# FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: POMPANO BEACH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Broward

Principal: Michelle Garcia

SAC Chair: Michelle Allison

Superintendent: Robert Runcie

Date of School Board Approval: December 4, 2012

Last Modified on: 10/17/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Michelle Garcia	BA –Florida Atlantic University; Elementary. Ed. (1-6), MS – Nova Southeastern University; Master in Ed. Leadership,	5	10	Principal 2011-12: Grade D Reading mastery: 39% Math mastery: 36% Writing mastery: 69%, Science mastery 30%. Reading AMO met with Black,White,ELL and SWD subgroups. Reading AMO not met with Hispanic and Econ. Disadvantaged subgroups Math AMO not met in subgroups.  Principal 2010-11: Grade C Reading mastery: 53% Math mastery: 58% Writing mastery: 86%, Science mastery 40%. AYP not met  Principal 2009-10: Grade A Reading mastery: 68% Mith mastery: 68% Writing mastery: 82%, Science mastery 46%. AYP not met

		School Principal Certificate (all levels), ESOL Endorsement			Principal 2008-09: Grade: A, Reading mastery: 64%, Math mastery: 75%, Writing mastery: 90%, Science mastery 41%. Black and SWD did not make AYP in Reading. ELL did not make AYP in Math.  Assistant Principal of Sawgrass Elementary in 2007-08: Grade: A, Reading mastery: 80%, Math mastery: 76%, Writing mastery: 89%, Science mastery 53%. Black and ED subgroups did not make AYP in Reading. Black, ED, and SWD subgroups did not make AYP in Math.
Assis Principal	Vincent Dawes	BA-Florida Agricultural & Mechanical University; Agribusiness MBA- Nova Southeastern; Masters in Business Administration, Ed Cert- Florida Atlantic University Elementary Ed. (1-6)-, EdS Nova Southeastern University; Educational Leadership (All Levels)	3	6	Intern Principal 2011-12: Grade D Reading mastery: 39% Math mastery: 69%, Science mastery 30%. Reading AMO met with Black, White, ELL and SWD subgroups. Reading AMO not met with Hispanic and Econ. Disadvantaged subgroups Math AMO not met in subgroups.  2010-11: Grade C Reading mastery: 53% Math mastery: 58% Writing mastery: 86%, Science mastery 40%. AYP not met  2009-10: Grade A Reading mastery: 68% Writing mastery: 68% Writing mastery: 82% Science mastery 46%. AYP not met  Assistant Principal of Forest Hills Elementary in 2008-09: Grade: A, Reading mastery: 77%, Math mastery: 76%, Writing mastery: 93%, Science mastery 43%. SWD did not make in Math. 76% of the students made learning gains in reading and 76% of the students made learning gains in Math  Assistant Principal of Forest Hills Elementary in 2007-08: Grade: A, Reading mastery: 73%, Math mastery: 76%, Writing mastery: 87%, Science mastery 40%. Blacks and SWD subgroups did not make in Math. SWD subgroups did not make in Reading. 73% of the students made learning gains in reading and 78% of the students made learning gains in Math

### INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
					2011-12: Grade D Reading mastery: 39% Math mastery: 36% Writing mastery: 69%, Science mastery 30%. Reading AMO met Black,White,ELL and SWD subgroups.

Reading	Dawn McMahon	BA – Florida State University, Elementary Education (1-6), Middle Grades English (5-9) Middle Grades Science (5-9) and Reading & ESOL Endorsed	25	21	Reading AMO not met with Hispanic and Econ. Disadvantaged subgroups Math AMO not met in subgroups.  2010-11: Grade C Reading mastery: 53% Math mastery: 58% Writing mastery: 86%, Science mastery 40% AYP not met  2009-10: Grade A Reading mastery: 68% Writing mastery: 68% Writing mastery: 82% Science mastery 46% AYP not met  2008-09: Grade A, Reading mastery, 64%. AYP Proficiency not met in Black and SWD subgroups in Reading.  2007-08: Grade B, Reading mastery, 63%.
					AYP Proficiency not met in Black, ED, ELL, and SWD subgroups in Reading.
Mathematics	Michelle Allison	National Board Certified – Middle Childhood MS - Ed. Leadership BA – Florida Atlantic University, Political Science (6 – 12) Elem. Ed (K-6) ESOL Endorsed	15	4	2011-12: Grade D Reading mastery: 39% Math mastery: 36% Writing mastery: 30%. Reading AMO met Black, White, ELL and SWD subgroups. Reading AMO not met with Hispanic and Econ. Disadvantaged subgroups Math AMO not met in subgroups.  2010-11: Grade C Reading mastery: 53% Math mastery: 58% Writing mastery: 86% Science mastery 40% AYP not met  2009-10: Grade A Reading mastery: 68% Math mastery: 68% Writing mastery: 82% Science mastery 46% AYP not met  Classroom Teacher 2008-09: Grade A Math Mastery 75% Math Learning Gains 67% AYP Proficiency met in all sub groups except ELL  Classroom Teacher 2007-08: Grade B Math Mastery 69% Math Learning Gains 72%

### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Regular monthly meetings of new teachers with Principal	Susan Burns Michelle Garcia	Ongoing	
2	Partnering and monthly meetings with teachers who are identified as needing additional instructional assistance with veteran staff	Dawn McMahon Michelle Garcia	Ongoing	
	Quarterly monitoring of teachers who are completing coursework for ESOL endorsement	Debbie Melisi	Ongoing	
4	Monthly professional Learning Community (PLC)	Vincent Dawes	May 2013	

### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

<sup>\*</sup>When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
2%(1)	Teacher is not elementary education certified and has one school year to become a highly effective instructor (2012-13).

### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Num of Instruction Staff	% of First-Year		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers		% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
40	7.5%(3)	17.5%(7)	27.5%(11)	47.5%(19)	20.0%(8)	97.5%(39)	5.0%(2)	5.0%(2)	80.0%(32)

### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Michelle Allison Dawn McMahon	Mr. Calnon Mr. Taylor Ms. Thompson Ms. Mack Ms. Murney Ms. Bencie Mr. McInerney Ms. Martina Ms. Niles	Grade level changes	One-on-one mentoring: *Lesson Planning *Classroom management strategies *Individual student interventions *Technical assistance *Data analysis
Shamelle Foster	John Calnon	Instructional Coach	Science lesson planning
Susan Burns	Melinda Martina	Instructional Coach	Lesson planning and effective classroom strategies.
Sydney Tiemersma	Kathryn Thompson	Instructional Coach	Lesson planning and effective classroom strategies.
Barbara Martin	Anjanette Mack	Instructional Coach	Lesson planning and effective classroom strategies.
Kimberly Bencie	Jerri Niles	Instructional Coach	Lesson planning and effective classroom strategies.
Andrea Muniz	Blake Cianflone	Instructional Coach	Lesson planning and effective classroom strategies.

### ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

### Coordination and Integration

### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

The Title I program is to support our school's efforts that all children meet challenging standards and have a fair, equal, and significant opportunity to obtain a high quality education. Title I funds are used to provide supplementary instruction to raise achievement of students who are failing, or at-risk of failing. This is accomplished at Pompano Beach Elementary by providing the following: affording parents meaningful opportunities to participate in the education of their child, improving and strengthening accountability of teaching and learning, and by meeting the educational needs of low achieving children in our school. Funds are used for teacher salaries, parent involvement and professional development activities for teachers that are district and school based. Professional development activities such as CHAMPS training, Common Core Reading, Mathematics, Writing and Science workshops are coordinated. Resources are distributed where needs are greatest.

#### Title I, Part C- Migrant

The Title I, Part C funded students are offered after school tutorial services. This will allow our students to receive an additional dose of reading daily for either remediation or enrichment.

Title I, Part D

NA

Title II

NA

Title III

NA

Title X- Homeless

- District Homeless Division and school social worker provide resources to homeless students.
- · Guidance Counselor monitors for social and emotional well-being.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Funds are used to provide additional instructional support during the school day.

Violence Prevention Programs

At Pompano Beach Elementary School, we have put the Anti-Bullying Policy in full effect. Our prevention specialist is Ricky Walker. We have presented the information to teachers, students, parents and community members. Our guidance counselor does conflict mediation with students in an attempt to get the students back on track with learning. For the 2012-13 school year we will continue to empower staff with strategies and tools that will assist us in becoming a bully-free school.

Our investigative specialist is our assistant principal, who investigates the reports, and interviews the students, teachers, and witnesses in order to determine the sequence of events and to get to the truth in a timely manner.

**Nutrition Programs** 

Our third grade students participate in the Commit to be Fit Program. All students learn about nutrition through the classroom teacher and PE coach. In addition, Pompano Beach Elementary received a Food and Nutrition grant that provides fresh fruit and vegetable snacks to all students three times per week.

Housing Programs

NA

Head Start

In the Ely/Pompano Zone, schools have worked with area preschools to share early childhood curriculum and standards. The Head Start program, on campus, also allows students to develop the readiness skills necessary for school.

Adult Education

NA

Career and Technical Education

NA

Job Training

NA

Other

### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

-School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Pompano Beach Elementary School's RTI team is comprised of:

Principal - Michelle Garcia

Intern Principal - Vincent Dawes

MTSS Facilitator/ESE specialist - Camille Hillsamer

Guidance counselor - Debbie Melisi

Family counselor - Debbie Salvatore

Curriculum specialist - Dawn McMahon

School psychologist - Danielle Kyser

School social worker - Kimberly Puriton

Math Coach - Michelle Allison

Classroom teachers and parents

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The team meets each Monday, based upon the needs, to discuss students for whom there are concerns either in academic or behavioral areas or both. Students are referred by teachers, support staff, or as the result of a parent request. When a child is referred, teachers meet with the RTI team. Teachers conduct observations, parent conferences, and collect data. The team (case managers) retain folder contains summary sheets for initial data collection that is collected and analyzed by the MTSS Team. This includes a review of the cumulative folder, screenings for speech/language, hearing and vision, an observation and documentation of Tier 1 and/or Tier 2 interventions that have been implemented addressing a target behavior. An initial parent conference is held to identify and discuss the area of concern. Tier 2 data will be collected by the classroom teacher, a case manager is assigned by the MTSS Team facilitator to work with the teacher in designing Tier 2 interventions for the child. Documentation is ongoing. The case manager and the classroom teacher meet regularly to discuss intervention outcomes. The RTI model is a three tiered approach to providing instruction and interventions matched to the student needs. If needed, Tier 3 interventions are implemented which are intensive individualized instructional or behavioral interventions. After, reviewing the Tier interventions, the MTSS Team will make a recommendation for further student assessment. If Tier 3 strategies are unsuccessful, the MTSS Team will refer the child to the School Psychologist for further assessment. If it is determined that no testing is needed, the child will be monitored by designated members of the RTI Team to ensure continued success.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

A member of the MTSS/RtI, attends the monthly School Advisory Council (SAC) meetings and provides input into the development of the School Improvement Plan (SIP).

The role of the school-based MTSS/RTI Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan is to provide guidance in helping developing a plan utilizing "data-based decision making". These components of RTI include:

- \*Multiple tiers of evidence-based instruction service delivery.
- \*A problem-solving method designed to inform the development of interventions
- \*An integrated data/collection/assessment system to inform decisions at each tier of service delivery.

#### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline Data: Broward Assessment Test (BAT 1 & 2 for Reading, Math, Science, and Writing), Florida Comprehensive Test (FCAT), Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR), Individual Reading Inventory (IRI), Developmental Reading Assessments (DRA), and Pre-Requisite Math Assessments.

Progress Monitoring: FAIR, Mini Assessments (Reading, Math, Writing) FCAT Simulation/Practice Tests.

Midyear: Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR), DRA, IRI, Big Idea Assessments in Math, Writing Prompts, and Science Assessments.

Behavior: Monitoring of the Positive Behavioral Intervention Plan (PBIP)

End of the Year: Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), FCAT, DAR, DRA, IRI, Primary End of the Year Reading & Mathematics Tests.

Frequency of Data Chats: Monthly with grade levels and quarterly with administration. Tier 2 and 3 students: Individual intervention records and progress monitoring graphs.

Behavior-Tier 1: Classroom Discipline Plan and School-wide Behavior Plan

Behavior-Tier 2: Classroom Discipline Plan and School-wide Behavior Plan and Individual Student Behavior Plan

Behavior-Tier 3: Functional Behavioral Assessment (i.e, Behavioral Intervention Checklist,

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development will be provided during teachers' common planning time and small sessions will occur throughout the year. Leadership team has designed a progress monitoring plan and will train staff.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Administrators will systematically collect and analyze multiple types of critical data points to guide a range of decisions to improve instruction and increase student achievement.

Administrators will establish school wide expectations for instructional staff to include common board configuration, common lesson planning, and small group instruction.

Administrators will provide strategic support and targeted professional development for instructional staff.

School based Leadership Team will monitor the implementation of the School Improvement Plan with fidelity.

### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal - Michelle Garcia

Intern Principal - Vincent Dawes

Reading Coach - Ms. McMahon

Team Leaders

Kindergarten - Ms. Azim

First Grade - Ms. Capell

Second Grade - Ms. Bencie

Third Grade - Ms. Tiemersma

Fourth Grade - Ms. Barton

Fifth Grade - Mr. Walker

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The team meets once a week to engage in the following activities:

Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress-monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources. The team builds capacity within our staff through Leadership training, collaboration and by creating a High Performing Culture where students' needs are the top priority. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The LLT will focus on the following this year:

- I. Professional Development
- a) Will facilitate training for all teachers on administration of Reading Assessments listed on the Struggling Readers Chart. Training will also encompass the interpretation of test result and identify individual student needs.
- b) Will facilitate a monthly Professional Learning Community that addresses the "Struggling Reader."
- II. Parent Involvement
- a) Will facilitate parent involvement activities that focus on connecting the home and school learning.
- b) Strategies will be modeled for the purpose of home implementation.
- III. Monitoring Student Assessments and Benchmark Data
- IV. Common Core State Standards
- provide professional development
- implement effectively support
- coaching support
- monitoring

#### Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 9/4/2012)

### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Individual Education Plan meetings are held at the end of the previous school year, for each ESE preschool child, to determine what their needs will be upon entering the Kindergarten year. Parent Orientation meetings will be held at the Ice Cream Social prior to the start of the school year for all students and their families to familiarize them with the school and expectations for the coming year.

Core Kindergarten academic and behavioral instruction will include daily explicit instruction, modeling, guided practice and independent practice of all academic and/or social emotional skills. FAIR will be administered to incoming kindergarten students as a component of the FLKRS assessment. The FAIR will be

administered three times throughout the year and the data will be linked to instructional strategies that will maximize student achievement through on going progress monitoring in listening and reading comprehension, fluency, and oral language skills. In addition, the Kindergarten team will test incoming students prior to the beginning of school to get an idea of their developmental stage.

### \*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

N/A

### \*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

N/A

### Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

### Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.

The percentage of students mastering a level 3 will increase through the participation of a ninety-minute uninterrupted reading block utilizing differentiated instruction.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

In grades 3-5, 30%(78) of students will score at level 3 on the FCAT 2.0 Reading.

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Designated time for teachers to meet and collaborate during the regular school day not protected.	Change the daily schedule and routine of the school by adding a daily forty-five minutes common planning time grades K-5.  Administrators and teachers treat the common planning block as protective time/uninterrupted.	Principal, Intern Principal , District and State Support Teams.	Lesson plans, informal and formal classroom observations.	IObservation
2	Students have difficulty answering higher order questions.	Grade levels will share best practices using higher level comprehension skills among teams and teachers will incorporate the use of these strategies into their daily lessons.	Principal Intern Principal Reading Coach	Lesson plans reviewed quarterly and monthly CWT's will be conducted.	Classroom walkthrough logs, informal and formal observations. FAIR
3	Transient students who have gaps in their reading skills	Use DRA's to determine reading gaps and provide appropriate interventions from the Struggling Readers Chart	Principal Intern Principal Reading Coach		Classroom walkthrough, Treasures FCAT weekly assessments and Unit test. FAIR

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Stud	ents scoring at Levels 4,	5, and 6 in reading.	n/a					
Read	ing Goal #1b:		II/a					
2012	Current Level of Perforr	mance:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
n/a			n/a	n/a				
	Pr	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement The percentage of students mastering a level 4 and 5 will Level 4 in reading. increase through an enriched literacy based curriculum and project based learning. Reading Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 18%(48)of students in grades 3-5 scored at or above a level In grades 3-5, 28%(72) of students will score at or above level 4 on the FCAT 2.0 in reading. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Effectiveness of Responsible for Monitoring Strategy Limited time spent on Provide training on Michelle Garcia, Data chats with Reading content area reading of content areas strategies Principal administration and inventories, writing informational text. Vincent Dawes, monitoring of individual and graphic organizers. Asst. Principal student by student data reflections, and Dawn McMahon, benchmark Reading Coach data points (BAT 1 & 2, etc.). Student need to use a Sharing best practices Michelle Garcia, Reading lesson plans will Reading Principal be reviewed. variety of strategies to showcasing higher level inventories, increase higher level questioning techniques to Vincent Dawes, writing thinking skills. target differentiated Asst. Principal Data chats with reflections, and 2

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b:	n/a			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

Dawn McMahon,

Reading Coach

administration and

monitoring of individual

student by student data.

benchmark

1 & 2, etc.).

data points (BAT

instruction and

enrichment.

n/a			n/a				
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "G	uiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.  Reading Goal #3a:				The percentage of students demonstrating a learning gain in reading will increase through participation in a ninety-minute block of reading utilizing strategies from the Comprehensive Core Reading Plan.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Exp	ected	Level of Performance:	
70% in Rea	(115) of students in grades iding.	s 3-5 achieved a learning o	gain In grades on the FC			make learning gains
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase S	tuder	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person o Positior Responsible Monitorir	i e for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students getting off task and/or losing focus during their 90 minute Reading Blocks.		Principal Intern Principa Reading Coacl		Informal and formal observations; Data chats.	Printout of FAIR assessments.
2	Appropriate utilization of student assessment data to drive instruction and determine specific instruction.	Provide training on specialized programs and strategies to increase student achievement.  Teachers will collaborate and plan sharing best practices and showcasing effective strategies.	Principal Intern Principa Reading Coacl			Administration will monitor performance through CWT, informal and formal data points.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:	n/a				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
n/a	n/a				

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% The percentage of students in the lowest 25% demonstrating a learning gain in reading will increase through participation in making learning gains in reading. a ninety-minute block of reading and an additional doubledose of reading instruction utilizing strategies from the Reading Goal #4: Comprehensive Intervention Reading Programs. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 72%(29) of students in the lowest 25% in grades 3-5 In grades 3-5,82%(33) of students in the lowest 25% will achieved a learning gain in Reading. make learning gains on the FCAT 2.0 reading. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students need additional Struggling students are Principal Student progress is FAIR OPM data, practice and instructions provided double dose Reading Coach assessed using FAIR mini to understand concepts instructions using Ongoing Progress assessments, BAT1 Monitoring (OPM) every Intermediate Rewards as and a supplemental program 20 days. BAT2 data when to enhance phonics skills Percent of students appropriate will be at the intermediate level. making adequate used to progress toward determine progress. benchmark is calculated. Students need additional Reading Coach will model Principal Data Chats with teachers Classroom practice and instructions the use of supplementary Assistant Principal to discuss effectiveness walkthrough, to understand concepts. resources such as FCAT and SES contact of interventions being Treasures FCAT 2 used for targeted Explorer and Destination weekly Reading Success. students. assessments and Unit test.Post-Test results Limited resources Creative scheduling Principal Data chats with Classroom to provide additional Reading Coach walkthrough, and personnel to administration and effectively address the reading instruction that monitoring of individual Treasures FCAT specific differentiated extends beyond the initial student by student weekly needs of struggling ninety minute reading data. Classroom assessments and 3 readers. block for which a double Walkthroughs Unit test. and triple dose of targeted instruction is delivered.

Based on An	Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target							
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			gap through t	ge of students wi the participation I reading block u	of a ninety-minu	te		
Baseline dat 2010-2011	a 2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		

	39 44								
	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:								
Hispa satisf	tudent subgroups by eth inic, Asian, American I no factory progress in readi ing Goal #5B:	lian) not making	acquiring adequithrough the part	The percentage of students in each student subgroup acquiring adequate yearly progress in reading will increase through the participation of a ninety-minute uninterrupted reading block utilizing differentiated instruction.					
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:					
Indiar	109) Black, 36%(14) White N/A students in grades 3- ess in reading.		Indian N/A stud	157%(93) Black, 26%(12) White, Hispanic 60%(25), American Indian N/A students in grades 3-5 not making satisfactory progress in reading.					
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1	Students need additional practice and instruction to understand concepts.	Pull-out or push-in small group support will be provided to students	Principal Reading Coach	Monthly Data Chats with teachers to discuss effectiveness of pull-out or push-in support.	mini assessments,				
2	Limited resources and personnel to effectively address the specific differentiated needs of struggling readers regardless of the AYP subgroup classification.	Creative scheduling to provide additional reading instruction that extends beyond the initial ninety minute reading block for which a double and triple dose of targeted instruction is delivered.	Principal Reading Coach	Data chats with administration and monitoring of individual student by student data. Classroom walkthroughs	FAIR OPM data, mini assessments, BAT1 and BAT2				

Based on the and of improvement f	,	t achievement data, and re subgroup:	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
5C. English Landsatisfactory pro- Reading Goal #	ogress in readi	s (ELL) not making ng.	yearly progress participation of	The percentage of ELL students mastering making adequate yearly progress in reading will increase through the participation of a ninety-minute uninterrupted reading block utilizing differentiated instruction.		
2012 Current Le	evel of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
97%(29) of ELL: in reading.	students did no	t make satisfactory progre	ss 87%(26) of ELL in reading.	87%(26) of ELL students will not make satisfactory progress in reading.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
Anticip	ated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
practice ar	and concepts.	Teachers implementing interactive multimedia & multimodal strategies and testing accommodations.	Principal Reading Coach	Student progress is assessed using FAIR Ongoing Progress Monitoring (OPM). An additional 30 minutes is	FAIR OPM data, mini assessments, BAT1, BAT2, alternate assessments and DAR data when	

1				blocked into the scheduling to provide time for a double dose in reading through the content area. Using district materials whenever applicable.	appropriate will be used to determine progress.
2	Additional instructional training is needed to effectively implement ELL Supplemental Materials in the classroom.	and modeling of supplemental materials.	Principal Reading Coach	administration and monitoring of individual	FAIR OPM data, mini assessments, BAT1, BAT2, alternate assessments and DAR data when appropriate will be used to determine progress.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5D:	The percentage of SWD students making adequate yearly progress in reading will increase through the participation of a ninety-minute uninterrupted reading block in addition to their identified IEP goals utilizing differentiated instruction and reading strategies.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
76% (29) Students with Disabilities students did not make satisfactory progress in reading.	66% (25) Students with Disabilities will not make satisfactory progress in reading.				

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Utilization of the RtI process in a timely and effective manner and to introduce research based interventions aligned with student IEP goals.	programs to fidelity based on student data.	RTI Team, Vertical Team Michelle Garcia,Principal Dawn McMahon, Reading Coach	Student progress is assessed using FAIR Ongoing Progress Monitoring (OPM) and daily work assignments.	FAIR OPM data, mini assessments, BAT1 and BAT2 data when appropriate will be used to determine progress. Review and monitor IEP's during weekly ESE meetings with teachers.
2	Students with Disabilities need specific accommodations and modifications to understand concepts.		Michelle Garcia, Principal Dawn McMahon, Reading Coach	Student progress is assessed using FAIR Ongoing Progress Monitoring (OPM) every 20 days. Percent of students making adequate progress toward benchmark is calculated.	FAIR OPM data, mini assessments, BAT1 and BAT2 data when appropriate will be used to determine progress.
	Students need additional practice to understand concepts	students who are functioning below grade	Michelle Garcia, Principal Dawn McMahon, Reading Coach	Student progress is assessed using FAIR Ongoing Progress Monitoring	FAIR OPM data, mini assessments, BAT1 and

3	VE/ESE classes for Reading instruction.	( - ) ) ) -	BAT2 data when appropriate will be
		making adequate	used to
		progress toward	determine
		benchmark is calculated.	progress.

	on the analysis of student provement for the following		eferer	nce to "Guiding	) Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5E:				The percentage of Economically Disadvantaged students making adequate yearly progress in reading will increase through the participation of a ninety-minute uninterrupted reading block utilizing differentiated instruction and reading strategies.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
	154) of Economically Disac satisfactory progress in re				conomically Disadvantaged ry progress in reading.	students will not
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Ind	crease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Res	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1			Princ Dawr	elle Garcia, ipal n McMahon, ling Coach	Monthly Data Chats with teachers to discuss effectiveness of push-in or push-in small group instructions.	FAIR data, mini assessments, BAT1 and BAT2 data when appropriate will be used to determine progress.
2	Appropriate utilization of student assessment data to drive instruction and determine specific interventions.		Princ Dawr	elle Garcia, ipal n McMahon, ling Coach	Data chats with administration and monitoring of individual student by student data. Classroom walk throughs.	FAIR data, mini assessments, BAT1 and BAT2 data when appropriate will be used to determine progress.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

 ${\it Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.}$ 

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Aligning Our Curriculum	Principal Reading Coach	K-5 & ESE Reading		Lesson Plans, Reading Chats, Informal Classroom Walkthroughs and assessments	Reading Coach
Data Review, ELL Testing and Instructional Planning (subs)	Principal Reading Coach	K-5 and ESE Dept Reading teachers	Quarterly	Classroom Observations and Lesson plans	Reading Coach
Reading					

	K-5 & ESE Reading	Principal Reading Coach	K-5 & ESE Reading	,	Classroom Observations and Lesson plans	Reading Coach
--	----------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------	---	---	---------------

### Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mater	ial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Data Review/Chats 8/12-5/13 Meet once a quarter with all teachers	Subs for teacher to attend data/curriculum trainings with administration	Title One Staff Development	\$1,680.00
Various Academic District/School Trainings 8/12-5/13	Subs for teacher to attend Common Core reading trainings	Title One Staff Development	\$1,000.00
Reading Trainings - 6hrs	Teacher Salaries	Title One Staff Development	\$7,440.00
Reading Trainings - 2 trainers	Trainer/Coaches Salaries	Title One Staff Development	\$900.00
Curriculum Review - 6/2013	Teacher Salaries	Title One Staff Development	\$2,746.00
Curriculum Review - 6/2013	Trainer Coaches Salaries	Title One Staff Development	\$1,200.00
		Sub	total: \$14,966.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
		Grand	Total: \$14,966.00

End of Reading Goals

### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

CELLA Goal #1:

The percentage of students will increase through the participation of a ninety-minute uninterrupted reading block utilizing differentiated instruction for English Language Learners (ELLs) working towards the attainment of English proficiency.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

37%(42) of students in grades 3-5 met Oral Skills (listening and speaking) grade cluster scale scores by English Language Proficiency Level.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students anxiety/stress wanting to know the language.	Provide additional time and explain the process to students		Data chats with administration and monitoring of individual student by student data. Classroom walkthroughs	Administration will monitor performance through CWT, informal and formal data points.
2	Students need increased opportunities/exposure of English.	Field trips Istation/Technology	Michelle Garcia, Principal Vincent Dawes, Intern Principal Dawn McMahon, Reading Coach	Data chats with administration and monitoring of individual student by student data. Classroom walkthroughs	Administration will monitor performance through CWT, informal and formal data points.

Stude	ents read in English at gra	ade level text in a manne	er similar to non-EL	L students.		
2. Students scoring proficient in reading. CELLA Goal #2:			participation of block utilizing language learn	The percentage of students will increase through the participation of a ninety-minute uninterrupted reading block utilizing differentiated instruction for English language learners (ELLs) working towards the attainment of English proficiency.		
2012	Current Percent of Stu	dents Proficient in rea	ding:			
19%(	22) of students in grades	s 3-5 met Reading grade	cluster scale score	es by English Language F	Proficiency Level.	
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students limited background knowledge.	Provide students with sight word folders	Principal Intern Principal Reading Coach	Data chats with administration and monitoring of individual student by student data. Classroom walkthroughs	Administration will monitor performance through CWT, informal and formal data points.	
2	Students struggle with Phonics skills.	Provide students with daily phonics developmental skills in classrooms.	Principal Intern Principal Reading Coach	Data chats with administration and monitoring of individual student by student data. Classroom walkthroughs	Administration will monitor performance through CWT, informal and formal data points.	

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.				
3. Students scoring proficient in writing.  The percentage of students will increase through the participation of a writer's block utilizing differentiated instruction for English language learners (ELLs) working towards the attainment of English proficiency.				
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:				
9%(10) of students in grades 3-5 met Writing grade cluster scale scores by English Language Proficiency Level.				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students struggle with language acquisition.	<ul> <li>Students will be given varying brainstorming activities to connect prior experience.</li> <li>Vocabulary building activities to understand phrases with figurative meanings.</li> <li>Use of storyboards</li> </ul>	teacher	Daily writer's block	- Students planning sheets. - Rubrics - Monthly writing samples - Teacher observations

# CELLA Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

### **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in The percentage of students mastering a level 3 will increase mathematics. through the participation of a sixty-minute uninterrupted math block utilizing differentiated instruction. Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 19%(50) of students in grades 3-5 scored at a level 3. 29%(75) of students in grades 3-5 will score at a level 3. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Change the daily Lesson plans, informal Observation Designated time for Principal, Intern schedule and routine of Principal , District and formal classroom teachers to meet and collaborate during the the school by adding a and State Support observations. regular school day not daily forty-five minutes Teams. common planning time protected. grades K-5. Administrators and teachers treat the common planning block as protective time/uninterrupted. Effectively implementing Professional Principal Grade-level teams will Progress of all review results of common students the Next Generation development on the Math Coach Sunshine State NGSSS/CCSS standards assessment data every 4 on mini Standards in grades 3-5. and use IFC's to weeks to determine assessments, guide and pace lessons. chapter tests and progress toward benchmark Broward (75% on common Assessment BAT1 assessment). and 2. Lesson plan, informal and Mini assessments Teacher emphasis on the Grades K-5 will implement Principal formal observation use of centers in Math centers during Math Coach mathematics block 3 (interactive math word walls, journals and math activities and games) Students need to use Professional development Principal Mini assessments informal observation manipulatives during on the effective use of Math Coach and chapter tests. math lessons to help manipulatives. improve math concepts. Students have difficulty Classroom teacher Provide training Lesson plan, informal and Mini assessments, using higher order and grade level support formal observation and chapter tests. thinking and problem in learning the new Go solving skills to resolve Math series and the real world problems appropriate auxiliary materials.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.

n/a

Mathematics Goal #1b:

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

			i i		
2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
n/a		n/a	n/a		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #2a:			The percentage increase throug	The percentage of students mastering a level 4 and 5 will increase through the implementation of the math curriculum-Pupil Enrichment Program (PEP).		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
17%( 4.	44) of students in grades 3	3-5 scored at or above a lo	evel 27%(54) of stulevel 4.	dents in grades 3-5 will so	ore at or above a	
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students maintain academic rigor utilizing PEP lesson plans.	Teachers will use district enrichment resources/lessons to extend learning.	Principal Math Coach	Lesson plan, informal classroom observation.	Progress of all students on mini assessments, chapter tests and Broward Assessment BAT1 and 2.	
2	Students maintain academic rigor for subgroup through participation of academic competitions.	Students will use investigative techniques in the classroom to solve real-world math problems by using higher order questioning and problem solving.	Principal Math Coach	Lesson plan, informal classroom observation.	Progress of all students on mini assessments, chapter tests and Broward Assessment BAT1 and 2.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refe of improvement for the following group:					
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #2b:	n/a				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
n/a	n/a				

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
2	n/a	n/a	n/a	/a	n/a	

	d on the analysis of studer provement for the following		eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3a:			mathematics w minute block of	The percentage of students demonstrating a learning gain in mathematics will increase through participation in a sixty minute block of math utilizing strategies from the Go Math series and the NGSSS standards.	
2012	Current Level of Perform	mance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance:	
61%(	101) of students in grades	s 3-5 made a learning gain.	71%(110) of st	udents in grades 3-5 will n	nake a learning gain.
	Pi	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Teachers inconsistently use Calendar Math supplemental programs	Math Coach will provide training and support the use Calendar Math.	Principal Math Coach	Classroom walkthrough	Reports generated from walkthroughs
2	Limited resources to provide "push-in/push-out" remedial groups.	Creative scheduling to provide additional support.	Principal Math Coach	Review students grouping charts frequently and ensure groups are redesigned to target the needs of students based on assessments.	Progress of all students on mini assessments, chapter tests and Broward Assessment BAT1 and 2.

1	d on the analysis of student provement for the following		l refer	rence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.						
Mathematics Goal #3b:						
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:		2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
	Pr	oblem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease Studen	t Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25%

making learning gains in mathematics.

The percentage of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics will increase through participation of double dose and Soar to Success computer based instructions.

Mathematics Goal #4:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

46%(20) of students in the lowest 25% in grades 3-5 made a 56%(25) of students in the lowest 25% in grades 3-5 will learning gain.

make a learning gain.

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students need additional practice and instruction to understand concepts.	Concrete, Representational	Principal Math Coach	Vertical team will review results of common assessment data every 6 weeks to determine progress toward benchmark (75% on common assessment).	Math journals
2	Students lack of knowledge of basic math facts.	All students will receive daily 5 minute drills of related math facts and utilize Soar to Success computer based instructions.	Principal Math Coach	review results of common assessment data every 4 weeks to determine progress toward	

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target Elementary School Mathematics Goal # 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual The percentage of students will reduce their achievement 4 Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year gap through the participation of a sixty-minute school will reduce their achievement gap uninterrupted math block utilizing differentiated 5A : instruction. by 50%.  $\nabla$ Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 2010-2011 41 47

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making The percentage of students in each student subgroup acquiring adequate yearly progress in mathematics will satisfactory progress in mathematics. increase through the participation of a sixty minute uninterrupted math block utilizing differentiated instruction. Mathematics Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Indian - N/A and Asian - N/A

41%(16) White, 69%(113)Black, 70%(29) Hispanic, American 31%(12) White, 59%(97)Black, 60%(25) Hispanic, American Indian - N/A and Asian - N/A.

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students need additional practice and instruction to understand concepts.		Principal Math Coach	Teachers will evaluate mini assessments and daily work assignments.	Progress of all students on mini assessments, chapter test and Broward Assessments BAT1 & BAT2.
2	Meeting the diverse individual student needs.	Increase opportunities during center rotation to use online Go Math resources of Destination Math and Mega Math.	Principal Math Coach	Teachers will evaluate mini assessments and daily work assignments.	Progress of all students on mini assessments, chapter test and Broward Assessments BAT1 & BAT2.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5C:	The percentage of ELL students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics will decrease through the participation of a sixty minute uninterrupted math block utilizing differentiated instruction.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
90%(27) of ELL students in grades 3-5 did not make satisfactory progress in mathematics.	80%(24) of ELL students in grades 3-5 will not make satisfactory progress in mathematics.

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students unable to accurately interpret questions.	Students are provided small group sessions reinforcing skills taught during whole group lessons by representing facts and approaching problems in multi ways.	Principal Math Coach	Review students' grouping charts frequently and ensure groups are redesigned to target the needs of students based on assessments.	Progress of all students on mini assessments, chapter tests and Broward Assessment BAT1 and BAT2.
2	Additional instructional training is needed to effectively implement ELL Supplemental Materials in the classroom.	Ongoing staff training and modeling of ELL supplemental materials.	Principal Math Coach	Administration and Teachers will review data points to determine academic progress and needs.	Mini Assessments
3	Students need additional practice to understand concepts.	Students are provided the opportunity to attend after-school tutoring sessions.	Principal Math Coach	Data chats	Progress of all students on mini assessments,chapter tests and Broward Assessment BAT1 and BAT2.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5D:	progress in mathematics will decrease through the participation of a sixty minute uninterrupted math block in addition to their identified IEP goals utilizing differentiated instruction and math strategies.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
84% (32) students with disabilities did not make satisfactory progress in mathematics.	74% (28) students with disabilities will not make satisfactory progress in mathematics.				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Limited personnel to effectively address the specific differentiated needs of SWD learners.		Principal Math Coach	in the creation of centers	Progress of all students on mini assessments, chapter tests and Broward Assessment BAT1 and 2.	
2	Students unable to keep with the pacing of Go Math series.	Plan differentiating the instruction using evidence based instruction/interventions for each group (BEEP lessons, Harcourt Intervention series). Pulling flexible small groups based on benchmarks not mastered	Teachers Math Coach	ensure groups are redesigned to target the needs of students based on assessments.	Progress of all students on mini assessments, chapter tests and Broward Assessment BAT1 and 2.	
3	Students have limited background knowledge	· ·	Principal Math Coach	Walkthroughs. Scores	· ·	

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
satisf	conomically Disadvanta factory progress in math ematics Goal #5E:	ged students not making nematics.	note making the participa	The percentage of Economically Disadvantaged students note making progress in mathematics will decrease through the participation of a sixty minute uninterrupted mathematics block utilizing differentiated instruction and math strategies.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expec	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
1	158) of economically disac d not make satisfactory pr	lvantaged students in grad rogress in mathematics.	, ,	56% (134) of economically disadvantaged students in grades 3-5 will not make satisfactory progress in mathematics.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stu	dent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible fo Monitoring	Process Used to Determine or Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Students learn at different rates and through different	A variety of media and technology resources for directed	Principal Math Coach	Student progress will be assessed using the Continuous Improvement	Progress of all students on mini assessments,	

1	modalities.	and independent learning activities will be utilized by teachers and students.		Model	chapter tests and Broward Assessment BAT1 & BAT2.
2	practice and instruction	Provide 7:15am morning computer based camp to provide additional practice.  Provide After-school tutoring in math concepts.	Principal Intern Principal	Teacher evaluation of mini assessments	Mini Assessments
3	Limited time to plan grade level math activities.	Teachers will use forty- five minute common planning time to coordinate and plan instructions.	Principal Math Coach	Informal/formal observations, lesson plans	Chapter test BAT1 & BAT 2

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Math Talks - Effective Evidence Based Math Strategies	K-5	Math Coach	K-5 & ESE Teachers	Bi-monthly	Classroom Walkthrough	Math Coach
IFC/Core Standards	3-5	Math Coach	3-5 Math Teachers	Summer	Lesson Plans, Informal Classroom Walkthrough and assessments	Math Coach
IFC/Core Standards	K-2, ESE	Math Coach	K -2, ESE Teachers	Summer	Lesson Plans, Informal Classroom Walkthrough and assessments	Math Coach

### Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate	erial(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
			Subtotal: \$0.00	
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
			Subtotal: \$0.00	
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount	
Data Review/Chats 8/12 - 5/13 Meet once a quarter with all teachers	Subs for teacher to attend data/curriculum trainings with administration	Title One Staff Development	\$1,680.00	
Various Academic District/School Trainings 8/12-5/13	Subs for teacher to attend Common Core Math trainings	Title One Staff Development	\$500.00	
Subtotal: \$2,180				

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$2,180.00

End of Mathematics Goals

# Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of stud s in need of improvement			Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define	
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a:			above will incr	The percentage of students demonstrating a level 3 or above will increase through the participation of daily science instructions aligned with hands-on learning activities.		
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performand	ce:	
22%	(20) of students in grad	e 5 scored a level 3.	32%(29) of st	udents in grade 5 will so	ore a level 3.	
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too	
1	Designated time for teachers to meet and collaborate during the regular school day not protected.	Change the daily schedule and routine of the school by adding a daily forty-five minutes common planning time grades K-5.  Administrators and teachers treat the common planning block as protective time/uninterrupted.	Principal, Intern Principal , District and State Support Teams.	Lesson plans, informal and formal classroom observations.	IObservation	
2	Students have limited exposure to content area.	Provide students consistent instructions in previous grade levels. Utilize books on topics, Promethean flip charts and digital Fusion lessons.	Area Teacher, and Fifth grade	Teacher will require students to maintain journals/logs that will be reviewed consistently by teacher and Principal Grade-level teams will review results of common assessment data every 6 weeks to determine progress toward benchmark (75% on common assessment).	Improvement on the science mini assessment Improvement on the science mini assessment Common assessments tied to Florida Science Standards administered weekly	
	it difficult for teachers	Provide weekly Science instruction using Delta Hands-on kits inclusion with the Harcourt Science series and county science materials including:	Science Special	Broward County customized hands-on materials will be implemented with fidelity and monitored by Administration.	Mini-BATs, common assessments, BEEP, and Science FCAT results assessments will	

3		•Curriculum maps K-5 •FCAT Dailies for Grade 5 •Broward county customized hands-on science activities •Implementation of BEEP (Broward County) Lessons.			be reviewed to determine effectiveness and progress.
4	Students need additional practice using varying learning modalities to grasp science concepts.	Teachers will incorporate ESE and ELL strategies throughout the content area making modifications through modified assessments, extra time, peer buddy, support facilitators, and hard copy of notes.	Principal Science Special Area Teacher, and Fifth grade teacher	Instruction will include re-teaching of deficient skills using differentiated instruction and alternative assessments.	Improvement on the science mini assessment Common assessments tied to Florida Science Standards administered at the end of each benchmark.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. n/a Science Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: n/a n/a Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a

	3	dent achievement data, at the following group		Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define	
Action of the first and the fi			above will incr	The percentage of students mastering a level 4 or above will increase through participation in hands-on enrichment activities.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
8%(7) of students in grade 5 scored a level 4 or above on the FCAT 2.0 Science Assessment.				18% (16) of students in grade 5 will score a level 4 or above on the FCAT 2.0 Science Assessment.		
	Prob	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Teachers will maintaining academic	Students will compete in a school-wide	Principal, Fifth Grade Teacher	Increased achievement between assessments		

	vigor through the use of science games, projects and real world experiences.		and Science Special Area teacher.	rubric
	and instruction to	Students will complete science project based activities using the scientific method.  Teachers will use common planning to plan effective science strategies and delivery of concepts.	Teacher	1 1

Basec areas	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b:			7 n/a	n/a		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
n/a			n/a	n/a		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process to	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Rigor & Relevance	K-5	Science Special Area 2nd Teacher	K-5 Teachers	8/15/17	Classroom Walkthroughs	Intern Principal

### Science Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		S	ubtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Various Academic District/School Trainings 8/12-5/13	Subs for teacher to attend Common Core science trainings	Title One Staff Development	\$500.00
		Sub	total: \$500.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		S	ubtotal: \$0.00
		Grand <sup>-</sup>	Total: \$500.00

End of Science Goals

# Writing Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define area in need of improvement for the following group:				
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a:	The percentage of students scoring at achievement level 4.0 and higher in writing will increase through participation in a structured writing curriculum.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
69%(53) of students in grade 4 scored a level 4.0 or higher.	80%(62)of students in grade 4 will score a level 4.0 or higher.			

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Teachers and students need to see academic growth in writing over the course of the school year	Students will use the Writer's Workshop writing process and/or Beep lessons daily; all writing will be dated, and recorded in a journal, notebook, or work folder for monitoring of growth across time.	Principal, Intern Principal	Writing samples and rubrics	Progress between the Pretest Prompt and Midyear Prompt.
2	Students need more writing practice across the curriculum	Teachers will integrate writing into other curriculum.	Principal, Intern Principal	Writing samples	Progress between the Pretest Prompt and Midyear Prompt.
3	Students need exposure to different genres for literature development.	Teacher will expose students to different genres of literature to generate idea development.	Classroom teacher	Writing samples	Monthly writing sample and teacher observation.
4		Students will utilize rubics to self assess their writing and for	Classroom Teacher	Writing samples	Writing rubrics

	on the analysis of studeed of improvement for the	ent achievement data, ar e following group:	nd reference to "Gu	iding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.  Writing Goal #1b:			g n/a			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
n/a			n/a	n/a		
	Prob	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

peer editing.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Writer's Workshop	3-4 Grade	Intern Principal	3-4 Grade Writing Teachers	August 1, 2012	Informal classroom observation	Intern Principal

### Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Progra Strategy	am(s)/Material(s)  Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

# Attendance Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

D				t - "Coolelle - Coo		Contractor and the contract	
	d on the analysis of atter provement:	ndance data, and referer	nce	to "Guiding Que	estions", identify and defi	ine areas in need	
1. At	tendance			The average daily attendance rate of students in			
Atter	ndance Goal #1:				ugh grade 5 will increase awareness program.	through a	
2012	Current Attendance R	ate:		2013 Expecte	d Attendance Rate:		
				The expected attendance rate for the 2012-2013 school year will be 96% (99,147).			
	Current Number of Stunces (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expecte Absences (10	d Number of Students or more)	with Excessive	
During the 2012-2013 school year, 60 students had excessive absences.				We expect to decrease the amount of absent students by 10% during the 2012-2013 school year.			
	Current Number of Studes (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			
During the 2012-2013 school year, 146 students had excessive tardies.				We expect to decrease the amount of tardy students by 10% during the 2012-2013 school year.			
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to I	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Increased mobility rate due to economic hardship.	Attendance certificates will be given to students who receive perfect attendance for each quarter.	Pri Del	chelle Garcia, ncipal bbie Melisi, idance	Data will be collected and compared from the previous year.	Attendance Reports and BTIP data.	
	1	10.000				l	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

### Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

# Suspension Goal(s)

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference of improvement:	to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
Suspension     Suspension Goal #1:	A proactive approach to classroom management will decrease the number of in school suspensions.
2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions
51 (9%)	41 (7%)
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School
31 (5%)	21 (4%)
2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions
18 (3%)	8 (2%)
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of- School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School
14 (2%)	4 (1%)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Consistent use of CHAMP training strategies in classroom.	CHAMPS I & II will be provided to the teachers to allow them to gain strategies that are proactive to decrease suspensions.	Principal Intern Principal	Suspension rates from year to year will be compared from the reports provided from Data Warehouse.	Suspension reports		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

### Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progra			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: Pompano Beach Elementary School will increase the rate \*Please refer to the percentage of parents who of parent involvement by 10%. participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: 80%(328) of student families participated in school 85%(349) of families will participate in school related related activities. activities. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring Parents unable to Provide varied times PTA President Parent feedback and Parent feedback, attend school parent activities. Title 1 parent sign-in sheet. conferences and activities. Coordinator parent sign-in sheets. Maintaining high parent Parent feedback Conduct SAC meeting SAC Chairperson Parent feedback and attendance at SAC at 6pm to increase parent sign-in sheet, and parent sign-Meetings. parent attendance. meeting minutes. in sheet. 2 SAC Chair sends out SAC notices and reminder calls. Low parent interest School will notify Principal Parent feedback and Parent feedback parents through phone Intern Principal parent sign-in sheet. and parent sign-Title 1 in sheet. calls of school events. School will notify Coordinator parents through 3 website of school events and school

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

newsletter. School will send home fliers for school events.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Celebration of Learning	3-5	Michelle Allison	School-wide, Reading, Math, Writing and Science		Parent feedback and parent sign in sheet.	Administration
Home/School Connection	K-5	Team Leaders	School-wide	,	Feedback from parent conferences	Administration, Team Leaders

Evidence-based Program(s)	/ Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		S	ubtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		S	ubtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Annual Parent Seminar	Registration 2 parents	Title One Parent Involvement	\$0.00
		S	ubtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		S	ubtotal: \$0.00
		Gran	d Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

# Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Basec	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
1. STEM STEM Goal #1:			increase through	The percentage of students mastering a level 3 will increase through the participation of integrated activities in the areas of technology/engineering, science and math.			
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Teachers have difficulty identifying relevant and appropriate STEM based projects.	Teachers will use common planning period to plan and organize STEM project based- learning activities.	Intern Principal	Classroom Walkthroughs	Project assessments		
2	Teachers will utilize DA strategies presented during the summer.	Teachers will use common planning period to plan quarterly STEM projects.	Intern Principal	Classroom Walkthroughs	Project assessments		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
No Data Submitted									

### STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	arri(s)/ Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

# Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

### FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Progr		Description of		
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	ment			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Data Review/Chats 8/12-5/13 Meet once a quarter with all teachers	Subs for teacher to attend data/curriculum trainings with administration	Title One Staff Development	\$1,680.00
Reading	Various Academic District/School Trainings 8/12-5/13	Subs for teacher to attend Common Core reading trainings	Title One Staff Development	\$1,000.00
Reading	Reading Trainings - 6hrs	Teacher Salaries	Title One Staff Development	\$7,440.00
Reading	Reading Trainings - 2 trainers	Trainer/Coaches Salaries	Title One Staff Development	\$900.00
Reading	Curriculum Review - 6/2013	Teacher Salaries	Title One Staff Development	\$2,746.00
Reading	Curriculum Review - 6/2013	Trainer Coaches Salaries	Title One Staff Development	\$1,200.00
Mathematics	Data Review/Chats 8/12 - 5/13 Meet once a quarter with all teachers	Subs for teacher to attend data/curriculum trainings with administration	Title One Staff Development	\$1,680.00
Mathematics	Various Academic District/School Trainings 8/12-5/13	Subs for teacher to attend Common Core Math trainings	Title One Staff Development	\$500.00
Science	Various Academic District/School Trainings 8/12-5/13	Subs for teacher to attend Common Core science trainings	Title One Staff Development	\$500.00
Parent Involvement	Annual Parent Seminar	Registration 2 parents	Title One Parent Involvement	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$17,646.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
				Grand Total: \$17,646.0

# Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority	jn Focus	jn Prevent	jn NA
-------------	----------	------------	-------

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/8/2012)

### School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
Student Handbook/Planners	\$1,200.00
Supplies and Materials	\$2,000.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

Monitor the implementation of SIP Plan

Reach out to community to obtain more partners

Organize FCAT 2.0 Family and Publix Night Events

Sponsor drives to increase parent involvement

Assist the school to create and analyze school climate surveys for parents and students

Implement approved unified dress code

# AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

# SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Broward School District POMPANO BEACH ELEM 2010-2011		CHOOL				
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	53%	58%	86%	40%	237	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	62%	40%			102	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	58% (YES)	40% (NO)			98	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					437	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					С	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Broward School District POMPANO BEACH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2009-2010						
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	67%	70%	89%	46%	272	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	65%	73%			138	3 ways to make gains:  Improve FCAT Levels  Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5  Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	52% (YES)	83% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					545	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested