FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: BRIGHT HORIZONS

District Name: Broward

Principal: Ann Andersen-Kowalski

SAC Chair: Lori Naslund

Superintendent: Robert Runcie

Date of School Board Approval: December 4, 2012

Last Modified on: 10/25/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Ann Andersen- Kowalski	BS Master of Education K-12 SLD K-12 EMH k-12 Principal	1	15	Bright Horizons 2011-2012 Status: Improving Marjory Stoneman Douglas High School 2010/2011 A 2009/2010 B 2008/2009 B
Assis Principal	Carol Levin	B.A. Psychology M. Ed. Special Education Ed.S. Educational Leadership MH, Pre-K, ESOL, SLD, PSY, Ed. Leadership	4	7	2011-2012: Status: Improving 2010/2011 School Grade: N/A AYP Status: No Percent Criteria Met:83% 2009/2010: School Grade: N/A AYP Status: No Percent Criteria Met:82% 2008/2009: School Grade: N/A AYP Status: Percent Criteria Met 82%

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
N/A	N/A	N/A			N/A

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	1. iObservation: Formal, informal and walk throughs	Administration	Ongoing	
2	 Professional Development: PLCs offered to all staff for continued improvement in teaching strategies, augmentative communication, technology, curriculum and data analysis 	Leadership Team	Ongoing	
3	 Department/Team Leaders: Supporting teachers on their team in all aspects of curriculum, data and instructional strategies 	Department Chairs Leadership Team	Ongoing	
4	4. Partnering National Board Certified Teachers with staff as needed	Administration NBCTs	Ongoing	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
2	Staff will be provided support with curriculum issues in the core subject areas

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
30	3.3%(1)	13.3%(4)	36.7%(11)	46.7%(14)	60.0%(18)	30.0%(9)	3.3%(1)	30.0%(9)	66.7%(20)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale

for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Barbara Woychowski			Peer iObservation, organization assistance and curriculum planning
Barbara Woychowski	Kettleen Emmanual		Peer iObservation, organization assistance and curriculum planning
Sarah Hugus	Phillips	New to this level of students	Organization assistance, classroom set-up and curriculum planning

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Title I, Part D

Title II

Title III

Title X- Homeless

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Violence Prevention Programs

Nutrition Programs

Housing Programs

Head Start

Adult Education

Career and Technical Education

Job Training

Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/Rtl Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

The MTSS/RTI team is comprised of the Administration, ESE Specialist, Psychologist, Classroom teachers, Speech/Language Pathologists, Behavior Specialist, Occupational Therapist and Physical Therapist. A nurse will be added for medical concerns. If a placement change to another school is being considered, a general education instructor (from home school) will be invited to participate in that student's meeting.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

All of our students have IEPs. In addition to yearly IEP meetings and quarterly progress notes, the MTSS/RTI team meets on an "as needed" basis. Data is collected for each goal and reviewed by the Assistant Principal. In addition, each student has an individual student portfolio that records student progress throughout the year.

Any member of the team may request a meeting in addition to the annual IEP meeting if additional intervention is needed. The MTSS/RTI team will continue to meet twice a month to discuss potential issues regarding behavior, medical or instructional concerns. The ESE Specialist is responsible for coordinating the meetings and documenting any strategies that will be implemented as a result of these meetings. All who work with that particular student will be invited to participate in the meeting.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS/RTI team, led by the administration, reviews the results of the monthly BAT and FAA results. Outcomes are reviewed to identify any existing problems, determine what created the problem and formulate suggestions for strategies to improve student progress. Information on curriculum, technology and special programs will be discussed at SAC and any program changes will be reviewed.

MTSS Implementation—

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Data is collected for each student within each tier. A student portfolio summarizing progress and containing student work is also maintained within the classroom. Software programs with specific age appropriate lessons track student's data in areas addressed. Each student will be assessed on the Unique curriculum on a monthly basis. This assessment covers all academic areas and follows the format of the FAA. The assessments will determine areas of need and individual instruction or modifications will be completed.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Staff is trained by the Administration within small team groups during pre-planning week. The Assistant Principal will monitor all teachers to ensure data collection and assessments are done as scheduled. Additional assistance will be given on a weekly basis through a Learning Committee that will address the Unique Curriculum and technology integration.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Monthly team meetings will discuss any issues relating to the MTSS/RTI process.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Team leaders of elementary and secondary classes; Principal, Assistant Principal, ESE Specialist, Speech/Language Therapist, and a Representative from the Assistive Technology (AT) team are members of the Literacy Leadership Team.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT meets bi-weekly to review curriculum issues, monthly assessments results and materials that are being presented to students. Discussion of problems and possible strategies are discussed at this time.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The major initiative is to ensure teachers are using the Unique Curriculum. This curriculum addresses reading, mathematics, science, social studies and writing. Classroom Suites is a software program that encompasses an adapted writing program and is used with our students.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

The Literacy Leadership Team will monitor assessment data based on the Access Points to The Sunshine State Standards for Reading and Language Arts, looking for continuous improvement in reading and language arts. Speech/Language Pathologists will visit each classroom weekly to ensure that each student is being presented information in the specific way that they learn best and each student has access to an appropriately developed communication system that they can understand and use effectively. Reading activities for each student will be planned around the use of the communication system to ensure that instruction is appropriately accessible and appropriately placed.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Students at Bright Horizons require careful planning of their schedules to make sure that they are taking courses that will lead to having the right number of credits when it comes time for them to graduate with their peers. Support Staff have developed a carefully crafted suggested Program of Study to ensure that appropriate course decisions are made on the behalf of students, as they are, due to their disabilities unable to plan their educational schedules. Schedules will be assigned to each student at the beginning of the school year. Students entering the school after the start of the school year will be the subject of a course review and corrective course schedules will be developed to get the students on track to graduate on time with their peers. Progress of each student will be monitored through course schedules and school credit reports from TERMS.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Support Staff have developed a carefully crafted suggested Program of Study to ensure that appropriate course decisions are made on the behalf of the students who, due to their disabilities, are unable to plan their own educational schedules. Due to the nature of their disabilities, students at Bright Horizons are all pursuing a Special Diploma, Option One. Once students have enough credits to graduate, they are invited to return for FAPE in our transition InD Center PASS Program, where they are given the opportunity to pursue activities within five separate curriculum bands. Data is collected regarding which activity the students prefer as well as which ones they have an affinity for. When a student begins to develop a pattern of activities that suggest a preferred curriculum band, then the student is given additional activities and instruction in that area in addition to the behavioral, pacing and instructional support necessary for their continued success.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

For the students at Bright Horizons, Postsecondary Transition is part of the IEP Process. Starting at age 14, members of the IEP committee begin to identify postsecondary goals for each student in the areas of Education, Employment and Independent Living. The student's IEP is then designed in order to help each student make reasonable progress toward the meeting of those goals.

In High School, targeted students are also for On the Job Training (OJT) opportunities as well as Community Based-Instruction (CBI), in order to maximize their potential as members of the community.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading. Reading Goal #1a:			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A	N/A				
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:	By June, 2013, 7% of students will score at Level 4, 5, or 6 in reading.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
5% (3 students) scored within the proficiency range.	7% (4 students) will score in the proficiency range.				

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement									
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool					
1	1B.1. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	1B.1. Students will use alternative written materials to access reading benchmarks	1B.1. Leadership Team	1B.1. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	1B.1. FAA results					
	1B.2. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances,	1B.2. Students will use adaptive technology to access reading	1B.2. Leadership Team	1B.2. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans	1B.2. FAA results					

2	students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	benchmarks (Smart/Promethean Boards, classroom computers, iPads, augmentative devices, etc)	Classroom Observation	
3	1B.3. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	1B.3. Students will continue to demonstrate acquisition of pre-reading skills according to their ability level as demonstrated by their score on comprehension questions related to weekly stories in ULS.	1B.3. Weekly samples of student work Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	1B.3. FAA results

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a:			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of P	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	is to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

	d on the analysis of studer provement for the following		refere	ence to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b:				By June, 2013, 2% will score at a Level 7 in reading.		
2012	Current Level of Perform	mance:		2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
0 students scored at Level 7 or above				2% (1 student) will score at this level		
	Pi	roblem-Solving Process	s to I n	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	2B.1. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty	2B.1. Students will use alternative written materials to access reading benchmarks	2B.1 Lead	1. dership Team	2B.1. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	2B.1. FAA Results

	achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.				
2	2B.2. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	2B.2. Students will use adaptive technology to access reading benchmarks (Smart/Promethean Boards, classroom computers, iPads, augmentative devices, etc)	2B.2. Leadership Team	2B.2. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	2B.2. FAA Results
3	2B.3. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	2B.3. Students will continue to demonstrate acquisition of pre-reading skills according to their ability level as demonstrated by their score on comprehension questions related to weekly stories in ULS.		2B.3. Weekly student work samples Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	2B.3. FAA Results

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, an bllowing group:	d refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nce:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to I	ncrease S [.]	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and referred of improvement for the following group:	rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need			
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:	By June 2013, 35% of students will make learning gains in reading.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
32% (16) made reading gains. This was an increase of 8% from 2011.	35% (18) will make reading gains.			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Person or Process Used to			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	3B.1. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	3B.1. Students will use adaptive written materials to access reading benchmarks	3B.1. Leadership Team	3B.1. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	3B.1. FAA results
2	3B.2. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	3B.2. Students will use adaptive technology to access reading benchmarks (Smart/Promethean Boards, classroom computers, iPads, augmentative devices, etc)	3B.2. Leadership Team	3B.2. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	3B.2. FAA results
3	3B.3. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	3B.3. Students will continue to demonstrate acquisition of pre-reading skills according to their ability level as demonstrated by their score on comprehension questions related to weekly stories in ULS.		3B.3. Weekly student work samples Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	3B.3. FAA results

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nce:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target						
			Reading Goal #			
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			By 2013, our	school will incr	ease proficiency	by 8%.
			5A :			~
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017

	13	21	29	37		45		
		tudent achieveme owing subgroup:	ent data, and refe	erence to "G	uiding Ques	tions", identify	and	define areas in need
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5B:					Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
2012 Current	Level of Pe	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Leve	el of Performa	nce:	
Current percentages of learning gains: White Black Hispanic America Indian				White Black Hispanic	Black			
		Problem-Solv	ving Process to	Increase S	tudent Ach	ievement		
Anticipated E	Barrier	Strategy	Pos Res for	son or ition ponsible nitoring	Process L Determin Effective Strategy	е	Eval	luation Tool
			No Data	Submitted				
<u></u>								
		tudent achieveme owing subgroup:	ent data, and refe	erence to "G	uiding Ques	tions", identify	and	define areas in need
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C:				Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.				

2012	Current	Level	of F	Performance:
-				

N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

N/A

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D:	By 2013, our school will increase proficiency by 8%.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
13%	21%				

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1	Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	Students will use adaptive written materials to access reading benchmarks	Leadership Team	Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	FAA Results				
2	Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	Students will use adaptive technology to access reading benchmarks (Smart/Promethean Boards, classroom computers, iPads, augmentative devices, etc)	Leadership Team	Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	FAA Results				
3	Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	Students will continue to demonstrate acquisition of pre-reading skills according to their ability level as demonstrated by their score on comprehension questions related to weekly stories in ULS.		Weekly student work samples Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	FAA Results				

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo		data, and refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", ident	ify and define areas in need	
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E:				Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of P	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	mance:	
N/A			N/A			
	Problem-Solvin	g Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		No Data S	Submitted			

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Aligning curriculum to increase learning gains as assessed on the FAA	All subjects K- 12	Leadership Team		8/14/12- Planning 8/16/12- Planning 9/12/12 9/27/12- Early Release 10/10/12 10/26/12- Planning 11/14/12 12/12/12 1/16/13 2/13/13 3/22/13- Planning 4/10/13	Monthly benchmark assessments	Administration

Reading Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
Curriculum	Supplemental materials	Accountability funds	Amoun1 \$500.00
Curriculum			Subtotal: \$500.0
Technology			50510121. \$500.0
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Enhance teacher knowledge and usage	Training	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
PLC	Training, collaboration, best practices	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$500.0

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.				
 Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. CELLA Goal #1: 	Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.			

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

Г

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.					
2. Students scoring pr CELLA Goal #2:	oficient in reading.		Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Percent	of Students Proficient in r	eading:			
N/A	N/A				
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to Increase S	Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Noticipated Barrier					
No Data Submitted					

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.					
3. Students scoring pro CELLA Goal #3:	oficient in writing.		Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Percent	of Students Proficient in w	riting:			
N/A	N/A				
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to Increase S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Notes and Strategy Monitoring					
No Data Submitted					

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00
			End of CELLA Goa

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1a:			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nce:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for			on or ion onsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:				
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	By June 2013, 2% of students will score at the Level of 4, 5,			
Mathematics Goal #1b:	or 6 in mathematics.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
0% (0 students) scored at a level of 4 or above.	2% (1 student) will score at a level of 4 or above.			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	1B.1. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	1B.1. Students will use manipulatives, adaptive written materials, technology, and equipment to access mathematical benchmarks	1B.1. Leadership Team	1B.1. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	1B.1. FAA Results		
2	1B.2. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	1B.2. Students will demonstrate acquisition of mathematical concepts through participation in ULS activities and the resulting work products.	1B.2. Leadership Team	1B.2 Weekly work samples Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	1B.2. FAA Results		

3	behavioral variances, students have difficulty	1B.3. Students will practice academic and real world mathematics through utilization of classroom materials, living skills lab, laundry lab, vocational lab, and Community Based Instruction sites.	·	1B.3. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	1B.3. FAA Results
---	---	---	---	---	----------------------

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2a:			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nce:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	is to l	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
for				Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

	d on the analysis of studer provement for the following	it achievement data, and re g group:	eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
Stud matł	Florida Alternate Assessr lents scoring at or above nematics. nematics Goal #2b:			By June 2013, 2% of students will score at Level 7 or above in mathematics		
2012	2 Current Level of Perform	mance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
0 stu	idents scored at or above I	evel 7	2% (1) student	2% (1) students will score at a level 7 or above		
	Pi	roblem-Solving Process 1	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	2B.1. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	2B.1. Students will use manipulatives, adaptive written materials, technology, and equipment to access mathematical benchmarks	2B.1. Leadership Team	2B.1. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	2B.1. FAA Results	
	2B.2. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and	2B.2. Students will demonstrate acquisition	2B.2. Leadership Team	2B.2. Weekly student work samples	2B.2. FAA Results	

2	behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	of mathematical concepts through participation in ULS activities and the resulting work products.		Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	
3	2B.3. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	2B.3. Students will practice academic and real world mathematics through utilization of classroom materials, living skills lab, laundry lab, vocational lab, and Community Based Instruction sites.	2B.3. Leadership Team		2B.3. FAA results

Г

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, and ollowing group:	d refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Questions", identi	fy and define areas in need
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a:			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perforn	nance:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to l	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No) Data S	Submitted	1	

Bas	ed on the analysis of student achievement data, a	and reference to	"Guiding	Questions",	identify	and define	areas	in need
of i	nprovement for the following group:							

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b:	By June 2013, 12% of students will make learning gains in mathematics.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
9% (1) made learning gains.	12% will make learning gains

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement										
	Problem-Solving Process to the ease Student Achievement									
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool					
1	3B.1. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency	3B.1. Students will use manipulatives, adaptive written materials, technology, and equipment to access	3B.1. Leadership team	3B.1. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	3B.1. FAA results					

	levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	mathematical benchmarks			
2	3B.2. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	3B.2. Students will demonstrate acquisition of mathematical concepts through participation in ULS activities and the resulting work products.	3B.2. Leadership team	3B.2. Weekly student work samples Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	3B.2. FAA results
3	3B.3. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	3B.3. Students will practice academic and real world mathematics through utilization of classroom materials, living skills lab, laundry lab, vocational lab, and Community Based Instruction sites.	3B.3. Leadership team	3B.3. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	3B.3. FAA results

Based on the analysis of student achievement data,	and reference to	"Guiding Questions",	, identify and define	areas in need
of improvement for the following group:				

4. FCAT 2.0: Percentag making learning gains	owest 25%					
Mathematics Goal #4:	Mathematics Goal #4:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solv	ring Process to L	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		No Data S	Submitted	•		

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target									
5A. Ambitious Measurable Ob school will red by 50%.	ojectives (AMO	s). In six year	Elementary School M By 2013, our 5A :		ease proficiency :	by 8%.			
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017			
	12	20	28	36	44				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.

Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.

Mathematics Goal #5B:

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:						
N/A			N/A						
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
No Data Submitted									

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the for	student achievement data, ar Ilowing subgroup:	nd refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
5C. English Language L satisfactory progress ir Mathematics Goal #5C:		Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
2012 Current Level of P	2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nce:		
N/A		N/A			
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	N	o Data S	Submitted	•	

	l on the analysis of studen provement for the following	it achievement data, and r g subgroup:	refere	nce to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D:			E	By 2013, our students will increase proficiency by 8%.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
12%			2	20%		
	Pr	roblem-Solving Process	to I n	crease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances,	Students will use manipulatives, adaptive written materials,	Leac	dership team	Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans	FAA results

1	students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	technology, and equipment to access mathematical benchmarks		Classroom Observation	
2	Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	Students will demonstrate acquisition of mathematical concepts through participation in ULS activities and the resulting work products.	Leadership team	Weekly student work samples Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	FAA results
3	Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	Students will practice academic and real world mathematics through utilization of classroom materials, living skills lab, laundry lab, vocational lab, and Community Based Instruction sites.	Leadership team	Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	FAA results

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, ar Ilowing subgroup:	nd refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need	
5E. Economically Disady satisfactory progress ir Mathematics Goal #5E:	vantaged students not mał n mathematics.	Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	ince:	
N/A			N/A			
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement		
for			Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	No Data Submitted					

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1a:	Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
N/A	N/A				

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

	d on the analysis of studer provement for the following	nt achievement data, and re g group:	eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
			5. By June 2013, - mathematics.	By June 2013, 4% of students will score at Level 4, 5 or 6 in mathematics.		
2012	2 Current Level of Perfor	mance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
1% (1 student) scored at a lev	el of 5	4% will score a	t a level of 4 or more		
	Ρ	roblem-Solving Process 1	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	1B.1. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	1B.1. Students will use manipulatives, adaptive written materials, technology, and equipment to access mathematical benchmarks	1B.1. Leadership Team	1B.1. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	1B.1. FAA Results	
2	1B.2. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	1B.2. Students will demonstrate acquisition of mathematical concepts through participation in ULS activities and the resulting work products.	1B.2. Leadership Team	1B.2. Weekly student work samples Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	1B.2. FAA Results	
3	1B.3. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	1B.3. Students will practice academic and real world mathematics through utilization of classroom materials, living skills lab, laundry lab, vocational lab, and Community Based Instruction sites.	1B.3. Leadership Team	1B.3. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	1B.3. FAA Results	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics.	Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to			
Mathematics Goal #2a:	our school.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	1			

N/A			N/A		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics.	By June 2013, 2% of students will score at or above Level 7 in mathematics.				
Mathematics Goal #2b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
0 students scored at a level 7.	2% of students will score at a level 7				

Problem-Solving	Process to	Increase	Student	Achievement
Trobicin-Solving	110003310	11010030	Student	Achievenien

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	2B.1. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	2B.1. Students will use manipulatives, adaptive written materials, technology, and equipment to access mathematical benchmarks	2B.1. Leadership Team	2B.1. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	2B.1. FAA Results
2	2B.2. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	2B.2. Students will demonstrate acquisition of mathematical concepts through participation in ULS activities and the resulting work products.	2B.2. Leadership Team	2B.2. Weekly student work samples Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	2B.2. FAA Results
3	2B.3. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	2B.3. Students will practice academic and real world mathematics through utilization of classroom materials, living skills lab, laundry lab, vocational lab, and Community Based Instruction sites.	2B.3. Leadership Team	2B.3. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	2B.3. FAA Results

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning

gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a:			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Problem-Solvir	ng Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b:	By June 2013, 38% of students will make learning gains in mathematics.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
35% (9 students) made learning gains.	38% of students will make learning gains			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	3B.1. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	3B.1. Students will use manipulatives, adaptive written materials, technology, and equipment to access mathematical benchmarks	3B.1. Leadership Team	3B.1. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	3B.1. FAA Results		
2	3B.2. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	3B.2. Students will demonstrate acquisition of mathematical concepts through participation in ULS activities and the resulting work products.	3B.2. Leadership Team	3B.2. Weekly student work samples Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	3B.2. FAA Results		
3	3B.3. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	3B.3. Students will practice academic and real world mathematics through utilization of classroom materials, living skills lab, laundry lab, vocational lab, and Community Based Instruction sites.	3B.3. Leadership Team	3B.3. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	3B.3. FAA Results		

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, a Ilowing group:	nd refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4:			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nce:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	۰ ۲	No Data S	Submitted	· 	•

EA Ambitique	but Achiovabl		Middle School Math			
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			5A :	students will i	ncrease proficienc	y by 8%
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	12	20	28	36	44	

of improvement for the f	ollowing subgroup:				
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B:			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Pi	rocess to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data s	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, and bllowing subgroup:	d refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C:			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of P	2012 Current Level of Performance:			ected Level of Performa	ince:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No) Data (Submitted	-	

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and re g subgroup:	eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
satisi	tudents with Disabilities factory progress in math ematics Goal #5D:		By 2013, our st	By 2013, our students will increase proficiency by 8%.		
2012	Current Level of Perforr	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
12%			20%			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	Students will use manipulatives, adaptive written materials, technology, and equipment to access mathematical benchmarks	Leadership Team	Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	FAA Results	
2	Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	Students will demonstrate acquisition of mathematical concepts through participation in ULS activities and the resulting work products.	Leadership Team	Weekly student work samples Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	FAA Results	
3	Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	Students will practice academic and real world mathematics through utilization of classroom materials, living skills lab, laundry lab, vocational lab, and Community Based Instruction sites.	Leadership Team	Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	FAA Results	

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the		nt data, and refer	rence to "G	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5E:			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfor	mance:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solvi	ing Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Submitted		

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

students have difficulty concepts through

achieving proficiency participation in ULS

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
 Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1: 			By June 2013,	By June 2013, 2% of students will score at Level 4, 5 or 6 in mathematics.		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	e:	
0 students scored at a level of 4 or more on the FAA			2% of students	2% of students will score in the proficient range		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	1.1. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	1.1. Students will use manipulatives, adaptive written materials, technology, and equipment to access mathematical benchmarks	1.1. Leadership Team	1.1. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	1.1. FAA results	
	1.2. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances,	1.2. Students will demonstrate acquisition of mathematical	1.2. Leadership Team	1.2. Weekly student work samples Monthly benchmark	1.2. FAA results	

assessment tests

Lesson Plans

	levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	activities and the resulting work products.	Classroom Observation	
3	behavioral variances, students have difficulty	academic and real world mathematics through	1.3. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	1.3. FAA results

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

 2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2: 	By June 2013, 2% of students will score at Level 7 or above in mathematics
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
0 students scored at a level 7 or above	2% of students will score at level 7 or above

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1		2.1. Students will use manipulatives, adaptive written materials, technology, and equipment to access mathematical benchmarks	2.1. Leadership Team	2.1. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	2.1. FAA Results
2	2.2. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	2.2. Students will demonstrate acquisition of mathematical concepts through participation in ULS activities and the resulting work products.	2.2. Leadership Team	2.2. Weekly student work samples Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	2.2. FAA Results
3	behavioral variances, students have difficulty	2.3. Students will practice academic and real world mathematics through utilization of classroom materials, living skills lab, laundry lab, vocational lab, and Community Based Instruction sites.	2.3. Leadership Team	2.3. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	2.3. FAA Results

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students making learning gains in mathematics.

By June 2013, 22% of students will make learning gains in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #3:

2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
19% (3 students) made learning gains	22% of students will make learning gains

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement									
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
	3.1. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	3.1. Students will use manipulatives, adaptive written materials, technology, and equipment to access mathematical benchmarks	3.1. Leadership Team	3.1. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	3.1. FAA results				
2	3.2. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	3.2. Students will demonstrate acquisition of mathematical concepts through participation in ULS activities and the resulting work products.		3.2. Weekly student work samples Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	3.2. FAA results				
3	3.3. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	3.3. Students will practice academic and real world mathematics through utilization of classroom materials, living skills lab, laundry lab, vocational lab, and Community Based Instruction sites.	3.3. Leadership Team	3.3. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	3.3. FAA results				

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f	student achievement data, a ollowing group:	ind refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
 Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. Algebra Goal #1: 			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfor	mance:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to l	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the for		a, and refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", ident	ify and define areas in need	
 Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra. Algebra Goal #2: 				Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of F	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:	
N/A				N/A		
	Problem-Solving P	rocess to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		No Data	Submitted			
Based on Ambitious but <i>i</i>	Achievable Annual Measur	able Object	ives (AMOs	s), AMO-2, Reading and	Math Performance Target	
3A. Ambitious but Achiev Measurable Objectives (A	able Annual	a Goal # ue to our oplicable		pulation, this secti	.on is not	

Measurable Ob school will red by 50%.	J (, ,	applicable to	o our school.		
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3B:			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	ance:	
N/A			N/A			
	Problem-Solving F	Process to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the for		data, and refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3C:			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfor	mance:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solvir	ng Process to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	_	No Data :	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3D:			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:	
N/A			N/A			
	Problem-Solvin	ng Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		No Data S	Submitted			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3E:	Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
N/A	N/A					

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfo	rmance:		
N/A			N/A				
	Problem-Solving P	rocess to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	t		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted							

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in Geometry.Geometry Goal #2:			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	nance:	
N/A			N/A			
	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

3A. Ambitious but Annual Measurabl (AMOs). In six yea reduce their achie 50%.	e Objectives ar school will	Geometry Goal # Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school. 3A:					
Baseline data 2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:							
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3B:			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
N/A			N/A				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of in need of improvement			eference to	o "Guiding Questions",	identify and define areas	
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3C:		Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A			N/A			
	Problem-Solving	g Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	son or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

μ

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3D:			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	ated Barrier Strategy Res for		son or Process Used to Determine ponsible Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3E:			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A			N/A			
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for		on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
			8/14/12- Planning 8/16/12- Planning 9/12/12		

Aligning curriculum to increase learning gains as assessed on the FAA	All subjects K- 12	Leadership Team	All instructional staff	9/27/12- Early Release 10/10/12 10/26/12- Planning 11/14/12 12/12/12 1/16/13 1/18/13 2/13/13 3/22/13- Planning 4/10/13	Monthly benchmark assessments	Administration	
---	-----------------------	--------------------	-------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	----------------	--

Mathematics Budget:

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Supplemental materials	Accountability Funds	\$500.00
	•	Subtotal: \$500.0
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Training	N/A	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.0
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Training, collaboration, best practices	N/A	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.0
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
N/A	N/A	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.0
	Supplemental materials Description of Resources Training Description of Resources Training, collaboration, best practices Description of Resources	Supplemental materials Accountability Funds Description of Resources Funding Source Training N/A Description of Resources Funding Source Training, collaboration, best practices N/A Description of Resources Funding Source Training, collaboration, best practices N/A Description of Resources Funding Source

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a:			By June 2013, 6 in science.	By June 2013, 2% of students will score at Level 4, 5 or 6 in science.		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
0 stu	dents scored in the prof	icient range	2% of student	2% of students will score in the proficient range		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	

1	Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	Students will practice academic and real world science through utilization of classroom materials, living skills lab, laundry lab, vocational lab, and Community Based Instruction sites.	Leadership Team	Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation Science Fair Project	FAA Results
2	1.2. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	1.2. Students will demonstrate acquisition of science concepts through participation in ULS activities and the resulting work products.	1.2. Leadership Team	1.2. Weekly student work samples Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation Science Fair Project	1.2. FAA Results
3	1.3. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	1.3. Students will identify and demonstrate scientific principals through real-life participation in the Bright Horizons Garden.		1.3. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	1.3. FAA Results

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b:	By June 2013, 18% of students will score at Level 4, 5 or 6 in science.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
15% (2 students) scored in the proficiency range	18% of students will score in the proficiency range				

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1B.1. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	1B.1. Students will practice academic and real world science through utilization of classroom materials, living skills lab, laundry lab, vocational lab, and Community Based Instruction sites.	1B.1. Leadership Team	1B.1. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation Science Fair Project	1B.1. FAA Results
2	1B.2. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	1B.2. Students will demonstrate acquisition of science concepts through participation in ULS activities and the resulting work products.	1B.2. Leadership Team	1B.2. Weekly student work samples Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation Science Fair Project	1B.2. FAA Results
	1B.3. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and	1B.3. Students will identify and demonstrate	1B.3. Leadership Team	1B.3. Weekly student work samples	1B.3. FAA Results

3	behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	scientific principals through real-life participation in the Bright Horizons Garden.		Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	
4	2.1. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	2.1. Students will practice academic and real world science through utilization of classroom materials, living skills lab, laundry lab, vocational lab, and Community Based Instruction sites.	2.1. Leadership Team	2.1. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation Science Fair Project	2.1. FAA Results
5	2.2. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	2.2. Students will demonstrate acquisition of science concepts through participation in ULS activities and the resulting work products.	2.2. Leadership Team	2.2. Weekly student work samples Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation Science Fair Project	2.2. FAA Results
6	2.3. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	2.3. Students will identify and demonstrate scientific principals through real-life participation in the Bright Horizons Garden.	2.3. Leadership Team	2.3. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	2.3. FAA Results

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a:			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of	2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:	
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Pr	rocess to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	:
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		
	By June 2013, 2% of students will score at Level 7 or above in science.	

201	2 Current Level of Perf	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
0 stu	udents scored at level 7	2% of student	s will score at level 7 or	above	
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	2B.1. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	2B.1. Students will practice academic and real world science through utilization of classroom materials, living skills lab, laundry lab, vocational lab, and Community Based Instruction sites.	2B.1. Leadership Team	2B.1 Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation Science Fair Project	2B.1. FAA Results
2	2B.2. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	2B.2. Students will demonstrate acquisition of science concepts through participation in ULS activities and the resulting work products.	2B.2. Leadership Team	2B.2. Weekly student work samples Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation Science Fair Project	2B.2. FAA Results
3	2B.3. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	2B.3. Students will identify and demonstrate scientific principals through real-life participation in the Bright Horizons Garden.	2B.3. Leadership Team	2B.3. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	2B.3. FAA Results

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1:			0	By June 2013, 2% of students will score at Level 4, 5 or 6 in science.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
0 students scored in the proficient range			2% of students	2% of students will score in the proficient range		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1	1.1. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	1.1. Students will practice academic and real world science through utilization of classroom materials, living skills lab, laundry lab, vocational lab, and Community Based Instruction sites.	1.1. Leadership Team	1.1. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation Science Fair Project	1.1. FAA Results
2	1.2. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	1.2. Students will demonstrate acquisition of science concepts through participation in ULS activities and the resulting work products.	1.2. Leadership Team	1.2. Weekly student work samples Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation Science Fair Project	1.2. FAA Results
3	1.3. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	1.3. Students will identify and demonstrate scientific principals through real-life participation in the Bright Horizons Garden.		1.3. Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	1.3. FAA Results

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.Science Goal #2:	By June 2013, 2% of students will score at Level 7 or above.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
0 students scored at level 7 or above	2% students will core at this level

Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1 Due to the unique Students will practice FAA Results Leadership Team Monthly benchmark academic and real medical, cognitive and assessment tests behavioral variances, world science through Lesson Plans students have utilization of classroom Classroom Observation difficulty achieving materials, living skills Science Fair Project proficiency levels and lab, laundry lab, making learning gains vocational lab, and on the FAA. Community Based Instruction sites. 2.2. 2.2. 2.2. 2.2. 2.2. Due to the unique Students will Leadership Team Weekly student work FAA Results medical, cognitive and demonstrate samples behavioral variances, acquisition of science Monthly benchmark 2 students have concepts through assessment tests difficulty achieving participation in ULS Lesson Plans proficiency levels and activities and the Classroom Observation resulting work making learning gains Science Fair Project on the FAA. products. 2.3. 2.3. 2.3. 2.3. 2.3. Due to the unique Students will identify Leadership Team Monthly benchmark FAA Results medical, cognitive and assessment tests and demonstrate

Lesson Plans

behavioral variances,

scientific principals

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.

3

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in

Biology Goal #1:		Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.				
2012 Current Level of	f Performance:		2013 Exp	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A	N/A			N/A		
Problem-Solving Process to I			ncrease S	Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology. Biology Goal #2:				Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A			
	Problem-Solving Proces	is to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Aligning the curriculum to increase learning gains as assessed on the FAA	All Subjects K- 12	Leadership Team	All instructional staff	8/14/12- Planning 8/16/12- Planning 9/12/12 9/27/12- Early Release 10/10/12 10/26/12- Planning 11/14/12 12/12/12 1/16/13 1/18/13 2/13/13 3/22/13- Planning 4/10/13	Monthly benchmark assessments	Administration

Science Budget:

			Grand Total: \$500.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Other			Subtotal: \$0.00
PLC	Training, collaboration, best practices	N/A	\$0.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Professional Development			
· · ·			Subtotal: \$0.00
Enhance teacher knowledge and usage	Training	N/A	\$0.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Technology			
			Subtotal: \$500.00
Strategy	Description of Resources Supplemental Materials	Funding Source	Amount \$500.00
Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat		Eurodia a Cauraa	Available

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level	
3.0 and higher in writing.	Due to our unique population, this section is not
Writing Goal #1a:	applicable to our school.

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A		N/A			
Problem-Solving Process to I			ncrease Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b:	By June 2013, 3% of students will score at Level 4 or higher in writing.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
<1% of student scored in the proficient range	3% of students will score in the proficient range		

٦

Г

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

				-	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1B.1. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	1B.1. Students will practice writing skills by participating in CBI wrap-ups, end-of-day journals and daily schedule movement.	1B.1. Leadership Team	1B.1. Student work samples Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	1B.1. FAA Results
2	1B.2. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	1B.2. Students will participate in writing activities in ULS and Classroom Suites.	1B.2. Leadership Team	1B.2. Student work samples Monthly benchmark assessment tests Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	1B.2. FAA Results
3	1B.3. Due to the unique medical, cognitive and behavioral variances, students have difficulty achieving proficiency levels and making learning gains on the FAA.	1B.3. Students will participate in errorless writing activities utilizing augmentative communication and/or the Smart/Promethean Board	1B.3. Leadership Team	1B.3. Student work samples Lesson Plans Classroom Observation	1B.3. FAA Results

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Aligning the curriculum increase learning gains as assessed on the FAA	All subjects K- 12	Leadership Team	All instructional staff	8/14/12- Planning 8/16/12- Planning 9/12/12 9/27/12- Early Release 10/10/12 10/26/12- Planning 11/14/12 12/12/12 1/16/13 1/18/13 2/13/13 3/22/13- Planning 4/10/13	Monthly benchmark assessments	Administration

Writing Budget:

			Subtotal: \$0.0
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Other			Subtotal: \$0.0
PLC	Training, collaboration, best practices	N/A	\$0.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Professional Development			
5			Subtotal: \$0.0
Enhance teacher knowledge and usage	Training	N/A	\$0.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Fechnology			
			Subtotal: \$500.0
Curriculum	Supplemental materials	Accountability	\$500.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics. Civics Goal #1:	Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		

N/A		N/A			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in Civics.Civics Goal #2:			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for		son or ition ponsible Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Strategy		Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Civics Budget:

Evidence-based Program	(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis or in need of improvement	f student achievement data, for the following group:	and r	reference to	o "Guiding Questions", id	entify and define areas
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History. U.S. History Goal #1:			Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy for		on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

P						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in U.S. History.U.S. History Goal #2:	Due to our unique population, this section is not applicable to our school.					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Position for		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
No Data Submitted								

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
No Data Submitted								

U.S. History Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
onatogy			Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of atter	ndance data, and referer	nce to	o "Guiding Que	estions", identify and de	fine areas in need
· ·	provement: tendance					
				By June 2013, 1.0%.	the average daily atten	dance will be
2012	Current Attendance Ra	ate:	2	2013 Expecte	d Attendance Rate:	
89.69	6 (113)		9	1.0%		
	Current Number of Stunces (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2 A	2013 Expecte Absences (10	d Number of Students or more)	with Excessive
52 str	udents have excessive at	osences with 10 or more	e. 5	0		
	Current Number of Stues (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expecte Fardies (10 or	d Number of Students more)	with Excessive
12			1	10		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	toInd	crease Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Res	Person or Position sponsible for Aonitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1.1. Due to the unique behavioral variances, some parents have challenges adhering to attendance policies and procedures.	 1.1. Encourage the use of the public school transportation to ensure timely arrival. Provide parents with suggested behavioral techniques for use in the home to make school preparation time easier. 	1.1. f Administration Behavior Specialist Autism Coach		1.1. Monitor attendance	1.1. Attendance records
2	1.2. Due to the unique medical variances, some parents have challenges adhering to attendance policies and procedures.	 1.2. 1. Encourage the use of the public school transportation to ensure timely arrival. 2. Review medical concerns and offer assistance through the school nursing staff. 	1.2. fAdministration ESE Specialist Nursing Staff		1.2. Monitor attendance	1.2. Attendance records

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Use of internet and email	All	Tech specialist Team leaders	All instructional personnel	August 16, 2012	toachor/homo	Administration ESE Specialist

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	lent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. Suspension Due to the unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. Suspension Goal #1: 2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions 0 0 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School 0 0 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions Suspensions

0	0			0		
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of- School			2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School		
0	0			0		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process 1	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:							
1. Dr	opout Prevention							
Dropout Prevention Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.				Due to the unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.				
2012	Current Dropout Rate:			2013 Expected	d Dropout Rate:			
N/A				N/A				
2012	Current Graduation Ra	ite:		2013 Expected Graduation Rate:				
N/A				N/A				
	Pro	olem-Solving Process t	to I	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	Ą	N/A	N/A		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
No Data Submitted								

Dropout Prevention Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Subtotal: \$	0.00
--------------	------

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
1. Parent Involvement					
Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.	By June 2013, 88% of parents will participate in school activities including academic fairs, Open House, SAC/SAF, PTO or IEP meetings.				
2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:				
85% (107) of parents participated	88% of parents will participate				

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

				i	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	scheduling conflicts and transportation issues often result in poor	1.1. Phone calls in addition to written notification will be made to individual families and group homes	1.1. ESE Specialist	1.1. Attendance at annual meetings	1.1. Participation list on the IEP.
2	medical needs, increased therapeutic interventions and frequent illnesses, the parents of the students in this population have significant life pressures causing a need to access community resources and gather	assistance at Open House and daily in the ESE resource area. Additionally, parents/guardians will		1.2 Attendance at Open House and annual meetings	1.2 Attendance logs

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
IEP Drafts and PPF	All Grade levels/ subjects		All instructional personnel	August 16, 2012		ESE Specialist Administration

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)	/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Written Notice	Easy IEP Parent Participation notices (first and second)	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Team Leader Meetings	Instructional Staff	N/A	\$0.00
Training on EasyIEP	All Instructional Staff	N/A	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
	Due to the unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.					

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data Submitted		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
	- -	Ν	lo Data Submitte	d		

STEM Budget:

Church a sur	Decembration of Decembra		Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Fechnology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Dther			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. CTE

CTE Goal #1:			Due to the unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
No Data Submitted							

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		٢	lo Data Submitteo	d		

CTE Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

N/A Goal:

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Budget:

Evidence-based Progra			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00
			End of N/A Goal

FINAL BUDGET

	am(s)/Material(s)	Description of		
Goal	Strategy	Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Reading	Curriculum	Supplemental materials	Accountability funds	\$500.00
Mathematics	Curriculum	Supplemental materials	Accountability Funds	\$500.00
Science	Curriculum	Supplemental Materials	Accountability funds	\$500.00
Writing	Curriculum	Supplemental materials	Accountability	\$500.00
Parent Involvement	Written Notice	Easy IEP Parent Participation notices (first and second)	N/A	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$2,000.0
Technology		Description of		
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Reading	Enhance teacher knowledge and usage	Training	N/A	\$0.00
Mathematics	Enhance teacher knowledge and usage	Training	N/A	\$0.00
Science	Enhance teacher knowledge and usage	Training	N/A	\$0.00
Writing	Enhance teacher knowledge and usage	Training	N/A	\$0.00
Parent Involvement	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developn	nent			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Reading	PLC	Training, collaboration, best practices	N/A	\$0.00
Mathematics	PLC	Training, collaboration, best practices	N/A	\$0.00
Science	PLC	Training, collaboration, best practices	N/A	\$0.00
Writing	PLC	Training, collaboration, best practices	N/A	\$0.00
	Team Leader Meetings	Instructional Staff	N/A	\$0.00
Parent Involvement	Team Leader Meetings	Instructional Stan		
Parent Involvement Parent Involvement	Training on EasyIEP	All Instructional Staff	N/A	\$0.00
	3			\$0.00 Subtotal: \$0.0
	3			
Parent Involvement	3			Subtotal: \$0.0
Parent Involvement Other Goal	Training on EasyIEP	All Instructional Staff Description of	N/A	
Parent Involvement Other	Training on EasyIEP	All Instructional Staff Description of Resources	N/A Funding Source	Subtotal: \$0.0 Available Amoun
Parent Involvement Other Goal Reading Mathematics	Training on EasyIEP Strategy N/A	All Instructional Staff Description of Resources N/A	N/A Funding Source N/A	Subtotal: \$0.0 Available Amoun \$0.0
Parent Involvement Other Goal Reading	Training on EasyIEP Strategy N/A N/A	All Instructional Staff Description of Resources N/A N/A	N/A Funding Source N/A N/A	Subtotal: \$0.0 Available Amoun \$0.0 \$0.0
Parent Involvement Other Goal Reading Mathematics Science	Training on EasyIEP Strategy N/A N/A N/A N/A	All Instructional Staff Description of Resources N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A Funding Source N/A N/A N/A	Subtotal: \$0.0 Available Amour \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jm Priority jm Focus jm Prevent jm NA

Are you a reward school: j $\ensuremath{\mathsf{j}}\xspace \cap$ Yes $\ensuremath{\mathsf{j}}\xspace \cap$ No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

✓ Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
To meet curriculum needs based on School Improvement goals.	\$2,000.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

Monitor the implementation of the school improvement plan.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found No Data Found No Data Found