FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: CHALLENGER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Broward

Principal: Maria C. Bach

SAC Chair: Rosemarie Winston

Superintendent: Robert Runcie

Date of School Board Approval: December 4, 2012

Last Modified on: 10/19/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Maria C. Bach	Degree: B.ED. Education B.A. Foreign Languages K-12 ESOL Endorsed Prof Certificate: School Principal/ Educational Leadership M.S. Mathematics 5-9	10	12	2001 – 2005 School Grade A met AYP 2006 – 2007 School Grade A met AYP 2007 – 2008 School Grade B met AYP 2008 – 2009 School Grade A met AYP 2009 - 2010 School Grade A met AYP 2010 - 2011 School Grade A did not meet AYP 2011 - 2012 School Grade A
	l	Degree:	I	l	

Assis Principal	Glenda McMurray	B.S. Business Administration ESOL Endorsed ESE Endorsed Masters: Educational Leadership Professional Certificate: School Leadership	7	12	2005 – 2006 School Grade A met AYP 2006 – 2007 School Grade A met AYP 2007 – 2008 School Grade B met AYP 2008 – 2009 School Grade A met AYP 2009 - 2010 School Grade A met AYP 2010 - 2011 School Grade A did not meet AYP 2011 - 2012 School Grade A
-----------------	--------------------	---	---	----	--

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Jessica Janecek	B.A. Elem Education 1-6 Masters in Curriculum & Instruction, Tech. Focus ESOL Endorsed Gifted Endorsed Reading Endorsed	7	3	2005 – 2006 School Grade A met AYP 2006 – 2007 School Grade A met AYP 2007 – 2008 School Grade B met AYP 2008 – 2009 School Grade A met AYP 2009 - 2010 School Grade A met AYP 2010 - 2011 School Grade A did not meet AYP 2011 - 2012 School Grade A

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Monthly meetings of teachers new to school/grade with Principal	Principal	On Going	
2		NESS Liaison/AP	September 30th	
3	Reading Coach/Grade Chairs will meet with teachers new to the school/grade.	Instructional Coaches	September 30th	
4	Invite teachers new to school/grade in for orientation during preplanning	Principal	August 20th	
5				
6				

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
0% (0)	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading		% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
51	3.9%(2)	7.8%(4)	56.9%(29)	31.4%(16)	41.2%(21)	100.0%(51)	11.8%(6)	23.5%(12)	96.1%(49)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
We have a mentoring program for new teachers, interim substitutes and interns. Our coordinator is Leslie Lyden. All teachers are required to participate in the school's Professional Learning Communities and NESS monthly meetings.		Sharing best practices; a common language; same grade level	Monthly Learning Communities NESS monthly meetings
PreK B. Eisenberg PreK B. Eisenberg K R. Markovic 2nd S. Clancy 2nd R. Lopez 3rd L. Diez 5th R. Pearson	PreK Y. Moniz-Lanzon PreK K. Singer K J. Cacciola 2nd C. Colmenares 2nd M. Nance 3rd M. Ader 5th C. Ortiz	Sharing best practices; curriculum development	Team Leaders meet weekly with mentees and the team to support the new teachers.

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

NA

Challenger utilizes Title 1 funds to pay for substitutes for Professional Development, Articulation, Data Chats, and after school teacher training. Title 1 funds are also used for Parent University nights and parent involvement special events, such as Family Math Night.

Title X- Homeless

NA

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI Funds are used to provide remedial after school tutoring for level 1 and level 2 struggling students in reading and math.

Violence Prevention Programs

Project Bridge: Anti-Bullying program for students and staff

Silence Hurts, CHAMPS and Peace Rangers have been incorporated in our violence prevention program. In addition, art, recorder, and multicultural dance clubs are offered before school to provide an opportunity to students for social interaction. Participation in multicultural activities and a school-based multicultural festival are also offered at the end of the year to teach tolerance and understanding of diversity. Fifth grade student ambassadors and reading buddies work with our kindergarten students walking them to class every day and reading to them before school.

Nutrition Programs

During the month of October the school and the district focus on school nutrition. Students participate in the cafeteria poster contest using the theme of the year to depict nutritious foods offered at school for breakfast and lunch. For academic year 2012-2013, Challenger will participate in the Healthy Kids program.

Housing Programs

NA

Head Start

NA

Adult Education

NA

Career and Technical Education

NA

Job Training

NA

Other

NA

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

-School-based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

The school based RTI Leadership Team consists of the administrators (Maria Bach, Principal and Glenda McMurray, Assistant Principal), teacher of the student referred to the Collaborative Problem Solving (CPS) team, Joy Solomon, School Counselor and RTI Facilitator, Marilyn Bower, ESE Specialist, Cathy Chaddock, School Psychologist, Dr. Rochelle Abramowitz, Social Worker, Jessica Janecek, Reading Coach (for academic concerns in reading), and parents. The team members share the responsibility as case managers.

Supplemental Members: David Humphries, Behavior Specialist, Kathy Thompson, Speech/Language Pathologist and Zone support personnel, Jessica Janecek and Marilyn Bower, ESOL contacts, student (when developmentally appropriate).

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

Teachers will meet with their grade chair with all Level 1 students and students with academic and/or behavioral concerns. Grade chairs will assist teachers by recommending interventions and assisting with assessments when appropriate. The classroom teacher will collect data (examples include FAIR, DAR, Running Records, Behavior Frequency Charts). Ongoing progress monitoring will take place every 4 weeks. Grade Chairs will assist teachers with Tier 1 interventions and make sure that a parent conference is held to address the specific concerns/interventions. After 6-8 weeks, post intervention data will be collected.

If further intervention is needed, a Tier 2 meeting will be scheduled with the Collaborative Problem Solving Team/RtI Leadership Team. Tier 2 RtI meeting (Collaborative Problem Solving Team) includes: teacher, School Administrator(s), Guidance Counselor, Reading Resource Specialist, ESE Specialist, School Social Worker, School Psychologist, and parent. Data from Tier 1 interventions are presented and Tier 2 interventions are prescribed and implemented for 6-8 weeks. Tier 2 interventions are based on the Struggling Readers and Struggling Math charts as well as functional behavior assessments. Second parent conference is documented.

If implementation of interventions are not successful, based on post implementation data, Tier 2 team reconvenes to develop Tier 3 interventions which will require intensive individual support. Once again, based on pre and post intervention data, it is determined whether or not the interventions have been successful. At this point,

there may be a discussion about a comprehensive psychoeducational evaluation.

- •Step 1: Assess Teacher Concerns
- •Step 2: Inventory Student Strengths and Talents
- •Step 3: Review Background/Baseline Data
- •Step 4: Select Target Teacher Concerns
- •Step 5: Set Academic or Behavioral Goals
- •Step 6: Design an Intervention Plan
- •Step 7: Select Method for Progress Monitoring
- •Step 8: Plan How to Share Information with the Student, Parent(s), and Teacher
- •Step 9: Review the Intervention and Monitoring Plans

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RTI Leadership Team meets with the Principal and the School Advisory Council (SAC) in order to develop adequate objectives.

When developing and implementing the school improvement plan the Challenger RTI Team must:

- 1. Involve all staff in the process
- 2. Motivate the staff by demonstrating how this initiative will help students
- 3. Collaborate with staff to enhance RTI implementation
- 4. Establish a set of processes and procedures to make decisions about students based on the data
- 5. Plan and attend professional development activities
- 6. Participate actively in data analysis meetings

Evaluate the effectiveness of the tiers instruction

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

The RtI Leadership Team provides research-based interventions, such as a CHAMPs, intervention reading/math programs on the Broward County Struggling Readers and Struggling Math Charts. Selected members of the RtI Leadership team (collaborative Problem Solving Team) are also members of School Advisory Council who develop and implement the SIP. They ensure that the programs being used for interventions are all research-based and that progress monitoring of children who are struggling is ongoing. The team provides data on Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 targets, helps set expectations for instruction and make recommendations for students who continue to struggle.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Teachers will receive refresher training on the RTI process during the first week of planning in August 2012. Additional professional development will be provided during scheduled teacher planning days throughout the school year as needed. The RtI team provides training on the RtI process to new teachers.

П	•	
П		

Describe the plan to support MTSS

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The school-based Literacy Leadership Team consists of the Principal, Assistant Principal, ESE Specialist, Guidance Counselor, ESE Teachers, Reading Coach and all K-5 Team Leaders.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Members of the team are designated to work with all grade levels to discuss students in need of interventions. The team will meet monthly using the Florida Continuous Improvement Model as the functioning guide. The team will analyze student academic data to discuss trends, areas of strengths and weaknesses and brainstorm strategies for improvement. The team will disseminate information at team leader meetings, grade level meetings, and at individual conferences with teachers.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Vocabulary development
Reading fluency and comprehension
Monitoring progress and interventions
Modeling strategies and sharing activities that promote literacy
Professional learning communities
Analyzing data to improve instruction

Public School Choice

Mentoring teachers

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Challenger Elementary assists preschool children in their transition from childhood programs to elementary school programs in a variety of ways. In the spring, Challenger hosts, "Kindergarten Round Up", for incoming kindergarten students and their parents. "Kindergarten Round Up" provides parent and students the opportunity to meet the kindergarten teachers, visit classrooms, and learn about the kindergarten programs and expectations. In addition, prior to the first day of school, Kindergarten Orientation/Open House is held at the school. Students and parents meet their child's assigned teacher and visit the classroom. Flyers are sent to our neighborhood pre-schools inviting families to attend our "Kindergarten Round Up" and other field trip opportunities.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

NA

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

NA

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

NA

Postsecon	darv	Trans	ition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

NA	
----	--

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.

By June 2013, 29% (138) of third, fourth and fifth grade students will achieve a proficiency score (Level 3) on the FCAT Reading Test.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

206% (124) of third, fourth and fifth grade students scored a Level 3 on the FCAT Reading Assessment.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Non-Independent Readers	Students who are identified as struggling readers will receive double dose reading instruction.	Classroom Teacher	Administrative Walkthroughs, Data Chats, FCIM	End of Selection Reading Tests, 4th and 5th Grade Reading BATs, K & 3rd Grade FAIR, Performance-based Assessment
2	Lack of Vocabulary Development	Teachers will use a vocabulary development program to enhance student vocabulary that includes "Word of the Day" for each grade level.	Reading Coach Classroom Teacher	Administrative Walkthroughs, FCIM	4th and 5th Grade Reading BATs, Vocabulary Program Assessment, Kindergarten and 3rd Grade FAIR
3	Lack of Oral Reading Fluency	Daily fluency practice at school and at home for students below the 50% tile on their ORF using a fluency remediation program.	Reading Coach Classroom Teacher	Timed Fluency Assessment, Six Minute Solution, 3rd Grade FAIR, Treasures Fluency	ORF- 3 times per year, 3rd Grade FAIR
4	Non Mastery of Grade Level Expectations	After School Tutoring	Administration	Pre/Post Assessment	Post Test
5	Inadequate Exposure to Informational Texts and Higher Level Questions	Increase of exposure to informational texts and higher level questions	Classroom Teacher	Administrative Walkthroughs, Data Chats	End of Selection Reading Tests, Performance-based Assessment

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to Increase S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted				

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and re	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
Level	CAT 2.0: Students scorir 4 in reading. ing Goal #2a:	ng at or above Achievem	By June 2013, 4 students will ac	44% (209) of third, fourth hieve above proficiency (L ng Assessment Test.		
2012	Current Level of Perforr	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
1	41% (195) of third, fourth and fifth grade students scored a level 4 or 5 on the FCAT Reading Assessment. 44% (209) of 3rd, 4th and 5th grade students will score a Level 4 or 5 on the FCAT Reading Assessment.					
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	ncrease Student Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Reference and Research Skills	Students will utilize non- fiction texts during reading instruction. Media Specialist will support instruction with a variety of texts and graphs during media time.	Classroom Teacher Media Specialist	Administrative Walkthroughs, Lesson Plans, BEEP Lessons	4th and 5th grade Reading BATs, Basal Assessments, Performance-based Assessment	
2	Lack of interaction with different genres	Students will read and analyze content specific novels, non-fiction, poetry, etc.	Classroom Teacher and Literacy Learning Team	Administrative Walkthroughs, Lesson Plans, BEEP Lessons	4th and 5th grade Reading BATs, Basal Assessments	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading.

Reading Goal #2b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning By June 2013, 72% (232) of retained third graders as well as gains in reading. all fourth and fifth graders will demonstrate learning gains on the FCAT Reading Assessment Test. Reading Goal #3a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 69% (222) of retained third graders as well as all fourth and 72% (232) of retained 3rd, 4th, and 5th grade students will fifth graders made learning gains on the FCAT Reading make learning gains on the FCAT Reading Assessment Test. Assessment Test. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Classroom Teacher FCIM Process Reading skill remediation Teachers will analyze Riverdeep for all students data to provide remedial Literacy Leadership lessons and double dose Team in reading. Students will be exposed Lack of reading stamina Classroom Teacher Administrative STAR, to longer and more Literacy Leadership Walkthroughs End of selection Team 2 complex texts. reading tests, FCAT Weekly Assessment

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo		ata, and refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.					
Reading Goal #3b:					
2012 Current Level of F	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfor	mance:
	Problem-Solving	Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Submitted	•	

of im	provement for the followin	g group:			
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:			25% on the FCA	By June 2013, 70% (69) of students scoring in the lowest 25% on the FCAT Reading Assessment Test will make learning gains in reading.	
2012	Current Level of Perfor	mance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
	(66) of students in the lov e FCAT Reading Assessme	vest 25% made learning ga ent Test.	` '	dents in the lowest 25% w AT Reading Assessment Te	0
	Р	roblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Difficulty with Comprehension	Students identified as scoring in the lowest 25% on the FCAT reading assessment will receive double dose reading instruction.	Literacy Leadership	Classroom Walkthroughs, Data Chats, RtI	Riverdeep, End of Selection Reading Tests, 4th and 5th Grade Reading BATs
2	Oral Reading Fluency	Students will use a fluency remediation program at school and/or at home.	Classroom Teacher, Literacy Leadership Team	Teacher Observation	ORF - 3 times per year

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target						
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			achievement g	, Challenger Eleme gap in reading fro s scoring non-pro	om 33% of 3rd, 4t	h and 5th
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	67%	73%	76%	79%	81%	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making By June 2013, students in each subgroup will increase satisfactory progress in reading. satisfactory progress in reading by 3% as measured by the FCAT Reading Assessment Test. Reading Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Students in the following subgroups will increase satisfactory Students in the following subgroups made satisfactory progress on the FCAT Reading Assessment Test by 3%: progress on the FCAT Reading Assessment Test: White 70% (73 students), Black 57% (90 students) students). White 73% (76 students), Black 60% (95 students). Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Classroom Teacher Classroom Walkthroughs Mobility-many new Analyze data to identify Riverdeep, 4th and 5th Grade

Administration

Reading BATs,

students needing reading Reading Coach

intervention. Students

students at Challenger

1		will receive double dose in reading and will be instructed in small groups.			End of Selection Assessment, 3rd Grade FAIR
2	Prerequisite skills are lacking.	Students will be assessed and placed in appropriate reading groups targeting their areas of deficiency.	Administration	Data Chats	Program Assessments FAIR
3	Lack of background knowledge		Reading Coach		¡Observation, Program Assessment

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making By June 2013, the percentage of ELL students making satisfactory progress in reading. satisfactory progress in reading will increase by 3% as measured by the FCAT Reading Assessment Test. Reading Goal #5C: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 50% (6) of ELL students made satisfactory progress on the 53% (7) of ELL students will make satisfactory progress on FCAT Reading Assessment Test. the FCAT Reading Assessment Test. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students will utilize the Classroom Teacher Increased use of oral Lack of knowledge of Vocabulary English vocabulary **ELL Coordinator** Language Master, low vocabulary in the Assessments and level reading books, and Reading Coach classroom and Classroom Observations computer program for ELL understanding of students to increase classroom instruction and vocabulary. directions Insufficient differentiated A peer tutor will be Classroom Teacher Classroom Observations Data Chats to instruction and learning provided in class to **ELL Coordinator** discuss progress of activities pertaining just assist ELL student. Reading Coach ELL student, to ELL students Additional materials will Classroom Walkthroughs be available to teachers for differentiated instruction. Lack of background Teachers will preview Classroom Teacher Graphic Organizers Observations. knowledge content through visuals, Leadership Team Data Chats Oral Assessments 3 graphic organizers and

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in of improvement for the following subgroup:			
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making			
satisfactory progress in reading.	By June 2013, the percentage of students with disabilities making satisfactory progress in reading will increase by 3%		
Reading Goal #5D:	as measured on the FCAT Reading Assessment Test.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		

Reading Coach

Social Worker

Interpreters

ESOL Coordinator

United Streaming. An interpreter will be

available to assist

Resources will be sent home to help parents with the English language.

parents during

conferences.

Parents cannot assist

students with English

language acquisition

Classroom Observations

Parent

Conferences,

ESOL Meetings

Parent Feedbak

33% (13) of students with disabilities made satisfactory progress on the FCAT Reading Assessment Test.

36% (15) of students with disabilities will make satisfactory progress on the FCAT Reading Assessment Test.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Varied learning styles as well as varied types of disabilities	double dose in areas of			BATs Scores Progress Monitoring Data Chats FCAT
2	Students not working on grade level	Teachers will continue to differentiate the curriculum while exposing students to the on-level instruction.	ESE Teacher		Assessments Progress Monitoring

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making
satisfactory progress in reading.

By June 2013, the percentage of economically disavantaged students making satisfactory progress in reading will increase by 3% as measured by the FCAT Reading Assessment Test.

Reading Goal #5E:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

61% (165) of economically disadvantaged students made

64% (173) of economically disadvantaged students will make satisfactory progress on the FCAT Reading Assessment Test. satisfactory progress on the FCAT Reading Assessment Test.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of prerequisite skills to understand concepts.	graphic organizers to	Classroom Teacher Reading Coach	Weekly Student Assessments ¡Observations Data Chats	BATs, Reading Assessments
2	Lack of proficiency of grade level vocabulary	students with vocabulary		Day	Program Assessments, Weekly Activities
3					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Vocabulary Development	Grades K-5	Reading Coach	Grades K-5	Aug/Sep 2012	Classroom Walkthroughs	LLT
Daily 5	Grades K-5	Reading Coach	Selected K-5 teachers		Classroom Walkthroughs	LLT
Informational Texts	Grades 3-5	Trained Teacher Leaders	Grades 3-5	Weekly Team Meetings	End of Reading Selection Assessments	LLT
Common Core Webinars	Grades K-5	Selected teachers in each grade level		Meetings	Team Leaders Monitor Classroom Walkthroughs	LLT

Reading Budget:

	5	5 II 0	Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amoun
Daily Fluency Mastery	Six Minute Solution consumable copies and page protectors	SAC	\$828.00
Struggling Readers	Phonics Materials	Title I	\$1,000.00
		Suk	ototal: \$1,828.0
Гесhnology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Support of Reading Program	Elmo Document Cameras and Overhead Projectors	After Care Program Funds	\$8,000.00
		Suk	ototal: \$8,000.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Common Core	Substitutes to cover classes	Title I	\$1,400.00
Struggling Readers Overview	Substitutes to cover classes	Title I	\$2,000.00
Daily 5	Textbooks	Instructional Materials	\$2,000.00
End of Year Articulation	Substitutes to cover classes	Title I	\$5,500.00
Learning Communities	Materials	Title I	\$274.00
		Subt	otal: \$11,174.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Fundation	Fundation Reading Kits	SAC	\$1,400.00
After School Tutoring	Grades 3-5 Level 1 & Level 2 students (lowest 25%percentile)	After Care Program Funds	\$4,000.00
Fundation	Fundation Reading Kits	Instructional Materials	\$4,600.00
Data Chats	Substitutes to cover classes Oct. & Jan. data chats	Title I	\$2,400.00
		Subt	otal: \$12,400.0

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. By June 2013, 48% (58) of Ell students, K-5, will score proficient in listening and speaking. CELLA Goal #1: 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking: A total of 45% (54) of ELL students scored proficient in the listening/speaking section of the CELLA assessment. K 52% (23) Test Level A1 1 33% (13) Test Level A1 2 71% (10) Test Level A1 3 18% (2) Test Level B1 4 50% (4) Test Level B1 5 50% (2) Test Level B1 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Lack of English Students will use Classroom Oral questions posed by Picture/Vocabulary language skills Teacher classroom teacher matching activity. Language Master vocabulary cards to Oral responses to practice pronunciation teacher-made 1 of vocabulary words. questions Students will listen to stories at the listening center. Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 2. Students scoring proficient in reading. By June 2013, 41% (50) of Ell students, K-5, will score CELLA Goal #2: proficient in reading. 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading: A total of 38% (46) of ELL students scored proficient in the reading section of the CELLA assessment. K 20% (9) Test Level A1 1 44% (17) Test Level A1 2 79% (11) Test Level A1 3 27% (3) Test Level B1 4 50% (4) Test Level B1 5 50% (2) Test Level B1 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Lack of English Students will listen and Classroom Teacher will read with Student will read language skills repeat different English Teacher student in a small group to teacher. language constructions. setting. Teacher will model for student. Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 3. Students scoring proficient in writing. By June 2013, 35% (41) of ELL students, K-5, will score proficient in writing. CELLA Goal #3:

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:

A total of 32% (38) of ELL students scored proficient in the writing section of the CELLA assessment. K 0% (0) Test Level A1

3	1 51% (19) Test Level A1 2 79% (11) Test Level A1 3 18% (2) Test Level B1 4 50% (4) Test Level B1 5 50% (2) Test Level B1									
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement									
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1		Students have not developed the skills necessary for written expression.	Students will use bilingual dictionaries to assist with translation. Students will practice newly acquired vocabulary to create sentences as the first steps in writing.	Classroom teacher	Monitoring student writing	Writing Product				

CELLA Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Language Vocabulary Practice	Language Master Cards	Instructional Materials - ESOL	\$500.00
		Subt	otal: \$500.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
English Language Listening/Reading Program	Software	Instructional Materials - ESOL	\$250.00
		Subto	otal: \$250.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
ELL Strategies	Powerpoint/Packets		\$0.00
		Su	ıbtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Dictionaries	Dictionaries	Instructional Materials - ESOL	\$150.00
		Cub+.	otal: \$150.0

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

of improvement for the following group:

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1a:				By June 2013, 33% (157) of third, fourth and fifth grade students will achieve proficiency (FCAT Level 3) on the FCAT Mathematics Assessment Test.					
2012	Current Level of Pe	erform	nance:		2013 Expe	ctec	Level of Performar	nce:	
	(142) of third, fourth 3 on the FCAT Mathe		ifth grade students score cs Assessment Test.	d a	33% (157) math profic		rd, 4th and 5th grade y.	stud	lents will achieve
		Pro	oblem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Stu	ıder	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barr	ier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible Monitoring	for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness o Strategy		Evaluation Tool
1	Specific skill deficien		Students will receive additional math support through Riverdeep and small group instruction. Teachers will hold small group remediation. Teachers will use intervention materials including Destination and Soar to Success.	Adı	issroom Teac ministration	cher	Data Chats Classroom Walkthrou	ghs	GoMath, 3rd, 4th, 5th grade Math BATs, Riverdeep
2	Difficulty identifying correct problem solv strategy	ing	Teachers will use Singapore Math strategies to solve word problems.		Classroom Teacher Administration		Classroom Walkthrou	ghs	Program Assessments
3	Difficulty with math vocabulary		Teachers will provide daily practice of vocabulary needed to understand math lesson.	Adı	nssroom Tead ministration	cher	Classroom Assessme	nts	Program Assessments
of impose of imp	provement for the follorida Alternate Ass	owing sessm	<u> </u>		ence to "Gui	ding	Questions", identify	and d	define areas in need
2012	Current Level of Pe	erform	nance:		2013 Expe	ctec	I Level of Performar	nce:	
		Pro	oblem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Stu	ıder	nt Achievement		
Antio	cipated Barrier	Strate	egy F	Posit Resp or	onsible	Dete Effe	cess Used to ermine ctiveness of tegy	Eval	uation Tool

No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement By June 2013, 37% (176) of third, fourth and fifth grade Level 4 in mathematics. students will achieve above level proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 and 5) on the FCAT Mathematics Assessment Test. Mathematics Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 37% (176) of 3rd, 4th and 5th grade students will achieve 34% (162) of third, fourth and fifth grade students scored a Level 4 or 5 on the FCAT Mathematics Assessment Test. above level proficiency. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Multi-step word problems Teachers will utilize Classroom Teacher Classroom Walkthroughs Chapter Test, practice tests and teach Data Chats Practice Materials. strategies in solving 3rd, 4th, 5th Grade Math BATs multi-step word problems. Not enough practice with Teachers will use more Classroom Teacher Classroom Walkthroughs Observation, more complex tasks challenging games, Administration Data Chats Benchmark 2 requiring critical thinking activities and online tools Assessment, skills to deepen knowledge of content. Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need

of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning By June 2013, 71% (228) of students in grades 4 and 5 will gains in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #3a:

make learning gains on the FCAT Mathematics Assessment Test.

2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	(218) of students in grades e FCAT Mathematics Asses	s 4 and 5 made learning ga ssment Test.	71% (228) of 41 gains.	th and 5th grade students	will make learning	
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	t Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Non-mastery of grade level expectations	Students will receive small group instruction and daily review of previously taught material. Teachers will use Calendar Math daily.	Classroom Teacher Administration	Classroom Walkthroughs Data Chats	3rd, 4th, 5th grade Math BATs, Riverdeep, Chapter Assessments	
2	Deficiency in skills not mastered in previous academic year.		Classroom Teacher Administration	Classroom Walkthroughs Data Chats	BATs, Riverdeep, Weekly Assessments	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in no of improvement for the following group:					tify and define areas in need
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.					
Mathematics Goal #3b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfor	mance:
	Problem-Solving Pr	rocess to I	ncrease S ⁻	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Sul					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group:	ence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need			
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4:	By June 2013, 63% (72) of students scoring in the lowest 25% will demonstrate learning gains on the FCAT Mathematics Assessment Test.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
60% (68) of students in lowest 25% made learning gains on the FCAT Mathematics Assessment Test.	63% (72) of students in the lowest 25% will make learning gains on the FCAT Mathematics Assessment Test.			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	Lack of reading skills necessary for comprehension			RtI,	Chapter Test, Math Vocabulary Review
2	Non-mastery of grade level expectations	After school tutoring	After school tutor (teacher) Administrator	Pre/Post Assessment	Post Test
3	in math	Students will be grouped by area of weakness and will work in small groups with teacher.		Data Chats, Classroom Walkthroughs	Weekly Assessments

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target									
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			achievement g	Mathematics Goal # Challenger Eleme gap in math from : ring non-proficien	34% of 3rd, 4th a				
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017			
	66	73	75	78	81				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making By June 2013, students in each subgroup will increase satisfactory progress in mathematics. satisfactory progress in math by 3% as measured by the FCAT Reading Assessment Test. Mathematics Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Students in the following subgroups made satisfactory Students in the following subgroups will increase satisfactory progress on the FCAT Math Assessment Test: White 71% (74 progress on the FCAT Math Assessment Test by 3%: White students), Black 58% (92 students), Hispanic 69% (97 74% (77 students), Black 61% (96 students), Hispanic 72% students), (101 students), Asian 92% (17 students). Asian 89% (16 students).

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	students at Challenger	Analyze data to identify students needing math intervention. Students will receive small group instruction and double dose in math.	Classroom Teacher	Walkthroughs Data Chats	Riverdeep, 3rd, 4th, 5th grade Math BATs, End of Selection Assessment
2				Walkthroughs Student Assessment	Destination Math, FCAT Explorer, Program Assessment

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C:			satisfactory pro	By June 2013, the percentage of ELL students making satisfactory progress in math will increase by 3% as measured by the FCAT Math Assessment Test.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
	(7) of ELL students made s Mathematics Assessment		` '	64% (8) of ELL students will make satisfactory progress on the FCAT Math Assessment Test.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Lack of differentiated learning activities	Teams will discuss strategies needed to support ELL students.	Classroom Teachers	Planning ¡Observations Progress Monitoring	Program Assessment	
2	Lack of prerequisite skills	Teachers will use online programs to help students develop necessary skills.	Classroom Teachers	Progress Monitoring	Online Program Assessment	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need

Math	ematics Goal #5D:			measured on the FCAT Math Assessment Test.			
2012	Current Level of Perforr	nance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	(17) of students with disal ess on the FCAT Math Ass			45% (18) of students with disabilities will make satisfactory progress on the FCAT Math Assessment Test.			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Varied learning styles as well as varied types of disabilities	Students will be given double dose in areas of math deficiency. Teachers will provide classroom intervention strategies to support the IEP and the VE teacher. Extended learning opportunities will be provided through after school tutoring.	ESE Teacher Classroom Teacher Administration	Classroom Observations Data Chats	BAT Scores, Program Assessments, Progress Monitoring		
2	Not working on grade level	Students will receive small group instruction.	ESE Teacher Classroom Teacher	Classroom Observations Data Chats	Program Assessments		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

Administration

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.

of improvement for the following subgroup:

satisfactory progress in mathematics.

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making

Mathematics Goal #5E:

2

By June 2013, the percentage of economically disadvantaged students making satifactory progress in math will increase by 3% as measured by the FCAT Math Assessment Test.

Progress Monitoring

Data Collection

By June 2013, the percentage of students with disabilities

making satisfactory progress in math will increase by 3% as

2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
1	(168) of economically disac actory progress on the FC	dvantaged students made AT Math Assessment Test.		65% (176) of economically disadvantage students will make satisfactory progress on the FCAT Math Assessment Test.					
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1	Mobility-many new students at Challenger	Analyze data to identify students needing math intervention. Students will be instructed in small groups and will receive double dose in math.		Classroom Walkthroughs	Riverdeep, 3rd, 4th, 5th grade Math BATs, End of Chapter Test				
2	Lack of parental support at home and/or involvement in school trainings and events	School will provide resources and informative materials to parents to help their children at home.	Administrator Classroom Teacher	Parent Surveys Parent Communication	Student Homework Assignment, Progress Monitoring				
3	Lack of access to technology in the home	Students will be given increased access to technology in class and in the technology lab.	Classroom Teacher	Progress Monitoring	Online Progress Reports				

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core Webinars	Grades K-5	Selected teachers in each grade level	Grades K-5	Monthly Team Meetings	Team Leaders Monitor, Classroom Walkthroughs	Team Leaders Administrators
GoMath Interventions	Grades K-5	Team Leaders	Grades K-5	Weekly Team Meetings	Classroom Walkthroughs	Team Leaders Administrators

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)	/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
GoMath Assessments	Florida Assessment Guide	Instructional Materials Textbooks	\$1,550.00
		Subtot	tal: \$1,550.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-	Sı	ubtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-		Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
After School Tutoring	Levels 1 and 2 (Lowest 25%)	After Care Program	\$4,000.00
	-	-	Subtotal: \$4,000.00
			Grand Total: \$5,550.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of studes in need of improvement			Guiding Questions , lue	and define	
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a:			achieve profic	By June 2013, 33% (53) of 5th grade students will achieve proficiency (FCAT Level 3) on the FCAT Science Assessment Test.		
2012	? Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ice:	
	(49) of fifth grade stude Science Assessment Te			ith grade students will a Science Assessment Te		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Non-mastery of grade level expectations	Teachers will use science kits for instruction and remediation. Fifth grade students will learn the scientific process through a science fair project. Projects will be exhibited at Challenger's Science Fair.	Classroom Teacher	Classroom Walkthroughs, Lesson Plans	Science BATs (5th), Science Projects Rubric	
2	Time restraint	Teachers will integrate science across the curriculum through project-based learning activities, such as our school-wide recycling program.	Classroom Teacher	Classroom Walkthroughs Lesson Plans	End of Unit Test, Student-made Projects & Research, Rubric	
3	Inability to read nonfiction science text	Teachers will supplement more nonfiction materials, activate prior knowledge and add science vocabulary development. K-5 students will have science journals.	Classroom Teacher	Classroom Walkthroughs Lesson Plans	End of Unit Test Student Journals	
4						

			lent achievement data t for the following grou		d reference	to "	Guiding Questions",	ider	ntify and define
	Florida Alternate lents scoring at L		ssment: 4, 5, and 6 in scienc	e.					
Scie	nce Goal #1b:								
2012	2 Current Level of	Perfo	ormance:		2013 Exp	ecte	ed Level of Perforr	nanc	ce:
		Prob	lem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease S	tude	ent Achievement		
Anti	cipated Barrier	Stra	tegy	Posi Resp for	son or tion consible itoring	Det Effe	cess Used to ermine ectiveness of ategy	Eval	luation Tool
			No I	Data	Submitted				
			lent achievement data t for the following grou		d reference	to "	Guiding Questions",	ider	ntify and define
Achi	FCAT 2.0: Student evement Level 4 nce Goal #2a:		0		By June 2013, 22% (36) of 5th grade students will achieve above level proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 and 5) on the FCAT Science Assessment Test.				
2012	2 Current Level of	Perfo	ormance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
	(31) of fifth grade ne FCAT Science A		ents scored a Level 4 c ment Test.	or 5	22% (36)				
		Prob	lem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease S	tude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Ba	rrier	Strategy	R	Person o Position esponsible Monitorin	for	Process Used t Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool
1	Planning for lab experiments		Curriculum Development and Tea Planning	m Te	assroom acher ience Cont	act	Lesson Plans Walkthroughs		Mini-BATs, End of Unit Test, Student Logs
			lent achievement data t for the following grou		d reference	to "	Guiding Questions",	ider	ntify and define
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science.									
Science Goal #2b:									
2012	2 Current Level of	Perfo	ormance:		2013 Exp	ecte	ed Level of Perforr	mano	ce:
								_	

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Science Labs/ Curriculum Development	5th Grade Teachers	Team Leader	5th Grade Teachers	Ongoing	Classroom Walkthroughs	Administrators Team Leaders
Common Core	K-2	District Training	K-2	Ongoing	Classroom Walkthroughs	Administrators Team Leaders

Science Budget:

Substitutes to cover classes		Amount
Substitutes to cover classes	Title I	\$1,400.00
		Subtotal: \$1,400.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
	No Data Description of Resources No Data Description of Resources	No Data No Data No Data Description of Resources No Data No Data Description of Resources Funding Source Funding Source

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

in need of improvement for the following group:					
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a:	By June 2013, 84% (133) of 4th grade students will achieve proficiency (FCAT Level 3 and higher) in writing as measured by the FCAT Writing Assessment Test.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
81% (130) of 4th grade students achieved proficiency Level 3.0 and higher on the FCAT Writing Assessment Test.	84% (133) of 4th grade students will achieve proficiency in writing on the FCAT Writing Assessment Test.				

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of motivation	Student Incentives and Recognition: School-wide Literary Fair: Students will write essays, poems or short stories. Judges will select the best 3 in each classroom to be read at Barnes & Noble during our Meet the Author's Night. Participant ribbons awarded to all students as well as 1st, 2nd, & 3rd place ribbons to the winners.		Student chats Teacher observation	Kindergarten-1st grade monthly literacy-related writing 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th grade Writing Prompts, Original Works for Literary Fair
2	Lack of ability with the writing process	Teachers will provide vocabulary development practice. Word of the Day will be implemented in grades K-5th.	Classroom Teacher	Classroom Walkthroughs, Lesson Plans, Student Work Displayed	K-1st grade monthly literacy- related writing 2nd-5th Grade Monthly Writing Prompts
3	Lack of knowledge of conventions	Teachers will provide additional instruction of conventions. Language Arts centers will be set up in all primary classrooms to provide additional practice on conventions. Millennium Middle Journalism class will team with our 4th grade students to start a school newspaper. Spelling Bee Competition for 4th & 5th grades will be offered.	Classroom Teacher	Classroom Walkthroughs	Student Work, Spelling Bee Competition Placement

assed on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas a need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				

	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to Increase S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core	K-2	District Training	K-2	()ngoing	Classroom Walkthroughs	Writing Committee Team Leaders
FCAT 2.0 Writing Training	4th Grade	District Training	4th Grade	Learning Communities	Classroom Walkthroughs Progress Monitoring	Classroom Teacher Team Leader
Monthly Vertical Teaming	IK - 5	Committee Facilitator	K-5	Ongoing		Writing Committee

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)	'Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Incentives for Students	Ribbons for Literary Fair		\$200.00
Spelling Bee Competition	Ribbons for competition		\$100.00
			Subtotal: \$300.00
			Grand Total: \$300.00

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of atte	ndance data, and refere	nce	to "Guiding Que	estions", identify and de	fine areas in need	
1. At	tendance		By June 2013, Challenger will have 98.5% attendance rate.				
2012	2 Current Attendance R	ate:		2013 Expecte	d Attendance Rate:		
95.99	%		98.5%				
	2 Current Number of Sto ences (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expecte Absences (10	d Number of Students or more)	s with Excessive	
17				14			
	2 Current Number of St ies (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			
182				179			
	Pro	blem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement		
		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Lack of parental awareness of importance of school attendance	Provide student incentives Involve school social worker		tendance Clerk cial Worker	Student daily attendance records	TERMS	
2	Increase of reassignments	Conference with parents Student incentives Involve social worker		tendance Clerk cial Worker	Student daily attendance records	TERMS	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
NA					

Attendance Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:							
Suspension Suspension Goal #1:	By June 2013, Challenger will reduce suspensions by 30%						
2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions						
6	4						
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School						
5	3						
2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions						
5	3						
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of- School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School						
5	3						
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement						
	Person or Process Used to						

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Not using CHAMPs school-wide discipline program with fidelity	In-school inservice of CHAMPs program & implementation In-school programs to teach respect & violence prevention: "HANDS" K/2nd/4th/5th "Too Good for Drugs" 1st grades, "Get Real About Violence" 3rd grades, Self Esteem 3rd gr. retained students, Schoolwide: mentorship program antibullying Peace Week Kids of Character	Guidance Counselor Administrator	Administrative Walkthroughs	Disciplinary Referrals, Classroom Activities
2	Repeat offenders	Student referral to RtI for individual behavior plan	RtI Team Classroom Teacher	Implementation of Tier 2 Interventions	Teacher Anecdotals & Behavior Frequency Chart

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Classroom Strategies	K-b Laachars	Guidance Counselor Assistant Principal	K-5 Teachers	Ongoing	Behavior Plan	Guidance Counselor Assistant Principal

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: By June 2013, parent involvement will increase by 3% *Please refer to the percentage of parents who (37) based on parent event sign-in sheets. participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: 68% (626) 72% (663) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool Anticipated Barrier** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Variety of events and Title 1 Liaison Parent Attendance Log Attendance Log Parents unable to attend evening events times throughout the Webmaster Web site data analysis Web site due to work statistics Instructional online videos available on web More communication will Principal Parent Attendance Log Large Hispanic Attendance Log be available in Spanish community not able to understand school to include Parentlink. newspaper, flyers, etc.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Parent Universities	K-5	Selected Teachers and Support Staff	K-5	Oct. 11, 2012	Sign-in Sheets Feedback from Parents	Administrators

Parent Involvement Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Parent Universities: Reading, Math, Science, Active Parenting, ESOL, Organizational Skills and Homework topics/sessions presented to parents	Stipends for presenters Babysitting services	Title I	\$1,664.00
Family Math Night	Stipend for Coordinator and Teachers	Title I	\$600.00
Science Fair Night	Stipend for Coordinator	Title I	\$100.00
Meet the Author Night	Stipend for Coordinator	Title I	\$100.00
Reading Across Broward Awards	Stipend for Coordinator	Title I	\$100.00
Multicultural Festival Night	Stipend for Teachers	Title I	\$400.00
			Subtotal: \$2,964.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Annual Parent Seminar	Registration - 2 parents	Title I	\$80.00
			Subtotal: \$80.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Parent Universities	Refreshments	-	\$525.00
			Subtotal: \$525.00
			Grand Total: \$3,569.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

1. ST	EM 1 Goal #1:		learn to do a s	Fifth grade students will learn the scientific process and learn to do a science project. K-4 will learn the scientific process and do class science projects.					
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement									
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too				
1	Lack of understanding of the scientific process	Students will learn to do a science project.	Classroom Teachers Administrator	Science Journals Teacher-Student Discussion of Project	Science Project Rubric				
2	Lack of exposure to science vocabulary	Teachers will do reading through science in order to provide more time to teaching science vocabulary. Teachers will provide more hands-on activities/experiments.	Administration Classroom Teacher	Science Journals Class Discussion of Science Topics during Reading Cooperative Learning with hands-on activities	Science BAT Tes Scores (5th Grade) Classroom Activities Program Assessment				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Learning Communities	K-5	Team Leaders	K-5	On-going		Administration Classroom Teacher

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progr			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Awaliable
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Progra		Description of		
Goal	Strategy	Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Daily Fluency Mastery	Six Minute Solution consumable copies and page protectors	SAC	\$828.00
Reading	Struggling Readers	Phonics Materials	Title I	\$1,000.00
CELLA	Language Vocabulary Practice	Language Master Cards	Instructional Materials - ESOL	\$500.00
Mathematics	GoMath Assessments	Florida Assessment Guide	Instructional Materials Textbooks	\$1,550.00
Science	Science Labs Classroom Preparation	Substitutes to cover classes	Title I	\$1,400.00
Parent Involvement	Parent Universities: Reading, Math, Science, Active Parenting, ESOL, Organizational Skills and Homework topics/sessions presented to parents	Stipends for presenters Babysitting services	Title I	\$1,664.00
Parent Involvement	Family Math Night	Stipend for Coordinator and Teachers	Title I	\$600.00
Parent Involvement	Science Fair Night	Stipend for Coordinator	Title I	\$100.00
Parent Involvement	Meet the Author Night	Stipend for Coordinator	Title I	\$100.00
Parent Involvement	Reading Across Broward Awards	Stipend for Coordinator	Title I	\$100.00
Parent Involvement	Multicultural Festival Night	Stipend for Teachers	Title I	\$400.00
Ta alama da an c				Subtotal: \$8,242.00
Technology		Description of		
Goal	Strategy	Resources Elmo Document	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Support of Reading Program	Cameras and Overhead Projectors	After Care Program Funds	\$8,000.00
CELLA	English Language Listening/Reading Program	Software	Instructional Materials - ESOL	\$250.00
				Subtotal: \$8,250.00
Professional Developm	nent			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Common Core	Substitutes to cover classes	Title I	\$1,400.00
Reading	Struggling Readers Overview	Substitutes to cover classes	Title I	\$2,000.00
Reading	Daily 5	Textbooks	Instructional Materials	\$2,000.00
Reading	End of Year Articulation	Substitutes to cover classes	Title I	\$5,500.00
Reading	Learning Communities	Materials	Title I	\$274.00
CELLA	ELL Strategies	Powerpoint/Packets		\$0.00
Parent Involvement	Annual Parent Seminar	Registration - 2 parents	Title I	\$80.00
				Subtotal: \$11,254.0
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Fundation	Fundation Reading Kits	SAC	\$1,400.00
Reading	After School Tutoring	Grades 3-5 Level 1 & Level 2 students (lowest 25%percentile)	After Care Program Funds	\$4,000.00
Reading	Fundation	Fundation Reading Kits	Instructional Materials	\$4,600.00
Reading	Data Chats	Substitutes to cover classes Oct. & Jan. data chats	Title I	\$2,400.00

CELLA	Dictionaries	Dictionaries	Instructional Materials ESOL	\$150.00
Mathematics	After School Tutoring	Levels 1 and 2 (Lowest 25%)	After Care Program	\$4,000.00
Writing	Incentives for Students	Ribbons for Literary Fair		\$200.00
Writing	Spelling Bee Competition	Ribbons for competition		\$100.00
Parent Involvement	Parent Universities	Refreshments		\$525.00
				Subtotal: \$17,375.00
				Grand Total: \$45,121.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority jn Fo	jn Prevent	j ∩ NA	
-------------------	------------	---------------	--

Are you a reward school: † Yes † No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
Reading Instructional Materials Substitutes to cover SAC Teacher Members during SAC meetings	\$3,400.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

Review the School Improvement Plan Election of SAC Officers Review and Implementation of SAC By-Laws Review Benchmark Data & Make Recommendations Conduct Needs Assessment Prepare A+ Funds Allocation

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Broward School District CHALLENGER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2010-2011									
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned				
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	82%	85%	95%	51%	313	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.			
% of Students Making Learning Gains	66%	65%			131	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2			
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	63% (YES)	56% (YES)			119	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.			
FCAT Points Earned					563				
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested			
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested			

Broward School District CHALLENGER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL									
2009-2010	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned				
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	82%	84%	88%	49%	303	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.			
% of Students Making Learning Gains	68%	65%			133	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2			
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	55% (YES)	67% (YES)			122	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.			
FCAT Points Earned					558				
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested			
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested			