FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: MATER PERFORMING ARTS & ENTERTAINMENT ACADEMY

District Name: Dade

Principal: Robert Blanche

SAC Chair: Nelson Cartaya

Superintendent: Alberto Calvalho

Date of School Board Approval: Pending

Last Modified on: 10/12/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Ms. Judith C. Marty	BA – Elementary Educ., Newark State College Master of Science – Educ., University of Miami Educational Specialist – Educational Leadership, University of Miami Certification – Elementary Educ. (1-6) Educational Leadership (All Levels), State of FL	11	37	'12 '11 '10 '09 '08 School Grade A A B A A AYP 95% 100% 100% High Standards Rdg. 74% 68% 56% 60% 61% High Standards Math 96% 92% 90% 88% 87% Lrng Gains-Rdg. 71% 63% 52% 62% 68% Lrng Gains-Rdg. 71% 63% 85% 82% 90% Gains-Rdg-25% 91% 64% 33% 55% 55% Gains-Math-25% 80% 83% 80% 76% 85%
		BS – Elementary Educ., Florida			

Assis Principal	Mrs. Kismet Ulloa	International Univ. Master of Science – Reading Education Florida International Univ. Certification – Elementary Educ. (1-6) Reading (K-12) Educational Leadership (All Levels), State of FL	9	2	'12 '11 '10 '09 '08 School Grade A A B A A AYP 95% 100% 100% High Standards Rdg. 74% 68% 56% 60% 61% High Standards Math 96% 92% 90% 88% 87% Lrng Gains-Rdg. 71% 63% 52% 62% 68% Lrng Gains-Math 80% 87% 85% 82% 90% Gains-Rdg-25% 91% 64% 33% 55% 55% Gains-Math-25% 80% 83% 80% 76% 85%
-----------------	----------------------	--	---	---	---

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading Coach	Ms. Yolanda Alonso	BS-English Education, Nova Southeastern University MS-Reading, Nova Southeastern University Certification- English (6-12) Reading (K-12)	7	3	'12 '11 '10 '09 '08 School Grade A A B A A AYP 95% 100% 100% High Standards Rdg. 74% 68% 56% 60% 61% High Standards Math 96% 92% 90% 88% 87% Lrng Gains-Rdg. 71% 63% 52% 62% 68% Lrng Gains-Math 80% 87% 85% 82% 90% Gains-Rdg-25% 91% 64% 33% 55% 55% Gains-Math-25% 80% 83% 80% 76% 85%
Math Coach	Ms. Maria Montero	BS – Mathematics, University of British Columbia BS – Education, University of British Columbia Master of Science – Educational Leadership, NOVA Southeastern Univ. Certification – Math (6-12) Gifted Endorsement	9	3	'12 '11 '10 '09 '08 School Grade A A B A A AYP 95% 100% 100% High Standards Rdg. 74% 68% 56% 60% 61% High Standards Math 96% 92% 90% 88% 87% Lrng Gains-Rdg. 71% 63% 52% 62% 68% Lrng Gains-Math 80% 87% 85% 82% 90% Gains-Rdg-25% 91% 64% 33% 55% 55% Gains-Math-25% 80% 83% 80% 76% 85%

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	1. Regular meetings of new teachers with principal/assistant principal.	Principal/Assistant Principal	June 2013	
2	2. Regular meetings of new teachers with department chair.	Department Chair	June 2013	
3	3. Partnering of new teachers with veteran staff.	Assistant Principal	June 2013	
4	4. Soliciting referrals from current employees.	Principal	August 2012	
5	5. Job postings at Teachers-teachers.com	Assistant Principal	June 2012	
6	6.Recruitment at Job Fairs.	Principal	March 2012	

 Provide professional development opportunities during early release days and Saturdays. Courses are also offered through partnering colleges/universities. 	Assistant Principal	June 2013	
---	------------------------	-----------	--

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
0	N/A

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
5	0.0%(0)	60.0%(3)	20.0%(1)	20.0%(1)	0.0%(0)	100.0%(5)	0.0%(0)	0.0%(0)	0.0%(0)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale	Planned Mentoring
	Assigned	for Pairing	Activities
Mever Inomas	Fernandez, Bianca	leacher at our school for the past 8 years. His classroom is directly across the hall from Ms.	Mentee will participate in our 2 day Beginning Teacher Orientation that takes place a week before school starts. Mentee and mentor will meet a minimum of once a week and mentor is responsible for keeping a log of those meetings.

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Mater Performing Arts Academy provides services to ensure students requiring additional remediation are assisted through extended learning opportunities (before-school and/or after-school programs, Saturday Tutoring or summer school). The Miami-Dade Public School district coordinates with Title II and Title III in ensuring staff development needs are provided. Title I

funds will be used to employ a reading coach to oversee the implementation of the Comprehensive Research Based Reading Program. The Reading Coach develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards and programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. The Reading Coach identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidencebased intervention strategies; assist with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at-risk"; assist in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participate in the design and delivery of professional development; and provide support for assessment and implementation monitoring. Other key personnel such as paraprofessionals and a Community Involvement Specialist are employed through Title 1. Paraprofessionals provide instructional support to students in the core areas as well as provide small group tutoring during the instructional day. A Community Involvement Specialists support and solicit family involvement of children being served in activities funded by Title I. These funds will also be used to provide support for an after-school tutoring and Saturday tutoring program for Reading, Math, Writing and Science. Title I funds are also used to purchase supplemental materials and technology for core subjects such as Reading, Math, and Science in order to improve instructional focus. Other components that are integrated into the school-wide program include the Title I Mater Academy Chess Club and an extensive Parental Program that requires parents to complete volunteer hours. Opportunities are created for parents to become involved through the Parent Academy and the Bilingual Parent Academy which offers conferences on education and social issues.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

N/A		

Title I, Part D

N/A

Title II

The Miami-Dade district uses supplemental funds for improving basic education as follows:

- Training to certify qualified mentors for the New Teacher (MINT) Program
- Training for add-on endorsement programs, such as Reading, Gifted, ESOL training

Title III

Mater Performing Arts Academy receives Title III funds to supplement and enhance the programs for English Language Learners (ELL) and immigrant students by providing funds to implement and provide tutorial programs.

Title X- Homeless

In cases of homeless students, the Title I Community Involvement Specialist gathers resources (clothing, school supplies, and social services referrals) for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. Currently, there are no students that fall under this demographic.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Mater Performing Arts Academy will receive funding from Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) as part of its Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) allocation.

Violence Prevention Programs

The Student Services Department coordinates drug and violence prevention activities such as Red Ribbon Week and Anti-Bullying presentations that support prevention of violence and drug awareness in and around the school. These programs prevent the use of tobacco, alcohol drugs, and foster a safe, drug-free learning environment supporting student achievement. Mater Academy offers a non-violence and anti-drug program to students that incorporate field trips, community service, and guest speakers.

Nutrition Programs

Mater Performing Arts Academy adheres to and implements the nutrition requirements state in the District Wellness Policy. Nutrition education, as per state statute, is taught through physical education. The School Food Service Program, school breakfast, school lunch, and after care snacks, follows the Healthy Food and Beverage Guidelines as adopted in the District's Wellness Policy.

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

N/A

N/A

Career and Technical Education

Articulation agreements allow students to earn college credits in high school by creating more opportunities for students to complete 2 and 4 year postsecondary degrees. Students will gain an understanding of business and industry workforce requirements by acquiring Industry Certifications in various areas such as Early Childhood, Administrative Office Technology, Web Design, and Accounting. Readiness for postsecondary will strengthen with the integration of academic and career technical components and a coherent sequence of courses.

Job Training

Vocational courses will provide students with a job skills program that allows students the opportunity to learn how to create a resume, dress for success, perform well during a job interview and receive recognition through participation in competitive events through vocational student organizations.

Other

Coordination and Integration: Parental

Involve parents in the planning and implementation of the Title I Program and extend an open invitation to our school's parent resource center or parent area in order to inform parents regarding available programs, their rights under No Child Left Behind and other referral services.

Increase parental engagement/involvement through developing (with on-going parental input) our school's Title I School-Parent Compact; our school's Title I Parental Involvement Plan; scheduling the Title I Annual Meeting; and other documents/activities necessary in order to comply with dissemination and reporting requirements.

Conduct informal parent surveys to determine specific needs of our parents, and schedule workshops, Parent Academy Courses, etc., with flexible times to accommodate our parents' schedules. This impacts our goal to empower parents and build their capacity for involvement.

Complete Title I Administration Parental Involvement Monthly School Reports (FM-6914 Rev. 06-08) and the Title I Parental Involvement Monthly Activities Report (FM-6913 03-07), and submit to Title I Administration by the 5th of each month as documentation of compliance with NCLB Section 1118. Additionally, the M-DCPS Title I Parent/Family Survey, distributed to schools by Title I Administration, is to be completed by parents/families annually in May. The Survey's results are to be used to assist with revising our Title I parental documents for the approaching school year.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

-School-based MTSS/Rtl Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Rtl is an extension of the school's Leadership Team, strategically integrated in order to support the administration through a process of problem solving as issues and concerns arise through an ongoing, systematic examination of available data with the goal of impacting student achievement, school safety, school culture, literacy, attendance, student social/emotional well being, and prevention of student failure through early intervention.

The Mater Academy Charter Middle School RtI team is comprised of various members of the administration, faculty and staff. Administrators: Ensure commitment and allocate resources, provide a common vision for the use of data-based decisionmaking, conduct assessments of RtI skills of school staff via classroom walkthroughs, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.

Department Chairs: (Language Arts, English Language Learners (ELL), Mathematics, Science, Electives, and Physical Education): Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier I instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier II interventions, and integrates Tier I materials/instruction with Tier II/III activities. Engages in classroom observations to assure implementation of the school improvement efforts.

SPED Chair: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier III instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching and consultations.

Test Chairperson: Provides data to the RtI based on state, district and school-wide based assessments.

Instructional Coach(es) Reading and Mathematics: : Provides guidance on K-12 Comprehensive Research-based Reading Plan; facilitates and supports data collection activities; assists in data analysis; provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding database instructional planning; supports the implementation of Tier I, Tier II, and Tier III intervention plans. Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring. Media Specialist: Provides assistance to teachers and students in obtaining media and library resources develops and implements professional development for teachers in the area of technology, aids in the acquisition of support material that enhances instructional intervention especially in the area of research, and endorses cross-curricular activities related to Reading.

Data Specialist: Brokers technology necessary to manage and display data; provides professional and technical support to the RtI Team regarding data analysis, management and display,

Student Services Personnel: Provides quality services and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students. In addition to providing interventions, school social workers continue to link child-serving and community agencies to the schools and families to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success.

Rtl is a general education initiative in which the levels of support (resources) are allocated in direct proportion to student needs. Rtl uses increasingly more intense instruction and interventions.

• The first level of support is the core instructional and behavioral methodologies, practices, and supports designed for all students in the general curriculum.

• The second level of support consists of supplemental instruction and interventions that are provided in addition to and in alignment with effective core instruction and behavioral supports to groups of targeted students who need additional instructional and/or behavioral support.

• The third level of support consists of intensive instructional and/or behavioral interventions provided in addition to and in alignment with effective core instruction and the supplemental instruction and interventions with the goal of increasing an individual student's rate of progress academically and/or behaviorally.

There will be an ongoing evaluation method established for services at each tier to monitor the effectiveness of meeting school goals and student growth as measured by benchmark and progress monitoring data.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The RtI Leadership Team will meet bi-monthly to discuss how the RtI process will be used to enhance data collection, data analysis, problem solving, differentiated assistance, and progress monitoring. During these meetings, the RtI team will review standardized data, classroom based assessment as well as formal and informal observations to:

1. Monitor academic and behavior data evaluating progress by addressing the following important questions:

• What will all students learn? (curriculum based on standards)

• How will we determine if the students have learned? (common assessments)

• How will we respond when students have not learned? (RtI problem solving process and monitoring progress of interventions)

• How will we respond when students have learned or already know? (enrichment opportunities)

2. Gather and analyze data to determine professional development for faculty as indicated by student intervention and achievement needs.

3. Hold regular team meetings.

4. Maintain communication with staff for input and feedback, as well as updating them on procedures and progress.

5. Support a process and structure within the school to design, implement, and evaluate both daily instruction and specific interventions.

6. Provide clear indicators of student need and student progress, assisting in examining the validity and effectiveness of program delivery.

7. Assist with monitoring and responding to the needs of subgroups within the expectations for adequate yearly progress.

8. Facilitate decision-making regarding building consensus among stakeholders, increasing infrastructural efficacy and make decisions regarding implementation of instructional programs.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

1. The Leadership Team will monitor and adjust the school's academic and behavioral goals through data gathering and data analysis.

2. The Leadership Team will monitor the fidelity of the delivery of instruction and intervention.

3. The Leadership Team will provide levels of support and interventions to students based on data.

The RtI Leadership Team met with the EESAC and principal to help develop the SIP. The team provided data on Tier 1 Tier 2 and Tier 3 targets; standardized examination results (i.e. FCAT, Miami-Dade County Interim Assessments, FAIR, etc.); academic, social and emotional needs of the institution; aided in setting clear expectation for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, Relationship); facilitated the development of the systematic continuum of teaching based on designing lessons that target high order Level thinking skills; and aligned processes and procedures with the New Generation Sunshine State Standards as well as subject area scope and sequence.

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

- 1. Data will be used to guide instructional decisions and system procedures for all students to :
- Adjust the delivery of curriculum and instruction to meet the specific needs of students
- Adjust the delivery of behavior management system
- · Adjust the allocation of school-based resources
- Drive decisions regarding targeted professional development
- Create student growth trajectories in order to identify and develop interventions.
- 2. Managed data will include:

Academic

- FAIR Assessment
- · Baseline Assessments in Reading, Mathematics, Writing, and Science
- State/District Math and Science Assessments
- FCAT
- Student Grades
- School site specific assessment
- Programmatic Assessments (Voyager Benchmark Exams)

Behavior

- Student Case Management System
- Detentions
- Suspensions/expulsions
- · Referrals by student behavior, staff behavior, and administrative context
- Office referrals per day per month
- Team climate surveys
- Attendance
- Referrals to special education programs

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional Development will be provided during designated professional development days, during small sessions (i.e. department meetings, PLC meetings, etc.) and faculty meetings. A school-wide PD session regarding the effective implementation of the RtI team will take place in August with a subsequent follow-up in October. To that end, the RtI Leadership Team will continuously evaluate staff professional development during the bi-monthly meetings.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The district professional development and support will include:

- 1. Training for all administrators in the Rtl problem solving, data analysis process;
- 2. Providing support for school staff to understand basic Rtl principles and procedures; and
- 3. Providing a network of ongoing support for RtI organized through feeder patterns

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The school-based Literacy Leadership Team is an extension of the school's leadership team and was developed to enhance the efforts of the school's RtI team, specifically, in the area of literacy. The following are the members of the LLT who were chosen for their ability to ensure commitment to common goals and for their ability to build support of literacy initiatives among all faculty and staff members.

Judith Marty, Principal

Teresa Santalo, Assistant Principal

- Gil Lora, Assistant Principal
- Jose Nunez, Assistant Principal
- Elaine Clemente, Assistant Principal Fiorella Dongo, Activities Director
- Trishia Castillo, Student Services Chair
- Kismet Ulloa, Assistant Principal
- Silvina Macho, Media Specialist
- Yolanda Alonso, Language Arts Chair

Elizabeth Kemper, Science Co-chair Natalie Ledoux, Science Co-chair Maria Montero, Math Chair Idelsy Llanes, Social Studies Chair Corey Stephens, Physical Education Chair Maria Alvarez, Practical Arts Chair Mildred Fonteriz, Performing Arts Chair Emilio Leonard, TV Production Teacher Adalyn Saladrigas, Program Specialist

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The school-based LLT meets once a month during common planning time, usually, on the first B day of the second week of each month. Subcommittees are developed for each literacy initiative and roles are defined and assigned to match each member's strength under each subcommittee. The LLT functions as the schools' main source for developing and implementing school-wide literacy initiatives. It mainly serves the purpose of implementing the K-12 Comprehensive Research-based Reading Plan with fidelity. Through administrator Reading Walk Throughs and Data Talks, faculty and staff will engage in reflective dialogue to enhance the use of data as well as to ensure the use of research-based reading strategies. The LLT communicates school literacy functions and successes to all stakeholders through the Data Talks, the SIP, and the EESAC.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

One of the major initiatives of the LLT will be to aid the Response to Intervention (RtI) Team in the development of a new Instructional Focus Calendar (IFC) based on the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS) in order to ensure that the faculty and staff are familiar with and implement these new standards using research-based reading strategies throughout the curriculum and across subject areas. The LLT will foster reading leadership in faculty and staff members by providing mentoring, lesson studies, and model classrooms for novice or struggling teachers. Recognizing and affirming teachers' successes in the area of literacy is also a top priority as well as promoting a positive culture of reading and literacy throughout the school campus and community by developing such activities as literacy week, a book fair with a parent night, and the initiation of a book club.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

The Instructional Focus Calendar will guide instruction in all content areas classes. Research-based reading strategies will be applied throughout all content areas. Teachers will incorporate strategies daily within lessons using graphic organizers and Jamestown Timed Readers. Administrative walkthroughs will monitor implementation of reading strategies. Benchmark and Interim Assessment data will be disaggregated during Rt1 meetings and Professional Learning Communities.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Mater Performing Arts Academy offers various vocational courses that lead to Industry Certification. Courses in fields such as Early Childhood and Computers help students understand the relevance of school to work and career planning. In addition, the school offers courses such as Law, Accounting, and other Business related courses to facilitate students' transitions from

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

The Mater Academy Student Services Department develops a yearly Curriculum Bulletin that provides students as well as parents with the courses offered along with a brief description of each course. The Curriculum Bulletin indicates several options for academies and tracks for students to choose from. School counselors conduct presentations to all students by class and grade levels and assist students in the selection of courses by completing the Subject Selection Form.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

Mater Performing Arts Academy School provides students with a rigorous college prep curriculum. Mater Academy High School meets and exceeds the requirements of the Florida State University Systems. The school requires students to graduate with 4 levels of English, Math and Science and Social Sciences therefore, 100% of students are enrolled in a college prep curriculum. Students are encouraged to take courses that are the most challenging for their level. Teachers and the College Advisory Program Counselor (CAP) promote enrollment in these courses in order to prepare them for post secondary education. In addition to encouraging students to enroll in AP and DE courses, the CAP Advisor persuades students to apply and meet the requirements for Bright Futures Scholarships.

The SAT Online Prep Program and the ACT Online Prep Program will be made available to all students. This will allow students the opportunity to receive individualized feedback and instructions in preparation for the ACT and post secondary academia. Every student will receive an individual password to access the programs from home and/or school. and post secondary academia. Every student will receive an individual password to access the programs from home and/or school.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following group: The results of 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 ir that 32 (68) % (158) of students achieved level 3 reading. proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase level 3 Reading Goal #1a: student proficiency by 5 percentage points to 37% (78). 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 32% 37% (68) (78)Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of

			Monitoring	Strategy	
1	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Reading Test was Reporting Category 4, Informational Text/Research Process. Students lack the ability to synthesize and evaluate information to be successful readers.	Students will utilize Reciprocal Teaching and Question-And- Answer Relationships during reading activities in Language Arts and Social Studies classes. Students will use these research-based strategies to practice locating and verifying details, critically analyzing text, and synthesizing details to draw correct conclusions. Language Arts teachers will use College Board Springboard curriculum to incorporate the strategies. Social Studies teachers will use new supplementary material and incorporate CRISS strategies to emphasize critical reading.	RtI/MTSS Leadership Team	RTI Team and teachers will participate in analyzing data in order to determine effectiveness of the strategy. The data	Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in neer of improvement for the following group:				
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:	N/A			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A	N/A			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

T

T

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a:	The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 41% (87) of students achieved levels 4 and 5 proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase levels 4 and 5 student proficiency by 3 percentage points to 44% (92).
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
41% (87).	44% (92)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Troblem-solving Process to the case student Achievement								
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	The area which showed minimal growth and would require students to maintain or improve performance as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT was Reporting Category 4, Informational Text/Research Process. These students lack the ability to use the critical thinking strategies needed to assess, organize, synthesize, and evaluate the validity and reliability of information in text, using a variety of techniques by examining several sources of information, including both primary and secondary sources.	Teachers will emphasize instruction that helps students build stronger arguments to support their answers by using instructional strategies such as opinion proofs. Students will explore shades of meaning to better identify nuances. Both students and teachers will examine rubrics and the appropriate benchmarks to ensure a complete understanding of the skills being assessed. Reporting Category , Informational Text/Research Process will be the main focus of the Social Studies department. Social Studies teachers will use new supplemental material and other resources such as Document Based Questions (DBQ's) and offer various research based strategies to organize synthesize and evaluate information	RtI/MTSS Leadership Team	The administrators, the RTI Team and teachers will participate in analyzing data in order to determine effectiveness of the strategy. The data will be analyzed quarterly. The outcome of the data analysis will be reflected in the teachers' instruction to modify strategies such as differentiated instruction targeting the problem areas.	Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment			

Based on the analysis o of improvement for the		data, and refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in nee
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b:			N/A		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfor	mance:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solvir	ng Process to I	ncrease S ⁻	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or ion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data,	and reference to	"Guiding Que	estions", identify	and define a	areas in nee
of improvement for the following group:					

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:	The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 71% (131) of students made learning gains. Our goal fo the 2012-2013 school year is to increase students achieving learning gains by 5 percentage point 76% (141)
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
71% (131	76% (141)

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement									
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool					
1	Technology options in Language Arts classes were limited; therefore, students require a structured computer program implemented with fidelity.	The school will implement the Achieve 3000 program in all Reading and Social Studies classes. The structured program will be used for intervention and acceleration by incorporating differentiated instructional methods to develop essential visual and perceptual skills, while providing individualized instructional scaffolds for each student to ensure silent reading practice is effective and leads to proficiency.	RtI/MTSSS Leadership Team	The administrators, the RTI Team and teachers will participate in analyzing data in order to determine effectiveness of the strategy. The data will be analyzed quarterly. The outcome of the data analysis will be reflected in the teachers' instruction to modify strategies such as differentiated instruction targeting the problem areas	Quarterly Interim Assessments Summative: 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment					

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, ai Ilowing group:	nd refer	rence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas in nee	
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:			N/A			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	ance:	
N/A			N/A			
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data,	and reference to	"Guiding Questions",	identify and defi	ine areas in need
of improvement for the following group:				

4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:	The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 91% (39) of students in the lowest 25 % achieved learning gains proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase learning gains in the lowest 25% by 5 percentage points to 95% (41				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
91% (39)	95% (41).				

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement									
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool					
1	As noted on the administration of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test, the number of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains increased by 24 percentage points.	Teachers will use the FAIR data and Reading Plus to differentiate instruction in Language Arts and Intensive Reading Courses. Teachers will meet to discuss FAIR data and plan for differentiated instruction using evidence-based interventions within a Language Arts and Reading Block.	RtI/MTSS Leadership Leadership Team	RTI Team and teachers will participate in analyzing data in order to determine effectiveness of the strategy. The data will be analyzed quarterly. The outcome of the data analysis will be reflected in the	Plus Reports; Baseline and Quarterly Interim Assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment					

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

5A. A	mbitious	but Achieva	ıble Ar	nual	Our go	al fr	om 2011 -	2017	is to	reduce the	ner	ent of non-
Measu schoo	urable Ob I will red	ojectives (AN luce their ac	MOs).	In six year			students]			reduce the	perc	
by 50	%.				5A :							-
	line data 0-2011	2011-201	2 2	012-2013	2013-2	014	2014	4-2015		2015-2016)	2016-2017
		79	81		83		85			87		
		analysis of s nt for the fol			ent data, and	d refer	rence to "Gu	uiding	Questio	ns", identify	and c	lefine areas in nee
Hispa satis [:]	anic, Asi factory p	subgroups I an, America progress in	an Inc	dian) not m			that 73% learning ga school yea	(143) ains pr ar is to	of stude oficienc increas	y. Our goal fo se learning ga	west or the ains ir	25 % achieved 2012-2013 1 the lowest
кеаа	ing Goal	#5B:					25% by 5	percer	ntage po	pints to 83%	(163)	
2012	Current	Level of Pe	erforn	nance:			2013 Exp	ected	Level c	of Performar	nce:	
Hispa	nic: 73%((143)					Hispanic: 8	3%(16	3)			
			Pr	oblem-Sol	ving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	tudent	t Achie	vement		
	Antic	ipated Bar	rier	St	rategy	R	Person o Position esponsible Monitorin	e for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy			Evaluation Too
1	administration of the FAIR data to		Lea	adership adership Team		RTI Team and teachersFloridwill participate inAssesanalyzing data in order toReadidetermine effectivenessand Cof the strategy. The dataInteriwill be analyzedAssesquarterly. The outcomeSummof the data analysis willFCAT		and Quarterly Interim Assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment				
					ent data, and	d refer	ence to "Gu	uiding	Questio	ns", identify	and c	lefine areas in nee
-		nt for the fol anguage Le			t making							
	-	progress in			C							
Read	ing Goal	#5C:										
2012 Current Level of Performance: 2						2013 Expected Level of Performance:						
			Pr	oblem-Sol	ving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	tudent	t Achie	vement		
Antio	cipated I	Barrier	Strat	egy		Posit Resp for	on or ion onsible toring	Deter	ess Use rmine tivenes egy		Eval	uation Tool

No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f		nt data, and refe	rence to "G	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.					
Reading Goal #5D:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfor	mance:
	Problem-Solvi	ing Process to I	ncrease S ⁻	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Submitted		
Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f		nt data, and refe	rence to "G	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in nee

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E:	The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 72% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup achieved proficiency. Our goal is to increase student proficiency by 9 percentage points to 81% by providing remediation
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
72% (112)	81% (126)

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	English language barrier but also with a new cultural background. They tend to stay behind years even after leaving the program. The number of students in ESOL increases every year coming from vastly different backgrounds,	meet to discuss FAIR data and plan for differentiated instruction using evidence-based interventions within a Language Arts and Reading Block.	RtI/MTSS Leadership Team	will participate in analyzing data in order to determine effectiveness of the strategy. The data will be analyzed	Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment			

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
SpringBoard Training	9-12 Language Arts Faculty	SpringBoard Trainer	9-12 Language Arts Faculty	August 8, 2012 – August ,10 2012	Walkthroughs	Administration/Reading Coach
Achieve 3000	9-12 Language Arts Faculty	Reading Coach	9-12 Language Arts Faculty	August 14th, 2012	Reports generated through Achieve 3000	RtI/MTSS Leadership Team
Curriculum Mapping	RTI Team	Reading Coach	RtI Leadership Team	August 14th, 2012	Meet to discuss results of Interim Assessment data	Administration
Social Studies/Reporting Category 4	9-12 Faculty	Reading Coach	9-12 Language Arts/ Social Studies Faculty	September 17th, 2012	Interim assessment data and administrative walkthroughs	RtI Leadership Team/ Reading Coach
Data Disaggregation	9-12 Faculty	Reading Coach	9-12 Faculty	September 28th, 2012	Meet to discuss FCAT data and Quarterly Interim Assessment data.	RtI/MTSS Leadership Team

Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mater	ial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
. Students will utilize Reciprocal Teaching and Question-And- Answer Relationships during reading activities in Language Arts and Social Studies classes. Students will use these research- based strategies to practice locating and verifying details, critically analyzing text, and synthesizing details to draw correct conclusions. Language Arts teachers will use College Board Springboard curriculum to incorporate the strategies. Social Studies teachers will use new supplementary material and incorporate CRISS strategies to emphasize critical reading.	Spring Board Curriculum	FTE	\$5,000.00
			Subtotal: \$5,000.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

essential visual and perceptual skills, while providing individualized instructional scaffolds for each student to ensure silent reading practice is effective and leads to proficiency.			Subtotal: \$350.0 Grand Total: \$30,225.0
skills, while providing individualized instructional scaffolds for each student to ensure silent reading practice is effective and leads to			Subtotal: \$350.0
Reading Plus program in all Intensive reading classes. The structured program will be used for intervention and acceleration by incorporating differentiated instructional methods to develop	Incentive for students for Reading Plus completion	EESAC	\$350.00
Strategy The school will implement the	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Other			A 11
			Subtotal: \$500.0
Students will utilize Reciprocal Teaching and Question-And- Answer Relationships during reading activities in Language Arts and Social Studies classes. Students will use these research- based strategies to practice locating and verifying details, critically analyzing text, and synthesizing details to draw correct conclusions. Language Arts teachers will use College Board Springboard curriculum to incorporate the strategies. Social Studies teachers will use new supplementary material and incorporate CRISS strategies to emphasize critical reading.	Spring Board Training	FTE	\$500.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Professional Development			Subtotal: \$24,375.0
structured program will be used for intervention and acceleration by incorporating differentiated instructional methods to develop essential visual and perceptual skills, while providing individualized instructional scaffolds for each student to ensure silent reading practice is effective and leads to proficiency. Teachers will emphasize instruction that helps students build stronger arguments to support their answers by using instructional strategies such as opinion proofs. Students will explore shades of meaning to better identify nuances. Both students and teachers will examine rubrics and the appropriate benchmarks to ensure a complete understanding of the skills being assessed. Reporting Category , Informational Text/Research Process will be the main focus of the Social Studies department. Social Studies teachers will use new supplemental material and other resources such as Document Based Questions (DBQ's) and offer various research based strategies to organize synthesize and evaluate information.	Reading Plus	FTE	\$12,375.00

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* Whei	n using percentages	, includ	e the number of student	s the p	percentage r	epres	ents next to the perc	entag	ie (e.g., 70% (35)).
Stude	nts speak in Englis	sh and	understand spoken En	glish a	at grade lev	vel in	a manner similar to	o non	-ELL students.
1. Stu	idents scoring pr	oficier	nt in listening/speak	ing.					
CELLA	A Goal #1:				N/A				
2012	Current Percent	of Stu	dents Proficient in lis	stenir	ng/speakii	ng:			
N/A									
		Prob	blem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease St	tuder	nt Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Process Used to Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy							Evaluation Tool		
1	N/A								
Stude	nts read in English	ı at gra	ide level text in a man	ner si	milar to no	n-ELL	students.		
2. Stu	Idents scoring pr	oficier	nt in reading.						
CELLA	A Goal #2:								
2012	Current Percent	of Stu	dents Proficient in re	eadin	g:				
		Prok	olem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease St	tuder	nt Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Res for		Posit Resp for	Determine		Eval	uation Tool			
			No	Data S	Submitted				
·									
Stude	nts write in Englisl	n at gra	ade level in a manner s	simila	r to non-EL	L stu	dents.		
3. Stu	idents scoring pr	oficier	nt in writing.						

CELLA Goal #3:

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
No Data Submitted							

CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of stu ed of improvement for		ta, and	reference to) "Gu	iiding Questions", id	entify	y and define areas
 Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1: 				t N/A				
2012	2012 Current Level of Performance:					d Level of Perform	nance	9:
N/A				N/A				
	Pi	oblem-Solving Proc	ess to I	Increase S	tude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person of Position Responsible Monitorin	e for	Process Used t Determine Effectiveness o Strategy		Evaluation Tool
1	N/A							
in nee 2. Flo or ab	d on the analysis of stue ed of improvement for prida Alternate Asses love Level 7 in mathe ematics Goal #2:	the following group: sment: Students sco		1) "Gu	iiding Questions", id	entify	y and define areas
2012	Current Level of Per	formance:		2013 Exp	ecte	d Level of Perform	nance	2:
	Pr	oblem-Solving Proc	ess to l	Increase S ⁻	tude	nt Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Fosit for		son or ition ponsible iitoring	Dete Effe	cess Used to ermine ectiveness of ategy	Eval	uation Tool		
			No Data	Submitted				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
 Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3: 					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

 Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in neer of improvement for the following group:
 The results of the 2012 Algebra I EOC Exam indicate that 65%% (30) of students scored a level 3 in Algebra.. Our goal is to increase student proficiency by 1 percentage point to 66% (30) by providing appropriate interventions and remediation.

 2012 Current Level of Performance:
 2013 Expected Level of Performance:

 65% (30)
 66%(30)

 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

 Person or
 Process Used to

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	On the Algebra I EOC Examination, the areas of weakness were the Rationals, Radicals, Quadratics and Discrete Math Content Area.	Use the Prentice Hall Successnet text book and software in all Algebra I classrooms to provide students with greater practice with Discrete Math Questions Use the Carnegie Learning Cognitive Tutor Computer software in all Algebra I classrooms to provide students with greater practice with Discrete Math Questions Increase exposure to Polynomial and Discrete Math Questions through Afterschool Tutoring Programs, Saturday Tutoring Programs, Pull- out Tutoring and Department-wide Problems of the Day.	RtI/MTSS Leadership Team	Administration and RtI Leadership team will engage in weekly walkthroughs to monitor implementation of the strategies and provide teachers with timely feedback. RtI will meet quarterly to monitor student progress and the effectiveness of program delivery using data. Algebra I will meet quarterly with the RtI team to discuss results of Interim assessments and observations made during walk-throughs. Following this meeting, the Algebra I team will meet to determine the benchmarks that should be targeted and what specific strategies will be used. Administration will again monitor to ensure the	

				implementation of the agreed upon strategies and actions	
2	On the Algebra I EOC Examination an overall area of weakness was the students comfort level with taking the exam using a computer- based format.	Use Technology resources such as IXL Math and USA Testprep and FCAT Explorer to provide greater practice using the Computer Based Model.	RtI/MTSS Leadership Team	Administration and RtI Leadership team will engage in weekly walkthroughs to monitor implementation of the strategies and provide teachers with timely feedback. RtI will meet quarterly to monitor student progress and the effectiveness of program delivery using data. Algebra I will meet quarterly with the RtI team to discuss results of Interim assessments and observations made during walk-throughs. Following this meeting, the Algebra I team will meet to determine the benchmarks that should be targeted and what specific strategies will be used. Administration will again monitor to ensure the implementation of the agreed upon strategies and actions	Computer Completion Reports. Springboard Embedded Assessments School Site walk- through observation tool/checklist Summative: 2013 Algebra I End of

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in neer of improvement for the following group:

 Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra. Algebra Goal #2: 	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
weakness were the Rationals, Radicals,	Use the Carnegie Learning Cognitive Tutor Computer software in all Algebra I classrooms to provide students with greater practice with Discrete Math Questions Increase exposure to Polynomialand Discrete Math Questions through Afterschool Tutoring Programs, Saturday Tutoring Programs, Pull-	RtI/MTSS Leadership Team	Administration and RtI Leadership team will engage in weekly walkthroughs to monitor implementation of the strategies and provide teachers with timely feedback. RtI will meet quarterly to monitor student progress and the effectiveness of program delivery using data.				

1		out Tutoring and Department-wide Problems of the Day. Use Specific the College board Springboard Strategies and Program in all classes to promote higher order thinking and problem solving. Use the Prentice Hall Successnet text book and software in all Algebra I classrooms to provide students with greater practice with Discrete Math Questions Increase rigor by implementing on higher order questioning strategies and probing. Have students explain and present information rather than teacher lecture.		Algebra I will meet quarterly with the RtI team to discuss results of Interim assessments and observations made during walk-throughs. Following this meeting, the Algebra I team will meet to determine the benchmarks that should be targeted and what specific strategies will be used. Administration will again monitor to ensure the implementation of the agreed upon strategies and actions.	School Site walk- through observation tool/checklist Summative: 2013 Algebra I End of Course Test
2	On the Algebra I EOC Examination an overall area of weakness was the students comfort level with taking the exam using a computer- based format.	Use the Carnegie Learning Cognitive Tutor Computer software in all Algebra I classrooms to provide students with greater practice with Discrete Math Questions Use Technology resources such as IXL Math and USA Testprep and FCAT Explorer to provide greater practice using the Computer Based Model.	RtI/MTSS Leadership Team	Administration and RtI Leadership team will engage in weekly walkthroughs to monitor implementation of the strategies and provide teachers with timely feedback. RtI will meet quarterly to monitor student progress and the effectiveness of program delivery using data. Algebra I will meet quarterly with the RtI team to discuss results of Interim assessments and observations made during walk-throughs. Following this meeting, the Algebra I team will meet to determine the benchmarks that should be targeted and what specific strategies will be used. Administration will again monitor to ensure the implementation of the agreed upon strategies and actions.	Completion

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target							
3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.				r goal from	n 2011-2017 is to tudents by 50%.	reduce the perce	nt of no- 🔺
Baseline data 2010-20112011-20122012-2013			20 ⁻	13-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	59	63	66		70	74	

of improvement for the	following subgroup:				
3B. Student subgroup Hispanic, Asian, Amer satisfactory progress	rican Indian) not mal				
Algebra Goal #3B:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfor	mance:
	Problem-Solvii	ng Process t	to Increase S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Po Ri fo	erson or osition esponsible or lonitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	•	No Da	ata Submitted	•	•

3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3C:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:				
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3D:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refere	ence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
of improvement for the following subgroup:	

satisfactory progress	s not making				
Algebra Goal #3E:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfor	mance:
	Problem-Solvi	ng Process to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Fosit for		on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		No Data	Submitted		

End of Algebra EOC Goa

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of stud ed of improvement for t	dent achievement data, a he following group:	and r	eference to "C	Guiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas
Geometry.			The results of the 2012 Geometry Baseline Assessment indicate that 28% (30) of students score in the upper 3rd. Our goal is to increase student proficiency by 1			
Geor	metry Goal #1:			percentage point to 29% (31) by providing appropriate interventions and remediation.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expect	ed Level of Performar	nce:
28% (30)			29% (31)			
	Pro	oblem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease Stuc	lent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Res	Person or Position sponsible for Aonitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	On the Geometry EOC Baseline, the areas of weakness were the	Use the Prentice Hall Successnet text book and software in all	RtI/MTSS Leadership Team		Administration and RtI Leadership team will engage in weekly	Formative: Baseline and District Interim Assessments

1	Trigonometry and Discrete Math Content Area.	Algebra I classrooms to provide students with greater practice with Discrete Math Questions Use the Carnegie Learning Cognitive Tutor Computer software in all Algebra I classrooms to provide students with greater practice with Discrete Math Questions Increase exposure to Polynomial and Discrete Math Questions through Afterschool Tutoring Programs, Saturday Tutoring Programs, Pull-out Tutoring and Department-wide Problems of the Day.		walkthroughs to monitor implementation of the strategies and provide teachers with timely feedback. RtI will meet quarterly to monitor student progress and the effectiveness of program delivery using data. Geometry PLC will meet quarterly with the RtI team to discuss results of Interim assessments and observations made during walk-throughs. Following this meeting, the Algebra I team will meet to determine the benchmarks that should be targeted and what specific strategies will be used. Administration will again monitor to ensure the implementation of the agreed upon strategies and actions.	Cognitive Tutor Computer Completion Reports. Springboard Embedded Assessments School Site walk- through observation tool/checklist Summative: 2013 Geometry End of Course Test
2	On the Geometry EOC Examination an overall area of weakness was the students comfort level with taking the exam using a computer-based format.	Use the Carnegie Learning Cognitive Tutor Computer software in all Geometry classrooms to provide students with greater practice with Discrete Math Questions Use Technology resources such as IXL Math and USA Testprep and FCAT Explorer to provide greater practice using the Computer Based Model.	RtI/MTSS Leadership Team	Administration and RtI Leadership team will engage in weekly walkthroughs to monitor implementation of the strategies and provide teachers with timely feedback. RtI will meet quarterly to monitor student progress and the effectiveness of program delivery using data. Geometry PLC will meet quarterly with the RtI team to discuss results of Interim assessments and observations made during walk-throughs. Following this meeting, the Algebra I team will meet to determine the benchmarks that should be targeted and what specific strategies will be used. Administration will again monitor to ensure the implementation of the agreed upon strategies and actions	Cognitive Tutor, IXL, USA TestPrep and FCAT Explorer Computer Completion Reports.Springboard Embedded Assessments School Site walk- through observation tool/checklist Summative: 2013 Geometry End of Course Test

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

I	2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels	The results of the 2012 Geometry Baseline Assessment
I		indicate that 59% (63) of students score in the upper
Land E in Coomotry	3rd. Our goal is to increase student proficiency by 1	
I		percentage point to 60% (63) by providing appropriate
I	Geometry Goal #2:	interventions and remediation.

201	2 Current Level of Perf	ormance:	2013 Expec	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
59%	59% (63)			60% (63)				
	Pro	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stu	dent Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	On the Geometry EOC Baseline, the areas of weakness were the Trigonometry and Discrete Math Content Area.	Use the Prentice Hall Successnet text book and software in all Algebra I classrooms to provide students with greater practice with Discrete Math Questions Use the Carnegie Learning Cognitive Tutor Computer software in all Algebra I classrooms to provide students with greater practice with Discrete Math Questions Use Specific the College board Springboard Strategies and Program in all classes to promote higher order thinking and problem solving. Use the Prentice Hall Successnet text book and software in all Algebra I classrooms to provide students with greater practice with Discrete Math Questions Increase rigor by implementing on higher order questioning strategies and probing. Have students explain and present	RtI/MTSS Leadership Team	Administration and RtI	Cognitive Tutor Computer Completion Reports Springboard Embedded Assessments School Site walk- through observatio tool/checklist Summative: 2013 Geometry End of Course Test			
	On the Geometry EOC Examination an overall area of weakness was the students comfort level with taking the exam using a computer-based format.	Use the Carnegie Learning Cognitive Tutor Computer software in all Geometry classrooms to provide students with greater practice with Discrete Math Questions	RtI/MTSS Leadership Team	engage in weekly walkthroughs to monitor implementation of the strategies and provide teachers with timely feedback.	Cognitive Tutor, IXL, USA TestPrep and FCAT Explorer Computer			
2		Use Technology resources such as IXL Math and USA Testprep and FCAT Explorer to provide greater practice using the Computer Based Model.		RtI will meet quarterly to monitor student progress and the effectiveness of program delivery using data. Geometry PLC will meet quarterly with the RtI team to discuss results				

of Interim assessments Geometry End of and observations made during walk-throughs. Following this meeting, the Algebra I team will meet to determine the benchmarks that should be targeted and what specific strategies will be used.
Administration will again monitor to ensure the implementation of the agreed upon strategies and actions.

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target							
3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 						reduce the per	cent of non- 🔺
Baseline data 2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2	014-201	5	2015-2016	2016-2017
Based on the analysis in need of improvement	s of student a ent for the follo	chievement data, owing subgroup:	and refe	rence to	"Guidi	ng Questions", ic	lentify and define areas
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			k,				
Geometry Goal #3B	3:						
2012 Current Level	of Performar	nce:	20	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem	-Solving Process	s to Incr	ease St	udent	Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Pers Posi Jy Resp for Mon		n sible	Deterr	veness of	Evaluation Tool
		No	Data Sub	mitted			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and r in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3C:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:

	Problem-Solving Proces	s to Increase S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas In need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.						
Geometry Goal #3D:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfor	mance:		
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for		on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	No Data Submitted					

	ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.						
Geometry Goal #3E:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Data Analysis and Creation of Questions of the Day	9-12	PLC Leaders (grade specific)	All Grade 9-12 Mathematics Teachers	October 25, 2012 November 6, 2012 December 13, 2012 January 17, 2013 February 1, 2013 February 14, 2013 May 2, 2013	Bi-weekly PLC Meeting Monthly Math Department Meetings	Math Department Chair Administration
Data Analysis and Creation of Questions of the Day	9-12	PLC Leaders (grade specific)	All Grade 9-12 Mathematics Teachers	October 25, 2012 November 6, 2012 December 13, 2012 January 17, 2013 February 1, 2013 February 14, 2013 May 2, 2013	Bi-weekly PLC Meeting Monthly Math Department Meetings	Math Department Chair Administration
Data Analysis and Creation of Questions of the Day	9-12	PLC Leaders (grade specific)	All Grade 9-12 Mathematics Teachers	October 25, 2012 November 6, 2012 December 13, 2012 January 17, 2013 February 1, 2013 February 14, 2013 May 2, 2013	Bi-weekly PLC Meeting Monthly Math Department Meetings	Math Department Chair Administration
Data Analysis and Creation of Questions of the Day	9-12	PLC Leaders (grade specific)	All Grade 9-12 Mathematics Teachers	October 25, 2012 November 6, 2012 December 13, 2012 January 17, 2013 February 1, 2013 February 14, 2013 May 2, 2013	Bi-weekly PLC Meeting Monthly Math Department Meetings	Math Department Chair Administration
Data Analysis and Creation of Questions of the Day	9-12	PLC Leaders (grade specific)	All Grade 9-12 Mathematics Teachers	October 25, 2012 November 6, 2012 December 13, 2012 January 17, 2013 February 1, 2013 February 14, 2013 May 2, 2013	Bi-weekly PLC Meeting Monthly Math Department Meetings	Math Department Chair Administration

Mathematics Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Amount
Collegeboard Springboard Strategies	Student and Teacher Text	FTE	\$5,000.00
			Subtotal: \$5,000.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
IXL Computer Software	Site License for Computer Software	FTE	\$5,000.00
			Subtotal: \$5,000.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Use of Technology	Carnegie Learning Cognitive Tutor Program Training for New and Advanced Teachers	FTE	\$4,000.00
Collegeboard Springboard Training	Training for New Springboard Teachers	FTE	\$500.00
			Subtotal: \$4,500.0

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
2a.1	Incentive for students	EESAC	\$350.00
			Subtotal: \$350.00

Grand Total: \$14,850.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and de areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
at Le	orida Alternate Assess vels 4, 5, and 6 in scie nce Goal #1:		N/A				
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A			N/A	N/A			
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1							
2	N/A						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and areas in need of improvement for the following group:			reference	to "Guiding Questions"	, identify and define
2. Florida Alternate A at or above Level 7 in	ssessment: Students sco science.	ring			
Science Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	mance:
Problem-Solving Process to I			ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data S	Submitted		

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

		udent achievement data ent for the following gro		reference t	o "Guiding Questions",	identify and define	
Biol	tudents scoring at Ac ogy. ogy Goal #1:	hievement Level 3 in		The results of the 2012 Biology Baseline Assessment indicate that 45% (26)of students score in the middle 3rd. Our goal is to increase student proficiency by 1 percentage point to 46% (26) by providing appropriate interventions and remediation.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expe	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
45%	o (26)			46% (26)			
	Pro	blem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	P Resp	erson or osition onsible for onitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	On the 2012 Biology EOC, the areas of weakness were the Molecular and Cellular Biology Content Area with an average mean score of 44%.	Develop Professional Learning Communities (PLC) of middle school science teachers in order to research, collaborate, design, and implement instructional strategies to increase rigor through inquiry- based learning in Life Science. The team will include vertical and horizontal alignment within the school in order to ensure continuity of concepts taught and to stress the importance of the Fair Game Benchmarks.			Data Chats will be conducted between administration and Biology teachers, teachers and students following baseline, mini and Interim Assessments. These data chats will take place quarterly.		
2	Students with low reading scores have trouble with science vocabulary retention.	Provide opportunities for teachers to integrate literacy in the science classroom in order for students to enhance scientific meaning through writing, talking, and reading science.	RtI/M Leade		Monitor use of science vocabulary in student lab journal conclusion		
3		Provide inquiry-based laboratory activities of life and environmental science systems, for students to make connections to real- life experiences, and explain and write about their results and their experiences.	RtI/M Leade		Monitor student understanding through the use of lab reports. Students writing lab conclusions is required when checking their comprehension	and Quarterly Interim Assessments	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

Levels 4 and 5 in Biology.			On the 2012 administration of the Biology EOC, 45% (26)of students enrolled in Biology scored in the upper third. The expected level of performance for 2013 is 45 (26) % achieving above proficiency.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
45% (26)			45% (26)			
	Prot	olem-Solving Process	to II	ncrease Stuc	lent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Res	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	On the 2012 Biology EOC, the areas of weakness for these students were the Molecular and Cellular Biology Content Area with an average mean score of 57%.	Provide activities for students to design and develop science and engineering projects to increase scientific thinking, and the development and implementation of inquiry-based activities that allow for testing of hypotheses, data analysis, explanation of variables, and experimental design in Life Science.	Lead	'MTSS dership Team	Science Fair Projects will be reviewed using a rubric to ensure student progress and that adjustments are made as needed. Each science teacher will submit their top 5 student projects to the school's Science Fair	Formative: 2012-2013 Biology Baseline and Quarterly Interim Assessments, Number of participants attending the Regional Science Fair. Summative: 2013 Biology EOC
2	Students need additional support to develop further understanding of science concepts through independent experimental projects.	Identify students scoring 4 or 5 on the Reading and of the 2012 FCAT and mentor these students in the development of independent experimental projects.	Lead	'MTSS dership Team	Projects will be reviewed using a rubric to ensure student progress and that adjustments are being made as needed. Each science teacher will submit their top 5 student projects to the school's Science Fair	Interim Assessments School developed

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Scionco	9th Grade Physical Science	District	Physical Science Teachers	August 13-14, 2012	Lesson Plans, Classroom observations	Leadership team, Science Department Chair
Biology EOC Planning	9th grade Biology	District	Biology teachers	June 11-14, 2012	Lesson Plans, Classroom observations	Leadership team, Science Department Chair

	Explore Learning GIZMO Training	All science courses	Science Chair	All Science teachers	August 15, 2012	Data usage reports from company	Leadership team, Science Chair
--	--	------------------------	---------------	-------------------------	-----------------	---------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Science Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
Achieve 3000	Designed as a supplement to complement science lessons. The program provides a standards- based science curriculum with embedded recommendations to support STEM literacy initiatives	FTE	Amoun \$5,000.00
			Subtotal: \$5,000.0
Fechnology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
			Amount
Provide inquiry-based, hands-on, laboratory activities for students to make connections to real-life experiences, and explain and write about their results and experiences.	Laboratory supplies and equipment to be used for inquiry- based learning in all science classes including after school and Saturday tutoring.	FTE	\$1,000.00
Student Laptops	30 laptops provided specifically for student research and interactive activities during class time will enhance and support science lessons	FTE	\$2,000.0C
Explore Learning GIZMO	Interactive simulations in science for teachers and students to utilize in grades 6-10 that is designed as supplemental curriculum materials that support state standards.	Science Lab Fees	\$300.0C
BrainPOP	BrainPOP offers animated, curricular content that engages students and supports educators. The content is mapped to Common Core and aligned to academic standards.	Science Lab Fees	\$200.00
USA Test Prep	USATestprep, Inc. is an online resource to help high school and students understand their state's required standards and prepare them for high-stakes, standardized tests.	Science Lab Fees	\$300.00
			Subtotal: \$3,800.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Develop Professional Learning Communities (PLC) of science teachers, with vertical and horizontal alignment within the school and across the feeder pattern, to research, discuss, design, and implement strategies to increase inquiry- based learning of Physical and Chemical Sciences.	Time to meet with other science teachers to develop and implement strategies. (ie. Early release days or Teacher Planning days)	FTE	\$200.00
Identify students scoring 4 or 5 on the Reading and Mathematics portion of the 2012 FCAT and mentor these students in the development of independent experimental projects.	Science Fair workshops for teachers and students.	Title 1	\$800.00
			Subtotal: \$1,000.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available

to make connections to real-life experiences, and explain and write about their results and experiences	Educational Field Trips	EESAC	\$200.00
Provide inquiry-based, hands-on, laboratory activities for students to make connections to real-life experiences, and explain and write about their results and experiences	Incentives for students	EESAC	\$100.00
			Subtotal: \$300.00
			Grand Total: \$10,100.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	l on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	y and define areas	
3.0 a	CAT 2.0: Students scor nd higher in writing. ng Goal #1a:	ing at Achievement Le	95% (106) of Level 3.0 or above. Given i Standards, the scoring a level 3.0 or a	above. Given instruction based on the Sunshine Standards, the percentage of 10th grade students scoring a level 3.0 or above on the 2013 administration of the FCAT Writing Test will be maintained percentage point to		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performance	2:	
95%			95% (106)	95% (106)		
	Prot	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	10th grade scored Level 3.0 or above. Given instruction based on the Sunshine Standards, the percentage of 10th grade students scoring a level 3.0 or above on the 2013 administration of the FCAT Writing Test will be increased. Students still lack a variety of sentence structures and cohesion in their writing.	formulate a plan. They will develop and		The administrators, the RTI Team and teachers will participate in analyzing data in order to determine effectiveness of the strategy. The data will be analyzed quarterly. The outcome of the data analysis will be reflected in the teachers' instruction to modify strategies such as differentiated instruction targeting the problem areas		

kinds of genres, focusing on supporting details from the	
focusing on supporting	
details from the	
different types of texts	

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		d reference to "Gu	iding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b:			N/A	N/A		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performanc	e:	
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Prok	blem-Solving Process to	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
FCAT Writing Strategies and Resources	9-12 Language Arts	Reading Coach	Language Arts Department	September 19, 2012; October 17, 2012; November 21, 2012; December 13, 2012; January 16, 2013; February 20, 2013; March 20, 2013	Portfolio of Monthly Writing Samples	Reading Coach
Holistic Scoring of FCAT Writing Samples	9-12 Language Arts	Language Arts Department Chair	Language Arts Department	October 25, 2012	Portfolio of Monthly Writing Samples	Language Arts Department Chair
Writing Workshop for FCAT Writing	9-12 Language Arts	Language Arts Department Chair	Language Arts Department	September 26, 2012	Portfolio of Monthly Writing Samples	Language Arts Department Chair

Writing Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
The students will use prewriting strategies to generate ideas and formulate a plan. They will develop and maintain a Writer's Notebook, Journal and/or Portfolio which contains			

brainstorming in a variety of ways: using graphic organizers, drawing, generating and grouping ideas, listing, formulating questions, outlining, free writing, group discussions, and printed material. The student develops and demonstrates technical writing that provides information related to real-world tasks: they will be assigned to do written responses to different kinds of genres, focusing on supporting details from the different types of texts.	SpringBoard Curriculum	FTE	\$500.00
	-	-	Subtotal: \$500.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$500.00

End of Writing Goals

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

	ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement for the following group:					
Histo	1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History. U.S. History Goal #1:			N/A		
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A	N/A			N/A		
	Prob	blem-Solving Process	to Iı	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A					
2	N/A					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
 Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History. 					
U.S. History Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for		on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted				

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

U.S. History Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data No Data	
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. Attendance Attendance Goal #1:	Our attendance rates will be increased by .5 percentage points. Our goal is to reduce the number of absences by 4.
	Our goal is reduce the number of tardies by 3.
2012 Current Attendance Rate:	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:
95.09% (203)	95.59 (204
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)
74	70
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)
59	56

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

				T	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	absenteeism due to trips to their native countries	Mail letter to parents when unexcused absenses reach 4. 1.2 Increase parent contact by Community Involvement Specialist via phone and home visits. 1.2 Require parents to meet with administration concerning absences per nine weeks. 1.2 Connect ED	Asst. Principal	Assistant Principal will run Attendance Rates report and discuss with CIS and Attendance clerk to determine whether the process implemented is decreasing absenteeism	Attendance Reports/Rates 1.2.Daily attendance bulletins 1.2 Parent Contact log sheets
2	tardies due to students not waking up early enough.	Follow tardy center consequences starting with warnings, detentions and parent conferences. 1.3 Student with excessive tardies will	Asst. Principal, Counselors	CIS will run tardy center lists and will submit names to counselors when necessary	Daily attendance bulletins 1.3. Tardy Center Report

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Attendance Policy Procedures Review	9_17	Asst. Principal	Teachers/Counselors	October 25, 2012	Communication Logs and Grade Book Reports	Administration

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/N	haterial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Increased Parent Contact	Hand Outs/ Attendance	Title 1	\$200.00
Increased Parental Contact	Community Involvement Specialist	Title 1	\$200.00
			Subtotal: \$400.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Increased Parental Contact	Connect ED	Title 1	\$300.00
			Subtotal: \$300.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$700.0

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference of improvement:	to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
1. Suspension	Our goal for the 2012 – 2013 school year is to reduce our
Suspension Goal #1:	suspension rates by 10%.

	2012	Total	Number	of In-	School	Suspensions
I	2012	rotai	Number		0011001	Juspensions

12			11	11			
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School			ool 2013 Expecte School	ed Number of Students	Suspended In-		
6	6						
2012	Number of Out-of-Sch	ool Suspensions	2013 Expecte Suspensions	d Number of Out-of-Sc	chool		
7			6	6			
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of- School			- 2013 Expecte of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School			
6	6			5			
	Prot	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	Students are not aware of the consequences.	 1.1. Classroom Management PD 1.2. Continued Implementation of progressive school discipline plan. 1.3. Increase Parental involvement. 	Dean of Discipline and Assistant. Principal		1.1. Discipline log sheet 1.2. Bi-Monthly review of processed referrals/referral activity.		
	Increased presence of Asst. Principals throughout the building.	Principal	Walk through log sheets	Principal Evaluation	Principal Evaluation		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N	Classroom Aanagement 2D	9 – 12	Asst. Principal	9	One (1) Early Release Session 10/25/12	Survey	Principal

Suspension Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Classroom Management PD	Handouts/Strategy Teaching	FTE	\$200.00
			Subtotal: \$200.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Г

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

	l on the analysis of pare ed of improvement:	nt involvement data, and	d refe	erence to "Guid	ding Questions", identify	and define areas	
1. Dro	opout Prevention						
Dropo	out Prevention Goal #1	:	C	Our goal is to decrease our dropout rate by 0.01			
	lease refer to the percentage of students who opped out during the 2011-2012 school year.			percentage point.			
2012 Current Dropout Rate:			2	2013 Expecte	d Dropout Rate:		
0.00% (0)			C	0(0)			
2012 Current Graduation Rate:			2	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:			
N/A		٦	N/A				
	Prol	olem-Solving Process 1	to I n	crease Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Res	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Continued economic hardship at home of	Identification of students with specific		dent Services irperson	Dropout Rate	Student Survey	

1

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Classroom Management Skills PD	9 - 12	Asst. Principal	High School Teachers	December 13, 2012	Survey	Principal

Dropout Prevention Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. Parent Involvement

Parent Involvement Goal #1:

*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. N/A: Title 1 School: See PIP

2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: 2		2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:		
N/A: title 1 School: See PIP		N/A: Title 1 Scl	N/A: Title 1 School: See PIP		
Problem-Solving Process to Ir		to Increase Stude	ncrease Student Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1					

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	lo Data Submitte	d		

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Based	d on the analysis of schoo	ol data, identify and defir	ne areas in need of	improvement:			
1. STEM Our goal is to increase enrollment in Mathematic: STEM Goal #1: Steme AP courses, Mathematics and Science D Enrollment courses, Honors Courses, SECME, Sci participants, and the Fairchild Tropical Garden Ch					Science Dual ECME, Science Fair		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students tend to choose Science Fair topics that have a difficulty level way below their grade level.	Provide all students the opportunity to design experiments using the process of science throughout their science courses while teachers incorporate the process of science through more inquiry- based laboratory activities, field experiences, and classroom discussions. Provide students with Science Fair project scoring rubric.	RtI/MTSS Leadership Team	Projects will be reviewed using a rubric to ensure student progress and that adjustments are being made as needed. Each science teacher will submit their top 5 student projects to the school's Science Fair.	Formative: Science Fair held at school. Number of participants attending the Regional Science Fair.		
2	The lack of rigor from high school courses offered in middle school.	Instruction in all high school courses adheres to the depth and rigor of the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards as delineated in the District Pacing Guides.	RtI/MTSS Leadership Team	Progress Monitoring of student lab reports through the use of laboratory journals.	Formative: 2012-2013 Biology Interim Assessments Summative: 2013 Biology EOC		
3	The lack of content retention leads to poor background knowledge when addressing high school science content.	Ensure instruction in Comprehensive Science 1, Comprehensive Science 2, and Comprehensive Science 3 (Regular and Advanced) courses adheres to the depth and rigor of the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards as delineated in the District Pacing Guides.	RtI/MTSS Leadership Team	Monitoring of lesson plans, PLC meeting notes	Summative: AP results		
4	The lack of content retention leads to poor background knowledge when addressing high school science content.	Ensure instruction in Comprehensive Science 1, Comprehensive Science 2, and Comprehensive Science 3 (Regular and Advanced) courses adheres to the depth and rigor of the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards as delineated in the District Pacing Guides.	RtI/MTSS Leadership Team	Monitoring of lesson plans, PLC meeting notes	Summative: AP results		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Science Fair Coordinator Training	High School Science	District Led	Science Fair Coordinator	September 2012	Judging of Science Fair held at school.	Science Fair Coordinator, Science Chair, Leadership team
SECME Sponsor Training	High School Science	District led	SECME Sponsor	September 2012	Review of SECME meeting agenda and notes	Science Chair
Biology Content and Pacing	Biology	District	Biology teachers	June 2012	Monitor and Analyze data from Interim Assessments	Leadership team, Science Chair

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide all students the opportunity to design experiments using the process of science throughout their science courses while teachers incorporate the process of science through more inquiry- based laboratory activities, field experiences, and classroom discussions. Provide students with Science Fair project scoring rubric.	Science lab equipment and supplies.	Science Lab Fees	\$1,250.00
Provide inquiry-based, hands-on, laboratory activities incorporating the nature of science and the process of doing science for students and allow them to make connections to real-life experiences, and explain and write about their results and their experiences.	Science lab equipment and supplies.	Science Lab Fees	\$1,250.00
			Subtotal: \$2,500.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
1.1 Provide all students the opportunity to design experiments using the process of science throughout their science courses while teachers incorporate the process of science through more inquiry- based laboratory activities, field	Science Fair workshops (tutoring)	Title 1	\$800.00
experiences, and classroom discussions. Provide students with Science Fair project scoring rubric.			

0.11			
Guides.			
			Subtotal: \$1,000.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Grand Total: \$3,500.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:

	Increase enrollment in the Child Care Program, Business
	Technology (Accounting, Entrepreneurship, Introduction
1. CTE	to IT) and in Digital Design.
	Increase articulation between middle and high school
CTE Goal #1:	faculty will take place at least once a month
	Increase amount of students certified in Quickbooks, in
	Microsoft Office and in Child Care.
	Increase number of CTE and FBLA courses.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	with opportunities for permanent position after graduation. Due to transportation issues, the On the Job Training Program will not be offered during the 2012-2013 school year. To make up for this, the school will make a conscious effort to secure more partnerships for the next school year. As a result of budget constraints, there may not be enough money to purchase the licenses necessary in order to test all students who the faculty feels is ready to be industry certified. The licenses (tests) used during the 2011- 2012 school year were provided free of charge by MDCPS.	discuss articulation related to CTE. 3. Counselors will meet with CTE students during subject selection week to increase the percentage of students enrolled in dual enrollment CTE courses for college credit.		program completers will be identified at the beginning of the e2012- 2013 school year and their progress in the CTE Programs will be monitored by teachers, department head and assistant principal. The	articulation meetings between feeder middle and high schools will be recorded. A monthly meeting is planned for the 2013 school year.

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Best Practices for CTE	9-12	Department Chair	All Practical Arts Teachers	Early Release Days	Review minutes	Department Chair and Administrator

CTE Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
No Data	No Data	No Data	Amount \$0.00
		·······································	Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
1.1	Licenses (Microsoft Office)	FTE	\$1,000.00
			Subtotal: \$1,000.0
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$1,000.0

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s) No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Program	ı(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	. Students will utilize Reciprocal Teaching and Question-And- Answer Relationships during reading activities in Language Arts and Social Studies classes. Students will use these research- based strategies to practice locating and verifying details, critically analyzing text, and synthesizing details to draw correct conclusions. Language Arts teachers will use College Board Springboard curriculum to incorporate the strategies. Social Studies teachers will use new supplementary material and incorporate CRISS strategies to emphasize critical reading.	Spring Board Curriculum	FTE	\$5,000.00
	Collegeboard Springboard Strategies	Student and Teacher Text	FTE	\$5,000.00
Science	Achieve 3000	Designed as a supplement to complement science lessons. The program provides a standards- based science curriculum with embedded recommendations to support STEM literacy initiatives	FTE	\$5,000.00
Writing	The students will use prewriting strategies to generate ideas and formulate a plan. They will develop and maintain a Writer's Notebook, Journal and/or Portfolio which contains brainstorming in a variety of ways: using graphic organizers, drawing, generating and grouping ideas, listing, formulating questions, outlining, free writing, group discussions, and printed material. The student develops and demonstrates technical writing that provides information related to real-world tasks: they will be assigned to do written responses to different kinds of genres, focusing on supporting details from the different types of texts.	SpringBoard Curriculum	FTE	\$500.00
Attendance	Increased Parent Contact	Hand Outs/ Attendance	Title 1	\$200.00
Attendance	Increased Parental Contact	Community Involvement Specialist	Title 1	\$200.00

Suspension	Classroom Management PD	Handouts/Strategy Teaching	FTE	\$200.00
STEM	Provide all students the opportunity to design experiments using the process of science throughout their science courses while teachers incorporate the process of science through more inquiry- based laboratory activities, field experiences, and classroom discussions. Provide students with Science Fair project scoring rubric.	Science lab equipment and supplies.	Science Lab Fees	\$1,250.00
STEM	Provide inquiry-based, hands-on, laboratory activities incorporating the nature of science and the process of doing science for students and allow them to make connections to real-life experiences, and explain and write about their results and their experiences.	Science lab equipment and supplies.	Science Lab Fees	\$1,250.00
Tachpology				Subtotal: \$18,600.00
Technology Goal	Strategy	Description of	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	The school will implement the Reading Plus program in all Intensive reading classes. The structured program will be used for intervention and acceleration by incorporating differentiated instructional methods to develop essential visual and perceptual skills, while providing individualized instructional scaffolds for each student to ensure silent reading practice is effective and leads to proficiency.	Reading Plus	FTE	\$12,375.00
Reading	emphasize instruction that helps students build stronger arguments to support their answers by using instructional strategies such as opinion proofs. Students will explore shades of meaning to better identify nuances. Both students and teachers will examine rubrics and the appropriate benchmarks to ensure a complete understanding of the skills being assessed. Reporting Category , Informational Text/Research Process will be the main focus of the Social Studies department. Social Studies teachers will use new supplemental material and other resources such as	Achieve 3000	FTE	\$12,000.00

	Document Based Questions (DBQ's) and offer various research based strategies to organize synthesize and evaluate information.			
Mathematics	IXL Computer Software	Site License for Computer Software	FTE	\$5,000.00
Science	Provide inquiry-based, hands-on, laboratory activities for students to make connections to real-life experiences, and explain and write about their results and experiences.	Laboratory supplies and equipment to be used for inquiry-based learning in all science classes including after school and Saturday tutoring.	FTE	\$1,000.00
Science	Student Laptops	30 laptops provided specifically for student research and interactive activities during class time will enhance and support science lessons	FTE	\$2,000.00
Science	Explore Learning GIZMO	Interactive simulations in science for teachers and students to utilize in grades 6-10 that is designed as supplemental curriculum materials that support state standards.	Science Lab Fees	\$300.00
Science	BrainPOP	BrainPOP offers animated, curricular content that engages students and supports educators. The content is mapped to Common Core and aligned to academic standards.	Science Lab Fees	\$200.00
Science	USA Test Prep	USATestprep, Inc. is an online resource to help high school and students understand their state's required standards and prepare them for high-stakes, standardized tests.	Science Lab Fees	\$300.00
Attendance	Increased Parental Contact	Connect ED	Title 1	\$300.00
CTE	1.1	Licenses (Microsoft Office)	FTE	\$1,000.00
				Subtotal: \$34,475.00
Professional Developme		Description of		
Goal	Strategy Students will utilize Reciprocal Teaching and Question-And- Answer Relationships during reading activities in Language Arts and Social Studies classes. Students will use these research- based strategies to practice locating and verifying details, critically analyzing text, and synthesizing details to draw correct conclusions. Language Arts teachers will use College Board Springboard curriculum to incorporate the strategies. Social Studies teachers will use new supplementary material and incorporate CRISS strategies to	Spring Board Training	FTE	Available Amount \$500.00

	emphasize critical reading.			
Mathematics	Use of Technology	Carnegie Learning Cognitive Tutor Program Training for New and Advanced Teachers	FTE	\$4,000.00
Mathematics	Collegeboard Springboard Training	Training for New Springboard Teachers	FTE	\$500.00
Science	Develop Professional Learning Communities (PLC) of science teachers, with vertical and horizontal alignment within the school and across the feeder pattern, to research, discuss, design, and implement strategies to increase inquiry-based learning of Physical and Chemical Sciences.	Time to meet with other science teachers to develop and implement strategies. (ie. Early release days or Teacher Planning days)	FTE	\$200.00
Science	Identify students scoring 4 or 5 on the Reading and Mathematics portion of the 2012 FCAT and mentor these students in the development of independent experimental projects.	Science Fair workshops for teachers and students.	Title 1	\$800.00
STEM	1.1 Provide all students the opportunity to design experiments using the process of science throughout their science courses while teachers incorporate the process of science through more inquiry- based laboratory activities, field experiences, and classroom discussions. Provide students with Science Fair project scoring rubric.	Science Fair workshops (tutoring)	Title 1	\$800.00
STEM	Instruction in all high school courses adheres to the depth and rigor of the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards as delineated in the District Pacing Guides.	Biology Content and Pacing for Biology teachers	FTE	\$200.00
Other	_	_	_	Subtotal: \$7,000.00
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	The school will implement the Reading Plus program in all Intensive reading classes. The structured program will be used for intervention and acceleration by incorporating differentiated instructional methods to develop essential visual and perceptual skills, while providing individualized instructional scaffolds for each student to ensure silent reading	Incentive for students for Reading Plus completion	EESAC	\$350.00
	practice is effective and leads to proficiency.			

Science	hands-on, laboratory activities for students to make connections to real-life experiences, and explain and write about their results and experiences	Educational Field Trips	EESAC	\$200.00
Science	Provide inquiry-based, hands-on, laboratory activities for students to make connections to real-life experiences, and explain and write about their results and experiences	Incentives for students	EESAC	\$100.00
				Subtotal: \$1,000.00
				Grand Total: \$61,075.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority jn Focus jn Prevent jn NA

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/12/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
Educational Field Trips	\$200.00
Incentives for students	\$500.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

School Advisory Council has an important function in the success of Mater Academy Middle Charter School. Listed below are some of the functions for the SAC:

- Monitor implementation of School Improvement Plan
- · Reach out to community to obtain more partners.
- Sponsor drives to increase parent involvement.
- · Assist the school to create and analyze school climate for parents and students.
- Assist the school to create and analyze school climate surveys for parents and students

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010 SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Dade School District MATER PERFORMING / 2010-2011	ARTS & ENT	ERTAINMEN	IT ACADI	EMY		
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	68%	92%	85%	41%		Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	63%	87%			150	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	64% (YES)	83% (YES)			147	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					583	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	56%	90%	91%	34%		Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the Distric writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	52%	85%			137	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?		80% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					521	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					в	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested