FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: ACADEMY FOR POSITIVE LEARNING

District Name: Palm Beach

Principal: Renatta Espinoza

SAC Chair: Denise Parrotta

Superintendent: William Gent

Date of School Board Approval: January 2011

Last Modified on: 10/1/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Renatta Espinoza	Certified K – 6, ESOL, Reading	6	12	FY 11 AFPL again received an "A" grade but did not meet AYP. They were however designated as a "High Performing Charter School" by FLDOE as per Senate Bill 736 and FL statute. Renatta Espinoza has been the Principal of AFPL since 2004 through 2010. 2008-2009: Grade: A, Reading Mastery: 74%, Math mastery: 71%, Science Mastery: 64%. Writing Mastery: 100.; AYP: 92%, HIS and ED did not make AYP in reading. ED did not make AYP in math. 2007-2008: Grade: A, Reading Mastery 50%, Math Mastery 44%, Science Mastery 20%. AYP: 100%. 2006-2007: Grade A, Reading Mastery: 59%, Math Mastery 55%. AYP: 82%, Black, ELL, SWD did not make AYP. 2005-2006: Grade B, Reading Mastery 49%, Math Mastery

			51%. AYP: 80%, SWD and ELL did not make AYP. Black and FRPL did not make AYP in math. 2004-2005: AP of Manatee Middle: Grade: B, Reading Mastery 47%, Math mastery 52%. AYP: 93%, Only SWD did not make AYP.
--	--	--	--

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading		K-12,ESOL, Reading	6	12	

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1		Principal, Asst. Principal	on-going	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
89% (9) are highly effective teachers, including out of field teachers.	-Professional Development -Mentoring by experienced and effective teachers, supported by administration -Regular curriculum and evaluation meetings -Team planning

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
9	11.1%(1)	66.7%(6)	22.2%(2)	0.0%(0)	11.1%(1)	77.8%(7)	22.2%(2)	0.0%(0)	44.4%(4)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Linda May	A. Mangold	To learn benchmarks, curriculum, DOE standards, if and when needed. To assist in comprehending our objectives and goals. To learn successful classroom management stratiegies and be given the opportunity to observe highly successful peers.	Weekly meetings will be held with all staff. New staff will be paired with a mentor teacher for additonal guidance. Mentors will be responsbile for modeling lessons, allowing new teacher to conduct observations of other successful classrooms, and complete an Indpendent Professional Development plan for each new teacher. Mentors will meet with new staff at least monthly for positive feedback and review of classroom walkthroughs. Also, teachers who do not have a permanent Florida Teaching Ceritifcate, will be required to complete the ESP beginning teacher program under the guidance of our ESP contact.
A. Mangold	B. Scardino	To learn benchmarks, curriculum, DOE standards, if and when needed. To assist in comprehending our objectives and goals. To learn successful classroom management stratiegies and be given the opportunity to observe highly successful peers.	Weekly meetings will be held with all staff. New staff will be paired with a mentor teacher for additonal guidance. Mentors will be responsbile for modeling lessons, allowing new teacher to conduct observations of other successful classrooms, and complete an Indpendent Professional Development plan for each new teacher. Mentors will meet with new staff at least monthly for positive feedback and review of classroom walkthroughs. Also, teachers who do not have a permanent Florida Teaching Ceritifcate, will be required to complete the ESP beginning teacher program under the guidance of our ESP contact.

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

With Title I funds, we are able to hire a part time parent liaison to work closely with families. The parent liaison is bilingual who supports and guides the parents. The liaison organizes parent curriculum night, Open House and Engrade information sessions. We also fund parent literacy nights and provide advice to parents on how they can help their students with academics at home. With Title I funds we purchased EngradePro for use as our academic reporting system. It is directly alligned with the commo core standards. In addition, we have purchased a laptop, USB and software that provides curriculum, literacy and parent information in English and Spanish. This system is for the sole use of the parents and guided by the Parent Liaison. Also with Title I funds, teachers will all attend either a Kagan training or a Lindamood Bell training to align with our school mission. Title I funds will also allow us to purchase supplemental reading material (Reading Eggs) to be used in the classrooms to enhance instruction as well as a comprehensive supplemental Reading and Math Software program. The money will also be used to improve communication between teachers and parents and provide the necessary tools in which to do so such as Nikki folder and Agendas.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

N/A

Title I, Part D

N/A

Title II

District receives supplemental funds for improving basic education programs through the purchase of small equipment to supplement education programs. New technology in classrooms will increase the instructional strategies provided to students and new instructional software will enhance literacy and math skills of struggling students.

Title III

English Language Learner (ELL)—Assists with helping eligible English language learners and immigrant students attain English proficiency and meet the same state standards required of all students. Provides accommodations as needed in the classrooms and staff development for teachers.

Title X- Homeless

Homeless children and youth are entitled to immediate public school enrollment at the school last attended at the onset of homelessness, provided it is in the best interest of the student, requested by the parents, and is feasible. If necessary, the district Homeless Social Worker provides resources (clothing, school supplies, and social services referrals) for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI funds will be coordinated with Title I funds to provide after school tutorials for Level 1 and 2 readers.

Violence Prevention Programs

Academy for Positive Learning is a single school culture and has appreciation for multicultural diveristy. Therefore, Academy for Positive Learning has implemented all measures mandated by the "Jeffrey Johnston Stand Up For All Students Acts" Section 1006.147, Florida Statutes, in conjunction with School Board policy 5.002, entitled "Prohibition of Bullying and Harassment". Communication of the new Definitions stated in Section 4 and the Expected Behaviors On School Property or At School Related Function stated in Section 5 of Policy 5.002 will be included in the Student/Parent Handbook along with the Academy for Positive Learning Staff Handbook. We have a strict school uniform policy, many of the teachers are trained in Kagan's Win Win program which instills positive disciplinary actions and consequences. Parents are provided with information on procedures and consequences each students faces when not acting appropriately. We also work with the Department of Children and Families in all cases of suspected abuse.

District-wide implementation of Single School Culture as well as Appreciation of Multicultural Diversity.

We are coordinating our efforts with Steve Kelly of the Guardian Angels who will provide a lecture on bullying and anger management.

Nutrition Programs

Per our contract, we utilize the school district food services program thus meeting all USDA guidelines. We are a satellite of the School District breakfast and lunch program.

We participate in EmpowerME4Life program which is grounded in the American Heart Association's scientific recommendations and expertise in promoting heart-healthy lifestyles. The educational content and methods are aligned with the National Health Education Standards for fifth grade. In addition, the school plants an organic garden every year. Facilitated by and under the guidence of teh teacher, the students are completely responsible for the harvesting and caretaking of the garden. Vegetables that are harvested are consumed by the students in class as snack or during lunch time. The garden teaches the students growing and sustaining an organic garden, as well as healty eating choices and helaty eating habits.

We collaborate with Casa de Mara, in the Voice for Choice nutrition program for children which is sponsored by Palm Beach Harvest. Our free and reduced children get a hot brunch every Saturday at Casa de Mara.

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

Academy for Positive Learning conducts annual kindergarten round up to invite and inform parents from local preschools, daycares, and head start programs of our program.

Adult Education

N/A

Career and Technical Education

Career Education is begun in middle school with every child required to take at least one semester of a Career Planning course. Professionals from the local community will be invited to lecture the students on the hows and whys of their career choice in order for the students to be informed of the educational requirements, duties and responsibilities of various professions.

We conduct field trips visiting local community businesses. We organized and ran a scavenger hunt similar to "The Amazing Race" program on CBS that was reported by the local news program. The children picked up clues from local vendors and a prize was given to all who participated. We plan field trips to government agencies and introduce the children to their local politicians.

Job Training

N/A

Other

Required instruction listed in 1003.42(2) F.S., as applicable to appropriate grade levels

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

-School-based MTSS/Rtl Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing RtI, conducts assessment of RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.

Select General Education Teachers (Primary and Intermediate): Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as coteaching.

School Psychologist: Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitates development of intervention plans; provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; provides professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation; facilitates data-based decision making activities.

Speech Language Pathologist: Educates the team in the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction, as a basis for appropriate program design; assists in the selection of screening measures; and helps identify systemic patterns of student need with respect to language skills

ESOL contact: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional

activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction for ELLs, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as implementing accommodations.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The Leadership Team will focus meetings around one question: How do we develop and maintain a problem-solving system to bring out the best in our schools, our teachers, and in our students?

The team meets once a month to engage in the following activities:

Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation. Team will identify students who are not meeting Core – Tier I instructional targets and ensure Tier 2 is implemented. A Tier 2 (Tier 3) intervention plan will be developed (PBCSD Form 2284) which identifies a student's specific areas of deficiencies and appropriate research-based interventions to address these deficiencies. The team will ensure the necessary resources are available and the intervention is implemented with fidelity.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI Leadership Team meets with the principal and the Board of Directors to help develop and revise the SIP. The team provides data on: Tier 1, 2, and 3 targets; academic and social/emotional areas that need to be addressed; helps set clear expectations for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, Relationship); facilitate the development of a systemic approach to teaching (Gradual Release, Essential Questions, Activating Strategies, Teaching Strategies, Extending, Refining, and Summarizing); and aligns processes and procedures. Administration or designee will provide professional development to the Board of Directors regarding the RtI process.

The four steps of the Problem Solving Model are:

1. Problem Identification entails identifying the problem and the desired behavior for the student.

2. Problem Analysis involves analyzing why the problem is occurring by collecting data to determine possible causes of the identified problem.

3. Intervention Design & Implementation involves selecting or developing evidence-based interventions using data previously collected. These interventions are then implemented.

4. Evaluating is also termed Response-to-Intervention. In this step, the effectiveness of a student's or group of students' response to the implemented intervention is evaluated and measured.

The problem solving process is self-correcting, and, if necessary, recycles in order to achieve the best outcomes for all students. This process is strongly supported by both IDEA and NCLB. Specifically, both legislative actions support all students achieving benchmarks regardless of their status in general or special education. *Problem Solving & Response to Intervention Project 2008

MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data: K-3 Literacy Assessment, Palm Beach County Writes, DIBELS, Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Fall SSS Diagnostics, Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN)

Progress Monitoring: FCAT Simulation, classroom assessments, SRI

Midyear: Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), K-3 Literacy Assessment, Winter SSS Diagnostics, Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN)

End of year: FAIR, FCAT, FCAT Writes, and/or K-3 Literacy Assessment

Frequency of Data Days: once a quarter for data analysis.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Initially, the RTI Facilitator assigned to the school will provide staff traiing for all instructional personnel during the first few weeks of school on the entire RTI process and the general classroom educator's role in the process. Also, professional development will be provided during teachers' common planning time and small sessions will occur throughout the year.

The RtI team will also evaluate additional staff PD needs during the monthly RtI Leadership Team meetings.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Because Academy for Positive Learning is so small, all teaching staff and the principal participate in the School-Based Literacy Leadership Team. The principal will align the school's culture and vision with the district's and state's focus on literacy achievement. The teaching staff will share leadership in the development and implementation of the school's literacy plan.

We use the Lindamood Bell Reading Program and test our Level 1 and Level 2 with the LIPs program.

Staff is trained on the Lindamood Bell Reading program and students that are Level 1 and Level 2 are tested using the Lips program.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT meets on a weekly basis. Literacy is a school priority and the budget allocates funds to give priority to literacy goals. The school is organized around the learning needs of the students. The team articulates a vision of high achievement and commitments to literacy and ensures that school policies and practices align with the NGSSS. The administration supports innovation in instruction and materials. The LLT promotes the use of effective assessment and instructional strategies, sets clear and measurable goals that improve student achievement and facilitates interventions and learning supports for students failing to meet the curriculum expectations. The LLT determines staffing assignments based on student needs assessments. The team also hold literacy meetings for parents and advises the parents on how they can assist their student at home. These meetings are paid for thorugh Title I.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The LLT will expand student services to provide more intensive Tier 2 and Tier 3 instruction to a wider range of students. Professional development will focus on the reading and writing processes and components of effective instruction. The LLT will dialogue to strengthen teacher skill development, share effective strategies, and evaluate student progress. This year the LLT will focus on increasing the learning gains of those subgroups identified as not making AYP in 2011.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 9/30/2012)

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Local preschools are invited to Kindergarten Round-up in May. Parents and students are invited to visit the classrooms. Parents are given readiness checklists and informed of ways to work with their child(ren) prior to school opening to enhance readiness.

Prior to school opening, kindergarten students are invited to attend the Kindergarten Summer Camp where they begin to learn the school routines and familiarize themselves with the campus. Teachers have an opportunity to assess the social and academic levels of the new students. Teachers work with Administration to review current NGSSS and grade level expectations prior to opening of school. Staff conduct community visits to local preschool progams to review readiness expectations. School tours are encouraged for all new and transitioning students prior to start of school to familiarize student with staff, students, uniforms, routines and expectations.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Reading and Writing across the curriculum is emphasized at all grade levels. Middle School staff will attend training which

instructs staff on strategies for teaching reading in all subject area classes. The master schedule for Middle School also reflects a full period of Reading for all students, a full period of Language Arts for all students and a full period of Intensive Reading for all Level 1 and 2 students

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

NA

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

NA

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

NA

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
	Goal this year is to increase 80% of students testing at proficient or above.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
IFITIOF 3/1% (19) of students scored proticient in reading as	In 2012, our expectations is that 80% of students tested will perform at proficiency level or above in Reading.			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Students who are reading at proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide supplemental classroom materials for literacy centers.Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods. Use Lindamood Bell Lips program to improve phonemics. Word wall for vocabulary review grammar. Phonemic awareness. Visualizing and verbalizing. Level appropriate intensive groups and guided reading.	Principal, classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments			
2	Students who are reading at proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Implement supplemental reading and math curriculum that allows students to be challenged at and above current levels using software program	Principal, Teachers, students	Teacher review of student's progress reports	Software assessment reports			
3	Students who are above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Supplemental reading materials will allow students to be challenged. Kagan structures utilized in the instructional method to promote higher order thinking. Level appropriate intensive groups. Guided reading	Principal, Asst. Principal, classroom teachers.	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	FCAT, CCS; NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments.			
4	Students who are reading at proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods. Use Lindamood Bell Lips program to improve phonemics.	Principal, Asst. Principal, classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom			

ass	sess	me	ents

Based	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need					
	provement for the following					
Stude	1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:			We expect that 80% of students tested will score at proficiency or above in Reading		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
N/A	N/A					
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process 1	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1		Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide supplemental classroom materials for literacy centers.Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods. Use Lindamood Bell Lips program to improve phonemics. Word wall for vocabulary review grammar. Phonemic awareness. Visualizing and verbalizing. Level appropriate intensive groups and guided reading.	Principal, classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT; CCS; NGSSS; Diagnostic Tests; SRI; FAIR; Reading running Records; Classroom Assessments	
2	not feel challenged with	Implement supplemental reading and math curriculum that allows students to be challenged at and above current levels using software program.	Principal, Teachers, Students	teacher review of student's progress reports.	Software assessment reports.	
3		Supplemental reading materials will allow students to be challenged. Kagan structures utilized in the instructional method to promote higher order thinking. Level appropriate intensive groups. Guided reading.	Principal, Classroom Teachers.	Classroom walk throughs; review lesson plans weekly. LLt meetings.	FCAT; CCS; Diagnostic tests, SRI, FAIR, Reading Running Records. Record classroom assessments.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a:	We expect 80% of our students to score at proficiency or above in Reading.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

In 2012, 36% (20) of students met high standards in reading We expect 80% of our students to score at proficiency or

compared to 30% (18) in 2011.

				-			
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide supplemental classroom materials for centers.	Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers		FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments		
2	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods in order to promote higher order thinking. Computer program activities and supplemental materials for differentiation		Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments		
3	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Implement supplemental reading and math currciculum that allows students to be challenged at and above current levels using software program.	Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	Software assessment reports, Core K-12 and FCAT Explorer, Expert 21.		
4	Students who are reading above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods.		Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments		
5	Students who are reading at proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Implement supplemental reading andn math curriculuum that allows students to be challenged at and above current levels using software program.	Principal, Teachers, students	Teacher review of student's progress reports	Software assessment reports, Core K-12 and Expert 21.		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b:	We expect 80% of our students to score at proficiency or above in Reading.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A	N/A			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Person or Process Used to			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Strategy Position Responsible for Monitoring		Evaluation Tool
1	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide supplemental classroom materials for centers.	Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers	weekly. LLT meetings	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments
2	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods in order to promote higher order thinking. Computer program activities and supplemental materials for differentiation	Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers	weekly. LLT meetings	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments
3	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Implement supplemental reading and math currciculum that allows students to be challenged at and above current levels using software program.	Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	Software assessment reports, Core K-12 and FCAT Explorer, Expert 21.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:	We anticipate an increase to 80% of students testing at proficiency or above in Reading.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
In 201, 68% (28) achieved learning gains in Reading compared to 74% (35) in 2011.	In 2013, we anticipate that 80% of students will make learning gains in Reading.

	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studen	t Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Low student self-esteem; poor decoding skills; poor parent support and involvement.	1 01	teachers	Classroom walkthroughs; Review lesson plans weekly; Peer observations	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostics SRI FAIR RRR Classroom assessments
2	Low student self-esteem; poor decoding skills	1 0	Principal, Assst. Principal, Classroom Teachers	Classroom walk thtoughs; review lesson plans weekly; Peer observations	FCAT, CCS, NGSSS, Diagnostics, SRI, FAIR, RRR, LIPS, classroom assessments.
3	Low student self-esteem; poor decoding skills.	through Kagan	Principal, Asst. Principal, classroom teachers	Classroom walkthroughs; Review lesson plans weekly; Peer observations;	FCAT NGSSS Diagnostics SRI FAIR RRR, LIPs

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:				We anticipate that 80% of our students will test proficient or above in Reading.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	at Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1 N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:	In 2013, the goal is that 10% (11) more of the lowest 25% will make learning gains.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
In 2011, 71% of the lowest 25% (7) made learning gains in Reading which is a decrease of 6% (30) in Reading from 2011.	In 2012, 80% of the lowest 25% will make learning gains.		

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Student frustration	Provide weekly afterschool tutoring. Some teachers trained in Sylvan at School. SES	Classroom teachers	Review lesson plans and classroom assessments	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostics SRI FAIR RRR Classroom assessments	
2	Low student self-esteem	Implement supplemental reading and math curriculum that allows students to be successful at and above current proficiency levels using software program	Principal, teachers, students	Teacher review of student progress	Software assessment reports	
	Low student self-esteem	Provide peer mentoring through Kagan structures. Provide Lindamood Bell instruction	Classroom teachers	Review lesson plans	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostics	

3		in visualizing and vebalizing to increase confidence.			SRI FAIR RRR Classroom Assessments
4	Low student self-esteem	through Kagan	Principal, classroom teachers	walkthroughs; Review lesson plans weekly; Peer observations;	FCAT NGSSS Diagnostics SRI FAIR RRR Classroom assessments

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target						
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Reading Goal #			A
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017

Г

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5B:	Hispanics are our largest subgroup, we expect for 80% of the students to be proficient or above.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
In 2012, only 30% (7) of Hispanic students did not meet reading proficiency levels.	In 2013, of the Hispanic subgroup we expect at least 80% will meet proficiency standards.

	Pr	oblem-Solving Process 1	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Due to the language barrier, many students in this subgroup do not get academic support at home.	Provide parent literacy, math and writing training in the home language at least once per year. Provide Spanish/English Dictionary. Textbooks available in Spanish through online component.	Parent Liaison; Classroom teacher	sheets and evaluations of trainings; Classroom performance.	FCAT CELLA CCS NGSSS Diagnostic RRR SRI Palm Beach Writes		
2	Low students self- esteem	Implement supplemental reading curriculum that allows students to be successful at and above current reading levels using software program.	Principal, classroom teacher	student progress	Software assessment reports, leap frog program.		
3	Low student self-esteem	Implement supplemental reading curriculum that allows students to be successful at and above current reading levels using software program	Principal, teachers, students	student progress	Software assessment reports, leap frog program.		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and referred of improvement for the following subgroup:	erence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need		
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C:	We expect that 80% of our ELL students will test proficient or above in Reading.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A	We expect that 80% of our ELL students will test proficient or above in Reading.		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1					Software assessment reports

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:				
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D:	We expect for 70% of SWD students to score proficient or better in Reading.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
In 2012, 67% (3) of our students did not meet proficiency in reading.	We expect to decrease non proficient by 17% and to increase proficiency by 17%.			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Low self esteem	Implement supplemental curriculum that allows students to be successful at and above current proiciency levels using software program	Principal, teachers, students	Teacher review of student progress	Software assessment reports	
2	Low self-esteem	Implement supplemental reading curriculum that allows students to be successful at and above current reading levels using software program.	Princiapl, techers and students	Teacher review of student progress.	Software assessment reports, core K-12, and Expert 21.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making

				In 2013, at least 86% of the Economically Disadvantaged students will meet proficiency.		
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
In 7017 73% (79) of the honulation met proficiency				In 2013, at least 86% of the Economically Disadvantaged students will meet proficiency.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students from Economically Disadvantaged backgrounds generally do not have access to supplemental educational resources outside the school day.	After school tutoring at no cost to students identified in need.	Principal; Asst. Principal; Classroom teacher	Classroom walk throughs; Weekly lesson plan reviews;	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic RRR SRI FAIR	
2	Low student self-esteem	Implement supplemental reading curriculum that allows students to be successful at and above current reading levels using software program	Principal, teachers, students	Teacher review of student progress	Software assessment reports, Core K12, and Expert 21.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
1. Kagan training 2.Lindamood Belll training	all grade levels	kadan/LindamoodBell	all teachers schoolwide		monthly review and role of modeling of structures, techniques learned during LLt meetings	Principal
Training and refreshers on implementing new software			4-8th grade teachers	November 2012	ongoing telephone and online support	Principal

Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Availab Amou					
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00		
			Subtotal: \$0.00		

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Kagan Workshop	Kagan Facilitator	Title I	\$6,000.00
			Subtotal: \$6,000.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Effective Classroom instruction	Kagan materials	Title I	\$3,000.00
Classroom Instruction	Part Time Instructor	Title I	\$4,655.86
			Subtotal: \$7,655.86
			Grand Total: \$13,655.86

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Stude	Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.						
	1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. CELLA Goal #1:						
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:							
In 2012, 60% (3) of the 11 students tested proficient in listening and speaking.							
	PIO	olem-Solving Process t	o morease stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Process Used to Position Determine Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy						
1	Students have difficulty verbalizing and often code switch.	Extensive vocabulary practice through word walls and reading logs. Lindamood bell, visualizing and verbalizing.	Principal, classroom teacher.	Classroom walk throughs, weekly lesson plan reviews, record keeping.	Assessments, FCAT and NGSSS diagnostics, CCS, SRI, Reading Running Records, FAIR, Core K-12		

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.				
2. Students scoring proficient in reading.				
CELLA Goal #2:	We expect all students to be proficient in reading.			
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:				
No students completed the Reading portion, therefore no data available.				

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool
1	5	practice through word	classroom teacher.	throughs, weekly lesson plan reviews, record keeping.	Assessments, FCAT and NGSSS diagnostics, CCS, SRI, Reading Running Records, FAIR, Core K-12

Stude	ents write in English at gra	ade level in a manner sin	nilar to non-ELL stu	udents.	
3. St	udents scoring proficier	nt in writing.	We expect 50%	% of the students to test	proficient in
CELLA Goal #3: writing.					
2012	Current Percent of Stu	dents Proficient in writ	ing:		
No st	udent tested proficient ir	n writing because no stu		nt Achievement	
		Sem-Solving Process (
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students have difficulty verbalizing and conveying meaning.	Extensive vocabulary practice through word walls and reading logs. Lindamood bell, visualizing and verbalizing. Provide Spanish/English dictionary. Grammar practice	Principal, classroom teacher.	Classroom walk throughs, weekly lesson plan reviews, record keeping.	Assessments, FCAT and NGSSS diagnostics, CCS, SRI, Reading Running Records, FAIR, Core K-12. Palm Beach Writes prompts. Zaner Bloser

CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

1a. F	CAT2.0: Students scoring	g at Achievement Level 3	3 in		
	nematics.		The expected pe	ercentage of all grade 3 th Spring Math FCAT Test wi	
Math	ematics Goal #1a:		higher.	Spring Mattricki rest wi	
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
	ding to the FLDOE School a of students met high stand			ercentage of all grade 3 th Spring Math FCAT Test wi	
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	t Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students who are reading at proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide supplemental classroom materials for literacy centers.Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods. Use Lindamood Bell Lips program to improve phonemics. Word wall for vocabulary review grammar. Phonemic awareness. Visualizing and verbalizing. Level appropriate intensive groups and guided reading.	Principal, classroom teachers	Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments
2	Students who are reading at proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Implement supplemental reading and math curriculum that allows students to be challenged at and above current levels using software program	Principal, Teachers, students	Teacher review of student's progress reports	Software assessment reports
3	Students who are above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Supplemental reading materials will allow students to be challenged. Kagan structures utilized in the instructional method to promote higher order thinking. Level appropriate intensive groups. Guided reading	Principal, Asst. Principal, classroom teachers.	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	FCAT, CCS; NGSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments.
4	Students who are at proficiency levels in mathematics will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide differentiated supplemental classroom materials for math centers. Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods. Allow students to work with hands learning materials.	Principal,	classroom teachers Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT NGSSS Diagnostic tests Classroom assessments and Core K12

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and a	define areas in need
Stud	lorida Alternate Assessn ents scoring at Levels 4, ematics Goal #1b:		^{5.} We expect 74 9 2013.	% of students to be profici	ent in Math by June
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
No da	ata		No data		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students who are reading at proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide supplemental classroom materials for literacy centers.Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods. Use Lindamood Bell Lips program to improve phonemics. Word wall for vocabulary review grammar. Phonemic awareness. Visualizing and verbalizing. Level appropriate intensive groups and guided reading.	teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT; CCS; NGSSS; Diagnostic Tests; SRI; FAIR; Reading running Records; Classroom Assessments
2	Students who are reading at proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Implement supplemental reading and math curriculum that allows students to be challenged at and above current levels using software program.	Principal, Teachers, Students	teacher review of student's progress reports.	Software assessment reports.
3	Students who are above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Supplemental reading materials will allow students to be challenged. Kagan structures utilized in the instructional method to promote higher order thinking. Level appropriate intensive groups. Guided reading.	Principal, Classroom Teachers.	Classroom walk throughs; review lesson plans weekly. LLt meetings.	FCAT; CCS; Diagnostic tests, SRI, FAIR, Reading Running Records. Record classroom assessments.
4	Students who are at proficiency levels in mathematics will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide differentiated supplemental classroom materials for math centers. Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods.	Principal, classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT NGSSS Diagnostic tests Classroom assessments and Core K12
	Students who are at proficiency levels in mathematics will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide differentiated supplemental classroom materials for math centers. Utilize Kagan	Principal, classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT NGSSS Diagnostic tests Classroom assessments and Core K12

	structures for	
	instructional methods.	
	Include higher order	
5	questions in lesson plans	
	and provide differentiated	
	supplemental classroom	
	materials for math	
	centers. Utilize Kagan	
	structures for	
	instructional methods.	
	Allow students to work	
	with hands learning	
	materials.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2a:	The expected percentage of all grade 3 through 5 students taking the 2013 Spring Math FCAT Test will be 74% or higher.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
In accordance with the data submitted by the FLDOE 14% (8) of students had high achieving scores.	The expected percentage of all grade 3 through 5 students taking the 2013 Spring Math FCAT Test will be 74% or higher.

	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	t Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.		Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments
2	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods in order to promote higher order thinking. Computer program activities and supplemental materials for differentiation		Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments
3	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Implement supplemental reading and math currciculum that allows students to be challenged at and above current levels using software program.	Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	Software assessment reports, Core K-12 and FCAT Explorer, Expert 21.
4	Students who are above proficiency levels in mathematics will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide differentiated supplemental classroom materials for math centers. Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods.	Principal, Asst. Principal, classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT NGSSS Diagnostic tests Classroom assessment
	Students who are at proficiency levels in mathematics will not feel	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide differentiated	Principal, classroom teachers		FCAT NGSSS Diagnostic tests

challenged with grade level materials. 5	supplemental classroom materials for math centers. Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods. Allow students to work with hands learning materials.	weekly.	Classroom assessments and Core K12	
--	---	---------	--	--

ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need f improvement for the following group:				
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b:	The expected percentage of all grade 3 through 5 students to be proficient is 74%.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A	N/A			

	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide supplemental classroom materials for centers.	Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments
2	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods in order to promote higher order thinking. Computer program activities and supplemental materials for differentiation	Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments
3	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Implement supplemental reading and math currciculum that allows students to be challenged at and above current levels using software program.	Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	Software assessment reports, Core K-12 and FCAT Explorer, Expert 21.
4	Students who are at proficiency levels in mathematics will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide differentiated supplemental classroom materials for math centers. Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods. Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide differentiated supplemental classroom materials for math		Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT NGSSS Diagnostic tests Classroom assessments and Core K12

	centers. Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods. Allow students to work with hands learning materials.			
--	---	--	--	--

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a:	In 2013, 80% of students in grades 3 though 5 will make learning gains on the Math FCAT.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
37% (15) of students made learning gains in 2012.	In 2013, 80% of students in grades 3 though 5 will make learning gains on the Math FCAT.			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students frsutration levels increase and students tend to "give up" on learning process.	strategies through	Principal; Asst. Principal; Classroom teachers.	collect and analyze data on a weekly basis, ex, math diagnostic tests,	Math FCAT NGSSS Diagnostics Classroom assessments. IXL, Gizmos.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b:			In 2013, 80% of students in grades 3 though 5 will make learning gains.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving P	rocess to L	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Posit for		on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		No Data S	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in new of improvement for the following group:				
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4:	On the Spring 2013 Math FCAT, at least 10% more of the lowest 25% of students in grades 3 through 5 will make learning gains by June 2013.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
38% (3) out of (8) made learning gains in 2012.	In 2013, 80 of students in the lowest 25% will make learning gains on the Spring Math FCAT.			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

		oblem-solving rocess i			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Student frustration	Provide weekly afterschool tutoring. Some teachers trained in Sylvan at School. SES	Classroom teachers	Review lesson plans and classroom assessments	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostics SRI FAIR RRR Classroom assessments
2	Low student self-esteem	Implement supplemental reading and math curriculum that allows students to be successful at and above current proficiency levels using software program	Principal, teachers, students	Teacher review of student progress	Software assessment reports
3	Low student self-esteem	Provide peer mentoring through Kagan structures. Provide Lindamood Bell instruction in visualizing and vebalizing to increase confidence.	Principal, Asst. Principal, Classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly; peer observations	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostics SRI FAIR RRR Classroom Assessments
4	Low student expectations and higher levels of frustration.	Learning Team meetings will be held on a weekly basis to analyze data, add additional strategies to classroom structure and make changes to the math curriculum as deemed necessary; Professional development (Kagan)will be provided for classroom teachers throughout the school year with an emphasis placed on curriculum construction using the multiple intelligences. Technology and manipulatives.		Classroom walkthroughs; review lesson plans; data anaylsis each grading period to make adjustments to the math curriculum as neccessary.	Math FCAT NGSSS Diagnostics Classroom assessments.
5	High levels of student frustration	Weekly afterschool tutoring. Leveled tutoring groups.	Classroom teachers	Review lesson plans and assessments	Math FCAT NGSSS Diagnostics Classroom assessments.
	Low student expectations and higher levels of frustration.	Learning Team meetings will be held on a weekly basis to analyze data, add additional strategies	Principal; Asst. Principal; Classroom teachers	Classroom walkthroughs; review lesson plans; data anaylsis each grading period to make	Math FCAT NGSSS Diagnostics Classroom assessments. IXL,

6	to classroom structure and make changes to the math curriculum as deemed necessary; Professional development (Kagan)will be provided for classroom teachers throughout the school year with an emphasis placed on curriculum construction using the multiple intelligences. Technology and manipulatives. Math pull out groups for differentiation.	adjustments to the math Gizmos. curriculum as neccessary.	
---	--	---	--

Based on Amb	Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target						
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Elementary School I	Mathematics Goal #		×	
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B:	School trend data shows that the only ethnicity subgroup with measurable data is Hispanic. We expect that due to our location that trend will continue in 2013. The goal for 2013 is that at least 10% more of the Hispanic subgroup will make satisfactory progress.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
61% (14) of students tested proficient in 2012.	We expect 80% of Hispanic students to test proficient in 2013.

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Due to the language barrier, many students in this subgroup do not get academic support at home.	math and writing training		sheets and evaluations of trainings;	FCAT CELLA CCS NGSSS Diagnostic RRR SRI Palm Beach Writes		
2	Low students self- esteem	Implement supplemental reading curriculum that allows students to be successful at and above current reading levels using software program.	Principal, classroom teacher	Teacher review of student progress	Software assessment reports, leap frog program.		
	Due to the language barrier, many students in	Provide parent math training in the home		Monitor parent sign in sheets and evaluations of	Math FCAT NGSSS Diagnostics		

3	this subgroup do not get academic support at home.	language at least once per year.	5.,	Classroom assessments
4	barrier, many students in this subgroup do not get		sheets and evaluations of trainings; Classroom performance.	FCAT CELLA CCS NGSSS Diagnostic RRR SRI Palm Beach Writes

Ba	ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define a	areas ir	n need
of	improvement for the following subgroup:		

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C:	We expect all of the ELL students to make satisfactory progress in 2013.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
No data available	We expect all of the ELL students to make satisfactory progress in 2013.

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Low student self-esteem	Implement supplemental curriculum that allows students to be successful at and above current proficiency levels using software program. Spanish/English dictionary for classroom use.	Principal, teachers, students	Teacher review of student progress	Software assessment reports	
2	Low student self-esteem	Implement supplemental curriculum that allows students to be successful at and above current proficiency levels using software program. Spanish/English dictionary for classroom use. Teacher resource material provide support for ELLs.	Principal, teachers, students	Teacher review of student progress	Software assessment reports	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D:	We expect 70% of students with SWD to make satisfactory progress in Math in 2013.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		

33% (3) of students with SWD made satisfactory progress in We expect 70% of students with SWD to make satisfactory progress in Math in 2013.

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Low self esteem	Implement supplemental curriculum that allows students to be successful at and above current proiciency levels using software program	Principal, teachers, students	Teacher review of student progress	Software assessment reports		
2	Low self-esteem	Implement supplemental reading curriculum that allows students to be successful at and above current reading levels using software program.	Princiapl, techers and students	Teacher review of student progress.	Software assessment reports, core K-12, and Expert 21.		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:				
satisfactory progress in mathematics.	By June 2013, the number of Economically Disadvantaged students in grades 3 though 5 who make satisfactory progress in Math will increase by at least 10%.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

On the Spring 2012 Math FCAT, 50% (20) of all Economically On the Spring 2013 Math FCAT, 80% of all Economically Disadvantagd students made made learning gains in Math.

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students from Economically Disadvantaged backgrounds generally do not have access to supplemental educational resources outside the school day.		Principal; Asst. Principal; Classroom teacher	Classroom walk throughs; Weekly lesson plan reviews;	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic RRR SRI FAIR		
2	Students from Economically Disadvantaged backgrounds generally do not have access to supplemental educational resources outside the school day.		Principal; Asst. Principal; Classroom teacher	Classroom walk throughs; Weekly lesson plan reviews; Pre and post tutoring assessment.	Math FCAT NGSSS Diagnostic Classroom assessments		

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in

	ematics. ematics Goal #1a:		The expected percentage of all grade 6 through 8 students taking the 2013 Spring Math FCAT Test will be 74% or higher.			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	ding to the FLDOE School and for the stand			ercentage of all grade 6 th Spring Math FCAT Test wi		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students who are reading at proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide supplemental classroom materials for literacy centers.Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods. Use Lindamood Bell Lips program to improve phonemics. Word wall for vocabulary review grammar. Phonemic awareness. Visualizing and verbalizing. Level appropriate intensive groups and guided reading.	teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments	
2	Students who are reading at proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Implement supplemental reading and math curriculum that allows students to be challenged at and above current levels using software program	Principal, Teachers, students	Teacher review of student's progress reports	Software assessment reports	
3	proficiency levels will not feel challenged with	Supplemental reading materials will allow students to be challenged. Kagan structures utilized in the instructional method to promote higher order thinking. Level appropriate intensive groups. Guided reading	Principal, Asst. Principal, classroom teachers.	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	FCAT, CCS; NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments.	
4	Students who are reading at proficiency levels will not feel challenged with level materials.	Implement supplemental reading and math curriculum that allows students to be challenged at and above current levels using software program. Projects related to Math to real world concepts. Field trips.	Princiapal, Teachers,	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings.	IXL Manipulatives Gizmos	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #1b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too
1	Students who are reading at proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide supplemental classroom materials for literacy centers.Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods. Use Lindamood Bell Lips program to improve phonemics. Word wall for vocabulary review grammar. Phonemic awareness. Visualizing and verbalizing. Level appropriate intensive groups and guided reading.	teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT; CCS; NGSSS; Diagnosti Tests; SRI; FAIR; Reading running Records; Classroom Assessments
2	Students who are reading at proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Implement supplemental reading and math curriculum that allows students to be challenged at and above current levels using software program.	Principal, Teachers, Students	teacher review of student's progress reports.	Software assessment reports.
3		Supplemental reading materials will allow students to be challenged. Kagan structures utilized in the instructional method to promote higher order thinking. Level appropriate intensive groups. Guided reading.	Principal, Classroom Teachers.	Classroom walk throughs; review lesson plans weekly. LLt meetings.	FCAT; CCS; Diagnostic tests, SRI, FAIR, Readin Running Records. Record classroom assessments.
	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and c	define areas in nee
2a. F Leve	CAT 2.0: Students scorin I 4 in mathematics.	0	The expected p	ercentage of all grade 6 th Spring Math FCAT Test wi	
2012	2 Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	

5	5	higher.		
Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
performing above	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide supplemental	Principal;		FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic

1	feel challenged with grade level materials.	classroom materials for centers.		LLT meetings	tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments
2	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.		Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers	weekly. LLT meetings	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments
3	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Implement supplemental reading and math currciculum that allows students to be challenged at and above current levels using software program.	Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers		Software assessment reports, Core K-12 and FCAT Explorer, Expert 21.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics.	The expected percentage of all grade 6 through 6 students to be proficient is 74%.
Mathematics Goal #2b:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
No data	No data
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide supplemental classroom materials for centers.		Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments	
2	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods in order to promote higher order thinking. Computer program activities and supplemental materials for differentiation	Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments	
3	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Implement supplemental reading and math currciculum that allows students to be challenged at and above	Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	Software assessment reports, Core K-12 and FCAT Explorer, Expert 21.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a:			In 2013, 80% of students in grades 3 though 5 will make learning gains on the Math FCAT.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perforr	nance:
37% (15) of students made learning gains in 2012.			In 2013, 80% of students in grades 3 though 5 will make learning gains on the Math FCAT.		
	Problem-Solvir	ng Process to I	ncrease S ⁻	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need f improvement for the following group:					
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b:			In 2013, 80% of students in grades 6 though 8 will make learning gains.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	ance:
No data			No data		
	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4:	On the Spring 2013 Math FCAT, at least 10% more of the lowest 25% of students in grades 6 through 8 will make learning gains by June 2013.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	On the Spring 2013 Math FCAT, at least 10% more of the			

38% (3) out of (8) made learning gains in 2012.

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Student frustration	Provide weekly afterschool tutoring. Some teachers trained in Sylvan at School. SES	Classroom teachers	Review lesson plans and classroom assessments	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostics SRI FAIR RRR Classroom assessments			
2	Low student self-esteem	Implement supplemental reading and math curriculum that allows students to be successful at and above current proficiency levels using software program	Principal, teachers, students	Teacher review of student progress	Software assessment reports			
3	Low student self-esteem	Provide peer mentoring through Kagan structures. Provide Lindamood Bell instruction in visualizing and vebalizing to increase confidence.	Principal, Asst. Principal, Classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly; peer observations	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostics SRI FAIR RRR Classroom Assessments			

Based on Amb	Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target							
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			5A :					
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:				
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B:	School trend data shows that the only ethnicity subgroup with measurable data is Hispanic. We expect that due to our location that trend will continue in 2013. The goal for 2013 is that at least 10% more of the Hispanic subgroup will make satisfactory progress.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
61% (14) of students tested proficient in 2012.	We expect 80% of Hispanic students to test proficient in 2013.			
Problem Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C:			We expect all of the ELL students to make satisfactory progress in 2013.		
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nce:
No Data available.			We expect all of the ELL students to make satisfactory progress in 2013.		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and r g subgroup:	eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D:			In 2013 we exp	In 2013 we expect 70% of SWD to test proficient.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
33% (0) of SWD students tested proficient in 2013.			In 2013 we exp	In 2013 we expect 70% of SWD to test proficient.		
	Pr	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Low self esteem	Implement supplemental reading curriculum that allows students to be successful at and above current reading levels using software program.	Princiapl, techers and students	Teacher review of student progress.	Software assessment reports, core K-12 and Expert 21.	

Low self-esteem			
	 Principal, teachers, students	student progress	Software assessment reports

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and c	lefine areas in need	
satis	conomically Disadvantag factory progress in math ematics Goal #5E:		By June 2013, t students in grad	By June 2013, the number of Economically Disadvantaged students in grades 3 though 5 who make satisfactory progress in Math will increase by at least 10%.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	e Spring 2012 Math FCAT, vantagd students made ma Pr	ade learning gains in Math.	Disadvantaged	On the Spring 2013 Math FCAT, 80% of all Economically Disadvantaged students will make learning gains in Math.		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students from Economically Disadvantaged backgrounds generally do not have access to supplemental educational	After school tutoring at no cost to students identified in need.	Principal; Asst. Principal; Classroom teacher	Classroom walk throughs; Weekly lesson plan reviews;	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic RRR SRI FAIR	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

supplemental educational resources outside the

school day.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	l on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and re g group:	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
	udents scoring at Achiev ora Goal #1:	ement Level 3 in Algebra		100% of students (9) obtained a passing level on the Algebra I EOC.		
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
In 201	12 71% (5) tested proficie	nt on the Algebra I EOC.		We expect 100% of Algebra I students to obtain a passing level in the EOC.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students may feel slightly frustrated with Algebra material.	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide differentiated supplemental classroom materials for math centers. Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods.	Principal, classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT NGSSS Diagnostic tests Classroom assessments and Core K12 Learning Village	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference of improvement for the following group:	rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra. Algebra Goal #2:	100% of students (9) obtained a passing level on the Algebra I EOC.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
29% (2) of the Algebra I students had high achievement scores on the Algebra I EOC in 2012.	We expect 100% of Algebra I students to obtain a passing level in the EOC.
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement

	Problem-solving Process to find ease student Achievement								
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1	Algebra material.	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide differentiated supplemental classroom materials for math centers. Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods. Allow students to work with hands learning materials.	classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT NGSSS Diagnostic tests Classroom assessments and Core K12 Learning Village				

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target									
3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Algebra Goal #			A			
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017			
	100%								
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:									
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3B:				N/A					
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:					

NL.	/Λ
IN/	A

IN/A			N/A		
	Pi	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students may feel slightly frustrated with Algebra material.	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide differentiated supplemental classroom materials for math centers. Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods. Allow students to work with hands learning materials.	Principal, classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT NGSSS Diagnostic tests Classroom assessments and Core K12 Learning Village

	l on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and re j subgroup:	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and c	lefine areas in need
	nglish Language Learner Factory progress in Algel	-	N/A		
Algeb	ora Goal #3C:				
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
N/A			N/A		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students may feel slightly frustrated with Algebra material.	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide differentiated supplemental classroom materials for math centers. Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods. Allow students to work with hands learning materials.	Principal, classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT NGSSS Diagnostic tests Classroom assessments and Core K12 Learning Village

N/A

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and re j subgroup:	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
satisf	tudents with Disabilities actory progress in Algeb ra Goal #3D:	-	N/A		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
N/A			N/A		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students may feel slightly frustrated with Algebra material.	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide differentiated supplemental classroom materials for math centers. Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods. Allow students to work with hands learning materials.	Principal, classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT NGSSS Diagnostic tests Classroom assessments and Core K12 Learning Village

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and re g subgroup:	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
satisi	conomically Disadvantag factory progress in Algel pra Goal #3E:	ged students not making ora.	N/A		
2012	Current Level of Perforr	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
N/A			N/A		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students may feel slightly frustrated with Algebra material.Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide differentiated supplemental classroom materials for math centers. Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods.1			Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT NGSSS Diagnostic tests Classroom assessments and Core K12 Learning Village

	materials.		

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of in need of improvement			eference t	o "Guiding Questions"	, identify and define areas
1. Students scoring a Geometry.	t Achievement Level	3 in			
Geometry Goal #1:					
2012 Current Level o	f Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfo	ormance:
	Problem-Solving P	Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievemen	t
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
 Students scoring at 4 and 5 in Geometry. 	 Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry. 				
Geometry Goal #2:	Geometry Goal #2:				
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	nance:
	Problem-Solving Proc	cess to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

- 1	Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target			
	3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Geometry Goal #	[

Baseline data 2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3B:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
Problem-Solving Process to Inc				tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

No	Data	Submitted
140	Dutu	oubinittou

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
3C. English Language satisfactory progress						
Geometry Goal #3C:						
2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
	Problem-Solving Proc	cess to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3D. Students with Disa satisfactory progress Geometry Goal #3D:	aking					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
Problem-Solving Process to I			ncrease S	itudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
3E. Economically Disa making satisfactory pi	dvantaged students not rogress in Geometry.					
Geometry Goal #3E:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perforn	nance:	
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focu:	Grade	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Kagan training	all grades	Kagan Facilitator	school-wide	October 2012	Monthly review of Kagan strategies and role playing during Team meetings and staff development	Principal

District Meetings and Professional Developments	all grades	District Curriculum Specilist	school-wide	October 2012	Share training content during Team meetings	Principal
--	------------	-------------------------------------	-------------	--------------	--	-----------

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate	rial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
all staff attend at least one Kagan Workshop.	Kagan workshop	Title I	\$5,000.00
	•		Subtotal: \$5,000.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Classroom instruction	Supplemental Math materials for the classroom.	Title I	\$2,500.00
			Subtotal: \$2,500.00
			Grand Total: \$7,500.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

		lent achievement data, a t for the following group		Guiding Questions", ide	ntify and define	
Leve	CAT2.0: Students sco I 3 in science. nce Goal #1a:	ring at Achievement		We expect 80% of students to test at proficiency or above in 2013.		
2012	Current Level of Perf	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ce:	
35%	(7) of students achieved	d proficiency in 2012.		We expect 80% of students to test at proficiency or above in 2013.		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Students who are reading at proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide supplemental classroom materials for literacy centers.Utilize Kagan structures for	Principal, classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading	

1		instructional methods. Use Lindamood Bell Lips program to improve phonemics. Word wall for vocabulary review grammar. Phonemic awareness. Visualizing and verbalizing. Level appropriate intensive groups and guided reading.			Record Classroom assessments
2	Students who are reading at proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Implement supplemental reading and math curriculum that allows students to be challenged at and above current levels using software program		Teacher review of student's progress reports	Software assessment reports
3	Students who are above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Supplemental reading materials will allow students to be challenged. Kagan structures utilized in the instructional method to promote higher order thinking. Level appropriate intensive groups. Guided reading	Principal, Asst. Principal, classroom teachers.	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	FCAT, CCS; NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments.
4	Emphasis is not placed on content areas as much as Reading and Math	Utilize cross curricular lesson planning to increase content knowledge. Reading and writing across all curriculums. Reading and writing about Science through current events and periodicals.	Principal; Asst. Principal; Classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Weekly lesson plan review	Science FCAT Classroom assessments Student projects and portfolios. Gizmos
5	Provide hands on experiential labs in the community	Allow field experiences for students through filed trips in community	Classroom teachers	Pre and post assessments of field trip alligned with lesson plans	Post evaluation of knowledge gained; High tech.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

Stud	lorida Alternate Asses ents scoring at Levels nce Goal #1b:	ssment: 4, 5, and 6 in science.	We expect 80 above.	We expect 80% of students to test at proficiency or above.		
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Students who are reading at proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide supplemental classroom materials for	Principal, classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly.	FCAT; CCS; NGSSS; Diagnostic Tests; SRI; FAIR; Reading running	

Records;

Classroom

classroom materials for literacy centers.Utilize

Kagan structures for

1		instructional methods. Use Lindamood Bell Lips program to improve phonemics. Word wall for vocabulary review grammar. Phonemic awareness. Visualizing and verbalizing. Level appropriate intensive groups and guided reading.			Assessments
2	Students who are reading at proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Implement supplemental reading and math curriculum that allows students to be challenged at and above current levels using software program.	Principal, Teachers, Students	teacher review of student's progress reports.	Software assessment reports.
3	Students who are above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Supplemental reading materials will allow students to be challenged. Kagan structures utilized in the instructional method to promote higher order thinking. Level appropriate intensive groups. Guided reading.	Principal, Classroom Teachers.	Classroom walk throughs; review lesson plans weekly. LLt meetings.	FCAT; CCS; Diagnostic tests, SRI, FAIR, Reading Running Records. Record classroom assessments.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a:	We expect 80% of students to test at proficiency or higher in 2013.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
5% (1) of students had high achieving scores in 2012.	We expect 80% of students to test at proficiency or higher in 2013.				

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	questions in lesson plans and provide	Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments
2	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	instructional methods	Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments

3	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	supplemental reading	Principal; classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	Software assessment reports, Core K- 12 and FCAT Explorer, Expert 21.
4	Emphasis is not placed on content areas as much as Reading and Math	lesson planning to increase content	Asst. Principal; Classroom	Classroom walk throughs; Weekly lesson plan review	Science FCAT Classroom assessments Student projects and portfolios. Gizmos, Core K- 12.

		dent achievement data, a t for the following group		Guiding Questions", ide	entify and define		
Stud in sc	lorida Alternate Asses ents scoring at or abo ience. nce Goal #2b:	ssment: ve Achievement Level	We expect 80	We expect 80% of students to test at proficiency or higher in 2013.			
2012	Current Level of Perf	ormance:	2013 Expect	ed Level of Performar	ice:		
N/A			N/A				
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stud	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Include higher order questions in lesson plans and provide supplemental classroom materials for centers.	Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments		
2	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Utilize Kagan structures for instructional methods in order to promote higher order thinking. Computer program activities and supplemental materials for differentiation	Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	FCAT CCS NGSSS Diagnostic tests SRI FAIR Running Reading Record Classroom assessments		
3	Students who are performing above proficiency levels will not feel challenged with grade level materials.	Implement supplemental reading and math currciculum that allows students to be challenged at and above current levels using software program.	Principal, Asst. Principal; classroom teachers	Classroom walk throughs; Review lesson plans weekly. LLT meetings	Software assessment reports, Core K- 12 and FCAT Explorer, Expert 21.		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
District Meetings and Professional Developments		District Curriculum Specilist	school-wide	November 2012	Share training content during Team meetings	Principal
Differentiated Instruction	all drades	District trainer	Science teachers	January 2012	Classroom walkthroughs	Principal

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s			Auglielele
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Field lab experience	Field trip admissions and transportation	parents, fundraisers	\$2,500.00
			Subtotal: \$2,500.00
		Gra	and Total: \$2,500.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level3.0 and higher in writing.	100% of students in 4th and 8th grade will test proficient			
Writing Goal #1a:	and above in 2013.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1	Schedule only permits 30 minutes per day for writing instruction.	Increase the amount of Writing in all content areas; Have homeroom teachers instruct handwriting skills daily using additional writing curriculum.Kagan structures will to increase writing skills.	Principal; Asst. Principal; Classroom Teacher		Palm Beach Writes FCAT Writing. Core K-12, Zaner Blosser				

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for th		nd reference to "Gu	iiding Questions", identify	y and define areas	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b:			100% of stude	100% of students in 4th and 8th grade will test proficient and above in 2013.		
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	2:	
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Schedule only permits 30 minutes per day for writing instruction.	Increase the amount of Writing in all content areas; Have homeroom teachers instruct handwriting skills daily using additional writing curriculum.Kagan structures will to increase writing skills.	Principal; Asst. Principal; Classroom Teacher	Regular team evaluation of student writing samples during staff meetings.	Palm Beach Writes FCAT Writing. Core K-12, Zaner Blosser	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Pl Content /Topic Grade Facili and/or PLC Level/Subject and/o Focus Lea	_C subject, grade	(e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g.	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	-------------------	---	--	--

	J		4th-8th grade teachers.	on-going	Weekly review of lesson plans	Principal
--	---	--	----------------------------	----------	----------------------------------	-----------

Writing Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
Strategy		r unung source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Kagan Structures	Kagan facilitator	Title I	\$1,500.00
			Subtotal: \$1,500.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Classroom instruction	Supplemental materials	Title I	\$1,000.00
			Subtotal: \$1,000.00
			Grand Total: \$2,500.00

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement for the following group:						
1. Students scoring a	t Achievement Le	evel 3 in Civics.				
Civics Goal #1:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solvir	ng Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievemen	t	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

in need of improvement for the following group:						
 Students scoring at 4 and 5 in Civics. 	or above Achievement Le	evels				
Civics Goal #2:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to l	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsil for Monitorin		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
No Data Submitted								

Civics Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developr	ment		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

NU Dat	1

No Data

Subtotal: \$0.00

Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Civics Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* Whe	en using percentages, incluc	le the number of students t	he percentage repre	esents (e.g., 70% (35)).			
	d on the analysis of atter provement:	ndance data, and referer	nce to "Guiding Qu	estions", identify and de	fine areas in need		
1. At	tendance						
Atter	Attendance Goal #1:			ing about the same atte	endance rate as the		
2012	2 Current Attendance R	ate:	2013 Expecte	ed Attendance Rate:			
95%	attendance rate in 2011.		94% or above	94% or above attendance rate in 2012.			
	2 Current Number of Stu ences (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2013 Expecte Absences (10	ed Number of Students) or more)	s with Excessive		
10 students with excessive absences.			8 or less stude	8 or less students will have excessive absences.			
	2 Current Number of Stu ies (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			
There	There were 0 students with excessive tardies in 2011.			We anticipate that there will be 2 or less students with excessive tardies in 2012.			
	Pro	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	As the school offers no transportation, all students are dependent on parents for transportation to school.	bring children to school		Monitor attendance rate	Gold Report, TERMS reports		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /To and/or PL Focus		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Attendance Budget:

			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference of improvement:	to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1:	Due to the facility limitations and staff size, the school does not offer an In-School suspension program. School Wide discipline is handled through classroom management. Students must sign a contract upon enrollment stating they will abide by school rules or will withdraw.
2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions
There were zero in-school suspensions.	N/A
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended I n- School
There were zero suspensions.	Less than 1% of students will be suspended in 2012.
2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions

There	e were zero out of school	suspension.	Less than 1% o	of students will be suspe	nded in 2012.		
2012 Scho	2 Total Number of Stude ol	2013 Expecte of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School				
NA			NA				
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Family dynamics continue to change and students face even more challenges at home.	Continue to require a commitment from students and families to sign Behavior Contract as a condition to enrollment.	Administration	Suspension rate	TERMs report		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Suspension Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	NO Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	NO Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	I on the analysis of pare ed of improvement:	nt involvement data, and	reference to "Guid	ding Questions", identify	and define areas
1. Pai	rent Involvement				
Parer	nt Involvement Goal #1	1:			
*Plea	se refer to the percenta	ge of parents who		that 80% of our parents d in the school.	will participate
partic	ipated in school activitie	5 1			
2012	Current Level of Parer	it Involvement:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Parent I nvo	lvement:
schoo	ximately 80% (103) of p I. Either through training teer capacities.	arents were involved in t s and workshops or	we anticipate	that 80% of our parents d in the school.	will participate
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	 1.1 Parent transportation issues 1.2. low parent concern/involvement in all school sponsored activities 1.3. Language barriers 1.4 Small number of partnerships with local businesses. 	 1.1. Encourage fellow parents to car pool and volunteer to pick up those parents in need of transportation 1.2. Designate a Parent Liaison to work regularly with parents; Parents required to volunteer 40 hours per year as per charter contract. 1.3. FCAT and Literacy night with language facilitators available for Spanish speakers. 1.4 Encourage more parents and business organizations to become actively involved in school program and secure additional partnerships. 	1.2. Parent Liaison; teachers 1.3. Parent liaison; teachers 1.4 Principal	 1.1 The number of parents attending parent trainings and special school programs. 1.2. Parent participation with sign in sheets, 1.3. Parent participation with sign in sheets 1.4 Secure additional partnerships with local businesses. 	1.1. Sign in sheets at parent trainings and school special programs. 1.2. Parent participation with sign in sheets, 1.3. Parent's participation with sign in sheets 1.4 Contract or signed partnership agreement

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Family Friendly Schools	K-8	Margaret Schandorf	Staff Schoolwide	November 2013	Staff surveys	Parent Liaison

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Program(A
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Family Involvement	Provide trainings, curriculum nights, literacy nights, send home information and provide refreshments	Title I	\$592.14
	·		Subtotal: \$592.1
			Grand Total: \$592.1

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

Base	d on the analysis of schoo	ol data, identify and defir	ne areas in need of	improvement:		
1. ST STEN	EM I Goal #1:		Students will have access to technology in the classroom and the computer lab to utilize with projects and to assist in comprehension and analysis of content.			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Economically disadvantaged students do not have access to computers at home.	Ensure that they have materials to use in class related to projects. Schedule frequent visits to the computer lab.	teacher.	Classroom walkthrough, Computer lab schedule check in and out.	N/A	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	lo Data Submitte	d		

STEM Budget:

Г

Evidence-based Progr			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

Base	ed on the analysis of sch	ool data, identify and de	efine areas i	n need	d of improvement:		
1. C CTE	TE Goal #1:		educa	Provide students with field trips to sites for career education. Encourage students to participate in "take your child to work day."			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Proces	s to Increa	se Sti	udent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person Positic Responsib Monitor	on le for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Transportation to field trip site.	Utilize public transportation if necessery.	Principal		Team meetings	www.floridachoice.org	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
	-	Ν	No Data Submittee	d		

CTE Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00
			End of CTE Goal

Additional Goal(s) No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Attendance	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Suspension	No Data	NO Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Writing	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0
Attendance	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0
Suspension	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0
				Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developn	nent			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Reading	Kagan Workshop	Kagan Facilitator	Title I	\$6,000.0
Mathematics	all staff attend at least one Kagan Workshop.	Kagan workshop	Title I	\$5,000.0
Writing	Kagan Structures	Kagan facilitator	Title I	\$1,500.0
Attendance	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0
Suspension	No Data	NO Data	No Data	\$0.0
Other		_	_	Subtotal: \$12,500.0
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Reading	Effective Classroom instruction	Kagan materials	Title I	\$3,000.0
Reading	Classroom Instruction	Part Time Instructor	Title I	\$4,655.8
CELLA	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0
Mathematics	Classroom instruction	Supplemental Math materials for the classroom.	Title I	\$2,500.0
Science	Field lab experience	Field trip admissions and transportation	parents, fundraisers	\$2,500.0
Writing	Classroom instruction	Supplemental materials	Title I	\$1,000.0
Attendance	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0
Suspension	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0
Parent Involvement	Family Involvement	Provide trainings, curriculum nights, literacy nights, send home information and provide refreshments	Title I	\$592.1
				Subtotal: \$14,248.0

Subtotal: \$14,248.00

Grand Total: \$26,748.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jm Priority jm Focus jm Prevent jm NA

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Describe projected use of SAC funds

Amount

No data submitted

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The Board of Directors acts on behalf of the SAC.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010 SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Palm Beach School Dis ACADEMY FOR POSITI 2010-2011		NG				
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	75%	64%	86%	56%	201	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	73%	65%			138	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	70% (YES)	63% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					552	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					A	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	78%	69%	71%	55%		Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	75%	72%			147	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	81% (YES)	65% (YES)			146	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					566	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested