# FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: ROOSEVELT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Palm Beach

Principal: Glenda Garrett, Ed.D

SAC Chair:

Superintendent: Wayne Gent

Date of School Board Approval: December 2012

Last Modified on: 9/6/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
		Doctorate in			2008 FCAT- AYP NOT met/C 3rd grade-52% proficient in Reading, 63% proficient in Math 4th grade- 43% proficient in Reading, 50% proficient in Math & 76% proficient in Writing 5th grade- 58% proficient in Reading, 53% proficient in Math & 27% proficient in Science  2009 FCAT AYP NOT met/C 3rd grade- 57% proficient in Reading, 66% proficient in Math 4th grade- 53% proficient in Reading, 53% proficient in Reading, 53% proficient in Math & 90% proficient in Writing 5th grade- 42% proficient in Reading, 40 % proficent in Math & 16% proficient in Science

Principal	Dr. Glenda Garrett	Educational Leadership, Nova Southeastern University  Master's Educational Leadership and Reading, Nova Southeastern University Bachelor of Science Degree, Florida Atlantic University	8	19	2010 FCAT AYP NOT met/D 3rd grade- 42% proficient in Reading, 65% proficient in Math 4th grade- 61% proficient in Reading, 52% proficient in Math, 97% proficient in Writing 5th grade- 48% proficient in Reading, 40% proficient in Math & 32% proficient in Science  2011 FCAT AYP NOT met/C 3rd grade- 41% proficient in Reading,66% proficient in Math 4th grade- 42% proficient in Reading,53% proficient in Math,97% proficient in Writing 5th grade- 52% proficient in Reading,46% proficient in Math & 36% proficient in Science  2012 FCAT 2.0 I-data not available 3rd grade- 25% proficient in Reading, Math data not available 4th grade- 38% proficient in Reading, 37% proficient in Math & 89% proficient in Writing 5th grade 36% proficient in Reading, 24% proficient in Math & 20% proficient in Science
Assis Principal	Sharonda Alleyne	Bachelor of Science and Masters of Science Degrees in Elementary Education, Florida A & M Educational Leadership Certificate, Florida Atlantic University Certified in English as a Second Language (ESOL).	13	4	2008 FCAT- AYP NOT met/C 3rd grade-52% proficient in Reading, 63% proficient in Math 4th grade- 43% proficient in Reading, 50% proficient in Math & 76% proficient in Writing 5th grade- 58% proficient in Reading, 53% proficient in Math & 27% proficient in Science  2009 FCAT AYP NOT met/C 3rd grade- 57% proficient in Reading, 66% proficient in Math 4th grade- 53% proficient in Reading, 66% proficient in Math 4th grade- 53% proficient in Reading, 40 % proficient in Writing 5th grade- 42% proficient in Reading, 40 % proficient in Math & 16% proficient in Science *Improved FCAT Writes scores *Reduced suspension rate  2010 FCAT AYP NOT met/D 3rd grade- 42% proficient in Reading, 65% proficient in Math 4th grade- 61% proficient in Reading, 52% proficient in Math 4th grade- 61% proficient in Reading, 40% proficient in Math 4th grade- 48% proficient in Reading, 40% proficient in Math 4th grade- 48% proficient in Reading, 40% proficient in Math 4th grade- 41% proficient in Reading, 53% proficient in Math 4th grade- 41% proficient in Reading, 53% proficient in Math 4th grade- 42% proficient in Reading, 53% proficient in Math 4th grade- 42% proficient in Reading, 66% proficient in Math 4th grade- 42% proficient in Reading, 46% proficient in Math 4th grade- 52% proficient in Reading, 46% proficient in Math 4th grade- 52% proficient in Reading, 46% proficient in Math 4th grade- 52% proficient in Reading, 46% proficient in Math 4th grade- 52% proficient in Reading, 46% proficient in Math 56% proficient in Reading, 46% proficient in Math 57% proficient in Reading, 46% proficient in Mat

			38% proficient in Reading, 37% proficient in Math & 89% proficient in Writing 5th grade 36% proficient in Reading, 24% proficient in Math & 20% proficient in Science
--	--	--	---

### **INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Joy Siedman	Masters Degree in Reading, Rutgers University  BA in Psychology& Teacher Certification, Rutgers University  *Reading Certified  *Reading Recovery Certified	13	11	2008 FCAT- AYP NOT met/C 3rd grade-52% proficient in Reading 4th grade- 43% proficient in Reading 5th grade- 58% proficient in Reading 2009 FCAT AYP NOT met/C 3rd grade- 57% proficient in Reading 4th grade- 53% proficient in Reading 4th grade- 53% proficient in Reading 5th grade- 42% proficient in Reading 2010 FCAT AYP NOT met/D 3rd grade- 42% proficient in Reading 4th grade- 61% proficient in Reading 5th grade- 48% proficient in Reading 2011 FCAT AYP NOT met/C 3rd grade- 41% proficient in Reading 4th grade- 42% proficient in Reading 5th grade- 42% proficient in Reading 2012 FCAT 2.0 1-data not available 3rd grade- 25% proficient in Reading 4th grade- 38% proficient in Reading 4th grade- 38% proficient in Reading 4th grade- 38% proficient in Reading 4th grade- 36% proficient in Reading 4th grade-
					2008 FCAT- AYP NOT met/C 3rd grade-63% proficient in Math 4th grade- 50% proficient in Math 5th grade- 53% proficient in Math 2009 FCAT AYP NOT met/C

Math Coach	Jacqueline Thomas- Baker	*Masters Degree in Elementary Education	13	8	3rd grade- 66% proficient in Math 4th grade- 53% proficient in Math 5th grade- 40% proficent in Math  2010 FCAT AYP NOT met/D 3rd grade- 65% proficient in Math 4th grade- 52% proficient in Math 5th grade- 40% proficient in Math 5th grade- 40% proficient in Math 5th grade- 40% proficient in Math 2011 FCAT AYP NOT met/C 3rd grade- 66% proficient in Math 4th grade- 53% proficient in Math 5th grade- 46% proficient in Math 5th grade- Math data not available 4th grade- 37% proficient in Math 5th grade 24% proficient in Math Outstanding Math Teacher Bonus FY 01-02 83% Learning Gains
------------	--------------------------------	--	----	---	--

### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Continuous Professional Development & Support	Principal, AP, Professional Development Team	ongoing-June 2013	
2	Mentoring for new teachers	AP, mentor teacher	ongoing-June 2013	
3	Hire HQ Teachers & Para Professionals	Administration	ongoing-June 2013	

### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
0% [0] teachers received less than an effective rating.	Professional Development in content areas and Marzano Teacher Evaluation will be provided. Modeling and in-class support will be provided by Coaches and Resource Teachers

### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
37	2.7%(1)	27.0%(10)	29.7%(11)	40.5%(15)	43.2%(16)	0.0%(0)	0.0%(0)	2.7%(1)	91.9%(34)

### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale	Planned Mentoring
	Assigned	for Pairing	Activities
Christina Williams			

### ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

### Coordination and Integration

#### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

The Title I School Improvement Grant allowed Roosevelt Elementary to provide before and after-school tutorial services in the areas of reading and math for our predicted level 1 and 2 students in grades 3-5. We were also able to purchase a Math Coach & Reading Coach to support the teachers & provide professional development. Our Community Resource Person works with our parents, volunteers and business partners to increase student achievement.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

N/A

Title I, Part D

N/A

Title II

N/A

Title III

N/A

Title X- Homeless

We adhere to the policies set by the district.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI funds will be used for remediation of Level 1 students in grades 2&3.

Violence Prevention Programs

**Bullying Hotline** 

Single School Culture and Appreciation for Multicultural Diversity.

**Nutrition Programs** 

FAU partnership, breakfast pilot initiative through School Food Services

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

Partnership with P.B.C. Head Start/Early Head Start

Adult Education

N/A

Career and Technical Education

N/A

Job Training

N/A

Other

Required Instruction Listed in FL Statute 1003.42(2), as applicable to appropriate grade levels.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

-School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

The school based RtI Leadership Team is comprised of the following members: principal, assistant principal, ESE contact, ELL contact, school psychologist, classroom teacher(s), reading & math coaches, and guidance counselor.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The school-based RtI Leadership Team will meet regularly to review universal screening data, diagnostic data, and progress monitoring data. Based on this information, the team will identify the professional development activities needed to create effective learning environments. After determining that effective Tier 1- Core Instruction is in place, the team will identify students who are not meeting identified academic targets. The identified students will be referred to the school-based RtI Leadership Team.

The SBT will use the Problem Solving Model\* to conduct all meetings. Based on data and discussion, the team will identify students who are in need of additional academic and/or behavioral support (supplemental or intensive). An intervention plan will be developed (PBCSD Form 2284) which identifies a student's specific areas of deficiencies and appropriate research-based interventions to address these deficiencies. The team will ensure the necessary resources are available and the intervention is implemented with fidelity. Each case will be assigned a case liaison to support the interventionist (e.g., teacher, guidance counselor) and report back on all data collected for further discussion at future meetings.

\* Problem Solving Model

The four steps of the Problem Solving Model are:

Problem Identification entails identifying the problem and the desired behavior for the student.

Problem Analysis involves analyzing why the problem is occurring by collecting data to determine possible causes of the identified problem.

Intervention Design & Implementation involves selecting or developing evidence-based interventions based upon data previously collected. These interventions are then implemented.

Evaluating is also termed Response-to-Intervention. In this step, the effectiveness of a student's or group of students' response to the implemented intervention is evaluated and measured.

The problem solving process is self-correcting, and, if necessary, recycles in order to achieve the best outcomes for all students. Specifically, both legislative actions support all students achieving benchmarks regardless of their status in general or special education.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Members of the school-based Rtl Leadership Team will meet with the School Advisory Council (SAC) and will help develop the SY13 SIP. Utilizing the previous year's data, information on Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 targets and focus attention on deficient areas will be discussed.

Topics for discussion include, but are not limited to, the following:

FCAT scores and the lowest 25%

strengthens and weaknesses of intensive programs

mentoring, tutoring, a	nd other services.
/ITSS I mplementati	on-
escribe the data sourcescribe the data sourcescribe.	e(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics havior.
Baseline data:	
Florida Comprehensiv	e Assessment Test (FCAT 2.0)
Curriculum Based Mea	
	Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
Palm Beach County Fa	Il Diagnostics
Palm Beach Writes	
K-4 Literacy Assessme	
Diagnostic Assessmen	
	h Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)
Office Discipline Referr	als
Retentions Absences	
rosences	
Midyear data:	
Diagnostic Assessmen	t for Reading (DAR)
Palm Beach County W	
Palm Beach Writes	
K-4 Literacy Assessme	nt System
Curriculum Based Mea	surement
Florida Assessment for	Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
Office Discipline Referr	als
Retentions	
Absences	
and of year date.	
End of year data:	Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
FCAT Writes	mistraction in reading (FAIR)
C-4 Literacy Assessment	nt System
Office Discipline Referr	
Retentions	
Absences	
escribe the plan to tra	in staff on MTSS.
·	es ,through the district, will include, but are not limited to, the following:
Problem Solving Mode	
consensus building	
Positive Behavioral In	ervention and Support (PBIS)
data-based decision-r	naking to drive instruction
progress monitoring	
	ity of research-based interventions
ools utilized to identif	y specific discrepancies in reading.
ndividual professiona	I development will be provided to classroom teachers, as needed.
	. MTGG
escribe the plan to sup	oport MTSS.

### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Team members include:

Dr. Glenda Garrett, Principal

Sharonda Alleyne, Assistant Principal

Joy Siedman, Reading Coach

Sandra Murph, SAI Teacher

Beverly Orth-Guidance Counselor

Paula McClease-VE Teacher

Marion Hake, Rosalind Simmons Primary Grades Team Leaders

Nikita Young, Willie Rhymes, -Intermediate Grades Team Leaders

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The adminstration team meets with the LLT once a month. Agenda topics include the discussion of the team's goals and progress, data analysis, as well as identification of new strategies and activities to implement. As additional needs and concerns arise, the LLT investigates the concern, studies and plans a course of action, shares the action with the staff, implements the action, analyzes its effectiveness, and reflects on the process.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Initiatives are based on our school's data and needs assessments. These include increasing students' vocabulary, building reading stamina and utilizing higher order thinking skills and questioning.

#### Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

No Attachment

#### \*Elementary Title | Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Roosevelt Elementary School cooperates with preschool programs by disseminating information to the students. A Kindergarten Round-up is held in the spring for all parents and students who will be attending Roosevelt Elementary School. The Kindergarten Round-up helps parents with the registration process, provides information on shots needed to register for school, how to get birth certificates if needed, and health exams. Common Core Standards for Kindergarten are addressed, preparatory materials are shared with parents and a tour of the school, including the Kindergarten classrooms, is given.

All incoming Kindergarten students are assessed upon entering Kindergarten using several measurements of readiness, including FLKRS and the Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System. These assessments are used in order to ascertain individual and group needs and to assist in the development of appropriate, differentiated instruction/intervention programs.

#### \*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

### \*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

idents' course of	study is personally meaningful?			
ostsecondary T	ansition			
te: Required for H	igh School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.			
scribe strategies edback Report	or improving student readiness for	the public postseconda	ry level based on annual an	alysis of the <u>High Scho</u>

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

### Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.

Reading Goal #1a:

In grades 3-5, 25% of the students will score Level 3 in Reading on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

19% (37)

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Increasing Higher Order Thinking Skills	Use Webb's Depth of Knowledge to vary levels of questioning Attend local & national Reading Conferences	Administration & Reading Coach	walkthroughs,anecdotal records, assessments	Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR and classroom assessments
2	Incorporate Best Practices/Fideltiy of 90 Minute Block (K-12 Comprehensive Plan)	Reading Coach, Administration and other district personnel will assist with planning, time management,modeling lessons, analyzing data and providing professional development for teachers.	Administration & Reading Coach, Learning Team Facilitator	Walkthroughs,master schedule, lesson plans, anchor charts	Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR and classroom assessments
3	Building Reading Stamina & Fluency	The Daily 5 & Reading Counts Program for Independent Reading, Reading A-Z and Raz Kids for fluency	Administration, Reading Coach, paraprofessional	walkthroughs,reading logs,conference notes	Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR, Reading Counts Quizzes and classroom assessments
4	Bi-Weekly Progress Monitoring	Benchmark Focus calendar with biweekly assessments	Administration & Reading Coach	_	Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR and classroom/benchmark assessments
5	Increase Instructional Time	Extended day learning opportunities and enrichment activies will be provided for students based upon need	administration	walkthroughs, lesson plans, anecdotal records	Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR and classroom assessments
6					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.

10% of students in grades 3-5 taking the Florida Alternate

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Read	ling Goal #1b:		Assessment in F	Assessment in Reading in 2013 will score at levels 4, 5 and 6.			
2012	Current Level of Perforr	mance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
0% (	0)		10% ( 1 )	10% (1)			
	Pr	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	Increase Student Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Increasing Basic Skills	Administration, ESE contact	walkthroughs, lesson plans	work samples, classroom assessments			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. In grades 3-5, 20% of the students will achieve Level 4 and above in Reading on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test Reading Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 15% (29) 20% (33) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Strategy Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Use Webb's Depth of Diagnostics, FAIR, RRR Increasing Higher Order Administration & walkthroughs, anecdotal Thinking Skills Knowledge to vary levels Reading Coach records, assessments and classroom of questioning assessments Increasing Enrichment Extended day learning administration walkthroughs, lesson Diagnostics, FAIR, RRR Opportunities opportunities plans, anecdotal records and classroom and enrichment activies assessments 2 will be provided for students based upon need

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading.

Reading Goal #2b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

80% of students in Grades 3-5 taking the Florida Alternate Assessment in Reading in 2013 will score Level 7 and Above Reading Goal #2b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

80% of students in Grades 3-5 taking the Florida Alternate Assessment in Reading in 2013 will score Level 7 and Above Reading Goal #2b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

75% (6)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	O O	J 1		plans	work samples, classroom assessment

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need							
	provement for the following						
3a. F	CAT 2.0: Percentage of	students making learnin	g				
gains	in reading.			, 70% of the students will			
Read	ing Goal #3a:		in Reading on	the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Readin	ig Test		
2012	Current Level of Perform	mance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performance	:		
NG (r	no grade/data)		70% (114)	70% (114)			
	Р	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Increasing InstructionalTime	Extended day learning opportunities and enrichment activies will be provided for students based upon need	administration	walkthroughs, lesson plans, anecdotal records	Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR and classroom assessments		
2	Increasing Higher Order Thinking Skills	Use Webb's Depth of Knowledge to vary levels of questioning	Administration & Reading Coach	walkthroughs,anecdotal records, assessments	Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR and classroom assessments		
3	Increased Reading Stamina	Daily 5 implementation in all K-5 classrooms	Administration & Reading Coach	walkthroughs, lesson plans, reading journals	Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR and classroom assessments		

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		efere	ence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:				20% of students in grades 3-5 will make learning gains in Reading on the Florida Alternate Assessment 2012.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
12% (1)				20% (1)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to I r	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
			Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Increasing Basic Skills Small group and 1 on 1 Adn		minsitration & E contact	walkthroughs, lesson plans	work samples, classroom assessments		

				ent data, and	refere	nce to "Guidir	ng Ques	stions", identify and	I define areas in need
4. FC maki	AT 2.0: F	nt for the follow Percentage of ing gains in re #4:	students in I	Lowest 25%		70% of the lowest 25% will make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test			
2012	2 Current	Level of Perf	formance:		2	2013 Expecte	ed Leve	el of Performance	:
NG (r	NG (no grade/no data)				-7	70% (25)			
			Problem-So	Iving Process	toIn	crease Stude	ent Ach	nievement	
	Antic			Res	Person or Position ponsible for lonitoring		rocess Used to Determine fectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Increasir Instructi	ng onalTime	opportuniti and enrich will be	opportunities and enrichment activies will be provided for students		nistration	walkthroughs, lesson plans, anecdotal records		Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR and classroom assessments
2	Increasir Stamina	ng Reading		lementation in		ministration & walkthroughs, lesso ading Coach plans, reading journ			Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR and classroom assessments
3	3		1	nistration & ing Coach	walkth plans	roughs, lesson	Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR and classroom assessments		
		itious but Achi		Measurable O		ves (AMOs), A	MO-2,	Reading and Math F	Performance Target
Meas	urable Ok ol will red	ojectives (AMO uce their achie	s). In six year	5A :					<u>^</u>
	line data 0-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-20	14	2014-20	)15	2015-2016	2016-2017

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.

Reading Goal #5B:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

40%()

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Increasing InstructionalTime	Extended day learning opportunities and enrichment activies (tutoring) will be provided for students based upon need		walkthroughs, lesson plans, anecdotal records	Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR and classroom assessments	
2			Adminsitration & Reading Coach	walkthroughs, lesson plans	Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR and classroom assessments	
3	Building Reading Stamina	Book study- The Daily 5	Adminsitration, Reading Coach,PD Team	walkthroughs, lesson plans	Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR and classroom assessments	

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fol		a, and refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Questions", ident	ify and define areas in need
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			Not a subgroup		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Ехр	ected Level of Perforr	nance:
Not a subgroup			Not a subgroup		
	Problem-Solving Pr	rocess to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Pers Posi Resp for Mon		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

	on the analysis of studer provement for the followin		refer	ence to "Guidir	ng Questions", identify and	d define areas in need
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D:				60% of SWD in grades 3-5 will be proficient in Reading on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading		
2012	Current Level of Perfor	mance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
57% (34)				60% ()		
	Р	roblem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Using Differentiated Instruction with Fidelity	daily small groups and centers built upon		ninistration & ding Coach	walkthroughs, lesson plans	Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR and classroom

		student needs		assessments
2	Increasing Instructional Time	Extended day learning opportunities and enrichment activies will be provided for students based upon need	plans	Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR and classroom assessments

	d on the analysis of studer provement for the followin	nt achievement data, and g subgroup:	referen	ce to "Guidir	ng Questions", identify ar	nd define areas in need
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5E:				40% of economically disadvantaged students will score proficient in Reading on the 2013 Reading FCAT 2.0.		
2012	Current Level of Perfor	mance:	20	013 Expecte	ed Level of Performanc	e:
36% (69)				40% ()		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	P Resp	erson or osition onsible for onitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Increasing Instructional Time	Extended day learning opportunities and enrichment activies will be provided for students based upon need	admini	stration	walkthroughs, lesson plans	Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR and classroom assessments
2	Using Differentiated Instruction with Fidelity	build small groups and Adm		stration & g Coach	walkthroughs, lesson plans	Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR and classroom assessments

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)		Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Reading Conferences- IRA,FRA, ASCD, Model schools, FASA, NABSE and other Reading conferences	K-5	conterence	K-5 teachers as determined by Administration	Sept2012-May 2013	share information at PDD and faculty meetings	Administration
Data Analysis and Differentiated Instruction	K-5	Reading Coach & LTF	school-wide	Sept 2012-May 2013	data review	Administration
The Daily 5	K-5	PD Team	school-wide	preschool & PDDs	classroom walkthroughs, lesson plans	Administration & Reading Coach
RRR training						

LLI (Leveled Literacy Intervention)	K-5	district staff	school-wide	summer & fall 2012	instructional	Administration & Reading Coach
training						

### Reading Budget:

			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Classroom Libraries	books, baskets, labels	Title I	\$1,000.00
Consumables	Florida Ready, Pathways	Title I	\$1,000.00
			Subtotal: \$2,000.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Data Analysis/Classroom Instruction	ink, mobis, printers, headphones, Reading A-Z softwear license	Title I	\$3,000.00
Increase reading fluency	Reading A-Z & Raz Kids subsciptions	Title I	\$1,000.00
			Subtotal: \$4,000.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading Conferences-IRA,FRA, ASCD, Model schools, FASA, NABSE and other Reading conferences	registration, hotel, per diem, airfare	Title I	\$10,000.00
On model lessons, conference with teachers, data analysis, PD	Reading Coach	Title I CRRP	\$33,794.00
			Subtotal: \$43,794.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Supplies	paper, pencils, chart, paper, journals, poster paper, laminating film, highlighters, markers, FCAT prep materials	Title I	\$3,000.00
Stipends for teachers	Tutorial & Bootcamp	Title I	\$5,000.00
Substitutes	Substitutes for teachers to attend professional development including LLI, RRR and other Reading trainings	Title I	\$2,046.00
			Subtotal: \$10,046.00
			Grand Total: \$59,840.00

End of Reading Goals

### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

 $^*\ \textit{When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., \ 70\% \ (35)).}$ 

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

40% of ELL students will score proficient in listening and speaking on the 2013 CELLA.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

33% (9)

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Increase Listening & Speaking Proficiency	Daily 5 Listen to Reading & read to Someone to build fluency.	Administration,ELL contact, reading Coach	anecdotal records	student conferences	

Stud	Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.					
				25% of ELL students will score proficient in reading on the 2013 CELLA.		
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:						
22% (6)						
	Pr	oblem-Solving Proces	s to Increase Stu	udent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Building Reading Stamina	ding Reading The Daily 5 & Reading Admi		walkthroughs,reading logs,conference notes	Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR, Reading Counts, Classroom Assessments	
2	Increased Instructional Extended day learning Admin opportunities		Adminsitration	walkthroughs,lesson plans,anecdotal records	Diagnostics,FAIR,RRR, Reading Counts, Classroom Assessments	

Stude	ents write in English at gr	ade level in a manner sir	milar to non-ELL stu	udents.		
			20% of EII of s 2013 CELLA.	20% of EII of students will score proficient in writing on 2013 CELLA.		
2012	2 Current Percent of Stu	dents Proficient in wri	ting:			
15%						
	Prol	olem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Writing with focus, organization and details	Writer's Workshop, North Area Writing Program	Administration, ELL contact, district writing resource teacher	writing samples	Palm Beach Writes, daily writing	
	Increased Instructional	Extended day learning	Administration,	walk throughs, lesson	Palm Beach	

	Time	opportunities	ELL	plans, writing samples	Writes, daily
2		and enrichment activies	contact, district		writing
_		will be	writing resource		
		provided for students	teacher		
		based upon need			

### CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

### **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. In grades 3-5, 25% of the students will achieve Level 3 on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test. Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 18% (35) proficient 25%(41) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Bi-Weekly Progress Benchmark Focus administration & diagnostics, student data chats/logs Monitoring Calendar & biweekly Math Coach classroom/benchmark assessments assessments Problem Solving Administration & Go Math program, use of walkthroughs, anecdotal Diagnostics, classroom Strategies mobi. Acaletics. Reflex Math Coach records and assessments assessments Math.attend local & national Math Conferences Increasing Instructional Extended day learning Administration walkthroughs, lesson Diagnostics, classroom Time opportunities plans, assessments and enrichment activies anecdotal records 3 will be provided for students based upon need Using Differentiated small group instruction Adminsitration & walkthroughs, lesson Diagnostics, classroom and learning centers Instruction with Fidelity Math Coach plans, assessments anecdotal records Incorporating Best Math resource adminsitration. walkthroughs, lesson Diagnostics, Practices with Fidelity teacher/Math Coach will Math Coach, Math plans classroom model Resource Teacher, assessments 5 lessons, analyze data Learning Team and provide Facilitator professional development for staff

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b:	40% of students in grades 3-5 will score at levels 4,5, and on the Mathematics portion of the Florida Alternate Assessment in 2013.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
38% (3)	40% (2)			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Person or Process Used to			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1	!	ESE contact	plans	work samples, classroom assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. In grades 3-5, 18% of students will achieve Level 4 or above in Mathematics on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Math test Mathematics Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 11% (21) 18% (29) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy small group instruction Increasing administration walkthroughs, lesson Diagnostics, classroom Enrichment Activities for enrichment plans assessments Increasing Instructional Extended day learning administration walkthroughs, lesson Diagnostics, Time opportunities classroom plans and enrichment activies assessments 2 will be provided for students based upon need

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in 65% of students in grades 3-5 will score at Level 7 or above mathematics. in Mathematics on the Florida Alternate Assessment. Mathematics Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 62% (5) 65% (4) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring Increase basic math skills Hands On practice in Administration & walkthroughs, lesson work samples, ESE contact small group using the plans classroom Equals program assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.

Math	nematics Goal #3a:		on the 2012 FC	on the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test			
2012	2 Current Level of Perfor	mance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance	:		
NG-n	o grade or data		70% (114)	70% (114)			
	Р	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Using Differentiated Instruction with Fidelity	small group instruction for Math and differentiated learning centers	administration & Math Coach	walkthroughs, lesson plans	Diagnostics, classroom assessments		
2	Increasing Instructional Time	Extended day learning opportunities and enrichment activies will be provided for students based upon need	adminsitration	walkthroughs, lesson plans	Diagnostics, classroom assessments		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in 65% of students in grades 3-5 will make learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics on the Florida Alternate Assessment 2013. Mathematics Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 62% (5) 65% (4) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy walkthroughs, lesson Increase Basic Skills in Hands On practice in Adminsitration & work samples & ESE contact Math small group and 1on1 plans classroom using the Equals program assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #4:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

NG-no grade/no data

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Using Differentiated Instruction with Fidelity	small group instruction in math	administration & Math Coach	walkthroughs, lesson plans	Diagnostics, classroom assessments
2	Increasing Instructional Time	Extended day learning opportunities and enrichment activies will be provided for students based upon need	adminsitration	walkthroughs,lesson plans	Diagnostics, classroom assessments

Base	d on Amb	itious but Achi	evable Annual	Measurable C	Objectiv	res (AMOs), A	MO-2, I	Reading and Math	Performance Target
				Elementary \$	School	Mathematics	Goal #		
Meas	urable Ob ol will red	but Achievable ojectives (AMO: uce their achie	s). In six year	5A :					A
	eline data 0-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-20	)14	2014-2	015	2015-2016	2016-2017
		analysis of student for the follow			refere	nce to "Guidi	ng Ques	tions", identify an	d define areas in need
Hisp satis	anic, Asia sfactory p	subgroups by an, American progress in m Goal #5B:	Indian) not n		þ				ill make satisfactory CAT 2.0 Mathematics
2012	2 Current	Level of Perf	ormance:		2	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
NG-n	o grade/r	no data			7	70% (114)			
			Problem-Sol	lving Process	stoIn	crease Stud	ent Ach	ilevement	
	Antici	pated Barrier	Stra	ategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			ocess Used to Determine ectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Increasir Time	g Instructiona	opportunitie	es nent activies II be r students	administration		walkthr plans	oughs, lesson	Diagnostics, classroom assessments
2	Problem strategie		Problem Sol Strategies u Math! progr	ısing Go	Admin Math	istration & Coach		oughs, anecdotal and assessments	Diagnostics,classroom assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:				
	In grades 3-5, 70% of ELL students will make satisfactory progress in Mathematics on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics			
Mathematics Goal #5C:	Test			

2012	Current Level of Perform	mance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
NG-no	o grade/no data		70%	70%		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Problem Solving strategies	Problem Solving Strategies using Go Math! program	Administration & Math Coach	walkthroughs, lesson plans	Diagnostics, classroom assessments	
2	Increasing Instructional Time	Extended day learning opportunities and enrichment activies (tutoring) will be provided for students based upon need	Administration & Math Coach	walkthroughs, lesson plans	Diagnostics, classroom assessments	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. In grades 3-5, 70% SWD will make satisfactory progress in Mathematics on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test Mathematics Goal #5D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: NG-no grade/no data 70% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Using Differentiated small groups in math administration & walkthroughs, lesson Diagnostics, Instruction with Fidelity instruction Math Coach plans classroom assessments Increasing Instructional Extended day learning administration walkthroughs, lesson Diagnostics, Time opportunities plans classroom and enrichment activies assessments 2 (tutoring) will be provided for students based upon need

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5E:	In grades 3-5, 70% of Economically Disadvantaged students will make satisfactory progress in Mathematics on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
NG-no grade/no data	70%				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Problem Solving strategies	Problem Solving Strategies using Go Math! program	administration & Math Coach	1 ,	Diagnostics, classroom assessments
2	Increasing Instructional Time	Extended day learning opportunities and enrichment activies (tutoring) will be provided for students based upon need	administration	walkthroughs, lesson plans	Diagnostics, classroom assessments

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
National MathConference, NCTM & other Math Conferences	K-5 teachers	conference presenters	K-5 Math teachers	Aug 2012-May 2013	walkthroughs, lesson plans	Administration & Math Coach
Go Math trainings	K-5 teachers	Math Coach	K-5 Math teachers	Aug 2012-May 2013	walkthroughs, lesson plans	Administration & Math Coach

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat	terial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Problem Solving through Go Math/NGSSS & Common Core	Consumables and FCAT prep materials, Acaletics Learning Resource	Title I	\$1,000.00
		-	Subtotal: \$1,000.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Problem Solving through Go Math/NGSSS & Common Core	Reflex Math Software	Title I	\$3,000.00
		-	Subtotal: \$3,000.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
NCTM and other Math related conferences in state and out of state travel	lodging, registration, travel, meals	Title I	\$5,000.00
Model lessons, conference with teachers, data analysis, PD	Math Coach	Title I	\$67,588.00
			Subtotal: \$72,588.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Supplies	Dry Erase markers, washable crayons, and other manipulatives, poster paper, laminating film, highlighters, paper, markers	Title I	\$3,144.94
Tutorial & Bootcamp	Stipends for teachers	Title I	\$4,062.50

# Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	3	udent achievement data ent for the following gro		reference t	o "Guiding Questions",	identify and define
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.  Science Goal #1a:				In grade 5, 20% of the students will achieve Level 3 in Science on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Science Test		
2012 (	Current Level of Per	formance:		2013 Expe	ected Level of Perforr	nance:
14% (10)				20%		
	Pro	bblem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
,	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Resp	erson or Position Ponsible for Ponitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Ir	ncreasing nstructional ime/Labs	Extended day learning opportunities and enrichment activies (tutoring) will be provided for students based upon need	administration		walkthroughs, lesson plans	Diagnostics, classroom assessments
S e: te	Ising "hands-on" icience xperiments/labs to each Science oncepts	Grades 3-5 will rotate weekly to the Science Lab, SECME program, Picture Perfect Science, Science based Field Trips, attendance at local & national Science Conferences			lesson plans, walkthroughs	Diagnostics, classroom assessments
	Siweekly Progress	Benchmark Focus Calendar & biweekly	administration		student data chats/logs	diagnostics, classroom/benchmark

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b:	10% of students in grades 3-5 will score Levels 4,5,and 6 in Science on the Florida Alternate Assessment 2013.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
0% (0)	10%				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
No Data Submitted							

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define						
areas	in need of improvement	t for the following group	1			
Achi	CAT 2.0: Students sco evement Level 4 in sci nce Goal #2a:	O		In grade 5, 15% of the students will score LEvel 4 or above in Science on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Science Test		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ce:	
7% (!	5)		15% (11)	15% (11)		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1			administration, science teacher	lab reports, discussion,anecdotal records, SECME competitions	diagnostics, classroom assessments	
2	Increasing Instructional Time	Extended day learning opportunities and enrichment activies will be provided for students based upon need	adminsitration	lesson plans, walkthroughs	Diagnostics, classroom assessments	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 100% of students in grades 3-5 will score at or above in science. level 7 in Science on the Florida Alternate Assessment 2013. Science Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 100% 100% (2) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible Evaluation Tool Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
NSTA, National Magnet Conference and other Science related conferences	K - 5	conference presenters	K-5 Science teachers	Aug 2012-May 2013	attendees will present info to staff	Administration

#### Science Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	aterial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Professional Resources	AIMS, Picture Perfect Science	Title I	\$500.00
			Subtotal: \$500.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
NSTA , National Magnet Conference and other Science related conferences	in state and out of state travel- lodging, travel, registration, meals	Title I	\$5,000.00
		-	Subtotal: \$5,000.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Tutorial & BootCamp	Stipends	Title I	\$1,875.00
Supplies	Science Lab supplies/materials for experiments, microscopes	Title I	\$1,500.00
			Subtotal: \$3,375.00
			Grand Total: \$8,875.00

End of Science Goals

### Writing Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level
3.0 and higher in writing.

In grade 4, 94% of the students will achieve 3.0 or higher in Writing on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Writing Test

Writing Goal #1a:

2012	2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
93%	(42)		94%	94%		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Writing with focus, organization and details	Writer's Workshop, North Area Writing Program	administration, writing resource teacher	writing samples	Palm Beach Writes, daily writing	
2	Increasing Instructional Time	Extended day learning opportunities and enrichment activies will be provided for students based upon need	administration	walkthroughs, lesson plans, writing samples	Palm Beach Writes, daily writing	

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.  Writing Goal #1b:			100% of stude	100% of students in grade 4 will score 4 or higher on the Florida Alternate Assessment Writing test		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	d Level of Performanc	e:	
100%	100% (5)			100% (1)		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Building vocabulary (oral language)	Provide students with activities during daily instruction that deal with the open-response topics	Adminsitration, ESE contact	walkthroughs, lesson plans	classroom asssessments	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Writer's Workshop	K-2	district staff	K-2 teachers		walkthroughs, writing folders	Administration

Evidence-based Program(s)/	wateriar(3)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Writing tutorial/Bootcamp	stipends	Title I	\$5,250.00
Substitutes	substitutes for teachers to attend Writing training	Title I	\$1,000.00
			Subtotal: \$6,250.00
			Grand Total: \$6,250.00

End of Writing Goals

# Attendance Goal(s)

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
1. At	tendance					
Attendance Goal #1:			Our attendance	e rate for FY 2013 will in	crease to 70%	
2012	Current Attendance Ra	nte:	2013 Expected	d Attendance Rate:		
68% (369)			70%	70%		
	Current Number of Stunces (10 or more)	idents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)		
182			150	150		
1	Current Number of Stu es (10 or more)	Idents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)		
110			90	90		
	Prok	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

	Students attending	contact parents-send	administration &	students attending	daily attendance
1	school more frequently	Community Resource	Community	school more frequently	records
	& on time	Person on home visits	Resource Person	and on time	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		N	No Data Submitted	d		

### Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

# Suspension Goal(s)

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1:	100% of K-5 students will follow the Positive School-wide Behavior model			
2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions			

0			0	0		
2012	Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended In-Sch	ool School	d Number of Students	Suspended In-	
0			0	0		
2012	Number of Out-of-Sch	ool Suspensions	2013 Expecte Suspensions	ed Number of Out-of-Sc	chool	
43			30	30		
2012 Scho	Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended Out-of	- 2013 Expecte of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School		
22			15	15		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Consistent Behavior Management	School-wide Positive Behavior Plan	administration	walkthroughs, use of school wide expectations, incentives and consequences	Suspension report	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
School-wide Postitive Behavior Plan	K-5	Administration	school-wide		Student Behavior Badges	Administration

### Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount		
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00		
			Subtotal: \$0.00		
Technology					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount		
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00		

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
School wide Positive Behavior Plan	badges, ink	Title I	\$300.00
			Subtotal: \$300.00
			Grand Total: \$300.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

# Parent Involvement Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	ed on the analysis of parent is ed of improvement:	involvement data, and re	eference to "Guidi	ng Questions", identify a	and define areas	
1. Pa	arent Involvement					
	ent Involvement Goal #1:	of parents who	80% of parents will participate in a school event/meeting			
parti	*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.		box of parents will participate in a sensor event/meeting			
2012	2 Current Level of Parent I	nvolvement:	2013 Expected	Level of Parent Invol	vement:	
70% (343)			80% (320)	80% (320)		
	Proble	m-Solving Process to	Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Increase Parent-School Communication	Community Resource Person/Language Facilitator will make home visits,communicate with parents and facilitate family involvement trainings; fliers and announcements will be sent home via mail and/or by students. Parents will also be invited to parent/teacher conferences and report card conferences throughout the school year.		attendance at school events & meetings	Sign in sheets	
	Increase parent involvement at school events/meetings/workshops	Parent Link to particpiate in monthly	administration, SAC chair, PTO president, Community Resource	attendance for school meetings	sign in sheets	

2		Title I/AYP Annual Meeting in October and Open House in September. Parents will be involved in the design, implementation and evaluation of the Schoolwide Plan. Babysitting will be provided as well as refreshments	Person/Language Facilitator		
3	Increase parent volunteers & business partners	and businesses will be invited to volunteer in	administration, Community Resource Person/Language Facilitator	volunteer hours	VIPs sign in
4	Increase Student Achievement	Training will be provided for the parents to assist students with preparing for FCAT Writes, FCAT Reading, Math & Science. In addition, we will hold Literacy Nights for K-2 parents offering Reading & Math activities parents can use at home.	Administration, Reading Coach & Math Coach		sign in sheets, evaluations

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Family Involvement PD	K-5	Adminsitration	school-wide	Fall 2012/Winter 2013	Conference logs & sign ins heets	Administration

### Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Program(	(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development	t e		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Parent Communication	in county travel-home visits	Title I	\$500.00
Parent Communication	agendas (planners), postage	Title I	\$500.00
Parent Training/Meetings	supplies-copy paper,ink cartidges, refreshments for trainings and door prizes	Title I	\$500.00
Increased parental involvement	Community Resource Person	Title I	\$32,980.56
			Subtotal: \$34,480.56
			Grand Total: \$34,480.56

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

# Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. STEM				
STEM Goal #1:				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-	-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-	-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

# Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

### FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Pro	ogram(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Classroom Libraries	books, baskets, labels	Title I	\$1,000.00
Reading	Consumables	Florida Ready, Pathways	Title I	\$1,000.00
Mathematics	Problem Solving through Go Math/NGSSS & Common Core	Consumables and FCAT prep materials, Acaletics Learning Resource	Title I	\$1,000.00
Science	Professional Resources	AIMS, Picture Perfect Science	Title I	\$500.00
				Subtotal: \$3,500.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Data Analysis/Classroom Instruction	ink, mobis, printers, headphones, Reading A-Z softwear license	Title I	\$3,000.00
Reading	Increase reading fluency	Reading A-Z & Raz Kids subsciptions	Title I	\$1,000.00
Mathematics	Problem Solving through Go Math/NGSSS & Common Core	Reflex Math Software	Title I	\$3,000.00
				Subtotal: \$7,000.00
Professional Develo	ppment			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Reading Conferences- IRA,FRA, ASCD, Model schools, FASA, NABSE and other Reading conferences	registration, hotel, per diem, airfare	Title I	\$10,000.00
Reading	On model lessons, conference with teachers, data analysis, PD	Reading Coach	Title I CRRP	\$33,794.00
Mathematics	NCTM and other Math related conferences in state and out of state travel	lodging, registration, travel, meals	Title I	\$5,000.00
Mathematics	Model lessons, conference with teachers, data analysis, PD	Math Coach	Title I	\$67,588.00
Science	NSTA , National Magnet Conference and other Science related conferences	in state and out of state travel-lodging, travel, registration, meals	Title I	\$5,000.00
				Subtotal: \$121,382.00
Other Goal	Strategy	Description of	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Supplies	Resources paper, pencils, chart, paper, journals, poster paper, laminating film, highlighters, markers, FCAT prep materials	Title I	\$3,000.00
Reading	Stipends for teachers	Tutorial & Bootcamp	Title I	\$5,000.00
Reading	Substitutes	Substitutes for teachers to attend professional development including LLI, RRR and other Reading trainings	Title I	\$2,046.00
Mathematics	Supplies	Dry Erase markers, washable crayons, and other manipulatives, poster paper, laminating film,	Title I	\$3,144.94

				Subtotal: \$61,659.00
Parent Involvement	Increased parental involvement	Community Resource Person	Title I	\$32,980.56
Parent Involvement	Parent Training/Meetings	supplies-copy paper,ink cartidges, refreshments for trainings and door prizes	Title I	\$500.00
Parent Involvement	Parent Communication	agendas (planners), postage	Title I	\$500.00
Parent Involvement	Parent Communication	in county travel-home visits	Title I	\$500.00
Suspension	School wide Positive Behavior Plan	badges, ink	Title I	\$300.00
Writing	Substitutes	substitutes for teachers to attend Writing training	Title I	\$1,000.00
Writing	Writing tutorial/Bootcamp	stipends	Title I	\$5,250.00
Science	Supplies	Science Lab supplies/materials for experiments, microscopes	Title I	\$1,500.00
Science	Tutorial & BootCamp	Stipends	Title I	\$1,875.00
Mathematics	Tutorial & Bootcamp	Stipends for teachers	Title I	\$4,062.50
		highlighters, paper, markers		

### Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority	jn Focus	jn Prevent	jn NA

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 8/22/2012)

# School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
Incentives for Reading/Behavior Programs, Reading Consumables, Sponsors for Safety Patrols	\$1,500.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The SAC will contribute to SIP, Parent Involvement Policy, and Parent/School compact. They will also review school data, school policies and instructional materials.

# AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

### SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Palm Beach School District ROOSEVELT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2010-2011									
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned				
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	46%	54%	97%	36%		Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.			
% of Students Making Learning Gains	54%	57%				3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2			
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	64% (YES)	57% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.			
FCAT Points Earned					465				
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested			
School Grade*					С	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested			

Palm Beach School District ROOSEVELT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2009-2010								
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned			
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	54%	55%	84%	32%	225	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.		
% of Students Making Learning Gains	59%	44%			103	3 ways to make gains:  Improve FCAT Levels  Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5  Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2		
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	52% (YES)	42% (NO)			94	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.		
FCAT Points Earned					422			
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested		
School Grade*					D	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested		