FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: LAUDERHILL MIDDLE SCHOOL

District Name: Broward

Principal: Mrs. Jeannie B. Floyd

SAC Chair: Mr. Raymond Thomas

Superintendent: Mr. Robert Runcie

Date of School Board Approval: 12/4/12

Last Modified on: 10/24/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
		Masters Degree in Elementary Education (K-6)			Principal of Lauderhill Middle School in: 2011-2012: Grade: F Reading Mastery: 21% Learning Gains in Reading: 59% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 61% Mathematics Mastery: 20% Learning Gains in Mathematics: 52% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Mathematics: 56% Writing Mastery: 59% Science Mastery: 13% AYP: Subgroups that did not make AYP Black and Economically Disadvantaged 2010-2011: Grade: D Reading Mastery: 40% Learning Gains in Reading: 58% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 71% Mathematics Mastery: 40% Learning Gains in Mathematics: 59% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in

Principal	Mrs. Jeannie B. Floyd	in Educational Leadership (K- 12) Elementary Education (1-6) Educational Leadership K-12 ESOL Endorsed	4	18	did not make AYP Black and Economically Disadvantaged 2009-2010: Grade: C Reading Mastery: 44% Learning Gains in Reading: 62% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 69% Mathematics Mastery: 45% Learning Gains in Mathematics: 65% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Mathematics: 68% Science Mastery: 18% Writing Mastery: 86% AYP: Subgroups that did not make AYP in Math: Black and Economically Disadvantaged Principal of Park Lakes Elementary in: 2008-2009 Grade: B Reading Mastery: 58% Learning Gains in Reading: 66% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 61% Mathematics Mastery: 62% Learning Gains in Mathematics: 74% Science Mastery: 30% Writing Mastery: 92% Subgroups that did not make AYP:
Assis Principal	Mr. Antonio Lindsay	Bachelor's Degree in Elementary Education Master's Degree in Educational Leadership (K- 12) Elementary Education 1-6 Educational Leadership K-12 ESOL Endorsed	7	7	Economically Disadvantaged, ELL, ESE Assistant Principal of Lauderhill Middle School in: 2011-2012: Grade: F Reading Mastery: 21% Learning Gains in Reading: 59% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 61% Mathematics Mastery: 20% Learning Gains in Mathematics: 52% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Mathematics: 56% Writing Mastery: 59% Science Mastery: 13% AYP: Subgroups that did not make AYP Black and Economically Disadvantaged 2010-2011: Grade: D Reading Mastery: 40% Learning Gains in Reading: 58% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 71% Mathematics Mastery: 40% Learning Gains in Mathematics: 59% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Mathematics: 71% Writing Mastery: 70% Science Mastery: 13% AYP: Subgroups that did not make AYP Black and Economically Disadvantaged 2009-2010: Grade: C Reading Mastery: 44% Learning Gains in Reading: 62% Learning Gains in Reading: 62% Learning Gains in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 69% Mathematics Mastery: 45% Learning Gains in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 69% Mathematics Mastery: 45% Learning Gains in Mathematics: 65% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 69% Mathematics Mastery: 45% Learning Gains in Mathematics: 68% Science Mastery: 18% Writing Mastery: 86% AYP: Subgroups that did not make AYP in Math: Black and Economically Disadvantaged Assistant Principal at Lauderhill Middle
Assis Principal	Mr. Gastrid Harrigan	Bachelors of Science in Electrical Engineering Master in Educational Leadership, Mathematics 6- 12	3	5	Assistant Frincipal at Laudernin Middle School in: 2011-2012: Grade: F Reading Mastery: 21% Learning Gains in Reading: 59% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 61% Mathematics Mastery: 20% Learning Gains in Mathematics: 52% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Mathematics: 56% Writing Mastery: 59% Science Mastery: 13% AYP: Subgroups that did not make AYP Black and Economically Disadvantaged 2010-2011: Grade: D Reading Mastery: 40% Learning Gains in Reading: 58% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 71% Writing Mastery: 70% Science Mastery: 13% AYP: Subgroups that did not make AYP: Black and Economically Disadvantaged

		Educational Leadership K-12			Assistant Principal of Pine Ridge Educational Center in:
		·			2009-2010 Mathematics 6- 12
					Educational Leadership K-12
					Grade: N/A Reading Learning Gains: 41% Math Learning Gains: 43% Writing Mastery:
					90% AYP: Subgroups that did not make
					AYP: Economically Disadvantaged (Math)
					2008-2009 Grade: DECLINING Rating Reading Learning Gains: 32% Math
					Learning Gains: 59% Writing Mastery: 62%
					AYP: School did not make AYP in all subgroups
					Assistant Principal at Lauderhill Middle School
					2011-2012: Grade: F Reading Mastery: 21% Learning Gains in Reading: 59% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 61% Mathematics Mastery: 20% Learning Gains in Mathematics: 52% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Mathematics: 56% Writing Mastery: 59% Science Mastery: 13% AYP: Subgroups that
					did not make AYP Black and Economically Disadvantaged
					2010-2011: Grade: D Reading Mastery: 40% Learning Gains in Reading: 58% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 71% Writing Mastery: 70% Science Mastery: 13% AYP: Subgroups that did not make AYP: Black and Economically Disadvantaged
		Master's Dogree			Science Teacher of Millennium Middle School in:
Assis Principal	Mrs. Daphne T. Johnson- Browne	Master's Degree in Educational Leadership Educational Leadership K-12 Elementary Education 1-6	3	3	2009-2010 Grade: A Reading Mastery: 71% Learning Gains in Reading: 69% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 65% Mathematics Mastery: 74% Learning Gains in Mathematics: 75% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Mathematics: 68% Science Mastery: 45% Writing Mastery: 94% AYP: Subgroups that did not make AYP in Reading were English Language Learners and Students with Disabilities Subgroups that did not make AYP in Math were Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, and English Language Learners Science Teacher of Millennium Middle School in:
					2008-2009 Grade: A Reading Mastery: 67% Learning Gains in Reading: 66% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 75% Mathematics Mastery: 74% Learning Gains in Mathematics: 75% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Mathematics: 71% Science Mastery: 39% Writing Mastery: 96% AYP: Subgroups that did not make AYP in Reading were English Language Learners and Students with Disabilities Subgroups that did not make AYP in Math were Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, and English Language Learners

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
					2010-2011:

Reading	Ms. Apryl Freeman	Masters Reading (Grades K-12) Elementary Ed. (Grades 1-6) ESOL Endorsement	4	2	2011-2012: Grade: F Reading Mastery: 21% Learning Gains in Reading: 59% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 61% AYP: Subgroups that did not make AYP Black and Economically Disadvantaged Reading Teacher: 2010-2011: Grade: D Reading Mastery: 40% Learning Gains in Reading: 58% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 71% AYP: Subgroups that did not make AYP: Black and Economically Disadvantaged Reading Teacher: 2009-2010 Grade: C Reading Mastery: 44% Learning Gains in Reading: 62% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 69% AYP: Black and Economically Disadvantaged subgroups achieved AYP in Reading 2008-2009 Grade: C Reading Mastery: 38% Learning Gains in Reading: 59% Learning gains in lowest 25 percentile in Reading: 78% AYP: Subgroups that did not make AYP: Black and Economically Disadvantaged
Math	Carol Turner	Math Certification (5-9) ESOL Endorsement Education Specialist (Mathematics Education) Master of Education (Management and Administration of Education Programs) Bachelor of Science (Mathematics)	2	3	Math Coach 2010-2011 District Personnel 2011-2012: Grade: F Mathematics Mastery: 20% Learning Gains in Mathematics: 52% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Mathematics: 56% AYP: Subgroups that did not make AYP Black and Economically Disadvantaged District Personnel 2009 - 2010 Coconut Creek HS Math Mastery: 56% Learning Gains in Math: 71% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Math: 71% 2008-2009 Coconut Creek HS Math Mastery: 56% Learning Gains in Math: 68% Learning gains of students in lowest 25 percentile in Math: 64%
Science	Mrs. Valerie Hinton	Biology (6-8) Chemistry (6-12) GIFTED Endorsement Masters in Science	2	2	Science Coach: 2011-2012: Grade: F Science Mastery: 13% AYP: Subgroups that did not make AYP Black and Economically Disadvantaged 2010-2011 District Personnel 2009-2010 Coconut Creek HS Science Mastery: 22% 2008-2009 Coconut Creek HS Science Mastery: 23%

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Advertising via Broward School Board Website	District Personnel	Ongoing	
2	Winning Wednesday Trainings	Reading, Writing, Science and Math Coach	August 2012- May 2013	
3	Peer Teacher Mentoring	Reading, Math, Writing, Science Coaches, Administration	August 2012- May 2013	
4	Instructional Coaching and Professional Development	Math, Reading, Writing, Science Coaches / Administration	August 2012- 2013	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
N/A	N/A

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers		% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
38	5.3%(2)	21.1%(8)	57.9%(22)	21.1%(8)	34.2%(13)	100.0%(38)	18.4%(7)	2.6%(1)	89.5%(34)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Carol Turner	Mr. Goldson	Common subject area- Mathematics Coach	Lesson planning, classroom management strategies, data analysis
Valerie Hinton	Ms. Knowles	Common subject area- Science	Lesson planning, classroom management strategies, data analysis
Valerie Hinton	Mr. Estrada	Common subject area- Science	Lesson planning, classroom management strategies, data analysis
Carol Turner	Mr. McNally	Common Subject Area- Math	Lesson Planning, classroom management strategies, data analysis

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Funds will be utilized for Staff Development and Parental Involvement activities. Lauderhill Middle School has been identified as a school that will implement a STEM Science and Math Program starting in the 2012-2013 school year. In addition, trainings will remain consistent with the three school-wide initiatives: CHAMPS (Classroom Management), Technology Infusion and Differentiated Instruction. Supplemental materials are purchased to support the three initiatives such as Incorporating Differentiated Instruction and Classroom Management books, graphic organizers and manipulatives. In addition, all teachers are encouraged to seek Reading Endorsement. As a result, funds will be utilized to encourage all interested teachers to attend Reading Endorsement trainings. Parental Involvement activities will include quarterly FCAT Family nights to focus on dissemination of pertinent FCAT information and provide updates as to the progression of content areas in meeting school

improvement goals. Title I, Part C- Migrant N/A Title I, Part D N/A Title II N/A Title III An LEP committee is formed to assist in diagnostic testing of students and classifications. Additional services are provided per classification based on language acquisition need. Title X- Homeless N/A Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) Funds will be utilized to provide tutorials and enrichment programs as well as pullout classes. These classes will take place before school and during the school day. Additionally, programs on Saturdays will be available targeting the lowest 25% in Math and Reading. All pullout programs will contain research based materials and strategies. The FCIM model is used to determine who needs reteaching and who needs enrichment. Extensive academic support is provided to low performing students through the SAI programs. Violence Prevention Programs • The School Resource Officer (SRO) program focuses on juvenile delinquency through frequent contacts with children and through programs specifically developed to respond to factors and conditions, which give rise to delinquency. The campus SRO works with school staff and students to identify and address issues of concern. · Lauderhill Middle instituted a School Safety Week to promote daily activities that encourage a proactive attitude towards safety and bullying issues. • Youth Crime Watch promotes school safety and offers young people an active role in reducing crime. Youth Crime Watch members are selected at the start of the school year. • The Anti-Bullying Program requires teachers and staff to utilize a variety of prevention and intervention activities and includes tools and resources that create environments of safety and respect and expectations of appropriate behavior. This program is introduced to students at grade level assemblies and follow-up is provided in classroom presentations by Guidance Counselors. · Lauderhill Middle participates in the Silence Hurts Program, a campaign designed to help students break the code of silence and report crime or violence anonymously. Silence Hurts posters and flyers are posted around the campus • A Foundations cohort has been formed at Lauderhill Middle to focus on school tardies and tracking discipline data. The Foundations committee meets on a monthly basis. **Nutrition Programs** Lauderhill Middle School participates in Healthy Schools Alliance Program to educate and inform students about making good nutritional decisions and developing healthy eating habits. To increase physical activity and enhance awareness of nutrition, Lauderhill Middle School participates in the SPARKS Physical Education Program. Housing Programs N/A Head Start N/A Adult Education N/A Career and Technical Education

N/A

N/A

Oth	er		

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Lauderhill Middle's RtI (Response to Intervention) is aligned and used to help the struggling students in reading, math, language arts and science. The team is comprised of:

- Guidance Director-Janet Jackson
- Guidance Counselor-Arnetta Davis
- ESE Specialist-Arnise Lewis
- · Social Worker-Ms. Sobers / Mrs. Jussome
- · Family Counselor-
- ESE Family Counselor-Elise Gabriele
- · School Psychologist-Danielle Coll
- · School Nurse- Mr. Kim
- · Administrator-Gastrid Harrigan

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The RTI Leadership Group meets bi-monthly to discuss students who are in need of academic or behavioral services. The team discusses the concerns and suggests appropriate interventions and strategies to address concerns. The team reviews student data, academic progress, and behavioral progress.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Tier 1 data is routinely inspected by the CPS team in the areas of reading, math, writing, science and behavior. Data is used to make decisions about modifications needed to the core curriculum and behavior management strategies for all students. These same data are also used to screen for at-risk students who may be in need of Tier 2 or 3 interventions; all such students are referred to the CPS team for consideration of how best to proceed.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Academic and behavior data is used to screen for students who may be in need or interventions. The data sources for tiers 2 and 3 include the intervention records and progress monitoring graphs generated for individual students.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The Guidance Director, Guidance Counselor, Family Counselor, and Social Worker will train the staff on the RtI process. Teachers will be trained in the completion of the RTI documents and learn various strategies to us with students academically and behaviorally during Staff PD's.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

There will be a MTSS specialist assigned to assist with the follow up of the implementation of MTSS.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The Leadership Literacy Team includes the following: Principal, Reading Coach, Mathematics Coach, Writing Coach, Science Coach, Administrators, Department Heads, and Media Specialist.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Leadership Literacy Team will meet at least once a month. The team will develop a plan to promote literacy throughout the school and meet monthly to discuss progress.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

- · Engage in regular, ongoing, literacy professional development
- Participate in Professional Learning Communities and Study Groups
- Use data to analyze the effectiveness of instruction and redesign instruction and resources to meet the student's instructional and intervention needs
- Implement the Comprehensive Core Reading Programs or Comprehensive Intensive Reading Programs
- Create and share activities designed to promote literacy
- · Support and participate in classroom demonstrations and modeling
- Present staff development that focuses on literacy strategies

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/19/2012)

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

N/A

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

A school wide literacy plan is instituted to assist every teacher in improving student literacy. Every classroom has a reading library. In addition, Lauderhill Middle School has instituted, for the third consecutive year, a program that focuses on teaching reading and vocabulary strategies entitled STEMULATING MORNINGS .-Strategies, Methods, And Reading Techniques. The master schedule was adjusted such that the first 30 minutes of every school day is devoted to the STEMULATING MORNINGS Program. The STEMULATING MORNINGS program focuses on improving skills within reading benchmark areas such as Words in Context, Main Idea, Author's Purpose, Plot and Character (Story Elements), Validity of Information, Reference and Research, Cause and Effect, and Compare and Contrast. Weekly assessments are administered to determine grasp of concepts.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

N/A

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u>

N/A		
-----	--	--

Feedback Report

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

31% (127)

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.

In grades 6-8, 36% of students tested will achieve Level 3 proficiency on the 2012 FCAT Reading Assessment

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

36% (145)

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students lack endurance and instruction being provided lacks the ability to address students' deficiences) Introduce a 4 week Instructional Cycle with assessment. 2) Review assessment 3) Group students according to proficiency 4) Implement the full coaching cycle to build capacity with teachers to differentiate instruction. 5).To implement Drop Everything and Read schedule in the mornings every Tues and Thursday 6). Rigor and assisting in sequencing instruction 7).PLC on Closed Reading	Reading Coach / Administration	Progress monitoring calendar Post assessment CWT with a focus on review of assessment and feedback to teachers Analysis of assessment results Coaches scheduling log Review Reading Logs	Chats
2	Lack of proper implementation steps (Gradual Release)	1).Teachers will implement "I Do, We Do, You Do" into all of their lessons. 2).Reading Coach and grade level reading teachers will plan together 3.) Conduct an in-house PLC on the Gradual Release Model	Reading Coach / Administration	1. Classroom Walkthroughs 2. Lesson Plans	Lesson Plans
3	Implementation of Common Core Standards to help navigate through complex text.	Introduce and add close reading and evaluation of text complexity with teachers.	Reading Coach / Administration	Classroom Walkthroughs Lesson Plans	Lesson Plans

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.

In grades 6-8 35% of the total students tested will achieve a level 4 or higher on the FAA

Reading Goal #1b:

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
31% ((127)		35% (145)	35% (145)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Range of Reading and Level of Text Complexity	and exposure to a variety	ESE Specialist Reading Coach Administrators		data CWT Log	

I	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
Level -	AT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievemer 4 in reading. ng Goal #2a:	In Grades 6-8,	15% of the total students tested will achieve 5 proficiency on 2012 FCAT Reading			
2012 (Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
9% (39	9)	15% (43)				
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
		Person or	Process Used to			

Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students lack exposure Incorporate literature Reading Coach, Classroom Walkthroughs, Cycle Assessment to higher order questions circles through quarterly Administrators Lesson Plans, and Model data and exposure to a variety novel studies effective strategies and of informational text how to ask and generate CWT Log To utilize daily essential higher order questions. sources. questioning and ticket out the door activities Higher order questioning through using test specs and question stems. PLCs to review unwrapping FCAT 2.0 benchmarks to help teachers develop higher order questions Introduce Common Core Standards Lack of exposure to a To increase knowledge Reading Coach, Review of cycle Cycle & District variety of informational and exposure to a variety Administrator assessments and district Assessments text sources and text patterns.. Trainings assessment data opportunities to read a on DI groups, spiraling Book checkout log variety of literature activities, CIS- NGCAR-Reading Coach and Media PD AND CCSS roll out for Specialist will monitor Sign-in Sheet for students utilizing teachers. teacher/class usage media center in Classroom libraries the morning and

Media Center Schedule

afternoon

for teachers to take students		
Roll out the use of Book Adventure website in place of Accelerated Reader		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in In grades 6-8 28% of the total students tested will achieve a reading. level 7 or higher on the FAA Reading Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 23% (111) 28% (120) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Range of Reading and To increase knowledge ESE Specialist Classroom Walkthroughs, Cycle Assessment Level of Text Complexity and exposure to a variety Reading Coach Lesson Plans, and Model data Administrators complex text patterns effective strategies and CWT Log how to ask and generate higher order questions.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:	In grades 6-8, 66% of students tested will achieve learning gains on the 2012 FCAT Reading Assessment				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
59%(270)	66% (288)				

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Review of student data Cycle Assessments Lack of student 1. Conduct monthly Classroom teacher BAT I and II monitoring and data student data chats and and admin. folders during classroom Profiles driven instruction and review mini assessment walkthroughs / Panther evidence of and district assessment implementation steps progress 2. Student led data chats that focus on what helped students achieve or why they struggled 3. Full Coaching cycle and support

Differentiated Instruction

		with materials and co- teaching for teachers to meet student needs based off of data.			
2	Lack of endurance for sustained reading and vocabulary development	To implement Drop Everything and Read every Thursday and Friday school-wide during the first 20 minutes of school. utilize vocabulary improvement strategies. Incorporate the use of Word Wisdom Vocabulary program	Reading Coach, Administrators	General teacher observation. Classroom Walkthroughs	Cycle assessments and Mock Reading Assessment
3	Lack of exposure to a variety of informational text sources	 Increase exposure to informational text sources. Exposure to more complex text and Common Core Standard passages Continue to implement DI groups. 	Reading Coach, Administrators	Review of cycle assessments and district assessment data	Mini Assessment, District Benchmark Assessment Tests
4	Lack of exposure to a variety of informational text sources	Increase exposure to informational text sources. Exposure to more complex text and Common Core Standard passages Continue to implement DI groups.	Reading Coach, Administrators	Review of cycle assessments and district assessment data	Mini Assessment, District Assessment

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in In grades 6-8 37% of the total students tested will achieve reading. learning gains on the FAA Reading Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 37% (147) 32% (129) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 1. Key Ideas and Details To increase knowledge **ESE Specialist** Classroom Walkthroughs, Cycle Assessment 2. Craft and Structure 3. and exposure to a variety Reading Coach Lesson Plans, and Model data Integration of Knowledge text patterns Administrators effective strategies and CWT Log and Ideas 4. Range of how to ask and generate Reading and Level of higher order questions. Text Complexity

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.

In grades 6-8, 66% of students tested in the lowest 25 percentile population will achieve learning gains on the 2012 FCAT Reading Assessment

Reading Goal #4:

				ı		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
61%(51%(71)			66% (77)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	:o I i	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of use of formative data to pinpoint students' specific skull deficiencies to inform instructional decisions to align resources to target deficits.	 Conduct cycle student data chats. Student led data chats to have students share what helped them achieve the assessment or why they struggled 	Reading Coach, Administrator			Random student chats/ Teacher data chats
2	Lack of endurance for sustained reading and of vocabulary to increase fluency and comprehension	Implement Drop Everything and Read; every Tuesday and Thursday, the first 20 minutes of school To utilize vocabulary improvement strategies Word Wisdom and Reading Plus		ading Coach, ministrators	Classroom Walkthroughs	Cycle Assessments, Progress monitoring
3	Lack of effective use of strategies that addresses specific reading deficiencies	1) Continue the 3 week Instructional Cycle with assessment. 2) Review assessments once graded and tackle and breakdown questions. 3) Group students according to proficiency for DI groups (groups will constantly change depending on student performance on particular instructional focus skills. 4) Coaches will support Differentiated Instruction with materials, modeling, and co-teaching	Adr	ading Coach, ministrator	Progress monitoring calendar Post assessment CWT with a focus on review of assessment and feedback to teachers Analysis of assessment results Coaches scheduling log	Cycle Assessments, Student Data Chats
Based	on Ambitious but Achieva	ble Annual Measurable Obj	jecti	ives (AMOs), AM	O-2, Reading and Math Pe	rformance Target

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target							
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Reading Goal # 5A:				
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	40						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making

satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5B:	The Black subgroup did not meet Adequate Yearly Progress criteria.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
70% (320)Black subgroup	65% (200)			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of student monitoring and data driven instruction	1. Conduct monthly student data chats and review mini assessment and district assessment progress 2. Student led data chats that focus on what helped students achieve or why they struggled 3. Coaches will support Differentiated Instruction with materials and coteaching for teachers to meet student needs based off of data.	Reading coach and admin.	Review of student data folders during classroom walkthroughs	Cycle Assessments BAT I and II Profiles
2	Lack of instruction and endurance that addresses specific reading deficiencies for sustained reading	1) Introduce a 3 week Instructional Cycle with assessment. 2) Review assessment 3) Group students according to proficiency 4) Coaches will support Differentiated Instruction with materials and coteaching 5). To implement Drop Everything and Read schedule in the mornings every Tues and Thursday 6). Rigor and assisting in sequencing instruction 7). PLC on Closed Reading		Progress monitoring calendar Post assessment CWT with a focus on review of assessment and feedback to teachers Analysis of assessment results Coaches scheduling log Review Reading Logs	
3	Lack of instruction and endurance that addresses specific reading deficiencies for sustained reading	1) Introduce a 3 week Instructional Cycle with assessment. 2) Review assessment 3) Group students according to proficiency 4) Coaches will support Differentiated Instruction with materials and coteaching 5). To implement Drop Everything and Read schedule in the mornings every Tues and Thursday 6). Rigor and assisting in sequencing instruction 7). PLC on Closed Reading		Progress monitoring calendar Post assessment CWT with a focus on review of assessment and feedback to teachers Analysis of assessment results Coaches scheduling log Review Reading Logs	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.

Reading Goal #5C:

In grades 6-8, a decrease of 10% of the ELL Subgroup students tested will achieve Level 3 proficiency on the 2013 FCAT Reading Assessment

2012	2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
92%	(80)		82% (70)	82% (70)		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Lack of student monitoring and data driven instruction	1. Conduct monthly student data chats and review mini assessment and district assessment progress 2. Student led data chats that focus on what helped students achieve or why they struggled 3. Coach will support Differentiated Instruction with materials and coteaching for teachers to meet student needs based off of data.	Reading coach and admin.	Review of student data folders during classroom walkthrough	Cycle Assessments BAT I and II Profiles	

Basec	on the analysis of studen	t achievement data, and re	efer	ence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
of imp	provement for the following	subgroup:				
5D. S	tudents with Disabilities	(SWD) not making				
satist	factory progress in readi	ng.			a decrease of 10% of the S will achieve Level 3 profice	
Read	ing Goal #5D:			students tested will achieve Level 3 proficiency on the 2013 FCAT Reading Assessment		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:		2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
88% (60)			78% (50)			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	Lack of understanding the roll out of Common Core Standards 1. Key Ideas and Details 2. Craft and Structure 3. Integration of Knowledge and Ideas 4. Range of Reading and Level of Text Complexity	1.) Teachers attend Common Core State Standard PLC trainings. 2) During Reading PLCs (Tuesdays and Thursdays) teachers will begin to unwrap the standards/domains of Common Core one by	Rea	ading Coach	PLC Agendas Lesson Planning incorporating Common Core State Standards Classroom Walkthroughs	Cycle Assessments Progress Monitoring Student/teacher data chats

one.

lessons.

Teachers will begin to implement standards in daily instruction after

going over them in PLCs.
3)Reading coach will
assist teachers as they
plan lessons incorporating
targeted standards.
4) Reading Coach will coteach to support
implementation of

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and reg subgroup:	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and (define areas in need	
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E:			In grades 6-8, a Disadvantaged	In grades 6-8, a decrease of 10% of the Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup students tested will achieve Level 3 proficiency on the 2013 FCAT Reading Assessment		
2012	Current Level of Perforr	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
70% ((42)		60% (32)	60% (32)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Lack of student monitoring and data driven instruction	1. Conduct monthly student data chats and review mini assessment and district assessment progress 2. Student led data chats that focus on what helped students achieve or why they struggled 3. Coaches will support Differentiated Instruction with materials and coteaching for teachers to meet student needs based off of data.	Reading coach and admin.	Review of student data folders during classroom walkthroughs	Cycle Assessments BAT I and II Profiles	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

 ${\it Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.}$

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
FAIR Administration and Data Analysis of FAIR	6-8	Reading Coach	PLC Reading Department	Preplanning Week - May	FAIR Reports and Department Data Chats	Reading Coach, Administration
Common Core State Standards Curriculum Alignment and Integration	6-8	Reading Coach Language Arts and Math Department Chairs	PLC Reading, Social Studies, Science, and Language Arts Department	Once per month	Cycle Assessments	Reading Coach, Administration
Higher Order Questioning and Thinking Skills	6-8	Reading Coach	PD School- Wide	PD School- Wide	Lesson Plans/Coaching	Reading Coach, Administration

Other			Available
		-	Subtotal: \$2,500.0
IRA Annual Conference	International Reading Conference	Title I	\$2,500.00
Professional Development Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtotal: \$11,107.00
Reading Plus	25 computer licenses	Title I	\$9,875.00
Study Island	Computer Licenses	Title I	\$1,232.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Technology			3ubtotal: \$3,200.0
ELO Camps	Before and After school enrichment camps	Title I	\$2,000.00 Subtotal: \$3,200.00
Flocabulary	Build vocabulary skills for students through raps and daily news	Title I	\$1,200.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. In 2013, 26% of the students taking the listening/ speaking portion of CELLA will be proficient. CELLA Goal #1: 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking: 23% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Effectiveness of Responsible for Monitoring Strategy Lack of exposure to the Utilize computer **ESOL** Classroom Walkthroughs Mini-assessments English language. Administrator Teacher Observations Homework software on a daily basis to expose Class Tests students to the language.

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

CELLA Goal #2:			In 2013, 18% of the students taking the listening/ speaking portion of CELLA will be proficient.					
2012	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:							
14.5%	14.5%							
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Lack of comprehension of the English language.	Daily usage of ESOL book / CD modifications. Visuals	ESOL Administrator	Classroom walkthroughs Teacher Observations	Mini-assessments Homework Class Tests			
2	Lack of differentiation between academic formal vocabulary and informal vocabulary	Provide direct, explicit instruction that includes modeling and the use of linguistic and non-linguistic representation.	ESOL Administrator	Classroom walkthroughs Teacher Observations	Mini-assessments Homework Class Tests			

Stude	Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.						
	udents scoring proficie A Goal #3:	nt in writing.		In 2013, 11% of the students taking the listening/ speaking portion of CELLA will be proficient.			
2012	Current Percent of Stu	dents Proficient in writ	ing:				
8%.							
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	999	Provide direct, explicit instruction that includes modeling and the use of linguistic and non-linguistic representation.	ESOL Administrator	Classroom walkthroughs Teacher Observations	Mini-assessments Homework Class Tests		

CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount			
Increase language acquisition	ESOL Dictionaries	Title I	\$500.00			
			Subtotal: \$500.00			
Technology						

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	•	•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developmer	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	•	•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$500.00

End of CELLA Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in In grades 6-8, 35% of the total students tested will achieve mathematics. Level 3 proficiency on the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Assessment Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 28% (125) 35% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Teachers have limited Expectations are set by Administrator, Classroom Observations District Benchmark knowledge of the Gradual Administration. Weekly Lesson Planning Math Coach, Assessments, Release pedagogy. Provide professional Department Chair School Learning development on the Station Cycle Assessments. gradual release pedagogy FCAT results for teachers. · Implement the coaching cycle (Observe, conference/plan, model/co-teach, observe, feedback, monitor) on the components of the gradual release model. Expectations are set by Administration, Teachers have limited Classroom Observations District Benchmark knowledge of Administration. Math Coach. Weekly Lesson Planning Assessments, differentiation strategies • Provide professional Department Chair School Learning including embedded development on Station Cycle scaffolding within new strategies for Assessments, FCAT results differentiation instruction lessons. for teachers. 2 Implement the coaching cycle (Observe, conference/plan, model/co-teach, observe, feedback, monitor) to support differentiation in the classroom Teachers have limited Expectations are set by Administration, Classroom Observations District Benchmark use of content literacy Administration. Weekly Lesson Planning Math Coach. Assessments, strategies to strengthen Provide professional Department Chair School Learning student's ability to solve development on content Station Cycle complex word problems literacy strategies for Assessments, and perform quantitative FCAT teachers. reasoning. Additional content literacy strategies and practice during the STEM-u-lating Morning program. · Implement the coaching cycle (Observe, conference/plan, model/co-teach, observe, feedback, monitor) in support of

content literacy. Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. In grades 6-8, 28% of the total students tested will achieve Level 4 or higher on the FAA Mathematics Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 23% 28% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool Anticipated Barrier** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 1. Teacher/Coach Administration, Progress Monitoring Limited differentiation in Lesson Plans content, pacing, delivery, Plan/Cycle of Assistance collaborative lesson Math Coach, and assessment to meet planning using student Department Chair, individual student needs. data to address individual Classroom Teacher needs. 2. Departmental collaboration on DI strategies and activities

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a ECAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2a:	In grades 6-8, 12% of the total students tested will achieve Level 4 or 5 proficiency on the 2013 FCAT Mathematics Assessment
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
7% (42)	12% (46)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Teachers lack of differentiation of instruction to meet the students' needs.	 Analyze student data and work products. Collaboratively plan instruction to challenge the student. Implement the coaching cycle (Observe, conference/plan, model/co-teach, observe, feedback, monitor) to support differentiation in the classroom. 	Administration, Math Coach, Department Chair	Classroom Observations Weekly Lesson Planning	District Benchmark Assessments, School Learning Station Cycle Assessments, FCAT results

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b:			I	In grades 6-8, 28% of the total students tested will achieve Level 7 or higher on the FAA		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
23% (3)			2	28% (6)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to I no	crease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Res	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Limited student access to online textbook, video, and other curriculum resources for home study	2. Increase	Depa	artment Chair,	Teacher observation of frequency and accuracy of student returned homework	Homework Rubric
	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eferer	nce to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a:			ı		60% of the total students on the 2013 FCAT Mathema	

	d on the analysis of studen approvement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need
gain	FCAT 2.0: Percentage of s s in mathematics. nematics Goal #3a:	tudents making learning	In grades 6-8,	60% of the total students on the 2013 FCAT Mathem	
2012	2 Current Level of Perforr	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
52%	(255)		60% (292)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of student monitoring and data driven instruction and evidence of implementation steps	1. Conduct monthly student data chats and review mini assessment and district assessment progress 2. Student led data chats that focus on what helped students achieve or why they struggled 3. Full Coaching cycle and support Differentiated Instruction with materials and coteaching for teachers to meet student needs based off of data.		Review of student data folders during classroom walkthroughs / Panther	Cycle Assessments BAT I and II Profiles
	Teachers have limited knowledge on the Gradua Release pedagogy in conjunction with chunking the content.	 Expectations are set by Administration. Provide professional development for teachers in the Gradual Release 	Math Coach, Department Chair	Classroom Observations Weekly Lesson Planning	District Benchmark Assessments, School Learning Station Cycle Assessments,

FCAT results

Model in conjunction with chunking the content.

2		• Implement the coaching cycle (Observe, conference/plan, model/co-teach, observe, feedback, monitor) to support the Gradual Release Model in conjunction with chunking the content.			
3	Teachers have limited knowledge of differentiation strategies including embedded scaffolding within new lesson.	Expectations are set by Administration. Provide professional development on strategies for differentiation instruction for teachers. Implement the coaching cycle (Observe, conference/plan, model/co-teach, observe, feedback, monitor) to support differentiation in the classroom.	Math Coach, Department Chair	Classroom Observations Weekly Lesson Planning	District Benchmark Assessments, School Learning Station Cycle Assessments, FCAT results
4	Teachers have limited use of content literacy to strengthen student's ability to solve complex word problems and perform quantitative reasoning.	 Expectations are set by Administration. Provide professional development on content literacy strategies for teachers. Additional content literacy strategies and practice during the STEM-u-lating Morning program. Implement the coaching cycle (Observe, conference/plan, model/co-teach, observe, feedback, monitor) in support of content literacy. 	Math Coach, Department Chair	Classroom Observations Weekly Lesson Planning	District Benchmark Assessments, School Learning Station Cycle Assessments, FCAT results
5	Students have minimal class time to grasp rigorous content.	Provide extended learning opportunities on Saturdays and two days after school beginning in November.	Administration, Math Coach, Department Chair	Weekly Lesson Planning Monitoring of tutoring sessions Monitor student attendance	District Benchmark Assessments, School Learning Station Cycle Assessments, FCAT results

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in In grades 6-8, 45% of the total students tested will make mathematics. learning gains on the 2013 FAA Mathematics Assessment Mathematics Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 40% (5) 45%. (6) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 1. Provide student with a Math Coach, Limited student access Teacher observation of District Benchmark

Department Chair, frequency and accuracy Assessments,

to online textbook, video, textbook for home use.

1	and other curriculum	2. Increase	Classroom Teacher	of student returned	School Learning
'	resources for home study	teacher/student/parent		homework	Station Cycle
		collaboration on			Assessments,
		homework activities			FCAT results

	d on the analysis of studen aprovement for the following		eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need		
mak	CAT 2.0: Percentage of sto ing learning gains in mat nematics Goal #4:		tested will achie	In grades 6-8, 61% of the total students in the lowest 25% tested will achieve learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Mathematics Assessment			
2012	2 Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:			
56%	(73)		61% (79)				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Teachers have limited knowledge of the Gradual Release pedagogy in conjunction with chunking the content.	Expectations are set by Administration. Provide professional development for teachers. Implement the coaching cycle (Observe, conference/plan, model/co-teach, observe, feedback, monitor)	Math Coach, Department Chair	Classroom Observations Weekly Lesson Planning	District Benchmark Assessments, School Learning Station Cycle Assessments, FCAT results		
2	Teachers have limited knowledge of differentiation strategies including embedded scaffolding in new lessons.	Expectations are set by Administration. Provide professional development on strategies for differentiation instruction for teachers. Implement the coaching cycle (Observe, conference/plan, model/co-teach, observe, feedback, monitor) to support differentiation in the classroom.	Administration, Math Coach, Department Chair	Classroom Observations Weekly Lesson Planning	District Benchmark Assessments, School Learning Station Cycle Assessments, FCAT results		
3	Teachers have limited use of content literacy to strengthen student's ability to solve complex word problems and perform quantitative reasoning.	 Expectations are set by 	Math Coach, Department Chair	Classroom Observations Weekly Lesson Planning	District Benchmark Assessments, School Learning Station Cycle Assessments, FCAT results		
	Students have minimal class time to grasp	Provide extended learning	Administration, Math Coach,	Weekly Lesson Planning Monitoring of tutoring	District Benchmark Assessments,		

4	rigorous	content.		and two days of beginning in	Dep	artment Chair	session Monitor attenda	student	School Learning Station Cycle Assessments, FCAT results	
Basec	d on Amb	itious but Achie	evable Annual	Measurable Ob	jectiv	ves (AMOs), AM	10-2, Re	ading and Math Pe	erformance Target	
5A. A	mbitious	but Achievable	Annual	Middle School	Math	nematics Goal #	<u> </u>		4	
	l will red	ojectives (AMOs uce their achie		5A :						
	aseline data 2010-2011 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014				4	2014-201	5	2015-2016	2016-2017	
		analysis of stud			efere	ence to "Guiding	g Questic	ons", identify and	define areas in nee	
БВ. S	tudent s	ubgroups by	ethnicity (Wh	nite, Black,						
satis	factory p	an, American progress in m Goal #5B:		naking	,			se of 10% of the ficiency on the 20	Subgroups tested 13 FCAT Math	
2012	Current	Level of Perf	ormance:			2013 Expected	ted Level of Performance:			
White: 20% Black: 74% Hispanic: 60% Asian: 0%						White: 15% Black: 69% Hispanic: 55% Asian: 0% American Indian: 0%				
Ameri	can India	n: 100%	Problem-Sol	Ivina Process		American Indiar		vement		
					 		1			
	Antic	ipated Barrie	- St	rategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring		ocess Used to Determine ectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too	
I	student chats an assessm	once a month / teacher data d review mini dent and district dent progress	Administra	•		h Coach, ninistrator	1	of student data during classroom oughs	District Benchmar Assessments, School Learning Station Cycle Assessments, FCAT results	
		analysis of stud nt for the follow			efere	ence to "Guiding	g Questic	ons", identify and	define areas in nee	
	_	anguage Lear progress in m		ot making				se of 10% of the ficiency on the 20	ELL students teste 13 FCAT Math	
Лаth	ematics	Goal #5C:			4	Assessment				
2012 Current Level of Performance:						2013 Expected	d Level (of Performance:		
88%. (31)						83%. (29)				
			Problem-So	Iving Process	toIr	ncrease Studer	nt Achie	vement		
	Antic	ipated Barrie	- St	rategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for		ocess Used to Determine ectiveness of	Evaluation Too	

Monitoring

Strategy

1	Language Learners	Review English Language Learners strategies with classroom teachers. Incorporate English Language Learners strategies into classroom instruction via computer assisted technology	Department Chair, Administration	l .	District Benchmark Assessments, School Learning Station Cycle Assessments, FCAT results
---	-------------------	--	-------------------------------------	-----	--

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making In grades 6-8, a decrease of 10% of the SWD students satisfactory progress in mathematics. tested will achieve Level 3 proficiency on the 2013 FCAT Math Assessment Mathematics Goal #5D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 89% (42) 84% (47) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring Limited use of Students Review Students With Math Coach, Monitor student District Benchmark With Disabilities Disabilities strategies Department Chair, Assessments, assessment data, with classroom teachers. Administration classroom observations School Learning instructional strategies used in the classroom Incorporate Students and lesson planes Station Cycle With Disabilities Assessments, FCAT results strategies into classroom instruction computer assisted technology

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5E:	In grades 6-8, a decrease of 10% of the Economically Disadvantaged students tested will achieve Level 3 proficiency on the 2013 FCAT Math Assessment					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
72% (357)	57% (281)					
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Limited student access to online textbook, video, and other curriculum resources for home study	textbook for home use. 2. Increase	Administrator	Teacher observation of frequency and accuracy of student returned homework / classroom observations	Classroom observation
	Limited family funding for private tutoring				District Benchmark Assessments,

2	weekly via Saturday School for 3months and	Administrator	School Learning Station Cycle
	bi-weekly afterschool for 2 months		Assessments, FCAT results

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and r g group:	efere	ence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
	1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. Algebra Goal #1:				In grades 7-8, 70 of Algebra 1 students tested will achieve Level 3 proficiency on the 2013 Algebra 1 EOC		
2012	Current Level of Perforr	nance:		2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
50%				70%			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to I r	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Limited use of hands-on Model hands-on activity Mat		h Coach, ninistrator	Monitor student assessment data. Observation	Algebra EOC results		

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		efere	ence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra. Algebra Goal #2:					ades 7-8, 50% of Algebra 1 students tested will achieve 4 or 5proficiency on the 2013 Algebra 1 EOC.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected	ed Level of Performance:		
0%				50%			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	toIr	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Re			Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1			h Coach, ninistrator	Monitor student assessment data. Observation	Algebra EOC results		

0.44			Algebra Goa	nl #							
Measu	ırable Ob	but Achieva jectives (Al	ЛOs).	In six year							_
schoo by 50°		uce their ac	hiever	ment gap							
					3A :		<u> </u>				▼
	ine data 0-2011	2011-201	2 2	012-2013	2013-2	014	2014	-2015	2015-201	6	2016-2017
		analysis of s			ent data, and	d refei	rence to "Gu	iiding Qu	uestions", identify	and o	define areas in need
3B. S	tudent s	ubgroups l	oy eth	nicity (Wh	ite, Black,						
1	Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not m satisfactory progress in Algebra.				naking		In grades 7	7-8. 100°	%(13) of Black st	udent	s in Algebra 1 will
		_	Aigei	ла.			pass 2013				g
Algeb	ra Goal	#3B:									
2012	Current	Level of Po	erforn	nance:			2013 Expe	ected Le	evel of Performa	ınce:	
White							White: n/a				
	nic: n/a						Black: 1009 Hispanic: n				
Asian: Ameri	n/a can India	ın: n/a					Asian: n/a American I	ndian: n	/a		
			Pr	oblem-Sol	ving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	udent A	chievement		
							Person or	-	Process Used	to	
	Antic	ipated Bar	rier	Stı	rategy		Position Responsible		Determine Effectiveness		Evaluation Tool
							Monitorin		Strategy		
		use of hand and applica			ds-on activit . Collaborat		ath Coach, Iministrator		onitor student sessment data.		Algebra EOC results
1	of skill			with teach hands-on a	er to include	9		Ob	Observation		
				lesson plan	is and						
						·		·			
		analysis of s at for the fol			ent data, and	d refei	rence to "Gu	iiding Qu	uestions", identify	and o	define areas in need
	_	anguage Le			t making						
satist	actory p	progress in	Algek	ora.			N/A				
Algeb	ra Goal	#3C:									
2012	Current	Level of Pe	erforn	nance:			2013 Expe	ected Le	evel of Performa	ince:	
N/A	N/A					N/A	N/A				
			Pr	oblem-Sol	ving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	udent A	achievement		
Antic	ipated E	Barrier	Strat	egy		Posi ⁻ Resp for	oonsible	ion Determine Effectiveness of Evaluation Tool			uation Tool
						Moni	itoring	Strateg	d y		

No Data Submitted

3D. Students with Disab satisfactory progress in Algebra Goal #3D:	, ,	naking	N/A	N/A		
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Exp	013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Problem-Solvir	ng Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	son or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data	Submitted				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. In grades 7-8, 100% (13) of economically disadvantage students in Algebra 1 will pass the Algebra 1 EOC Algebra Goal #3E: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 50% 100% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Limited student subject Administration Monitor ELO participants Algebra EOC Provide Extended area knowledge Learning Opportunities assessment data results after school Limited student access Provide student with a Math Coach, Teacher observation of Algebra EOC Department Chair, to online textbook, video, textbook for home use. frequency and accuracy results of student returned and other curriculum Increase Administrator resources for home study teacher/student/parent homework collaboration on homework activities

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.

In grades 8, 100%(1) of Black students in Geometry will pass 2013 Geometry EOC.

Geometry Goal #1:

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
0%			100%			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Limited use of concrete representation of abstract concepts	Provide concrete representation of abstract concepts using hands-on and virtual manipulative objects.	Math Coach, Virtual School	Student Assessment Data, Observation	Geometry EOC	
Based	I on the analysis of stude	ent achievement data a	and reference to "Gi	uiding Questions" identi	fy and define areas	

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry. In grades 8, 100%(1) of Black students in Geometry will pass 2013 Geometry EOC. Geometry Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 25% 100% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring Limited use of concrete Provide concrete Math Coach, Student Assessment Geometry EOC representation of representation of Virtual School Data, Observation abstract concepts abstract concepts using hands-on and virtual manipulative objects.

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Farget							
3A. Ambitious but Annual Measurable (AMOs). In six yea reduce their achie 50%.	e Objectives ar school will	Geometry Goal #			A		
Baseline data 2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.

In grade 8, 100% (1) of Black students will pass the Geometry EOC

2012	Current Level of Per	formance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
Black: Hispa Asian	White: n/a Black: 0% Hispanic: n/a Asian: n/a American Indian: n/a				White: n/a Black: 100% Hispanic: n/a Asian: n/a American Indian: n/a			
	Р	roblem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy		R	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy			Evaluation Tool	
1				ath Coach, rtual School		Student Assessmer Data, Observation	nt	Geometry EOC
		•	·					
		ident achievement data, the following subgroup:	and i	reference to	"Gu	iding Questions", ide	entify	and define areas
satis	factory progress in (rners (ELL) not makinç Geometry.	g	N/A				
Geon	netry Goal #3C:							
2012	Current Level of Per	formance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
N/A				N/A				
	Р	roblem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tude	nt Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Posi for			son or tion ponsible itoring	Dete Effe	cess Used to ermine ctiveness of itegy	Evaluation Tool		
	No Data Submitted							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:								
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3D:			N/A					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ecte	d Level of Perform	iance):	
N/A			N/A					

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Geometry Goal #3B:

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
maki	conomically Disadvant ng satisfactory progres netry Goal #3E:	O	In grade 8, 10 Geometry EOC	In grade 8, 100% of Black students will pass the Geometry EOC		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	: :	
20%			100%	100%		
	Prob	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Re		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Limited student subject Provide Extended 1 area knowledge Learning Opportunities after school			Administration	Monitor ELO participants assessment data	Geometry EOC	
2	Limited student access to online textbook, video, and other curriculum resources for teacher/student/parent Teacher/student/parent Teacher/student/parent Teacher/student/parent Teacher/student/parent Teacher/student/parent		Department Chair, Classroom	Teacher observation of frequency and accuracy of student returned homework	Geometry EOC	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core Standards IST Secondary Math	6-8	District PD	Mathematics Department	TBD	Classroom Walkthroughs (CWT)	Admin / Math coach
Gradual Release with chunking of content	6-8	State/Math Coach	Math Department	Weekly Coach/Teacher Collaboration Winning Wednesday PLC	Classroom Observation Lesson Plans	Admin / Math coach
Embedded Scaffolding with new content	6-8	Math Coach	Math Department	Weekly Coach/Teacher Collaboration Winning Wednesday PLC	Classroom Observation Lesson Plans	Admin/Math Coach
Differentiating instruction using high- preference tasks	6-8	Math Coach	Math Department	Bi-monthly PLC	CWT	Admin / Math coach

High Yield Strategies for Mathematics	6-8	Math Coach	Math Department	Bi-monthly PLC	CWT	Admin / Math coach
Content Literacy	6-8	IRT/Math Coach	Math Department	Weekly Coach/Teacher Collaboration Winning Wednesday PLC	Classroom Observation Lesson Plans	Admin/Math Coach

Mathematics Budget:

Funding Source General Fund Subt	Available Amoun \$3,000.00
Subt	otal: \$3,000 0
	σται. ψ5,000.0
Funding Source	Available Amoun
Accountability Funds	\$3,000.00
Subt	otal: \$3,000.0
Funding Source	Available Amoun
School Accountability Funds	\$2,000.0
School Accountability Funds	\$5,000.0
Subt	otal: \$7,000.0
Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	\$0.00
	Subtotal: \$0.0
	Accountability Funds Subt Funding Source School Accountability Funds School Accountability Funds Subt Funding Source No Data

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a:	In grades 6-8, 20% of the total students tested on the 2013 FCAT will achieve Level 3 proficiency on the FCAT Science Assessment			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
14% (19)	20%			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

_					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of familiarity with Next Generation Sunshine State Standards (CCSS)	n Teachers attend an unwrapping the benchmarks. During Science PLCs (Tuesdays and Thursdays) teachers will unwrap the benchmarks of the secondary IFC. Teachers will share Best Practices. Teachers will also practice hands-on activities for inquiry labs that are a part of these benchmark for sharing and practice of the scientific method to be presented to students. Development of a secondary IFC for all grades to narrow the focus of content limits.	Science Department Chair, Administrator	1.Attend science trainings. 2.Lesson plans that incorporated the use of the secondary IFC and Science Test Item Specifications.	Mini Assessment/ District Benchmark Assessment Tests
	Lack of instruction that addresses specific Science deficiencies	 1)Use of instructional cycles provided by administrative staff. 2) Review assessment with students. 3)Differentiate 	Science Department Chair, Administrator	 Use of Progress monitoring calendar Teacher and student data chats. 	Teacher/Administrator and Student / Teacher Data Chats.
2		students instruction according to proficiency on Cycle Test, BAT 1 and BAT 2 testing by incorporating Computer Assistant Technology (GIZMO / FCAT Explorer)			
3	Lack of integration and incorporation of higher order questions and critical thinking skills.	1.Incorporate test taking skills each day to provide practice on dissection of test questions. 2.Review of test questions after each cycle test. 3. STEM Inquiry Labs	Administrators and DepartmentChairperson	1.Teacher and student data chats. 2. Tracking of assessment questions of each cycle test. 3. STEM Projects	Teacher, Student, Administrator Data chats. Observations by administrators.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.

Science Goal #1b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

100% (2)			100%		
Problem-Solving Process to			ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsib for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Base	d on the analysis of stud	lent achievement data,	and reference to "	Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define		
areas	s in need of improvemen	t for the following group	:				
Achi	FCAT 2.0: Students sco evement Level 4 in sci nce Goal #2a:	O .	total students	Based on 2013 FCAT Science Assessment, 5% of the total students tested will achieve either Level 4 or Level 5 proficiency.			
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performand	ce:		
1% (1)		5%				
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	ed Barrier Strategy R		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Lack of integration and incorporation of higher order thinking skills into daily instruction	order questioning and	Science Department Chair, Administrator	Tracking of mini assessment results and observation of instructional strategies STEM Projects	Mini Assessment, Classroom Walkthrough Log		
2	Lack of interest and motivation	Provide enrichment and stimulating activities through hands-on labs and virtual simulation/computer programs (GIZMO / FCAT Explorer)	Science Department Chair, Administrator	Tracking of mini assessments and district assessment results	Mini Assessments, District Assessments		
3	Lack of student attendance at FCAT Saturday Camp and other tutoring opportunities	Provide weekly and monthly incentives for targeted students who attend tutoring opportunities Create pull-out schedule for targeted students during	Administrator	Review of progress on district benchmark assessments Master Schedule Modification	District Benchmark Assessments		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
IIII 3CIGIICE.	In grades 6-8, 100% of the total students tested on the 2013 FAA will achieve Level 4 or higher			
Science Goal #2b:				

2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
0%			100%	100%		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Lack of integration and incorporation of higher order questions and critical thinking skills.	1.Incorporate test taking skills each day to provide practice on dissection of test questions. 2.Review of test questions after each cycle test. 3. STEM Inquiry Labs	Administrators and Department Chairperson	1. Teacher and student data chats. 2. Tracking of assessment questions of each cycle test. 3. STEM Projects	Teacher, Student, Administrator Data chats. Observations by administrators.	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	early release) and Schedules (e.g.,	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Unwrapping Science Benchmarks	6-8	District	Core Curriculum	Once a year	Lesson Plans Classroom Walkthrough Observations	Administrator / Coach
Higher order questioning and Critical Thinking Skills	6-8	Department Chair	Science Department PLC	Pre-Planning/Monthly August - May	Review of lesson plans, Classroom Walkthrough Observations	Administrator / Coach
Higher order questioning and Critical Thinking Skills	6-8	Department Chair	Science Department PLC	Pre-Planning/Monthly August - May	Review of lesson plans, Classroom Walkthrough Observations	Administrator / Coach
Inquiry Labs	6-8	District	Core Curriculum	Once a year (District) / Quarterly PLC's	Lesson Plans Classroom Walkthroughs	Administrator / Coach

Science Budget:

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Science Kits	Title I	\$2,000.00
Science Coach Books Grade 6,7, and 8	School Accountability Funds	\$7,000.00
"Daily Bite" textbooks	Extended Learning Opportunities Funds	\$1,000.00
	Science Kits Science Coach Books Grade 6,7, and 8	Science Kits Title I Science Coach Books Grade 6,7, and 8 "Daily Rite" textbooks Extended Learning Opportunities

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		5	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Train teachers on magnet content knowledge and strategies	Teacher Coverage	Title I	\$1,500.00
To provide additional exposure to skills needed for mastery on Science Assessment	Extended Learning Opportunities-pullout	School Accountability Funds	\$2,000.00
Training for teachers to curriculum alignment of unwrapping the benchmarks.	District Personnel	School Accountability Funds	\$2,000.00
		Subto	otal: \$5,500.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
To utilize Science lab journal	Composition books	School Accountability Funds	\$1,200.00
To provide critical thinking activities and reading.	Science World Magazine	School Accountability Funds	\$2,000.00
		Subto	otal: \$3,200.00
		Grand Tot	al: \$18,700.00
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		·

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a:	In Grade 8, 70% of the total students tested will achieve a score of 4 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Writing Assessment			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
60% (111)	70%			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

L						
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		rate from score of 3.0	1) Introduce a 3 week Instructional Cycle with assessment.	Department, classroom teachers Chair/		Mock Essays,FCAT Writing Essay
			2) Review assessment	Administrator	2) Post assessment CWT with a focus on review of assessment and feedback to	Teacher/Student conferences
-	1		Group students according to proficiency		teachers 3) Analysis of	Peer Editing (teacher directed)
			4) Coach will support Differentiated		assessment results	un esteu)
			Instruction with materials and co-teaching		4) Coach's scheduling log	

2	Inability to recognize conventions of the writing process. Lack of Language usage skills	Provides students with opportunities to participate in the revision and editing process Grammar, Vocabulary assignments and Practices	Language Arts Department,classroom teachers Chair/ Administrator	be maintained with revised essays,	Portfolio Tracking sheet Mock Essays- FCAT Essays with proof reading practices incorporated
3	Lack of proper response to Literature.	Initiate Legacy Writes program and provide weekly practice of responding to varied Reading passages / articles / exposing students to various literature in order to expose students to higher level reading material	Department, classroom teachers Chair/	Assess student responses to reading passages both short and extended.	Reading writing response practices to Literature.
4	Lack of Introductory Techniques	Students will be introduced to "seven effective ways to start an essay" strategy/ teacher directed lessons in different types of writing, and writing for various audiences.	Language Arts Department,classroom teachers Chair/ Administrator	Review performance on mock essays/ writing introductory paragraph for essay(articles etc.) where the introductory paragraph has been purposely deleted.	Grading according to department set

3	ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b:			In Grade 6-8, 100% of the total students tested will achieve a score of 4 or higher on the 2013 FAA			
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
100% (2)			100%			
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Six Traits of Writing	6-8	Department Chair / Coach	Language Arts Teachers PD	September - May Bi-monthly	Portfolio Review of mock prompt writing scores Mini-assessments	Department Chair, Administrator
Differentiated Instruction in Language Arts classes	6-8	Dept. Chair / Coach	Language Arts Teachers PD	September -May Bi-monthly	Classroom Walkthroughs Lesson plans	Language Arts Chair, Administrator
Holistic Scoring	6-8	Department Chair / coach	Language Arts Teachers PD	September - May - Bi-monthly	Portfolio Review of mock prompt writing scores Mini Assessments	Department Chair, Administrator
Legacy Writes	6-8	Legacy Consultants	Language Arts Teachers PD	September -May Bi-monthly	Classroom Walkthroughs Lesson plans	Language Arts Chair, Administrator

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/N	Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Legacy Writes	Legacy Writes License	Title I	\$5,000.00
			Subtotal: \$5,000.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Staff Development / training	Teacher coverage	Title I	\$3,000.00
			Subtotal: \$3,000.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Daily journal writing	Binders	Title I	\$500.00
			Subtotal: \$500.00
			Grand Total: \$8,500.00

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.

Civics Goal #1:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

	ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas need of improvement for the following group:					
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.						
Civics Goal #2:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perforn	nance:	
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Subm						

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Civics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount		
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00		
			Subtotal: \$0.00		

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Civics Goals

rate

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of atte provement:	ndance data, and refere	nce to "Guiding Qu	estions", identify and de	fine areas in need		
	tendance ndance Goal #1:			In SY 2012-2013, 97% of the students attending LMS will attend school on a regular basis.			
2012	2 Current Attendance R	ate:	2013 Expecte	ed Attendance Rate:			
92%	(567)		97% (570)	97% (570)			
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)			2013 Expecte Absences (10	ed Number of Students or more)	s with Excessive		
155			130	130			
	2 Current Number of St ies (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			
21			10	10			
	Pro	blem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students' tardiness	Parent Link call, parent conference	Administrator	Attendance Record Review	Reduction in number of days tardy and number of tardy minutes		
2	Students' absences	Parent Link call, Guidance intervention	Administrator, Guidance	Attendance Record Review	Reduction in school absence		

counselor

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Attendance Symposium	6-8		Administrator, Guidance Director	Fall 2012	Review Attendance trends	Administrator

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1:	In 2013, the expected number of suspensions will be less than 85				
2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions				
170	85				
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School				

112			56	56		
2012	Number of Out-of-Sch	ool Suspensions	2013 Expect Suspensions	ed Number of Out-of-Sc	hool	
569			238	238		
2012 Scho	? Total Number of Stude ol	ents Suspended Out-of	- 2013 Expect of-School	ed Number of Students	Suspended Out-	
243			172	172		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stud	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Lack of classroom management techniques among teachers	Provide trainings in classroom discipline such as CHAMPS	Administrator	Classroom Walkthroughs	Classroom Walkthroughs Observation Log	
2	Insufficient instructional strategies that engage students	Provide trainings in the effective use of technology	Administrator, Department Chairs	Classroom Walkthroughs/Decrease in school suspensions	Classroom Walkthroughs Observation Log/Suspension Log	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
School Discipline Plan Implementation	6-8	Assistant Principal	Discipline Plan Committee / Staff	Monthly	Number of Referrals to Administration, Classroom Walkthroughs	Administrators
RTI Strategies	6-8	Assistant Principals, Guidance Counselors	Child Study Team members, Staff	Weekly	RTI data	Administrators / RTI Specialist
Discipline Matrix Guidelines/DMS review	6-8	Assistant Principal	Assistant Principals / ESE specialist	Weekly	Referral stats	Administrators
School Discipline Plan Review	6-8	Assistant Principal	Discipline Plan Committee	August PLC	Number of Referrals to Administration, Classroom Walkthroughs	Administrator
CHAMPS	6-8	Assistant Principal	HRD / CHAMPS cadre	September-May	Classroom Walkthroughs	Administrators

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

1	Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
1. Pa	arent Involvement						
Pare	ent Involvement Goal #	1:	For the 2012-2	For the 2012-2013 school year, 35% of parents will			
parti	ase refer to the percenta cipated in school activition in the percental control in the percental control in the percental control in the percentage of the p	-		participate in school activities and parent meetings.			
2012	2 Current Level of Parer	nt Involvement:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Parent Invo	olvement:		
30%	(116)		35%(203)	35%(203)			
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	See PIP	See PIP	See PIP	See PIP	See PIP		
2	Lack of communication	Parentlink notificatiopn, Flyers, Marquee, Website	Administration	Phone call messages, Survey	Parent increased participation at school events		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	iteriar(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
ANNUAL PARENT SEMINAR	Parenting Tips	Title I	\$80.00
WINTER / SPRING SHOWCASES	HIGHLIGHT Curriculum Activities	Title I	\$3,890.00
			Subtotal: \$3,970.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Computer Literacy	Online resources (FCAT Explorer, Pinnacle etc.)	Title I	\$300.00
			Subtotal: \$300.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$4,270.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
1. STEM Students in grades 6-8 will complete PBL Activity each quarter on a disease that impacts individual health, using technology.					
	Prol	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy R		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Lack of knowledge of 21st Century Skills.	provide training on 21st	Administration, Coaches, STEM Magnet	Completed PBL Student created projects	Classroom Walk Through, Rubrics from PBLs

			Coordinator		
2	how to infuse technology skill required	Development will be	Coaches,	Completed PBL Student created projects	Student projects

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /To and/or Pl Focus	LĊ	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
DETA 1		6-8	II lictrict	STEM Teachers / Coordinator	Santamhar - May	District/In- service facilitator

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-	-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70	% (35,))
--	--------	----

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. CTE				
CTE Goal #1:				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

CTE Budget:

			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Progra	(2)(3)	Description of		
Goal	Strategy	Resources	Funding Source	Available Amour
Reading	Flocabulary	Build vocabulary skills for students through raps and daily news	Title I	\$1,200.0
Reading	ELO Camps	Before and After school enrichment camps	Title I	\$2,000.0
CELLA	Increase language acquisition	ESOL Dictionaries	Title I	\$500.0
Mathematics	To provide textbooks for student home use.	Home copies of math textbooks for all students	General Fund	\$3,000.0
Science	To provide students with hands-on activities through the use of labs	Science Kits	Title I	\$2,000.0
Science	To provide students with differentiate instruction materials for science instruction.	Science Coach Books Grade 6,7, and 8	School Accountability Funds	\$7,000.0
Science	To provide daily FCAT practice	"Daily Bite" textbooks	Extended Learning Opportunities Funds	\$1,000.00
Writing	Legacy Writes	Legacy Writes License	Title I	\$5,000.00
Parent Involvement	ANNUAL PARENT SEMINAR	Parenting Tips	Title I	\$80.00
Parent Involvement	WINTER / SPRING SHOWCASES	HIGHLIGHT Curriculum Activities	Title I	\$3,890.00
				Subtotal: \$25,670.0
echnology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Reading	Study Island	Computer Licenses	Title I	\$1,232.0
Reading	Reading Plus	25 computer licenses	Title I	\$9,875.0
Mathematics	To increase visual stimulation and enhance student interaction	Activotes Response Units	Accountability Funds	\$3,000.00
Parent Involvement	Computer Literacy	Online resources (FCAT Explorer, Pinnacle etc.)	Title I	\$300.0
				Subtotal: \$14,407.0
Professional Developm	nent			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Reading	IRA Annual Conference	International Reading Conference	Title I	\$2,500.0
Mathematics	To provide additional exposure to skills needed for mastery on FCAT Assessment	Extended Learning Opportunities	School Accountability Funds	\$2,000.00
Mathematics	To provide additional exposure to skills needed for mastery on FCAT Assessment	Extended Learning Opportunities	School Accountability Funds	\$5,000.00
Science	Train teachers on magnet content knowledge and strategies	Teacher Coverage	Title I	\$1,500.00
Science	To provide additional exposure to skills needed for mastery on Science Assessment	Extended Learning Opportunities-pullout	School Accountability Funds	\$2,000.00
Science	Training for teachers to curriculum alignment of unwrapping the benchmarks.	District Personnel	School Accountability Funds	\$2,000.00
Writing	Staff Development / training	Teacher coverage	Title I	\$3,000.0
wiiting				

Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Science	To utilize Science lab journal	Composition books	School Accountability Funds	\$1,200.00
Science	To provide critical thinking activities and reading.	Science World Magazine	School Accountability Funds	\$2,000.00
Writing	Daily journal writing	Binders	Title I	\$500.00
				Subtotal: \$3,700.00
				Grand Total: \$61,777.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority jn Focus	j∩ Prevent	jn NA	
----------------------	------------	-------	--

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/24/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount	
All allotted SAC funds will be use towards student achievement.	\$17,200.00	

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Broward School District LAUDERHILL MIDDLE SCHOOL 2010-2011						
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	40%	40%	70%	13%		Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	58%	59%			117	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	71% (YES)	71% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					422	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					D	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Broward School District LAUDERHI LL MI DDLE SCHOOL 2009-2010						
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	44%	45%	86%	18%		Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	62%	65%			127	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	69% (YES)	68% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					457	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					С	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested