2.1		
	Name of Activity: Math Lesson Study Group Detail of Activity TDD		
	 Dates of Activity: TBD Teaching strategy or method to be researched: Math 		
	Teacher Driven		
	Budget Items Required		
	Action Step # 2.1		
	Included in Action step 1.1		
Timelines			
Start Date:			
August 7, 2012			
End date:			
May, 2013			
		\perp	
Budget			
Local FTE (function 6400-no			
project)	\$ 1557.55		
Project -			
Project -			
Project -			
Total Internal PD Budget (no	\$1557.55		
project & project funds)			
Approvals: (Signature's require	ed)		

Approvais. (Signature 5 required)			
Principal:	Date:	/	
SAC Chair:	Date:		<u></u>
Hilda Manning:	Date:	<i>_</i>	<u></u>
Shannah Kosek:	Date:	<i>_</i>	<u></u>

External Checklist Training Not Provided by School/District Plantation Oaks Elementary School

School Improvement Plan Supervisor:	Shannah Kosek
Professional Development Assistant:	Hilda Manning
Approval: Yes No (For	office use only)
Background	

Background: Pro fes sio nal De vel op me nt is an inte gra l par t of the Sc ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven , cur		201	 013 School improvement i lan
fes sional nal De vel op wel op me nt is an inte gra l par t of the Sc ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,	Background:	Pro	
sio nal De vel op me nt is an inte gra l par t of the Sc ho ool Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven yen ,	ŭ .		
nal De vel vel op me nt is an inte gra l par t of the Sc ho ol lm pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,		sio	
De vel op op me nt is an inte gra l par t of the Sc ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,		nal	
vel op me nt is an inte gra l par t of the Sc ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven yen		De	
op me nt is an inte gra I par t of the Sc ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,			
me nt is an inte gra l par t of the Sc ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,			
nt is an inte gra I par t of the Sc ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,			
is an inte gra I par t of the Sc ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,			
an inte gra I par t t of the Sc ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,		is	
inte gra I par t of the Sc ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,			
gra I par t of the Sc ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ed pro ven ,			
I par t of the Sc ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,			
par t of the Sc ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,		انا	
t of the Sc ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,			
of the Sc ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,		lt l	
the Sc ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,			
Sc ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,			
ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,			
ho ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,		Sc	
ol Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,			
Im pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,		ol	
pro ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,			
ve me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,			
me nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,			
nt Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,			
Pla n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,		nt	
n. Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,		Pla	
Te ach ers ne ed pro ven ,			
ach ers ne ed pro ven ,		Te	
ne ed pro ven ,			
ne ed pro ven			
ed pro ven ,			
ed pro ven ,		ne	
pro ven ,			
ven ,			
,			
cur			
		cur	

	Ye s	No	Comments		
Objectives					
	m.				
	the				
	to				
	ed				
	ign				
	nts ass				
	de				
	stu				
	a.				
	the				
	of				
	nce				
	ma				
	for				
	per				
	""				
	e the				
	rov				
	imp				
	to				
	s				
	gie				
	ate				
	str				
	al				
	tion				
	ruc				
	inst				
	t				
	ren			p10 (0 111 0 110	

			To 13 Senoor Improvement 1 iai
How is the training aligned to a research-based strategy for the Goal? In the comments section, please provide Goal and source of research-based strategy.			Math 2.2, Writing 3.2 Common Core
Training Details - Consultants			
Please use the comments section to provide the information requested.	Ye s	No	Comments
Consultant Name and Organization – Please provide the trainers name and the organization with which they are affiliated.			
Who will be trained?			
Date(s), Time(s), Location			
Total Cost			
Needs School Board approval			
Complete budget line for expenses			
Name of facilitator/person responsible			
Training Details – Conferences, Workshops, Seminars, Institutes, Online PD			
Please use the comments section to provide the information requested.	Ye s	No	Comments
Name of educational organization providing the training.			WJXT TEACH Conference
Who will be trained?			10 Reading/Writing teachers
Date(s), Location			Sept. 15, 2012, Jacksonville, FL Hilton
Total Cost			\$200.00
Complete budget line for expenses			0100.6400.0300.0651.0000
Name of facilitator/person responsible			Kim Marks, Assistant Principal

			ore someor mapro (ormono r 100
Name of educational organization providing the training.			Bolles Physical Education
Who will be trained?			Coach Kaufman
Date(s), Location			October 7, 2012, Jacksonville, FL Bolles School
Total Cost			\$200.00
Complete budget line for expenses			0100.6400.0300.0651.0000 (reg) 0100.6400.0140.0651.0000 (sub)
Name of facilitator/person responsible			Kim Marks, Assistant Principal
Timelines			
	Ye s	No	Comments
Start Date September 15, 2012			
End Date October 29, 2012			
Budget			
Budget Local FTE (function 6400-no project)			\$400.00
			\$400.00
Local FTE (function 6400-no project)			\$400.00
Local FTE (function 6400-no project) Project -			\$400.00

Total External PD Budget (no project & project funds)		\$400.00	
Approvals: (Signature's required)			
Principal:		Date://	

	2012 – 2013 School Improvement Plan
SAC Chair:	Date:/
Hilda Manning:	Date://
Shannah Kosek:	Date://