FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: LIBERTY MIDDLE SCHOOL

District Name: Marion

Principal: Michelle Lewis

SAC Chair: Linda Lewis

Superintendent: James M. Yancey, Jr.

Date of School Board Approval:

Last Modified on: 10/18/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Michelle Lewis	-Bachelors in Finance -Masters in Educational Leadership -Certifications in Business Education (6- 12), Principal (K- 12)	mance Masters in ducational eadership Certifications in usiness ducation (6- 2),Principal (K-	8	SY 2006-2007 Grade C, AYP N - 72% of criteria met. Dunnellon High SY 2007-2008 Grade C, AYP N - 77% of criteria met. Dunnellon High SY 2008-2009 Grade C, AYP N - 72% of criteria met. Dunnellon High SY 2009-2010 Grade B, AYP - 77% of criteria met. Dunnellon High SY 2010-2011 Grade B, AYP - 79% of criteria met. Dunnellon High SY 2011-2012 Grade TBD, AYP- TBD% of criteria met. Dunnellon High
					SY 2006-2007 Grade B, AYP N - 87% of criteria met. Belleview High

Assis Principal	Melissa Lindmean	-Bachelors in Social Science Education -Masters in Educational Leadership -Certifications in Social Science (6-12), ESOL (6- 12), Educational Leadership	1	1	SY 2007-2008 Grade A, AYP N - 85% of criteria met. Belleview High SY 2008-2009 Grade C, AYP N - 79% of criteria met. Belleview High SY 2009-2010 Grade B, AYP - 90% of criteria met. Belleview High SY 2010-2011 Grade A, AYP - 77% of criteria met. Belleview High SY 2011-2012 Grade TBD, AYP- TBD% of criteria met. Belleview High
Assis Principal	Shameka Murphy	General Science (6-12), Educational Leadership	1	1	SY 2006-2007 Grade C, AYP N - 72% of criteria met. Dunnellon High SY 2007-2008 Grade C, AYP N - 77% of criteria met. Dunnellon High SY 2008-2009 Grade C, AYP N - 72% of criteria met. Dunnellon High SY 2009-2010 Grade B, AYP - 77% of criteria met. Dunnellon High SY 2010-2011 Grade B, AYP - 79% of criteria met. Dunnellon High SY 2011-2012 Grade TBD, AYP- TBD% of criteria met. Dunnellon High

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Instructional Coach		Bachelor's Reading/ Reading Certification	4	4	2010-2011: Grade A, Reading Mastery 70%, Math Mastery 72%; Science Mastery 46%. AYP: 72%, White, African-American, and SWD did not meet AYP in Reading. White, African-American, Economically Disadvantaged, and SWD did not meet AYP in Math.

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Conduct a school orientation.	Administrative Team	August 2012	
2	Teacher mentor program for teachers new to the professional and new to the school		On-Going	
3	Provide training in direct alignment with the district/state on Common Core. Also include training on Classroom Management Strategies, Technology in the Classroom, and Using Data to Improve Instruction	Administrative Team	On-Going	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

^{*}When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
3 out of 57 teachers are currently teaching out-of-field.	All teachers are currently or will be enrolled in certification classes to receive proper certification.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed		% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
67	6.0%(4)	32.8%(22)	50.7%(34)	16.4%(11)	31.3%(21)	95.5%(64)	22.4%(15)	1.5%(1)	34.3%(23)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Alexan Johnson	Jennifer Franco	Beginning Guldance Counselor	Weekly meetings to collaborate about guidance programs, classroom visits, counseling sessions, and progress monitoring of students.
Michael Hill	Joshua Church	Beginning Teacher 1-3 years	LMS will partner with the district to pilot a new Teacher Induction Program this year. The focus activities will be developed around the MCIES rubrics and will provide "new" teachers an opportunity to work with a peer teacher throughout the year.
Barbara Kepner	Candace Ingram	Beginning Teacher 1-3 years	LMS will partner with the district to pilot a new Teacher Induction Program this year. The focus activities will be developed around the MCIES rubrics and will provide "new" teachers an opportunity to work with a peer teacher throughout the year.
Teresa Moses	Sharda Smith	Beginning Teacher 1-3 years	LMS will partner with the district to pilot a new Teacher Induction Program this year. The focus activities will be developed around the MCIES rubrics and will provide "new" teachers an opportunity to work with a peer teacher throughout the year.
Amy Ryffel-Kragh	Monica Jacobs	Beginning Teacher 1-3 years	LMS will partner with the district to pilot a new Teacher Induction Program this year. The focus activities will be developed around the MCIES rubrics and will provide "new" teachers an opportunity to work

with	а	peer	tea	cher
throu	Jq	hout	the	year

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I. Part A

Title II

Title II, Part A: District provides staff development activities to improve basic educational programs and to assist administrators and teachers in meeting highly qualified status.

Title II, Pard D: District receives supplemental funds for improving their basic education programs through the purchase of small equipment to supplement education programs, technology in the classrooms to increase instructional strategies that enhances literacy and math skills in struggling students. (For Middle and High Schools, funds are used to purchase SuccessMaker licenses and provide professional development for SuccessMaker).

Title III

Part A: Services are provided through the district for educational materials and ELL district support services on an as-needed basis to improve education of immigrant and English Language Learners.

Title X- Homeless

District Homeless Social Worker provides resources (clothing, supplies, social services referrals) for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Dropout prevention and academic intervention programs are funded through the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) and Supplemental Academic Instruction categorical funds. School districts have flexibility in how SAI funds may be expended as long as dollars are used to help students gain at least a year of knowledge and not be left behind.

Violence Prevention Programs

Liberty Middle School partners with the Marion County Sheriff's Office and a School Resource Officer to provide a secure campus and implementation of intervention activities.

Nutrition Programs

	Economically disadvantaged students receive free or reduced price breakfast and lunch from Federal funding.
Н	ousing Programs

Head Start

	_

Adult Education

Career and Technical Education		
Job Training		
Other		

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

-School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Assistant Principal of Curriculum- Melissa Lindeman- academic data and teacher input Assistant Principal of Discipline- Shameka Murphy--behavior and attendance data Guidance Counselors-Alexan Johnson/Jennifer Franco -student support data

Exceptional Student Ed Support Facilitator- Kelly Robinson-additional ESE support data

Behavior Specialist- Dan Craggs

Psychologist- Melissa McCain- gathers data for possible ESE support/consult and intervention

Resource Compliance Specialist- Alicyn Rewis-gathers data for possible ESE support/consult and intervention

Dean- Jessica McCleery- shares data relating to success of existing interventions

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The RtI team will meet bi-monthly to engage in the following activities:

Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI Leadership Team assisted in the development of the School Improvement Plan (SIP). The team provided data on: Tier 1, 2, and 3 targets; academic and social/emotional areas that needed to be addressed; helped set clear expectations for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, Relationship); facilitated the development of a systemic approach to teaching (Gradual Release, Essential Questions, Activating Strategies, Teaching Strategies, Extending, Refining, and Summarizing); and aligned processes and procedures.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) Progress Monitoring: PMRN, Curriculum Based Measurement (CBM), Monthly District Demand Writings, Success Maker Midyear: Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR), Early Reading Diagnostic Assessment (ERDA), District Benchmark Assessments

End of year: FAIR, FCAT

Frequency of Data Days: twice a month for data analysis

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Teachers at Liberty Middle School have been trained on the RtI Model and will continue to receive professional development during teachers' common planning time and data team meetings held twice a month.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Support of the MTSS is in part driven by PBS (Positive Behavior Support) and Pride Paws with additional support provided through things like check-in and check-out.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Michelle Lewis-Principal

Melissa Lindeman-Assistant Principal

Shameka Murphy-Assistant Principal

Meredith Scott-District Instructional Coach

Jessica McCleery-Dean

Jennifer Franco- Guidance Counselor

Alexan Johnson- Guidance Counselor

Terri Adams - Media Specialist

Barbara Kepner- LA Teacher

Kelly Lawniczak- Social Studies Teacher

Sandra Crusher- Science Teacher

Bonnie Weekes- Science Teacher

Pam Schaeffer- Science Teacher

Claudia Markham-Ahl- Science Teacher

Darlene Nowery-Math Teacher

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT meets monthly at Liberty Middle School to discuss items of importance from our annual school-wide read to novels in Language Arts classes. We also discuss the Media Center's needs.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

To collaborate about our upcoming school-wide read The Revealers. To develop curriculum to reinforce the book and keep students engaged.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

No Attachment

*Elementary Title | Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

N/A

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

All teachers at Liberty Middle School will utilize reading strategies previously learned through workshops and staff development. The instructional coach, the Literacy Leadership Team (LLT), and/or the staff development team will support and provide assistance and professional development to all teachers by modeling these strategies and facilitating collaborative groups when requested. Lesson plans and Classroom Walk Through (CWT) will provide evidence on area reading strategy implementation and will provide a means for monitoring, while implementing the Common Core Literacy standards as well.

*High Schools Only	
Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j)	F.S.
How does the school incorporate applied and integrel relevance to their future?	rated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and
N/A	
How does the school incorporate students' academ students' course of study is personally meaningful?	ic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that
N/A	
Postsecondary Transition	
Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.	S.
Describe strategies for improving student readiness Feedback Report	s for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High Schoo</u>
N/A	

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.

Reading Goal #1a:

In grades 6-8, 60% (at least 610) of the students will be proficient in reading based on the FCAT reading test.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

54% (551)of Liberty Middle School students in grades 6-8 were proficient on the reading FCAT.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Person or Process Used to Determine

Person Tool

Prolem-Tool

Fivaluation Tool

		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	1	Limited student experience reading complex nonfiction texts in core classes.		Assistant Principals	assessments.	Grades FCA FCAT QWAs Close Reading Assignments
	2	Individualized or differentiated instruction for all students.	Intensive classes provided for reading and math; PBS-Positive Behavior Support to increase student motivation; seamless school-wide expectations across grade levels	Classroom teachers, administrative team, Reading Coach	SuccessMaker data, classroom observations	Grades FCA FCAT QWAs MCIES
:	3	Excessive absences, mobility of students	provide intensive reading courses for level 1 and 2 students and progress monitor level 3 reading students, SuccessMaker	Administrators, Reading Coach, Teachers	department readings facilitated by the reading coach to provide frequent progress monitoring of data	Focus Calendar Assessments (FCA), SuccessMaker Reports, District Benchmark Assessments, FAIR testing

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refe of improvement for the following group:	rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need			
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:	All students (100%; 5 of 5) will score a level 4, 5, or 6 in FAA Reading			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
100% (3) students scored a 4, 5, or 6 in FAA Reading	100%, all students will score a level 4, 5, or 6 in FAA Reading			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	of preps per teacher (19).	Time for teacher to plan outside of the instructional day. Paraprofessional support to assist in day-to-day classroom activities.	· ·	Classroom observations and walkthroughs, along with feedback from teacher.	MCIES

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

	Students achieving above proficiency (FCAT levels 4 and 5) in reading will increase by 5% on the FCAT at each grade level as measured by 2013 FCAT reading results.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Based on 2012 FCAT Reading results the percentage of students scoring at or above Level 4 in reading are as follows: 6th grade= 35% (157 students), 7th grade= 39% (121 students), 8th grade= 19% (62 students)	Students achieving above proficiency (FCAT levels 4 and 5) in reading will increase by 5% per grade level on 2013 FCAT reading.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Limited student experience reading complex nonfiction text.	Implement critical reading skills in all courses. Teachers will participate in on-going Common Core trainings this school year.	Assistant Principals CC Lead Teachers	assessments.	Grades FCAT QWA Close Readings
2	Time for completion of enrichment activities with students		Assistant Principals		Grades FCAT QWA Close Readings
3	Challenging upper level students	Provide enrichment activities to students in all advanced, honors, and gifted classes.		Montioring of teacher lesson plans.	2013 FCAT Assessment.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading.

Reading Goal #2b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

0/3 students scored at or above achievement level 7 in FAA Reading

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
of preps per teacher (19).	Time for teacher to plan outside of teh instructional day. Paraprofessional support to assist in day-to-day classroom activities.	'	Classroom walkthroughs; behavior specialist, feedback from teacher	MCIES

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:			86% (911) of st	86% (911) of students in grades 6-8 will make learning gains based on the FCAT reading assessment.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
61% (635) of students in grades 6-8 made learning gains based on the 2012 reading assessment.				86% (911) of students in grades 6-8 will make learning gains based on the FCAT reading assessment.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Limited student experience with reading complex nonfiction text using deep reading strategies in all core classes	Implement critical reading skills in all courses. Teachers will participate in ongoing Common Core training throughout the school year.	Asst Principals	Monitor lesson plans and assessments. Classroom walkthroughs.	Grades FCAs FCAT QWAs	
2	Individualized or differentiated instruction of all students	Intensive classes provided for reading and math; PBS-Positive Behavior Support to increase student motivation; seamless school-wide expectations across grade levels	Classroom teachers, administrative team, Reading Coach	Analysis of student achievement data, SuccessMaker data, Classroom observations and walkthroughs.	Grades FCAs FCAT QWAs	
3	Excessive absences, mobility	Provide intensive reading courses (read 180), SRA, Corrective Reading, SuccessMaker	Administrators, teachers	Bi-monthly reading department meetings, frequent progress monitoring of data.	Focus Calendar Assessments (FCA), SuccessMaker Reports, District Benchamrk Assessment (DBMA), FAIR	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:	60% (3/5) of students will make learning gains in Reading			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
40% (2/5) of student taking the FAA made learning gains in reading as measured by 2012 results.	60% (3/5) of alternatively assessed students will make learning gains in Reading			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Large class sizes and a large number of preps taught throughout the school day (19).	Time for teacher to plan outside of instructional day. Paraprofessional support for day-to-day classroom activities	Assistant Principal	Classroom walkthroughs, behavior specialist, feedback from teachers	MCIES		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and ref of improvement for the following group:	erence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:	75% of the lowest 25% of students will make learning gains in reading on the FCAT.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
64% of the lowest 25% made learning gains on the 2012 FCAT reading assessment.	75% of the lowest 25% of students will make learning gains in reading on the FCAT.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

		<u> </u>			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Timely identification of students scoring in the bottom quartile.	1. The bottom 25% of students will be identified based on 2012 FCAT reading scores. 2. Students will be scheduled into Intensive Reading classes based upon FCAT scores, SRA decoding results, and FAIR data. 3. In addition to using the above information, the placement of Students with Disabilities into reading classes will be done to align with the requirements of each students Individual Education Plan. 4. Instruction will be delivered as described and required by our district reading plan (direct instruction). 5. Support will be provided through Reading Paraprofessionals. 6. Supplemental instruction will include Successmaker, My Reading Coach, and Reading Counts. 7. Instruction will be monitored through data collection (FCA, Benchmark, PMRN, Successmaker, FAIR), analysis, and reviews with teachers.		Effectiveness will be monitored throughout year using Classroom Walk Throughs, Reading Notebook Reviews, Data Reviews (FCA, Benchmark, FAIR, PMRN). Growth will also be monitored quarterly based on established indivikdual growth goals. Final effectiveness will be determined by using the 2013 FCAT SSS Reading scores.	2013 FCAT SSS Reading

2		Provide intensive intervention reading ocurses (Read 180, SRA, Corrective Reading, SuccessMaker)	Teachers	department meetings, bi- monthly progress monitoring of data	Focus Calendar Assessments (FCA), SuccessMaker Reports, District Benchmark Assessments, FAIR
3	none	3	teachers	hortizontal collaboration	SMS and Custom Reports, FCAs, DBMA, and FCAT.

Based	d on Ambitious but Achieva	able Annual Measurable Ob	ojectives (AMOs), AM	O-2, F	Reading and Math Pe	erformance Target
Measi	ambitious but Achievable Arurable Objectives (AMOs). bl will reduce their achiever 3%.	In six year of AMO f	e 2013 AN	rious year		ogress will be me 2017, 83% of stu	
	line data 0-2011 2011-2012 2	2012-2013 2013-201	14	2014-201	5	2015-2016	2016-2017
	d on the analysis of studen		reference	to "Guiding) Ques	tions", identify and	define areas in need
Hispa satis	Student subgroups by ethanic, Asian, American Inc factory progress in read ling Goal #5B:	dian) not making	Read 70% Read	ing of Hispanio ing of Black So	subg	up will make satisfac roup will make satis up will make satisfac	factory gains in
2012	2 Current Level of Perform	mance:	2013	3 Expected	d Leve	I of Performance:	
Hispa	e - 70%(357)of students m nnic - 65% (144) of studen - 49% (106) made gains		Read 70% Read	ing of Hispanio ing of Black So	c subg	up will make satisfac roup will make satis up will make satisfac	factory gains in
	Pr	roblem-Solving Process	to Increa	ıse Studer	nt Ach	ievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Pos Respoi	son or sition nsible for itoring		rocess Used to Determine ffectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Funding for a tutoring program	We would like to provide an after school tutoring/enrichment program for students to provide additional time and support to students. Priority enrollment would be provided to our minority students.	team	Administrative		analysis of student rmance in core emic classes	Focus Calendar Assessments, District Benchmark Assessments
2	Limited exposure of students to reading complex nonfiction text ir core classes	Implement critical reading skills in all courses. Teachers will participate in on-going Common Core trainings throughout the school year	Principal Asst Prin CC Lead	cipals Teachers	asses	or lesson plans and sments, classroom hroughs	Grades FCAs FCAT QWAs
3	Excessive absences, mobility	Provide intensive reading courses (Read 180, SRA, Corrective Reading, 50 minute Reading			meeti progr	onthly data analysis ings and frequent ess monitoring of nts Focus Calendar	FCA, DBMA, FAIR

			Assessments, FAIR	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, a of improvement for the following subgroup:	and refer	 rence to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and c	define areas in need
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C:	N/A			
2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
N/A		N/A		
Problem-Solving Proc	ess to I	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy	R	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Limited personnel to assist students during instructional time in his/her native language. Limited personnel to Develop schedule in which ESOL paraprofessionals car serve all ESOL studer throughout their core classes.	Ass n nts	ncipal st Principal		FAIR, FCAS, QWAS DBMA, FCAT
2 N/A N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D:				86% (201) of Students With Disabilities (SWD) will make gains in Reading based on the 2013 FCAT reading.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:		2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
66% (152) of Students with Disabilities scored below grade level in reading based on the 2012 FCAT.				86% (201) of Students with Disabilities will make gains based on the 2013 FCAT reading assessment.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to I r	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	at home necessary to access the District parent portal and teacher web pages.	Students in need of materials or school supplies will be assisted through our guidance department to ensure they have adequate supplies to participate in the everyday learning environment. The District Parent Portal, Websites, and phone conferences will be available to parents at the school so they may stay up to date with their child's	tea tea	ministrative m, counselors, chers	Student success will be measured by report card grades and performance on both formative and summative assessments.	Quarterly report cards, FAIR, FCAT

		progress.			
1	Excessive Absences, Mobility	Provide intenisve Reading courses (Read 180), SRA, Corrective Reading, SuccessMaker)	Teachers	SWD data and frequent progress monitoring throughout the 2012- 2013 school year.	Focus Calendar Assessments (FCA), District Benchmark data, SucceessMaker Reports, FCAT Explorer, FCAT
	Getting teachers trained in the use of inclusion strategies.	disabilities in inclusion	specialist, support facilitators.	monitor student's progress in inclusion classes several times a week.	focus calendar assessments, district benchmark data, successmakers reports, FAIR FCAT data.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E:	86% of Economically Disadvantaged students will make gains based on the 2013 FCAT.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
42% (279)Economically Disadvantaged students made gains based on the 2012 FCAT.	86% of Economically Disadvantaged students will make gains based on the 2013 FCAT.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students coming to school without necessary supplies.	Students in need of materials or school supplies will be assisted through our guidance department to ensure they have adequate supplies to participate in the everyday learning environment. The District Parent Portal, Websites, and phone conferences will be available to parents at the school so they may stay up to date with their child's progress.		Student success will be measured by report card grades and performance on both formative and summative assessments.	Report cards, FAIR, FCAT
2	Families not having access to internet at home to utilize the District Parent Portal and the school/teacher web pages.	The district parent portal, district and teacher web pages, and phone conferences will be available to parents so they may stay up to date with their child's progress.	Administrative team, counselors, teachers	Student success will be measured by report card grades and performance on both formative and summative assessments.	Report cards, FAIR, FCAT
3	Excessive absences, mobility	Provide Intensive intervention reading courses (Read 180, SRA, Corrective Reading, SuccessMaker)	Administrators, teachers	Bi-monthly reading department meetings, bi- monthly progress monitoring of data.	Focus Calendar Assessments (FCA), SuccessMaker Reports, District Benchmark Assessments, FAIR
4	Funding	After school programs including tutoring and unit recovery.	Administrators, teachers	Monitor the number of students attending the after school program. Monitor the numbers of	Continued monitoring of students through the guidance

	units the students are	department
	recoverina.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	raciiitatoi	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core Lead Teacher Training	Grades 6-8 All Subjects		Louann Boemio ELA, Terri Adams Soc St, Michael Orloff Science, James Heruth Math, Velvea Brown Vocational	district training dates	CCLT will meet with admin team before and after each training to discuss school goals	
Deep Reading Training	All Teachers	CCLT and Michelle Lewis	All Teachers	Early Release dates and every other Wednesday	Admin walkthroughs	Principal, Asst Principals
NGCAR-PD Training	Grade 7 Civics	Sue Eatmon and Michelle Surman	Quentin Anderson, Andrew Bordelon	district training dates	Admin team will use district provided "look fors" rubric to conduct classroom walkthroughs	Principal, Asst Principals
Common Core Overview Training	All staff	CCLT (Common Core Lead Teachers)	All teachers	Early Release dates and every other Wednesday	Admin walkthroughs	CCLT, Principal, Asst Principals
Text Complexity	All staff	CCLT and Michelle Lewis	All Teachers	Early Release dates and every other Wednesday	Admin walkthroughs	Principal, Asst Principals

Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Progran	11(3)7 Matchal(3)		A 11.1.1
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

CELLA Goal #1:

50% of 6 Grade students will be proficient in listening/speaking 86% of 7 Grade students will be proficient in listening/speaking 77% of 8 Grade students will be proficient in listening/speaking

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

6 Grade: 10/22 (45%)
7 Grade: 13/16 (81%)
8 Grade: 18/25 (72%)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier

Strategy

Person or Position December 1 Evaluation Tool

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students who speak languages other than Spanish have no assistance in heritage language		ESOL Paraprofessional	student performance on	FAIR, FCA, OWA, DBMA, FCAT, CELLA
2		allows ESOL	APC APD	student performance on	FAIR, FCA, QWA, DBMA, FCAT, CELLA

Stude	Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.						
Students scoring proficient in reading. CELLA Goal #2:			5% of 7 Grade	46% of 6 Grade students will be proficient in Reading 5% of 7 Grade students will be proficient in Reading 21% of 8 Grade students will be proficient in Reading			
2012	Current Percent of Stu	dents Proficient in read	ding:				
7 Gra	de: 9/22 (41%) de: 1/16 (.06%) de: 4/25 (16%)						
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Limited personnel to assist students in native language in all core classes	Develop schedule where ESOL paraprofessional can serve all students 20 min/daily, 3 times/weekly in core classes	Principal APC APD	Data analysis of student performance on Focus Calendar Assessments, Quarterly Writing Assessments, District Benchmarks and 2013 FCAT	CELLA		

3. Students scoring proficient in writing.			41% of 6 Grad	41% of 6 Grade students will be proficient in Writing		
CELLA Cool #2.				5% of 7 Grade students will be proficient in Writing 20% of 8 Grade students will be proficient in Writing		
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:						
Grade 6: 8/22 (36%) Grade 7: 2/16 (.12%) Grade 8: 5/25 (20%)						
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students who speak languages other than Spanish receive no assistance in heritage language	Allow student access to Rosetta Stone, with monitoring by ESOL Paraprofessional	Principal APC APD Classroom Teacher	student performance on	FAIR, FCA, QWA, DBMA, FCAT , CELLA	

CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Progr	(-)(-)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
n/a	n/a	n/a	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
n/a	n/a	n/a	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
n/a	n/a	n/a	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
n/a	n/a	n/a	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. 86% (911) of students will achieve proficiency in math based on the 2013 FCAT. Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 71% (738) of students achieved proficency based on the 86% (911) of students will achieve proficiency in math based 2012 FCAT Math. on the 2013 FCAT. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Content area math Bi-monthly analysis of Focus Calendar Excessive Absences, Provide individual mobility instruction and intensive teachers, student achievement Assessments remediation using VMath administrators data, classroom (FCA), District and manipulatives walkthroughs Benchmark data, SuccessMaker. VMath, FCAT Explorer Implementation with Principal Grades, FCAs, Teachers will participate Monitor trainings, lesson fidelity of Common Core in ongoing CC math APC plans, assessments and FCAT, MCIES APD practices in Math classes practice trainings this classroom walkthroughs Common Core Lead school year Teachers

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
Stude	lorida Alternate Assessn ents scoring at Levels 4, ematics Goal #1b:	nent: 5, and 6 in mathematics	60% (3/5) stud Mathematics.	60% (3/5) students will score a level 4, 5, or 6 on the FAA Mathematics.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
100% (3/3) students scored a level 4, 5, or 6 in FAA Mathematics			60% (3/5) stud Mathematics.	60% (3/5) students will score a level 4, 5, or 6 on the FAA Mathematics.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy R		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Large number of subjects taught within same school day	Provide strategies for learning centers and work stations, continued paraprofessional assistance, behavior specialist if needed	Principal APC APD	Classroom walkthroughs, behavior specialist	MCIES, FAA	

of imp	of improvement for the following group:					
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2a:			25% (280) of s	25% (280) of students in grades 6-8 will achieve avbove proficiency (FCAT levels 4 and 5) in mathematics based on		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
23% (265) of students in grades 6-8 achieved above proficiency (FCAT levels 4 and 5) in mathematics based on the FCAT.			, ,	25% (280) of students in grades 6-8 will achieve above proficiency (FCAT levels 4 and 5) in mathematics based on the FCAT.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Limited activities for upper level students to be challenged.	Provide enrichment activities to students in all advanced, honors, and gifted classes.	Administrators, Teachers	Monitoring of teacher lesson plans	2013 FCAT Mathematics Assessment	

1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b:			50% (2 students) will score level 7 in mathematics FAA			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
25% (1 student) scored a level 7 in math FAA				AMO data unavailable but 2 students will score proficient achievement level		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to I	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Large number of subjects taught within school day		Prir AP(API		Classroom walkthroughs, behavior specialist	MCIES, FAA

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a:	86% (911) of students in grades 6-8 will make learning gains in mathematics based on the FCAT.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
72% (749) of students in grades 6-8 made learning gains in mathematics based on the FCAT.	86% (911) of students in grades 6-8 will make learning gains in mathematics based on the FCAT.			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Excessive absences, mobility	Provide individual instruction and intensive remediation using VMath and manipulatives	Administrators, Teachers	student achievement data, classroom walkthrough observations	Focus Calendar Assessments (FCA), District Benchmark Assessment data, SuccessMaker reports, VMath Reports, FCAT Explorer	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b:			100% (4) students will make math learning gains as measured by FAA			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:		2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
100% of students made math learning gains as measured by FAA			by	AMO data unavailable but all 4 students (100%) will make math learning gains		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to I	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy R		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Large number of subjects taught within school day		AP(Classroom walkthroughs, behavior specialist data	MCIES, FAA

1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4:				86% of the lowest 25% will make learning gains in mathematics based on the FCAT.		
2012	Current Level of Perforr	nance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
70% of the lowest 25% made learning gains in mathematics based on the FCAT.				86% of the lowest 25% will make learning gains in mathematics based on the FCAT.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Excessive absences, mobility	Provide individual instruction and intensive	Administrators, teachers	Bi-monthly analysis of student achievement	Focus Calendar Assessments	

1		remediation using VMath and manipulatives		through observations	(FCA), District Benchmark Assessments, SuccessMaker, FCAT Explorer, VMath
2	Identification of bottom quartile students.	3	math teachers.	horizontal collaboration	SMS and Custom Reports, FCAs, DBMA, FCAT

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target						
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Middle School Mather In 2017, 83%	ematics Goal # of students will	be proficient in	math
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making At least 86% (911) of all tested subgroups will make gains in satisfactory progress in mathematics. mathematics based on the 2013 FCAT. Mathematics Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: White, Black and Hispanic students did not make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in mathematics based on the FCAT. At least 86% (911) of all tested subgroups will make gains in 73% (373) white students proficient mathematics based on the FCAT. 48% (107) black students proficient 67% (145) Hispanic students proficient. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Excessive absences, Provide individual Administrators, Bi-monthly analysis of Focus Calendar mobility instruction and intensive Teachers student achievement Assessments remediation using VMath (FCA), District data, classroom walk-Benchmark data, and manipulatives through observations SuccessMaker reports, VMath, FCAT Explorer

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:				
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C:	N/A not enough students in this subgroup			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

	N/A			N/A		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

1										
	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:									
	tudents with Disabilities	` ,								
satist	factory progress in math	nematics.		Students with Disabilities (S s based on the 2013 FCAT.	WD) will make gains					
Math	ematics Goal #5D:		in mathematic	s based on the 2013 FCAT.						
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performance:						
Adequ	of Students with Disabilitie Late Yearly Progress (AYP) ematics based on the 2012	and are belwo grade level		86% (215) of Students with Disabilities (SWD) will make gains in mathematics based on the 2013 FCAT.						
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool					
1	Excessive absences, mobility	Provide individual instruction and intensive remediation using VMath, Acaletics and manipulatives	Administrators, teachers, support faciltators	Bi-monthly analysis of student achievement data, classroom walk- throughs	Focus Calendar Assessments (FCA), District benchmark assessments, SuccessMaker Reports, VMath, FCAT Explorer					
2	(Engaged classrooms), Te VMath, SuccessMaker, and ECAT Explorer to		Administrators, Teachers	Bi-monthly analysis of student achievement data, classroom walk- throughs	Focus Calendar Assessments (FCA), District benchmark assessments, SuccessMaker Reports, VMath, FCAT Explorer					

	ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need fimprovement for the following subgroup:						
5E. Economically satisfactory prog Mathematics Goa	gress in math	ged students not making nematics.	86% of Econom	86% of Economically disadvantaged students will make gains in mathematics on the 2013 FCAT.			
2012 Current Lev	2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	9	ged students did not make) in mathematics based on	86% of Econom	ically disadvantaged stude on the 2013 FCAT.	ents will make gains		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process to	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
			Person or	Process Used to			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Excessive absences, mobility	Provide individual instruction and intensive remediation using VMath and manipulatives	Teachers	student achievement data, classroom walkthrough observations	Focus Calendar Assessments (FCA), District Benchmark Assessments, SuccessMaker Reports, FCAT Explorer, VMath

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. 20% (22) students will score a level 3 on 2013 Algebra EOC Algebra Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 23% (19) students scored level 3 on Algebra EOC in 2012 20% (22) students will score a level 3 on 2013 Algebra EOC Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Teachers will participate State Algebra EOC Exam, Algebra 1 EOC Implementation to Administration Common Core math in ongoing training in Common Core Lead along with Focus Exam, FCAs, DBMA practices common core practices Teachers Calendar Assessments for math and District Benchmarks

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
and 5	udents scoring at or abo in Algebra. ora Goal #2:	ve Achievement Levels 4		r students will score at lev	el 4 or 5 on Algebra		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:			
67% (of students scored at level	4 or 5 on Algebra EOC 20	80% (90) of ou EOC 2013	80% (90) of our students will score at level 4 or 5 on Algebra EOC 2013			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Implementation to Common Core math practices	Teachers will participate in ongoing training in common core practices for math	Administration Common Core Lead Teachers	Algebra EOC Exam, along with Focus Calendar Assessments and District Benchmarks	Algebra 1 EOC Exam, FCAs, DBMA		

Based	on Amb	itious but A	chieva	ble Annual	Measurable	Objec	ctives (AMOs), AMO-	2, Reading and	Math Pe	erformance Target
Measu	ırable Ob I will red	but Achieva bjectives (Al uce their ac	MOs).	In six year	Algebra Goo Still		ting DOEs	guidano	ce on AMOs		<u></u>
Baseline data 2010-2011 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-				2013-2	2014	2014	4-2015	2015-2	016	2016-2017	
		analysis of s			ent data, an	ıd refe	erence to "Gu	uiding C	Questions", ident	ify and	define areas in need
3B. St Hispa satisf	tudent s inic, Asia	subgroups an, Americ progress in	by eth	nnicity (Wh			100% of s	tudents	will make satisf	actory p	rogress in Algebra
2012	Current	Level of P	erforn	nance:			2013 Exp	ected L	evel of Perforr	mance:	
	student a 1 EOC		make s	atisfactory	performanc	e on	AMO data	no avai	lable		
			Pr	oblem-Sol	ving Proce	ss to	Increase St	tudent	Achievement		
	Antic	ipated Bar	rier	Sti	rategy	I	Person o Position Responsible Monitorin	e for	Process Use Determin Effectivenes Strategy	e ss of	Evaluation Tool
1	'	entation to n Core math s	ı	in ongoing	vill participa training in ore practice	Co	dministration	Lead w	lgebra EOC Exan ith Focus Calend ssessments and enchmarks	n, along dar	Algebra 1 EOC Exam, FCAs, DBMA
		analysis of s			ent data, an	ıd refe	erence to "Gu	uiding C	Questions", ident	ify and o	define areas in need
satisf	_	anguage Le progress in #3C:			t making		N/A Subgr	oup too	small to collect	significa	ant data
2012	Current	Level of P	erforn	nance:			2013 Exp	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A S	N/A Subgroup too small to collect significant data N/A Subgroup too small to collect significant data										
			Pr	oblem-Sol	ving Proce	ss to	Increase St	tudent	Achievement		
Antic	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Evaluation Tool					luation Tool					
					No	o Data	Submitted				

of improvement for the fo	f improvement for the following subgroup:					
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3D:			N/A Subgroup too small to collect significant data			
2012 Current Level of F	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	mance:	
N/A Subgroup too small t	data	N/A Subgroup too small to collect significant data				
	Problem-Solvir	ng Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Si					·	

Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the following the followin	student achievement data, and llowing subgroup:	l refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3E:			N/A Subgr	oup too small to collect si	gnificant data
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Ехр	ected Level of Performa	nce:
N/A Subgroup too small to collect significant data			N/A Subgroup too small to collect significant data		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	on or ion onsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No	Data :	Submitted		

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.

Geometry Goal #1:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Liberty Middle does not	offer Geometry	Liberty Mi	Liberty Middle does not offer Geometry				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
No Data Submitted							

Based on the analysis of in need of improvement	student achievement data, for the following group:	and r	eference to	g "Guiding Questions", id	entify and define areas
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in Geometry.Geometry Goal #2:			Liberty Middle does not offer Geometry		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
Liberty Middle does not offer Geometry			Liberty Middle does not offer Geometry		
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy					
No Data Submitted					

Based on Ambitiou Target	Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Farget						
3A. Ambitious but Annual Measurable (AMOs). In six yea reduce their achie 50%.	e Objectives ar school will	Geometry Goal # Liberty Middl 3A:	e does not offer	Geometry	4		
Baseline data 2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.

Geometry Goal #3B:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Liberty Middle does not offer Geometry

Liberty Middle does not offer Geometry

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
Anticipated Barrier		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
	No	Data Submitted					

3	student achievement data, for the following subgroup:	and r	eference to	o "Guiding Questions", id	lentify and define areas
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3C:			Liberty Middle does not offer Geometry		
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	nance:
Liberty Middle does not offer Geometry			Liberty Middle does not offer Geometry		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

3	f student achievement data, for the following subgroup:	and r	eference to	o "Guiding Questions", id	lentify and define areas
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3D:			Liberty Mi	ddle does not offer Geon	netry
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
Liberty Middle does not offer Geometry			Liberty Middle does not offer Geometry		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

making satisfactory progress in Geometry. [] I Geometry Goal #3E:			Liberty Middle does not offer Geometry			
2012 Current Level of	f Performance:		2013 Exp	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
Liberty Middle does not offer Geometry			Liberty Middle does not offer Geometry			
	Problem-Solving P	rocess to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Grade Level Math Trainings	Grades 6-8	Chris Hanes	entire mathematics department	9/10&11; 9/12&13; 9/17& 18; 12/3-5	CCLT will meet with department and admin team before and after trainings to discuss dissemination of information to teachers	Principal, Assistant Principals
Common Core Math Practices Training	Grades 6-8	Common Core Lead Teacher	entire mathematics department	Early Release and Wednesdays	Admin walkthroughs, lesson plans	Principals, Assistant Principals

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Program	(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developmen	it		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	When daming personnages, melade the namber of stadents the personnage represents (e.g., 1070 (00)).						
	d on the analysis of stud in need of improvement			Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define		
Leve	CAT2.0: Students scor I 3 in science. nce Goal #1a:	ring at Achievement		50% (195) of students will achieve proficiency on the FCAT Science 2013			
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ce:		
	(160) of 8th grade stud e FCAT science assessm			50% (195) of students will achieve proficiency on the FCAT Science 2013			
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Limited student experience with context reading skills in all core classes	Implement critical reading skills in all courses. Teachers will participate in ongoing Common Core Trainings throughout the school year.	Administrators, Common Core Lead Teachers, Science Teachers	Monitor lesson plans, classroom assessments, classroom walkthroughs	Grades FCA FCAT		
2	Teacher training	Use of new science standards and new textbooks with integration of Common Core strategies	Administration, district science coordinator.	Observations of instruction using new science standards and textbooks	FCA, 8th grade FCAT science assessments.		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b:			· All students (5 Writing.	All students (5/5) will receive a level 4, 5, or 6 in FAA Writing.		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ce:	
3/3 s	tudents received a level	4, 5, or 6 in Science F	AAII students (5 Writing.	5/5) will receive a level	4, 5, or 6 in FAA	
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Large number of subjects taught within	Provide strategies for learning centers and	Principal APC	Classroom walkthroughs, data,	MCIES Behavior Data	

	school day	work stations,	APD	behavior data,	
1		continued support from		behavior specialist	
		paraprofessionals,			
		behavior specialist			
		assistance if needed			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. 20% (78) Students will score above proficiency (FCAT levels 4 and 5) in 2013 FCAT Science Science Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 11% (41) of students in grade 8 achieved above 20% (78) Students will score above proficiency (FCAT proficiency (FCAT levels 4 and 5) in science based on levels 4 and 5) in 2013 FCAT Science the 2012 FCAT. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Challenging upper level Provide enrichment Administrators, Monitoring of teacher 2013 FCAT students activities to students Teachers lesson plans science in all advanced, Assessment honors, and gifted classes.

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
Stud in sc	Florida Alternate Assestents scoring at or about ience. The Goal #2b:			no 8 grade students sch	neduled for FAA			
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ce:			
0/2 s	tudents scored level 7 c	r higher in FAA Science	N/A Currently	N/A Currently no 8 grade students scheduled for FAA				
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Large number of subjects taught within school day	Provide strategies for learning centers and work stations, continued support from paraprofessionals, behavior specialist assistance if needed	Principal APC APD	Classroom walkthroughs, data, behavior data, behavior specialist	MCIES Classroom Data			

(PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core Overview Training	All staff	CCLT	All teachers	Department	Admin walkthroughs	CCLT, Principal, Asst. Principals
Deep Reading Training	Grades 6-8 Science Teachers	CCLT and Michelle Lewis	Grades 6-8 Science	Early Release Dates and every other Wednesday	Admin walkthroughs and school wide Close Reading activities	Principal, Asst. Principals
Text Complexity Training	Grades 6-8 Science	CCLT and Michelle Lewis	Grades 6-8 Science, ELA, SS, elective	Early Release Dates and every other Wednesday	Admin walkthroughs and school wide Close Reading activities	Principal, Asst. Principals

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.				
Writing Goal #1a:	At least 80% (312/391) of students will be proficient on the FCAT Writing assessment.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
73% (228) of students achieved proficiency (FCAT level 3.0 and higher) in writing in 2012	At least 80% (312/391) of students will be proficient on the FCAT Writing assessment.			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Mobility	8th grade students will utilize Pearson's on-line essay scorer through their Language Arts class in order to enhance their writing skills.						
2	Support from core content teachers with Common Core writing initiative	Consistent lessons with writing assignments in all classes	Administrators	performance matters, classroom walkthroughs	OWA (Quarterly Writing Assessments), Demand Writings, FCAT Writes			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b:			n/a no students scheduled to take FAA writing		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perforn	mance:
2/2 of FAA Writing students recieved a level 4 or higher on the Writing piece of the test.			n/a no students scheduled to take FAA writing		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core Team/Data	6-8	Lead Teachers		dates, Wednesdays	Lesson plans, observations, classroom walkthroughs	Administration

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
	Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics. Civics Goal #1:			50% (180/360) of Civics students will be proficient on the Civics Assessment			
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
Test I	nas not been given yet, o	data not available	`	50% (180/360) of Civics students will be proficient on the Civics Assessment			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Document based question training (DBQ)	Teachers will use these skills to collaborate and score QWA (Quarterly Writing Assignments)	· ·	Monitor lesson plans and assessments Classroom walkthroughs	QWA Data in Performance Matters		
2	New course, new curriculum, new test	Civics teachers will receive district led training to guide their instruction of Civics	District, Admin team	Monitor student progress through assessments and grades	Civics EOC Performance Matter data of QWA		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in Civics.Civics Goal #2:	50% (180/360) or more of students taking Civics will be proficient on the exam				

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A Test not given yet			,	50% (180/360)or more of students taking Civics will be proficient on the exam		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	New curriculum, new test, teacher training	Teachers will receive ongoing training from the district regarding the curriculum	Administration	Classroom walkthroughs, monitoring of grades, assessments	Grades DBMA QWAs	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Top and/or PLC Focus		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core Training	6-8	Common Core lead teachers, administration	All 7 grade Civics	release days	Lesson plans, classroom walkthroughs, assessments	Administration

Civics Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of atte	ndance data, and referer	nce to "Guiding Que	estions", identify and def	ine areas in need	
				Students daily attendance in grades 6-8 will increase by 10% (110) students .		
2012	Current Attendance R	ate:	2013 Expecte	d Attendance Rate:		
				Students daily attendance in grades 6-8 will increase by 10% (110) students.		
	Current Number of Stones (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2013 Expecte Absences (10	d Number of Students or more)	with Excessive	
39% (413) of students in grades 6-8 had excessive absences throughout the 2011-2012 school year.				Less than 20% (220) of students in grades 6-8 will have excessive absences throughout the 2012-2013 school year.		
	Current Number of Studes (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)		
	(420) of students in grades throughout the 2011-2			Less than 30% (330) students in grades 6-8 will have excessive absences throughout the 2012-2013 school year.		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Suspensions	LMS is implementing the Positive Behavior Support (PBS) system which will reward students.	Guidance Counselors,	Weekly attendance reports provided to social worker, bi- monthly PBS data collection	SMS data, Social worker records	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
n/a				

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount		
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00		

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-	-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of susper of improvement:	ension data, and referen	ce to "Guiding Que	stions", identify and def	ine areas in need		
1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1:	suspension Goal #1:			The goal for Liberty Middle School for the 2012-2013 school year is to decrease suspensions by at least 15% by implementing the Positive Behavior Support (PBS) program.		
2012 Total Number of In–Sc	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions				
There were 496 incidents of in throughout the 2011-2012 sch		The goal for 2012-2013 is to have less than 425 incidents of in-school suspension.				
2012 Total Number of Stude	2013 Expecte School	d Number of Students	Suspended In-			
There were 217 students assign suspension throughout the 20°		The goal for 2012-2013 is have less than 150 students assigned to in-school suspension.				
2012 Number of Out-of-Sch	2013 Expecte Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions				
There were 417 incidents of o throughout the 2011-2012sch		The goal for 2012-2013 is to have less than 300 incidents of out-of -school suspension.				
2012 Total Number of Stude School	ents Suspended Out-of-	2013 Expecte of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School			
There were 297 students susp throughout the 2011-2012 sch		The goal for 2012-2013 is to have less than 200 student suspended out-of-school.				
Prok	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Mobility of students,	Liberty Middle School	Assistant Principal	Bi-monthly data	SMS discipline		

1	poor attendance, and lack of communication between home and school.	will implement the Positive Behavior Support (PBS) program school-wide. Students who are unsuccessful with this new program will receive intense interventions. Examples of this include: daily check in/check out (ROAR),monthly anger management meetings	of Discipline, RtI paraprofessional	identified students.	summary reports, daily or weekly student behavior tracking forms.
---	--	---	--	----------------------	--

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Positive Behavior Support	6-8	Assistant Principal of Discipline, Deans		meetings, PBS	Continued monitoring of behavior data	APD

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

in nee	ed of improvement:						
1. Pa	rent Involvement						
Pare	nt Involvement Goal#1	1:	Increase the n	Increase the number of parents attending open house on			
partio	se refer to the percentaging served in school activities olicated.	,		September 20, 2012 and increase the percentage of parents serving on the School Advisory Council (SAC).			
2012	Current Level of Parer	it Involvement:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Parent Invol	lvement:		
20% (200 parents) participated in school activities in the 2011-2012 school year. At least 30% (330 parents) will participate in school activities in the 2012-2013 school year.							
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Difficult for some parents to attend SAC meetings due to long work hours.	Conduct monthly SAC meetings and schedule them at later times when parents are able to attend.	Principal	Review of monthly minutes from SAC meetings	Meeting minutes, parent evaluation form		
2	Incorrect parent contact information.	Frequent distribution of student grade and progress reports.	Administrative Team, Teachers	Parent conferences, Alert Now call to notify parents of distribution dates, frequently updated school website and calendar so parents have all important dates.			
3	Difficult for some parents to attend open house due to long work hours.		Principal	Parents will sign in with homeroom teacher. Administration will collect the data and determine its effectiveness.	Parent sign-in log.		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A					

Parent Involvement Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
1. STEM					
STEM Goal #1:					
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	lo Data Submitte	d		

STEM Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:							
1. CT	E Goal #1:		Deep and Close	Career and Technical Education teachers will implement Deep and Close Reading strategies in their classrooms to encompass content texts related to their subject matter.				
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy R		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
		Principal, Asst Principals, CCLT	Monitor lesson plans and assessments, classroom walkthroughs	Grades FCAT QWA				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
CTE Common Core Trainings	6-8	CCLT		Wednesdays	Continued classroom walkthroughs, monitoring of lesson plans	Administration

CTE Budget:

Evidence-based Progr	arri(3)/ Material(3)		A
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based	Program(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
CELLA	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
CELLA	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Dev	velopment			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
CELLA	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
CELLA	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$0.00
	·	·		Subtotal: \$0.00
				Grand Total: \$0.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority	jn Focus	jn Prevent	j n NA

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/17/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
If funds are allotted, SAC would like to provide an after-school tutoring/boot camp program for students in certain subjects. SAC would also like to incorporate a grant opportunity for teachers to write grants, requesting specific resources for their classrooms.	\$10,000.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The School Advisory Council (SAC) will meet monthly (Second Monday of each month) to discuss upcoming school related activities and events, as well as fundraising opportunities. We hope to incorporate a Grant opportunity for teachers as well into this year's activities.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Marion School District LI BERTY MI DDLE SCHOOL 2010-2011							
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned		
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	70%	72%	73%	46%	261	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.	
% of Students Making Learning Gains	61%	72%			133	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2	
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	64% (YES)	70% (YES)			134	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.	
FCAT Points Earned					528		
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested	
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested	

Marion School District LIBERTY MIDDLE SCHO 2009-2010						
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	71%	70%	84%	45%	270	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	68%	74%			142	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	72% (YES)	69% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					553	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested