# **FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**



Proposed for 2012-2013

### 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

### **PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS**

#### **School Information**

School Name: Oasis Charter High School	District Name: Lee	
Principal: Kimberly Lunger	Superintendent: Dr. Lee Bush	
SAC Chair: Amanda Sanford	Date of School Board Approval:	

#### **Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

### **Administrators**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Kimberly Lunger	MS – Ed Leadership, Nova Southeastern, Certified School Principal K-12, History 6-12		13	2010-2011: A 2009-2010: B 2008-2009: A 2007-2008: A 2006-2007: C 2005-2006: B 2004-2005: D 2003-2004: C 2002-2003: C 2000-2001: C 2010-2011: Reading mastery = 59%, Math mastery = 87%, Writing mastery = 89%, Science mastery = 46%, did not meet AYP 2009-2010: Reading mastery = 62%, Math mastery = 84%, Writing mastery = 92%, Science mastery = 43%, did not meet AYP 2008-2009: Reading mastery = 58%, Math mastery = 81%, Writing mastery = 91%, and Science mastery = 35%, did not meet AYP 2007-2008: Reading mastery = 50%, Math mastery = 81%, Writing mastery= 91%, and Science mastery = 35%, did not meet AYP 2006-2007: Reading mastery = 46%, Math mastery = 73%, Writing mastery = 87%, Science mastery = 33%, did not meet AYP 2006-2007: Reading mastery = 43%, Math mastery = 75%, Writing mastery = 87%, did not meet AYP 2004-2005: Reading mastery = 37%, Math mastery: 71%, Writing mastery = 91%, did not meet AYP 2002-2003: Reading mastery = 37%, Math mastery: 67%, Writing mastery = 90% 2002-2003: Reading mastery = 41%, Math mastery = 65%, Writing mastery = 90% 2001-2002: Reading mastery = 35%, Math mastery = 60%, Writing mastery = 91%
Assistant Principal	N/A				

#### **Instructional Coaches**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
	N/A				

### **Effective and Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. We recruit from educational colleges, job fairs, and by using professional organization listings.	Principal	Ongoing
2. Use of staff inductions processes, staff development meetings, and monthly literacy meetings.	Principal	Ongoing
3. Implementing APPLES, a new teacher orientation program as required per the Department of Education.	Principal	Ongoing
4. Partnering new teachers or teachers with less than 3 years' experience with veteran staff.	Principal	Ongoing
5. Follow up with all new or out of field staff to ensure attendance/participation in all required professional development.	Principal	Ongoing

#### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). \*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessiona	als that	Provide	he strategies that are being in	mplemented to
are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less	than an	suppo	ort the staff in becoming high	ly effective
effective rating (instructional staff only).				•
Out of field 2% [6] Non-Effective 0% [0] Still need ESOL Endorsement 14% [5]				

### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
36	14% [5]	27% [10]	25% [9]	17% [6]	17% [6]	75% [27]	3% [1]	3% [1]	8% [3]

### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Kimberly Lunger	New Teachers	APPLES Administrator	New Teacher Support Activities; Summative Observations
Amanda Sanford	New Teachers	APPLES Peer Mentor	New Teacher Support Activities; Formative Observations
Dana Christopher	New Teacher	Veteran teacher within department	Informal weekly meetings to discuss development and offer guidance. Assist with content development. Report areas

			of professional need to the Peer Mentor for further review.
Shannon George	New Teacher	Veteran teacher within department	Informal weekly meetings to discuss development and offer guidance. Assist with content development. Report areas of professional need to the Peer Mentor for further review.
Ashley Marchese	New Teacher	Veteran teacher within department	Informal weekly meetings to discuss development and offer guidance. Assist with content development. Report areas of professional need to the Peer Mentor for further review.
Steve Jamieson	New Teacher	Veteran teacher within department	Informal weekly meetings to discuss development and offer guidance. Assist with content development. Report areas of professional need to the Peer Mentor for further review.
Allison Thebeau	New Teacher	Veteran teacher within department	Informal weekly meetings to discuss development and offer guidance. Assist with content development. Report areas of professional need to the Peer Mentor for further review.
Elizabeth Cannon	New Teacher	Veteran teacher within department	Informal weekly meetings to discuss development and offer guidance. Assist with content development. Report areas of professional need to the Peer Mentor for further review.
Keely Banbrey-Zedd	New Teacher	Veteran teacher within department	Informal weekly meetings to discuss development and offer guidance. Assist with content development. Report areas of professional need to the Peer Mentor for further review.

#### **Additional Requirements**

#### **Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only**

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A	
Title I, Part C- Migrant	
Title I, Part D	
Title II	
Title III	
Title X- Homeless	
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)	
Violence Prevention Programs	
Nutrition Programs	
Housing Programs	
Head Start	
Adult Education	
Career and Technical Education	
Job Training	
Other	

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)



School-Based MTSS/RtI Team Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team. The school based MTSS team consists of the school psychologist, guidance counselor, principal, classroom teachers, and an ESE teacher. Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? The team meets frequently to discuss the needs of our students, write interventions, and help classroom teacher's implement and monitor interventions. The roles of each member are as follows: Principal • Facilitate implementation of MTSS in the building • Provide or coordinate valuable and continuous professional development • Assign paraprofessionals to support RTI implementation when possible • Attend MTSS Team meetings to be active in the MTSS change process • Conduct classroom Walk-Throughs to monitor fidelity Classroom Teacher • Keep ongoing progress monitoring notes • Attend MTSS meetings to collaborate on and monitor students who are struggling • Implement interventions designed by the MTSS team for students receiving supplemental and intensive supports • Deliver instructional interventions with fidelity Guidance Counselor • Attend MTSS Team meetings • Maintain log of all students involved in the RTI process • Assist with parental invites and meeting planning • Complete necessary MTSS forms ESE <u>Teacher</u> August 2012

- Consult with MTSS Team regarding Tier 3 interventions
- Incorporate MTSS data when making eligibility decisions

School Psychologist

- Attend MTSS Team meetings on some students receiving supplemental supports and on all students receiving intensive supports
- Monitor data collection process for fidelity
- Review and interpret progress monitoring data
- Collaborate with MTSS Team on effective instruction and specific interventions

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The data analysis of the team informs the school improvement process to help build on areas of strength and provide intervention and additional support for areas of need.

#### **MTSS** Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

The MTSS team uses a variety of data sources including but not limited to FCAT data, common formative assessments, teacher input, student record data (behavior, attendance, grades, etc.) to inform the decision making process.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The general staff will be repeatedly introduced to MTSS through staff meetings and professional development sessions to slowly cultivate their awareness and understanding of the complex processes involved in the RtI structure.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

ESE Department head monitors all processes required.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team						
Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).						
Kimberly Lunger – Principal						
Dana Christopher – Language Arts Teacher						
David Christopher – Social Studies Teacher						
Shannon George – Reading Teacher						
Ashley Marchese – Science Teacher						
Amanda Sanford – Mathematics Teacher						
Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).						
The literacy team meets periodically to discuss strategies and resources to support student reading at all levels. In addition, the LLT discusses and plans staff professional						
development and discusses pressing issues/areas of school-wide concerns. The team also works with our library staff to expand our library resources to support both struggling						
readers and higher-level readers.						
What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?						
The major initiative this year is to increase the use of comprehension structuring and vocabulary activities across the curriculum.						

### **Public School Choice**

#### • Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

#### \*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

Every teacher is required to document their use of reading and writing strategies in their lesson plans. Teachers work with the language arts department to create research, quality reading components in their lessons as well as implement effective writing components.

### \*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Oasis High School is committed to providing a curriculum that emphasizes real-world hands-on learning activities and labs through a variety of courses across content areas. The majority of teachers on staff have been trained through Cambridge courses, which emphasize critical thinking and a problem-solving approach to coursework. A Freshmen and Senior Success course is also implemented to help students transition successfully into high school from middle school as well as into a college or work environment.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

The guidance counselors and teaching staff are committed to advising each and every student on their career path and assisting them with planning for their future by offering a diverse curriculum. Each student is provided with opportunities to review their personal academic history each year, which is then explained and discussed in preparation to set goals for academic courses, Bright Futures, and career development to ensure success. Seminars are implemented for topics such as college admission requirements, financial aide, major selection, and required assessments.

### Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

Oasis High is committed to motivating students to take AICE and DE classes by encouraging more teacher discussion on these courses and having each student speak with a guidance counselor regarding their postsecondary plans. Seniors are also enrolled in Career Research and Decision Making (Senior Success), which help students prepare for postsecondary success with resumes, applications, and scholarship funds. Seminars are implemented for topics such as college admission requirements, financial aide, major selection, and required assessments. Student success is tracked to ensure graduation, Florida Bright Futures, and college entrance requirements are met to best support the students individually.



### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

### **Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Readi	ing Goals			Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	ent Achievement	
reference to "Guiding Q	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
In 2012-2012, 31% of our 9 <sup>th</sup> graders scored at a level 3 in	in reading. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 9 <sup>th</sup> 31% [65] 9 <sup>th</sup>	<u>3 Expected</u> el of formance:* <b>35% [75]</b> <sup>h</sup> <b>36%</b>	validity of the FCAT scoring process.		1A.1. Administration	1A.1. Review FAIR data reports to ensure teachers are assessing students according to the created schedule.	1A.1. FAIR assessments
2012-2013, we will improve 4% to 35% as measured by the FCAT Reading Assessment.			1A.2. 1A.3.		1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.
In 2011-2012, 32% of our 10 <sup>th</sup> graders scored at level 3 in FCAT reading. In 2012-2013, we will improve 4% to 36% as measured by the FCAT Reading Assessment							
<b>1B. Florida Alternate</b> scoring at Levels 4, 5,		uuunus	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.

Reading Goal #1B:	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in	level of					
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2A. FCAT 2.0:</b> Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 in reading.Achievement Levels 4 in reading.Reading Goal #2A: In 2011-2012, 33% of 9th graders scored at or above a level 4 on the FCAT2012 Current Level of Performance:*2013 Expected Level of Performance:*9th 33% [70] 10th 34% [52]9th 33% [70] 10th 34% [73]	The accuracy and validity		2A.1. Administration	2A.1. Review FAIR data reports to ensure teachers are assessing students according to the created schedule.	2A.1. FAIR assessments
Reading. In 2012- 2013, this percentage will be maintained		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
for 9th graders scoring at or above a level 4 on the FCAT Reading.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.         Reading Goal #2B:       2012 Current Level of         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       2013 Expected Level of         Performance:*       Performance:*         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.       Enter numerical for expected level of performance in this box.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Reading Goal #3A: In 2011-2012, 65% of students made learning gains on the FCAT Reading. In2012 Current Level of Performance:*2013 Expected Level of Performance:*65% [237]65% [276]	The accuracy and validity of the		Administration	3A.1. Review FCAT data reports to ensure teachers are assessing students according to the created schedule	3A.1. FAIR Assessment
2012-2013, we will maintain this percentage of	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.		3A.2.
students making gains on the FCAT Reading.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
<b>3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage</b> of students making learning gains in reading.         Reading Goal #3B:         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.			3B.1.		3B.1.
	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of a reference to "Guiding Qu areas in need of improver	uestions," identify	y and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4. FCAT 2.0: Percenta							4A.1.
25% making learning	gains in read				Administration; Literacy	Observation and on-going	FCAT 2.0
iteaung coar and	Level of	Level of		selecting higher-level text,		progress monitoring	
In 2011-2012, 67% of	Performance:*	Performance:*		questioning techniques, and close reading.			
students in the lowest	67% [61]	67% [71]		close reading.			
25% made proficient							
gains on FCAT Reading. In 2012- 2013, we will			4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.
maintain the percent			4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.
of proficiency as measured by the FCAT Reading.			(				

Objectives (AMOs), idea	chievable Annual Measurable ntify reading and mathematics t for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011	65%	68%	71%	74%	77%	80%
the next 5 years.	cient students annually for						
reference to "Guiding Q	student achievement data and uestions," identify and define ent for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluat	ion Tool
making satisfactory p Reading Goal #5B:	, American Indian) not	5B. Increase rigor on FCAT Reading		5B.1. Administration	5B.1. Review FAIR data reports to ensure teachers are assessing students according to the created schedule.	5B.1. FAIR assessi	nents
grade level in reading. In 2012-2013, 65% of the Hispanic students will achieve proficiency as measured by FCAT Reading.				5B.2. 5B.3.	5B.2. 5B.3.	5B.2. 5B.3.	

reference to "Guiding Qu	student achievement data and uestions," identify and define ent for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
		5C.3.		5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.
reference to "Guiding Qu	student achievement data and uestions," identify and define ent for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current       2013 Expected         Level of       Performance:*         Enter numerical       Enter numerical         data for current       data for expected         level of       performance in         performance in       this box.			5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.
					5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2. 5D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data a reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and def areas in need of improvement for the following subgr	ine	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students making satisfactory progress in reading.Reading Goal #5E:2012 Current Level of2013 Exp Level ofIn 2011-2012, 48% of students identified as economically2014 Current Performance:*2013 Exp Level ofIn 2011-2012, 48% of students identified as economically2012 Current Performance:*2013 Exp Level of	Lack of background ected knowledge		5E.1. Administration; Teachers	5E.1. On-going progress monitoring	5E.1. FCAT Reading
disadvantaged demonstrated mastery on the FCAT reading. In 2012-2013, 50% will demonstrate mastery as measured by the FCAT reading.	5E.2. 5E.3.		5E.2. 5E.3.	5E.2. 5E.3.	5E.2. 5E.3.
<b>Reading Professional Develop</b>	oment				

# **Reading Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	and/or PLC Focus Subject Subject and/or (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, and Schedules (e.g., frequency of PLC Leader or school-wide) meetings)								
Literacy Training; Common Core; Text Complexity; Higher-Level Questioning; Student Engagement Vocabulary	ing; Common Complexity; Questioning; gagement 9-12 Administration; Usedership Team Leadership Team			Observations; Lesson plans; Progress monitoring through classroom assessments	Administration; Literacy Team; Instructors				
Common Core Alignment Training	Common Core Alignment 9-12 EDOE 6 participants (Department leaders) November 2012 Lesson Pla		Lesson Plans, School-wide Training	Administration					
AICE Level AS/A Training	9-12	Conference	6 AICE Instructors	Fall/Spring 2012	Participants will share strategies and implement methods	Administration; AICE Leader			

### **Reading Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/n	naterials and exclude district funded activities	/materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Achieve 3000 Reading Program	Reading Curriculum Addition	General School-based Fund	\$10,000.00
			Subtotal: \$10,000.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
AICE Level AS/A teacher workshop	Conference	Title II	\$5,746.00
			Subtotal: \$5,746.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			<b>Total:</b> \$15,746.00
		2000	

End of Reading Goals

### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CEL	LA Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	cess to Increase Lang	guage Acquisition	
	n and understand spoken English er similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring p listening/speaking. CELLA Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	vel text in English in a manner non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring p CELLA Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading: Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
			2.2.		2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

	sh at grade level in a manner on-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing : Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.



### **CELLA Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

	funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:
End of CELLA Goals				

### **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary N	Mathematics Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	ent Achievement	
reference to "Guiding Qu	of student achievement data and estions," identify and define areas ment for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1A. FCAT 2.0: Study</b> <b>Achievement Level 3</b> <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1A:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current       2013 Expected         Level of       Performance:*         Penformance:*       Enter numerical         data for current       Level of         level of       performance in	1A.1.	IA.1.	IA.T.	IA.1.	1A.1.
	this box. this box.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.
	<b>Assessment: Students 5, and 6 in mathematics. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical</b> data for current         level of         performance in         level of         performance in         his box.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	18.1.	1B.1.
		1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define area in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.
Mathematics Goal       2012 Current       2013 Expected         #2A:       Level of       Performance:*       Performance:*         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       Enter numerical       Enter numerical       Enter numerical         er of performance in this box.       evel of       performance in this box.       performance in this box.	ıl ed				
	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.	2B.1.	28.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
Mathematics Goal #2B:2012 Current Level of Performance:*2013 Expected Level of Performance:*Enter narrative for the goal in this box.Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.Enter numerical data for expect level of performance in this box.	d d				
	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

de la constante da la constant

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3A. FCAT 2.0: Perce learning gains in mat	entage of students making thematics.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.
Mathematics Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of2013 Expected Level ofPerformance:*Performance:*Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.Enter numerical level of performance in this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
	Mathematics Goal     2012 Current     2013 Expected       ±3B:     Level of     Performance:*       Enter narrative for the     Enter numerical     Enter numerical		3B.I.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
data for culture of	in mathematics.		4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.
this box.	this box.		4A.2. 4A.3.	4A.2. 4A.3.		4A.2. 4A.3.



Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years       Baseline data 2010-2011         school will reduce       their achievement         gap by 50%.       Mathematics Goal #5A:         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of studen reference to "Guiding Questions," in need of improvement for the	" identify and define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
#5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Level of Level of	herican Indian) not ress in mathematics. Current of mance:* Performance:* Performance:* Performance in f level of performance in performance in this box. White: Black: nic: Hispanic: Asian: ican American Indian:	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:			5B.1.	5B.1.	
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Mathematics Goal #5C: Enter narrative for the	2012 Current       2013 Expected         Level of       Performance:*         Enter numerical       Enter numerical         data for current       data for expected	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
	level of performance in this box. this box.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.
reference to "Guiding Ques	student achievement data and stions," identify and define areas t for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Mathematics Goal #5D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	abilities (SWD) notrogress in mathematics.2012 CurrentLevel ofPerformance:*Performance:*Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.			5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.
		5D.2. 5D.3.		5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2. 5D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.
#5E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current       2013 Expected         Level of       Performance:*         Performance:*       Performance:*         Enter numerical       Enter numerical         data for current       data for expected         level of       performance in         performance in       this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

### **Middle School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Middle School	Mathematics Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
reference to "Guiding Que	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
<b>1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal</b> #1A:         Enter narrative for the goal in this box. <b>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</b>		1A.1.	IA.I.	IA.I.	1A.1.	1A.1.			
		1A.2. 1A.3.			1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.			
<b>1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</b> Mathematics Goal #1B: <i>#</i> 1B:         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.		IB.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.			
		1B.2. 1B.3.		1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define area in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.
Mathematics Goal       2012 Current       2013 Expected         #2A:       Level of       Performance:*       Level of         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.       Enter numerical this box.       Enter numerical this box.	al ed				
	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.	2B.1.	28.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
Mathematics Goal2012 Current Level of Performance:*2013 Expected Level of Performance:*Enter narrative for the goal in this box.Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.Enter numerical evel of performance in this box.	al ed a				
	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

**A** 

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3A. FCAT 2.0: Perce learning gains in mat	thematics.		3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.
Mathematics Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3A.2.		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.
			3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
	of students making learning gains in nathematics.         Mathematics Goal #3B:       2012 Current Level of Performance:*         Enter narrative for the       Enter numerical Enter numerical				3B.I.	3B.1.	3B.1.
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

reference to "Guiding Quest	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>25% making learning</b> Mathematics Goal #4: 2 Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Se of students in forest		4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.
	·	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.



Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Baseline data 2010-2011						
Mathematics Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
<b>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity</b> (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.         Mathematics Goal #5B:       2012 Current Level of Performance:*         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.         White:       Black: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Indian:	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:		58.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.         Mathematics Goal #5C:       2012 Current Level of Performance:*       2013 Expected Level of Performance:*         Enter narrative for the acal in this bay       Enter numerical data for current       Enter numerical data for current		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
goal in this box. level of performance in this box. data for current level of performance in this box. this box.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
	5C.3.		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b> <u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5D:         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.         Parformance in this box.		5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.
	5D.2.		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.
#5E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current       2013 Expected         Level of       Performance:*         Performance:*       Performance:*         Enter numerical       Enter numerical         data for current       data for expected         level of       performance in         performance in       this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

#### **Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School M	Iathematics Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
reference to "Guiding Que	f student achievement data and stions," identify and define areas ent for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</b> Mathematics Goal #1:         2012 Current         Level of         Performance:*         Enter narrative for the         goal in this box.         Enter numerical         data for expected         level of         performance in         this box.		1.1.	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
reference to "Guiding Que	f student achievement data and stions," identify and define areas ent for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
C	2012 Current       2013 Expected         Level of       Performance:*         Performance:*       Enter numerical         data for current       data for expected         level of       performance in         performance in       this box.	2.1.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

reference to "Guiding Ques	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
students making learn mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current       2013 Expected         Level of       Performance:*         Enter numerical       Enter numerical         data for current       Enter numerical         level of       performance in         this box.       this box.		3.1.	3.1.		3.1.
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

#### Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1	EOC Goa	als	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions," identif	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
In 2011-2012, 37% of our students scored at a Level 3	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 40% [67]	Students not sufficiently prepared due to overlapping requirements in the curriculum.	Math department meeting to	Administration; Math Department	1.1. Lesson Plans	1.1. EOC; Classroom Assessments	
on the Algebra 1 EOC. In 2012- 2013, we will improve to 40% as measured by the Algebra 1 EOC.			12.	1.2.		1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions," identif	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
In 2011-2012, 8% of our students scored at or above a Level 4	ebra 1. 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 11% [18]	Students not sufficiently prepared due to overlapping requirements in the curriculum.	Complete academic plan	Math Department	2.1. Lesson Plans	2.1. EOC; Classroom Assessments	
on the Algebra 1 EOC. In 2012-2013, we will increase this percentage 11% as measured by the Algebra EOC.				2.2. 2.3.	2.2. 2.3.	2.2. 2.3.	2.2. 2.3.	

August 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011



Objectives (AMOs), idea	Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.       Baseline data 2010-2013         Algebra 1 Goal #3A:       Algebra 1 Goal #3A:         The school will reduce the achievement gap b       3% per year		-	40%	43%	46%	49%	52%
reference to "Guiding Q	student achievement data and uestions," identify and define ent for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	ion Tool
Black, Hispanic, Asiar making satisfactory p Algebra 1 Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	i, American muran) <b>no</b> t	3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.         Algebra 1 Goal #3C:       2012 Current Level of       2013 Expected Level of         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       2012 Current Level of       2013 Expected Level of         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.       Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.
	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.</b> Algebra 1 Goal #3D:         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.			3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.
			3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
making satisfactory p	advantaged students not progress in Algebra 1. 2012 Current 2013 Expected	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current level of level of performance in this box. this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

#### Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry	y EOC Goa	als	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions," identif	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
In 2011-2012, 26%	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	tt Level 3 in 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 30% [67]	Lack of Algebra 1 knowledge and requirements to pass the EOC	1.1. Differentiated instruction and cooperative learning groups to allow students to assist each other in mastery of knowledge; Weekly enrichments designed to offer students higher level thinking experiences.	1.1. Administration; Math Department	1.1. Lesson plans; Classroom Walkthroughs	1.1. Classroom assessments; EOC	
2012-2013, we will increase the percentage of students scoring in the middle third on the scoring rubric of the Geometry EOC to 30%.			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions," identif	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
In 2011-2012, 36%	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	hievement 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 39% [87]	Lack of Algebra 1 knowledge and requirements to pass the EOC	2.1. Differentiated instruction and cooperative learning groups to allow students to assist each other in mastery of knowledge; Weekly enrichments designed to offer students higher level thinking experiences.		Lesson plans; Classroom	2.1. Classroom assessments; EOC	

Geometry EOC. In 2012-2013, we will	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
increase the percentage of students scoring in the top third on the Geometry EOC to 41%.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Objectives (AMOs), iden	chievable Annual Measurable ntify reading and mathematics t for the following years	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Geometry Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal						
reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvement	student achievement data and uestions," identify and define ent for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
making satisfactory p Geometry Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	, American Indian) <b>not</b> rogress in Geometry.	3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:				3B.1.
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.         Geometry Goal #3C:       2012 Current Level of       2013 Expected Level of         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       2012 Current Level of       2013 Expected Level of         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       2012 Current Level of       2013 Expected Level of         Enter numerical data for current level of       Enter numerical data for current his box.       Enter numerical to performance in this box.		3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.
	3C.2. 3C.3.		3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b> Geometry Goal #3D:         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.         Enter numerical for the goal in this box.		3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.
	3D.2.		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.

Based on the analysis of student a reference to "Guiding Questions, areas in need of improvement for the	" identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	ss in Geometry.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
level of performa this box.						3E.2. 3E.3.

End of Geometry EOC Goals

## **Mathematics Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
Literacy Training; Common Core; Text Complexity; Higher-Level Questioning; Student Engagement Vocabulary	9-12	Administration; Guest Literacy Coach; Literacy Leadership Team	School-wide	Monthly in-service / workshops	Observations; Lesson plans; Progress monitoring through classroom assessments	Administration; Literacy Team; Instructors			
Common Core Alignment Training	9-12	FDOE	6 participants (Department leaders)	November 2012	Lesson Plans, School-wide Training	Principal			

### Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

erials(s)			
511a15(8)			
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			<b>Total:</b> \$0.00
	No Data         Description of Resources         No Data         Description of Resources         No Data         Description of Resources         No Data	No Data       No Data         Description of Resources       Funding Source         No Data       No Data         Description of Resources       Funding Source         No Data       No Data         Description of Resources       Funding Source         No Data       No Data         Description of Resources       Funding Source         No Data       No Data         Description of Resources       Funding Source         Description of Resources       Funding Source	No Data       No Data       \$0.00         Description of Resources       Funding Source       Amount         No Data       No Data       \$0.00         Description of Resources       Funding Source       Amount         Description of Resources       Funding Source       Amount         No Data       \$0.00       Image: Source       Image: Source         Description of Resources       Funding Source       Amount         No Data       \$0.00       Image: Source       Amount         Description of Resources       Funding Source       Amount         No Data       \$0.00       Image: Source       Amount

#### **Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Goals	Science		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	ent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring a         Achievement Level 3 in science.         Science Goal #1A:         2012 Current	at 2013 Expected	1A.1.	IA.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* I Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	(				
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.
	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	IB.I.	IB.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.
			1B.2.		1B.2.	1B.2.
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

reference to "Guiding Q	student achievement data and Questions," identify and define ement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Stude Achievement Levels 4	into scoring at or above	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.
	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. this box.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate scoring at or above L		2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
Science Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current     2013Expected       Level of     Level of       Performance:*     Performance:*       Enter numerical     Enter numerical       data for current     data for expected       level of     performance in       performance in     this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

#### Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School	Science Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	ent Achievement	
reference to "Guiding Qu	student achievement data and lestions," identify and define ment for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	bbebbillenet bruuelleb	1.1.	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.
		1.2.	1.2.		1.2.	1.2.
reference to "Guiding Qu	student achievement data, and lestions", identify and define ment for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	socoment. Students	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

#### **Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals** (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1	LEOC Goa	als		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions," identi	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
In 2011-2012, 41%	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 44% [40]	No prior examples/exams, from the state to use as a reference; Presentation, language, and format of the	1.1. Utilize hands-on laboratory experiments and manipulatives; Identify "big ideas", key concepts, knowledge and skills that describe what the students will understand;		1.1. Lesson plans; Classroom Walkthroughs	1.1. Classroom Assessments; EOC
EOC. In 2012-2013, we will increase the percentage of students scoring in the middle third of the Biology EOC to 44%.			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions," identi	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
In 2011-2012, 29% of our students scored in the top third of the Biology	logy 1. 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 32% [29]	No prior examples/exams, from the state to use as a reference; Presentation,	Utilize hands-on laboratory	Science Department.	2.1. Lesson plans; Classroom Walkthroughs 2.2.	2.1. Classroom Assessments; EOC
EOC. In 2012-2013, we will increase the			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.

percentage of students scoring in the top third of the Biology EOC to 32%.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.
End of Biology I EOC Goals				

## Science Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
Literacy Training; Common Core; Text Complexity; Higher-Level Questioning; Student Engagement; Vocabulary	9-12	Administration; Guest Literacy Coach; Literacy Leadership Team	School-wide	Monthly in-service / workshops	Observations; Lesson plans; Progress monitoring through classroom assessments	Administration; Literacy Team; Instructors		
Biology EOC Training	9-12	Science County Coordinator	Science Department	August 2012	Lesson Plans	Administration; Science Department Head		
Common Core Alignment Training	9-12	FDOE	6 participants (Department leaders)	November 2012	Lesson Plans, School-wide Training	Principal		
Science Budget (	Insert rows a	s needed)						

#### Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-base	d funded activities/materials and exclude district funded	ded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)	Nonorma, Nonorma,		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No data	No data	No data	\$0.00	
			l	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No data	No data	No data	\$0.00	
			S	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No data	No data	No data	\$0.00	
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Other	Venue dill			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No data	No data	No data	\$0.00	

Subtotal: \$0.0
Tota

End of Science Goals



### Writing Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Writing Goal #1A:2012 Current Level of Performance:*2013 Expected Level of Performance:*In 2011-2012, 95% of students scored at level 3.0 or higher in2013 Expected Level of Performance:*2013 Expected Level of Performance:*95% [143]95% [203]	writing	1A.1. Establish a writing plan; Develop writing strategies to implement practice opportunities		1A.1. In class mirrored assessments	1A.1. FCAT Writes
FCAT Writing. In 2012-2013, we will maintain this overall proficiency of 95% as measured by FCAT Writing.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.
<b>1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.</b> Writing Goal #1B:         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.         Enter numerical in this box.             2012 Current Level of Performance:*         Enter numerical Enter numerical data for expected data for e		IB.I.	IB.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.
	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

## Writing Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring							
Literacy Training; Common Core, Text Complexity; Higher-Level Questioning; Student Engagement; Vocabulary Development	9-12	Administration; Guest Literacy Coach; Literacy Leadership Team	School-wide	Monthly in-service / workshops	Observations; Lesson plans; Progress monitoring through classroom assessments	Administration; Literacy Team; Instructors			
FCAT Writes Training	9-12	County Coordinator	School-wide	October 2012	Lesson Plans; Student Examples	Administration; Language Art Department			
Writing Budget	(Insert rows a	s needed)							

#### Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-base	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
				<b>Subtotal:</b> \$0.00
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
		i		Subtotal: \$0.00
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
				Subtotal: \$0.00

End of Writing Goals



#### Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (*required in year 2014-2015*)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Civics Goal #1:       2012 Current       2013 Expected         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       Performance:*       Performance:*         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in performance in this box.       Enter numerical for expectent level of performance in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Civics Goal #2:       2012 Current       2013 Expected         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       Performance:*       Performance:*         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in performance in this box.       Enter numerical between this box.					
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

## **Civics Professional Development**

Profes	ssional Devel	opment (PD)	aligned with Strategies	through Professional	Learning Comm	unity (PLC)	) or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-u	ıp/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
				Alatabalah Galadak.	10.		
Civics Budget (In							<u> </u>
Include only school-b	based funded ac	tivities/material	s and exclude district funded	activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Materials(	s)					
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
		<b>I</b>			\ \		Subtotal:
Technology				Autoromotiones, Autoromotion			
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
Professional Developm	nent	terminal and an inclusion of the last of t	and date, victorionity spinoren	eeres, viouronon,			
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
Other							
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
		1		1			Subtotal:
							Total:

End of Civics Goals

## U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

U.S. History EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.         U.S. History Goal #1:       2012 Current Level of         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       2013 Expected Level of         Performance:*       Performance:*         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.       Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.         U.S. History Goal #2:       2012 Current Level of         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       2013 Expected Level of         Performance:*       Performance:*         Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.       Enter numerical for the sox.	2.1.			2.1.	2.1.
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

### **U.S. History Professional Development**

Profes	ssional Devel	opment (PD)	) aligned with Strategies Please note that each Strategy does not	through Professional ot require a professional development	Learning Comm ent or PLC activity.	unity (PLC)	) or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-1	up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
				VARIANCE VARIANCE.			
U.S. History Bud	<b>lget</b> (Insert ro	ows as needed	1)				
Include only school-b	ased funded act	tivities/materia	ls and exclude district funded	activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Materials(s	·					
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
Technology				nono, 🔽 anna suo		1	
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							~ ~ ~ ~
							Subtotal:
Professional Developm	nent	Andreas Declarator	Andreastan, Adventions,	Notes Deductor,		1	
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
Other			Territoria				
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
							Total:

End of U.S. History Goals

### **Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s	s)		Problem-solvin	g Process to Increase	Attendance	
Based on the analysis of attendance data a "Guiding Questions," identify and define a improvement:	Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
In 2011-2012 our attendance rate was 94%. In 2012-2013, we will raise our attendance rate to 95% 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) Enter numerical data for current number of Students with Excessive Absences in this box 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) Enter numerical data for current number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) Enter numerical data for current number of Students with Excessive	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:* 95% 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) I Enter numerical data for expected number of absences in this box. 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) I Enter numerical data for expected number of students ardy in this box.		1.1. Parent and student education on new start time; daily monitoring of absences and tardies; Phone calls made home to verify absences.		1.1. Periodic attendance and tardy reports	1.1. Pinnacle
1183 UUA-	eres 004.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## **Attendance Professional Development**

Profes	ssional Devel	opment (PD)	aligned with Strategies t Please note that each Strategy does not	hrough Professional L	Learning Comm	unity (PLC)	or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus			PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early         Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		v-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Attendance Budg	et (Insert row)	s as needed)					<u> </u>
Include only school-b	ased funded act	tivities/material	s and exclude district funded a	ctivities /materials.			
Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Materials(	s)			40101013		
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
No Data		No Data		No Data		\$0.00	
						·	Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology							
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
No Data		No Data		No Data		\$0.00	
							Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	nent						
Strategy		-	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
No Data		No Data		No Data		\$0.00	
							Subtotal: \$0.0
Other							
Strategy		-	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
No Data		No Data		No Data		\$0.00	
							Subtotal: \$0.0
							<b>Total:</b> \$0.0

End of Attendance Goals

#### **Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	1 0		÷ ÷	ecrease Suspension	
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Suspension Goal #1:       2012 Total Number of In -School       2013 Expected         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       Suspensions       In-School Suspensions         Enter narrative for the goal in this box.       Enter numerical data for current number of in-school suspensions       Enter numerical data for expected number of students         2012 Total Number of Students       2013 Expected Number of Students         Suspended In-School       Suspended In-School         Enter numerical data for current number of students suspended in-school       Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended in-school         2012 Total Number of Out-of- School       2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School         2013 Expected Number of Students       Suspended Out-of-School         2013 Total Number of Students       2013 Expected Number of Students         2013 Expected Out- of- School       Number of Students         2013 Expected Out- of- School       Suspended Out- of-School         2013 Expected Number of Students       Suspended Out- of-School         Out- of- School       Out- of-School         Out- of- School			1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

### **Suspension Professional Development**

Profes	ssional Devel	opment (PD)	aligned with Strategies t Please note that each Strategy does not	hrough Professional L	earning Comn	nunity (PLC)	or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow	v-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Suspension Budg	<b>get</b> (Insert rov	vs as needed)					
Include only school-b	based funded act	ivities/material	s and exclude district funded a	ctivities /materials.			
Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Materials(s	s)					
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
Technology							
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source	2	Amount	
							Subtotal:
Professional Developm	nent		nonono toto a			-	
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
Other			anatorio, anatorioa			-	
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							~
							Subtotal:
			<u></u>				Total:

End of Suspension Goals

#### **Dropout Prevention Goal(s)**

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
student was	2012 Comment 2012 Expected	1.1. Increased credit requirements	1.1. Offer a credit recovery course	1.1. Administration; Guidance	1.1. Dropout and Graduation rates	1.1. Mainframe Reports		
dropout and the graduation rate was 99%. In 2012-2013, we will maintain a 99% graduation rate.		1.2.	1.2,	1.2.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2.		

### **Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		

### **Dropout Prevention Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-base	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
			·	<b>Subtotal:</b> \$0.00
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
		<b>Subtotal:</b> \$0.00		
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
		<b>Subtotal:</b> \$0.00		
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
			÷	<b>Subtotal:</b> \$0.00
				<b>Total:</b> \$0.00

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

#### **Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

**Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template-** For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involv				Problem-solv		arent Involvement	
"Guiding Questions," identif	Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Parent Involvement	1. Parent Involvement			1.1. Increase communication		1.1. Records from Parent	1.1. Volunteer tracking data
<u>#1:</u>	2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:* 31% [5000.78	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:* 35% [7171.5		and variety of opportunities available for parents to participate;		Involvement	obtained from the schools logging system, KeepnTrack.
volunteer 30 or more	hours]	hours]	1.2.	1.2 Implement on	1.2	1.2	1.2.
hours to the school during the year. In 2011-2012, 31% of the required volunteer hours were completed. In 2012-2013,			Parent schedules and obligations	1.2. Implement an electronic communication tab on the school website.	Volunteer Coordinators	1.2. Records from Parent Involvement	1.2. Volunteer tracking data obtained from the schools logging system, KeepnTrack.
we will increase this involvement rate to 35% by increasing parent communication and involvement activities/opportunities.			1.3.	1.3.	f.3.	1.3.	1.3.

#### **Parent Involvement Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus     Grade Level/Subject     PD Facilitator and/or     PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or pLC Leader     Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)     Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring     Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring									



# Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-base	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	ded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
				<b>Subtotal:</b> \$0.00
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
			$\rightarrow$	<b>Subtotal:</b> \$0.00
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
				Subtotal: \$0.00
				<b>Total:</b> \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

# Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)		Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	e Student Achievemen	t
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: In 2012-2013, we will increase the number of advanced science and mathematics courses available to students.	Student interest and	1.1. Offer more advanced science and math courses		1.1. Master Schedule	1.1. Master Schedule
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# STEM Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus     Grade Level/Subject     PD Facilitator and/or     PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or PLC Leader     Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)     Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring     Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring									

#### **STEM Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

E 1	$(M_{-+}, m_{-+}, 1, -1, -1)$	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
				<b>Subtotal:</b> \$0.00
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
				Subtotal: \$0.00
				<b>Total:</b> \$0.00
End of STEM Goal(s)				

# **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

CTE Goal(s)		Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievemen	t
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<u>CTE Goal #1:</u> In 2012 – 2013, Oasis High will implement an industry certification program in Culinary Arts with a secured contract with a vendor providing certification exams at an educational price.		1.1. Research vendors; Start to prepare students for certification requirements		1.1. Contract with vendor; Contract secured	1.1. Chosen Certification Exam
	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.
CTE Professional Development	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# **CTE Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	Please note that each Strategy does not PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	nt or PLC activity. Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				
Photoshop World Conference 9-12 Conference Graphic design Instructor September 2012 Participant will imple in the teaching of the					Participant will implement learned material in the teaching of the new AICE Graphic Design course	Administration; AICE Leader				
<b></b>		-			•					

# **CTE Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activ	vities/materials and exclude district funded act	ivities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Photoshop for AICE Graphic Design	World Conference	Title II	\$2,200
			Subtotal: \$2,200.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$2,200.0
			<b>Total:</b> \$2,200.0
End of CTE Goal(s)			

Additional Goal(s) Every teacher is required to notate their use of reading and writing strategies in their lesson plans. Teachers work with the language arts department to create research, quality reading components in their lessons as well as implement effective writing components. \* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Addition	al Goal(s)			Problem-Solving P	se Student Achievemen	t	
	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal				1.1. Implement a Anti- Bullying club on campus;	1.1. Administration;	1.1. Club involvement; established baseline	1.1. Existence of the Established Program,
Additional Goal #1: In 2012-2013, a baseline organization will be established to bring awareness of anti-bullying strategies and intervention for students and teachers	goal in this box.			Align training for staff in response to anti-bullying; Community outreach events		intervention occurrences.	documented activities and meetings.
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# **Additional Goals Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Person of Position Responsible for								
Operation Respect	9-12	District Workshop	3 Instructors	Fall 2012	Participants will discuss strategies to implement methods learned	Administration			

# Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

ded activities/materials and exclude district fun	ded activities /materials.		
terials(s)			
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Workshop	Title II	\$375.00	
			Subtotal: \$375.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
			Subtotal: \$375.00
			Total: \$375.00
	terials(s)  Description of Resources No Data  Description of Resources No Data  Description of Resources Workshop Description of Resources Description of Resources	Description of Resources       Funding Source         No Data       No Data         Description of Resources       Funding Source         No Data       No Data         Description of Resources       Funding Source         No Data       No Data         Description of Resources       Funding Source         Workshop       Title II         Description of Resources       Funding Source	Iterials(s)       Funding Source       Amount         No Data       No Data       \$0.00         No Data       No Data       \$0.00         Description of Resources       Funding Source       Amount         No Data       No Data       \$0.00         Description of Resources       Funding Source       Amount         No Data       No Data       \$0.00         Description of Resources       Funding Source       Amount         Workshop       Title II       \$375.00         Description of Resources       Funding Source       Amount         Description of Resources       Funding Source       Amount

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total: \$15,746.00
CELLA Budget	Total: \$0.00
Mathematics Budget	Ι σταπ. φυτου
	Total: \$0.00
Science Budget	
	Total: \$0.00
Writing Budget	
	Total: \$0.00
Civics Budget	
	Total: \$0.00
U.S. History Budget	
	Total: \$0.00
Attendance Budget	
	Total: \$0.00
Suspension Budget	· · · ·
	Total: \$0.00
Dropout Prevention Budget	<b>T</b> . 1 40 00
	Total: \$0.00
Parent Involvement Budget	Τ-4-1- ΦΟ ΟΟ
STEM Budget	Total: \$0.00
	Total: \$0.00
CTE Budget	10(21. \$0.00
	Total: \$2,200.00
Additional Goals	τοται. φ2,200.00
	Total: \$375.00
	Grand Total: \$18, 321.00

#### **Differentiated Accountability**

#### School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status				
Priority	Focus	Prevent		

Are you reward school? Yes

(A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the Upload page

#### School Advisory Council (SAC)

#### SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.



If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

#### Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

The SAC will assist in the preparation and evaluation of the School Improvement Plan, will review current curriculum, course availabilities, AICE program updates, testing requirements, state standards, and school goals. The SAC members will have the opportunity multiple times a year to be involved with school activities and receive updates on status and progress of school improvement goals and profession development.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
School Improvement funds will be spent to directly support school improvement goals when/if the funds are allocated to schools.	\$0.00

