FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: FLORIDA VIRTUAL SCHOOL FRANCHISE

District Name: Palm Beach

Principal: Debra P. Johnson. M.S.

SAC Chair: Cynthia Schaub, B.A., B.A., M.A., M.Ed.

Superintendent: E. Wayne Gent

Date of School Board Approval:

Last Modified on: 9/24/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)			Prior Performance Record (Include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Debra Johnson	Masters	2		PBV yr 1 NG; Loggers Run yr 1 B, Year 2&3 A; Don Estridge A and AYP all 3 years there.
Assis Principal	Heidi Putre	Masters	2	1	PBV yr 1 B

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

-					associated school year)
	NA	NA	NA		NA

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Core Teacher Teams with Team Leaders to lead and collaborate within disciplines and interdisciplinary activities	Juliana Davis	Ongoing	
2	Recruit teachers with technology experience and high performance in content areas	Debra Johnson	Ongoing	
3	Virtual professional development meetings	Juliana Davis	Bi-monthly	
4	3	Sarah Mammolito Beverly Ciotti	Daily	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
0	NA

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
8	0.0%(0)	0.0%(0)	50.0%(4)	50.0%(4)	75.0%(6)	100.0%(8)	25.0%(2)	12.5%(1)	62.5%(5)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Beverly Ciotti	Cynthia Schaub, Deborah Schepp, Dianne Tetreault		Ongoing Professional Development
		Science and Social Studies,	

Sarah Mammolito	Maryann Fornataro, Robert Swanson, Marcy Zalecki	Elective Teachers Collaboration and Coordination of PD, activities for science and social studies.	Ongoing Professional Development

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.
Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title 1, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Notified the options
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education

Job Training		
Other		

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

-School-based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal

RtI LEA Teacher/Reading Support teacher

Instructional Specialist

Guidance Specialist

Teachers participation on rotation and during their students' status reviews

There are no assistant principals or coaches at this school

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

As this is a small school, all students are closely monitored.

The School Based RtI Leadership Team (SBT) is scheduled for weekly meetings to review the progress of students and to review the records and performance of students who are not on pace or making adequate progress in their academic growth.

When students demonstrate challenges at their academic level, the student is referred to a school-based team by any member of the faculty. The SBT LEA brings data and records to the meeting to discuss the student and determine next steps. Recommendations are made during the team meeting. The outcomes of the meeting are shared with all faculty and staff involved and with the parent in the form of notes, recommendations and time-specific interventions. Progress monitoring continues at SBT meetings.

RtI processes are followed along the continuum of intervention services. The SBT LEA monitors all students demonstrating challenges in the area of academic readiness or performance at their appropriate grade level.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The SBT members are the school leadership team and will all be members of the SAC. This team brings the data, trends and academic recommendations to the council and facilitates the development and monitoring of the plan through the RtI processes.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Scholastic Reading Inventory

Maze

Diagnostics

Palm Beach Writes

FCAT

Educational Data Warehouse

FLDOE data

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Faculty Meetings in September, October and February.

Ongoing support through the RtI Leadership Team meeting outcomes, teacher participation, recommendations and

monitoring.	
Describe the plan to support MTSS.	
·	7

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

School-based Literacy Leadership Team:

Cynthia Schaub Debbie Schepp Dianne Tetreault

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). School-based LLT will ensure reading and literacy are a focus across all content areas by attending online monthly meetings to address literacy and writing skills emphasized in all courses for all students. The team will evaluate growth of students in reading based on data provided through assessment resources to include Diagnostic Testing, SRI, FAIR, FCAT and/or recommendation by content area teachers. Meetings will include discussions regarding individual plans for struggling readers based on the data as well as any student designations. Outcome measures will be assessed in December, 2012 and adjustments in instruction made on an as needed basis.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year? Initiatives for this year will be 1) tutoring in an ongoing capacity; 2) providing supplemental elective classes in either critical thinking skills or reading for students at levels 1 or 2; 3) professional development of all teachers in reading across the content areas.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

The LLT has put into place initiatives to ensure that all content area teachers are addressing the literacy needs of every student, both high achieving and struggling readers. The school targets the lowest 25% of readers as well as the readers from all other levels with varied instructional strategies and differentiated strategies for each target group.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

The school offers several courses to introduce students to skills that may be helpful for students to find their niche in society following high school. There are academic track courses, as well as keyboarding, computer programming, and career education classes. Students who are college-bound are given ample opportunity to meet with their guidance counselors to discuss honors, advanced placement, and dual enrollment courses geared to help them acquire the skills they will need for college or university. Students are encouraged to take the PSAT, the SAT, the ACT, and the ASVAB.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Students meet with the school guidance counselor in the spring and summer to plan for their future success through our courses and courses offered through the main branch of FLVS that may better meet their needs.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

Report Not Available

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Students at level 3 in reading will reach the target reading. benchmark as specified in the Monitoring Progress Toward A+ Goal. Reading Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: At least 50% of Students will remain at level 3 or attain a 4 18% [10] or 5. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Determine Position Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 1A.1. 1A.1. 1A.1. 1A.1. 1A.1. Students are reading Face to face tutoring Teachers Practices tests Diagnostics tests, independently from home sessions for reading FCAT scores instead of with a teacher strategies. who can model appropriate reading strategies. 1A.2. 1A.2 1Δ 2 1A.2. 1A.2. Students come to online Face to face and online Teachers Practices tests Diagnostics tests, learning from home tutoring sessions for test FCAT scores school and have little to information and practice no practice with testing. standardized testing. 1A.3. 1A.3. 1A.3. Students may lack higher Ensure that all discussion Teachers Data Based Assessments Diagnostics tests, order thinking skills or based assignments FCAT scores feedback/grades practice. include questions that require higher order thinking. Teachers will model the skills for higher order thinking.

based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need if improvement for the following group:						
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:	0	Students designated as FAA will show progress through constant progress monitoring.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
ND	Any students de	Any students designated for FAA with show upward growth.				
Problem-Solving Process t	t Achievement					
	Person or	Process Used to				

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1			1B.1. Guidance, RtI Coordinator	1B.1. Progress Monitoring	1B.1.FAIR, Alt Assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Students at level 4 and 5 in reading will reach the target benchmark as specified in the Monitoring Progress Toward A+ Level 4 in reading. Goal. Reading Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: At least 50% of Students will remain at their current level or 68% (39) of students scored Level 4 and Level 5 on the FCAT. move up one level. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 2A.1. 2A.1. 2A.1. 2A.1. Principal and Students lack motivation Incentives for attending Practice tests, Diagnostics, FCAT to improve because they online and face to face attendance records for teachers scores are already scoring level FCAT reading strategy strategy sessions 4 or above. sessions. 2A.2. 2A.2. Diagnostics, 2A.2. 2A.2. 2A.2. Students may not be Principal and Discussion based FCAT scores Encourage students to reading at high enough take honors courses and teachers assignments levels to maintain a score to read high lexile books of 4 or 5 on the FCAT outside of school. Reading. 2A.3. 2A.3. 2A.3. 2A.3. 2A.3. Diagnostics, Students who score level Ensure that students are Teachers Discussion based FCAT scores 4 or 5 may show less challenged with higher assignments, pre and 3 growth or fall back a order thinking questions post tests level on discussion based

1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b:				Students designated as FAA will show progress through constant progress monitoring.			
2012	2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
ND	ND				At least 50% of Students will remain at their current level.		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

assignments and tests.

1	2B.1. Since this is an online school,	2B.1. Offer flexible testing times.	2B.1. Guidance, RtI Coordinator	2B.1. Progress Monitoring	2B.1. FAIR, Alt Assessments
ľ	transportation to the school.				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning The percent of students who will retain their level and/or gains in reading. make a learning gain equivalent to one year's growth in reading or higher will increase by 5% or more. Reading Goal #3a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: A total of 50% or more of students will make learning gains in 67% [33] reading Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 3A.1.The majority of 3A.1.Increase the focus 3A.1. LLT and 3A.1. Internal 3A.1. FCAT on vocabulary building virtual school students Teachers documentation on Fall and Winter are proficient or near across all content areas students in need of more Reading Diagnostic proficient, so making through emphasis on new focus on vocabulary to learning gains is more content-related promote more rigor on difficult than maintaining vocabulary during data the alternative proficiency at this based assessments. assessments of identified school. students. 3A.2. Students may need 3A.2. Incentive plan to 3A.2. Teachers 3A.2. LLT and Mentors 3A.2. FCAT Scores some extrinsic motivation be given, subject to SAC Data Reviews, Oct., Dec. 2 to do well on the FCAT Jan., March approval. 2.0. 3A.3. SRI, FAIR, 3A.3. Making gains in 3A.3. Tutoring sessions 3A.3. LLT 3A.3. Monitoring of reading is difficult for online to promote results of practice tests Diagnostics, high level students due endurance on test taking and holding one on one FCAT to complacency and for sessions with students by walking through test 3 low level students due to taking strategies as a still struggling. test anxiety. group, using different research-based activities.

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
			Students designated as FAA will show progress through constant progress monitoring.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
ND	ND				At least 50% of Students will remain at their current level or move up one level.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	toIn	ncrease Studen	it Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	1	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	3B.1. Since this is an	3B.1. Offer flexible	3B.1	1. Guidance, RtI	3B.1. Progress Monitoring	3B.1. FAIR, Alt	

- [.	1	online school,	testing times.	Coordinator	Assessments
		transportation to the			
		school.			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% The percent of the lowest 25% of reading students who will making learning gains in reading. retain their level and/or make a learning gain equivalent to one year's growth in reading or higher will increase by 5% or Reading Goal #4: more. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: A total of 50% or more of the lowest 25% of students will 0% [3] make learning gains in reading. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring 4A.1. Less proficient 4A.1. Teachers will have 4A.1. Teachers 4A.1. LLT keeps record of 4A.1. "Student readers need regular two to four of the at-risk students' progress Success Mentor support through frequent students designated in through documentation Program" of mentee sessions of at documentation. one on one contact with the lowest 25% in a mentor teacher. reading, math, and or least one per month. "Student Success Mentor science as a mentee. Program" for all school's lowest 25% of students in reading (and math). 4A.2. Many students 4A.2. Require students 4A.2. Guidance 4A.2. Entry-level 4A.2. Pre- and from home-school testing at level 2 or quidance for course Post-test backgrounds do not test below to take Critical selection process. measures for well due to lack of Thinking Skills class in course modules; 2 research-based grades 6-10 as an Reading, Writing, instruction in reading, elective whenever Thinking, Learning. possible in their schedule. writing, and critical thinking skills. 4A.3. Less proficient 4A.3. Tutoring sessions 4A.3. Debbie 4A.3. Monitoring of 4A.3. SRI for non-Schepp students tend to obsess, online to promote results of practice tests proficient endurance on test taking Cynthia Schaub stress, and get anxious and holding one on one students. about tests. Dianne Tetreault sessions with mentee FAIR Lev. 1&2 by walking through test taking strategies as a students. Diagnostics for group using different non-proficient research-based students. activities. FCAT

Based on Amb	itious but Achie	evable Annual	Measurable Objective	es (AMOs), AMO-2, I	Reading and Math Pe	erformance Target	
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Reading Goal # The school has a negative achievement gap. The largest percent of students not proficient is the subgroup: White at 18%, Black 0%, Hispanic 8%, Asian 0%, Am. Indian 0%. 5A:				
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	Raise White and	Raise White and	Raise White and	Raise White and	Raise White and		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making

satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5B:	Levels student achievement in all subgroups. Bring all levels to 0%.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
White: 18% Black: 0% Hispanic: 8% Asian: 0% American Indian: 0%	White: 0% Black: 0% Hispanic: 0% Asian: 0% American Indian: 0%		

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	5B.1. White: Students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds are more susceptible not to test well. Black: Same Hispanic: Same Asian: Same American Indian: Same Economic disadvantage is more indicative of achievement gap than any other factor (Anyon, J. 1997; Payne, R. 1996)		5B.1. Teachers, Guidance, RtI Coordinator	5B.1. Documentation from Baseline Data Reports in Winter compared to Fall Data over six years. In this case, since there is no traditional achievement gap by ethnicity, the goal is to bring the White ethnic student gap up to the other ethnicities and keep it there.	5B.1. FCAT 2.0 EOC Tests
2	5B.2. Same as above	5B.2. Use Steps 1-3 of Six Step Process to Keep Track of Students' Learning (Payne, 2008, p. 100-103). Gridding Ind. Student Performance Establishing Relationship between Content and Time High Quality Instruction	5B.2. Teachers, Guidance, RtI coordinator	5B.2. Documentation from Baseline Data Reports in Winter compared to Fall Data over six years. In this case, since there is no traditional achievement gap by ethnicity, the goal is to bring the White ethnic student gap up to the other ethnicities and keep it there.	5B.2. FCAT 2.0
3	5B.3. Same as above	5B.3. Use Steps 4-6 of Six Step Process to Keep Track of Students' Learning (Payne, 2008, p. 104-108). Measuring the Learning Interventions Embedding Processes into PD Time	coordinator	5B.3. Documentation from Baseline Data Reports in Winter compared to Fall Data over six years. In this case, since there is no traditional achievement gap by ethnicity, the goal is to bring the White ethnic student gap up to the other ethnicities and keep it there.	5B.3. FCAT 2.0

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
	Ensure any ELLs entered into the program are making satisfactory progress in reading.				

201	2 Current Level of Perforr	mance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
NA			At least 50% of move up one le	^c Students will remain at t vel.	heir current le
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	5C.1. ELL students need extra emphasis on vocabulary instruction.	5C.1. This strategy of using more complex vocabulary during data based assessments for other reading groups that most ELLs are placed.	5C.1. Teachers	5C.1. Practice tests, documentation, RtI	5C.1. CELLA FAIR, SRI, Diagnostics
3	5C.2. ELL students may fall into the frustration cycle if pushed too far beyond their Comprehensible Input level.	5C.2. Building relationships of mutual respect with students during data based assessments.	5C.2. Teachers	5C.2. Check often for comprehension of material through phone calls, emails, and online tutoring.	5C.2. CELLA, FAIR, SRI, Diagnostics
4	5C.3. ELL students may not always understand the text and/or instructions.	5C.3. Check often for comprehension of material during data based assessments.	5C.3. Teachers	5C.3. Check often for comprehension of material through phone calls, emails, and online tutoring.	5C.3. CELLA, FAIR, SRI, Diagnostics

	ed on the analysis of studen mprovement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in nee	
sati	Students with Disabilities is factory progress in reading Goal #5D:	. ,	Lower the SWD	Lower the SWD not making satisfactory progress to 0.		
201	2 Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
ND				At least 50% of Students will remain at their current level or move up one level.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2	needs that must be	5D.1. RtI Coordinator distributes IEPs to teachers for monitoring purposes.	5D.1. RtI Coordinator Teachers Guidance (testing accommodations)	5D.1. Check often for comprehension of material through phone calls, emails, and online tutoring.	5D.1. Diagnostic SRI, FAIR FCAT Alternative Tests	
3	5D.2. SWD may not always understand the text and/or instructions.	5D.2. Check often for comprehension of material during data based assessments.	5D.2. Teachers Guidance (testing accommodations)	5D.2. Check often for comprehension of material through phone calls, emails, and online tutoring.	5D.2. Diagnostic SRI, FAIR FCAT Alternative Tests	
4	5D.3. SWD need mentors, 5D.3. Students will be part of the "Student Success Mentor Program" and have a teacher		5D.3. Teachers	5D.3. Rtl Coordinator Progress Monitoring	5D.3. Diagnostic SRI, FAIR FCAT Alternative Tests	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.

Reading Goal #5E:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

At least 50% of Students will remain at their current level or move up one level.

mentor

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	5E.1. Students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds are more susceptible not to test well.	5E.1. Build Relationships of Mutual Respect with all students (Payne, 2008).	5E.1. Teachers Guidance Parents	5E.1. Documentation from Baseline Data Reports in Winter compared to Fall Data over six years. In this case, since there is no traditional achievement gap by ethnicity, the goal is to bring the White ethnic student gap up to the other ethnicities and keep it there.	5E.1. FCAT 2.0 EOC Tests
2	5E.2. Students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds are often distractible, making them do poorly on tests.	5E.2. Provide optimal testing conditions when possible.	5E.2. Teachers Guidance Parents	5E.2. Documentation from Baseline Data Reports in Winter compared to Fall Data over six years. In this case, since there is no traditional achievement gap by ethnicity, the goal is to bring the White ethnic student gap up to the other ethnicities and keep it there.	5E.2. FCAT 2.0 EOC Tests
3	5E.3. Students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds are often not monitored at an optimal extent by parents who must work.	5E.3. Addition of this subgroup to "Student Success Mentor" Program for additional monitoring eyes to help with motivation.	5E.3.Teachers Guidance Parents	5E.3. Documentation from Baseline Data Reports in Winter compared to Fall Data over six years. In this case, since there is no traditional achievement gap by ethnicity, the goal is to bring the White ethnic student gap up to the other ethnicities and keep it there.	5E.3. FCAT 2.0 EOC Tests

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Reading/Writing Across Content Areas	6-12	LLT All Teachers PDD Days P.M.		PDD Days P.M.	Teacher Observations	Principal
EOC/FCAT 2.0	6-12	Principal	All Teachers	PDD Days P.M.	Annual Reports	Asst. Principal

Reading Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Tutoring session monies needed for students indicating a need to pass state and college readiness testing.	Tutoring Materials.	SAC Monies Per Student	\$250.00
		•	Subtotal: \$250.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Headsets and microphones needed for Elluminate online tutoring sessions.	Headsets and microphones.	SAC Monies Per Student	\$400.00
		-	Subtotal: \$400.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$650.00

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

^ vvnen using percentages,	include the number	ot stuaents the peri	centage represents	next to the percentage	e (e.g., 70% (3:	5))

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.					
1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. There are no ELLs at this school. If that changes, this will be updated.					
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listenii	ng/speaking:				
NA					
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.					
2. Students scoring p	proficient in reading	g.			
CELLA Goal #2:					
2012 Current Percent	t of Students Profic	cient in reading	:		
	Problem-Solvin	g Process to Ir	ncrease S	Student Achievemen	t
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Perso Positi Respo for Monit	on onsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.						
3. Students scoring pr	3. Students scoring proficient in writing.					
CELLA Goal #3:						
2012 Current Percent	of Students Proficient in w	riting:				
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to Increase S	Student Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount			

No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in On the FY13 Math FCAT, Middle School students scoring at mathematics. Achievement Level 3 at least 5% will remain in that level or rise to level 4 or above. Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 38% [12] 5% of students will remain at level 3 or rise. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Resources for low-income Provide resources RtI monitoring, record-Teacher reports Rtl Leader, SAC, keeping of students atfrom monthly students. whenever possible to Community students who may be risk. phone call databased (oral) enrolled in the virtual assessment chats. school, but do not have unlimited access to technology and/or resources. Ongoing monitoring of Many students from Provide online tutorial Math Teachers Oral assessments home-school sessions as needed on student class progress. and tutorialsession backgrounds do not test mathematics strategies materials. well. for the FCAT. Students who struggle Work closely with parents Math Teachers Ongoing monitoring of Data Based student grades by with math tend to avoid to ensure that students RtI Discussions math. who need one to one parents, RtI team, Unit Tests Guidance 3 Parents guidance, and parents. Diagnostics tutoring schedule tutorials when they have **FCAT Tests** an average below C.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
1b. F	lorida Alternate Assessn	nent:					
Stude	ents scoring at Levels 4,	5, and 6 in mathematics	Students design	nated as FAA will show pro	gress through		
Math	ematics Goal #1b:		constant progre	constant progress monitoring.			
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:			
ND				At least 50% of Students will remain at their current level or move up one level.			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	1B.1. Since this is an online school, transportation to the	1B.1. Offer flexible testing times.	1B.1. Guidance, RtI Coordinator	1B.1. Progress Monitoring	1B.1. FAIR, Alt Assessments		

school. Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement On the FY13 Math FCAT, at least 5% of Middle School Level 4 in mathematics. students scoring at Achievement Level 4 or above will remain in those levels or go up one level. Mathematics Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: On the FY13 Math FCAT, at least 5% of Middle School students scoring at Achievement Level 4 or above will remain 44% [14] in those levels or go up one level. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 2A.1. Students need 2A.1. Provide face to 2A.1. Math 2A.1. Monitoring results 2A.1. Diagnostics, extra time for FAIR Test Scores, face FCAT sessions for Teachers on Module Exams; reinforcement of skills students in full time Attendance log for FCAT FCAT Explorer and strategies. virtual program. Inclusion Teachers face to face session. scores, FCAT scores. 2A.2. Guidance. 2A.2. Students will 2.A.2. Advanced 2A.2. Complacency may 2A.2. Offer accelerated prevent high achieving learning assignments with Math Teachers maintain high grades and assignments and students from taking the increased rigor and work ethic. assessments, basic concepts to the critical thinking. Diagnostic Tests, next level. FCAT scores. 2A.3. Working from a 2A.2. Offer accelerated 2A.2. Guidance. 2A.2. Students will 2.A.2. Advanced home environment, learning assignments with Math Teachers maintain high grades and assignments and students are separated increased rigor and work ethic. assessments, 3 from teacher created critical thinking. Diagnostic Tests,

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in Students designated as FAA will show progress through mathematics. constant progress monitoring. Mathematics Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Students designated as FAA will show progress through ND constant progress monitoring Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Effectiveness of Responsible for Monitoring Strategy 2B.1. Since this is an 2B.1. 2B.1. Guidance, Rtl 2B.1. Progress Monitoring 2B.1. FAIR, Alt Offer flexible testing Coordinator Assessments online school, transportation to the times.

FCAT scores.

enrichment activities.

school.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

	FCAT 2.0: Percentage of s s in mathematics.	tudents making learning		On the FY13 Math FCAT, 5% of Middle School Students			
Math	nematics Goal #3a:		making learning	gains will increase by 5%.			
2012	2 Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:			
46%	[13]			ath FCAT, 5% of Middle Sc gains will increase by 5%.			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too		
1	3A.1. Students new to online learning may try to take a back seat in the learning process and miss connections between the information given in the lessons.	based assessments. Encourage students to apply knowledge to new situations. Encourage summarizing	3A.1. Math Teachers	3A.1. Check comprehension of main ideas during Discussion Based Assessments.	3A.1. Discussion Based Assessments, Diagnostic Test Scores, FCAT scores.		
	3A.2. Home support for students: discussing concepts, accessing materials	concept in own words. 3A.2. Provide student Elluminate sessions to give students extra support on lessons where needed.	3A.2. Math Teachers, Learning Coaches, Principal	3A.2. Monitor attendance records, classroom walk-throughs.	3A.2. Attendance Diagnostic Test Scores, FCAT scores.		
2		Contact students/ families regularly via teacher advisor to ensure access to technology and understanding of school support.					
3	3A.3. Familiarity with testing format.	3A.3. Promote access to FCAT explorer for all students.	3A.3. RtI Facilitator, Science Teachers	3A.3. Survey students and parents.	3A.3. Diagnostic Test Scores, FCA scores.		

1	on the analysis of studen or overment for the following		efere	ence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b:				Students designated as FAA will show progress through constant progress monitoring.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
ND				At least 50% of Students will remain at their current level or move up one level.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to I r	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	3B.1. Since this is an online school, transportation to the school.	3B.1. Offer flexible testing times.		1. Guidance, RtI ordinator	3B.1. Progress Monitoring	3B.1. FAIR, Alt Assessments

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
makii	AT 2.0: Percentage of str ng learning gains in mat ematics Goal #4:			On the FY13 Math FCAT, at least 5% of the lowest 25% of Middle School Students in the lowest 25% will make learning gains.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
25%	[1].			At least 5% of the lowest 25% of Middle School Students in the lowest 25% will make learning gains.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	4A.1. Time dedicated by student to lessons.	4A.1. Encourage summarizing concept in own words. Positively reinforce notetaking during discussion based assessments.	4A.1. Math Teachers.	4A.1. Ask students to read excerpts from notebook during discussion based assessments.	Discussion Based Assessments, FAIR Test Scores, Diagnostic Test Scores, FCAT scores.	
2	4A.2. Home support for students: discussing concepts, accessing materials.	4A.2. Provide student Elluminate sessions to give students extra support on lessons where needed. Contact students/ families regularly via teacher advisor to ensure	Coaches, Principal	4A.2. Monitor attendance records, classroom walkthroughs.	4A.2. Attendance, FAIR Test Scores, Diagnostic Test Scores, FCAT scores	
3	4A.3. Familiarity with testing format.	access to technology and understanding of school support. 4A.3. Promote access to FCAT explorer for all students.	4A.3. RtI Facilitator, Math Teachers	4A.3. Survey students and parents.	Diagnostic Test Scores, FCAT scores.	

Based on Amb	Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target					
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Middle School Mathematics Goal # Level the achievement among Black, White, Hispanic, Asian, and American Indian students, along with any future ethnic groups to join the school within the next six years. 5A:			
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	Level the achiev	Level the achiev	Level the achiev	Level the achiev	Level the achiev	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B:	Level the achievement among Black, White, Hispanic, Asian, and American Indian students, along with any future ethnic groups to join the school within the next six years.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
	White: 0%				

The school has a negative achievement gap. The largest Black: 0% percent of students not proficient is the subgroup: White at Hispanic: 0% 17%, Black 33%, Hispanic 12%, Asian 0, American Indian 0.

Asian: 0%

American Indian: 0%

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	5B.1. White: Students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds are more susceptible not to test well. Black: Same Hispanic: Same Asian: Same Economic disadvantage is more indicative of achievement gap than any other factor (Anyon, J. 1997; Payne, R. 1996)	5B.1. Build Relationships of Mutual Respect with all students (Payne, 2008).	5B.1. Teachers, Guidance, RtI Coordinator	5B.1. Documentation from Baseline Data Reports in Winter compared to Fall Data over six years. In this case, since there is no traditional achievement gap by ethnicity, the goal is to bring the White ethnic student gap up to the other ethnicities and keep it there.	5B.1. FCAT 2.0 EOC Tests
2	5B.1. Students are unfamiliar with mathematical terminology used on the FCAT. White: same Black: same Hispanic: same Asian: same American Indian: same	5B.1. Encourage vocabulary development and terminology awareness.	5B.1. Math Teachers, Inclusion Teachers	5B.1. Assess understanding of vocabulary and terms during Discussion Based Assessments.	5B.1. Discussion Based Assessments, Diagnostics, FAIR Test Scores, FCAT scores.
3	5B.2. Students reading level is a barrier to understanding FCAT material.	5B.2. Provide student with Elluminate sessions to give students extra support on real-world mathematical application.	5B.2. Math and Reading Teachers, Inclusion Teachers	5B.2. Monitor objective mastery, classroom walkthroughs.	5B.2. Diagnostics, FAIR Test Scores, FCAT scores.
4	5B.3. Familiarity with testing format.	5B.3. Promote access to FCAT explorer for all students.	5B.3. RtI Facilitator, Math and Inclusion Teachers	5B.3. Survey students and parents.	5B.3. Diagnostics, FAIR Test Scores, FCAT scores.

	on the analysis of student provement for the following		d refer	ence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
autoractory progress in matricinatios.			On the FY13 Math FCAT, the percentage of ELL Middle School Students making satisfactory progress will match the guidelines for the district.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A			At least 50% of Students will remain at their current level or move up one level.			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool

			Monitoring	Strategy	
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	5C.1. Students are unfamiliar with mathematical terminology used on the FCAT.	vocabulary development		5C.1. Assess understanding of vocabulary and terms during Discussion Based Assessments.	5C.1. Discussion Based Assessments, Diagnostics, FAIR Test Scores, FCAT scores.
3	5C.2. Students reading level is a barrier to understanding FCAT material.		Reading Teachers Inclusion Teachers	5C.2. Monitor objective mastery, classroom walkthroughs.	5C.2. Diagnostics, FAIR Test Scores, FCAT scores.
4	5C.3. Students need extra time for reinforcement of skills and strategies.	5C.3. Provide face to face FCAT sessions for ELL students.	Teachers	5C.3. Monitoring results on Module Exams; Attendance log for FCAT face to face sessions.	5C.3. FCAT Explorer, FAIR Test Scores, Diagnostics

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. On the FY13 Math FCAT at least 5% of SWD will remain or become proficient in math. Mathematics Goal #5D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: An increase of at least 5% of SWD will remain or become 33% [2] proficient in math. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring 5D.1. Time dedicated by 5D.1. Encourage 5D.1. Math 5D.1. Ask students to 5D.1. Discussion read excerpts from notes Based student to lessons. summarizing concept in Teachers own words. during discussion based Assessments, assessments. Diagnostic Test Scores, FCAT Positively reinforce notetaking during discussion scores. based assessments. 5D.2. Home support for 5D.2. Provide student 5D.2. Math 5D.2. Monitor attendance 5D.2. Attendance, students: discussing Elluminate sessions to Teachers, Team records, classroom Diagnostic Test concepts, accessing Leaders, Principal walkthroughs. Scores, FCAT give students extra materials. support on lessons where scores, Discussion needed. Based Assessments 5D.3. 5D.3. Promote access to 5D.3. RtI 5D.3. Survey students 5D.3. Diagnostic Familiarity with testing and parents. FCAT explorer for all Facilitator, Math Test Scores, FCAT format. students. Teachers scores.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in of improvement for the following subgroup:			
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5E:	On the FY13 Math FCAT at least 5% of Economically Disadvantaged Students will remain or become proficient in math.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	5E.1. Students may have limited access to working technology.		'	The state of the s	5E.1. Educator grade book; VSA contact log
2	5E.2. Students need extra time for reinforcement of skills and strategies.	5E.2. Provide face to face FCAT sessions for students who are economically disadvantaged.	Teachers	on Module Exams; Attendance log for FCAT	5E.2. FCAT Explorer, FAIR Test Scores, Diagnostics.
3	5E.3. Familiarity with testing format.	5E.3. Promote access to FCAT explorer for all students.	5E.3. RtI Facilitator, Math Teachers	5E.3. Survey students and parents.	5E.3. FAIR Test Scores, FCAT scores.

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Students designated as FAA will show progress through constant progress monitoring. Mathematics Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Students designated as FAA will show progress through ND constant progress monitoring. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 1.1. Offer flexible testing 1.1. Guidance, Rtl 1.1. Progress Monitoring 1.1. FAIR, Alt 1.1. Since this is an online school, times. Coordinator Assessments transportation to the school.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define ar in need of improvement for the following group:				
Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2:	Students designated as FAA will show progress through constant progress monitoring.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
ND	Students designated as FAA will show progress through constant progress monitoring.			

\vdash								
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1		2.1. Since this is an online school, transportation to the school.	2.1. Offer flexible testing times.	2.1. Guidance, RtI Coordinator	2.1. Progress Monitoring	2.1. FAIR, Alt Assessments		

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3:			Students desig	S Students designated as FAA will show progress through constant progress monitoring.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	<u>;</u> :	
NA			J	Students designated as FAA will show progress through constant progress monitoring.		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	3.1. Since this is an online school, transportation to the school.	3.1. Offer flexible testing times.	3.1. Guidance, RtI Coordinator	3.1. Progress Monitoring	3.1. FAIR, Alt Assessments	

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. On the FY13 Algebra 1 EOC, students passing the EOC will increase by at least 5%. Algebra Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: On the FY13 Algebra 1 EOC, students passing the EOC will 50% [7] increase by at least 5%. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 1.1. Students need extra 1.1. Provide face to face 1.1. Math 1.1. Monitoring results on 1.1. Module and time for reinforcement of Algebra EOC sessions for Teachers Module Exams and Segment Test skills and strategies students in full time Segment Exams; Scores virtual program Inclusion Teachers Attendance log for EOC

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

			face to face sessions.	
4	familiar with testing format.	 Teachers,		1.2. Practice test results

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra. On the FY13 Algebra 1 EOC, students passing the EOC at the district benchmark will increase by at least 5%. Algebra Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: On the FY13 Algebra 1 EOC, students passing the EOC at the 36% [5] district benchmark will increase by at least 5%. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 2.1. Students need extra 2.1. Provide face to face 2.1. Math 2.1. Monitoring results on 2.1. Module and time for reinforcement of Algebra EOC sessions for Teachers Module Exams and Segment Test skills and strategies. students in full time Segment Exams; Scores Inclusion Teachers Attendance log for EOC virtual program. face to face sessions. 2.2. Students are not 2.2. Promote ePAT 2.2. Math 2.2. Student participation 2.2. Practice test Teachers, familiar with testing Practice Test Sessions in Elluminate sessions results and FLVS End of Course Inclusion Teachers format. Review. 2.3. Working from a home 2.3. All students 2.3. Math 2.3. Student Project 2.3. Project grade environment, students participate in a Teachers collaborative project to are separated from 3 teacher created apply mathematical enrichment activities. concepts to a real world project.

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target						
3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.					s of students in . levels within six	-
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	Raise achievem					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.

Algebra Goal #3B:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

For Algebra I, the school has a negative achievement gap.

The largest percent of students not proficient is the subgroup: White at 29%, Black 0%, Hispanic0%, Asian 0%, Am. Indian 0%.

Bring percent of all subgroups of students to equal achievement levels within six years.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1		development and		vocabulary and terms	3B.1. Discussion Based Assessments, Diagnostics, FAIR Test Scores	
2	extra time for	3B.3. Provide face to face EOC sessions for ELL students.	3B.3. Math Teachers	on Module Exams; Attendance log for EOC	3B.3. FCAT Explorer, Practice Test Results, Diagnostics	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3C:	Ensure any ELLs entered into the program are making satisfactory progress in Algebra I.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
0% [0]	Ensure any ELLs entered into the program are making satisfactory progress in Algebra I.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	3C.1. Students are unfamiliar with mathematical terminology used on the Algebra I EOC.	3C.1. Encourage vocabulary development and terminology awareness.	3C.1. Math Teachers Inclusion Teachers	3C.1. Assess understanding of vocabulary and terms during Discussion Based Assessments.	3C.1. Discussion Based Assessments, Diagnostics, FAIR Test Scores
2	3C.2. Students reading level is a barrier to understanding Algebra I material.	3C.2. Provide student with Elluminate sessions to give students extra support on real-world mathematical application.		J,	3C.2. Diagnostics, FAIR Test Scores
3	3C.3. Students need extra time for reinforcement of skills and strategies.	3C.3. Provide face to face EOC sessions for ELL students. Promote use of FLVS Review Course.	3C.3. Math Teachers	3C.3. Monitoring results on Module Exams; Attendance log for EOC face to face sessions.	3C.3. Practice Test Results, Diagnostics

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

or improvement for the renowing subgroup.			
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3D:	Lower the SWD not passing the Algebra I EOC to 0.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
67% [2]	Lower the SWD not passing the Algebra I EOC to 0.		

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	3D.1. Time dedicated by student to lessons.	3D.1. Encourage summarizing concept in own words. Positively reinforce notetaking during discussion based assessments.	3D.1. Math Teachers.	3D.1. Ask students to read excerpts from notes during discussion based assessments.	3D.1. Discussion Based Assessments, Diagnostic Test Scores	
2	3D.2. Home support for students: discussing concepts, accessing materials.	3D.2. Provide student Elluminate sessions to give students extra support on lessons where needed. Contact students/ families regularly via teacher advisor to ensure access to technology and understanding of school support.	3D.2. Math Teachers, Team Leaders, Principal	3D.2. Monitor attendance records, classroom walkthroughs.	3D.2. Attendance, Diagnostic Test Scores, Discussion Based Assessments	
3	3D.3. Familiarity with testing format.	3D.3. Promote access to FLVS EOC Review Course for all students.		3D.3. Survey students and parents.	3D.3. Diagnostic Test Scores, Practice Test Scores.	

	l on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3E:			On the FY13 Alg	On the FY13 Algebra I EOC, at least 5% of Economically Disadvantaged Students will remain or become proficient in math.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
20%	20% [2]			On the FY13 Algebra I EOC, at least 5% of Economically Disadvantaged Students will remain or become proficient in math.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	3E.1. Students may have limited access to working technology.		3E.1. Principal and teachers	3E.1. Monitor pace and progress of course work.	3E.1. Educator grade book; VSA contact log	
2	3E.2. Students need extra time for reinforcement of skills and strategies.	3E.2. Provide face to face EOC sessions for students who are economically disadvantaged.	3E.2. Math Teachers	3E.2. Monitoring results on Module Exams; Attendance log for EOC face to face sessions.	3E.2. Practice Scores, Diagnostics	

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry. On the FY13 Geometry EOC, students passing the EOC will increase by at least 5%. Geometry Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: On the FY13 Geometry EOC, students passing the EOC ND will increase by at least 5%. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool Anticipated Barrier** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 1.1. Students need 1.1. Provide face to 1.1. Math 1.1. Monitoring results 1.1. Module and face Geometry EOC Teachers on Module Exams and extra time for Segment Test reinforcement of skills sessions for students in Inclusion Segment Exams; Scores and strategies. full time virtual Teachers Attendance log for EOC face to face sessions. program. 1.2. Students are not 1.2. Promote ePAT 1.2. Math 1.2. Student 1.2. Practice test Practice Test Sessions Teachers, familiar with testing participation in results and FLVS End of Course Inclusion format. Elluminate sessions. Teachers Review

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry. On the FY13 Geometry EOC, students passing the EOC will increase by at least 5%. Geometry Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: On the FY13 Geometry EOC, students passing the EOC ND will increase by at least 5%. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 1.1. Provide face to 1.1. Math 1.1. Monitoring results 1.1. Students need 1.1. Module and face Geometry EOC Teachers on Module Exams and Segment Test extra time for sessions for students in reinforcement of skills Inclusion Segment Exams; Scores and strategies. full time virtual Teachers Attendance log for EOC face to face sessions. program. 1.2. Students are not 1.2. Promote ePAT 1.2. Math 1.2. Student 1.2. Practice test Practice Test Sessions familiar with testing Teachers. participation in results and FLVS End of Course Inclusion format. Elluminate sessions. Review. Teachers

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by Geometry Goal #

Raise achievement levels by 1% each year and level achievement between all subgroups over the past year.

-

50%.		3A :			▼
Baseline data 2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	Raise achievem	Raise achievem	Raise achievem	Raise achievem	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making Keep student geometry scores among subgroups levels at satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Keep student geometry scores among subgroups levels at ND Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 3B.1. Students are 3B.1. Encourage 3B.1. Math 3B.1. Assess 3B.1. Discussion unfamiliar with vocabulary Teachers understanding of Based mathematical development and Inclusion vocabulary and terms Assessments, terminology used on the terminology awareness Teachers during Discussion Based Diagnostics, FAIR Geometry EOC. Test Scores Assessments. 3B.2. Students reading 3B.2. Provide student 3B.2. Math and 3B.2. Monitor objective 3B.2. Diagnostics, with Elluminate sessions Reading Teachers mastery, classroom FAIR Test Scores level is a barrier to walkthroughs. understanding to give students extra 2 support on real-world Geometry material. Inclusion mathematical Teachers application. 3B.3. Provide face to 3B.3. Students need 3B.3. Math 3B.3. Monitoring results 3B.3. FCAT extra time for face EOC sessions for Teachers on Module Exams; Explorer, Practice reinforcement of skills ELL students. Attendance log for EOC Test Results, and strategies. face to face sessions. Diagnostics

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for th		nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
			,	Ensure any ELLs entered into the program are making satisfactory progress in geometry.		
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	e:	
ND	ND			Ensure any ELLs entered into the program are making satisfactory progress in geometry.		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	3B.1. Students are unfamiliar with	3B.1. Encourage vocabulary	3B.1. Math Teachers	3B.1. Assess understanding of	3B.1. Discussion Based	

1	•	development and terminology awareness.	Teachers	during Discussion Based	Assessments, Diagnostics, FAIR Test Scores
2	3B.2. Students reading level is a barrier to understanding Geometry material.	with Elluminate sessions to give students extra	Reading Teachers	3B.2. Monitor objective mastery, classroom walkthroughs.	3B.2. Diagnostics, FAIR Test Scores
3	3B.3. Students need extra time for reinforcement of skills and strategies.	3B.3. Provide face to face EOC sessions for ELL students.		Attendance log for EOC	Explorer, Practice

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Lower the SWD not passing the Geometry EOC to 0. Geometry Goal #3D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: ND Lower the SWD not passing the Geometry EOC to 0. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring 3D.1. Time dedicated 3D.1. Encourage 3D.1. Math 3D.1. Ask students to 3D.1. Discussion by student to lessons. summarizing concept in Teachers read excerpts from Based own words. notes during discussion Assessments, based assessments. Diagnostic Test Positively reinforce Scores note-taking during discussion based assessments. 3D.2. Home support for 3D.2. Provide student 3D.2. Math 3D.2. Monitor 3D.2. Teachers, Team attendance records. Attendance, students: discussing Elluminate sessions to concepts, accessing Leaders, Principal classroom Diagnostic Test give students extra materials. support on lessons walkthroughs. Scores, where needed. Discussion Based Assessments 2 Contact students/ families regularly via teacher advisor to ensure access to technology and understanding of school support. 3D.3. Familiarity with 3D.3. Promote access 3D.3. Survey students 3D.3. Diagnostic 3D.3. RtI to FLVS EOC Review Test Scores, testing format. Facilitator, Math and parents. 3 Course for all students. Teachers Practice Test

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			
	On the FY13 Geometry EOC, at least 5% of Economically Disadvantaged Students will remain or become proficient in math.		
dedifietry doar # 3E.			

Scores.

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
ND				On the FY13 Geometry EOC, at least 5% of Economically Disadvantaged Students will remain or become proficient in math.		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	3E.1. Students may have limited access to working technology.	3E.1. Provide home computer for those students who qualify for free/reduced lunch.	3E.1. Principal and teachers.	3E.1. Monitor pace and progress of course work.	3E.1. Educator grade book; VSA contact log	
2	3.E3.Students need extra time for reinforcement of skills and strategies.	3E.2. Provide face to face EOC sessions for students who are economically disadvantaged.	3E.2. Math Teachers	3E.2. Monitoring results on Module Exams; Attendance log for EOC face to face sessions.	Scores,	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
District Math Meetings	6-12	District	Math Teachers	Bi-yearly	PD Implementation Plans	District Personnel/Principal
Franchise PD	6-12	Team Leader	Math Teachers	Ongoing	Walkthroughs	Principal

Mathematics Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
utoring session monies needed for students indicating a need to pass state and college readiness testing.	Tutoring Materials.	SAC Monies Per student	\$250.00
			Subtotal: \$250.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Headsets and microphones for Elluminate online tutoring sessions to help students needing help to pass state and college readiness tests.	Headsets and Microphones.	SAC Monies Per student	\$400.00
			Subtotal: \$400.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$650.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

1a	FCAT2.0: Students scor	ing at Achievement				
Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a:			On the FY13 S 1 percent.	On the FY13 Science FCAT 2.0, scores will increase by 1 percent.		
201	2 Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performand	ce:	
50%	[7]		On the FY13 S	Science FCAT 2.0, scores	will increase by	
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	1A.1. Students may skim lessons and miss Common Core concepts.	1A.1. Encourage note- taking by all students. Encourage summarizing concept in own words.	1A.1. Science Teachers		1A.1. Discussion Based Assessments, Module Tests, Diagnostic Test Scores, FCAT scores.	
	1A.2. Home support for students: discussing concepts, accessing materials.	1A.2. Provide student Elluminate sessions to give students extra support on lessons where needed.	1A.2. Science Teachers, Team Leaders, Juli Davis	1A.2. Monitor attendance records, classroom walkthroughs.	1A.2. Attendance, Diagnostic Test Scores, FCAT scores.	
2		Contact students/ families regularly via teacher advisor to ensure access to technology and understanding of school support.				
3	1A.3. Familiarity with testing format.	1A.3. Promote access to FCAT explorer for all students.		1A.3. Survey students and parents	1A.3. Diagnostic Test Scores, FCAT scores.	
4	1.1. Test anxiety may impede student performance.	1.1. Notice and comment on what the student knows in feedback. Promote practice of testing format.	1.1. Science Teachers	1.1.Student comfort level during DBA's (reflective of comfort with feedback and material.	1.1. DBA's, scores on Module tests: look for increasing % with increasing comfort level.	
5	1.2. Web format may lead students to skim instead of read for content.	1.2. Encourage note taking/ picture drawing of concepts. Summarize concepts in	1.2. Science Teachers	1.2. Ask about notes during DBA, Compare pre/ post test results.	passing score on	

own words.

	support (not in a	parent/guardian (s)	1.3. Ask student to "teach me" like he/ she	5
6		during monthly call: ask what we've been learning about in class. Encourage student to teach parent.	taught the parent. Listen for understanding.	scores.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Students scoring at levels 4, 5, or 6 will increase by 1 percent. Science Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Students scoring at levels 4, 5, or 6 will increase by 1 ND percent. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring 1B.1. Test anxiety may 1B.1. Notice and 1B.1. Science 1B.1. Student comfort 1B.1. DBA's, scores on Module impede student comment on what the Teachers level during DBA's performance. student knows in (reflective of comfort tests: look for feedback. with feedback and increasing % material. with increasing Promote practice of comfort level. testing format. 2.1. Graph and data 2.1. Include graphs 2.1. Curriculum 2.1. Score on data 2.1. Module tests, lab reports interpretation and data analysis in development interpretation the lessons as well as team (FLVS). questions. the assessments. 2.2.Collaborative 2.2. Science 2.2. Ask the students 2.2. Collabration 2.2. Scientific process project Teachers to go through the project 3 steps of the Scietific Method pertaining to group project.

	d on the analysis of stud in need of improvemen			Guiding Questions", ide	ntify and define	
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a:			Beach Virtual the 8th grade Students at all	On the FY13 Science FCAT 2.0, the percentage of Palm Beach Virtual students achieving above proficiency on the 8th grade Science FCAT will increase by 1%. Students at above proficiency levels will equal or exceed district levels.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
21%	21% [3]			On the FY13 Science FCAT 2.0, the percentage of Palm Beach Virtual students achieving above proficiency on the 8th grade Science FCAT will increase by 1%. Students at above proficiency levels will equal or exceed district levels.		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	2A.1 Complacency may prevent high achieving		2A.1 Guidance Science	2A.1 Students will maintain high grades	2A.1 Honors Assignments and	

1	students from taking the basic concepts to the next level.	increased rigor and critical thinking.	Teachers	and work ethics.	Assessments, Diagnostic Tests, FCAT Scores
2	2A.2 Working from a home environment, students may not get a feel for the scientific process.	participate in a collaborative project to	2A.2 Science Teachers	2A.2 Science Project	2A.2 Project Diagnostic Test FCAT Scores
3	2A.3. Graph and data interpretation.	5 1	2A.3. Curriculum development team (FLVS)	2A.3. Score on data interpretation questions	2A.3. Module tests, lab reports.

1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
Stud in sc	lorida Alternate Asses ents scoring at or abo ience. nce Goal #2b:			50% of students scoring at level 7 will remain in their level.		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expect	ed Level of Performand	ce:	
ND	ND			50% of students scoring at level 7 will remain in their level.		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stud	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	2B.1. Graph and data interpretation.	2B.1. Include graphs and data analysis in the lessons as well as the assessments.	2B.1. Curriculum development team (FLVS)	2B.1. Score on data interpretation questions.	2B.1. Module tests, lab reports.	
2	2B.2. Scientific Process	2B.2. Collaborative project	2B.2. Science Teachers	2B.2. Ask the students to go through the steps of the Scietific Method pertaining to group project.	2B.2. Collabration project	

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	Students scoring at levels 4, 5, or 6 will increase by 1 percent.			
Science Goal #1:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
ND	Students scoring at levels 4, 5, or 6 will increase by 1 percent.			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1.1. Test anxiety may impede student performance.		1.1. Science Teachers	1.1.Student comfort level during DBA's (reflective of comfort with feedback and material.	1.1. DBA's, scores on Module tests: look for increasing % with increasing comfort level.
2	1.2. Web format may lead students to skim instead of read for content.	1.2. Encourage note taking/ picture drawing of concepts. Summarize concepts in own words.	1.2. Science Teachers	1.2. Ask about notes during DBA, Compare pre/ post test results.	passing score on
3	1.3. Learning Coach support (not in a traditional classroom.)		1.3. Science Teachers	1.3. Ask student to "teach me" like he/ she taught the parent. Listen for understanding.	1.3. Passing module test scores.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring 50% of students scoring at level 7 will remain at their at or above Level 7 in science. level. Science Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 50% of students scoring at level 7 will remain at their ND Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 2.1. Graph and data 2.1. Include graphs 2.1. Score on data 2.1. Curriculum 2.1. Module interpretation and data analysis in development tests, lab reports interpretation the lessons as well as team (FLVS). questions. the assessments. 2.2.Collaborative 2.2. Scientific process 2.2. Science 2.2. Ask the students 2.2. Collabration project Teachers to go through the project 2 steps of the Scietific Method pertaining to group project.

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology.

On the FY13 Biology EOC, students passing the EOC at the district benchmark will exceed district average by 5%.

Biology Goal #1:

 $^{^{\}star}$ When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
ND				On the FY13 Biology EOC, students passing the EOC at the district benchmark will exceed district average by 5%.			
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	1.1. Students need extra time for reinforcement of skills and strategies	1.1. Provide lead up face to face and elluminate sessions for full time PBV students. Also promote prerecorded FLVS sessions on student's time.	1.1. Biology Teacher	quiz containing an EOC based question. Record			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology. Biology Goal #2:			Level 4 and 5 5%.	Level 4 and 5 scores will exceed district average by 5%.		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ce:	
ND			Level 4 and 5 5%.	Level 4 and 5 scores will exceed district average by 5%.		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	2.1. Student may miss deeper understanding of core concepts by skimming lessons.	2.1. Encourage note taking.	2.1.Biology Teacher	2.1. DBA's, module test, segment exams.	2.1. Ability to answer specific questions during DBA and Module tests.	
2	2.2. Students are not familiar with testing format.	2.2. Promote ePAT practice and FLVS practice test.	2.2. Biology Teacher	2.2.Participation in Elluminate.	2.2. Practice test results.	
3	2.3. Students may not apply concepts to applications beyond the lesson, missing connections.	2.3. All students participate in collaborative project. Asked during DBA to appy to real world/personal event.	2.3. Biology Teacher	2.3. Participation in collaboration; DBA.	2.3. Contribution to collaborative project, project grade, DBA responses.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
District Science Meetings	6-12	District	Science Teachers	Bi-yearly	PD Implementation	District Personnel
Franchise PD	6-12	Team Leader	Science Teachers	Ongoing	Walkthroughs	Principal

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		·	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

 $^{^*\} When\ using\ percentages,\ include\ the\ number\ of\ students\ the\ percentage\ represents\ (e.g.,\ 70\%\ (35)).$

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level3.0 and higher in writing.Writing Goal #1a:			Palm Beach Vir	Palm Beach Virtual students' proficient in writing with increase by at least 1 point.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
85% [22}				Palm Beach Virtual students' proficient in writing with increase by at least 1 point.		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
Anticipated Bar	rier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A.1. Many studer	its	1A.1. Hold preparation	1A.1. LLT	1A.1. Attendance at	1A.1. Scores on	

1	have transportation conflicts preventing them from writing preparation on-site.	sessions by Elluminate online sessions.		Palm Beach Writes sent from students after required prompts are sent to students.
	3	1A.2. Email and call parents about upcoming online sessions and upcoming Palm Beach Writes.	1A.2. Record of students returning Palm Beach Writes.	1A.2. Scores on FCAT Writes
3	1A.3. Students are busy trying to stay on pace in their classes and don't want to do Palm Beach Writes.	1A.3. Discuss the importance of Palm Beach Writes during online sessions and in emails. Send reminders.	1A.3. Record of students returning Palm Beach Writes.	1A.3. Scores on FCAT Writes

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.						
Writing Goal #1b:						
2012 Current Level of	Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
District PD	6-12	District	LLT Members		Writing Samples & Walkthroughs	Principal

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount			
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00			
			Subtotal: \$0.00			

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics. Inform parents and students that this year will be our first time to take social studies EOC. Civics Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 50% or more of students earn at least a level 3 on the ND baseline EOC. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 1.1. Students have 1.1. Teacher will 1.1. 1.1 Walkthroughs 1.1. Baseline never taken a social implement FLVS civics Principal/Teacher **EOCs** studies standardized curriculum and test in Florida. The protocols for student content must be achievement. FLVS aligned with the curriculum is aligned with state benchmarks. benchmarks. 1.2. Students may be 1.2. Teacher will create 1.2. 1.2. Walkthroughs 1.2 Civics EOCs Principal/Teacher unfamiliar with format a video tutorial on of social studies test necessary student preparation for the since it is new. EOC.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define are in need of improvement for the following group:				
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in Civics.Civics Goal #2:	Define Pilot Programs and educate both the parent and student on how this data will be used.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

1	ND			50% or more of baseline EOC.	50% or more of students earn at least a level 3 on the baseline EOC.		
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	1	2.1. Students have never taken a social studies standardized test in Florida. The content must be aligned with the benchmarks.	2.1. Teacher will implement FLVS civics curriculum and protocols for student achievement. FLVS curriculum is aligned with state benchmarks.	2.1. Principal/Teacher	2.1. Walkthroughs	2.1. Baseline EOCs	
- 4		2.2. Students may be unfamiliar with format of social studies test since it is new.	2.2. Teacher will create a video tutorial on necessary student preparation for the EOC.	2.2. Principal/Teacher	2.2. Walkthroughs	2.2. Civics EOCs	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
District PD	6-12	District	Social Studies Teachers	Bi-yearly	Implementation of Strategies	Principal

Civics Budget:

Evidence-based Progran	n(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-	-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History. Inform parents and students that this year will be our first time to take social studies EOC. U.S. History Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 50% or more of students earn at least a level 3 on the ND baseline EOC. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 1.1. Students have 1.1. Teacher will 1.1. U.S. History 1.1. Walkthroughs 1.1. History EOCs never taken a social implement FLVS civics Teacher/Principal studies standardized curriculum and test in Florida. The protocols for student content must be achievement. FLVS aligned with the curriculum is aligned benchmarks. with state benchmarks.

1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
4 and	udents scoring at or ald 5 in U.S. History. History Goal #2:	oove Achievement Leve	Define Pilot Pro	Define Pilot Programs and educate both the parent and student on how this data will be used.				
2012	? Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performanc	e:			
ND			50% or more of EOC.	50% or more of students earn level 4 or 5 on the baseline EOC.				
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	2.1. Students have never taken a social studies standardized test in Florida. The content must be aligned with the benchmarks.	2.1. Teacher will implement FLVS civics curriculum and protocols for student achievement. FLVS curriculum is aligned with state benchmarks.	2.1. U.S. History Teacher	2.1. Walkthroughs	2.1. Baseline EOCs			
2	2.2. Students may be unfamiliar with format of social studies test since it is new	2.2. Teacher will create a video tutorial on necessary student preparation for the EOC.	2.2. U.S. History Teacher/Principal	2.2. Walkthroughs	2.2. U.S. History EOC			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
District PD	11-12	District	All Social Studies Teachers	Bi-Yearly	Implementation	Principal

U.S. History Budget:

Evidence-based Progra			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
1. Attendance					
Attendance Goal #1:					
2012 Current Attendance Rate:	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:				
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive				

Absences (10 or more)			Absences (10 or more)		
			2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)		
	Problem-Solving P	Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Posit Resp			on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Su					

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
- 1	Virtual School NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis o of improvement:	ence	to "Guiding	Questions", identify a	nd define areas in need	
1. Suspension					
Suspension Goal #1:					
2012 Total Number of	In-School Suspensions		2013 Exp	ected Number of In-	School Suspensions
2012 Total Number of	Students Suspended In-So	chool	2013 Exp School	ected Number of Stu	dents Suspended In-
2012 Number of Out-c	of-School Suspensions		2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions		
2012 Total Number of School	Students Suspended Out-	of-	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Posi Resp for		Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
'			Submitted		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Virtual School NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(3)/ Waterial(3)		Aviallalala
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

Based on the analysis o in need of improvement:	f parent involvement data, a	and re	ference to	"Guiding Questions", idea	ntify and define areas	
Dropout Prevention Oronaut Prevention Co.						
Dropout Prevention Go	centage of students who		Virtual Sch	hool NA		
dropped out during the	O .					
2012 Current Dropout	Rate:		2013 Exp	ected Dropout Rate:		
NA			NA			
2012 Current Graduat	ion Rate:		2013 Expected Graduation Rate:			
NA			NA			
Problem-Solving Process to I			Increase Student Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
- 1	Virtual School NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Dropout Prevention Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
Strategy	Description of Resources		Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. Parent Involvement

Parent Involvement Goal #1:

*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.

Face to face orientation and parent support sessions are offered to ensure that parents understand both the student and learning coach roles in virtual education.

2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:

2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:

100%	6 of parents attend the F	2F sessions.	100% of paren	nts will attend ongoing F2	F sessions.
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1.1. Parents who do not closely monitor their children's time on academic coursework.	1.1. Modify the orientation sessions to more deeply address the day-to-day role of the learning coach/parent in the online learning environment. Follow-up with phone calls to parents whose students fall behind.	1.1. Principal and Asst. Principal		1.1. Learning Management System Data
2	1.2. Some parents may attend meetings, but need reinforcement on how to stay involved in monitoring their child's progress.	1.2.PD on Parental Involvement in virtual school settings.	1.2. Principal and Asst. Principal		1.2. Learning Management System Data

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Monthly Calls	6-12		Parents and Guardians		Survey Data From Parents	Principal
Parent Accounts	6-12	Team Leaders	Parents and Guardians		Survey Data From Parents	Principal
Student Monitoring	6-12		Parents and Guardians		Survey Data From Parents	Principal

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
1. ST	EM I Goal #1:		#1: Increase r fields.	#1: Increase number of students going into STEM related fields.			
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	1.1. Students may lack exposure to STEM fields.	1.1. Expose students to SCRIPPS Scientist during Brain Awareness Week and Loggerhead Marine Life Center.	1.1. Science Teachers	1.1. Determine effectiveness: student response to experience.			
2	interest in STEM fields. students during DBA's		1.2. Science Teachers	1.2. Student goal survey at end of the year.	1.2. Number of Students interested in STEM fields.		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
District Science PD	6-12	District	Science Teachers/Math	ТВА	Implementation of Strategies	Principal

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount			
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00			
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00			

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:							
			through guidar for college ent	Goal #1: Students are prepared for careers in all areas through guidance assistance related to testing needed for college entry and technical courses, Web Design, and Career and Technical Education.			
1. CT	E Goal #1:		100% of Web Design students will be able to create a website with 100% accuracy and 90% of the students in career and technical education will use Microsoft Office products with 100% Accuracy. CTE Goal #2: 80% of students taking preparatory tests will be for college ready in 2013.				
				er Education students will the Florida Choices Plant			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	1.1.Computers may not be able to work with required Adobe software because it does not meet their equipment requirements and/or student may not own Microsoft Office software.	instructed to borrow a computer that will be able to run the software or purchase more RAM to run the	1.1. Janel Holley, Mary Ann Fornataro	1.1. Monitoring of progress in Web Design and Career Education courses.	1.1. Final Exams from Courses and Monitoring Records		
2	1.2. Students may need extra help to pass the tests.	1.2. Students who show a need for extra help on passing the tests will be put into a supplemental program: e2020.	1.2. Janel Holley, Mary Ann Fornataro	1.2. College Readiness Scores for FY2013.	1.2. College Readiness Scores		
	1.3. Students have	1.3. Instructor	1.3. Janel Holley,	1.3. Monitoring of	1.3. Florida		

	difficulty setting up	conducts one on one	Mary Ann	progress in the Florida	Choices Planners
2	their accounts for the	Elluminate sessions to	Fornataro	Choices Planners.	
3	Florida Choices Planner.	help those students			
		with the Florida Choices			
		Planner registration.			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Course Session PD for Career Readingness provided by Online FLVS	11-12	FLVS	Mary Ann Fornataro Beverly Ciotti Cynthia Schaub	Fall Spring	VSA	Teachers/Guidance
Elluminates. e2020 Training	10-12	Heidi Putre	All Teachers	Ongoing		All Teachers Guidance Heidi Putre Principal

CTE Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Additional Goal(s)

"Single School Culture and appreciation for multicultural diversity."

Respect all students regardless of race, ethnicity, age, gender, religious affiliation, language, disability, or any other cultural identities they may have. The same is true for parents. The school's mission is to maintain the integrity of a single school culture by all administrators, teachers, and staff. Goal:

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of "Single School Culture and appreciation for multicultural diversity."

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Progi	ram(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Tutoring session monies needed for students indicating a need to pass state and college readiness testing.	Tutoring Materials.	SAC Monies Per Student	\$250.00
Mathematics	utoring session monies needed for students indicating a need to pass state and college readiness testing.	Tutoring Materials.	SAC Monies Per student	\$250.00
				Subtotal: \$500.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Headsets and microphones needed for Elluminate online tutoring sessions.	Headsets and microphones.	SAC Monies Per Student	\$400.00
Mathematics	Headsets and microphones for Elluminate online tutoring sessions to help students needing help to pass state and college readiness tests.	Headsets and Microphones.	SAC Monies Per student	\$400.00
				Subtotal: \$800.00
Professional Developr	ment			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
				Grand Total: \$1,300.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority	jn Focus	jn Prevent	j ∩ NA	
-------------	----------	------------	---------------	--

Are you a reward school: † Yes † No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded ${\sf A}.$

No Attachment (Uploaded on 9/24/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business

and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
The SAC committee approved the use of past funds at the April, 2012 meeting for headsets and microphones, as well as tutoring materials for future students in need of extra help to pass state and college readiness testing.	\$1,300.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The SAC will met in September. They will meet in November, 2012, January 2013, March 2013, and May 2013. They reviewed and approved the Bylaws and the School Improvement Plan, discussed the Sunshine Law, the Vision and Mission Statements of the School, as well as discussed the budget from last year, budgets in general at a small school, the purpose of a SIP, and the goals of the School Advisory Council. The board and members were elected, nominated, and approved, minutes were taken, and future meetings were agreed upon by all. In future meetings, discussions will take place centered around issues related to the improvement of academic achievement of the students in attendance at the school.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Palm Beach School District PALM BEACH VIRTUAL FRANCHISE 2010-2011						
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	74%	81%	92%	58%		Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	71%	47%			118	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	71% (YES)	47% (NO)			118	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					541	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					В	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

No Data Found