Florida Department of Education



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name: Trinity Oaks Elementary School	District Name: Pasco County
Principal: Allison Hoskins	Superintendent: Heather Fiorentino
SAC Chair: TBA	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Highly Effective Administrators

List your school's highly effective administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Allison Hoskins	Educational Leadership Elementary Education	6		2011-2012 T.O.E.S. Grade: A 2010-2011 T.O.E.S. Grade: A AYP: No 2009-2010 T.O.E.S. Grade: A AYP: No 2008-2009 T.O.E.S. Grade: A AYP: Yes 2007-2008 T.O.E.S. Grade: A AYP: Yes 2006-2007 T.O.E.S. Grade: A AYP: Yes 2006-2007 T.O.E.S. Grade: A AYP: Yes 2005-2006 R.E.S. Grade: B AYP: Yes 2004-2005 R.E.S. Grade: B AYP: Yes 2003-2004 R.E.S. Grade: A AYP: Yes 2003-2004 R.E.S. Grade: A AYP: Yes 2002-2003 R.E.S.
					Grade: A AYP: No 2009-2010 T.O.E.S. Grade: A AYP: No 2008-2009 T.O.E.S. Grade: A AYP: Yes 2007-2008 T.O.E.S. Grade: A AYP: Yes 2006-2007 T.O.E.S. Grade: A AYP: Yes 2005-2006 R.E.S. Grade: B AYP: Yes 2004-2005 R.E.S. Grade: B AYP: Yes 2003-2004 R.E.S. Grade: A AYP: Yes

Assistant Principal	D. Jeff McLean	Educational Leadership Elementary Education Middle Grades Integrated Curriculum	4	6	2011-2012 T.O.E.S. Grade: A 2010-2011 T.O.E.S. Grade: A AYP: No
					2009-2010 T.O.E.S. Grade: A AYP: No
					2008-2009 T.O.E.S. Grade: A AYP: Yes
					2007-2008 W.C.E.S. Grade: A AYP: No
					2006-2007 W.C.E.S. Grade: A AYP: No

Highly Effective Instructional Coaches

List your school's highly effective instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
Area		Certification(s)	Years at	an	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains,
			Current School	Instructional Coach	Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated
					school year)
Literacy	Darlene Wagner		1	1	2011-2012 T.O.E.S.
Coach (K-	_				Grade: A
12)					

<u>Highly Effective Teachers</u>

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable
1. NA	NA	NA	(If not, please explain why) All teachers are highly qualified. In order to retain them we will offer ongoing staff development, provide a positive and collaborative work environment and the opportunity to give input on school wide decisions.
2.			
3.			
4.			

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

List all instructional staff and paraprofessionals who are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

Name	Certification	Teaching Assignment	Professional Development/Support to Become Highly Effective
April 2012			
Rule 6A-1.099811			
Revised April 29, 2011			5

NA	NA	NA	NA

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
55	2%	65%	22%	11%	20%	0%	5%	9%	38%

Teacher Mentoring Program

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Alyson Sammartano	Paula Marando	ESE Roles	Bi-Weekly Meetings

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team
Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.
Allison Hoskins - Principal
Jeff McLean - Assistant Principal
Allison Cohen - Guidance Counselor
Lauren Sampson - School Psychologist
Alyson Sammartano-ESE Chairperson
Sandra Stine-Basic Teacher
Darlene Wagner - Literacy Coach
Ashley Mitchell-Primary Teacher
Linda Arnold-Primary Teacher
Krsiten Cirigliano-Intermediate Teacher
Donna de la Paz-Intermediate Teacher
Kara Abbatello-Intermediate Teacher
Lauren Kotoric-Behavior Specialist
Tom Osmun – MTS Coach
Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to
organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?
The MTSS Leadership team meets once a month acting as a liaison between the guidance department, administration and teaching teams. The MTSS
Leadership Team meetings focus on:
* Reviewing and monitoring student data on the school database
* Reviewing progress monitoring data
* Planning for interventions
* Assessment of MTSS implementation progress
* Assessment of school staff's practices and skill development
* Development of professional development/technical assistance plan to support MTSS implementation.
*Guiding instructional staff in the identification of grade level wide action planning for Tier 1 instruction.
*Learning more about planning with a greater focus for Tier 2 instruction.
*Positive Behavior Support will be embedded in our effort to prevent, teach and reinforce appropriate behavior.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

- Analysis of relevant demographic/school profile data for the purpose of problem analysis and hypothesis generation.
 - * Analysis of school wide and grade level data in order to identify student achievement trends.
 - * Analysis of disaggregated data in order to identify trends and groups in need of intervention.
 - * Development of assessment strategies and calendars.
 - * Development of data review plans, supports, and calendars.
 - * Development of processes to ensure intervention fidelity.
 - * Review of Progress Monitoring data
 - * Planning for Interventions.
 - * Assessment of MTSS implementation progress.
 - * Assessment of school staff's skill development.
 - * Development of professional development/technical assistance plan to support MTSS implementation.
- *Positive Behavior Support strategies will be utilized to assist in planning and problem solving to increase responsible student behavior.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Pasco Star, Core K-12 Assessments, FAIR, writing prompts and teacher created assessments

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

SBLT Team will have monthly meetings. SBLT will facilitate MTSS training and weekly data meetings with their teams. MTSS Coach will provide monthly support and training with administration for SBLT Teams and staff.

Describe plan to support MTSS.

SBLT Team will have monthly meetings. SBLT will facilitate MTSS training and weekly data meetings with their teams.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT). Allison Hoskins Jeff McLean Darlene Wagner Denon Recchiuti Sandy Stine Robin Irby Katie Galletta Ervn Monaco **Stacey McGinnis** Sharon Hill Linda Arnold Diana Hanhold Alison Carter Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). The Lead Literacy Team meets monthly to discuss school-wide improvement goals, review data from Core K-12, FAIR, Common Core Standards, as well as review classroom assessments. They will design professional development around CCSS and assist with coaching to help teachers become aware of them. What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year? * To help teachers incorporate independent reading from a wide range of texts and genres as a part of the 90-minute reading block. * To help teachers explore ways to conference with students on their independent reading. * To help increase the range and availability of all genres of text in classroom libraries. *To help teachers begin to develop understanding of the CCSS in Reading, specifically close reading of text and text-dependent questioning, and explore their use with students.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

NA

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

NA

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

NA

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

NA

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

NA

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve ment					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1a. FCAT 2.0:	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1	
Students scoring		Professional			Classroom designed		
	1 Consistent	development and			assessments,		
	and pervasive impleme	coaching will be			· · ·		
	ntation of				FAIR, MMH unit		
- change	quality reading	instruction.		0	assessments and		
	instruction	Teachers will	Teachers		FCAT data		
	with fidelity.	incorporate independent					
		reading from a					
		wide range of					
		texts and genres					
		as a part of the 90- Minute					
		Block. Teachers					
		will begin to					
		develop					
		understanding of the CCSS					
		standards in					
		Reading,					
		specifically close					
		reading of text and text-					
		dependent					
		questioning.					
		Teachers explore					
		ways to conference with					
		students on their					
		independent					
	2012 Current	reading. 2013 Expected					
Reading Goal #1a:	Level of	Level of					
The percentage of		Performance:*					
students scoring a							
level 3 or higher will							
increase from 75% to 80% on the 2013							
FCAT.							
	75%	80%					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	

		1a.3.				1a.3.	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.		1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	NA	NA					
		1b.2.	16.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2a. FCAT 2.0:	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	
Students scoring		Professional	Teachers		MMH unit	
at or above	Consistent	development				
Achievement	and pervasive impleme	and			assessments, FAIR,	
Levels 4 and 5 in	ntation of	1 1 1		and state	teacher created	
reading.	quality reading			assessments.	assessments and	
	instruction with fidelity.	given to	teachers		other tools and	
	with fidelity.	-	Administration		FCAT data.	
		improve differentiate	Literacy Coach			
		a . , ,.				
		instruction.				
		Teachers				
		will				
		incorporate				
		independent				
		reading				
		from a wide				
		range of				
		texts and				
		genres as a				
		part of the				
		90- Minute				
		Block.				
		Teachers				
		will begin to				
		develop				
		understandin				
		g of the				
		CCSS				
		standards in				
		Reading,				
		specifically				
		close				
		reading of				
		text and text-				
		dependent				

		questioning.					
	ļ	Teachers					
		explore					
	ļ	ways to					
	Į	conference				l l	
		with					
		students on					
		their					
		independent					
		reading.					
Reading Goal #2a:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				1	
The percentage of	Level of	Level of					
students scoring a level 4 or 5 will increase	Performance:*	Performance:*				1	
4 or 5 will increase						1	
from 48% to 50% on the 2013 FCAT.				l i			
	48% (171)	50%				i i	
	ļ						
	ļ	2-2	2- 2	2-2	2- 2	2- 2	
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		1		l i			
		1		l i			
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
	ļ	2a.5	2d.J	24.3	20.5	20.5	
	ļ						
	ļ			l i			
2b. Florida	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
2b. Florida Alternate	20.1.	20.1.	20.1.	20.1.	20.1.		
Alternate Assessment:	ļ			l i			
Students scoring	ļ						
at or above Level	ļ						
7 in reading.	ļ						
. In reading.	ļ						
	ļ						
	ļ						
	ļ						
	Į.			1			

	2012 0	2012 E 1		1		1	
Reading Goal #2b:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
NA	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	NA	NA					
		2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
Based on the	Anticipated	Strategy		Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
analysis of student	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
achievement data,			Monitoring	Strategy			
and reference							
to "Guiding							
Questions",							
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.	Consistent and pervasive impleme ntation of quality reading instruction with fidelity.	coaching will be given to improve differentiated instruction. Teachers will incorporate independent reading from a wide range of texts and genres as a part of the 90- Minute Block. Teachers will begin to develop understanding of the CCSS standards in	Literacy team Literacy coach Administration Teachers	through literacy scans of our core program. Grade	^{3a.1.} Literacy scans, FAIR, evidence on MMH unit assessments and FCAT data.	
Reading Goal #3a: The percentage of students making learning gains will increase from 72% to 74% on the 2013 FCAT.	2012 Current Level of	understanding of the CCSS				
	74% (163)	76%				

		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	
	1						
	1						
	1						
3b. Florida	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
SD. FIOTIUA	50.1.	50.1.	50.1.	50.1.	50.1.		
Alternate	1						
Assessment:	1						
Doreontage of	1						
Percentage of	1						
students making	1						
Learning Gains	1						
in reading	1						
in reading.	1						
	1						
	1						
	1						
	1						
	1						
	1						
D 1' () 1 //21	2012 Current	2012 Exposted					
Reading Goal #3b:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of Performance:*					
NA	Performance:*	Performance:*					
			1				
			1				
	37.4	37.4					
	NA	NA					
	1						
	1						
		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		50.2.	50.2.	50.2.	56.2.	50.2.	
		21.2	21.2	21.2	21. 2	21. 2	
	1	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	
	1						
	1						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
4a. FCAT 2.0:	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1	4a.1.		
Percentage	Identifying and		Lead Literacy	Data review	Teacher		
	monitoring the lowest quartile	meetings and			documentation,		
				U U	conversations,		
making learning		held to identify			meeting minutes,		
gains in reading.		and progress monitor the			e i		
		lowest quartile			FCAT data and		
				μυ	FAIR		
		reading. Strategies will	Leadership	lowest 25%			
		he shared so	Team/SBIT				
		teaching practices	Teams				
		are more prescriptive to the					
		student's needs.					
Reading Goal #4a:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of Parformanaa:*	Level of Performance:*					
The percentage	<u>renomance.</u>	renomance.					
of the lowest							
quartile making							
learning gains in reading will							
increase of 63% to							
65% on the 2013							
FCAT Reading							
Assessment.							
	63% (36)	65%					
		4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	

r	·	4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	r
	1 '	4a.5	4a.s.	f ^{4a.3.}	1 ^{4a.3.}	4a.3.	1
	1 '	1 '	1 '	1	1 '	1 ,	1
	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1
	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1
4b. Florida	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	í,	i
	ſ '	1	ſ '	10.11	1 1	1 ,	1
Alternate	1 '	1 '	1 '	1	1 '	1 ,	1
Assessment:	1 '	1 '	1 '	1	1 '	1 ,	1
Percentage	1 '	1 '	1 '	1	1 '	1 ,	1
of students in	1 '	1 '	1 '	1	1 '	1 ,	1
Lowest 25%	1 '	1 '	1 '	1	1 '	1 ,	1
making learning	1 '	1 '	1 '	1	1 '	1 ,	1 ,
making itarining	1 '	1 '	1 '	1	1 '	1 ,	1
gains in reading.	1 '	1 '	1 '	1	1 '	1 ,	1 ,
	1 '	1 '	1 '	1	1 '	1 ,	1 ,
	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1
	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1
Reading Goal #4h	2012 Current	2013 Expected	//	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	(
Reading Goal #4b:	Level of	Level of	4 '	1	1 '	1 1	1
NA	Performance:*	Performance:*	4 '	1 '	1 '	1 1	1 1
		1 /	4 '	1 '	1 '	1 1	1
	NA	NA	·'	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ł,	1
	1 '	1 '	1 '	1	1 '	1 1	1
	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 1	1
		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	1
		4 '	1 '	1	1 '	1 1	1
	/	4 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1
	/	4 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1
ļ!	 '	t	<u> '</u>	<u>+</u> '	<u>t </u>	<u> </u>	l
	1 '	4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	1
	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1
	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1 '	1
Based on Ambitious	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	i
but Achievable	2011 2012				1	2010 2017	1
Annual Measurable	1	4	1	1	1 ,	1 /	1
		۱ <u> </u>					•
Objectives (AMOs),							
Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target							

5A. Ambitious	Baseline					
	data 2010-					
	2011					
	2011					
Measurable						
Objectives						
(AMOs). In six						
year school will						
reduce their						
achievement gap						
by 50%.						
Reading Goal						
<u>#5A:</u>						
Enter narrative for the						
goal in this box.						
Based on the	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
analysis of student	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of		
achievement data,			Monitoring	Strategy		
and reference						
to "Guiding						
Questions",						
identify and define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following subgroup:						
5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
e Di Staatini	White:					
subgroups	Black:					
by ethnicity	Hispanic:					
(White, Black,	Asian:					
Hispanic, Asian,	American					
American Indian)	Indian:					
not making						
satisfactory						
progress in						
reading.						
U U						

	Tease a	lassa n	1				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Reading Goal #5B:	<u>2012 Current</u> Level of	2013 Expected Level of	1 '	1	1	1 1	1 1
		* Performance:*	1 '	1	1	1 1	1 J
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		r criorinance.	1 '	1	1	1 1	I
goui in inis oom	1	1	4 '	1	1	1 1	1
· ·	[/	/	1 '	1	1	1 1	1
'	1	1	4 '	1	1	1 1	1
· · · · · ·	1	1	1 '	1	1	1 1	í
		l Enter numerical	· '	,	,	,	[]
		data for expected level of	1 '	1	1	1 1	1
	performance in	expected level of performance in this	1	1	1	1 1	1
	this box.	box.	1 '	1	1	1 1	1
- ,	White:	White:	1 '	1	1	1 1	1
		Black: Hispanic:	1 '	1	1	1 1	1
		Asian:	1 '	1	1	1 1	1
		American Indian:	.1 '	1	1	1 1	1
	Indian:	·[1 '	1	1	1 1	1
,		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	[]
· · · · ·	1	· ۱	1 '	1	1	1 1	1 "
·'	·'	4 <u> </u>	<u> '</u>	<u> </u> !	<u> </u> /	<u> </u>	<u>ا</u>
· · · · ·	1 '	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	1
Based on the	Anticipated	Strategy		Process Used to Determine	e Evaluation Tool	,	1
analysis of student	Barrier	/	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	1	1 ,	1 1
achievement data,	1 /	1	Monitoring	Strategy	1	1 ,	1 1
and reference to "Guiding	1 /	1	/	1	1	1 ,	1 1
Questions",	1 /	1	/	1	1	1 ,	1 1
identify and define	1 /	1	1/	1	1	1 ,	1 1
areas in need of	1 /	1	1/	1	1	1 ,	1 1
improvement for the	1 /	1	1/	1	1	1 ,	1 1
following subgroup:	<u> </u>	4	('	//	<u> </u>	Į/	k
·	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	1 1	1 1
Language	4 '	· ·	1 '	1	1 /	1 ,	1 1
Learners (ELL)	4 '	· ·	1 '	1	1 /	1 ,	1 1
not making	4 '	· ·	1 '	1	1 /	1 ,	1 1
satisfactory	4 '	· ·	1 '	1	1 /	1 ,	1 1
progress in	4 '	1 '	1 '	1	1 /	1 ,	1 1
reading.	4 '	1 '	1 '	1	1	1 1	1 1
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	ر ر	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ر ر	,

						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Reading Goal #5C:	Level of	Level of	1 '	1			
		Performance:*	4 '	1	/		
goal in this box.	(/	(1 '	1			
	(/	(1 '	1			
	()	(/	4 '	1	/		
		()	4 '	1			
		Enter numerical	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		
	current level of	data for expected level of	1 '	1 1	1		
	performance in	performance in this box.	1 '	1 1	1		
	inis ooz.	box.	1 '	1			
	1 1	1 '	1	1			
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the	Anticipated	Strategy		Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
analysis of student achievement data,	Barrier	1	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		1	
and reference		1	Wolldoring	Strategy		1	
to "Guiding		1	1	(1	
Questions", identify and define		1	1	(1	
areas in need of	[]	1 /	1 /	1		1	
improvement for the	()	1 /	1	1		1	
following subgroup:	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	//		

	_	í	i		í	
5D. Students	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	
with Disabilities	Consistent	Professional development and	Lead Literacy	Data Collection	Classroom designed	
(SWD) not	impleme	coaching will be	team	during Tier 1	assessments,	
making	ntation of	given to improve	Literacy coach		FAIR, MMH unit	
satisfactory	quality reading	unnerennaleu				
progress in	instruction	instruction.		0	assessments and	
reading.	with fidelity.	Teachers will	Teachers		FCAT data	
i chang		incorporate independent				
		reading from a				
		wide range of				
		texts and genres				
		as a part of the				
		90- Minute Block. Teachers				
		will begin to				
		develop				
		understanding of				
		the CCSS				
		standards in Reading,				
		specifically close				
		reading of text				
		and text-				
		dependent				
		questioning. Teachers explore				
		ways to				
		conference with				
		students on their				
		independent				
Deadine Ceel	2012 Current	reading. 2013 Expected				
Reading Goal	Level of	Level of				
<u>#5D:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*				
The percentage						
of students with						
disabilities scorir	g					
at a level 3 or						
higher will reach	-					
70% as measure by the 2013	a					
Reading FCAT.						
. totaling i orti						

1	·	i			i	i
65%	70%					
'	1					
	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
'	1					
'	1					
Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
Barrier	0.5	Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
		Monitoring	Strategy			
	Anticipated Barrier	5D.2. 5D.3. Anticipated Barrier Strategy	5D.2. 5D.2. 5D.3. 5D.3. Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	5D.2. 5D.2. 5D.2. 5D.3. 5D.3. 5D.3. Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	SD.2.SD.2.SD.2.SD.2.SD.3.SD.3.SD.3.SD.3.Anticipated BarrierStrategyPerson or Position Responsible for MonitoringProcess Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Image: constraint of the second sec

5E. Economically	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	5E.1.	
Disadvantaged	Consistent	Professional	Lead Literacy	Data Collection		
students	and pervasive	development and		during Tier 1		
not making	impleme	coaching will be				
	ntation of	differentiated		Assessment		
satisfactory	instruction	instruction.	Administration	Meetings		
progress in	with fidelity.	Teachers will				
reading.	with fidency.	incorporate	Teachers			
		independent				
		reading from a				
		wide range of				
		texts and genres				
		as a part of the				
		90- Minute Block. Teachers				
		will begin to				
		develop				
		understanding of				
		the CCSS				
		standards in				
		Reading,				
		specifically close				
		reading of text				
		and text-				
		dependent questioning.				
		Teachers explore				
		ways to				
		conference with				
		students on their				
		independent				
		reading.				
Reading Goal #5E	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
	Level of Derformance:*	Level of Performance:*				
7 70% of	r enformance:*	r enformance.**				
students that						
are economically						
disadvantaged						
with score a level						
3 or higher on the Reading FCAT						
2011.						
2011.						

Г	(66%	70%					
L								
Г			5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
L								
Г			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	
L								

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
CCSS	K-5	Darlene Wagner	All K-5 Teachers	Weekly	Observations, deliberate practice goals, walkthoughs	Wagner, Admin

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/ Speaking.	1.1. Limited ESOL Support Services	1.1. ESOL Resource Teachers reviews CELLA data with classroom teachers who have ESOL students participating in the assessment.	classroom teacher of ESOL	1.1. Increase in CELLA scores or being exited from ESOL Programs	1.1. CELLA	
CELLA Goal #1: 75% of ESOL students will be proficient in Listening/Speaking on the CELLA.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
	69% of students that were administered the CELLA scored "Proficient" in Listening/Speaking.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Personnel	2.1. ESOL Resource Teachers reviews CELLA data with classroom teachers who have ESOL students participating in the assessment.	2.1. ESOL Support Person and classroom teacher of ESOL students	2.1. Increase in CELLA scores or being exited from ESOL Programs	2.1. CELLA	
	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading :					
	81% of students that were administered the CELLA scored "Proficient" in Reading					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

proficient in Writing.	Personnel	2.1. ESOL Resource Teachers reviews CELLA data with classroom teachers who have ESOL students participating in the assessment.	classroom teacher of ESOL	2.1. Increase in CELLA scores or being exited from ESOL Programs	2.1. CELLA	
CELLA Goal #3: 75% of ESOL students will be proficient in Writing on the CELLA.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing : 81% of students that were administered the CELLA scored "Proficient" in Writing Enter numerical data for current level					
	of performance in this box.					
						2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1a. FCAT 2.0:	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.		
Students scoring at	New standards.	Teachers will			Pre/post unit		
	(NGSSS & CCSS for K-1	implement a variety of math			assessments		
3 in mathematics.	Technology	instructional	District Support				
	usage with new	structures in their	Administration		FCAT data		
	math series. Planning for	include small	Teachers		Core K-12		
	instruction.	group instruction;	reachers		Cole K-12		
		re-teach for understanding					
		and the use of					
		manipulatives.					
		Teachers will become familiar					
		with Julie					
		Dixon's: <u>Eight</u>					
		Principles for Teaching Math.					
		Grade level data					
		meetings to plan					
		for instruction. Use pre- and post					
		test data to drive					
	2012 Current	instruction. 2013 Expected					
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #1a:	Level of	Level of					
<u>#1a.</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
The percentage of							
students scoring level 3 or							
higher in mathematics will increase from 74% to 76%							
on the 2013 FCAT.							
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	74% (262)	76%					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	

	1	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
		1a.J.	14.5.	14.5.	14.5.	14.5.	
1b. Florida	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#1b:	Level of	Level of					
<u>#10.</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
NA							
1 1 21							
	NA	NA					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Sualegy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001		
data, and reference to	Barrier		Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Questions",			monitoring	Stategy			
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.	What resources used to enrich high performing students	Math committee will find resources across various grade levels for enrichment activities. For high performing 5th graders that have topped out look at enrolling in Middle school e-school enrichment (1% almost perfect scores in 4th grade) Grade level data meetings to plan for instruction.	Committee District Math Support	^{2a.1.} Go Math! Materials Supplementary Math Materials Grade level data meetings	^{2a.1.} Pre/post unit assessments FCAT data Core K-12		
Mathematics Goal #2a: The percentage of students scoring level 4 and 5 on the 2013 FCAT mathematics assessment will increase from 42% to 44%.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 44% (100) 2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.2.	28.2.	28.2.	28.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.		2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Mathematics Goal_ #2b: NA	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	NA	NA 2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3a.1. Differentiated model using small groups and centers. Additional time for planning.	3a.1. Staff development on differentiating instruction – whole group and small group. Grade level data meetings to discuss enrichment ideas. Familiarization with Julie Dixon's: <u>Eight</u> <u>Standards for</u> <u>Mathematical</u> <u>Practice</u>	Math committee District math support Administration Teachers	^{3a.1.} Go Math! Materials Supplementary Math Materials Grade level data meetings	^{3a.1.} Pre/post unit assessments FCAT data Core K-12		
Mathematics Goal #3a: The percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics will increase from 89% to 90% on the 2013 FCAT.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	89% (200)	90%					
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	

Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.			3b.1.	3b.1.	36.1.		
#3b.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	NA	NA 3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

4a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.		The math committee will collaborate with classroom teachers	Math	Grade level assessment meetings will be used	^{4a.1.} Progress Monitoring Portfolios Pre/post unit assessments FCAT data Core K-12		
Mathematics Goal #4a: The percentage of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics will increase from 72% to 74%.	ó	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 74%. 4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	
			4a.2. 4a.3.	4a.2. 4a.3.		4a.2. 4a.3.	

Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
#4b:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	NA	NA					
						4b.2.	
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.						
Mathematics Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian American	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	

#5B:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		

#5C:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.		5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	(NGSSS & CCSS for K-1 Technology	variety of math instructional	Math Committee District Support Administration Teachers	^{Ia.1.} Go Math! Materials Grade level data meetings	^{1a.1.} Pre/post unit assessments FCAT data Core K-12		
Mathematics Goal #5D: SWD subgroup will increase there proficiency level by 10%	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	76%	80%					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of		
data, and reference to			Monitoring	Strategy		
"Guiding Questions", identify and define						
areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following subgroup:						
5E. Economically	1a.1.		1a.1.		1a.1.	
Disauvantageu	New standards. (NGSSS &	implement a			Pre/post unit	
students not	CCSS for K-1	variety of math	Committee	Grade level data	assessments	
	Technology	instructional	District Support	meetings		
1 0	usage with new	structures in their	Administration		FCAT data	
	math series. Planning for	include small	Taaahana			
	instruction.	group instruction;	Teachers		Core K-12	
		re-teach for				
		understanding and the use of				
		manipulatives.				
		Teachers will				
		become familiar				
		with Julie				
		Dixon's: <u>Eight</u> Principles for				
		Teaching Math.				
		Grade level data				
		meetings to plan				
		for instruction. Use pre- and post				
		test data to drive				
		instruction.				
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
#5E·	Level of Derformences*	Level of Darformonau*				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
The expected level						
of our economically disadvantaged						
students will						
increase their						
proficiency level by						
10%.						

Γ	63%	70%					
┢		5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
L							
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Middle	Problem-			
School	Solving			
Math	Process to			
ematics Goals	Increase			
	Student			
	Achievem			

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

	ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.		
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Mathematics Goal #1a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	<u>Level of</u> Performance:*					
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.			16.1.	16.1.	1b.1.		
#1b:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.			1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 2a.2.		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.			2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
#2b:	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 2b.2.		2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	2b.3 Strategy	2b.3 Person or Position	2b.3 Process Used to Determine	2b.3 Evaluation Tool	26.3	
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Suategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		3b.3.	3b.3.		3b.3.	3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

4a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	

4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	46.1.	46.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 4b.2.		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual	2011-2012	4b.3 2012-2013	4b.3. 2013-2014	4b.3. 2014-2015	4b.3. 2015-2016	4b.3. 2016-2017	
Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target							

but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.						
Mathematics Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian American	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	

#5B.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		

Mathematics Goal #5C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
						5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
following subgroup:							

#5D:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.		5D.2.	5D.2. 5D.3.		5D.2. 5D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		

Mathematics Goal_ #5E:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	current level of performance in	data for					
			5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

		i					
ool Mathema	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve ment						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

	1	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
		1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Cast #2	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Mathematics Goal #2:	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this					
	this box.	<i>box.</i> 2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	<i>∠.∠</i> .	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	0.5	Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to			Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Questions", identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							

3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
Щ2.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			

4. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
						4.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Algebra EOC Goals	Problem-			
	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Algebra Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.2.	1.2.		1.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

		a 1	la 1	a 1	la 1	i	
2. Students scoring at or	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Algebra.							
and 5 in Aigebra.							
Algebra Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
	Level of	of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*						
box.							
		Enter numerical data					
	data for	for expected level of					
	current level of	performance in this box.					
	performance in this box.						
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Achievable Annual Measurable							
Objectives (AMOs),Reading							
and Math Performance Target							
	Baseline data						
Achievable Annual	2010-2011						
Measurable Objectives							
(AMOs). In six year							
school will reduce their							
achievement gap by 50%.					1		

Algebra Goal #3A:							
Algeora Goal #5A.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this							
box.							
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify			Monitoring	Strategy			
and define areas in need of							
improvement for the following							
subgroup:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
	White:	JD.1.	JD.1.	JD.1.	50.1.		
by culling (white, Diack,	Black:						
Hispanic, Asian, American	Hispanic:						
	Asian:						
satisfactory progress in	American Indian:						
Algebra.							
-							
Algebra Goal #3B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
-	Level of	of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*						
box.							
		Enter numerical data for expected level of					
	current level of	performance in this box.					
	performance in this	White:					
		Black:					
		Hispanic:					
		Asian: American Indian:					
	Asian:	American mulan.					
	American Indian:						
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3C. English Language	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory							
progress in Algebra.							
$A_{1} = A_{1} = C_{2} = A_{1} = A_{2}$	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
Algebra Goal #3C:	Level of	of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*						
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical data					
	current level of	for expected level of performance in this box.					
	performance in this box.						
				22.2		200	
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
L					ļ		

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Algebra Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	20.2	20.2	20.2	2D 2	
						3D.2. 3D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.				3E.1.	3E.1.		
Algebra Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in this box.	3E.2.	3E.2			3E.2. 3E.3	

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

			· · · · · ·			
Geometry EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	

Geometry Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	performance in this box.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
 Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry. 			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Geometry Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives	Baseline data 2010-2011						
(AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.							
Geometry Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Geometry Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Geometry Goal #3C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
					3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students with	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory							
progress in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3D:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*						
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical data					
	data for current level of	for expected level of performance in this box.					
	performance in this						
	box.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
to "Guiding Questions",	Barrier		Monitoring	Strategy			
identify and define areas in							
need of improvement for the following subgroup:							

Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.				3E.1.	3E.1.		
Geometry Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in this box.	3E.2.	3E.2			3E.2. 3E.3	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community			
(PLC) or PD			

Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Elementary and Middle Science	Problem- Solving			
Goals	Process to Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.		Increase the use of science vocabulary, hands-on experiments and writing to learn. Staff development of the NGSSS. Deeper level of understanding in science and determine how to best use the textbook and other curriculum resources. Ad hoc science tips meetings will increase the use of interactive scientist notebooks (built into curriculum).	Science Rep. Administration Teachers	tests Interactive Scientist notebooks Teacher unit plans	^{1a.1.} FCAT Bodies of knowledge tests Chapter post- tests Core K-12 Teacher Designed formative and summative tools		
Science Goal #1a: The percentage of students scoring a level 3 or higher will increase from 62% to 64% on the 2013 FCAT.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 62%	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 64%					
			1a.2. 1a.3.	1a.2. 1a.3.	1a.2. 1a.3.	1a.2. 1a.3.	

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.							
<u>Science Goal #1b:</u> NA	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
11/2	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	NA	NA					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
to "Guiding Questions", identify	Darrier		responsible for monitoring	Strategy			
and define areas in need of improvement for the following							
group:							

scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.	Time constraints that limit the extension and enrichment opportunities for high performing students.	High performing students will be identified through classroom performance and Core K-12 data and Oakie Time can be used for science enrichment, aligned with science bodies of knowledge	Science Committee, Science Rep, Teachers, Resource	Bodies of knowledge	^{2a.1.} FCAT Bodies of knowledge tests Chapter post- tests Core K-12		
The percentage of students scoring a level 4 or 5 will increase from 24% to 26%.	CurrentLevel of Performance:* 24% (29)	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 26% (30) 2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.		2b.1.	2.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
NA	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	NA	NA					
						2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

High School Science	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			

	A . 1. *						1
	Achieveme						
	nt						
		~					
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify				Strategy			
and define areas in need of improvement for the following							
group:							
<u> </u>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Assessment: Students							
scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6							
in science.							
9. januar (2. a. 1. // 1.	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Science Goal #1:	Level of	Level of					
F / / / / I · /I ·	Performance [*]	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	r errormanee.	r errormanee.					
00.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical				1	
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in this					
	box.	box. 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
<u> </u>		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		1
achievement data, and reference	Barrier	0,	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify			-	Strategy			
and define areas in need of							
improvement for the following							
group:							

	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment: Students							
scoring at or above Level 7							
in science.							
in science.							
Science Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013Expected					
	Level of	2013Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*	Performance:*					
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this	performance in this	1				
	box.	box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
5							

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Biology EOC Goals Prob	lem-		
Solv	ving		
Proce	ess to		
Incre	ease		
Stud	dent		
Achie	eveme		
n	t		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
it students scoring at	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Achievement Level 3 in							
Biology.							
Biology Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*	Performance:*					
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in this	performance in this					
	box.	box. 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify				Strategy			
and define areas in need of improvement for the following							
group:							

2. Students scoring at or ^{2.1.}	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
2. Students scoring at or ^{2.1.} above Achievement Levels						
4 and 5 in Biology.						
Biology Goal #2: 2012 Current.	2013 Expected					
Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:*	Performance:*					
box.						
Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
current level of	expected level of					
performance in thi box.	sperformance in this box.					
004.		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

End of Biology EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Achievement Level	Refocusing instruction to include a higher emphasis on writing conventions.	analyze anchor papers from CCSS and FCAT at all	1a.1. Literacy Coach Administration	1a.1. Increase in TOES Writes scores and FCAT Writes 2.0 scores	1a.1. TOES Writes FCAT Writes 2.0	

Writing Goal #1a: The percentage of students achieving proficiency (FCAT level 3.0 and higher) in writing will increase on the 2013 FCAT Writing Assessment from 85% to 87%.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 85% (95) of students	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 87% (99) of student					
	met proficiency in writing.	will meet proficiency in writing.					
			LLT meetings, strategies for incorporating writing to learn throughout the day.	Administration Teachers	1a.2. Students' daily writing	la.2.Journals, writing pieces, and written responses	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Writing Goal #1b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					

	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem-			
	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Civics_Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.3.	1.3.		1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

 Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. 				2.1.	2.1.		
Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.						
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

Civics Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community			
(PLC) or PD			
Activity			
Please note that each	<u> </u>		

Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	Description of Resources Description of Resources Description of Resources Description of Resources	Description of Resources Funding Source	AmountDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAmountImage: Construct of ResourcesFunding SourceImage: Construct of ResourceImage: Construct of ResourcesFunding SourceImage: Construct of ResourceImage: Construct of Resource of ResourcesImage: Construct of ResourceI

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
U.S. History_Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

	Enter numerical	Enter numerical data		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		
		Enter numerical data for expected level of					
	current level of	performance in this box.					
	performance in this						
	box.						
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier	~	Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify			Monitoring	Strategy			
and define areas in need of			5				
improvement for the following							
group:							
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in U.S. History.							
U.S. History Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
$0.5.111$ story 0.0 at ± 2.5	Level of	of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance*						
Enter narrative for the goat in this	<u> </u>						
box.							
		Enter numerical data					
		for expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
	performance in this box.						
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
						Г	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
				—	— —	F	
				1	1		

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendance					
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance	outside therapy	1.1. Put information about the importance of students attending school daily and being on time in our school newsletters.	Data Entry Administration	1.1. Send letters and call parents with frequent truancy issues.	1.1. TERMS and ESEMBLER	

Attendance Goal #1: Improve average daily attendance from 95% to 96%.	Attendance Rate.:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*					
	96.5% (676) of students attended daily	96.6% (678) of students attend daily.					
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences	2013 Expected_ Number of Students_ with Excessive_ Absences_ (10 or more)					
	enrolled had ten or	Reduce the number of students absent ten or more days by 10%					
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
	ten or more times.	Reduce the number of students absent ten or more times by 10%.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			

Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Decrease Suspension					
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	number of referrals.	individual behavior plans to help students with habitual behavior problems. Strategies will be developed through Positive Behavior Support (PBS) strategies.		1.1. Data analysis of the annual amount of referrals	1.1. TERMS and Pasco Star	
Buspension Gour #1.	2012 Total Number of In –School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions				
	3	Not more than 3.				

of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School					
2	2					
Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
3	3					
of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
3	3					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

					1	
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.				Target Dates and Schedules		
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

				1
Suspension Budget (Insert rows as	needed)			
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
	Decemination of Becomes	Eurodia a Course	A	
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages	include the number of studer	its the percentage represents next to t	he percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).
······································	,		

when using percer	nages, menude	the number of s	students the percentage	e represents next to the p	ercentage (e.g. 707	s (55)).	
Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Dropout Prevention						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Dropout Prevention Dropout Prevention Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.	not aware of a variety of career options at the elementary level.	1.1.Students will be invited to attend a minimum of one session of the Great American Teach In.			1.1. Sign Up Sheets from the Career Sessions		
Make students aware of different career options in life.	Dropout Rate:* Students will	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:* 0					
	not drop out of elementary school. 2012 Current Graduation Rate:*	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*					
	99% of students were promoted at Trinity Oaks Elementary.	99% (697)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
	1 3	1	1 3	1 3	1 3	
	1.0.	1.0.		1.0.		

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Goal(s) solving	
Process	

	to Parent Involveme nt						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.	have caused more parents to work. They have less time to attend school functions.	families to activities that are free or limited in cost.	music, art and PE Staff, Administration, PTO, SAC	1.1. Number of families attending events.	1.1. Sign-In Sheets		
At least 60% or more of families will continue to be involved in our school in a positive way more than one time during the school year.	2012 Current level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*					
	At least 60% (300) or more are involved in our school.	Trinity Oaks will continue to receive the Five Star School Award and meet the participation requirements.					
		have caused	1.2. School functions will be held at a variety of times to accommodate working parents.	1.2. Curriculum Committees, music, art and PE Staff, Administration, PTO, SAC and teachers.	1.2. Number of families attending events	1.2. Sign-In Sheets	

	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Staff Training on use of volunteers	All	PD	School-wide	August 17, 2012	Sign-In Sheet to be kept for Five Start Notebook	Volunteer Coordinator
Volunteer Training	All	Meeting	Parent Volunteers are trained on school equipment and volunteer expectations.	Sept 4, 2012	Sign-In Sheet	Volunteer Coordinator

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving		
	Process to		

	Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Additional Goal	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Additional Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
	Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.					
		1.2. 1.3.		1.2.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Additional Goals Professional Development

	Professional						
--	--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core	K-5	Literacy Coach	School Wide	September thru May	Review of minutes and agendas	Darlene Wagner
MTSS	K-5	Tom Osmun	School Wide	September thru May	Meetings with SBLT	Tom Osmun
Marzano Domain 1 & Deliberate Practice	K-5	Administrators & Teacher Leaders	School Wide	September thru May	Meeting Sign In Sheets	Allison Hoskins & Jeff McLean

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Strategy			Amount
Subtotal:			

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Reading Budget Total Mathematics Budget Total	Please provide the total budget from each section.	
	Reading Budget	
Mathematics Budget		Total:
	Mathematics Budget	
Total		Total:

Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total:0
Differentiated Assemble liter	

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. double click the desired box; 2.when the menu pops up, select "checked" under "Default Value" header; 3. Select "OK", this will place an "x" in the box.)

)
School		
Differentiated		
Accountability		
Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

□ Yes □ No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

SAC will progress monitor the implementation of the SIP and vote on school recognition money and lottery dollars.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Lottery Dollars for teacher planning or materials.	TBD