# **Florida Department of Education**



# DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

### 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

### **PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION**

School Name: Dr. Mary Giella Elementary School	District Name: Pasco County
Principal: George Papaemanuel	Superintendent: Heather Fiorentino
SAC Chair: Shirley Picklo	Date of School Board Approval:

#### **Student Achievement Data:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **Highly Effective Administrators**

List your school's highly effective administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	George Papaemanuel	BA- Psy MA- P.E. Cert Ed. Ldrhp	1	14	11-12, C/AYP-No 10-11, C/AYP-No 09-10, B/AYP-No 08-09, A/AYP-No 07-08, C/AYP-No 07-07, A/AYP No 05-06, B/AYP No 04-05, A/AYP No
Assistant Principal	Dawn Scilex	Elem. Ed 1-6, ESOL, Educational Leadership	0	4	<ul> <li>11-12 School Grade C, Met AYP NO</li> <li>10-11 School Grade F, Met AYP NO</li> <li>09-10 School Grade C, Met AYP NO</li> <li>09-08 School Grade B, Met AYP NO</li> <li>08-07 School Grade C, Met AYP NO</li> </ul>

### **<u>Highly Effective Instructional Coaches</u>**

List your school's highly effective instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
Area		Certification(s)	Years at	an	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains,
			Current School	Instructional Coach	Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated
					school year)

	Kim Valerio	Elem. Ed., Reading, Home	22	22	11-12- MGES Grade B, AYP No
Reading		Economics			10-11- MGES Grade C, AYP No
					09-10- MGES Grade – B, AYP- No (82%)
PS/RtI	Kelli Henson	School Psychology	3	5	11-12- MGES Grade B, AYP No
					10-11- MGES Grade C, AYP No
					09-10 – MGES Grade – B, AYP- No (82%)

### **<u>Highly Effective Teachers</u>**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1. Recruitment Fairs/Internet Advertising All applicants go through an extensive screening process to ensure that they are the most highly qualified teacher for the position.	Human Resources District Office	Ongoing	
2. Mentor Program – Each beginning teacher is assigned a mentor for one year. Mentors have three or more years of successful teaching experience, work at the same school, hold a professional certificate and are trained in Clinical Education. Mentors are carefully selected to match the teaching assignment and/or needs of the beginning teacher. Mentors meet with new teachers on a regular basis and maintain a Mentoring Log of the meetings. Throughout the school year, mentors are also provided to any teacher requesting assistance in successfully completing his/her Professional Development Plan.	Mentors	1 year	
3.			
4.			

#### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

List all instructional staff and paraprofessionals who are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

Name	Certification	Teaching Assignment	Professional Development/Support to Become Highly Effective

#### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages,	include the number	of teachers the	percentage repre	sents (e.g.,	70% (35)).
01			r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		( )).

Total Number of Instructional	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of	% of Teachers with Advanced	% Highly Effective	% Reading Endorsed	% National Board Certified	% ESOL Endorsed
Staff		Experience	Experience	Experience	Degrees	Teachers	Teachers	Teachers	Teachers
52	1% (1)	19% (10)	50% (26)	26%(14)	15% (8)	0	10%(5)	0	50% (26)

#### **Teacher Mentoring Program**

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Literacy Coach	School Wide	Based on individual needs/feedback	Coaching Cycle, model classrooms for observations of best practices and job embedded professional development.

Cynthia Hann	Jennifer Glidden	Both teachers of Kindergarten	Coaching Cycle, model classrooms for observations of best practices

#### **Additional Requirements**

#### **Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only**

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A The majority of the funding will be used to provide personnel (3 Interventionists, 1 School Psychologist, and partial funding for our SLP, under the MTSS model.) These personnel will be used to aid in professional development and direct instruction for students. There is also funding for professional development opportunities to teachers and administrators to address the specific academic achievement needs of the school.	
Title I, Part C- Migrant	
Title I, Part D	
Title II IDEA funding will be used in conjunction with Title II funds to train teachers in the Response to Intervention (RTI) strategies that are proven to work with students disabilities and students with behavior problems. Funding will be used to provide professional development opportunities to teachers and administrators to address s academic achievement needs of the school. Funding will be used to provide professional development opportunities to teachers and administrators to address the specific academic achievement needs of the school.	pecific
Title III Funds will be coordinated with Title 1 funds to provide extra support to English Language Learners (ELLs) by offering after school tutoring in academic language as assist ELLs meet the academic content and English proficiency standards.	cquisition, to
Title X- Homeless	
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) Provide summer school for students scoring a Level 1 on FCAT Reading.	

Violence Prevention Programs
Guidance Programs such as Bullying Prevention, Peer Mediation, Counseling, etc
Nutrition Programs
Cafeteria Programs and events, Business Partnerships, Human Growth and Development Curriculum.
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team							
Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.							
George Papaemanuel, Principal							
Dawn Scilex, Assistant Principal							
Kelli Henson – PS/RtI Coach							
Shannon Savage – Guidance Counselor							
Marie Crisafulli – Intermediate Teacher							
John Philipps – Speech and Language Pathologist							
Kelli Edwards-Interventionist							
Stacy DeRonda-Primary Teacher							
Kim Valerio – Literacy Coach							

Describe how the school-based RtI Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/ coordinate RtI efforts?

The RtI Leadership team meets monthly to review universal screening data and progress monitoring data as well as plan for interventions. The leadership team will complete an assessment of the RtI implementation progress as well as the staff's practices and skill development to determine the level of technical assistance and professional development assistance needed to support the school-wide implementation of RtI. Members of the Lead Literacy Team, Science and Math Leadership Team, and Behavior Lead Team serve on the RtI Leadership to ensure RtI efforts are coordinated throughout the school. The RtI leadership team will include the following personnel:

Administration: Supports the school-wide RtI implementation plan by ensuring that the school-based team receives professional development and adequate intervention and documentation support.

RtI Coach: Assists with the collection, interpretation and analysis of data. In addition, provides support to the team by providing interventions and necessary professional development in the problem-solving model.

General Education Teachers (Primary and Intermediate): Participates in data collection, delivers core instruction and interventions to students (Tier 1, 2 and 3), collaborates with other staff members on delivery of the core instruction and interventions throughout the leveled tiers and stays current on research-based best practices.

Literacy Coach: Assists in the implementation of the K-12 reading plan and keeps the staff up to date with research based interventions and supplemental services available to students at the different tier levels. In addition, facilitates and supports data collection activities.

ESE Teacher: Participates in student data collection, collaborates with general education teachers and integrates core instructional activities and interventions at levels tier 1, 2 and 3.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/ coordinate MTSS efforts? Our MTSS Leadership Team problem solves school-wide issues. There are representatives from most lead teams on the SBLT.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problemsolving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI Leadership team will analyze current data including relevant demographic and school profile information, school-wide and grade level achievement data, progress monitoring data, student, staff and parent surveys as well as disaggregated data to make recommendations for the school improvement plan. They also plan for interventions, gather resources for support, follow up on individual student progress and identify professional development needs in order for RtI interventions to be successful.

**MTSS Implementation** 

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. Student data is housed with-in Pasco Star, PMRN,eSembler, Core K-12 and Terms. Data may be accessed through these systems for whole school, grade, class and individual students. In addition, formative classroom data is collected for each academic area throughout the year. The leadership team presents this data graphically in grade level meetings to facilitate curriculum and instructional decisions to meet students' academic and behavioral needs. Formative and summative data is analyzed to address Tier 2 and Tier 3 concerns for groups and individual students. Grade level teams, with the support of the RtI Leadership Team, use the data to problem-solve Tier 2 and Tier 3 academic and behavior plans.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The School-Based Leadership Team will receive ongoing coaching support from our school-based PS/RtI coach. A primary focus of this coaching will be building capacity for all School-Based RtI Leadership Team members to serve as facilitators in the problem solving process with a gradual release of responsibility to the grade level facilitators.

Describe plan to support MTSS. In year 5 of RtI/MTSS, our SBLT will continue to address school-wide initiatives and issues. We are continuing to provide school-based trainings, developing our action plan and moving our school forward.

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team
Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).
George Papaemanuel
Dawn Scilex
Kim Valerio
Kelly Edwards
Kelli Henson
Cynthia Hann
Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).
The Lead Literacy Team meets monthly to review data from a variety of sources to determine the level of technical assistance and professional development assistance needed to support the literacy goals and objectives. The lead literacy team is charged with prioritizing and developing staff development needs, developing and monitoring the SIP's literacy goals, analyzing data and communicating the school's literacy priorities and that every teacher is responsible to teach reading strategies.
What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?
The LLT will analyze assessment data to determine Tier 1 reading staff development needs with a focus on learning how to implement CCSS strategies, and gradual release of responsibility.

#### **Public School Choice**

#### • Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

At Mary Giella Elementary School, all incoming Kindergarten students are assessed prior to or upon entering Kindergarten in order to determine individual and group needs and to assist in the development of effective, rigorous instructional and intervention programs. All students are assessed within the areas of Basic Skills/School Readiness, Oral Language/Syntax, Print/Letter knowledge, and Phonological Awareness/Processing. Specific screening tools our school will use include: FAIR, FLKRS, observations and classroom formative assessments.

Data will be collected and aggregated by the middle of September 2012 and will be used to plan daily academic and social/emotional instruction for all students who may need intervention beyond core instruction. Core Kindergarten academic and behavioral instruction will include daily explicit instruction, modeling, guided practice and independent practice of all academic and/or social emotional skills identified by screening data.

Vision and Hearing screenings are completed on all kindergarten students. Our SLP/Interventionist articulated about our incoming pre-school ESE students.

#### \*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

#### \*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

#### **Postsecondary Transition**

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

#### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS Reading Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievemer data, and reference to "Guiding Questions" identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	t Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1a. FCAT 2.0:	1a.1.Strategic			1a.1.	1a.1.		
Students scoring at	ally planning	and purposeful		Administration will monitor	Assessments from		
Achievement Level	with grade	planning		and coach each grade level	the series (MMH,		
Achievement Level	level team	with grade		team monthly.	Go Math, Fusion),		
3 in reading.		level team			Benchmark		
		at least once			assessments,		
		weekly. Teachers			Student Goal		
		will engage in			Setting Binders		
		collaborative,					
		purposeful					
		planning to					
		develop lessons					
		based on student					
		data and standards.					
	2012 G	2012 5 ( 1					
Reading Goal #1a:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
The percentage of		Level of Performance:*					
Stadents dente ing	Performance:*	Performance:*					
proficiency in reading							
will increase from 48% to							
60%.							
	48%	60%					
	48%	00%					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2. Teachers,	1a.2. Checking student goal	1a.2.Assessments from	
				Interventionists,			
				Administration		the series (MMH,	
			goals.	a tanini su aton		Go Math, Fusion),	
			50uio.			Benchmark	
						assessments,	
						Student Goal	
		1 2	1.2	1 2		Setting Binders	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	

		7	4		<b>.</b>	i and the second se	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.			1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in reading.	ally planning with grade level team	and purposeful planning with grade level team at least once weekly. Teachers will engage in collaborative, purposeful planning to develop lessons based on student data and standards.	Teachers, Administration	2a.1. Administration will monitor and coach each grade level team monthly.	2a.1. Assessments from the series (MMH, Go Math, Fusion), Benchmark assessments, Student Goal Setting Binders		
Reading Goal #2a: 30% of our students will achieve above proficiency in reading by June 2013	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Student motivation	Teachers will help students set academic goals.	1a.2. Teachers, Interventionists, Administration		1a.2.Assessments from the series (MMH, Go Math, Fusion), Benchmark assessments, Student Goal Setting Binders	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	

	01 1	01 1	21 1	21 1	01 1		
	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
reading.							
	2012 G	2012 5					
Reading Goal #2b:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Entre: num and got the	Performance:*	renormance:*					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of					
	current level of	performance in this					
	performance in	box.					
	this box.						
		2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
		20.5	20.5	20.3	20.5	20.5	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to			Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Ouestions".							
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
A							

	65%	70%					
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	
Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.			3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3b.2.		3b.2.		3b.2.	
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			i	Î.	i
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1	4a.1.	4a.1.		
Percentage of		Teachers will	Teachers,		Assessments from		
students in Lowest	Strategically		Coaches,	conferencing with	core series (MMH,		
	planning time for	and		students, weekly grade			
learning gains in		communicate learning goals		level meetings, monthly meetings with	assessments,		
reading.	ion	as well as			Student Goal		
		track progress			Setting Binders		
		with		informal observations			
		their students to					
		increase					
		student					
		achievement.					
Reading Goal #4a:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
		Level of Performance:*					
By June 2012, 70%	Performance:*	Performance:*					
or more of our lowest 25% of our							
students will make							
learning gains in							
reading.							
	65%	70%					
		4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	

		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.				
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.					
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*								
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.								
		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.				
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.				
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017				

5A. Ambitious but	Baseline					
Achievable Annual						
	2011					
	2011					
Objectives						
(AMOs). In six						
year school will						
reduce their						
achievement gap						
by 50%.						
Reading Goal #5A:						
Enter narrative for the						
goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier	0.5	Responsible for	Effectiveness of		
data, and reference to			Monitoring	Strategy		
"Guiding Questions",			č			
identify and define						
areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following subgroup:						
5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
subgroups by						
ethnicity (White,						
Black, Hispanic,						
Asian, American						
Indian) <b>not making</b>						
satisfactory						
progress in						
reading.						

	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
						5B.2. 5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.			5C.1.		
SC. English Language Learners	Strategically	Teachers will	Teachers,	Teachers/ Literacy	Assessments from		
Ealiguage Dealiners	planning	establish	Coaches,	Coach will do weekly	core series (MMH,		
(ELL) not making					Go Math),		
		communicate	, lanninger action	students, weekly grade	Bonchmark		
progress in							
		learning goals			assessments,		
reading.		as well as			Student Goal		
		track progress		meetings, formal and	Setting Binders		
		with		informal observations			
		their students					
		to					
		increase					
		student					
		achievement.					
Reading Goal #5C:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
The percentage of	Performance:*	Performance:*					
ELL students who							
are not making							
satisfactory progress							
in reading will							
decrease by 10%.							
decrease by 10%.							
	50%	60%					
	5070	0070					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to			Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Questions",			e e				
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
ionowing subgroup:							

		5D.2.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
		5D.3.					
5E. Economically							
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory							
progress in							
reading.							
Reading Goal #5E:							
The percentage							
of economically							
disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in reading will							
decrease by 10%.							
Ai Ba	nticipated arrier	Strategy					
5E	E.1 <u>20</u>	13 Expected Level				5E.1.	
	2012 Current			Teachers will establish and communicate	Teachers, Coaches,	Teachers/ Literacy Coach will do weekly	
	Level of				Administration	conferencing with	
P	erformance:* 37%			as		students, weekly grade	
	5770			track progress with their students to		level meetings Administration - Data	
				increase student		meetings, formal and informal	
				achievement.		observations	

						SE.1. Assessments from core series (MMH, Go Math), Benchmark assessments, Student Goal Setting Binders
Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	5E.2.					
5E.2	5E.3 5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.			
	5E.2.					
	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

# **Reading Professional Development**

Professional			

Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not ethat each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

### Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Reading Goals

# Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

<b>CELLA Goals</b>	Problem-Solving			
	Process to Increase			
	Language Acquisition			

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/ Speaking.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
CELLA Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
proficient in Reading.						
proncient in reading.						
CELLA Cool #2:	2012 Current Percent of Students					
CELLA Goal #2:	Proficient in Reading :					
Enter narrative for the goal in this						
box.						
0.000						
	Enter numerical data for current level					
	of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
		0				
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness	Evaluation Tool	
to non-ELL students.			for Monitoring	of		
to non EEE students.				Strategy		

or statemes scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
proficient in Writing.						
CELLA Goal #3:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :					
Enter narrative for the goal in this						
box.						
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
	oj perjornance in inis voz.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

### CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of CELLA Goals

### **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.	la.1. Strategically planning with grade level team	and purposeful planning with grade level team at least once weekly. Teachers will engage in collaborative, purposeful planning to develop lessons based on student data and standards.	Teachers, Administration	la.1. Administration will monitor and coach each grade level team monthly.	la.1. Assessments from the series (MMH, Go Math, Fusion), Benchmark assessments, Student Goal Setting Binders		
Mathematics Goal #1a The percentage of students scoring an achievement leve 3 or higher will rise from 40% to 65%.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	40%	65%					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	la.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	la.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	

		i			i		
<b>1b. Florida Alternate</b>	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
<b>Assessment:</b> Students							
scoring at Levels 4, 5,							
and 6 in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #1b:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal	Performance:*	Performance:*					
in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical data					
		for expected level of					
		performance in this					
	in this box.	box.					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data,	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	of			
and reference to "Guiding				Strategy			
Questions", identify and				0.			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
2a. FCAT 2.0:	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2. Teachers,	2a.2. Checking student goal setting	2a.2.Assessments from		
Students scoring at or	Student				the series (MMH,		
Students scoring at or	motivation	help students set	Administration		Go Math, Fusion),		
above Achievement		academic goals.			Benchmark		
Levels 4 and 5 in		Ĭ			assessments,		
mathematics.					Student Goal		
					Setting Binders		

	<u>Level of</u> Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	16%	26%					
		Strategically planning with grade level team	2a.1. Common and purposeful planning with grade level team at least once weekly. Teachers will engage in collaborative, purposeful planning to develop lessons based on student data and standards.	2a.1. Teachers, Administration	Administration will monitor and coach each grade level team monthly.	2a.1. Assessments from the series (MMH, Go Math, Fusion), Benchmark assessments, Student Goal Setting Binders	
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #2b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
A							

		2b.2.	262.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	Strategically planning with grade level team	and purposeful planning with grade level team at least once weekly. Teachers will engage in collaborative, purposeful planning to develop lessons based on student data and standards.	Teachers, Administration		la.1. Assessments from the series (MMH, Go Math, Fusion), Benchmark assessments, Student Goal Setting Binders		
Mathematics Goal #3a: By June 2013, 70% or more of our students will make learning gains in math.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	66%	70%					

		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		/					
		1 '					
		<u> </u>					
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	
		1 '					
		<u>                                     </u>			·	L	
<b>3b. Florida Alternate</b>	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
Assessment: Percentage of		1 '					
students making		'					
Learning Gains in		1 '					
mathematics.		1 '					
		1 '					
		1 '					
		1 '					
		1 '					
		1 '					
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected				1	
<u>#3b:</u>	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal		I crionnance.					
in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current	Enter numerical data for expected level of					
	level of performance	for expected level of eperformance in this					
	in this box.	box.					
		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		1 '					
		<u> </u>					
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	
		1 '					
		'					

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness	Evaluation Tool		Γ
student achievement data,	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring				
and reference to "Guiding				Strategy			
Questions", identify and							
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:			4.4.4				
Ta. I CITI 2.0.					4A.1.		
	Strategically				Assessments from		
		000000			the Core		
25% making learning	time for	and			Curriculum – Go Math (pre/		
gains in mathematics.	Implementatio	communicate			post tests)		
gams in mathematics.	n	learning goals			Benchmark Assessments		
		as well as track		Administration - Data			
		their progress			Student Goal		
		with their			Setting Binders		
		students.		intervention checks			
Mathematics Goal #4a:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of Derformences*	Level of Performance:*					
inc percentage of the out	Performance:*	Performance					
lowest quartile students							
making learning gains in							
math will rise from 56% to							
65%.							
	56%	1.50/					<b> </b>
	56%	65%					
							ļ'
		4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	<b> </b>
		48.5	44.5.	48.5.	48.5.	48.5.	

	La. 1	La 1	14.1	41 1		r	
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.		4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
	2012 Comment	2012 Europe d					
Mathematics Goal #4b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4b.2.				4b.2.	
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

	40% of students were proficient in math	demonstrated proficiency in mathematics		59% of students will demonstrate proficiency in mathematics		69% of students will demonstrated proficient in mathematics	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5B. Student subgroups by	Use of best	5B.1. Teachers will establish and communicate learning goals with their students.	Teachers, Administration	Teachers will do weekly conferencing with students, weekly grade level meetings, Administration - Data Meetings formal and informal observations	5B.1. Assessments from the Core Curriculum – Go Math (pre/ post tests) Benchmark Assessments Student Goal Setting Binders		

		•					
Mathematics Goal #5B: The percentage of students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics will decrease by 10% in all subgroups.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	(103) Black: 66% (4) Hispanic: 27% (12) Asian: N/A American Indian: N/A						
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy			
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	Strategically planning time for implementatio n	5C.1. Teachers will establish and communicate learning goals as well as track their progress with their students.	Teachers, Administration	5C.1. Teachers will do weekly conferencing with students, weekly grade level meetings, Collaboration with ESOL Resource teacher and instructional assistant. Administration - Data Meetings formal and informal observations	5C.1. Assessments from the Core Curriculum – Go Math (pre/ post tests) Benchmark Assessments Student Goal Setting Binders		

#5C:	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy			
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

					•		
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	5E.1. Strategically planning time for implementatio n	SE.1. Teachers will establish and communicate learning goals as well as track their progress with their students.		with Intervention teacher Administration - Data	5E.1. Assessments from the Core Curriculum – Go Math (pre/ post tests) Benchmark Assessments Student Goal Setting Binders		
Mathematics Goal #5E: The percentage of economically disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in math will decrease by 10%.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	36%	29%					
		5E.2.		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

#### **Middle School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Middle School	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.		1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	

Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
<u>#1a:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	E ( 11	F / 1					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this					
	this box.	<i>box.</i> 1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
					· ·····	· ···	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
1b. Florida	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in	L						
Students scoring at							
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u>	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1b:</u> Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of	Level of					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1b:</u>	2012 Current Level of	Level of					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1b:</u> Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of	Level of					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1b:</u> Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of	Level of					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1b:</u> Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of	Level of					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1b:</u> Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1b:</u> Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1b:</u> Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1b:</u> Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for					

		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
data, and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Questions",			liteintering				
identify and define							
areas in need of improvement for the							
following group:							
	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement							
Levels 4 and 5 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#20:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
goui in inis vox.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	Enter numerical data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					

		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		20.2.	20.2.	20.2.	20.2.	20.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
2b. Florida	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
<u>#2b:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
50111 1111 0000							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	expected level of performance in this					
	this box.	box.					
		2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		
Percentage of							
students making Learning Gains in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#30.	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the	renormance.	renormance.					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in this					
	this box.	box.					
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3b.2.	3b.2.	36.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

4a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	

4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	46.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 4b.2.		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual	2011-2012	4b.3 2012-2013	4b.3. 2013-2014	4b.3. 2014-2015	4b.3. 2015-2016	4b.3. 2016-2017	
Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target							

Mathematics Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>5B. Student</b> subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian American	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	

#5B.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		

Mathematics Goal #5C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
						5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
following subgroup:							

#5D:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 5D.2.		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
						5D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		

Mathematics Goal_ #5E:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	current level of performance in	data for					
		5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

#### **Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

		i					
ool Mathema	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve ment						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

	1	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
		1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Cast #2	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Mathematics Goal #2:	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this					
	this box.	<i>box.</i> 2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	<i>∠.∠</i> .	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	0.5	Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to			Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Questions", identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							

3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
Щ2.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			

4. Florida Alternate 4 Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4:2 Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
d. 	lata for urrent level of erformance in his box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
						4.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Algebra EOC Goals	Problem-			
	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Algebra Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.2.	1.3.		1.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	1.3.	

	i		í de la companya de la				
2. Students scoring at or	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Algebra.							
and 5 in Aigebra.							
Algebra Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
-	Level of	of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*						
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical data					
	data for	for expected level of					
	current level of	performance in this box.					
	performance in this box.						
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Achievable Annual Measurable							
Objectives (AMOs),Reading							
and Math Performance Target							
	Baseline data						
Achievable Annual	2010-2011						
Measurable Objectives							
(AMOs). In six year							
school will reduce their							
achievement gap by 50%.							

Algebra Goal #3A:							
Algeora Goal #5A.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this							
box.							
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify			Monitoring	Strategy			
and define areas in need of							
improvement for the following							
subgroup:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
	White:	JD.1.	JD.1.	JD.1.	50.1.		
by culling (willie, Diack,	Black:						
Hispanic, Asian, American	Hispanic:						
	Asian:						
satisfactory progress in	American Indian:						
Algebra.							
-							
Algebra Goal #3B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
-	Level of	of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*						
box.							
		Enter numerical data for expected level of					
	current level of	performance in this box.					
	performance in this	White:					
		Black:					
		Hispanic:					
		Asian: American Indian:					
	Asian:	American mulan.					
	American Indian:						
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	

		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3C. English Language	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.							
Algebra Goal #3C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Algebra Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	20.2	20.2	20.2	2D 2	
						3D.2. 3D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Algebra Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	for expected level of performance in this box. 3E.2.	3E.2			3E.2. 3E.3	

End of Algebra EOC Goals

#### **Geometry End-of-Course Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

			· · · · · ·			
Geometry EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	

Geometry Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	performance in this box.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<ol> <li>Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels</li> <li>4 and 5 in Geometry.</li> </ol>			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Geometry Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives	Baseline data 2010-2011						
(AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.							
Geometry Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Geometry Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Geometry Goal #3C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
					3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

	20.1	AD 1	2D 1	20.1	AD 1	1	
3D. Students with	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Disabilities (SWD) not							
making satisfactory							
progress in Geometry.							
progress in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3D:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
Geometry Goal #5D.	Level of	of Performance:*					
	<u>Leveror</u>	of Performance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*						
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical data					
	data for	for expected level of					
	current level of	performance in this box.					
	performance in this	7					
	box.						
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	
		50.5.	50.3.	50.5.	50.5.	50.5.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier	0.5	Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions",			Monitoring	Strategy			
identify and define areas in			infolittoring	Suucey			
need of improvement for the							
need of improvement for the							
following subgroup:							

Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.				3E.1.	3E.1.		
Geometry Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in this box.	3E.2.	3E.2			3E.2. 3E.3	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

# **Mathematics Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community			
(PLC) or PD			

Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

#### Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Mathematics Goals

#### **Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Elementary and	Problem-			
Middle Science	Solving			
Goals	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: <b>1a. FCAT 2.0: Students</b>	Anticipated Barrier 1A.1.	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
ra. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.	Strategically planning time for implementatio n.	Teachers will establish and	Teachers, Administration	Teachers will do weekly conferencing with students, weekly grade level meetings Administration – Data meetings,	Assessments from the core series – Fusion; BOK pre/post tests; Core K-12 Benchmark assessments Student Goal Setting binders		
Science Goal #1a: 50% or more of our students will be proficient in science.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	30%	50%					
		with using the curriculum maps and student data to design lessons	Teachers will engage in collaborative planning utilizing data, curriculum maps and the core series, Fusion, to develop rigorous lessons.	Teachers, Administration	1A.2. Teachers will do weekly conferencing with students, weekly grade level meetings Administration – Data meetings, formal and informal observations	1A.2. Assessments from the core series – Fusion; BOK pre/post tests; Core K- 12 Benchmark assessments	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Ib. Florida Alternate         1b.1.         1b.1.         1b.1.         1b.1.	
Assessment: Students	
scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6	
in science.	
Science Goal #1b: 2012 Current 2013 Expected	
Science Goal #1b: 2012 Current 2013 Expected	
Level of Level of	
Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:* Performance:*	
box,	
Enter numerical Enter numerical	
data for data for	
current level of expected level of	
performance in this performance in this	
box. box.	
1b.2. 1b.2. 1b.2. 1b.2. 1b.2.	
10.2. 10.2. 10.2. 10.2. 10.2. 10.2.	
1b.3. 1b.3. 1b.3. 1b.3. 1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student         Anticipated         Strategy         Person or Position Responsible         Process Used to Determine         Evaluation Tool	
achievement data, and reference Barrier for Monitoring Effectiveness of	
to "Guiding Questions", identify Strategy	
and define areas in need of	
improvement for the following	
group:	

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.	2A.1. Strategically planning time for implementatio n.	Teachers will establish and	Teachers, Administration	Teachers will do weekly conferencing with students, weekly grade level meetings Administration – Data meetings,	2A.1. Assessments from the core series – Fusion; BOK pre/post tests; Core K-12 Benchmark assessments Student goal setting binders		
Science Goal #2a: 25% or more of our 5th grade students will achieve above proficiency in science on the 2013 FCAT.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 9%	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 25%					
		2A.2. Knowing and being comfortable with using the curriculum maps and student data to design lessons. 2a.3	Teachers will engage in collaborative planning utilizing data, curriculum maps and the core series, Fusion, to develop rigorous lessons.	2A.2. Teachers, Administration 2a.3		2A.2. Assessments from the core series – Fusion; BOK pre/post tests; Core K- 12 Benchmark assessments 2a.3	2a.2.

2b. Florida Alternate	2b.1.	2b.1.	2.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Assessment: Students							
scoring at or above Level 7							
in science.							
Science Goal #2b:	2012 Current Level of	2013Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*	Performance:*					
box.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
L		I	1				

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

#### Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

High School Science				
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			

				i	·		
	Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2.		1.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment: Students							
scoring at or above Level 7							
in science.							
in science.							
Science Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013Expected					
	Level of	2013Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*	Performance:*					
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this	performance in this	1				
	box.	box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
5							

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Biology EOC Goals</b>	Problem-		• •		
	Solving				
	Process to				
	Increase				
	Student				
	Achieveme				
	nt				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
it students scoring at	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Achievement Level 3 in							
Biology.							
Biology Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*	Performance:*					
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in this	performance in this					
	box.	box. 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify				Strategy			
and define areas in need of improvement for the following							
group:							

2. Students scoring at or <sup>2.1.</sup>	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
2. Students scoring at or <sup>2.1.</sup> above Achievement Levels						
4 and 5 in Biology.						
Biology Goal #2: 2012 Current.	2013 Expected					
Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:*	Performance:*					
box.						
Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
current level of	expected level of					
performance in thi box.	sperformance in this box.					
004.		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

End of Biology EOC Goals

## Science Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

## Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of Science Goals

#### Writing Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1a. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.	Knowledge of Common		Literacy Coach, Teachers	IA.1. Teachers/Literacy Coach – review, discuss and monitor student work samples Coaching Cycle	1A.1. Discussions and coaching with Literacy Coach.	
	of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	66%	75%				

		Strategically planning time for implementation	Teachers will establish	1A.2. Teachers, Administration	Teachers will do weekly conferencing with students, weekly grade	1A.2. Assessments from the core series – Fusion; BOK pre/post tests; Core K-12 Benchmark assessments Student goal setting binders	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.	1b.1.						
Writing Goal #1b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data					
	for current level of	for expected level of performance in this box.					
				1b.2. 1b.3.	1b.2. 1b.3.	1b.2. 1b.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

# Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			

Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

## End of Writing Goals

## **<u>Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals</u>**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

Achievement Level 3 in Civics.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<u>Civics_Goal #1:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
						1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

<ul> <li>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels</li> <li>4 and 5 in Civics.</li> </ul>	l. î	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	vel of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
data curi	ta for f rrent level of formance in this x.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 2.2.		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

# **Civics Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community			
(PLC) or PD			
Activity			
Please note that each	<u>                                      </u>		

Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

#### **Civics Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Civics Budget (insert lows as needed				
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Subtotal:		
Total:		

#### End of Civics Goals

### U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
U.S. History_Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

	Enter numerical	Enter numerical data		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		
		Enter numerical data for expected level of					
	current level of	performance in this box.					
	performance in this						
	box.						
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier	~	Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify			Monitoring	Strategy			
and define areas in need of			5				
improvement for the following							
group:							
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in U.S. History.							
U.S. History Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
$0.5.111$ story $0.0$ at $\pm 2.5$	Level of	of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance*						
Enter narrative for the goat in this	<u> </u>						
box.							
		Enter numerical data					
		for expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
	performance in this box.						
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
						<b>Г</b> <sup></sup>	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
				<b>—</b>	<b>—</b> —	F <sup></sup>	
L				1	1		

# **U.S. History Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

#### U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Evidence-based Flogram(s)/Waterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

## End of U.S. History Goals

## **Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendance					
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Attendance Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*				

for current attendance	Enter numerical data for expected attendance rate in this box.					
Number of Students with Excessive Absences	2013 Expected_ Number of Students_ with Excessive_ Absences_ (10 or more)					
for current number of	Enter numerical data for expected number of absences in this box.					
Number of Students with Excessive Tardies	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
for current number of	Enter numerical data for expected number of students tardy in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

#### Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				

Total:		

End of Attendance Goals

## Suspension Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Decrease Suspension			represents next to the p		
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Suspension	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
<u></u>	of In –School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions				
	Enter numerical data for current number of in-school suspensions 2012 Total Number	Enter numerical data for expected number of in-school suspensions 2013 Expected				
	of Students Suspended In-School	Number of Students Suspended In -School				
	Enter numerical data for current number of students suspended in-school	Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended in- school				
	Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions				

for curren	merical data Enter numerica ent number of for expected nu suspended students suspen school out- of- school	umber of ded				
2012 Tot of Studer Suspende Out- of-	led Suspended	<u>idents</u>				
for curren	merical data Enter numerica nt number of for expected nu suspended students suspen school out- of- school	umber of ded				
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

#### **Suspension Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
<b>Suspension Budge</b>	et (Insert row	s as needed)			-	

Include only school-based funded

activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Suspension Goals

**Dropout Prevention Goal(s)** Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout	Problem-			
Prevention	solving			
Goal(s)	Process to			

Dropout Prevention						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
012 Current Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*					
lata for dropout ate in this box.	for expected dropout rate in this box.					
Graduation Rate:* Enter numerical	Graduation Rate:* Enter numerical					
raduation rate in	graduation rate in this box.					
	1.2. 1.3.	1.2.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.		
	Prevention         Anticipated Barrier         Anticipated Barrier         0.12 Current         Propout Rate:*         inter numerical ata for dropout ate in this box.         0.12 Current         raduation Rate:*         inter numerical ata for raduation rate in	Prevention         Anticipated Barrier       Strategy         Anticipated Barrier       Strategy         International Strategy       International Strategy         Internati	Prevention       Strategy       Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring         Anticipated Barrier       Strategy       Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring         .1.       1.1.       1.1.         .1.       1.1.       1.1.         .1.       1.1.       1.1.         .1.       1.1.       1.1.         .1.       1.1.       1.1.         .1.       .1.       1.1.         .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.	Prevention       Image: Strategy Barrier       Strategy Barrier       Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Barrier       Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy         .1.       1.1.       1.1.       1.1.       1.1.       1.1.         .1.       1.1.       1.1.       1.1.       1.1.         .1.       1.1.       1.1.       1.1.       1.1.         .1.       .1.       1.1.       1.1.       1.1.         .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.         .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.       .1.       .1. <td< td=""><td>Prevention       Image: strategy Berson or Position Barrier       Strategy Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Effectiveness of Strategy       Evaluation Tool         1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1         1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1         OL2 Current in the interview of the intervie</td><td>Prevention     Image: Control of Person of Position Barrier     Person of Position Responsible for Monitoring Effectiveness of Strategy     Evaluation Tool       Anticipated Barrier     Strategy     Person of Position Responsible for Monitoring Strategy     Evaluation Tool       1.     1.1.     1.1.     1.1.     1.1.       1.1.     013 Expected     Image: Control of Position Rate:*     Image: Control of Position Rate:*       012 Current response for expected dropped response for this bax.     Image: Control of Position Rate:*     Image: Control of Position Rate:*       013 Expected response for expected dropped response for this bax.     Image: Control of Position Rate:*     Image: Control of Position Rate:*       013 Expected response for expected dropped response for expected dropped response for expected dropped response for expected dropped response for expected respons</td></td<>	Prevention       Image: strategy Berson or Position Barrier       Strategy Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Effectiveness of Strategy       Evaluation Tool         1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1         1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1         OL2 Current in the interview of the intervie	Prevention     Image: Control of Person of Position Barrier     Person of Position Responsible for Monitoring Effectiveness of Strategy     Evaluation Tool       Anticipated Barrier     Strategy     Person of Position Responsible for Monitoring Strategy     Evaluation Tool       1.     1.1.     1.1.     1.1.     1.1.       1.1.     013 Expected     Image: Control of Position Rate:*     Image: Control of Position Rate:*       012 Current response for expected dropped response for this bax.     Image: Control of Position Rate:*     Image: Control of Position Rate:*       013 Expected response for expected dropped response for this bax.     Image: Control of Position Rate:*     Image: Control of Position Rate:*       013 Expected response for expected dropped response for expected dropped response for expected dropped response for expected dropped response for expected respons

## **Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

# Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

*End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)* 

#### Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement	Problem-			
Goal(s)	solving			
	Process			
	to Parent			
	Involveme			
	nt			

		i			1		
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school		1.1. School Connect phone messages, fliers, marquee announcement, class and teacher incentives	1.1.	1.1. Increased attendance	1.1 Sign in sheets		
activities, duplicated or unduplicated.	understanding of home/school communications	be offered in	Administration Parent Involvement committee Teachers 1.2. Administration		1.2. Sign in sheets		
By June of 2013, parent participation in curriculum based information sessions and/or workshops will increase by 10%		2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*	ESOL teacher ESOL instructional assistant				
	20%	30%					
		1.3. Offer morning and afternoon workshops	1.3. Administration Parent Involvement committee Teachers	1.3. Increased attendance	1.3. Sign in sheets	1.2.	

1.4.	1.4.	1.4.	1.4.	1.3.	
Offer Baby	sittingAdministration	Increased attendance	Sign in sheets		
services to	Parent Involvement				
families for					
toddlers thr	ough				
5 <sup>th</sup> grade					

#### Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not ethat each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Strategies to build positive relationships with parents and increase parent involvement	All	Administration Leadership team	School-wide	September staff meeting November & February PLC meetings	Increased parent contact and/or parent participation in school events.	Leadership team Teachers

#### Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded		
activities/materials and exclude district		
funded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)		

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			
			1

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

## Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages	, include the number of students the	percentage represents next to the	percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		1.1.		1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# **STEM Professional Development**

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			
PLC activity.			

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

#### **STEM Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of STEM Goal(s)

#### **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

CTE Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# **CTE Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not ethat each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

#### **CTE Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of CTE Goal(s)

## Additional Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Additional Goal	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	

Additional Goal #1:		2013 Expected Level :*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	data for current	Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

## Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

# Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Additional Goal(s)

## Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	Grand Total:
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
5	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
0 0	Total:
Reading Budget	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	

eva

#### **Differentiated Accountability**

#### School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. double click the desired box; 2.when the menu pops up, select "checked" under "Default Value" header; 3. Select "OK", this will place an "x" in the box.)

	,		
School			
Differentiated			
Accountability			
Status			
□Priority	□Fo	cus	□Prevent
<b>.</b>	6.1 D.	00 <b>.</b>	1

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page

#### **School Advisory Council (SAC)**

#### SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

 $\Box$  Yes  $\Box$  No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount