Florida Department of Education



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Pinecrest Academy Charter School South	District Name: Miami-Dade
Board chair: Judith Marty	Superintendent: Alberto Carvalho
Principal: Carmen Cangemi	
SAC Chair: Jannette Gonzalez	Date of School Board Approval: Pending

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
----------	------	--------------------------------	--	---	---

Principal	Ms. Carmen Cangemi	BS in Exceptional	3	6		'12	'11	'10	'09	'08
		Student Education,			School Grade	Α	A	A	Α	A
		Florida International			<u>AYP</u>		100	97	100	100
		University; MS			High Standards Rdg.	72	83	77	71	69
		in Reading, Barry			High Standards Math	75	86	77	73	68
		University. Certification			Lrng Gains-Rdg.	77	72	71	67	67
		in Exceptional Student			Lrng Gains-Math	75	76	67	71	73
		Education K-12, Reading			Gains-Rdg-25%	69	69	69	72	65
		K-12, Educational			Gains-Math-25%	69	75	75	72	74
		Leadership all levels.								
Assistant	Ms. Ana Diaz	BS in Elementary	7	5		'12	'11	'10	'09	'08
Principal		Education, University			School Grade	A	A	A	Α	A
		of Florida; Master of			<u>AYP</u>		100	97	100	100
		Education, University of			High Standards Rdg.	72	83	77	83	86
		Florida. Certification in			High Standards Math	75	86	77	81	80
		Elementary Education 1-			Lrng Gains-Rdg.	77	72	71	75	78
		6,			Lrng Gains-Math	75	76	67	75	75
		Educational Leadership			Gains-Rdg-25%	69	69	69	68	81
		all levels			Gains-Math-25%	69	75	75	80	77

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
-----------------	------	--------------------------------	---	---	---

Reading	Jannette Gonzalez	BS in Elementary	7	7		'12	'11	'10	'09	'08
		Education, Florida			School Grade	A	A	Α	A	A
		International University;			<u>AYP</u>		100	97	100	100
		MS in Reading Education,			High Standards Rdg.	72	83	77	83	86
		Florida International			High Standards Math	75	86	77	81	80
		University; Ed.S in			Lrng Gains-Rdg.	77	72	71	75	78
		Educational Leadership,			Lrng Gains-Math	75	76	67	75	75
		Nova Southeastern			Gains-Rdg-25%	69	69	69	68	81
		University;			Gains-Math-25%	69	75	75	80	77
		Certification in								
		Elementary Education,								
		Reading K-12, ESOL								
		Endorsement								

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

De	escription of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1.	Regular meetings of new teachers with Principal	Principal	On-going
2.	Implementation of teacher mentoring program	Principal and Assistant Principal	On-going
3.	Allocate funds to provide veteran teachers with a mentor stipend	Principal	June 2013
4.	Soliciting referrals from current employees	Assistant Principal	On-going

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to
--	--

are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	support the staff in becoming highly effective
0	Continue to provide our teachers with appropriate professional development in order to ensure that they continue to be effective teachers.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
40	0% (0)	25% (10)	65% (26)	10% (4)	35% (14)	100% (40)	18% (6)	0%(0)	95%(38)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Diane Goldman	First Year Teachers	Diane Goldman has 30 years teaching experience and has consistently demonstrated master of teaching skills.	The mentor and mentee will meet on a regular basis to discuss evidence-based strategies for each domain. The mentor will assist with the development of an evidence based portfolio including reflections from observations, interviews and professional development.

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A	
Title I, Part C- Migrant	
Title I, Part D	
Title II	
Title III	
Title X- Homeless	
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)	
Violence Prevention Programs	
Nutrition Programs	
Housing Programs	
Head Start	
Adult Education	
Career and Technical Education	
Job Training	
Other	

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.

The Pinecrest Academy South MTSS team is comprised of various members of the administration, faculty and staff. **Principal:** Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing appropriate instructional levels of support via classroom walk-throughs and informal and formal evaluations, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.

Assistant Principal: Assist the Principal in carrying out the vision/mission and the implementation of the plan for developing appropriate instructional levels of support to address areas of weakness.

Grade Level Chairpersons: Provide information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, lead biweekly team meetings to disseminate information and coordinate lesson plans.

Reading Coach: Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, and collaborates with staff to implement tier 1 and tier 2 interventions. Provides guidance on K-5 reading plan, provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data based instructional planning.

SPED Chair: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials, and collaborates with general education teachers through coteaching and consultations.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The MTSS Leadership team will meet monthly and on an as needed basis to discuss and monitor how data-driven instruction and assessments are impacting the performance of our students. The team will review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources and utilize the data to drive instruction. The team will collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation.

The MTSS Leadership team will collaborate with the Literacy Leadership Team to organize activities that will increase performance, particularly in Reading, through school-wide literacy activities and programs such as Buddy Reading. The team will also disseminate information to the EESAC (Educational Excellence School Advisory Council) and request input about intervention initiatives and proposed projects.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS Leadership team met with the EESAC (Educational Excellence School Advisory Council) and Principal to help develop the SIP. The team provided data on students' achievement (FCAT, SAT, and FAIR assessments) to develop clear expectations for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, Relationship); facilitated the development of a systemic approach to teaching (Gradual Release, Essential Questions, Activating Strategies, Teaching Strategies, Extending, Refining, and Summarizing); and aligned processes and procedures.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline Data: Baseline tests used at the school site (standardized across grade levels), Cold Reads, District provided baseline assessments, Standardized Test for the Assessment of Reading (STAR), Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT).

Data Management Systems: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Excel Spreadsheets, STAR Data Analysis Program.

Midyear Data: Monthly tests used at the school site (standardized across grade levels), Miami-Dade County Public Schools Interim Assessment in Reading, Math and Science; Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR), Early Reading Diagnostic Assessment (ERDA), Standardized Test for the Assessment of Reading (STAR) and Cold Reads.

Data Management Systems: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Excel Spreadsheets, STAR Data Analysis Program.

End of year Data: Post tests used at the school site (standardized across grade levels), Miami-Dade County Public Schools Interim Assessment in Reading, Math and Science; FAIR, FCAT, STAR, SAT, Cold Reads.

Data Management Systems: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Excel Spreadsheets, STAR Data Analysis Program.

Frequency of Data Days: Twice a month for data analysis

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional Development will be provided during designated professional development days, during small sessions and faculty meetings. MTSS Leadership Team will attend two separate trainings in the summer and the Principal.

Describe plan to support MTSS.

Based upon the information from http://www.florida-rti.org/educatorResources/MTSS Book ImplComp 012612.pdf, but not limited to the following:

- 1. Effective, actively involved, and resolute leadership that frequently provides visible connections between a MTSS framework with district & school mission statements and organizational improvement efforts.
- 2. Alignment of policies and procedures across classroom, grade, building, district, and state levels.
- 3. Ongoing efficient facilitation and accurate use of a problem-solving process to support planning, implementing, and evaluating effectiveness of services.
- 4. Strong, positive, and ongoing collaborative partnerships with all stakeholders who provide education services or who otherwise would benefit from increases in student outcomes.
- 5. Comprehensive, efficient, and user-friendly data-systems for supporting decision-making at all levels from the individual student level up to the aggregate district level.
- 6. Sufficient availability of coaching supports to assist school team and staff problem-solving efforts.
- 7. Ongoing data-driven professional development activities that align to core student goals and staff needs.
- 8. Communicating outcomes with stakeholders and celebrating success frequently.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The Literacy Leadership Team is comprised of the Principal (Carmen Cangemi), Assistant Principal (Ana Diaz), Reading Coach (Jannette Gonzalez), Media Specialist (Yurima Don), Mentor Reading Teacher (Diane Goldman), Content Area Teachers (Lourdes Rodriguez, Rachel Llanes, Christine Chavez, Elizabeth Simoulis, Karla Espinoza, Cristina San Gabino, Cristina Alzati, and Betty Valencia).

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Leadership Team meets once a month to discuss initiatives and set plans into actions. The LLT creates capacity of reading knowledge within the school building and focuses on areas of literacy concern across the school. The Reading Coach is the Chair and all other members are co-chairs. Each member becomes the chair of the committee for each of the planned initiatives and the rest of the members assist with the implementation.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Major Initiatives of the LLT for the 2012-2013 school year are: Buddy Reading, class visits to local libraries, Book Fair, Field Trip to the Actor's Playhouse based on stories they've already read, Favorite Storybook Character Parade, Peer Mentoring and Observations, Grandparent's Book Night, Barnes and Noble Night, Reading Under the Stars(teachers/students read aloud) and Author Studies with would lead to class wide creation of books which will be shared with student's families

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.
*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?
*High Schools Only
Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?
How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?
Postsecondary Transition Note: Paguired for High School, See, 1008-27(4), E.S.
Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT	1a.1.	1a.1.	1.a.1	1a.1	1a.1	
2.0: Students	The area	Use Project	Administration and Leadership	Meet with grade level groups on	Formative: Periodic benchmark	
scoring at	which showed	Based Learning	team	a monthly basis to review results	assessment results	
	minimal growth		1.1.	of periodic assessments based	Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0	
Achievement	and would	move students		on grade level focus calendar	Reading Test	
Level 3 in		from guided		objectives and to discuss strategies		
reading.	to improve performance	learning to more	1	to address specific weaknesses.		
		independent				
	as noted on the 2012 FCAT 2.0	learning.				
		Use reading				
	was Reading	passages				
		that target				
	Application.	main idea/				
		relevant details,				
	scores indicate	conclusions/				
		inferences,				
		chronological				
	difficulty	order, author's				
	making	purpose/				
	inferences,	perspective,				
		bias, compare/				
		contrast, cause/				
		effect, sequence				
		of events, text				
		structures/				
		organizational				
	text	patterns,				
		themes/topics.				
	summarizing text.					
D 1: C 1		2013 Expected				
reading cour	Level of	Level of				
<u>#1a:</u>		Performance:*				
The results of the	remormance.	renormance.				
2011-2012 FCAT						
Reading Test						
indicate that 31%						
(125) of students						
achieved level 3						
proficiency.						
Our goal for the						
2012-2013 school						
year is to increase						
level 3 student						
proficiency to 32%						
(128)						
Î ′						
			l .	1	1	

	31% (125)	32% (128)					
		1 4 2	1.4.2	1 4 2	14.2	14.2	
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate Assessment: Students							
scoring at Levels 4,							
5, and 6 in reading.							
Reading Goal #1B:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this							
box.							
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
data and reference to				C.		
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						

	h 1	h 1	2a.1.	h 1	b 1	
	2a.1. The area of	2a.1 Provide	Administration	2a.1.	2a.1. Formative: Periodic benchmark	
		students the	Administration		assessment results	
	deficiency as noted on			nine weeks to adjust instruction as		
	the 2012	opportunity to build skills			Reading Test	
		and accelerate		liceded.	Reading Test	
	of the FCAT	academic				
	2.0 Reading	growth in the				
	Test was	following				
	Reading	areas: phonics				
		phonemic				
	The areas	awareness,				
	of weakness	fluency, oral				
	included	language,				
	making	vocabulary and				
	inferences,	comprehension				
	drawing	by using the				
	conclusions,	following				
	returning to text	t programs:				
	as support	Accelerated				
	for answer,	Reader Grades				
	analyzing state	K-5 and				
	vs. implied	Achieve 3000				
	main ideas,	for students in				
		2 nd -5 th Grade.				
	organizer to					
	analyze text, interacting					
	with text,					
	understanding					
	text					
	structures and					
	summarizing					
	text					
Reading Goal #2a:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
The results of the 2011-	Level of	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
indicate that 41 % (164) of						
students achieved level 4						
and 5 proficiency.						
Our goal for the 2012-2013						
school year is to maintain						
level 4 & 5 student						
proficiency at 41% (164)						
-		•	•	•	•	

	41% (164)	41%(164)					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Reading Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3A. FCAT 2.0:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy 3a.1.	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 3a.1.	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool 3a.1.	
			Administration		Formative: Reading Plus reports	
r er centage of		schedule to		generated by the Reading Plus		
		allow students			Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0	
	of the FCAT 2.0				Reading results	
		book laptops				
		to work on the Reading				
	making learning					
	gains increased					
	by 7 percent	Expand the use				
		of technology				
		by purchasing				
		additional				
		workstations and programs				
		that target				
		specific				
		instructional				
		needs.				
	based programs					
		technology plan				
	l .	to ensure that				
		teachers are able to identify				
		appropriate				
		programs that				
	inhibit progress.	are aligned				
		to individual				
		student needs.				

	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	79% (210)	84% (223)					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Reading Goal #3B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					

	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

		-				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						
4. FCAT 2.0:	4a.1.		4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	
Percentage of	l	Utilize prior			Formative: Weekly assessments	
students in lowest	As noted on the 2012 FCAT	year and			designed to assess student	
25% making	the 2012 FCA1	baseline		data sheet to determine if students	progress in their specific area of	
	Reading Test,	assessment			deficiency	
	the percent of	data to identify		towards stated goals.		
	students in the	students who			Formative: Progress monitoring	
, and the second	lowest 25%	are in need of			plan; RTI data sheet including	
	making learning	intervention.			weekly fluency and/or	
	gains increased				comprehension checks	
	by 6 percentage	Provide			Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0	
	points.	teachers with an				
	.	assistant for 30			Reading Test	
	Appropriate	minutes daily				
	and timely	to work with on				
	placement of	level students so				
	students in	that the teacher				
	interventions	can focus on				
	continues to be	the needs of				
		the lowest				
		performing				
		students.				
		Provide after				
		school and in-				
	l .	house tutoring				
		utilizing both				
		computer based				
		programs and				
		supplemental				
		instructional				
		programs				
		published by				
		Curriculum				
		Associates and				
		Florida Ready.				
		i ioitua ixeauy.				

Reading Goal #4a: The results of the 2012-2013 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 75% (51) of students in the lowest 25% made learning gains. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the % of students in the lowest quartile making learning gains to 80% (54)	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	75%(51)	80% (54)					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years	Baseline data	73%	86%	87%	89%	90%	92%
school will reduce	2010-2011						
their achievement	920/						
gap by 50%.	<u>83%</u>						
Reading Goal #5A: Our goal is to increase the proportion of students scoring at levels 3 and above and to decrease the proportion of students scoring at levels 1 and 2 by 50% over 6 years using the 2011 FCAT Administration Score as the baseline year. In order to reach our goal we must increase the percent of students scoring at level 3 or higher from 73% to 86% on the 2013 FCAT Reading Test.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
obi stadent	5B.1. White:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
subgroups by	Black:						
ethnicity (White,	Hispanic:						
Black, Hispanic,	Asian: American Indian:						
Asian, American	American indian:						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in reading.							

	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the						
goal in this box.						
	Enter numerical data for expected level					
	of performance in this box. White:					
	Black:					
	Hispanic:					
	Asian:					
	American Indian:					
	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
SC. Liigiisii			5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1	
		Provide in-	Administration and Leadership	5 5 5 5	Formative: Periodic benchmark	
	the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading	utilizing	Team		assessment results from the ELL Practice and Assessment	
satisfactory progress	Test, the area	computer based			Handbook	
		programs			Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0	
: •g.	minimal growth				Reading Test	
	1	instructional				
		strategies such				
		as Reciprocal				
		Teaching,				
		Think Alouds and Think/				
		Pair/Share.				
		Teachers will				
		also utilize Task				
	making	Cards to instruct				
		and provide				
		additional				
	,	practice of the				
		benchmarks.				
	text to support					
	answers and					
	summarizing					
	text.	L				

Reading Goal #5C: The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 58% (9) of ELLstudents achieved level 3 proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase level 3 ELL student proficiency to 63%(10).	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	58% (9)	63% (10)					
						5C.2.	
						5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
		5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		

Reading Goal #5D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in	data for expected level of					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

		_					
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
5E. Economically	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in reading.							
Reading Goal #5E:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
		Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
		expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

Reading Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional Professional			
Learning			

Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Data Chats: Making instructional decisions based on student assessment outcomes	K-5	Lead Teacher, Grade Level Chairperson	School-wide	9/12/12, 10/17/12, 11/14/12, 12/12/12, 1/23/13, 2/20/13, 3/20/13, 4/10/13, 5/22/13	Review benchmark assessment data to measure progress correlated to the NGSSS Focus Calendars	Assistant Principal, Principal
RTI training	K-5	Assistant Principal, Lead Teacher	School-wide	August 16, 2012	Review of RTI – Progress monitoring plan data sheets	Assistant Principal

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Goal Area 4	After School Tutoring	EESAC	1,500
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Goal Area 1, 2 & 3	Achieve 3000 Literacy Program	School-based budget	22,000
Subtotal: \$22,000			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Goal Area 4	Teacher Assistant Personnel	School based budget	20,000
Subtotal: \$20,000			
Total: \$43,500			

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

		İ	i	i	i	
CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.	opportunities, outside of school, to practice speaking and	1.1. Emphasize Listening strategies such as using Simple, Direct Language, a strategy that teachers use which helps students gain a better understanding of what is being said as teachers restate sentences into sequences of simple sentences, restate at slower rates, pause often and provide specific explanations. Teachers will also utilize the substitution and paraphrase strategies in order for students to restate what they have read to account for vocabulary and words/concepts that are important to the reading passage.	1.1. ELL teachers, ELL Chairperson, Administrators		1.1. Formative: Weekly teacher generated assessments. Periodic benchmark assessment results. Walk-thru observations. Summative: 2013 CELLA	
CELLA Goal #1: The results of the 2012 CELLA Listening/Speaking assessment indicate that 70 % of the ELL students scored proficient in Oral skills.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
	7070 (37 Suuenis).					

					•	
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read grade- level text in English in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in reading.	requirements.	QAR's which help students with their reading comprehension and to assist students in understanding the relationship that exists between questions and answers. Provide students with Reciprocal Teaching strategies which is designed to enhance student's comprehension of text by integrating the processes of predicting, clarifying, visualizing, questioning and summarizing during reading. Utilize Reading Plus program to monitor student progress.	2.1. ELL teachers, ELL Chairperson, Administrators	benchmark assessments to monitor progress. Grade Level Team leaders and ELL Chairperson will	2.1. Formative: Reading benchmark assessments, Vocabulary assessments, FAIR data. Summative: 2013 CELLA	
	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:					
	38% (31 students)					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in writing.	teachers' ability to meet with individual students to assist in	2.1. Emphasize strategies that will assist with students' ability to focus, elaborate and organize their writing.		2.1. Review monthly writing assignments to ensure progress is being made and adjust interventions as needed.	2.1. Formative: Students writing scores on monthly writing assignments Summative: 2013 CELLA	
CELLA Goal #3: The results of the 2012 CELLA Writing assessment indicate that 34 % of the ELL students scored proficient in Writing.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing:					
	34% (28 students)					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

<i>caea</i>)		
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
BrainPop		\$1500.00
Achieve 3000		\$22000.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Melissa Forney's Primary Pizzaz and Razzle Dazzle	School Based Budget	\$2500.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
	Description of Resources BrainPop Achieve 3000 Description of Resources Melissa Forney's Primary Pizzaz and Razzle Dazzle	Description of Resources BrainPop Achieve 3000 Description of Resources Melissa Forney's Primary Pizzaz and Razzle Dazzle Funding Source School Based Budget

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1 A ECATION	lı - 1	I1 - 1	I _{1 - 1}	1 - 1	1- 1	
	1a.1.	1a.1.	la.l.	1a.1.	la.l.	
Bruuchts scoring at	1 0 .		MTSS Team and Leadership Team		Formative: CAP reports	
Achievement Level 3	deficiency	understanding of fractions		reports to ensure students are making adequate progress.	Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0	
in mathematics.	the 2012	and fraction			Math assessment	
	administration	equivalents;		discussions to attain teacher	iviatii assessiileit	
	of the FCAT	represent,		feedback on the effectiveness of		
	Mathematics	compute,		program utilization and adjust		
	Test was in	estimate and		instruction as needed.		
		solve problems		instruction as needed.		
	category of	using numbers				
		through hundred				
		thousand;				
		and solve				
		non-routine				
	Measurement	problems.				
	for 4th grade and					
		understanding				
	Equations and	of area and				
	Statistics in 5 th	determine				
	grade.	the area of 2				
		dimensional				
	computer	classifying				
		angles; identify				
		and describe				
		the results of				
		transformations;				
		and identify				
	attainment.	and build a 3D				
		object from a 2 dimensional				
		representation				
		and vice versa.				
		Construct and				
		analyze line				
		graphs and				
		double bar				
		graphs; and				
		differentiate				
		between				
		continuous and				
		discrete data and				
		determine ways				
		to represent				
		those using				
		graphs and				
		diagrams.				

		Develop a net-book cart schedule to increase access to computer based programs including Success Maker, Reflex Math and Gizmos.					
Mathematics Goal #1a: The results of the 2011- 2012 FCAT Math Test indicate that 40% (159) of students achieved level 3 proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school years is to increase level 3 student proficiency to 41% (163).	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	40% (159)	41% (163)					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1B.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.		

	1R·		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter narrative for the coal in this box.	r criormanec.	r criormance.					
8	out in this box.							
L								
		current level of performance in	data for expected level of					
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
			2a.1.		2a.1.	
		Students	Leadership Team		Formative: Monthly standards	
'	and 5 students showed an area	will be given		U 11	based assessments.	
	of deficiency in			of the skills taught on a monthly basis and adjust instruction as	Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0	
		to engage in			Math assessment	
mathematics	Measurement	mathematical				
		discourse and				
		problem solving				
	administration of the FCAT 2.0	activities				
		of cooperative				
		student learning				
	I	teams.				
	The deficiency					
	is due to limited					
	I	grade level				
		appropriate				
	to develop problem solving	activities that				
	activities	of geometric				
	through the use					
	of cooperative	and spatial				
	student learning					
		to develop				
		foundations for				
		understanding perimeter, area,				
		volume and				
		surface area.				

Mathematics Goal #2A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The results of the 2011- 2012 FCAT Math Test indicate that 38% (151)							
of students achieved proficiency (level 4 and 5).							
Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase level 4&5 to 39% (155)							
	38% (151)	39% (155)					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					

	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
in need of improvement for the following group:						
					3a.1.	
Percentage of			RTI Team, Administrative Team		Formative: Weekly basic skills	
.4	the 2012 FCAT Mathematics	basic skills assessment		assessments on a monthly basis to ensure progress is being made and	assessment data reports	
		data to identify			Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0	
mathematics.		students			Math test	
		who have not achieved				
	making learning					
	gains in math	concepts and				
	increase by 3%. The increase	provide targeted interventions				
		to meet those				
	teachers use and					
	experience in					
	differentiating mathematics					
	instruction.					
	L .					
	Teachers will continue					
	to provide					
	students with					
	opportunities					
	to develop problem solving					
	activities					
	through the use					
	of cooperative student learning					
	exploration					
	and inquiry					
	activities					

N. 4	2012 Current	2013 Expected	<u>r</u>			<u> </u>	
#2 A ·	Level of	Level of					
#3A.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
The results of the 2011- 2012 FCAT Mathematics							
Test indicate that 79%							
(210) of students made							
learning gains.							
Our goal for the 2012-2013							
school year is to increase							
the percent of students							
making learning gains in mathematics to 84% (223)							
	79% (210)	84% (223)					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	5A.3.	
-2.1.01144	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#2D.	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
goui in inis vox.							

e e	current level of performance in	data for expected level of					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

		_				
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:	4 1	4 1	4 1	4 1	4 1	
1. 1 C/11 2.0.		4a.1. Utilize weekly	4a.1. Administration, RTI Team		4a.1.	
		assessment	Administration, K11 Team		Formative: Bi-weekly assessment data reports;	
		data to identify			intervention assessments	
	Administration,			intervention as needed.	intervention assessments	
		who have			Summative: 2013 FCAT Math	
	that the percent				test	
mathematics.		mastery of				
	the lowest 25%					
	making learning	provide targeted				
	gains increased					
	by 5 percentage	to meet those				
	points.	needs.				
	Classroom					
	opportunities					
	to develop					
	problem solving					
	activities					
	through the use					
	of cooperative student learning					
	exploration					
	and inquiry					
	activities should					
	be continued					
	with more					
	frequency					
	to maintain					
	adequate					
	progress with					
	the lowest					
	performing					
	students.					

Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
80% (56)	85%(60)					
	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
•	Baseline data 2010-2011	78%	88%	90%	91%	92%	93%
school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	<u>86%</u>						
Mathematics Goal #5A: Our goal is to increase the proportion of students scoring at levels 3 and above and to decrease the proportion of students scoring at levels 1 and 2 by 50% over 6 years using the 2011 FCAT Administration Score as the baseline year. In order to reach our goal we must increase the percent of students scoring at level 3 or higher from 78% to 88% on the 2013 FCAT Reading Test.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: 5B.2.		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Događ on the analyzis	Anticipated	Ctratagri	Dargan or Degition	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
	Вагнег		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 G	2012 F					
		2013 Expected					
<u>#5C:</u>	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		1					
		50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	
	1	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Bututegy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Diametron 1001		
data and reference to	Durrier		responsible for Workforing	2. Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#5D:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	r criormance.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
gour in inis oom							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
	ļ						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.	2012 C	2012 F					
	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
#5E:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box. 5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		SE.2.	DE.Z.	SE.2.	DE.2.	JE.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	
				1			

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.		IA.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
#1B:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
		this box. 1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		110.2.	10.2.	ID.2.	110.2.	110.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement							
Levels 4 and 5 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#2 ∆ ·	Level of	Level of					
<i></i>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		24.2	2 4 2	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	ZA.3.	ZA.3.	ZA.3.	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate							
	I	1					
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.			l .		ļ		

Mathematics Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	2111118)	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group: 3A. FCAT 2.0:	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
Percentage of	JA.1.	JA.1.	JA.1.	JA.1.	5A.1.		
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.	2012 G	2012 F / 1					
	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
#3A:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
gout in this oom							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	aata jor current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		J. 1.2.	51 1. 2 .	51 3.2.	51 a. <u>s</u> .	51 1. 2 .	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							

1	#3B.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
20111 2000	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years, school will reduce	Baseline data 2010-2011						
their achievement gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal #5A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic,	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		

Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

			•				
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
THE COURT OF THE COURT	Level of	Level of					
#5C:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	i criormance.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	27	F 4					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		1					
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
subgroup:							

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#5D:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	r criormance.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
gour in inis oom							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
	ļ						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroup:							
5E. Economically	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
#5E:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

ool Mathemat	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.			3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

				<i>C</i> 1 (<i>C</i>) E			
Algebra 1 EOC Goals	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Algebra 1 Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra 1.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Algebra Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
3A. In six years,	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce							
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Sup by cover							
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
subgroups by	White:						
41 . 4 (3371.4	Black:						
	Hispanic: Asian:						
Asian, American	American Indian:						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							

		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	White:	White:					
		Black:					
		Hispanic:					
		Asian:					
		American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroup:							
o c. English	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
		2013 Expected					
		Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	<u>r orrormanco.</u>	l contonianco.					
5							
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

				<i>C</i> 1 (<i>C</i>) E			
Geometry EOC Goals	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Geometry Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Geometry.							
	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

their achievement	2012-2013 Baseline data 2011- 2012	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
gap by 50%.			ĺ			
Geometry Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White,	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	

Geometry Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance.*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in this box. White: Black:	data for expected level of					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroup:							
3C. English	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3C:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
50							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3D:		2013 Expected					
E4		Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
5							
		Enter numerical					
		data for expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

			i	1		İ	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
3E. Economically	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3E:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
		Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in					
		this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional				
Development				
(PD) aligned with				
Strategies through	ı			
Professional				

Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Success Maker	K-5	Lead Teacher	Grade K-5 Mathematics teachers	September 17, 2012	Interventions schedule; reports from computer assisted program	Administrative Team
Gizmos	3-5	Lead Teacher	Grade 3-5 Mathematics teachers	September 26, 2012	Grade level planning sessions; reports from computer assisted program	Administrative Team
Reflex Math	K-5	Lead Teacher	Grade K-5 Mathematics teachers	August 16, 2012	Grade level planning sessions; reports from computer assisted program	Administrative Team

<u>Mathematics Budget</u> (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Goals 1-4	Reflex Math	School-Based Funding	\$3,000.00
Goals 1-4	Gizmos Math Program	School-Based Funding	\$1680.00
Subtotal: \$3,000.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Goals 1-4	Gizmo's Training	School-Based Funding	\$1500.00
Subtotal: \$1500.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total: \$6180.00			

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1a. FCAT 2.0: Students	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	la.1.	1a.1.		
			Science Curriculum Team and	Teams will review the results	Formative: School site		
scoring at Achievement	deficiency	opportunities		of school site assessment data	monthly assessments		
Level 3 in science.		to compare,		to monitor student progress			
		contrast,			Summative: 2013 FCAT		
	data has been	interpret, analyze			2.0 Science test		
		and explain					
	of Science.	science concepts					
	Students need to						
		on lab activities					
	order thinking skills in order to	and classroom					
	increase levels of						
		order thinking					
		skills.					
		Provide activities					
		for students					
		to design and					
		develop science					
		and engineering					
		projects to					
		increase					
		scientific					
		thinking and the development and					
		implementation					
		of inquiry based					
		activities that					
		allow for testing					
		of hypothesizes,					
		data analysis,					
		explanation of					
		variables and					
		experimental					
		design in life					
G : G 1//1	2012 (science.					
Science Goal #1a:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
		Performance:*					
On the 2012 Administration of	r ci ioimance.	r criormance.					
the Science FCAT, 50% (75) of							
students achieved proficiency (FCAT Level 3).							
Our goal for the 2012-2013 school							
year is to increase the percent of							
students scoring achievement level							
3 in science to 52% (78).							
ì							
					ļ.	ļ	

	50%(75)	52% (78)					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
		14.5.	14.3.	14.5.	14.5.	14.5.	
1b. Florida Alternate	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Assessment: Students							
scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6							
in science.							
Science Goal #1b:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
1	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	r el formance.	r errormance.					
00.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in this	expected level of performance in this					
	box.	box.					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
		10.5.	10.5.	10.5.	10.5.	10.5.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of				Strategy			
improvement for the following							
group:							

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students	2a.1	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	İ	
	According to	Identify students		Projects will be reviewed	Projects will be reviewed		
scoring at or above		scoring 4 and 5	Liaison	quarterly using a rubric to be sure	neriodically using a		
Achievement Levels 4 and	data, students	on the reading		students are making progress and	rubric to be sure students		
5 in science.	need additional	and mathematics		that adjustments are being made	are making progress		
	opportunities to	portion of		as necessary.	and that adjustments are		
	increase levels	the FCAT			being made as necessary.		
		and provide					
		them with					
	the reporting	opportunities					
	category of Nature of	to develop independent,					
	Science.	experimental					
	Belefice.	and real world					
		projects.					
		Provide					
		opportunities for					
		all students to					
		engage in project					
		based learning					
		that supports higher order					
		thinking skills					
		needed to achieve					
		the highest levels					
		of proficiency					
		specifically in					
		the reporting					
		category of					
G : G 1//2	2012 G	Nature of Science					
Science Goal #2a:	2012 Current Level of	2013Expected Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
On the 2012 Administration of	CHOITIANCE.	ci formance.					
the Science FCAT, 21% (31) of							
students scored above proficiency							
(FCAT Level 4 and 5).							
Our goal for the 2012-2013 school							
year is to increase the percent of							
students scoring levels 4 & 5 to							
22% (32)							
	21%(31)	22%(32)					
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	

		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.		2b.1.	2.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_			•	·
High School Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

		1 a					
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to							
"Guiding Questions",							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
science.							
Science Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
Sout in this bott							
	E	F					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
		expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
T 1 0 T 1 11	•		1 ~ 1 1 ~	•	•		

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achievem			

			•	•	<u>i</u>	i .	
	ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement							
Level 3 in Biology 1.							
Biology 1 Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Biology 1.		L					

Biology 1 Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*						
	current level of performance in	data for expected level of					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Gizmos	4-5	Lead Teacher	4th and 5th grade Science teachers	September 2012 – Ongoing	Grade-level planning sessions; reports from computer assisted programs	Administrative Team
PLC Focus: Hands-on approach to teaching Science concepts	K-5	Science Leader	K-5 Science Teachers	October 24, 2012	Classroom walk-thrus	Administrative Team

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Science Budget (misert rows as nee	I	1	
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
1.1	Hands on science kits and manipulatives	School-based budget	\$2,000.00
Subtotal: \$2,000.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
2.1	Gizmos Science and Math program	School-based funding	\$1,680.00

Subtotal: \$1,680.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total: \$3,680.00			

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT:	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	
	On the 2012	Students will		Review monthly writing	Formative: Student's scores on	
Students scoring at	Administration			assignments with teachers to ensure		
Achievement Level	of the Writing	small group		progress is being made and adjust	monthly writing assessments	
3.0 and higher in		instruction			Summative: 2013 FCAT	
writing.	(115) of	with a focus on			Writing Assessment	
writing.		elaboration.		"Writer's Workshop" in K-5	Witting Lissessment	
		Monthly		Language Arts classes.		
		writing				
	yearly progress	assignments				
		will be				
	However, only					
		monitor writing				
		progress.				
	proficient	'Units of Study				
	according to the					
		Writing K-5"				
	score of 4.0-6.0.					
		in to assist				
	Limited	with Writing				
	professional	instruction.				
		Students will				
		develop writing				
		portfolios				
		showcasing				
		writing pieces				
		centered on				
	standards in	prewriting,				
	writing may	drafting,				
		revising, editing	5			
	writing scores.	and publishing.				
		After-school				
		tutoring will				
		be provided for				
		small groups				
		of students				
		needing extra assistance with				
		revision.				

On the 2012 administration		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	90%(115)	91%(116)					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.		IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.		
Writing Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this b	Dorformonoo:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		1B.2.	IB.2.	IB.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Primary Pizzazz Writing Workshop	K-2	Melissa Forney	All K-2 Teachers	August 13, 2012	Review writing samples to monitor the effectiveness of writing instruction.	Leadership Team
Razzle Dazzle Writing Workshop	3-5	Melissa Forney	All Language Arts Teachers in 3-5	August 14, 2012	Review writing samples to monitor the effectiveness of writing instruction.	Leadership Team
Data Chats: Making instructional decisions based on student assessment outcomes	K-5	Lead Teacher, Grade Level Chairperson	School-wide	9/12/12, 10/17/12, 11/14/12, 12/12/12, 1/23/13, 2/20/13, 3/20/13, 4/10/13, 5/22/13	Review benchmark assessment data to measure progress correlated to the NGSSS Focus Calendars	Assistant Principal, Principal

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Willing Dudget (Insert rows as net	oucu)		
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
1.1	Melissa Forney's Primary Pizzaz and Razzle Dazzle	School-Based Budget	\$2,500.00
Subtotal: \$2,500.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total: \$2,500.00			

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

S = 5 -:							
Civics EOC	Problem-						
Goals	Solving						
	Process to						
	Increase						
	Student						
	Achievem						
	ent						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to "Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement							
Level 3 in Civics.							
Civics Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
4 and 5 in Civics.							
	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Civics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
	D : (CD	P 1: 0	
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			

Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

				<i>E</i> 1 (<i>E</i>) E			
U.S. History EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
U.S. History Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in U.S.							
History.							
U.S. History Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
		Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional		•				
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community						
(PLC) or PD						
Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s) Based on the analysis	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendance	Christian	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring		Evaluation 1001	
1. Attendance	warnings may increase absences.	I.1. Maintain a clean environment throughout the school. Teach and emulate healthy choices and illness prevention strategies such as hand washing.			1.1. School-wide walkthroughs	
Attendance Goal #1: Our goal for this year is to increase attendance to 97.32% by minimizing absences by creating a welcoming environment for our students. In addition, our goal for this year is to decrease the number of students with excessive absences and excessive tardiness by 5 percent.	2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*				
	97.32	98%				

2012 Current Number of Stu with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected dents Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)					
8% (61)	3%					
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tard (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
1% (5)	0%					
	1.2. Our school's arrival area is easily impacted by traffic patterns in the surrounding residences.	1.2. Provide extended supervision time to students prior to the start of the school day to widen the arrival window.	Team and Administration	Administrators will monitor tardy logs quarterly to ensure students are coming to school on time and meet to adjust the arrival supervision time as needed.	1.2. Attendance record results	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Attendance Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			
PLC activity.			

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Truancy Prevention	K-5	Assistant Principal	K-5 Teachers and support staff	August 2012	Review of attendance records	School counselor and Administrative Team

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Truancy Prevention	Provide incentives for students receiving perfect attendance in each quarter of the 2012-2013 school year	School-Based budget	\$200.00
Subtotal: \$200.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Additional Support Staff	Staff to provide morning supervision	School-based budget	\$1,728.00
Subtotal: \$1,728.00			

Total: \$1,928.00			
	Total: \$1,928.00	Total: \$1,928.00	Total: \$1,928.00

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	, 	the number of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the p	creentage (e.g. 707)	0 (33)).	
Suspension	Problem-						
Goal(s)	solving						
Goar(s)							
	Process to						
	Decrease						
	Suspension						
	•						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of suspension data, and	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and				Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement:							
1. Suspension	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
-	The total numbers of		School Counselor	Conduct classroom	Conduct classroom		
	indoor and outdoor	implement the			walkthroughs and		
		Positive Behavior			monitoring of Office		
	significantly low.	Incentive System, Character		monitoring of Office Discipline Referrals.	Discipline Referrals and Conflict resolution		
	Maintaining such a	Development, and			programs.		
	small percentage of	Conflict Resolution			programs.		
	suspensions can be a	programs initiated by					
	challenge.	our School Counselor					
		that focuses on					
		positive student behavior and rewards					
		students for doing the					
		right thing.					
Suspension Goal #1:	2012 Total Number	2013 Expected					
•	of In -School	Number of					
Our goal for the 2012 -	Suspensions	In- School					
2013 school year is to		<u>Suspensions</u>					
maintain or decrease							
the total number of suspensions.							
suspensions.							
	0	Enter numerical data					
		for expected number of					
	2012 77 + 134	in-school suspensions					
	2012 Total Number of Students	2013 Expected Number of Students					
	In-School	In -School					
	<u>Suspended</u> <u>In-School</u>	<u>Suspended</u> <u>In -School</u>					

0	Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended in- school					
Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
1	Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended out- of- school					
of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
1	Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended out- of- school					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Suspension 1 Total						
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early		Person or Position Responsible for
and/or PLC Focus	Subject	and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Monitoring
Code of Student		School		PLC's: October 2012,		School Counselor and
Conduct Review	K-5	Counselor	School-Wide	1	Review of suspension records	Administrative Team
		C C 41115 & 1 C 1		2013, May 2013		101111111111111111111111111111111111111
Peer Mediation		School		PLC's: October 2012,		School Counselor and
	K-5	Counselor	School-Wide	1	Student Participation records	Administrative Team
				2013, May 2013		

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Suspension Budget (Insert Town as			
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			

Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Peer Mediators Training	Printing of training manual	School-Based Budget	\$50
Subtotal: \$50.00			
Total: \$50.00			
Total:			

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

* When using percer	itages, include	the number of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the pe	ercentage (e.g. 70%)	0 (35)).	
Dropout	Problem-						
Prevention	solving						
Goal(s)	Process to						
	Dropout						
	Prevention						
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
parent involvement data,	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001		
and reference to "Guiding				Strategy			
Questions," identify and							
define areas in need of							
improvement:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
I	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Prevention	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Dropout Rate:*	Dropout Rate:*					
Dropout Prevention	Diopout ruit.	Diopour ruite.					
Goal #1:							
Enter narrative for the goal							
in this box.							
*Please refer to the							
percentage of students							
who dropped out during							
the 2011-2012 school							
year.							
		Enter numerical data					
		for expected dropout					
		rate in this box.					
	2012 Current Graduation Rate:*	2013 Expected					
	Graduation Kate:*	Citaduation Kate:*					

this box.	graduation rate in this box. 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

when using percentage	es, include the	inumber of s	dudents the percentage	e represents next to the p	ercentage (e.g. 707)	0 (33)).	
Parent Involvement	Problem-						
Goal(s)	solving						
	Process						
	to Parent						
	Involveme						
	nt						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
to "Guiding Questions," identify	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy			
and define areas in need of improvement:							
1. Parent Involvement	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	Maintaining a	Increase	Administrative Team,	Review of volunteer hour	Volunteer Hours Record		
	high percentage	opportunities	Teachers and PALs	records and school activity	Sheets		
	of parental	for parents	Organization	plans to ensure effectiveness of			
	involvement can		8	strategy.			
		through targeted					
	due to the limited						
	number of school						
	activities we can						
		Assist our					
	•	Parent As					
		Liaisons (PALs)					
		organization					
		in its efforts to					
		recruit parent					
		volunteers					
		by providing					
		class incentives					
		for 100%					
		participation.					

#1: During the 2011-2012 school year the percent of parents who completed at least 30 volunteer hours was 75 % (562). Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to maintain or increase our 78% (585) percentage point of parental involvement.	Level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*					
	75% (562)	78% (585)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
School Activities	K-5	Administrative Team	Grade Level Chairpersons	September 2012 – Ongoing	Maintain records of parental involvement in school activities	Administration

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-	:012-2	·2013 S	School Im	provement	Plan	(SIP))-Form	SIP-
---	--------	---------	-----------	-----------	------	-------	--------	------

ſ				,
- 1				
- 1				

Parent Involvement Budget

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Materials and Handouts	School-based budget	\$300.00	
	Description of Resources Description of Resources Description of Resources	Description of Resources Funding Source Description of Resources Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source	Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Description of Resources Funding Source Amount

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	of Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics Strategy.	1.1. Increase opportunities for authentic hands-on activities that integrate Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics. Increase participation in the Miami-Dade County Youth Fair Science Exhibition by promoting incentives such as free admonition to the Fair .	Professional Learning Community members. Administrators and Science Coach.	1.1 Participation in STEM activities such as the Science Fair, SECME competition and Math Brain Bowl. Review the number of students who submit projects to the Fair and compare to the previous year's numbers.	1.1. Participation logs and competition results.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Science	K-5	Principal	Science PLC members	2012-2013 Monthly meetings	Monthly meeting minutes	PLC Chairperson
Math	K-5	Assistant Principal	Math PLC members	2012-2013 Monthly meetings	Monthly meeting minutes	PLC Chairperson

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
1.1	Science Fair set-up	School-Based Funding	\$200.00	
Subtotal: \$200.00				
Total: \$200.00				
	•	•		

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					1.1.
	1.2.			1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s) Based on the analysis of school	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt		Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Barrier	Sualegy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
1. Additional Goal	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Additional Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
this box.							
	data for current	Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g.,	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
	yee	PLC Leader	school-wide)	frequency of meetings)		

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
Treating Dauget	Total: \$43,500.00
CELLA Budget	
	Total: \$4,000.00
Mathematics Budget	
	Total: \$6,180.00
Science Budget	
	Total: \$2,000.00
Writing Budget	
	Total: \$2,500.00
Civics Budget	
	Total: \$0.00
U.S. History Budget	
v G	Total: \$0.00
Attendance Budget	
0	Total: \$1,928.00
Suspension Budget	·
auspension 2 auget	Total: \$50.00
Dropout Prevention Budget	
Disposit Terention Budget	Total: \$0.00
Parent Involvement Budget	TOTALL WOOD
Tarcht involvement budget	Total: \$300.00
STEM Budget	10ιαι. φ500.00
STEW Buuget	Total: \$200.00
CTE D. Jan.	1 Utai. 5200.00
CTE Budget	T-4-1, 00.00
	Total: \$0.00
Additional Goals	T - 1 0/0 (70 of
	Total: \$60,658.00

2012	-2013	School	Improvement	Plan	(SIP)-Form S	SIP-1
------	-------	--------	--------------------	------	------	----------	-------

Grand Total: \$60,658.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

Are you reward school? □Yes □No

(A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

□ Yes □ No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

Encourage parental involvement by developing partnerships with local businesses that can assist in supporting school initiatives.

Offer tutorial services to assist students who are not meeting standards in Reading and Mathematics.

Monitor the implementation of the School Improvement Plan SIP through ongoing data analysis.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.

Amount

Funds to pay for tutors in Free after school tutoring program	\$ 1,950.00