# FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: RAYMOND B. STEWART MIDDLE SCHOOL

District Name: Pasco

Principal: Shae L. Davis

SAC Chair: Cole Duffy

Superintendent: Heather Fiorentino

Date of School Board Approval:

Last Modified on: 9/18/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

# PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

## **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position        | Name   | Degree(s)/<br>Certification(s)   | # of<br>Years at<br>Current<br>School | # of Years as<br>an<br>Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include<br>prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide<br>Assessment Achievement Levels,<br>Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and<br>AMO Progress along with the<br>associated school year)   |
|-----------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Principal       | Educational<br>Leadership,<br>Elementary<br>Shae L. Davis Education, ESOL<br>Endorsed,Second<br>Language Arts,<br>School Principal |  | 1                                     | 14                                   | Thomas E. Weightman Middle School;<br>School Grade of an "A" for last eight years,<br>during which time AYP was not achieved.<br>During the last four years, the average<br>achievement in the areas of reading, math,<br>writing, and science respectively:<br>% Meeting high standards: Reading 71%,<br>Math 68%,<br>Writing 86%, Science 51%<br>% of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains:<br>67%, 70%<br>% Making Learning Gains: 66%, 73% |
| Assis Principal | Laurie<br>Johnson  | Educational<br>Leadership,<br>Mentally<br>Handicapped,<br>Specific Learning<br>Disabilities,<br>Elementary<br>Education,<br>School Principal | 1                                     | 15                                   | Pasco Middle School,<br>2012 School Grade of a C,<br>2011 school grade of B,<br>2010 school grade of C,<br>2009 school grade of A.<br>During this time AYP was not achieved.<br>The last four years average performance<br>of students meeting high standards in<br>Reading 65% ,Math 54%, Science 40%,<br>Writing 69%,The average percent of<br>students in the lowest 25% making learning<br>gains in Reading 64%.                       |

| Accic Drincinal | Shalonda H.<br>Brooks | Educational<br>Leadership,<br>Specific Learning<br>Disabilities,<br>School Principal | 6 | 15 | Raymond B. Stewart Middle School, 2012<br>School Grade of a "C", 2011 school grade<br>of "C", 2010 school grade of "B", and 2009<br>school grade of "B".<br>During this time AYP was not achieved. The<br>last four years average performance of<br>students meeting high standards in Reading<br>was 62%%, Math 52%, Science 41%,<br>Writing 74%, Lowest 25% reading 63%,<br>Lowest 25% math 64%. |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--|---|----|--|

# INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area          | Name        | Degree(s)/<br>Certification(s)                                | # of<br>Years at<br>Current<br>School | # of Years as<br>an<br>Instructional<br>Coach | Prior Performance Record (include<br>prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide<br>Assessment Achievement Levels,<br>Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and<br>AMO progress along with the<br>associated school year)   |
|-----------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| K12 Literacy<br>Coach | Kim Rulison | K-12 Reading,<br>Elementary<br>Education, ESOL<br>Endorsement | 1                                     | 6   | Pasco Middle School,<br>2012 School Grade of a C,<br>2011 school grade of B,<br>2010 school grade of C,<br>2009 school grade of A.<br>During this time AYP was not achieved.<br>The last four years average performance<br>of students meeting high standards in<br>Reading 65% ,Math 54%, Science 40%,<br>Writing 69%,The average percent of<br>students in the lowest 25% making learning<br>gains in Reading 64%. |

# EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

|   | Description of Strategy  | Person<br>Responsible  | Projected<br>Completion<br>Date | Not Applicable (If not, please<br>explain why) |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Bulldog Roundtable (new teacher training/ discussion meetings) | Administration,<br>K-12 Literacy<br>Coach, District<br>Personnel | June 2013                       |  |
| 2 |  | Administration,<br>K-12 Literacy<br>Coach                        | June 2013                       |  |

## Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of<br>staff and<br>paraprofessional<br>that are<br>teaching out-<br>of-field/ and<br>who are not<br>highly<br>effective. | Provide the<br>strategies<br>that are<br>being<br>implemented<br>to support<br>the staff in<br>becoming<br>highly<br>effective |
|---|--|
| No data submitted   |  |

# Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number<br>of<br>Instructional<br>Staff | % of<br>First-Year<br>Teachers |           | % of<br>Teachers<br>with 6-14<br>Years of<br>Experience | % of<br>Teachers<br>with 15+<br>Years of<br>Experience | % of<br>Teachers<br>with<br>Advanced<br>Degrees | % Highly<br>Effective<br>Teachers | % Reading | % National<br>Board<br>Certified<br>Teachers | % ESOL<br>Endorsed<br>Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|--|--------------------------------|
| 78   | 10.3%(8)                       | 20.5%(16) | 32.1%(25)   | 25.6%(20)  | 25.6%(20)                                       | 44.9%(35)                         | 10.3%(8)  | 3.8%(3)                                      | 19.2%(15)                      |

## Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name     | Mentee<br>Assigned   | Rationale<br>for Pairing | Planned Mentoring<br>Activities                           |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Tara Rio        | Stephanie<br>Schmidt | Language<br>Arts         | K12 Literacy Meetings,<br>Bulldog Round Table<br>Meetings |
| Tracy Jennings  | Brian Clum           |                          | K12 Literacy Meetings,<br>Bulldog Round Table<br>Meetings |
| Vicky Horton    | Novelette<br>Walters | Language<br>Arts         | K12 Literacy Meetings,<br>Bulldog Round Table<br>Meetings |
| Ellen Mobley    | Stephanie<br>Erdmann | Social Studies           | K12 Literacy Meetings,<br>Bulldog Round Table<br>Meetings |
| Kim Rulison     | Holly Murdico        | Reading                  | K12 Literacy Meetings,<br>Bulldog Round Table<br>Meetings |
| Karlene Whidden | Susan<br>O'Farrell   | Math                     | K12 Literacy Meetings,<br>Bulldog Round Table<br>Meetings |

# ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

## Coordination and Integration

#### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

#### Title I, Part A

Title I funds will be used to provide professional development opportunities to teachers and administrators to address the specific academic achievement needs of the students at Raymond B. Stewart Middle School. Title I funds will also provide extra support by offering parent education opportunities. Level 1 and 2 students will be given the opportunity to attend tutoring sessions after school in the core subject areas. Additional opportunities for academic support will be coordinated through extended school day, and extended school year for any student in need of recovering courses.

#### Title I, Part C- Migrant

Title I, Part D

Title II

Title II and Title I funding will be used to provide professional development opportunities to teachers and administrators to address the specific academic achievement needs of students at RBSMS. IDEA funding will be used in conjuction with Title II funds to train teachers in the Response to Instruction/Intervention and positive behavior supports (RTI) strategies. These strategies are research based and proven to work with all students, including students with disabilities and students with behavior concerns.

Title III

Title X will coordinate with Title I funds to support Students in Transition. The goal is to provide as much stability as possible for homeless students and their families to help education continue to be a priority in these students live.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

The extended school day program is designed to meet the needs of students who are pursuing a standard diploma and who are most in need of academic support. As a middle school, we have designed the program to prioritize placement for students who have failed any of the core subjects(Math, Language Arts, Science, and Social Studies) and are in need of recovering prior to moving on to high school.

Violence Prevention Programs

Anti-Bullying policies will be taught and enforced by the administration with the cooperation of the local police department to support a policy of zero tolerance. Cyber safety will also be taught along with our school-wide expectations for student safety.

Nutrition Programs

Title I funds will be coordinated with nutrition programs to provide healthy snacks and free breakfast for all students, along with a free and reduced lunch program for qualified students.

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

N/A

Adult Education

N/A

Career and Technical Education

A career and technical education component will be integrated into the 7th grade electives as part of the standard curriculum. Eighth grade students will be provided the opportunity to complete a needs assessment focusing on a choice of career focus post middle and high school.

Job Training

Career Central and other job training programs will be offered to support families in need.

Other

# Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/Rtl Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Administration: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school- based team is implementing RTI, assesses RTI skills of staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, provides professional development to support RTI implementation, communicates RTI activities within the school to parents.

Select General Education Teachers: Participate in data collection and analysis, development of Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement, integrate, and analyze the effectiveness of Tier 1, 2, 3 interventions.

K-12 Literacy Specialist and Assistant Principals: Identify and analyze existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Develop, lead, and evaluate school data analysis processes. Identify patterns of student need and assist with school wide identification of "at risk" students for early intervention services. Assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection and analysis, provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

School Psychologist and Behavior Specialists: Participates in discussion and interpretation of data; facilitates development of intervention plans / Problem-solving worksheets (PSW); provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; facilitates data-based decision making activities.

Guidance Counselor(s) and Social Worker: Participates in the collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitates development of intervention plans and PSW's; provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; facilitates databased decision making activities. Provides expertise on program design and individualized student services. Provide connection between the school and families in regards to supporting the student's academic, emotional, and behavioral success.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

FAIR data will be used to strengthen TIER 1 curriculum in literacy and provide TIER 2 supports to struggling students.
 Read 180, AMP, and Triumphs are the research based reading programs being implemented in intensive reading courses.
 Each program comes with formative assessment reports that will be analyzed for further TIER 2 and TIER 3 supports.
 The Core K-12 program will be used in math and science. Students will be assessed three times a year and planning time will be provided for both departments to decide on appropriate responses across the TIERED curriculum.

4. RBSMS is in its fourth year of implementation of a writing across the curriculum portfolio program. This program allows students to write in many different forms in each of their courses. Samples are then selected by the Language Arts teachers to be included in their official portfolio which tracks growth over time.

5. Behavior, attendance, and academics will be monitored using the PSRTI Database that was crated by the District last school year. The SSAP Teacher on a bi-weekly basis will pull pertinent data and students will be grouped in the Early Warning System Triangle where TIERED supports will be provided.

• Develop professional development/technical assistance plan to support Rtl implementation.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan focuses on providing TIER 2 and 3 supports to students who are not responding to TIER 1 curriculum in the areas of behavior, attendance, and academics. As such, the RTI Leadership Team developed our Early Warning System that will enable us to provide support to students as soon as they need it. That proactive approach will be supported by the RTI Leadership team who will be modeling this school wide so it can be replicated for smaller groups of students within professional learning communities.

As a part of the problem solving process, the RTI Committee will facilitate a Connect program to support our Teir 3 students. This program will provide students with daily face to face mentor interaction. Students will be provided guidance and encouragement academically as well as socially.

The team will assist in the development of the school improvement plan in the following ways:

• Analysis of relevant demographic/school profile data for the purpose of problem analysis and hypothesis generation.

• Identification of critical RtI infrastructure already established and/or in need of development and provide plan for building capacity.

- Analysis of schoolwide and grade-level data in order to identify student achievement trends.
- Analysis of disaggregated data in order to identify trends and groups in need of intervention.
- Development of assessment strategies and calendars (i.e., Universal Screening, Progress Monitoring, Diagnostic Assessment).
- Development of data review plans, supports, and calendars.
- · Development of processes to ensure intervention fidelity
- Review of Progress Monitoring data.
- Planning for Interventions.
- Assessment of Rtl implementation progress (Self- Assessment of Problem Solving Implementation (SAPSI).
- · Assessment of school staff's skill development (RtI Skills Survey).
- Development of professional development/technical assistance plan to support Rtl implementation.

#### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

- FCAT
- FAIR
- Formative/Summative Classroom Assessment
- Common Assessments
- CORE K-12 Benchmark Assessments

Pasco Star

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The MTSS/RTI Committee participated in the year two summer training and will continue to participate in such opportunities throughout the 2012-2013 school year. The team will in turn be actively training the rest of the staff starting with cluster groups trainings during the 2012-2013- planning week. All Professional Learning Communities will be trained to utilize the Early Warning System by the RTI-B Committee and RTI Leadership Team.

• Ensure plan includes action steps for the development of absent or partially present RtI infrastructure components

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

A collaboration of meetings will be held for horizontal and vertical planning. In accordance with the K12 Literacy Plan, our teachers will meet four times per month to collaborate on Best Practice, data analysis, creation of common assessments, and discussion of students in need of additional assistance. This continuous cycle of collaboration and action planning will support the MTSS process at RBSMS. Each team of teachers will also meet monthly as a Student Success Team. During this meeting teachers will identify students who are struggling and interventions they have documented to help these students to be successful.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team—

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The members of the LLT include representation from each grade and discipline, providing a balanced cross section of our staff. In addition, the team will include the Literacy Coach and administrative support. ctions).

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT officially meets monthly to develop strategies to improve literacy instruction across the curriculum. The primary functions include designing and implementing a literacy action plan, supporting teachers to improve literacy instruction, using walkthrough data to make decisions, and building literacy leadership capacity.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The Lead Literacy Team will focus on the integration of literacy strategies across all content areas with an emphasis on the introduction of Common Core Standards. They will work to ensure that each classroom provides a literacy rich environment. Members will also provide resources for literacy interventions across content areas in an effort to sustain school wide literacy development.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 9/6/2012)

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

#### \*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

The Lead Literacy Committee will work with departments to monitor the implementation of all SIP goals pertaining to reading. A collaboration of meetings will be held for horizontal and vertical planning. In accordance with the K12 Literacy Plan, our teachers will meet four times per month to collaborate on Best Practice, data analysis, creation of common assessments, and

discussion of students in need of additional assistance. This continuous cycle of collaboration and action planning will support the expectation that literacy is the responsibility of all teachers.

# \*High Schools Only

#### Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

# Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

# PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

# **Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.<br>Reading Goal #1a: | During the 2012-2013 school year the number of students with a Level 3 FCAT score will increase by 5% (52) as measured by the 2013 FCAT. |
|---|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| 19% (197)   | 24% (249)  |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy FAIR, CORE K-12, Time constraints impact Teachers will meet by Teachers, Literacy PLC Collaboration teachers opportunities to department on a regular Coach, meetings, PLC Data Summative meet collaboratively in basis to collaborate and Administration, meetings, Common Assessment. professional learning discuss the Math Resource Assessment data Observations communities. implementation of Best Teacher analysis. Practices, data analysis, and common assessments Teachers will participate Literacy Coach, FAIR, Core K12, Teachers have not PLC collaboration received adequate in focused staff Administration, meetings, PLC data summative Technology professional development development to include meetings, FAIR, Core assessments, to support the cooperative learning, Specialist, Math K12,common Observations implementation of depth of knowledge, and Resource Teacher assessments innovative technology, use of instructional 2 cooperative learning technology structures, and higher order thinking strategies. FAIR, Core K12, Student's lack of Classroom activities will Teachers, Literacy PLC collaboration knowledge and/or be structured to Coach. Math meetings, PLC data summative comfort level of teacher incorporate cooperative Resource Teacher, meetings, FAIR, Core assessments, implementation of learning and gradual Administration K12, common Observations 3 release strategies to assessments strategy. improve educational outcomes and meet the diverse needs of learners Presentation of classroom Teachers, Literacy PLC Collaboration FAIR, Core K12, Students struggle to demonstrate mastery of curriculum will contain Coach, meetings, PLC data summative content through modeling of higher order Administration meetings, FAIR, Core assessments, extended and short K12, common Δ thinking and questioning observations response writing. and will promote the use assessments Students have a lack of of writing to demonstrate motivation to write. mastery of material. Students need additional Structured homeroom Teachers Common Assessments Student Grades support to meet time will be built into the academic goals. master schedule allowing 5 additional time to support students in meeting academic goals. A system has not been RBSMS will implement a Teachers. Student Database Data of students provided to staff that school-wide systematic Administrators identified as on System

| 6 | effectively tracks and<br>celebrates student<br>growth.  | approach to track and<br>celebrate student growth<br>(Punch cards, Portfolios).  |                             |  | track, at-risk, or<br>off track |
|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| 7 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a tiered<br>intervention system. | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. | Teachers,<br>Administrators | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data | MTSS/RTI Reports                |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group:         | rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee  |
|--|---|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.<br>Reading Goal #1b: | There will be a 5%(1) increase of students scoring at levels 4,5, and 6 in Reading as measured by the 2013 FAA. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| 35%(6)   | 40%(7)  |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                                      | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                         | Evaluation Tool                           |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes.  | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners.          | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                    | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, common<br>formative assessments | Summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |
| 2 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional development<br>to support the<br>implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking strategies. | Teachers will participate<br>in focused staff<br>development to include<br>cooperative learning,<br>depth of knowledge, and<br>use of instructional<br>technology.   | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings,formative<br>assessments         | Summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |
| 3 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a tiered<br>intervention system.  | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. | Teachers,<br>Administrators   | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline  | DataMTSS/RTI<br>Reports                   |

 Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading.

 The number of students performing at a level 4 or 5 on the 2013 FCAT will increase by 5% (49).

2012 Current Level of Performance:

12% (127)

17%(176)

|   | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Studer  | nt Achievement  |  |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring               | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |
| 1 | Teachers do not have<br>adequate time to<br>collaborate regarding the<br>implementation of best<br>practices.   | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss implementation of<br>best practices, data<br>analysis, and common<br>assessments.                                    | Coach, Department<br>Chairs, and                                     | Common Assessments,<br>Formative Assessment,<br>FAIR,CORE K-12,<br>Collaboration meetings,<br>Data Meetings, Informal<br>Observations | Summative<br>Assessments,<br>Grades, Formal<br>Observations      |
| 2 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate staff<br>development to facilitate<br>the use of cooperative<br>learning, depth of<br>knowledge, and<br>instructional technology<br>strategies.                                    | Teachers will participate<br>in focused staff<br>development to include<br>cooperative learning,<br>depth of knowledge, and<br>use of instructional<br>technology.   | Teachers,<br>Department Chairs,<br>Literacy Coach,<br>Administration | Common Assessments,<br>Formative Assessment,<br>Collaboration Meetings,<br>Data Meetings, Informal<br>Observations                    | Staff Developmen <sup>:</sup><br>Rosters, Formal<br>Observations |
| 3 |   | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies<br>improving educational<br>outcomes and meeting<br>the diverse needs of<br>students. | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach,<br>Administration                       | Collaboration Meetings,<br>Data Meetings  | Lesson plans,<br>Formal<br>Observations                          |
| 4 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided for<br>teachers to apply Webb's<br>Depth of Knowledge in<br>determining the<br>appropriate way to model<br>higher order thinking and<br>questioning skills. | and will promote the use of writing to demonstrate   | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach,<br>Administration                       | Collaboration Meetings,<br>Data Meetings  | Lesson plans,<br>Formal<br>Observations                          |
| 5 | Students need additional<br>support to meet<br>academic goals.  | Structured homeroom<br>time will be built into the<br>master schedule, allowing<br>additional time to support<br>students in meeting<br>academic goals.  | Teachers   | Common Assessments  | Student Grades   |
| 6 |   |  |  |   |  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in neer of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in<br>reading.<br>Reading Goal #2b:  | There will be a 5% increase in students scoring at or above achievement level 7 in Reading. as measured by the 2013 FAA. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |  |  |
| 41%(7)   | 46%(8)   |  |  |

|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                                      | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                         | Evaluation Tool                           |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes.  | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners.          | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                    | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, common<br>formative assessments | Summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |
| 2 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional development<br>to support the<br>implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking strategies. | Teachers will participate<br>in focused staff<br>development to include<br>cooperative learning,<br>depth of knowledge, and<br>use of instructional<br>technology.   | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings,formative<br>assessments         | Summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |
| 3 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a tiered<br>intervention system.  | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. | Teachers,<br>Administrators   | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data   | MTSS/RTI Reports                          |
| 4 | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities.  | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis,<br>and common<br>assessments.                                 | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                    | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, formative<br>Assessment data    | Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations  |

 Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in neer of improvement for the following group:

 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.

 Reading Goal #3a:

 2012 Current Level of Performance:

 2013 Expected Level of Performance:

 49% (507)

 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   |  | 3  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool  |
| 1 | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities. | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis,<br>and common<br>assessments. | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration | meetings, PLC Data   | FAIR, CORE K-12,<br>Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations |
|   | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional development<br>to support the                                     |  | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,          | meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core                   | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |

| 2 | implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking strategies.          | depth of knowledge, and<br>use of instructional<br>technology.   | Technology<br>Specialist   | assessments  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes. | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners.          | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments                      | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations             |
| 4 | Students struggle to<br>demonstrate mastery of<br>content through<br>extended and short<br>response writing.                         | Presentation of classroom<br>curriculum will contain<br>modeling of higher order<br>thinking and questioning<br>and will promote the use<br>of writing to demonstrate<br>mastery of material.                    | Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                       | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments                      | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations             |
| 5 | Students need additional<br>support to meet<br>academic goals.   | Structured homeroom<br>time will be built into the<br>master schedule allowing<br>additional time to support<br>students in meeting<br>academic goals.   | Teachers   | PLC Data<br>Meetings,Common<br>Assessments Student<br>Grades   | Student Grades   |
| 6 | A system has not been<br>provided to staff that<br>effectively tracks and<br>celebrates student<br>growth.                           | RBSMS will implement a school-wide systematic approach to track and celebrate student growth (Punch cards, Portfolios).  | Teachers,<br>Administrators  | Student Database<br>System Data of students<br>identified as on track, at-<br>risk, or off track. Team<br>meetings |  |
| 7 | A system has not been<br>provided to staff that<br>effectively tracks and<br>celebrates student<br>growth.                           | RBSMS will implement a school-wide systematic approach to track and celebrate student growth (Punch cards, Portfolios).  | Teachers,<br>Administrators  | Student Database<br>System   | Data of students<br>identified as on<br>track, at-risk, or<br>off track. |
| 8 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a tiered<br>intervention system.           | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. | Teachers,<br>Administrators  | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data   | MTSS/RTI Reports   |

| 3   |  | eference to "Guiding   | Questions", identify and   | define areas in nee   |  |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Percentage of students making Learning Gains in<br>reading.<br>Reading Goal #3b: |  |  | There will be a 5%(1) increase in the number of students making learning gains in reading as measured by the FAA.  |   |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |   |  |
| 82%(14)   |  |  | 87%(15)  |   |  |
| Pr  | oblem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Studen  | t Achievement  |   |  |
| Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |  |
|   | provement for the following<br>forida Alternate Assessm<br>entage of students makin<br>ng.<br>ing Goal #3b:<br>Current Level of Perforn<br>14)<br>Pr | brovement for the following group:<br>lorida Alternate Assessment:<br>entage of students making Learning Gains in<br>ng.<br>ing Goal #3b:<br>Current Level of Performance:<br>14)<br>Problem-Solving Process t | provement for the following group:         lorida Alternate Assessment:         entage of students making Learning Gains in         ng.         ing Goal #3b:         Current Level of Performance:         14)         Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student         Anticipated Barrier         Strategy | Iorida Alternate Assessment:         entage of students making Learning Gains in         ng.         ing Goal #3b:         Current Level of Performance:         2013 Expected Level of Performance:         14)         Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement         Anticipated Barrier       Strategy         Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for       Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of |  |

| 1 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes. | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners.          | Resource Teacher,<br>Administration | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings,formative<br>assessments | Summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| 2 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a tiered<br>intervention system.           | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. | Teachers,<br>Administrators         | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data                                       | MTSS/RTI Reports                          |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25%<br>making learning gains in reading.<br>Reading Goal #4:   | We will increase the number of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains by 5% as measured by the 2013 FCAT. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |
| 60%  | 65%   |  |  |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement   |  |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                                      | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |
| 1 | A balanced support<br>system needs to be<br>established to support<br>the diverse needs of<br>students with disabilities<br>in mainstream courses.  | RBSMS will create a<br>better system of support<br>for students with<br>disabilities by adding an<br>appropriate mixture of<br>support facilitation and<br>co-teach courses to<br>meet students needs. | ESE Department<br>Teachers, Regular<br>Ed Teachers,<br>Administration                       | Formative assessments,<br>Core k12,FAIR, Common<br>Assessments  | Grade Distribution,<br>Formal observation<br>Data                      |
| 2 | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities.  | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis,<br>and common<br>assessments.                       | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                    | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data<br>analysis, FAIR, CORE K12, | Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations                               |
| 3 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional development<br>to support the<br>implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking strategies. | Teachers will participate<br>in focused staff<br>development to include<br>cooperative learning,<br>depth of knowledge, and<br>use of instructional<br>technology.                                     | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12,common<br>assessments                | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Formal<br>Observations |
| 4 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes.  | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational   | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                    | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments               | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations           |

|   |  | outcomes and meet the diverse needs of learners.   |  |   |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| 5 | demonstrate mastery of   | modeling of higher order   | Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration | Summative<br>assessments,<br>observations |
| 6 | Students need additional<br>support to meet<br>academic goals. | Structured homeroom<br>time will be built into the<br>master schedule allowing<br>additional time to support<br>students in meeting<br>academic goals. | Teachers   | Student Grade<br>Distribution             |

| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual<br>Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year<br>school will reduce their achievement gap<br>by 50%. |                 |                 | currently 488 | ge of non proficio<br>5(497). We will n<br>at in Reading to 2 | reduce the number | of students |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|---|-------------------|-------------|
| Baseline data<br>2010-2011  | 2011-2012       | 2012-2013       | 2013-2014     | 2014-2015   | 2015-2016         | 2016-2017   |
|   | 48% non profici | 43% non profici | 39%           | 34%   | 29%               |             |

Г

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black,<br>Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making<br>satisfactory progress in reading.<br>Reading Goal #5B: | We will reduce the number of non proficient students in each subgroup by 5% as measured by the 2013 FCAT.                                 |
|---|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| White 50% proficient<br>Hispanic 50% proficient<br>Economically Disadvantaged 48% proficient<br>Students With Disabilities 40% proficient                   | White 55% proficient<br>Hispanic 55% proficient<br>Economically Disadvantaged 53% proficient<br>Students With Disabilities 45% proficient |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                                      | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                                 | Evaluation Tool  |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities.  | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis,<br>and common<br>assessments. | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                    | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data<br>analysis.  | FAIR, CORE K-12,<br>Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations |
| 2 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional development<br>to support the<br>implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking strategies. | Teachers will participate<br>in focused staff<br>development to include<br>cooperative learning,<br>depth of knowledge, and<br>use of instructional<br>technology.               | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12,common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |
|   | It is challenging for teachers to meet the  | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to  | Teachers,Literacy   | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data  | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative                                 |

| 3 | diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes.                          | incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners. | Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration | meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments  | assessments,<br>Observations  |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| 4 | Students struggle to<br>demonstrate mastery of<br>content through<br>extended and short<br>response writing. | 5 5  | Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations            |
| 5 | Students need additional<br>support to meet<br>academic goals.   | Structured homeroom<br>time will be built into the<br>master schedule allowing<br>additional time to support<br>students in meeting<br>academic goals. | Teachers   | Common Assessments  | Quarterly Grade<br>Distribution   |
| 6 | A system has not been<br>provided to staff that<br>effectively tracks and<br>celebrates student<br>growth.   | RBSMS will implement a<br>school-wide systematic<br>approach to track and<br>celebrate student growth<br>(Punch cards, Portfolios).                    | Teachers,<br>Administrators                        | Student Database<br>System  | Data of students<br>identified as on<br>track, at-risk, or<br>off track |

| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. |                                     |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Reading Goal #5C:  |                                     |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
|  |                                     |

|   | F  | roblem-Solving Process   | to Increase Studer  | nt Achievement  |  |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                                      | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |
| 1 | A balanced support<br>system needs to be<br>established to support<br>the diverse needs of<br>students with disabilities<br>in mainstream courses. | RBSMS will create a<br>better system of support<br>for students with<br>disabilities by adding an<br>appropriate mixture of<br>support facilitation and<br>co-teach courses to<br>meet students needs. | ESE Department<br>Teachers, Regular<br>Ed Teachers,<br>Administration                       | Formative assessments,<br>Core k12,FAIR, Common<br>Assessments  | Grade Distribution,<br>Formal observation<br>Data            |
| 2 | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities.                           | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis,<br>and common<br>assessments.                       | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                    | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data<br>analysis, FAIR, CORE K-<br>12 | Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Formal<br>Observations,<br>FCAT  |
| 3 | to support the<br>implementation of  | Teachers will participate<br>in focused staff<br>development to include<br>cooperative learning,<br>depth of knowledge, and<br>use of instructional<br>technology.                                     | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12,common<br>assessments                    | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |

|   | 1  | I   |  |   |   |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| 4 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes. | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners.         | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>Summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations                      |
| 5 | Students struggle to<br>demonstrate mastery of<br>content through<br>extended and short<br>response writing.                         | Presentation of classroom<br>curriculum will contain<br>modeling of higher order<br>thinking and questioning<br>and will promote the use<br>of writing to demonstrate<br>mastery of material.                   | Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                       | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations                      |
| 6 | Students struggle to<br>demonstrate mastery of<br>content through<br>extended and short<br>response writing.                         | Presentation of classroom<br>curriculum will contain<br>modeling of higher order<br>thinking and questioning<br>and will promote the use<br>of writing to demonstrate<br>mastery of material.                   | Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                       | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations                      |
| 7 | Students need additional<br>support to meet<br>academic goals.   | Structured homeroom<br>time will be built into the<br>master schedule allowing<br>additional time to support<br>students in meeting<br>academic goals.  | Teachers   | Common Assessments,<br>FAIR, Core K12   | Student Grade<br>Distribution   |
| 8 | A system has not been<br>provided to staff that<br>effectively tracks and<br>celebrates student<br>growth.                           | RBSMS will implement a school-wide systematic approach to track and celebrate student growth (Punch cards, Portfolios).   | Teachers,<br>Administrators  | MTSS/RTI data, Student<br>Database System   | Data analysis of<br>students identifiec<br>as on track, at-<br>risk, or off track |
| 9 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a tiered<br>intervention system.           | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track.Tiered interventions<br>will be utilized and<br>student responses<br>evaluated. | Teachers,<br>Administrators  | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data  | MTSS/RTI student<br>data reports<br>(grades,<br>attendance,<br>discipline)        |

|                                    | We will increase the percentage of Students With Disabilities (SWD) meeting proficiency by 14% as measured by the 2013 FCAT. |
|------------------------------------|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| 29% (51)                           | 43% (76)   |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  |   |  |  |                           |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|---------------------------|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool           |  |
| 1 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a tiered<br>intervention system. | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered | Teachers,<br>Administrators                            | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data                       | MTSS/RTI data<br>analysis |  |

| 6 | instruction to meet the needs of all students.   | Teachers will<br>adjust/differentiate<br>instruction as needed to<br>meet the learning needs<br>of all students.                    | K-12 Literacy<br>Coach, Teachers   | Formative assessment<br>data  | Summative data,<br>FAIR   |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| 5 | provided to staff that   | RBSMS will implement a<br>school-wide systematic<br>approach to track and<br>celebrate student growth<br>(Punch cards, Portfolios). | Teachers,<br>Administrators  | Student Database<br>System  | Data of students<br>identified as on<br>track, at-risk, or<br>off track |
| 4 |  | modeling of higher order  | Coach, Math  | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations            |
| 3 | diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using   | incorporate cooperative   | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations            |
| 2 | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities. | basis to collaborate and  | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration | meetings, PLC Data  | FAIR, CORE K-12,<br>Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations            |

| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making<br>satisfactory progress in reading.<br>Reading Goal #5E: | By June 2012, there will be a 5% (38) increase in the percentage of economically disadvantaged students that are proficient in Reading. |
|--|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| 55% (411)  | 60% (449)   |

|   | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process t | o Increase Studer                                      | nt Achievement  |   |
|---|---|-------------------------|--|---|---|
|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy                | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                          | Evaluation Tool   |
| 1 | All teachers are not<br>differentiating instruction<br>to meet the needs of<br>high performing students.                | and Science Teachers    | Coach,<br>Administration                               | Formative Assessment,<br>FAIR,CORE K-12, Action<br>Research Meetings,Team<br>Meetings | Lesson Plans,<br>Summative<br>Assessments,<br>Grades, FCAT,<br>Walkthroughs |
| 2 | Students are not<br>engaged in activities that<br>require them to utilize<br>background knowledge to<br>make decisions. | connect students        | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach                            | Formative Asssessment,<br>Department Action<br>Research Meetings                      | Lesson Plans,<br>Walkthroughs,<br>Grades                                    |

# Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus       | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader              | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | early release) and | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring   | Person or Positic<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                             |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--------------------|--|--|
| Gradual<br>Release                                | 6-8                    | Staff<br>Development                                | Grades 6-8<br>Teachers   | October            | Informal<br>Observations,<br>Collaboration<br>Meetings, Data<br>Meetings         | Administration, K- <sup>-</sup><br>Literacy Coach                              |
| Depth of<br>Knowledge                             | 6-8                    | Rebecca<br>Musselman                                | Grades 6-8<br>Teachers   | October/November   | Informal<br>Observations,<br>Collaboration<br>Meetings, Data<br>Meetings         | Administration, K- <sup>-</sup><br>Literacy Coach                              |
| DRAPES  | 6-8                    | District Staff<br>Development                       | Grades 6-8<br>Language Arts<br>Teachers  | September/October  | Informal<br>Observations,<br>Collaboration<br>Meetings, Data<br>Meetings         | Administration, K- <sup>-</sup><br>Literacy Coach                              |
| Pathways to<br>the Common<br>Core (Book<br>Study) | 6-8                    | K-12 Literacy<br>Coach                              | Grades 6-8<br>Teachers   | On-Going/Monthly   | Collaboration<br>Meetings  | Administration,K-1<br>Literacy   |
| MTSS/RTI  | 6-8                    | K-12 Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource<br>Teacher | Grades 6-8<br>Teachers   | On-Going           | Collaboration<br>Meetings, Data<br>Meetings, Student<br>Success Team<br>Meetings | Administration, K- <sup>-</sup><br>Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher |

#### Reading Budget:

| Strategy  | Description of Resources                                 | Funding Source | Available<br>Amoun  |
|---|--|----------------|---------------------|
| Teachers will meet by department<br>on a regular basis to collaborate<br>and discuss the implementation of<br>Best Practices, data analysis, and<br>common assessments. | Staff Development, Materials, and<br>Department Planning | Title I        | \$6,291.46          |
| Teachers will meet by department<br>on a regular basis to collaborate<br>and discuss the implementation of<br>Best Practices, data analysis, and<br>common assessments. | Reading Resource Kit                                     | Title I        | \$1,000.00          |
|   |  |                | Subtotal: \$7,291.4 |
| Fechnology  |  |                |                     |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources                                 | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| Teachers will participate in focused<br>staff development to include<br>cooperative learning, depth of<br>knowledge, and use of instructional<br>technology.            | Web based technology<br>programs,technology resources    | Title I        | \$2,400.00          |
|   |  |                | Subtotal: \$2,400.0 |
| Professional Development  |  |                |                     |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources                                 | Funding Source | Available           |

Teachers will meet by department

| on a regular basis to collaborate<br>and discuss the implementation of<br>Best Practices, data analysis, and<br>common assessments.   | Book Study, Pathways to the<br>Common Core | Title I        | \$650.00            |
|---|--|----------------|---------------------|
|   | -  | -              | Subtotal: \$650.00  |
| Other   |  |                |                     |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources                   | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| Classroom activities will be<br>structured to incorporate<br>cooperative learning and gradual<br>release strategies improving<br>educational outcomes and meeting<br>the diverse needs of learners. | Teacher conferences, consultants           | Title I        | \$4,234.84          |

Grand Total: \$14,576.30

Subtotal: \$4,234.84

End of Reading Goa

# Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Stude          | ents speak in English and  | understand spoken Englis   | sh at grade level ir  | a manner similar to nor   | -ELL students.   |
|----------------|--|--|---|---|--|
|                | udents scoring proficie<br>A Goal #1:  | nt in listening/speaking   |   | 10%(3) increase in the<br>ient in listening/speaking  |  |
| 2012           | 2 Current Percent of Stu   | idents Proficient in liste   | ening/speaking:   |   |  |
| 6th G<br>7th G | percent of students curren<br>rade=3<br>rade=7<br>rade=6   | ntly proficient in listening   | and speaking is 5   | 5% (16).  |  |
|                | Prol   | olem-Solving Process t   | o Increase Stude  | ent Achievement   |  |
|                | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring  | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                                  | Evaluation Too   |
| 1              | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities<br>to meet collaboratively<br>in professional learning<br>communities.   | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data<br>analysis, and common<br>assessments.                           | Math Resource<br>Teacher,   | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data<br>analysis.   | FAIR, CORE K-12<br>Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations  |
| 2              | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional<br>development to support<br>the implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking<br>strategies. | staff development to<br>include cooperative<br>learning, depth of  | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist,<br>Teachers,<br>Administration | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12,common<br>assessments  | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |
| 3              | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes.   | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>learners. | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration  | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |

| 4 |                                       | learning software to<br>support students<br>listening and speaking                                       | ESOL Teacher,<br>ESOL Para,<br>Classroom<br>teacher | language learning           | CELLA, FCAT, Tell<br>Me More<br>Assessments                    |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|--|
| 5 | opportunities to<br>practice speaking |  | ESOL teacher,<br>Regular Teachers                   | language learning           | CELLA, FCAT, Tell<br>Me More<br>Assessments                    |
| 6 | formal schooling prior to             | Teachers will complete<br>an ESOL endorsement<br>course to better<br>facilitate the learning<br>process. | Teachers,<br>Administration                         | software,<br>Administrative | Lesson<br>plans,CELLA,<br>FCAT, Tell Me<br>More<br>Assessments |

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

The number of students proficient in reading will increase by 10%(3) as measured by the 2013 CELLA test.

CELLA Goal #2:

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

The current percentage of students proficient in Reading as measured by the 2012 CELLA test is 14%(4) 6th Grade=0

7th Grade=2

8th Grade=2

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring  | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                                  | Evaluation Tool  |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| 1 | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities<br>to meet collaboratively<br>in professional learning<br>communities.   | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data<br>analysis, and common<br>assessments. | Math Resource<br>Teacher,   | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data<br>analysis.   | FAIR, CORE K-12,<br>Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations |
| 2 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional<br>development to support<br>the implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking<br>strategies. | staff development to<br>include cooperative<br>learning, depth of<br>knowledge, and use of   | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist,<br>Teachers,<br>Administration | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12,common<br>assessments  | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |
| 3 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes.   | incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of                                     | Literacy Coach,   | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |
|   |  | ESOL teacher will utilize<br>Tell Me More language   |   | Student data from<br>language learning  | CELLA, FCAT, Tell<br>Me More                                 |

| , | 4 |  | support students   | software,<br>Administrative<br>observations, AMAO<br>data | Assessments                                 |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| į | C | opportunities to<br>practice speaking          |  | language learning   | CELLA, FCAT, Tell<br>Me More<br>Assessments |
| ( |   | formal schooling prior to entering our school. | Teachers will complete<br>an ESOL endorsement<br>course to better<br>facilitate the learning<br>process. |   | CELLA, FCAT, Tell<br>Me More<br>Assessments |

| Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| 3. Students scoring proficient in writing.  | Students scoring proficient in writing will increase by 10% |  |  |
| CELLA Goal #3:  | (3) as measured by the 2013 CELLA test.                     |  |  |

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:

The current percent of students scoring proficient on the CELLA writing exam is 21%(6). 6th grade=0 7th grade=3 8th grade=3

## Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                                      | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                                  | Evaluation Tool  |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| 1 | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities<br>to meet collaboratively<br>in professional learning<br>communities.   | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data<br>analysis, and common<br>assessments. | Math Resource<br>Teacher,   | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data<br>analysis.   | FAIR, CORE K-12,<br>Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations |
| 2 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional<br>development to support<br>the implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking<br>strategies. | staff development to include cooperative   | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12,common<br>assessments  | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |
| 3 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes.   | incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to   | Literacy Coach,   | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |
| 4 | Students struggle to<br>demonstrate mastery of<br>content through<br>extended and short<br>response writing.   | will contain modeling of   | Teacher,  | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations |

|   |  | mastery of material.  |   |   |   |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| 5 | access to native<br>language support (both<br>at home and at school) |   | ESOL Teacher,<br>ESOL Para,<br>Classroom<br>teacher | Student data from<br>language learning<br>software,<br>Administrative<br>observations, AMAO<br>data | CELLA, FCAT<br>Writes, Tell Me<br>More<br>Assessments                 |
| 6 | opportunities to<br>practice speaking<br>through out the day.        | ESOL teacher will<br>provide access to<br>additional language<br>development resources<br>in students classrooms. | ESOL teacher,<br>Regular Teachers                   | software,   | CELLA, FCAT<br>Writes, Tell Me<br>More<br>Assessments                 |
| 7 | formal schooling prior to<br>entering our school.                    | Teachers will complete<br>an ESOL endorsement<br>course to better<br>facilitate the learning<br>process.          | Teachers,<br>Administration                         |   | Lesson<br>plans,CELLA,<br>FCAT Writes, Tell<br>Me More<br>Assessments |

# CELLA Budget:

|                       |                          |                | Available          |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amoun              |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00             |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0    |
| echnology             |                          |                |                    |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amoun |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00             |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0    |
| Professional Developn | nent                     |                |                    |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amoun |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00             |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0    |
| Dther                 |                          |                |                    |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amoun |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00             |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.0 |

End of CELLA Goals

# Middle School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

|      | d on the analysis of studen<br>provement for the following  |   | eference to "Guiding  | J Questions", identify and  | define areas in nee   |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|
| math | CAT2.0: Students scoring<br>nematics.<br>nematics Goal #1a:   | g at Achievement Level 3  | At least 20%(20   | 07) of students at RBSMS<br>as measured by the 2013   |   |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform  | nance:  | 2013 Expected   | d Level of Performance:   |   |
| 15%  | (151)   |   | 20% (207)   |   |   |
|      | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process   | to Increase Studer  | nt Achievement  |   |
|      | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                                  | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                                  | Evaluation Tool   |
| 1    | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities.  | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis,<br>and common<br>assessments.                        | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach,<br>Administration,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher             | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data<br>analysis.   | FAIR, CORE K-12,<br>Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations            |
| 2    | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional development<br>to support the<br>implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking strategies. | Teachers will participate<br>in focused staff<br>development to include<br>cooperative learning,<br>depth of knowledge, and<br>use of instructional<br>technology.                                      | Literacy Coach,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist,Math<br>Resource Teacher | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12,common<br>assessments  | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations            |
| 3    | Student's lack of<br>knowledge and/or<br>comfort level of teacher<br>implementation of<br>strategy.   | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners. | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations            |
| 4    | Students struggle to<br>demonstrate mastery of<br>content through<br>extended and short<br>response writing.<br>Students have a lack of<br>motivation to write.   | Presentation of classroom<br>curriculum will contain<br>modeling of higher order<br>thinking and questioning<br>and will promote the use<br>of writing to demonstrate<br>mastery of material.           | Coach,<br>Administration  | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations            |
| 5    | Students need additional support to meet academic goals.  | Structured homeroom<br>time will be built into the<br>master schedule allowing<br>additional time to support<br>students in meeting<br>academic goals.  | Teachers  | Common Assessments  | Student Grades  |
| 6    | A system has not been<br>provided to staff that<br>effectively tracks and<br>celebrates student<br>growth.  | RBSMS will implement a<br>school-wide systematic<br>approach to track and<br>celebrate student growth<br>(Punch cards, Portfolios).   | Teachers,<br>Administrators   | Student Database<br>System  | Data of students<br>identified as on<br>track, at-risk, or<br>off track |

|  | development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a tiered<br>intervention system. | implemented to | Teachers,<br>Administrators | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data | MTSS/RTI Reports |
|--|---|----------------|-----------------------------|--|------------------|
|--|---|----------------|-----------------------------|--|------------------|

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.<br>Mathematics Goal #1b:  | There will be a 5% increase in the number of students scoring at levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics as measured by the FAA. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |  |  |
| 10 out of 18<br>56%(10)   | 61%(11)  |  |  |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement   |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy       | Evaluation Tool   |  |  |
| 1 | Teachers do not have<br>adequate time to<br>collaborate regarding the<br>implementation of best<br>practices. | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss implementation of<br>best practices, data<br>analysis, and common<br>assessments.                                    | Coach, Department<br>Chairs, and                       | Formative Assessment,<br>Collaboration meetings,<br>Data Meetings  | Informal<br>Observations<br>Summative<br>Assessments,<br>Grades, Formal<br>Observations |  |  |
| 2 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>divers needs of students<br>in their classroom.              | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies<br>improving educational<br>outcomes and meeting<br>the diverse needs of<br>students. | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach,<br>Administration         | Collaboration Meetings,<br>Data Meetings, formative<br>assessments | Lesson plans,<br>Formal<br>Observations,<br>summative<br>assessments                    |  |  |
| 3 | Students need additional<br>support to meet<br>academic goals.  |  |  | Collaboration Meetings,<br>Data Meetings                           | Student grades,<br>Formal<br>Observations   |  |  |

| based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need fimprovement for the following group: |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement<br>Level 4 in mathematics.<br>Mathematics Goal #2a:   | At least 16%(166) of students at RBSMS will score at levels 4 and 5 as measured by the 2013 FCAT. |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |  |
| 11% (91)   | 16% (166)   |  |  |  |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring               | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | Teachers do not have<br>adequate time to<br>collaborate regarding the<br>implementation of best<br>practices.   | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss implementation of<br>best practices, data<br>analysis, and common<br>assessments.                                    | Chairs, and  | Common Assessments,<br>Formative Assessment,<br>FAIR,CORE K-12,<br>Collaboration meetings,<br>Data Meetings, Informal<br>Observations | Summative<br>Assessments,<br>Grades, Formal<br>Observations |
| 2 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate staff<br>development to facilitate<br>the use of cooperative<br>learning, depth of<br>knowledge, and<br>instructional technology<br>strategies.                                    | Teachers will participate<br>in focused staff<br>development to include<br>cooperative learning,<br>depth of knowledge, and<br>use of instructional<br>technology.   | Teachers,<br>Department Chairs,<br>Literacy Coach,<br>Administration | Common Assessments,<br>Formative Assessment,<br>Collaboration Meetings,<br>Data Meetings, Informal<br>Observations                    | Staff Developmen<br>Rosters, Formal<br>Observations         |
| 3 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>divers needs of students<br>in their classroom.  | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies<br>improving educational<br>outcomes and meeting<br>the diverse needs of<br>students. | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach,<br>Administration                       | Collaboration Meetings,<br>Data Meetings  | Lesson plans,<br>Formal<br>Observations                     |
| 4 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided for<br>teachers to apply Webb's<br>Depth of Knowledge in<br>determining the<br>appropriate way to model<br>higher order thinking and<br>questioning skills. | and will promote the use of writing to demonstrate   | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach,<br>Administration                       | Collaboration Meetings,<br>Data Meetings  | Lesson plans,<br>Formal<br>Observations                     |
| 5 | Students need additional<br>support to meet<br>academic goals.  | Structured homeroom<br>time will be built into the<br>master schedule, allowing<br>additional time to support<br>students in meeting<br>academic goals.  | Teachers   | Common Assessments  | Student Grades  |

| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in<br>mathematics.<br>Mathematics Goal #2b: | There will be a 5%(1) increase n the number of students scoring at level 7 or higher in math, as measured by the 201 FAA. |
|---|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| 7 out of 18<br>39% (7)  | 44% (8)   |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement   |   |  |  |   |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|
|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                         | Evaluation Tool                           |
| 1 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to |  | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, common<br>formative assessments | Summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |

|   | conventional processes.   | improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners.   |                             |   |   |
|---|---|--|-----------------------------|---|---|
| 2 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional development<br>to support the<br>implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking strategies. | Teachers will participate<br>in focused staff<br>development to include<br>cooperative learning,<br>depth of knowledge, and<br>use of instructional<br>technology.   | Math Resource               | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings,formative<br>assessments      | Summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |
| 3 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a tiered<br>intervention system.  | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. | Teachers,<br>Administrators | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data  | MTSS/RTI Reports                          |
| 4 | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities.  | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis,<br>and common<br>assessments.                                 | Resource Teacher,           | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, formative<br>Assessment data | Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, | and reference to | "Guiding Questions", | identify and | define | areas | in nee |
|--|------------------|----------------------|--------------|--------|-------|--------|
| of improvement for the following group:            |                  |                      |              |        |       |        |

| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning<br>gains in mathematics.<br>Mathematics Goal #3a: | A 5% (51) increase of students in the lowest quartile will make learning gains as measured by the 2013 FCAT. |
|--|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| 42% (435)  | 47% (486)  |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                                      | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                                 | Evaluation Tool  |  |  |
| 1 | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities.  | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis,<br>and common<br>assessments. | Coach, Math   | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data analysis      | FAIR, CORE K-12,<br>Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations |  |  |
| 2 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional development<br>to support the<br>implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking strategies. | Teachers will participate<br>in focused staff<br>development to include<br>cooperative learning,<br>depth of knowledge, and<br>use of instructional<br>technology.               | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12,common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |  |  |
|   |   | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative   | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,                                      | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core                              | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,                 |  |  |

| 3 | students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes.  | learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners.  | Administration                                     | K12, common<br>assessments   | Observations   |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4 | Students struggle to<br>demonstrate mastery of<br>content through<br>extended and short<br>response writing.               |  | Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments                      | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations             |
| 5 | Students need additional<br>support to meet<br>academic goals.   | Structured homeroom<br>time will be built into the<br>master schedule allowing<br>additional time to support<br>students in meeting<br>academic goals.   | Teachers   | PLC Data<br>Meetings,Common<br>Assessments Student<br>Grades   | Student Grades   |
| 6 | A system has not been<br>provided to staff that<br>effectively tracks and<br>celebrates student<br>growth.                 | RBSMS will implement a school-wide systematic approach to track and celebrate student growth (Punch cards, Portfolios).  | Teachers,<br>Administrators                        | Student Database<br>System Data of students<br>identified as on track, at-<br>risk, or off track. Team<br>meetings |  |
| 7 | A system has not been<br>provided to staff that<br>effectively tracks and<br>celebrates student<br>growth.                 | RBSMS will implement a school-wide systematic approach to track and celebrate student growth (Punch cards, Portfolios).  | Teachers,<br>Administrators                        | Student Database<br>System   | Data of students<br>identified as on<br>track, at-risk, or<br>off track. |
| 8 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a tiered<br>intervention system. | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. | Teachers,<br>Administrators                        | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data   | MTSS/RTI Reports   |

| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Percentage of students making Learning Gains in<br>mathematics.<br>Mathematics Goal #3b: | There will be a 5%(1) increase in the number of students making learning gains in math as measured by the 2013 FAA. |
|---|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| 61%(11)   | 66%(12)   |
|   |   |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  |   |  |   |   |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                  | Evaluation Tool                           |  |  |
| 1 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes. | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners. | Administration   | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, formative<br>assessments | summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |  |  |

| 2 | teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities. | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis,<br>and common<br>assessments. | Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher, | meetings, PLC Data | Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations |
|---|---|--|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|
|---|---|--|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|

| making loanning game in mathematics. | An increase of 5%(7) of the students in the lowest quartile<br>will make learning gains in Math as measured by the 2013<br>FCAT. |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| 52%(82)                              | 57%(89)  |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy A balanced support RBSMS will create a ESE Department Formative assessments, Grade Distribution, Teachers, Regular Core k12,FAIR, Common better system of support Formal observation system needs to be for students with Ed Teachers, Assessments Data established to support the diverse needs of disabilities by adding an Administration students with disabilities appropriate mixture of in mainstream courses. support facilitation and co-teach courses to meet students needs. Teachers will meet by PLC Collaboration Time constraints impact Teachers, Literacy Summative teachers opportunities to department on a regular meetings, PLC Data Coach, Math Assessment, meetings, Common meet collaboratively in basis to collaborate and Resource Teacher, Observations professional learning discuss the Administration Assessment data 2 implementation of Best analysis, FAIR, CORE K12, communities. Practices, data analysis, and common assessments Teachers will participate PLC collaboration FAIR, Core K12, Teachers have not Literacy Coach, received adequate in focused staff Math Resource meetings, PLC data summative professional development development to include Teacher, meetings, FAIR, Core assessments, cooperative learning, Administration, to support the K12,common Formal implementation of depth of knowledge, and Technology assessments Observations 3 innovative technology, use of instructional Specialist cooperative learning technology. structures, and higher order thinking strategies. PLC collaboration FAIR, Core K12, It is challenging for Classroom activities will Teachers, Literacy teachers to meet the be structured to Coach, Math meetings, PLC data summative incorporate cooperative Resource Teacher, meetings, FAIR, Core diverse needs of assessments, students in their learning and gradual Administration K12, common Observations 4 classroom using release strategies to assessments conventional processes. improve educational outcomes and meet the diverse needs of learners Students struggle to Presentation of classroom Teachers, Literacy PLC Collaboration Summative demonstrate mastery of curriculum will contain Coach, Math meetings, PLC data assessments, modeling of higher order Resource Teacher, meetings, FAIR,Core K12, content through observations extended and short thinking and questioning 5 Administration common assessments response writing. and will promote the use of writing to demonstrate mastery of material.

| 6 | Students need additional support to meet academic goals.   | Structured homeroom<br>time will be built into the<br>master schedule allowing<br>additional time to support<br>students in meeting<br>academic goals.   |  | Student Grade<br>Distribution   |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| 7 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a tiered<br>intervention system. | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. |  | Student Grades,<br>Attendance,<br>Discipline Data,<br>Student Database<br>System Report<br>Analysis |

| Based on Amb  | Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target |           |                                |  |  |             |
|---|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--|--|-------------|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual<br>Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year<br>school will reduce their achievement gap<br>by 50%. |   |           | currently 60%<br>non proficier | ematics Goal #<br>ge of non proficion<br>&(621). We will :<br>ht in Math by 6% a<br>ared by the 2017 : | reduce the number<br>annually to equal | of students |
| Baseline data<br>2010-2011  | 2011-2012   | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014                      | 2014-2015  | 2015-2016                              | 2016-2017   |
|   | 60%   | 54%       | 46%                            | 38%  | 36%                                    |             |

Г

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black,<br>Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making<br>satisfactory progress in mathematics.<br>Mathematics Goal #5B: | There will be at least a 5% increase in the performance of ethnic subgroups as measured by the 2013 FCAT. |
|---|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| Hispanic 41%<br>White 57%   | Hispanic 46%<br>White 62%   |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                                | Evaluation Tool  |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a tiered<br>intervention system. | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track.Tiered interventions<br>will be utilized and<br>student responses<br>evaluated. | Teachers,<br>Administrators  | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data  | MTSS/RTI data<br>analysis                                    |
| 2 | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities.   | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis,<br>and common<br>assessments.                                | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data<br>analysis. | FAIR, CORE K-12,<br>Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations |
|   | It is challenging for teachers to meet the   | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to   | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math  | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data   | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative                                 |

| 3 | diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes.                          | incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners.                       | Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                | meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments  | assessments,<br>Observations  |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| 4 | Students struggle to<br>demonstrate mastery of<br>content through<br>extended and short<br>response writing. | 0 0  | Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations            |
| 5 | A system has not been<br>provided to staff that<br>effectively tracks and<br>celebrates student<br>growth.   | RBSMS will implement a<br>school-wide systematic<br>approach to track and<br>celebrate student growth<br>(Punch cards, Portfolios).<br>Student Grades                        | Teachers,<br>Administrators                        | Student Database<br>System  | Data of students<br>identified as on<br>track, at-risk, or<br>off track |
| 6 | Level 1 Math students<br>need additional support<br>mastering basic math<br>skills.                          | The Master schedule will<br>be developed in a manner<br>that reinforces basic<br>skills in intensive math<br>courses for most<br>students scoring at level<br>1 on the FCAT. |  | PLC Collaboration<br>Meetings, Data Meetings,<br>Core K12                                     | Grades  |

| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. |                                     |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Mathematics Goal #5C:  |                                     |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
|  |                                     |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement   |  |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                                      | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |
| 1 | A balanced support<br>system needs to be<br>established to support<br>the diverse needs of<br>students with disabilities<br>in mainstream courses.                                    | RBSMS will create a<br>better system of support<br>for students with<br>disabilities by adding an<br>appropriate mixture of<br>support facilitation and<br>co-teach courses to<br>meet students needs. | ESE Department<br>Teachers, Regular<br>Ed Teachers,<br>Administration                       | Formative assessments,<br>Core k12,FAIR, Common<br>Assessments  | Grade Distribution,<br>Formal observation<br>Data            |
| 2 | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities.  | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis,<br>and common<br>assessments.                       | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                    | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data<br>analysis, FAIR, CORE K-<br>12 | Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Formal<br>Observations,<br>FCAT  |
| 3 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional development<br>to support the<br>implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher | Teachers will participate<br>in focused staff<br>development to include<br>cooperative learning,<br>depth of knowledge, and<br>use of instructional<br>technology.                                     | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12,common<br>assessments                    | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |

|   | order thinking strategies.   |   |  |   |   |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| 4 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes. | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners.         | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>Summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations                      |
| 5 | Students struggle to<br>demonstrate mastery of<br>content through<br>extended and short<br>response writing.                         | Presentation of classroom<br>curriculum will contain<br>modeling of higher order<br>thinking and questioning<br>and will promote the use<br>of writing to demonstrate<br>mastery of material.                   | Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                       | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations                      |
| 6 | Students struggle to<br>demonstrate mastery of<br>content through<br>extended and short<br>response writing.                         | Presentation of classroom<br>curriculum will contain<br>modeling of higher order<br>thinking and questioning<br>and will promote the use<br>of writing to demonstrate<br>mastery of material.                   | Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                       | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations                      |
| 7 | Students need additional<br>support to meet<br>academic goals.   | Structured homeroom<br>time will be built into the<br>master schedule allowing<br>additional time to support<br>students in meeting<br>academic goals.  | Teachers   | Common Assessments,<br>FAIR, Core K12   | Student Grade<br>Distribution   |
| 8 | A system has not been<br>provided to staff that<br>effectively tracks and<br>celebrates student<br>growth.                           | RBSMS will implement a school-wide systematic approach to track and celebrate student growth (Punch cards, Portfolios).   | Teachers,<br>Administrators  | MTSS/RTI data, Student<br>Database System   | Data analysis of<br>students identifiec<br>as on track, at-<br>risk, or off track |
| 9 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a tiered<br>intervention system.           | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track.Tiered interventions<br>will be utilized and<br>student responses<br>evaluated. | Teachers,<br>Administrators  | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data  | MTSS/RTI student<br>data reports<br>(grades,<br>attendance,<br>discipline)        |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refe | rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |
|---|---|
| of improvement for the following subgroup:                  |   |
|   |   |

| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making<br>satisfactory progress in mathematics.<br>Mathematics Goal #5D: | At least 35%(55) of students with disabilities will make<br>learning gains equal to one year's growth as measured by th<br>2013 FCAT. |
|---|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| 30%(47) of students with disabilities made learning gains in math on the 2012 FCAT.                               | 35%(55)   |

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  |   |  |  |                           |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool           |  |  |  |
| Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a tiered | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as | Teachers,<br>Administrators                            | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data                       | MTSS/RTI data<br>analysis |  |  |  |

| 1 | intervention system.   | on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated.   |  |   |   |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| 2 | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities.             |   | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data<br>analysis.   | FAIR, CORE K-12,<br>Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations            |
| 3 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes. | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners. | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations            |
| 4 | Students struggle to<br>demonstrate mastery of<br>content through<br>extended and short<br>response writing.                         | J . J . J   | Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                       | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations            |
| 5 | A system has not been<br>provided to staff that<br>effectively tracks and<br>celebrates student<br>growth.                           | RBSMS will implement a<br>school-wide systematic<br>approach to track and<br>celebrate student growth<br>(Punch cards, Portfolios).   | Teachers,<br>Administrators  | Student Database<br>System  | Data of students<br>identified as on<br>track, at-risk, or<br>off track |
| 6 | Level 1 Math students<br>need additional support<br>mastering basic math<br>skills.  | The Master schedule will<br>be developed in a manner<br>that reinforces basic<br>skills in intensive math<br>courses for most<br>students scoring at level<br>1 on the FCAT.                            | Administration,<br>Math Teachers   | PLC Collaboration<br>Meetings, Data Meetings  | Student Grades  |

| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making<br>satisfactory progress in mathematics.<br>Mathematics Goal #5E: | There will be at least a 5%(8) increase in the performance o economically disadvantaged students as measured by the Spring 2013 FCAT. |
|--|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| 41%(64) of economically disadvantaged students were proficient on the 2012 FCAT.                                     | 46%(72)   |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   |   | Anticipated Barrier                    | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool                          |  |  |
| 1 |   | professional learning                  | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis,<br>and common<br>assessments. | Resource Teacher,                                      | meetings, PLC Data   | Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations |  |  |
|   |   | Teachers have not<br>received adequate | Teachers will participate<br>in focused staff  | , i i i j i i i i i i                                  | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data                      | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative             |  |  |

| 2 | to support the cooperative learning, Au<br>implementation of depth of knowledge, and To |                             | Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist | meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12,common<br>assessments   | assessments,<br>Observations  |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---|---|---|
| 3 | teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their learning and gradual Ad   |                             | Administration  | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations            |
| 4 | content through modeling of higher order R  |                             | Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration      | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations            |
| 5 |   |                             | Teachers  | Common Assessments  | Student Grades  |
| 6 | provided to staff that school-wide systematic A   |                             | Teachers,<br>Administrators                             | Student Database<br>System, attendance<br>data, discipline data,<br>grade distribution        | Data of students<br>identified as on<br>track, at-risk, or<br>off track |
| 5 |   | Teachers,<br>Administrators | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline                       | Discipline data,<br>attedance data,<br>grade distribution<br>MTSS/RTI Reports                 |   |

End of Middle School Mathematics Goa

# Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

|                                    | l on the analysis of studen<br>provement for the following | t achievement data, and re<br>g group: | eference to "Guiding                                   | Questions", identify and   | define areas in need |  |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|----------------------|--|
|                                    | udents scoring at Achiev<br>ora Goal #1:                   | rement Level 3 in Algebra              | above, therefore<br>consistent or sh                   | All students taking the Algebra EOC in 2012 scored at 3.0 or<br>above, therefore the number of students at 3.0 will be<br>consistent or show a decrease in students at 3.0 with an<br>increase of students at 4 and 5. |                      |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |                      |  |
| 55%(26)                            |  |  | 55%(26)  | 55%(26)  |                      |  |
|                                    | Pr   | roblem-Solving Process t               | to Increase Studer                                     | nt Achievement   |                      |  |
|                                    | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy                               | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool      |  |
|                                    | Time constraints impact                                    | Teachers will meet by                  | Teachers, Literacy                                     | PLC Collaboration  | FAIR, CORE K-12,     |  |

| 1 | teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities.   | department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis,<br>and common<br>assessments.  | Coach,<br>Administration,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher                                   | meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data<br>analysis.                        | Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations                                |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| 2 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional development<br>to support the<br>implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking strategies. | Teachers will participate<br>in focused staff<br>development to include<br>cooperative learning,<br>depth of knowledge, and<br>use of instructional<br>technology.   | Literacy Coach,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist,Math<br>Resource Teacher | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12,common<br>assessments  | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations            |
| 3 | Student's lack of<br>knowledge and/or<br>comfort level of teacher<br>implementation of<br>strategy.   | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners.          | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations            |
| 4 | Students struggle to<br>demonstrate mastery of<br>content through<br>extended and short<br>response writing.<br>Students have a lack of<br>motivation to write.   | Presentation of classroom<br>curriculum will contain<br>modeling of higher order<br>thinking and questioning<br>and will promote the use<br>of writing to demonstrate<br>mastery of material.                    | Coach,<br>Administration  | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations            |
| 5 | Students need additional<br>support to meet<br>academic goals.  | Structured homeroom<br>time will be built into the<br>master schedule allowing<br>additional time to support<br>students in meeting<br>academic goals.   | Teachers  | Common Assessments  | Student Grades  |
| 6 | A system has not been<br>provided to staff that<br>effectively tracks and<br>celebrates student<br>growth.  | RBSMS will implement a<br>school-wide systematic<br>approach to track and<br>celebrate student growth<br>(Punch cards, Portfolios).  | Teachers,<br>Administrators   | Student Database<br>System  | Data of students<br>identified as on<br>track, at-risk, or<br>off track |
| 7 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a tiered<br>intervention system.  | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. | Teachers,<br>Administrators   | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data  | MTSS/RTI Reports  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.   | We will increase the number of students scoring at 4 or 5 on<br>the Algebra EOC exam to 55% (26) as measured by the |  |  |  |  |
| Algebra Goal #2:   | Spring 2013 EOC exam.   |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |  |  |
| 45% (21)   | 55% (26)  |  |  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to   | ncrease Student Achievement   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Person or Process Used to   |  |  |  |  |

|   |   |   | Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring   | Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool  |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 1 | adequate time to department on a regular Coa collaborate regarding the basis to collaborate and Cha   |   | Coach, Department<br>Chairs, and  | Common Assessments,<br>Formative Assessment,<br>FAIR,CORE K-12,<br>Collaboration meetings,<br>Data Meetings, Informal<br>Observations | Summative<br>Assessments,<br>Grades, Formal<br>Observations            |
| 2 | received adequate staff in focused staff development to facilitate development to include Lid cooperative learning, depth of depth of knowledge, and use of instructional technology.                                     |   | Teachers,<br>Department Chairs,<br>Literacy Coach,<br>Administration                        | Common Assessments,<br>Formative Assessment,<br>Collaboration Meetings,<br>Data Meetings, Informal<br>Observations                    | Staff Developmen <sup>:</sup><br>Rosters, Formal<br>Observations       |
| 3 | teachers to meet the be structured to Co<br>divers needs of students incorporate cooperative<br>in their classroom. Learning and gradual  |   | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach,<br>Administration  | Collaboration Meetings,<br>Data Meetings  | Lesson plans,<br>Formal<br>Observations                                |
| 4 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided for<br>teachers to apply Webb's<br>Depth of Knowledge in<br>determining the<br>appropriate way to model<br>higher order thinking and<br>questioning skills. | and will promote the use of writing to demonstrate  | Coach,<br>Administration  | Collaboration Meetings,<br>Data Meetings  | Lesson plans,<br>Formal<br>Observations                                |
| 5 | Students need additional Structured homeroom time will be built into the master schedule, allowing  |   |   | Common Assessments  | Student Grades   |
| 6 | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>discuss the   |   | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                    | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment   | FAIR, CORE K-12,<br>Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations           |
| 7 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional development<br>to support the<br>implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking strategies.       | in focused staff<br>development to include<br>cooperative learning,   | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist |   | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>formal<br>Observations |
| 8 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes.  | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners. | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource<br>Teachers,<br>Administration                | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments   | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations           |

| 3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual<br>Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year<br>school will reduce their achievement gap<br>by 50%.              |   | Algebra Goal #<br>There is no current achievement gap. All students at RBSMS<br>administered the Algebra EOC earned a proficient score of 3<br>or better.<br>3A : |   |  |   |  |                         |   |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|-------------------------|---|--|
|  | ine data<br>D-2011  | 2011-2012 2   | 2012-2013   | 2013-2014  | 4   | 2014-201   | 5                       | 2015-2016   | 2016-2017  |
|  |   | [ [   |   |  |   |  |                         |   |  |
|  |   | analysis of studen<br>It for the following  |   | ent data, and re   | efere   | ence to "Guiding   | Ques                    | tions", identify and o  | define areas in nee                                    |
| Hispa<br>satisf  | anic, Asia  | ubgroups by eth<br>an, American Inc<br>progress in Algel<br>#3B:  | dian) not m   |  |   |  |                         | taking the Algebra E<br>y as measured by th                                   |  |
| 2012   | Current   | Level of Perforr  | nance:  |  |   | 2013 Expected  | l Leve                  | l of Performance:   |  |
| 100%   |   |   |   |  |   | 100%   |                         |   |  |
|  |   | Pr  | oblem-Sol   | ving Process t   | to I r  | ncrease Studer   | nt Ach                  | ievement  |  |
|  |   |   | Person or<br>PositionProcess Used to<br>Determineesponsible for<br>MonitoringEffectiveness of<br>Strategy |  | Evaluation Tool                                 |  |                         |   |  |
| 1  | teachers<br>meet co   | nstraints impact<br>s opportunities to<br>Ilaboratively in<br>onal learning<br>ities.   | departmen<br>basis to co<br>discuss the<br>implementa   | t on a regular Coa<br>ollaborate and Res<br>e Adr<br>ation of Best<br>data analysis,<br>on   |   | ach, Math  | meeti<br>meeti<br>Asses | Collaboration<br>ngs, PLC Data<br>ngs, Common<br>sment data<br>sis, CORE K-12 | Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations               |
| 2  | received<br>profession<br>to support<br>impleme<br>innovati<br>cooperation<br>structure | s have not<br>I adequate<br>onal development<br>ort the<br>intation of<br>ve technology,<br>tive learning<br>es, and higher<br>inking strategies.                 | in focused<br>developme<br>cooperative  | I staff Mat<br>ent to include Tea<br>re learning, Adm<br>nowledge, and Tec<br>tructional Spe |   | eracy Coach,<br>th Resource<br>acher,<br>ministration,<br>chnology<br>ecialist | meeti<br>meeti          | ollaboration<br>ngs, PLC data<br>ngs,Core K12,<br>ion assessments             | Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |
| It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>Res |   | achers, Literacy<br>ach, Math<br>source Teacher,<br>ninistration  | meeti<br>Core I   | Collaboration<br>ngs, Data meetings,<br>K-12, common<br>sments                               | Lesson Plans,<br>Formal/Informal<br>Observation |  |                         |   |  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, | and reference to | "Guiding Questions", | identify and de | efine areas in nee |
|--|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| of improvement for the following subgroup:         |                  |                      |                 |                    |

| 3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making<br>satisfactory progress in Algebra.<br>Algebra Goal #3C: |                                     |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |

|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                                      | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | A balanced support<br>system needs to be<br>established to support<br>the diverse needs of<br>students with disabilities<br>in mainstream courses.  | RBSMS will create a<br>better system of support<br>for students with<br>disabilities by adding an<br>appropriate mixture of<br>support facilitation and<br>co-teach courses to<br>meet students needs.  | ESE Department<br>Teachers, Regular<br>Ed Teachers,<br>Administration                       | Formative assessments,<br>Core k12,FAIR, Common<br>Assessments  | Grade Distribution<br>Formal observatio<br>Data               |
| 2 | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities.  | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis,<br>and common<br>assessments.                        | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                    | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data<br>analysis, FAIR, CORE K-<br>12 | Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Formal<br>Observations,<br>FCAT   |
| 3 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional development<br>to support the<br>implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking strategies. | in focused staff<br>development to include<br>cooperative learning,   | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12,common<br>assessments                    | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations  |
| 1 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes.  | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners. | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                    | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments                   | FAIR, Core K12,<br>Summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations  |
| ō | Students struggle to<br>demonstrate mastery of<br>content through<br>extended and short<br>response writing.  | 8 8   | Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration  | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments                   | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations  |
| 6 | Students struggle to<br>demonstrate mastery of<br>content through<br>extended and short<br>response writing.  | Presentation of classroom<br>curriculum will contain<br>modeling of higher order<br>thinking and questioning<br>and will promote the use<br>of writing to demonstrate<br>mastery of material.           | Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration  | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments                   | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations  |
| 7 | Students need additional support to meet academic goals.  | Structured homeroom<br>time will be built into the<br>master schedule allowing<br>additional time to support<br>students in meeting<br>academic goals.  | Teachers  | Common Assessments,<br>FAIR, Core K12   | Student Grade<br>Distribution                                 |
| 3 | A system has not been<br>provided to staff that<br>effectively tracks and<br>celebrates student<br>growth.  | RBSMS will implement a<br>school-wide systematic<br>approach to track and<br>celebrate student growth<br>(Punch cards, Portfolios).   | Teachers,<br>Administrators   | MTSS/RTI data, Student<br>Database System   | students identified<br>as on track, at-<br>risk, or off track |
|   | Adequate professional development has not   | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be   | Teachers,<br>Administrators   | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data  | MTSS/RTI student<br>data reports                              |

|  | that focuses on a tiered intervention system. | implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track.Tiered interventions<br>will be utilized and<br>student responses<br>evaluated. |  |  | (grades,<br>attendance,<br>discipline) |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|--|---|--|--|--|--|

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in neer of improvement for the following subgroup: |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making<br>satisfactory progress in Algebra.<br>Algebra Goal #3D:   | All Students with Disabilities taking the Algebra EOC exam wi<br>make satisfactory progress on the 2013 EOC exam. |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |  |  |
| 100%  | 100%  |  |  |  |  |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                                  | Evaluation Too  |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a tiered<br>intervention system.           | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. | Teachers,<br>Administrators  | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data  | MTSS/RTI data<br>analysis   |
| 2 | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities.             | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis,<br>and common<br>assessments.                                 | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data<br>analysis.   | FAIR, CORE K-12,<br>Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations            |
| 3 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes. | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners.          | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations            |
| 1 | Students struggle to<br>demonstrate mastery of<br>content through<br>extended and short<br>response writing.                         | Presentation of classroom<br>curriculum will contain<br>modeling of higher order<br>thinking and questioning<br>and will promote the use<br>of writing to demonstrate<br>mastery of material.                    | Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                       | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations            |
| ō | A system has not been<br>provided to staff that<br>effectively tracks and<br>celebrates student<br>growth.                           | RBSMS will implement a<br>school-wide systematic<br>approach to track and<br>celebrate student growth<br>(Punch cards, Portfolios).  |  | Student Database<br>System  | Data of students<br>identified as on<br>track, at-risk, or<br>off track |
| 6 | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities.             | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis,   | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data analysis       | CORE K-12<br>Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations                   |

|   |  | and common<br>assessments.  |   |   |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| 7 |  | Teachers will participate<br>in focused staff<br>development to include<br>cooperative learning,<br>depth of knowledge, and<br>use of instructional<br>technology.                                      | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings,Core K12,<br>common assessments | Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |
| 8 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes. | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners. | Resource Teacher,<br>Administration   | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, Data meetings,<br>Core K-12, common<br>assessments   | Lesson Plans,<br>Formal/Informal<br>Observation        |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, | and reference to | "Guiding Questions", | identify and define areas in need |
|--|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| of improvement for the following subgroup:         |                  | -                    | -                                 |
|  |                  |                      |                                   |

| 3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making<br>satisfactory progress in Algebra.<br>Algebra Goal #3E: | All economically disadvantaged students taking the 2013<br>Algebra EOC exam will perform at or above proficiency. |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |
| 100%   | 100%  |  |  |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                                      | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities to<br>meet collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities.  | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis,<br>and common<br>assessments.                        | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                    | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data<br>analysis,CORE K-12 | Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations               |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional development<br>to support the<br>implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking strategies. | Teachers will participate<br>in focused staff<br>development to include<br>cooperative learning,<br>depth of knowledge, and<br>use of instructional<br>technology.                                      | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings,Core K12,<br>common assessments                  | Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes.  | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of learners. | Teachers, Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher,<br>Administration                    | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, Data meetings,<br>Core K-12, common<br>assessments                    | Lesson Plans,<br>Formal/Informal<br>Observation        |  |  |  |  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |                                    |           |                                    |  |                                     |  |  |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in<br>Geometry.   |                                    |           |                                    |  |                                     |  |  |
| Geometry Goal #1:  |                                    |           |                                    |  |                                     |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of  | 2012 Current Level of Performance: |           |                                    |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
|  |                                    |           |                                    |  |                                     |  |  |
|  | Problem-Solving Proc               | cess to I | ncrease S                          | itudent Achievemen   | t                                   |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Res<br>for  |                                    |           | on or<br>ion<br>oonsible<br>toring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool                     |  |  |
| No Data Submitted  |                                    |           |                                    |  |                                     |  |  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas<br>in need of improvement for the following group: |  |            |                                     |  |                 |  |
|---|--|------------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| <ol> <li>Students scoring at<br/>4 and 5 in Geometry.</li> </ol>  | 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels<br>4 and 5 in Geometry. |            |                                     |  |                 |  |
| Geometry Goal #2:   |  |            |                                     |  |                 |  |
| 2012 Current Level of   | Performance:   |            | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |                 |  |
|   |  |            |                                     |  |                 |  |
|   | Problem-Solving Proc   | cess to Li | ncrease S                           | tudent Achievement   |                 |  |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp<br>for  |  |            | on or<br>ion<br>oonsible<br>toring  | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |
|   | No Data Submitted  |            |                                     |  |                 |  |

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance<br>Target |                                |                 |           |           |           |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| 3A. Ambitious but<br>Annual Measurable<br>(AMOs). In six yea<br>reduce their achie<br>50%.                           | e Objectives<br>ar school will | Geometry Goal # |           |           |           |  |  |
| Baseline data<br>2011-2012   | 2012-2013                      | 2013-2014       | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |  |  |
|  |                                |                 |           |           |           |  |  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas<br>in need of improvement for the following subgroup: |                        |          |                                 |  |                 |  |
|--|------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black,<br>Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making<br>satisfactory progress in Geometry.                                  |                        |          |                                 |  |                 |  |
| Geometry Goal #3B:   |                        |          |                                 |  |                 |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: 20  |                        |          |                                 | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                          |                 |  |
|  |                        |          |                                 |  |                 |  |
|  | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to In | ncrease S                       | tudent Achievement   |                 |  |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp<br>for   |                        |          | on or<br>on<br>onsible<br>oring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |
| No Data Submitted  |                        |          |                                 |  |                 |  |

|   | f student achievement data<br>for the following subgroup: | , and r              | eference to                         | o "Guiding Questions", ic                                    | lentify and define areas |
|---|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| 3C. English Language<br>satisfactory progress | Learners (ELL) not makin<br>in Geometry.                  | g                    |                                     |  |                          |
| Geometry Goal #3C:                            |   |                      |                                     |  |                          |
| 2012 Current Level of                         | Performance:  |                      | 2013 Exp                            | pected Level of Perform                                      | nance:                   |
|   |   |                      |                                     |  |                          |
|   | Problem-Solving Proces                                    | ss to I              | ncrease S                           | itudent Achievement  |                          |
| Anticipated Barrier                           | Strategy  | Posit<br>Resp<br>for | on or<br>tion<br>oonsible<br>toring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool          |
|   | No  | Data S               | Submitted                           |  |                          |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and r<br>in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | eference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas |
|--|--|
| 3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making<br>satisfactory progress in Geometry.<br>Geometry Goal #3D:    |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                        |
|  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I   | ncrease Student Achievement                                |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Responsible    | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---------------------|----------|----------------|--|-----------------|
|                     | No       | Data Submitted |  |                 |

| 5   | f student achievement data,<br>for the following subgroup: | and r                | eference to                         | o "Guiding Questions", io                                    | lentify and define areas |
|---|--|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| 3E. Economically Disa<br>making satisfactory pi | dvantaged students not<br>rogress in Geometry.             |                      |                                     |  |                          |
| Geometry Goal #3E:                              |  |                      |                                     |  |                          |
| 2012 Current Level of                           | Performance:   |                      | 2013 Exp                            | pected Level of Perform                                      | nance:                   |
|   |  |                      |                                     |  |                          |
|   | Problem-Solving Proces                                     | ss to I              | ncrease S                           | tudent Achievement   |                          |
| Anticipated Barrier                             | Strategy   | Posit<br>Resp<br>for | on or<br>tion<br>oonsible<br>toring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool          |
|   | Nc   | ) Data               | Submitted                           |  |                          |

End of Geometry EOC Goals

# Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader              | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring   | Person or Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring              |
|---|------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Gradual<br>Release                          | 6-8                    | Staff<br>Development                                | Grades 6-8<br>Teachers   | October   | Informal<br>Observations,<br>Collaboration<br>Meetings, Data<br>Meetings         | Administration, K-12<br>Literacy Coach                           |
| Depth of<br>Knowledge                       | 6-8                    | Rebecca<br>Musselman                                | Grades 6-8   | October/<br>November  | Informal<br>Observations,<br>Collaboration<br>Meetings, Data<br>Meetings         | Administration, K-12<br>Literacy Coach                           |
| Writing In<br>Math                          | 6-8, Math              | District<br>Personnel                               | Grades 6-8   | TBD   | Observations,<br>quarterly writing   | Math Resource<br>Teacher, Administration                         |
| MTSS/RTI                                    | 6-8                    | K-12 Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource<br>Teacher | Grades 6-8<br>Teachers   | On-Going  | Collaboration<br>Meetings, Data<br>Meetings, Student<br>Success Team<br>Meetings | Administration, K-12<br>Literacy Coach, Math<br>Resource Teacher |

| Common<br>Core<br>6-8<br>K-12 Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource<br>Teacher<br>Teacher | On-Going Collaboration<br>Meetings, Student<br>Success Team<br>Meetings Resource Teacher |
|---|--|
|---|--|

Mathematics Budget:

| Strategy   | Description of Resources  | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount   |
|--|---|----------------|-----------------------|
| The master schedule will be<br>developed in a manner that<br>reinforces basic skills in intensive<br>math courses for most students<br>scoring at level 1 on the FCAT.                     | Math Resource Teacher   | Title I        | \$55,224.86           |
| Teachers will meet by department<br>on a regular basis to collaborate<br>and discuss the implementation<br>of Best Practices, data analysis,<br>and common assessments.                    | Math Resource Kit   | Title I        | \$1,000.00            |
|  |   |                | Subtotal: \$56,224.86 |
| Technology   |   |                |                       |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources  | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount   |
| Teachers will participate in<br>focused staff development to<br>include cooperative learning,<br>depth of knowledge, and use of<br>instructional technology.                               | Lap top Computer  | Title 1        | \$1,300.00            |
|  |   |                | Subtotal: \$1,300.00  |
| Professional Development   |   |                |                       |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources  | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount   |
| Presentation of classroom<br>curriculum will contain modeling of<br>higher order thinking and<br>questioning and will promote the<br>use of writing to demonstrate<br>mastery of material. | Staff development time for all<br>Math teachers(Writing in Math). | Title I        | \$1,000.00            |
|  |   | -              | Subtotal: \$1,000.00  |
| Other  |   |                |                       |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources  | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount   |
| No Data  | No Data   | No Data        | \$0.00                |
|  |   |                | Subtotal: \$0.00      |

End of Mathematics Goals

### Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and areas in need of improvement for the following group: | reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define   |
|--|---|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement<br>Level 3 in science.<br>Science Goal #1a:                     | There will be at least a 5%(16) increase in the number of students proficient on the 2013 FCAT. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| 42% (136)  | 47% (152)   |

|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                                     | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                                  | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | Time constraints<br>impact teachers<br>opportunities to meet<br>collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities.   | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a<br>regular basis to<br>collaborate and discuss<br>the implementation of<br>Best Practices, data<br>analysis, and common<br>assessments.                                 | Teachers,<br>Literacy Coach,<br>Administration,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher                | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data<br>analysis.   | FAIR, CORE K-12<br>Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations             |
| 2 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional<br>development to<br>support the<br>implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking<br>strategies. | Teachers will<br>participate in focused<br>staff development to<br>include cooperative<br>learning, depth of<br>knowledge, and use of<br>instructional<br>technology.  | Literacy Coach,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist,Math<br>Resource<br>Teacher | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12,common<br>assessments  | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations            |
| 3 | Student's lack of<br>knowledge and/or<br>comfort level of<br>teacher<br>implementation of<br>strategy.  | Classroom activities<br>will be structured to<br>incorporate<br>cooperative learning<br>and gradual release<br>strategies to improve<br>educational outcomes<br>and meet the diverse<br>needs of learners.       | Teachers,<br>Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration                | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations            |
| 4 | Students struggle to<br>demonstrate mastery<br>of content through<br>extended and short<br>response writing.<br>Students have a lack<br>of<br>motivation to write.  | Presentation of<br>classroom curriculum<br>will contain modeling of<br>higher order thinking<br>and questioning and<br>will promote the use of<br>writing to demonstrate<br>mastery of material.                 |  | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations            |
| 5 | Students need<br>additional support to<br>meet academic goals.  | Structured homeroom<br>time will be built into<br>the master schedule<br>allowing additional time<br>to support students in<br>meeting academic<br>goals.  | Teachers   | Common Assessments  | Student Grades  |
| 6 | A system has not been<br>provided to staff that<br>effectively tracks and<br>celebrates student<br>growth.  | RBSMS will implement a<br>school-wide<br>systematic approach<br>to track and celebrate<br>student growth(Punch<br>cards, Portfolios).  | Teachers,<br>Administrators  | Student Database<br>System  | Data of students<br>identified as on<br>track, at-risk, or<br>off track |
| 7 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a<br>tiered intervention<br>system.   | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or<br>off track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. | Teachers,<br>Administrators  | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data  | MTSS/RTI<br>Reports   |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| Scie        | nce Goal #1b:   |  | students profi  | cient in Science as mea   | asured by the FAA.                        |
|-------------|---|--|---|---|---|
| 2012        | 2 Current Level of Perfe  | ormance:   | 2013 Expecte  | ed Level of Performar   | nce:                                      |
| 1 ou<br>17% | t of 6<br>(1)   |  | 3 out of 6<br>50% (3)   |   |   |
|             | Prob  | lem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Stude  | ent Achievement   |   |
|             | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                                      | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                      | Evaluation Too                            |
| 1           | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional<br>processes.   | Classroom activities<br>will be structured to<br>incorporate<br>cooperative learning<br>and gradual release<br>strategies to improve<br>educational outcomes<br>and meet the diverse<br>needs of learners.       | Teachers,<br>Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration                 | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, formative<br>assessments     | Summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |
| 2           | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional<br>development to<br>support the<br>implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking<br>strategies. | Teachers will<br>participate in focused<br>staff development to<br>include cooperative<br>learning, depth of<br>knowledge, and use of<br>instructional<br>technology.  | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings,formative<br>assessments      | Summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |
| 3           | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a<br>tiered intervention<br>system.   | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or<br>off track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. | Teachers,<br>Administrators   | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data  | MTSS/RTI<br>Reports                       |
| 4           | Time constraints<br>impact teachers<br>opportunities to meet<br>collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities.   | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a<br>regular basis to<br>collaborate and discuss<br>the implementation of<br>Best Practices, data<br>analysis, and common<br>assessments.                                 | Teachers,<br>Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration                 | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, formative<br>Assessment data | Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observation   |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, a<br>areas in need of improvement for the following group: | nd reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define   |
|---|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above<br>Achievement Level 4 in science.<br>Science Goal #2a:            | At least 10% (13 more students) of our 8th grade<br>students will exceed proficiency in Science as measured<br>by the 2012 FCAT. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| 6%(19)  | 10% (32)   |
|   |  |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                  | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool                         |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Teachers do not have<br>adequate time to<br>collaborate regarding<br>the implementation of<br>best practices.   | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a<br>regular basis to<br>collaborate and discuss<br>implementation of best<br>practices, data<br>analysis, and common<br>assessments.                                    | ,   | Common Assessments,<br>Formative Assessment,<br>FAIR,CORE K-12,<br>Collaboration meetings,<br>Data Meetings,<br>Informal Observations | Grades, Formal                          |
| 2 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>staff development to<br>facilitate the use of<br>cooperative learning,<br>depth of knowledge,<br>and instructional<br>technology strategies.  | Teachers will<br>participate in focused<br>staff development to<br>include cooperative<br>learning, depth of<br>knowledge, and use of<br>instructional<br>technology.   | Teachers,<br>Department<br>Chairs, Literacy<br>Coach,<br>Administration | Common Assessments,<br>Formative Assessment,<br>Collaboration Meetings,<br>Data Meetings,<br>Informal Observations                    |   |
| 3 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>divers needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom.   | Classroom activities<br>will be structured to<br>incorporate<br>cooperative learning<br>and gradual release<br>strategies improving<br>educational outcomes<br>and meeting the<br>diverse needs of<br>students. | Teachers,<br>Literacy Coach,<br>Administration                          | Collaboration Meetings,<br>Data Meetings  | Lesson plans,<br>Formal<br>Observations |
| 4 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided for<br>teachers to apply<br>Webb's Depth of<br>Knowledge in<br>determining the<br>appropriate way to<br>model higher order<br>thinking and<br>questioning skills. | Presentation of<br>classroom curriculum<br>will contain modeling of<br>higher order thinking<br>and questioning and<br>will promote the use of<br>writing to demonstrate<br>mastery of material.                | Teachers,<br>Literacy Coach,<br>Administration                          | Collaboration Meetings,<br>Data Meetings  | Lesson plans,<br>Formal<br>Observations |
| 5 | Students need<br>additional support to<br>meet academic goals.  | Structured homeroom<br>time will be built into<br>the master schedule,<br>allowing additional time<br>to support students in<br>meeting academic<br>goals.  | Teachers  | Common Assessments  | Student Grades                          |

|   | l on the analysis of stud<br>in need of improvement |                        |  | Guiding Questions", ide   | ntify and define |  |
|---|---|------------------------|--|---|------------------|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7<br>in science.<br>Science Goal #2b: |   |                        | There will be a  | There will be a 12%(1) increase in the number of students scoring at or above achievement level 7 in science. |                  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  |   |                        | 2013 Expecte   | ed Level of Performan   | ce:              |  |
| 5 out of 6<br>83%(5)  |   |                        | 95%(6)   | 95%(6)  |                  |  |
|   | Prob  | lem-Solving Process to | o Increase Stude                                       | ent Achievement   |                  |  |
|   | Anticipated Barrier                                 | Strategy               | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |  |

| 1 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional<br>processes.   | Classroom activities<br>will be structured to<br>incorporate<br>cooperative learning<br>and gradual release<br>strategies to improve<br>educational outcomes<br>and meet the diverse<br>needs of learners.       | Teachers,<br>Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration                 | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, common<br>formative assessments | Summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 2 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional<br>development to<br>support the<br>implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking<br>strategies. | Teachers will<br>participate in focused<br>staff development to<br>include cooperative<br>learning, depth of<br>knowledge, and use of<br>instructional<br>technology.  | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings,formative<br>assessments         | Summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |
| 3 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a<br>tiered intervention<br>system.   | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or<br>off track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. | Teachers,<br>Administrators   | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data   | MTSS/RTI<br>Reports                       |
| 4 | Time constraints<br>impact teachers<br>opportunities to meet<br>collaboratively in<br>professional learning<br>communities.   | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a<br>regular basis to<br>collaborate and discuss<br>the implementation of<br>Best Practices, data<br>analysis, and common<br>assessments.                                 | Teachers,<br>Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration                 | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, formative<br>Assessment data    | Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations  |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader              | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g.,<br>early release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring                                 | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring            |
|---|------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| Gradual<br>Release                          | 6-8                    | Staff<br>Development                                | Grades 6-8<br>Teachers  | October  | Informal<br>Observations,<br>Collaboration<br>Meetings, Data<br>Meetings | Administration, K-<br>12 Literacy Coach                           |
| Depth of<br>Knowledge                       | 6-8                    | Rebecca<br>Musselman                                | Grades 6-8<br>Teachers  | October/<br>November   | Informal<br>Observations,<br>Collaboration<br>Meetings, Data<br>Meetings | Administration,K-<br>12 Literacy Coach                            |
| DRAPES                                      | 6-8                    | District Staff<br>Development                       | Grades 6-8<br>Teachers  | September/<br>October  | Informal<br>Observations,<br>Collaboration<br>Meetings, Data<br>Meetings | Administration, K-<br>12 Literacy Coach                           |
| Common<br>Core                              | 6-8                    | K-12 Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource<br>Teacher | Grades 6-8<br>Teachers  | November/December  | Observations,<br>Collaboration<br>Meetings                               | K-12 Literacy<br>Coach,Math<br>Resource Teacher<br>Administration |

| MTSS/RTI 6-8 K-12 Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource<br>Teacher | On-Going<br>Collaboration<br>Meetings, Data<br>Meetings,<br>Student Success<br>Team Meetings<br>Collaboration<br>Administration, K-<br>12 Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher |
|--|---|
|--|---|

Science Budget:

| escription of Resources | Funding Source   | Available<br>Amount   |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| Data                    | No Data  | \$0.00  |
|                         |  | Subtotal: \$0.0   |
|                         |  |   |
| escription of Resources | Funding Source   | Available<br>Amount   |
| Data                    | No Data  | \$0.00  |
|                         |  | Subtotal: \$0.0   |
|                         |  |   |
| escription of Resources | Funding Source   | Available<br>Amount   |
| Data                    | No Data  | \$0.00  |
|                         |  | Subtotal: \$0.0   |
|                         |  |   |
| escription of Resources | Funding Source   | Available<br>Amount   |
| Data                    | No Data  | \$0.00  |
|                         |  | Subtotal: \$0.0   |
|                         | escription of Resources<br>Data<br>escription of Resources<br>Data<br>escription of Resources<br>Data<br>escription of Resources<br>Data | a Data       No Data         asscription of Resources       Funding Source         b Data       No Data         asscription of Resources       Funding Source         b Data       No Data         asscription of Resources       Funding Source         b Data       No Data |

End of Science Goals

# Writing Goals

|  | ed on the analysis of stude<br>eed of improvement for the  |  | nd reference to "Gu                                    | iiding Questions", identif  | y and define areas   |  |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level<br>3.0 and higher in writing.<br>Writing Goal #1a: |  |  | A 5%(16) incre   | A 5%(16) increase of 8th grade students at RBSMS will achieve a 3.0 or higher as measured by the 2013 FCAT. |  |  |
| 201  | 2 Current Level of Perfo   | rmance:  | 2013 Expecte   | d Level of Performanc   | e:   |  |
| 619  | 6(197)   |  | 66% (213)  | 66% (213)   |  |  |
|  | Pro  | blem-Solving Process t   | o Increase Stude                                       | ent Achievement   |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |  |
| 1  | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities<br>to meet collaboratively<br>in professional learning<br>communities. | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data<br>analysis, and common<br>assessments. | Math Resource<br>Teacher,                              | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data<br>analysis.                 | FAIR, CORE K-12,<br>Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations |  |

| 2 | development to support<br>the implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning                                    | staff development to<br>include cooperative<br>learning, depth of        | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12,common<br>assessments  | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations           |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| 3 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes. | incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource  | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations           |
| 4 | demonstrate mastery of<br>content through  | will contain modeling of   | ,   | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>formal<br>observations |

 Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.

 Writing Goal #1b:

 2012 Current Level of Performance:

 6 out of 6 100%(6)

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  |  |   |  |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                                      | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                 | Evaluation Tool                           |  |  |
| 1 | It is challenging for<br>teachers to meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>students in their<br>classroom using<br>conventional processes.   | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>learners. | Teacher,<br>Administration  | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings,formative<br>assessments | Summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |  |  |
| 2 | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional<br>development to support<br>the implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking<br>strategies. | staff development to   | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings,formative<br>assessments | Summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |  |  |
|   | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a  | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as  | Teachers,<br>Administrators   | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data                                       | MTSS/RTI<br>Reports                       |  |  |

| 3 | tiered intervention<br>system.   | on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. |  |                    |   |
|---|--|---|--|--------------------|---|
| 4 |  | department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and   | Math Resource<br>Teacher,                    | meetings, PLC Data | Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observation   |
| 5 | Students struggle to<br>demonstrate mastery of<br>content through<br>extended and short<br>response writing. | classroom curriculum<br>will contain modeling of  | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher, | meetings, PLC data | summative<br>assessments,<br>observations |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader              | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g.,<br>early release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                 |
|---|------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Gradual<br>Release                          | 6-8                    | Staff<br>Development                                | Grades 6-8<br>Teachers  | October  | Informal<br>Observations,<br>Collaboration<br>Meetings, Data<br>Meetings         | Administration,<br>K-12 Literacy<br>Coach                              |
| Depth of<br>Knowledge                       | 6-8                    | Rebecca<br>Musselman                                | Grades 6-8<br>Teachers  | October/<br>November   | Informal<br>Observations,<br>Collaboration<br>Meetings, Data<br>Meetings         | Administration,<br>K-12 Literacy<br>Coach                              |
| DRAPES                                      | 6-8                    | District Staff<br>Development                       | Grades 6-8  | September/<br>October  | Informal<br>Observations,<br>Collaboration<br>Meetings, Data<br>Meetings         | Administration,<br>K-12 Literacy<br>Coach                              |
| Common<br>Core                              | 6-8                    | K-12 Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource<br>Teacher | Grades 6-8<br>Teachers  | November/December  | Informal<br>Observations,<br>Collaboration<br>Meetings                           | K-12 Literacy<br>Coach,Math<br>Resource<br>Teacher<br>Administration   |
| MTSS/RTI                                    | 6-8                    | K-12 Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource<br>Teacher | Grades 6-8<br>Teachers  | On-Going   | Collaboration<br>Meetings, Data<br>Meetings,<br>Student Success<br>Team Meetings | Administration,<br>K-12 Literacy<br>Coach, Math<br>Resource<br>Teacher |

Writing Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)  |                          |                |                     |  |  |  |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |  |  |  |
| Presentation of classroom<br>curriculum will contain modeling<br>of higher order thinking and<br>questioning and will promote the<br>use of writing to demonstrate<br>mastery of material. | Writing Camp             | Title I        | \$2,471.00          |  |  |  |

|   |   |                | Subtotal: \$2,471.00    |
|---|---|----------------|-------------------------|
| Fechnology  |   |                |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources                | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data   | No Data                                 | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|   |   |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Professional Development  |   |                |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources                | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| All teachers will be trained in<br>DRAPES to provide a consistent<br>language of writing instruction<br>for our school community. | Professional Development and activities | Title I        | \$1,500.00              |
|   |   | -              | Subtotal: \$1,500.00    |
| Other   |   |                |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources                | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data   | No Data                                 | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|   |   |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
|   |   |                | Grand Total: \$3,971.00 |

End of Writing Goals

## Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

|        | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|--------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Sti | udents scoring at Achie  | evement Level 3 in Civi  | CS.   |  |  |  |  |
| Civic  | s Goal #1:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2012   | Current Level of Perfo   | rmance:  | 2013 Expecte  | d Level of Performance   | e:   |  |  |
|        |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|        | Prol   | olem-Solving Process t   | o Increase Stude  | nt Achievement   |  |  |  |
|        | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                                  | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                                 | Evaluation Tool  |  |  |
| 1      | Time constraints impact<br>teachers opportunities<br>to meet collaboratively<br>in professional learning<br>communities.   | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular<br>basis to collaborate and<br>discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data<br>analysis, and common<br>assessments. | Administration,<br>Math Resource  | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC Data<br>meetings, Common<br>Assessment data<br>analysis.  | FAIR, CORE K-12,<br>Summative<br>Assessment,<br>Observations |  |  |
| 2      | Teachers have not<br>received adequate<br>professional<br>development to support<br>the implementation of<br>innovative technology,<br>cooperative learning<br>structures, and higher<br>order thinking<br>strategies. | Teachers will<br>participate in focused<br>staff development to<br>include cooperative<br>learning, depth of<br>knowledge, and use of<br>instructional<br>technology.            | Literacy Coach,<br>Administration,<br>Technology<br>Specialist,Math<br>Resource Teacher | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12,common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations |  |  |

| 3 | Student's lack of<br>knowledge and/or<br>comfort level of teacher<br>implementation of<br>strategy.   | Classroom activities will<br>be structured to<br>incorporate cooperative<br>learning and gradual<br>release strategies to<br>improve educational<br>outcomes and meet the<br>diverse needs of<br>learners.       | Literacy Coach,<br>Math Resource<br>Teacher,<br>Administration | PLC collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>Observations            |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| 4 | Students struggle to<br>demonstrate mastery of<br>content through<br>extended and short<br>response writing.<br>Students have a lack of<br>motivation to write. | will contain modeling of<br>higher order thinking<br>and questioning and will  | Teachers,<br>Literacy Coach,<br>Administration                 | PLC Collaboration<br>meetings, PLC data<br>meetings, FAIR, Core<br>K12, common<br>assessments | FAIR, Core K12,<br>summative<br>assessments,<br>observations            |
| 5 | Students need<br>additional support to<br>meet academic goals.  | Structured homeroom<br>time will be built into<br>the master schedule<br>allowing additional time<br>to support students in<br>meeting academic<br>goals.  | Teachers   | Common Assessments  | Student Grades  |
| 6 | A system has not been<br>provided to staff that<br>effectively tracks and<br>celebrates student<br>growth.  | RBSMS will implement a<br>school-wide systematic<br>approach to track and<br>celebrate student<br>growth(Punch cards,<br>Portfolios).  |  | Student Database<br>System  | Data of students<br>identified as on<br>track, at-risk, or<br>off track |
| 7 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a<br>tiered intervention<br>system.                                   | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. | Teachers,<br>Administrators                                    | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data  | MTSS/RTI<br>Reports   |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas<br>In need of improvement for the following group: |                        |   |                                     |  |                 |
|---|------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| <ol> <li>Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels</li> <li>and 5 in Civics.</li> </ol>   |                        |   |                                     |  |                 |
| Civics Goal #2:   |                        |   |                                     |  |                 |
| 2012 Current Level of   | Performance:           |   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |                 |
|   |                        |   |                                     |  |                 |
|   | Problem-Solving Proces | s to I  | ncrease S                           | itudent Achievement  |                 |
| Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy               | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring |                                     | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted   |                        |   |                                     |  |                 |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g.,<br>PLC,subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |  |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted                           |                        |  |   |  |  |  |  |

Civics Budget:

| Stratogy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available           |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              |                          | Funding Source | Amount              |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Civics Goals

#### Attendance Goal(s)

| Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference of improvement: | e to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need              |
|---|--|
| 1. Attendance<br>Attendance Goal #1:                                    | By June 2013, the total number of days absent will decrease by 10%.      |
| 2012 Current Attendance Rate:   | 2013 Expected Attendance Rate:   |
| 92.4%(ADA)  | 93%(ADA)   |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive<br>Absences (10 or more) | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive<br>Absences (10 or more) |
|   |  |

| 53% | (547)   | 43%(445)   | 43%(445)   |  |   |
|-----|---|--|--|--|---|
|     | 2 Current Number of Stu<br>ies (10 or more)   | udents with Excessive  | 2013 Expecte<br>Tardies (10 o                          | ed Number of Students<br>r more)                             | with Excessive  |
| 97  |   | 80   |  |  |   |
|     | Pro   | blem-Solving Process t   | o Increase Stude                                       | ent Achievement  |   |
|     | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool   |
| 1   | Parents are not always<br>aware of the frequency<br>and impact of excessive<br>absences on students<br>success.               | has been established to  | Involvement<br>Assistant,                              | MTSS/RTI Early warning<br>system. Attendance<br>data.        | Attendance Data   |
| 2   | A system has not been<br>provided to staff that<br>effectively tracks and<br>celebrates student<br>growth.                    | RBSMS will implement a<br>school-wide systematic<br>approach to track and<br>celebrate student<br>growth(Punch cards,<br>Portfolios).  |  | Student Database<br>System                                   | Data of students<br>identified as on<br>track, at-risk, or<br>off track |
| 3   | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a<br>tiered intervention<br>system. | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. | Teachers,<br>Administrators                            | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline Data                       | MTSS/RTI<br>Reports   |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g.,<br>PLC,subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |  |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted                           |                        |  |   |  |  |  |  |

Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Progr | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy             | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data              | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |

|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Technology             |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          | -              | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developme | ent                      |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                  |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                        |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

### Suspension Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

|              | 1. Suspension<br>Suspension Goal #1: |                        |  | By June 2013, The total number of students suspended in and out of school will decrease by 5%. |                 |  |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| 2012         | Total Number of In-Sc                | hool Suspensions       | 2013 Expecte   | d Number of In-Schoo   | l Suspensions   |  |
| 575 (        | incidents)                           |                        | 518(incidents)   |  |                 |  |
| 2012         | Total Number of Stude                | ents Suspended In-Scho | ool 2013 Expecte<br>School                             | d Number of Students   | Suspended I n-  |  |
| 249(s        | tudents)                             |                        | 237 (students)   | 237 (students)   |                 |  |
| 2012         | Number of Out-of-Sch                 | ool Suspensions        | 2013 Expecte<br>Suspensions                            | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School<br>Suspensions   |                 |  |
| 235(i        | ncidents)                            |                        | 211(actions)   | 211 (actions)  |                 |  |
| 2012<br>Scho |                                      | ents Suspended Out-of- | 2013 Expecte<br>of-School                              | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-<br>of-School                                   |                 |  |
| 78(st        | udents)                              |                        | 74 (students)  | 74 (students)  |                 |  |
|              | Pro                                  | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude                                       | ent Achievement  |                 |  |
|              | Anticipated Barrier                  | Strategy               | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                                   | Evaluation Tool |  |
|              | Some students are not                | RBSMS will implement a | MTSS/RTI   | Students will  | Discipline Data |  |

| 1 | taught appropriate<br>behavior for school.  | schoolwide behavior<br>expectations matrix<br>that is taught through<br>core classes using like<br>Lesson Plans for each<br>expectation.   | Committee,<br>Guidance,<br>Administration | participate in class<br>activities to<br>demonstrate knowledge<br>of expectations. |   |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 2 | A system has not been<br>provided to staff that<br>effectively tracks and<br>celebrates student<br>growth.                    | RBSMS will implement a<br>school-wide systematic<br>approach to track and<br>celebrate student<br>growth(Punch cards,<br>Portfolios).  |   | Student Database<br>System   | Data of students<br>identified as on<br>track, at-risk, or<br>off track |
| 3 | Adequate professional<br>development has not<br>been provided to staff<br>that focuses on a<br>tiered intervention<br>system. | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. | Teachers,<br>Administrators               | Grades, Attendance,<br>Discipline  | DataMTSS/RTI<br>Reports   |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g.,<br>PLC,subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |  |  |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted                           |                        |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Suspension Budget:

| Strategy  | Description of Resources             | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| A school-wide early warning<br>system will be implemented to<br>categorize students as on-track,<br>at-risk, or off-track. Tiered<br>interventions will be utilized and<br>student responses evaluated. | SSAP Teacher                         | Title I        | \$55,224.86         |
| A school-wide early warning<br>system will be implemented to<br>categorize students as on-track,<br>at-risk, or off-track. Tiered<br>interventions will be utilized and<br>student responses evaluated. | PBS Incentives                       | Title I        | \$1,000.00          |
| A school-wide early warning<br>system will be implemented to<br>categorize students as on-track,<br>at-risk, or off track. Tiered<br>interventions will be utilized and<br>student responses evaluated. | Lap Top Computer for SSAP<br>Teacher | Title I        | \$1,300.00          |
| A school-wide early warning<br>system will be implemented to<br>categorize students as on-track,<br>at-risk, or off track. Tiered<br>interventions will be utilized and<br>student responses evaluated. | PBS Incentives                       | Title I        | \$250.00            |

| Technology            |                          |                |                         |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Professional Developm | ent                      |                |                         |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Other                 |                          |                |                         |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
|                       |                          | G              | rand Total: \$57,774.86 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

#### Parent Involvement Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis o<br>in need of improvement | f parent involvement data,   | and re  | ference to   | "Guiding Questions", id   | entify and define areas |  |
|---|--|---|--|---|-------------------------|--|
| 1. Parent Involvemen                              | t  |   |  |   |                         |  |
| *Please refer to the percentage of parents who    |  |   | We will increase the active participation of parents with<br>school related activities to support our students reachin<br>their highest academic and social potential. |   |                         |  |
| 2012 Current Level of                             | 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:                                    |   |  | 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:  |                         |  |
|   | There is no numerical data available for baseline calculations at this time. |   |  | We will develop a system for calculating parent participation in order to measure growth from year to year. |                         |  |
|   | Problem-Solving Proces   | ss to I   | ncrease S  | tudent Achievement  |                         |  |
| Anticipated Barrier                               | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsi<br>for<br>Monitorin |  | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool         |  |
|   | No   |   | Submitted  |   |                         |  |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g.,<br>PLC,subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |  |  |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted                           |                        |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Strategy   | Description of Resources                    | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount      |
|--|---|----------------|--------------------------|
| An attendance policy has been<br>established to inform and<br>educate parents and students of<br>the school's expectations for<br>attendance and the affects of<br>excessive absences (loss of<br>engagement time)on success at<br>school. | Parent Involvement Assistant                | Title I        | \$25,231.78              |
| A school-wide early warning<br>system will be implemented to<br>categorize students as on-track,<br>at-risk, or off track. Tiered<br>interventions will be utilized and<br>student responses evaluated.                                    | Lap Top for Parent Involvement<br>Assistant | Title I        | \$1,300.00               |
|  |   |                | Subtotal: \$26,531.78    |
| Technology   |   |                |                          |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources                    | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount      |
| No Data  | No Data                                     | No Data        | \$0.00                   |
|  |   |                | Subtotal: \$0.00         |
| Professional Development   |   |                | A                        |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources                    | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount      |
| No Data  | No Data                                     | No Data        | \$0.00                   |
|  |   |                | Subtotal: \$0.00         |
| Other  |   |                |                          |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources                    | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount      |
| An attendance policy has been<br>established to inform and<br>educate parents and students of<br>the school's expectations for<br>attendance and the affects of<br>excessive absences (loss of<br>engagement time)on success at<br>school. | Parent Involvement Assistant<br>Supplies    | Title I        | \$1,660.80               |
|  | -   | -              | Subtotal: \$1,660.80     |
|  |   |                | Grand Total: \$28,192.58 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

### Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. STEM   |  |  |  |  |  |
| STEM Goal #1:   |  |  |  |  |  |

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |          |   |  |                 |  |  |  |
|---|----------|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |  |
| No Data Submitted                                       |          |   |  |                 |  |  |  |
|   |          |   |  |                 |  |  |  |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g.,<br>PLC,subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |  |  |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted                           |                        |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

STEM Budget:

| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | Amourn<br>\$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0     |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0     |
| Professional Developn | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          | -              | Subtotal: \$0.0     |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0     |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.0  |

End of STEM Goal(s)

#### Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: |   |   |  |  |                 |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|-----------------|--|--|
| 1. CTE  |   |   |  |  |                 |  |  |
| CTE Goal #1:  |   |   |  |  |                 |  |  |
|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |   |  |  |                 |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring |  | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |
| No Data Submitted   |   |   |  |  |                 |  |  |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g.,<br>PLC,subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |  |  |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted                           |                        |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

CTE Budget:

| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available          |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Strategy              |                          | r unung source | Amount             |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00             |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                    |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amoun |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00             |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0    |
| Professional Developn | nent                     |                |                    |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amoun |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00             |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                    |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amoun |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00             |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.0 |

i.

# Additional Goal(s)

## Technology Integration Goal:

| 1 - | Technology Integratio   | on Goal   |                            | At least 70% of s  | students at RBSMS will   | know and  |  |
|-----|---|---|----------------------------|--|--|---|--|
|     | chnology Integration (  |   |                            | understand the basic functions of word processing,<br>communication, and media production as measured by a<br>school-wide survey in May of 2013. |  |   |  |
| 20' | 12 Current level:   |   |                            | 2013 Expected  | level:   |   |  |
| The | ere is no baseline data f   | or this goal at this time.  |                            | 70%  |  |   |  |
|     | ł   | Problem-Solving Process   | to I                       | ncrease Student  | Achievement  |   |  |
|     | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  |                            | rson or Position<br>esponsible for<br>Monitoring   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy               | Evaluation Tool   |  |
| 1   | have access to<br>computers and or<br>internet outside of<br>school.  | Students will complete an<br>elective course of<br>business education in 6th<br>grade that partly focuses<br>on the basic skills of<br>keyboarding and media<br>production. | Teacher,<br>Administration |  | Master Schedule,<br>formative<br>assessments, student<br>projects/products | summative<br>assessments,<br>student<br>projects/products |  |
| 2   | Students don't<br>understand the<br>liability and affects of<br>cyber media.  | Students will learn to<br>appropriately use media<br>networks to collaborate<br>with peers regarding<br>curriculum.   | Administration, Media      |  | Monitoring of class<br>blogs, moodle forums,<br>and student surveys        | Student survey,<br>Student<br>products/project            |  |
| 3   | social networks for students to   | Teachers will receive<br>professional development<br>for the use of moodle and<br>social networks to support<br>students academic<br>collaboration with peers.              | Specialist                 |  | Teacher/student<br>projects and/or<br>product                              | Student survey,<br>Student<br>products/project            |  |
| 4   | not received<br>professional<br>development in order  | Teachers will receive<br>professional development<br>on basic media technology<br>(imovie,<br>powerpoint,wikkis,moodle).  | Tech<br>Spe                | chers,<br>nnology<br>cialist, Media<br>cialist   | Teacher/student<br>projects and/or<br>products                             | Student survey,<br>Student<br>products/projects           |  |
| 5   | Specialist has not had<br>an opportunity to<br>attend a sufficient<br>amount of<br>professional<br>development<br>activities or |   | Tech<br>Spe                | ninistration,<br>nnology<br>cialist  | Content of<br>Technology Talks,<br>support for teacher<br>implementation   | Observation,<br>Teacher/student<br>products               |  |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator                                | PD Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for Follow-<br>up/Monitoring  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring          |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|
| Technology<br>Talks                         | 6-8 All subjects       | Technology<br>Specialist,<br>Media<br>Specialist | All teachers and<br>staff  | On-Going   | Teachers will complete a<br>feedback form following<br>each technology talk.<br>Upon request, Teachers<br>will receive additional<br>support as they<br>implement technology into<br>their classroom<br>instruction. | Technology<br>Specialist,Media<br>Specialist,<br>Administration |

#### Budget:

| Strategy   | Description of Resources                  | Funding Source                           | Available<br>Amount |
|--|---|--|---------------------|
| No Data  | No Data                                   | No Data                                  | \$0.00              |
|  |   | Su                                       | btotal: \$0.0       |
| Technology   |   |  |                     |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources                  | Funding Source                           | Available<br>Amount |
| Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular basis<br>to collaborate and discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis, and<br>common assessments. | Projectors                                | Title I                                  | \$1,051.00          |
| Teachers will meet by<br>department on a regular basis<br>to collaborate and discuss the<br>implementation of Best<br>Practices, data analysis, and<br>common assessments. | Media books, consumables                  | Title I                                  | \$1,399.00          |
| Teachers will participate in<br>focused staff development to<br>include cooperative learning,<br>depth of knowledge, and use of<br>instructional technology.               | software, ipads, ipods,projector<br>bulbs | Media Technology Budget, Title I         | \$10,000.00         |
|  |   | Subtotal                                 | : \$12,450.0        |
| Professional Development   |   |  |                     |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources                  | Funding Source                           | Available<br>Amount |
| Teachers will receive<br>professional development for<br>the use of moodle and social<br>networks to support students<br>academic collaboration with<br>peers.             | software, cameras, ipod                   | Media Technology Budget, SAC,<br>Title I | \$5,000.00          |
|  |   | Subtota                                  | al: \$5,000.0       |
| Dther<br>Strategy  | Description of Resources                  | Funding Source                           | Available<br>Amoun  |
| No Data  | No Data                                   | No Data                                  | \$0.00              |
|  |   | Su                                       | btotal: \$0.0       |

### FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Program | m(s)/Material(s)  |   |                |                  |
|------------------------|---|---|----------------|------------------|
| Goal                   | Strategy  | Description of<br>Resources                                 | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading                | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a<br>regular basis to<br>collaborate and discuss<br>the implementation of<br>Best Practices, data<br>analysis, and common<br>assessments.                                  | Staff Development,<br>Materials, and<br>Department Planning | Title I        | \$6,291.46       |
| Reading                | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a<br>regular basis to<br>collaborate and discuss<br>the implementation of<br>Best Practices, data<br>analysis, and common<br>assessments.                                  | Reading Resource Kit  | Title I        | \$1,000.00       |
| Mathematics            | The master schedule<br>will be developed in a<br>manner that reinforces<br>basic skills in intensive<br>math courses for most<br>students scoring at<br>level 1 on the FCAT.                                      | Math Resource Teacher                                       | Title I        | \$55,224.86      |
| Mathematics            | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a<br>regular basis to<br>collaborate and discuss<br>the implementation of<br>Best Practices, data<br>analysis, and common<br>assessments.                                  | Math Resource Kit   | Title I        | \$1,000.00       |
| Writing                | Presentation of<br>classroom curriculum<br>will contain modeling of<br>higher order thinking<br>and questioning and<br>will promote the use of<br>writing to demonstrate<br>mastery of material.                  | Writing Camp  | Title I        | \$2,471.00       |
| Suspension             | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off-<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. | SSAP Teacher  | Title I        | \$55,224.86      |
| Suspension             | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off-<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated. | PBS Incentives  | Title I        | \$1,000.00       |
| Suspension             | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated.  | Lap Top Computer for<br>SSAP Teacher                        | Title I        | \$1,300.00       |
| Suspension             | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated.  | PBS Incentives  | Title I        | \$250.00         |

| Parent Involvement | An attendance policy<br>has been established<br>to inform and educate<br>parents and students<br>of the school's<br>expectations for<br>attendance and the<br>affects of excessive<br>absences (loss of<br>engagement time)on<br>success at school. | Parent Involvement<br>Assistant             | Title I | \$25,231.78 |
|--------------------|---|---|---------|-------------|
| Parent Involvement | A school-wide early<br>warning system will be<br>implemented to<br>categorize students as<br>on-track, at-risk, or off<br>track. Tiered<br>interventions will be<br>utilized and student<br>responses evaluated.                                    | Lap Top for Parent<br>Involvement Assistant | Title I | \$1,300.00  |

#### Subtotal: \$150,293.96

| Technology             |  |   |                                     |                  |
|------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Goal                   | Strategy   | Description of<br>Resources                               | Funding Source                      | Available Amount |
| Reading                | Teachers will<br>participate in focused<br>staff development to<br>include cooperative<br>learning, depth of<br>knowledge, and use of<br>instructional<br>technology.            | Web based technology<br>programs, technology<br>resources | Title I                             | \$2,400.00       |
| Mathematics            | Teachers will<br>participate in focused<br>staff development to<br>include cooperative<br>learning, depth of<br>knowledge, and use of<br>instructional<br>technology.            | Lap top Computer  | Title 1                             | \$1,300.00       |
| Technology Integration | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a<br>regular basis to<br>collaborate and discuss<br>the implementation of<br>Best Practices, data<br>analysis, and common<br>assessments. | Projectors  | Title I                             | \$1,051.00       |
| Technology Integration | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a<br>regular basis to<br>collaborate and discuss<br>the implementation of<br>Best Practices, data<br>analysis, and common<br>assessments. | Media books,<br>consumables                               | Title I                             | \$1,399.00       |
| Technology Integration | Teachers will<br>participate in focused<br>staff development to<br>include cooperative<br>learning, depth of<br>knowledge, and use of<br>instructional<br>technology.            | software, ipads,<br>ipods,projector bulbs                 | Media Technology<br>Budget, Title I | \$10,000.00      |

#### Subtotal: \$16,150.00

| Professional Develo | pment  |   |                |                  |
|---------------------|--|---|----------------|------------------|
| Goal                | Strategy   | Description of<br>Resources   | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading             | Teachers will meet by<br>department on a<br>regular basis to<br>collaborate and discuss<br>the implementation of<br>Best Practices, data<br>analysis, and common<br>assessments. | Book Study, Pathways<br>to the Common Core                            | Title I        | \$650.00         |
| Mathematics         | Presentation of<br>classroom curriculum<br>will contain modeling of<br>higher order thinking<br>and questioning and<br>will promote the use of                                   | Staff development time<br>for all Math teachers<br>(Writing in Math). | Title I        | \$1,000.00       |

|                        | writing to demonstrate mastery of material.   |   |  |                           |
|------------------------|---|---|--|---------------------------|
| Writing                | All teachers will be<br>trained in DRAPES to<br>provide a consistent<br>language of writing<br>instruction for our<br>school community.   | Professional<br>Development and<br>activities | Title I                                  | \$1,500.00                |
| Technology Integration | Teachers will receive<br>professional<br>development for the<br>use of moodle and<br>social networks to<br>support students<br>academic collaboration<br>with peers.  | software, cameras,<br>ipod                    | Media Technology<br>Budget, SAC, Title I | \$5,000.00                |
|                        |   |   |  | Subtotal: \$8,150.00      |
| Other                  |   |   |  |                           |
| Goal                   | Strategy  | Description of<br>Resources                   | Funding Source                           | Available Amount          |
| Reading                | Classroom activities<br>will be structured to<br>incorporate<br>cooperative learning<br>and gradual release<br>strategies improving<br>educational outcomes<br>and meeting the<br>diverse needs of<br>learners.                                     | Teacher conferences,<br>consultants           | Title I                                  | \$4,234.84                |
| Parent Involvement     | An attendance policy<br>has been established<br>to inform and educate<br>parents and students<br>of the school's<br>expectations for<br>attendance and the<br>affects of excessive<br>absences (loss of<br>engagement time)on<br>success at school. | Parent Involvement<br>Assistant Supplies      | Title I                                  | \$1,660.80                |
|                        |   |   |  | Subtotal: \$5,895.64      |
|                        |   |   |  | Grand Total: \$180,489.60 |

#### Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

| jn Priority | jm Focus | jn Prevent | jn NA |  |
|-------------|----------|------------|-------|--|
|             |          |            |       |  |

Are you a reward school: in Yes in No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 8/29/2012)

#### School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds Amount

| SAC funds will be used to support the implementation of Best Practice strategies for highest student achievement.   | \$2,000.00 |
|---|------------|
| SAC funds will be used to support teacher's participation in staff development and attendance at conferences focusing on the implementation of our SIP as well as the academic and social well being of our students. | \$1,500.00 |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The School Advisory Council will meet monthly to discuss school data, current events, and community news. The SAC will provide input and suggestions into the school improvement plan focus as they receive updates on the progress of implementation of SIP strategies, CORE K12, FAIR, and common assessments.

## AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010 SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| 2010-2011   |         | 1         |         |         |                           |   |
|---|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
|   | Reading | Math      | Writing | Science | Grade<br>Points<br>Earned |   |
| % Meeting High<br>Standards (FCAT<br>Level 3 and Above) | 66%     | 58%       | 69%     | 46%     |                           | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above or<br>Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the<br>District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/o<br>science component. |
| % of Students Making<br>Learning Gains                  | 57%     | 63%       |         |         | 120                       | 3 ways to make gains:<br>Improve FCAT Levels<br>Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5<br>Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2   |
| Adequate Progress of<br>Lowest 25% in the<br>School?    |         | 64% (YES) |         |         | 123                       | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.  |
| FCAT Points Earned                                      |         |           |         |         | 482                       |   |
| Percent Tested =<br>100%                                |         |           |         |         |                           | Percent of eligible students tested   |
| School Grade*   |         |           |         |         | с                         | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested  |

|   | Reading   | Math      | Writing | Science | Grade<br>Points<br>Earned |   |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
| % Meeting High<br>Standards (FCAT<br>Level 3 and Above) | 65%       | 57%       | 79%     | 41%     |                           | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on<br>Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the<br>District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/o<br>science component. |
| % of Students Making<br>Learning Gains                  | 64%       | 71%       |         |         | 135                       | 3 ways to make gains:<br>Improve FCAT Levels<br>Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5<br>Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2   |
| Adequate Progress of<br>Lowest 25% in the<br>School?    | 65% (YES) | 75% (YES) |         |         |                           | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.  |
| FCAT Points Earned                                      |           |           |         |         | 517                       |   |
| Percent Tested =<br>100%                                |           |           |         |         |                           | Percent of eligible students tested   |
| School Grade*   |           |           |         |         | в                         | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested  |