FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: ERNEST WARD MIDDLE SCHOOL

District Name: Escambia

Principal: Nancy G. Perry

SAC Chair: Mrs. Melanie Dees

Superintendent: Malcolm Thomas

Date of School Board Approval: November 20, 2012

Last Modified on: 11/2/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
|----------|------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | 2011-2012 Grade B Reading: • 60% Mastery • 65% LG • 59% Lower Quartile made a LG Math: 61% Mastery • 61% LG • 47% Lower Quartile made a LG Science: • 60% Mastery Writing 82% Mastery 2010-2011 School Grade A, 77% AYP, Reading: • 74% Mastery • 66% LG • 62% Lower Quartile made a LG Math: 77% Mastery • 70% LG • 75% Lower Quartile made a LG Science: • 50% Mastery Writing 91% Mastery Writing 91% Mastery 2009-2010 School Grade A, 79% AYP, Reading: • 79% Mastery • 68% LG • 64% Lower Quartile made a LG Math: 80% Mastery |

| | | | | | • 65% Lower Quartile made a LG Science: • 53% Mastery Writing 96% Mastery |
|-----------|-------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Principal | Nancy G. Perry | Master Degree in Education Leadership | 7 | 9 | 2008-2009 School Grade A, 95% AYP, Reading: • 80% Mastery • 72% LG • 83% Lower Quartile made a LG Math: 81% Mastery • 75% • 69% Lower Quartile made a LG Science: • 50% Mastery Writing 96% Mastery 2008-2009 School Grade A, 95% AYP, Reading: • 80% Mastery • 72% LG • 83% Lower Quartile made a LG Math: 81% Mastery • 75% • 69% Lower Quartile made a LG Science: • 50% Mastery Writing 96% Mastery Writing 96% Mastery 2007-2008 School Grade A, 97% AYP, Reading: • 70% Mastery • 67% LG • 63% Lower Quartile made a LG Math: 77% Mastery • 71% LG • 61% Lower Quartile made a LG |
| | | | | | Science: • 55% Mastery Writing 96% Mastery 2006-2007 School Grade A, 97% AYP, Reading: • 62% Mastery • 57% LG • 61% Lower Quartile made a LG Math: 72% Mastery • 71% LG • 60% Lower Quartile made a LG Science: • 51% Mastery Writing 93% Mastery |
| | | | | | 2005-2006 School Grade A, 100% AYP, Reading: • 63% Mastery • 65% LG • 77% Lower Quartile made a LG Math: 74% Mastery • 75% LG Writing 96% Mastery |
| | | | | | 2004-2005 School Grade A, 93% AYP, Reading: • 56% Mastery • 51% LG • 61% Lower Quartile made a LG Math: 63% Mastery • 72% LG Writing 77% Mastery |

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
|--|------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Our reading coach from last year was not funded. There are no instructional coaches at Erenst Ward Middle School. | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| 1 | Rounding and Classroom Observations | Principal, and District Level Support Staff (Area Specialist) | On-going throughout the 2012-2013 school year | |
| 2 | Monthly meetings of new teachers with Principal | Princpal | On-going | N/A |
| 3 | 30- and 90-Day Meetings with new staff members to gauge their school year and assist with issues that might have arisen during the start of the school year | Principal | The first 30 and 90 days | |
| 4 | Hire Highly Effective/In-field Teachers | Princpal | On-going | |
| 5 | 2.Assign mentor teacher for first year teachers. | Utilize START teachers | On-going | |
| 6 | Assign veteran teachers to experienced teachers new the the school work site. | ТВА | August 14, 2012 & Ongoing as needed | |
| 7 | 5. Fred Jones Tools for Teaching Implemented by all teachers | Gayle Hanks/Wimberly Murphy | Trained April/May 2010 & Ongoing | |
| 8 | Kagan Training for all teacher | Kagan | April 2012 & ongoing. | |
| 9 | Danielson Framework Training, PD360, E3 training | Nancy Perry, Principal Wimberly Murphy, TSA Renee' Wilkins, teacher, Dee Hendrix, teacher | August 2011 - May 2012 August 2012 & ongoing | |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|---|---|
| One (1) staff member out of field Twila Hall - Teaching Math and Science - Hold Florida Certificate in Math 6-12 and Integrated Curriculum. | Will take and successfully pass the Science certification test. |

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number of Instructional Staff | % of First-Year Teachers | | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | % Highly Effective Teachers | % Reading Endorsed Teachers | % National Board Certified Teachers | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| 32 | 6.3%(2) | 34.4%(11) | 25.0%(8) | 34.4%(11) | 37.5%(12) | 100.0%(32) | 25.0%(8) | 0.0%(0) | 12.5%(4) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name Assigned for Pairing Activities | Mentor Name | Mentee Assigned | Rationale for Pairing | Planned Mentoring Activities |
|---|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
|---|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|

| Somer Bridges | Holly McMorris | Mrs. McMorris is transferring from another school and will teach Math which is the same subjects she taught at her previous school. Mrs. Bridges is the 8th Grade team | The mentor will help the mentee learn the procedures and daily routines of our school. The mentor and mentee are meeting monthly in a professional learning community to discuss evidence-based strategies. The mentor will conduct classroom walkthroughs |
|---------------|---------------------|--|---|
| Laura Chavers | James Gallacher | | The mentor will help the mentee learn the procedures and daily routines of our school. The mentor and mentee are meeting monthly in a professional learning community to discuss evidence-based strategies. The mentor will conduct classroom walkthroughs. |
| Ashley Salter | Jeremy Greenwell | | The mentor will help the mentee learn the procedures and daily routines of our school. The mentor and mentee are meeting monthly in a professional learning community to discuss evidence-based strategies. The mentor will conduct classroom walkthroughs. |
| Glenda Bell | Twila Hall | Mrs. Hall is transferring from another school and will teach Math and Science which is the same subjects she taught at her previous school. Mrs. Bell is a Reading teacher with excellent discipline and FCAT results. | The mentor will help the mentee learn the procedures and daily routines of our school. The mentor and mentee are meeting monthly in a professional learning community to discuss evidence-based strategies. The mentor will conduct classroom walkthroughs. |
| | | Mrs. Grant was hired in January 2012 | |

| Jerrod Novotny - Start Program Teacher Robin McCall - School- based "buddy" mentor | Vicki Grant | as a beginning teacher in Science. She entered and will continue the START program this year. She is provided a START teacher by the district that pairs a veteran teacher with a beginning teacher. Mrs. McCall has been teaching Math for 18 years at Ernest Ward Middle School. | The START program will provide a consulting, veteran teacher to the beginning teacher throughout the first year. This program will provided observations, guidance, curriculum support, and other assistance as needed. The mentor will help the mentee learn the procedures and daily routines of our school. The mentor and mentee are meeting monthly in a professional learning community to discuss evidence-based strategies. The mentor will conduct classroom walkthroughs. |
|---|-------------|--|---|
|---|-------------|--|---|

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Title I money (46,903.00) for the 2012-2013 school year has been budgeted for the following areas here at Ernest Ward Middle School.

- 1) Hiring of one additional instructional staff members (In-Lieu of Expulsion)
- 2) Planning and implementation of a Drug and Internet Awareness Night for parent.
- 3) Planning and implementation of a FCAT Chat for parents.
- 4) Providing professional development (Kagan) for instructional staff

Each of the listed items above has been made possible by the close examination of our school's needs. The above mentioned items will have a direct impact both for our students and parents by enhancing the quality of instruction provided here at Ernest Ward Middle School. In addition, the funds that have been budgeted for the items listed above will provide an array of resources and services that will strengthen home educational opportunities parents can provide for their children. The 2011-2012 Ernest Ward's School Advisory Council played a vital role in appropriating Title I funds for the 2011-2012 school year.

It is our goal at Ernest Ward Middle School always to be good stewards and fiscal managers of county, state, and federal funds while at the same time providing the highest level of programs for our students. We also recognize the importance of providing quality support and resources for our parents. We are encouraged by our 2012-2013 Title I budget and invite you to join us for our upcoming Parent Awarness Nights and to volunteer in our school.

Ernest Ward Middle School is a Title I School. Title I funds are used for improving basic education programs for students who have met the criteria for expulsion and who will be given due process. Funds at Ernest Ward Middle School are used to provide an In-School Suspension Program for those students that will be housed within Ernest Ward Middle School.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Services for migrant students and their families are provided through the District Title I office. After thorough checking of the Migrant Student Information Exchange (MSIX) system and out local Student Data Base, we have deternmined that there are no migrant children at Ernest Ward Middle School.

Title I, Part D

Services for neglected and delinquent students are provided by special programs throughout the district. The services are overseen by the Title I Office. Our School does not serve Title I, Part D students.

Professional development is offered at both the school and district level. Please see each goal for specific professional development activities (inservice education).

District receives supplemental funds for improving basic education programs for students who have met the criteria for out of school suspension and who will be given due process. Funds at Ernest Ward Middle School are used to provide an In-School Suspension Program for those students that will be housed within Ernest Ward Middle School to help keep student in school and continue providing instruction.

Title III

Services for English-Language Learners (ELL) are provided as required by law. Several ESOL centers are provided at various key locations in the district. Students who do not attend certally located school-based sties attend their zoned school where ESOL endorsed teachers provide services. All teachers who serve ELL indentified students have ESOL endrosement on their teaching certificate. Our schools not an ESOL Center, we have "0" zero ELL students. Students who require ELL services receive instruction through a teacher who has ESOL endorsement on their teaching certificate. Ernest Ward Middle School has no ELL students.

Title X- Homeless

The school works with the district's Homeless Coordinator to provide resources (clothing, school supplies, social services referrals) for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. This program is overseen by the District Title I Office. At Ernest Ward Middle School we have five (5) homeless students and provide additional assistance to these students and their families.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI monies were reduced and/or eliminated from our school's budget. In the past we used SAI monies that Ernest Ward Middle received for the 2010-11 year, the following programs and incentives were funded: Before and After School Programs, additional SuccessMaker site licenses, and Ed Options Course Recovery.

Violence Prevention Programs

The school offers a non-violence and anti-drug program to students that incorporate guest speakers, counseling, and classroom discussion. Red Ribbon Week is held in October with school-wide activities and guest speakers. through our school's School wide Behavior Management Plan, we provide training for faculty, staff, and students regarding bullying. The Jeffrey Johnston Stand Up for All Student Act, requires our school district to adopt an official policy prohibiting bullying and harassment of students and staff on school grounds, at school-sponsored events, and through school computer networks. In addition, beginning with the 2011-12 School Year, our district will launch the "Bullying" reporting website where bullies may be reported anonymously.

Through Ernest Ward Middle's new Behavior Management Program, bullying will be addressed. Both faculty and staff, along with the students, will be trained to identify bullying practices at our school. In addition, a new positive behavior management plan will address any school-wide discipline issues.

Nutrition Programs

Our School is committed to continue offering nutritional choices in its cafeteria. This includes salad bar, ala carte items, and self serve options. Our school is also a Healthier Generation Alliance School. The school follows the district's nutrition program for summer feeding at select sites. Additional Programs and staff will address the obesity issue, especially in elementary age children.

Ernest Ward Middle School, through their culinary arts program provide leadership in nutrition programs to the school. In addition, healthy choices are offered in the school cafeteria for all students and staff. Students with dangerously high BMI figures are identified by the school nurse and are provided confidential nutrition advisement.

Housing Programs

Housing assistance for children and their families throughout Escambia County are provided through services in the Title I office. This program is not applicable to our school.

Head Start

Head Start programs are overseen by the Title I office and Pre-K department. Many of our elementary schools provide space for Head Start programs in portables. Bratt Elementary, a feeder school to Ernest Ward, houses an onsite Head Start program.

Adult Education

Services for adult education programs are offered by both school district and community programs. Currently adults at the north end of the district may attend adult education courses through Tate High School and the local correctional facility.

Ernest Ward Middle School offers the following career and technical academies: electrical, agriculture, culinary arts, and business. Students in the sixth grade are introduced to career and technical education offerings through an "instructional wheel." Because most of our students matriculate to West Florida Technical High School or Northview High School, career and technical studies are important to these students. This provides life-long learning experiences to the students in the north end of the county.

Job Training

Not applicable to Ernest Ward Middle School.

Other

None

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing RtI, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities. Select General Education Teachers (Reading, Math, and Science teachers) Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2

interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities. Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers

CIM team develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based

intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring. Reading Instructional Specialist: Provides guidance on K-12 reading plan; facilitates and supports data collection activities; assists in data analysis; provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding database instructional planning; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans. School Psychologist: Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitates development of intervention plans; provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; provides professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation; facilitates data-based decision making activities. Technology Specialist: Develops or brokers technology necessary to manage and display data; provides professional development and technical support to teachers and staff regarding data management and display. Speech Language Pathologist: Educates the team in the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction, as a basis for appropriate program design; assists in the selection of screening measures; and helps identify systemic patterns of student need with respect to language skills Student Services Personnel: Provides quality services and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students. In addition to providing interventions, school social workers continue to link child-serving and community.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

Principal/Assistant Principal/Guidance Counselor: Provide data training and a common vision for the use of data-based decision making, ensures that the school based team is implementing RtI, assists in scheduling students in RtI classes and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.

General Education Teacher: Provides information about the core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier I instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier II interventions, and integrates Tier I materials/instruction with Tier II/III activities.

ESE Teachers: Participates in the Tier process to provide support and offer strategies to the general education teachers as EWMS is full inclusion school with all ESE students.

CIM and Data Power Team: Identify systematic patterns of the student's needs while working with district personnel to identify appropriate evidence-based interventions and strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children considered "at risk"; assists with monitoring "at risk" students, data collection, and data analysis; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

School Psychologist: Participates in the collection, interpretation, and data analysis of data; facilities development of intervention plans; and provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation.

Speech Teacher: Educates the team in the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction, as a basis for appropriate program design; and helps identify systemic patterns of the student's needs with respect to language. . Progress monitoring plans are created for individual students by Reading, Math, Science, and ESE Teachers. Grade level leaders ensure completion of online progress monitoring forms. Teachers of identified students ensure that strategies noted on progress monitoring plans are carried out. Progress in each class is noted on online PMP forms at the end of each nine weeks.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI Leadership Team meets with the School Advisory Council (SAC) and principal to help develop the SIP. The team provides data on: Tier 1, 2, and 3 targets; academic and social/emotional areas that need to be addressed; help set clear expectations for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, Relationship) The Leadership Team is waiting on additional RtI training from the school district. The Leadership Team will engage in the following activities: Review data and link that data to instructional decisions. Review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on that information the team will identify professional development and resources. They will also collaborate, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, practice new processes and skills, and make decisions about implementation.

-MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Assessment and Information Management System (AIMS web), Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)

Progress Monitoring: PMRN, AIMS web

Midyear: Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR)

End of year: FAIR, AIMS web, FCAT Midyear: FAIR, 9 weeks exams

Frequency of Data Days: Once a month of data analysis.

Lower quartile reviewed monthly by each teacher/team/department

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development will be provided during teachers' common planning time and small sessions will occur throughout the year. The RtI team will also evaluate additional staff PD needs during year.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The LLT will do training through grade level meetings, department meetings, faculty meetings, and other small group training sessions. The goal of the LLT is for every teacher at Ernest Ward Middle School to take part in teaching Reading and Writing. The LLT will also conduct at least two parent involvement after school activities.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal - Nancy Perry, TSA - Wimberly Murphy, Guidance - Gayle Hanks, 8th Grade Team Leader - Somer Bridges, 7th Grade Team Leader - Michelle Thomas, 6th Grade Team Leader - Katie Ward

The Literacy Leadership Team is a collaborative system that promotes and encourages a literate climate to support effective teaching and enhances learning for all students.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Leadership Team is a collaborative system that promotes and encourages a literate climate to support effective teaching and enhances learning for all students.

The Literacy Leadership Team supported by administration and functions to create capacity of reading knowledge within the school building with collaborate efforts in every subject area.

The LLT involves every teacher at the school in the teaching of reading, writing, math across the curriculum. The LLT will meet with small groups of teachers through grade level meetings, department/subject area meetings, CIM meetings, and faculty meetings. In the meetings teachers will learn strategies to teach reading and writing through all subjects.

The LLT will also conduct at least two parent involvement activities. One of these will be in the fall and the other will be the spring.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

- 1. To increase the number of students that are reading at or above grade level of the FCAT.
- 2. To ensure that all students make a learning gain (a year's worth of growth) in reading.
- 3. Help struggling readers by offering intensive reading courses, software programs to help with reading, and reading across the curriculum, and offering critical thinking to those that need extra help.
- 4. Enourage students to use the before/after school tutoring program.
- 5. All teachers are encouraged to attend the CRISS training for their subject which includes reading techniques for each subject area.
- 6. Kagan coaching will be provided to our faculty in 2012. We have done Kagan training and/or coaching for the past two years. Therefore, all teachers will implement Kagan Cooperative Learning strategies across the curriculum.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/16/2012)

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

N/A

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

On a monthly basis professional development will be presented that will build the knowledge base for every teacher in every content area. Classroom visits and/or classroom walk throughs to ensure that teachers are utilizing the strategies to strengthen reading skills in all content areas. Instructional focus calendars will be used in reading and social studies that will be aligned with NGSS Florida Sunshine State Standards and Common Core Standards in reading. FCAT Achieves will be provided to every student.

The Language Arts and Reading teachers will conduct cross-curricular meetings to develop strategies to ensure reading is taught by every teacher. The Reading teachers and other Literacy Team members will do training through grade level meetings, department meetings, faculty meetings, and other small group training sessions. Mrs. Johnson, Reading teacher conducts a school-wide book club for all/any students. All teachers are encouraged to attend the CRISS training for their subject which includes reading techniques for each subject area. Kagan coaching will be provided to our faculty in September of 2012. This will be the third year we have provided Kagan training and/or coaching. Therefore, all teachers will implement Kagan Cooperative Learning strategies across the curriculum.

| How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationshi relevance to their future? | ips between subjects and |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| N/A | |
| How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote studen students' course of study is personally meaningful? | t course selections, so that |
| N/A | |
| Postsecondary Transition | |
| Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. | |
| Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annu <u>Feedback Report</u> | ual analysis of the <u>High Schoo</u> |
| N/A | |

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.

Reading Goal #1a:

The percentages of students making a level 3 will increase to at least 31%, as a result of level 1 or 2 students increasing a level.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

In 2010, FCAT 38% (179) of students achieved a level 3. In 2011, FCAT 36% (170) of students achieved a level 3. In 2012, FCAT,30% (138) of students achieved a level 3.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | Lower quartile not making adequate learning gains. Absences. In-School Suspension. Out-of-school suspension. | Daily focus lessons in each reading class covering all FCAT 2.0 NGSS-tested benchmarks, and individualized instruction for lower quartile students in lowest FCAT area; FCAT Chats, FCAT Explorer | Principal- Nancy Perry Grade Level Team leaders-Somer Bridges, Michelle Thomas, Katie Ward CIM Team- Dee Hendrix, Kathy Ellis, Renee Wilkins | Compare 2012 and 2013 FCAT reading level 3 percentages Teachers will submit focus lesson calendars and retain copies of focus lessons for CIM binder Teachers will monitor lowest quartile monthly and target bubble students and differentiate instruction accordingly. | Weekly focus- lesson assessments |
| 2 | Absences. In-School Suspension. Out-of-school suspension. | Daily focus lessons in each reading class covering all FCAT-tested benchmarks, and individualized instruction for lower quartile students in lowest FCAT area; FCAT Chats, SuccessMaker, FCAT Explorer | Principal-Nancy Gindl-Perry CIM Reading Leader Deanna Hendrix | Compare 2012 and 2013 FCAT reading level 3 percentages Teachers will submit focus lesson calendars and retain copies of focus lessons for CIM binder Teachers will monitor lowest quartile monthly and target bubble students and differentiate instruction accordingly. | Weekly focus- lesson assessments |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and referons of improvement for the following group: | ence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |
|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b: | N/A |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| | |

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| N/A | | | N/A | | |
|---|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| ľ | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | l on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and o | define areas in need | |
| Level | CAT 2.0: Students scorin 4 in reading. ing Goal #2a: | ig at or above Achievem | Percentage of s | Percentage of students scoring a level 4 or 5 will increase to 29% on FCAT 2.0 Reading. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| In 2011 30% (141)scored a level 4 or 5 on FCAT reading In 2010 32% (59)scored a level 4 or 5 on FCAT reading In 2012, 28% (129) scored a level 4 or 5 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. | | | · · | In 2013, 29% or more students will score a level 4 or 5 on FCAT 2.0 Reading. | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Lack of enrichment opportunities | Providing enrichment opportunities for Level 4 and 5 students and to identify bubble students scoring in the high 3 range or low 4 range. | CIM Leader for Reading - Deanna Hendrix | | FCAT Mini Assessments, Focus Lessons and assessments, FCAT Scores, School Grade, IMPACT Reading assessments. | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. N/A Reading Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

| | on the analysis of student provement for the following | t achievement data, and reg group: | eference to "Guidino | g Questions", identify and o | define areas in need | |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a: | | | Percentage of s | Percentage of students making learning gains on FCAT reading will maintain or increase on FCAT Reading. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| FCAT In 201 FCAT | reading. 10 67% (344) of students r reading. 12 65% of students made l | made learning gains on the made learning gains on the learning gains on FCAT | | t 65% of students will mak | e learning gains on | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students including the lower quartile and ESE students not making adequate gains. | ESE students will be mainstreamed into regular classes, with ESE teachers coteaching with regular teacher Using IMPACT and Voyager in Reading classes. Using Reading skills across the curriculum. | Hendrix | FCAT Simulation, FCAT Scores, School Grade, IMPACT and Voyager assessments. | FCAT Simulation, FCAT Scores, School Grade. | |
| Rased | on the analysis of studen | t achievement data, and re | eference to "Guiding | a Questions" identify and | define areas in need | |
| | provement for the following | | ererence to Guiding | g Questions , identify and t | define areas in fleed | |
| | orida Alternate Assessm entage of students makin ng. | | N/A | | | |

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b: | | | N/A | N/A | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and referons of improvement for the following group: | ence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |
|---|--|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. | In 2013, students in lower quartile making learning gain will |
| | be maintained or improve by 1% |
| | |

| 2012 | Current Level of Perform | nance: | 2013 Expected | Level of Performance: | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| gains. | 12, 59% of students in low | artile students made learni er quartile made learning | 0 | r more students in lower qu | uartile will make | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Motivation, attendance, inability to apply taught reading skills or strategies | direct instruction Revision of reading focus lessons by individual reading teachers | | FCAT mini assessments, FCAT Scores, School Grade, School Attendance | FCAT Mini Assessments, FCAT Scores, School Grade, AYP | | |

| Based on Amb | Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|---|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|--|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. | | | | ding Goal # In 6 years, F achievement c | Ernest Ward Middle gap by 50%. | e School will red | uce the | |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | |
| | 60 | 66 | 6 | 9 | 73 | 76 | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making All subgroups by ethnicity should increase satisfactory satisfactory progress in reading. progress on the 2013 Reading FCAT. Reading Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: On the 2012 Reading FCAT satisfactory progress for each Each ethnicity subgroup area should show the following ethnicity was: improvement on 2013 Reading FCAT. Black/African American - 34% Black/African American - 40% White - 65% White - 68%

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| L | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Lack of Technology at home Lack of transportation to stay after school for tutoring | scheduled into the computer lab for remediation or | Nancy Perry, teachers who offering tutoring before or after | 5 | FAIR testing FCAT scores |
| | making adequate learning | each reading class covering all FCAT-tested | Perry | Compare 2011 and 2012 FCAT reading level 3 percentages Teachers will submit | Weekly focus- lesson assessments |

| 2 | In-School Suspension. Out-of-school suspension. | individualized instruction for lower quartile students in lowest FCAT area; FCAT Chats, FCAT Explorer | Thomas, Katie Ward CIM Team- Deanna Hendrix, Renee Wilkins, Chet Truett | and retain copies of focus lessons for CIM | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
|---|---|---|---|--|--|

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Ernest Ward Middle School has no ELL Learners Reading Goal #5C: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Ernest Ward Middle School has no ELL students Ernest Ward Middle School has no ELL students Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D: | All SWD should increase satisfactory progress on the 2013 Reading FCAT by 10 percentage points. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| On the 2012 Reading FCAT satisfactory progress for SWD was 20% | All SWD should increase satisfactory progress on the 2013 Reading FCAT by 10 percentage points. 20% in 2012 to 30% in 2013. | | | | |
| Darkland Calcina Danasa Askina and Shakari Askina and | | | | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Absences. In-School Suspension. Out-of-school suspension. | each reading class covering all FCAT-tested benchmarks, and individualized instruction for lower quartile students in lowest FCAT | Principal- Nancy Perry Grade Level Team leaders-Somer Bridges,Michelle Thomas, Katie Ward CIM Team - Deanna Hendrix,Chet Truett, Renee Wilkins | and retain copies of | Weekly focus- lesson assessments FAIR Testing |
| | | , | Principal- Nancy Perry | | Weekly focus- lesson |

| for lower quartile students in lowest FCAT ward lowest quartile monthly area; FCAT Chats, SuccessMaker, FCAT Deanna students and Explorer Hendrix,Chet Truett, Renee accordingly. | 2 | tutoring | benchmarks, and individualized instruction for lower quartile students in lowest FCAT area; FCAT Chats, SuccessMaker, FCAT | leaders-Somer Bridges,Michelle Thomas, Katie Ward CIM Team - Deanna Hendrix,Chet | focus lessons for CIM binder Teachers will monitor lowest quartile monthly and target bubble students and differentiate instruction | assessments FAIR Testing |
|---|---|----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------|
|---|---|----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------|

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. All economically disadvantage should increase satisfactory progress by 3 percentage points on the 2013 Reading FCAT. Reading Goal #5E: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: On the 2012 Reading FCAT satisfactory progress for All economically disadvantage should increase 53% to 56%. economically disadvantage students were 53% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitorina Lack of Technology at Daily focus lessons in Principal- Nancy Teachers will submit Weekly focushome each reading class Perry focus lesson calendars lesson covering all FCAT-tested Grade Level Team Lack of transportation to and retain copies of assessments stay after school for benchmarks, and leaders-Somer focus lessons for CIM tutoring individualized instruction Bridges, Michelle binder for lower quartile Thomas, Katie Teachers will monitor students in lowest FCAT Ward lowest quartile monthly area; FCAT Chats, CIM Team and target bubble students and SuccessMaker, FCAT Deanna Explorer Hendrix, Chet differentiate instruction Truett, Renee accordingly. Wilkins, Wimberly Murphy - TSA Lack of Technology at Daily focus lessons in Principal- Nancy Compare 2011 and 2012 Weekly focushome Perry each reading class FCAT reading level 3 lesson Grade Level Team Lack of transportation to covering all FCAT-tested percentages assessments stay after school for benchmarks, and leaders-Somer Teachers will submit **FAIR** Testing Data tutoring individualized instruction Bridges, Michelle focus lesson calendars for lower quartile Thomas, Katie and retain copies of students in lowest FCAT Ward focus lessons for CIM area; FCAT Chats, CIM Team binder SuccessMaker, FCAT Deanna Teachers will monitor Explorer Hendrix, Chet lowest quartile monthly and target bubble Truett, Renee Wilkins, Wimberly students and Murphy - TSA differentiate instruction accordingly.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|
| Reading workshop | School Wide | Reading Department. | ESE Teachers, Science Teacher, Language Arts Teacher, Social Studies Teacher | August 15, 2012 | Implementation in the classroom | Department Chairs Principal TSA |
| Data Meetings | 6-8 | CIM and Grade level leaders, Principal and TSA | 6-8 All Subjects | Faculty Meetings; Monthly Dept. Meetings | School-wide Classroom Walkthroughs; Lesson Plans; Data Notebooks | CIM and Grade level leaders, Principal and TSA |
| Kagan coaching Strategies | Teachers grade 6-8 | Grade Level leaders and CIM leaders | School-wide all teachers 6-8 | September 2012 and on-going | Administrators will complete classroom walk-throughs. Teachers will do a workshop on teaching a Kagan Lesson for training purposes. | Principal |

Reading Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate | 1141(3) | | |
|--|---|----------------|------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Гесhnology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| Purchase class sets of Amsco Florida Reading 6,7,8 for reading classes | The Amsco workbook which are highly motivating and engaging while improving students' reading, grammar, and writing skills. | Title I | \$4,500.00 |
| Kagan coaching Strategies Teachers grade 6-8 | Grade Level leaders and CIM leaders | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Professional Development Opportunities and workshops on Common Core Standards and Reading Content | Subs for teachers to attend trainings | Title 1 | \$1,115.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$5,615.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| Reading Instructional Supplies | Consumables, paper, manipulatives | Title 1 | \$2,265.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,265.0 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$7,880.0 |

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 1. St | udents scoring proficie | nt in listening/speaki | _ | | | | | |
|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| CELL | CELLA Goal #1: | | | | | | | |
| 2012 | Current Percent of Stu | udents Proficient in list | tening/speaking: | | | | | |
| N/A | | | | | | | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | | I. | | | | | | |
| Stude | ents read in English at gr | ade level text in a mann | er similar to non-EL | L students. | | | | |
| 2. St | udents scoring proficie | ent in reading. | | | | | | |
| CELL | A Goal #2: | | N/A | | | | | |
| 2012 | Current Percent of Stu | udents Proficient in rea | ading: | | | | | |
| N/A | Pro | blem-Solving Process | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Stude | ents write in English at gr | rade level in a manner si | milar to non-ELL st | udents. | | | | |
| 3. St | udents scoring proficie | nt in writing. | | | | | | |
| CELL | A Goal #3: | | N/A | | | | | |
| 2012 | ? Current Percent of Stu | udents Proficient in wr | iting: | | | | | |
| N/A | | | | | | | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | |

CELLA Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of CELLA Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in In 2013, the percentage of students making a level 3 will mathematics. increase to at least one percentage point as a result of level 1 or 2 students increasing a level. Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 2010 FCAT 35% (179) of students achieved a level 3. On 2013 FCAT 31% of students are expected to make a level 2011 FCAT 34% (160) of students achieved a level 3. 2012 FCAT 30% (138) of students achieved a level 3. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Principal- Nancy Compare 2012 and 2013 Lower quartile not making Daily focus lessons in Weekly focuseach math class covering Perry adequate learning gains. FCAT math level 3 lesson all FCAT-tested Wimberly Murphy percentages assessments which benchmarks, and TSA Teachers will submit are incorporated individualized instruction Gavle Hanks focus lesson calendars into regular tests. for lower quartile Guidance and retain copies of students in lowest FCAT Grade Level Team focus lessons for CIM area; FCAT Chats, FCAT leaders-Somer binder Explorer Bridges, Michelle Thomas, Katie Ward CIM Team- Deanna Hendrix, Chet Truett, Renee Wilkins

| | on the analysis of studen | t achievement data, and rog group: | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and (| define areas in need | |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b: | | | s. N/A | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | N/A | | | N/A | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | | ng at or above Achieveme | ent | | |
|------|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Leve | I 4 in mathematics. | | | ercentage of students scori | |
| Math | ematics Goal #2a: | | Math FCAT WIII | maintain or increase by 1 | percentage point. |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfor | mance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | |
| 2011 | 37% (199) of students s 34% (161) of students s 21% (97) of students sco | cored a level 4 or 5. | In 2013, 22% o | In 2013, 22% or more students will score a level 4 or 5. | |
| | Р | roblem-Solving Process to | o Increase Studer | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | Lack of enrichment opportunities | opportunities for students who are | Math teachers Robin McCall, Holly McMorris, Jay Gallacher, Mary | Mini-assessments, FCAT chats, Classroom enrichment opportunities | FCAT Mini Assessments, FCAT Scores, School Grade, |

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|--------|--|--|-----------------|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b: | | | | I/A | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | | | | N/A | | |
| | Pr | roblem-Solving Process | to Ind | crease Studen | t Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Res | Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | N/A | N/A |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a: | The percentage of students making learning gains on the Math FCAT will be maintained or increase by 1 percentage points. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| 2012, 61% (280) of students made learning gains. | In 2012, 61% or more students will make learning gains. | | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | Person or Process Used to | | | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| 1 | Lower quartile, and ESE students not making adequate gains | mainstreamed into regular classes, with ESE | McMorris, James | Scores, School Grade, AYP | FCAT Mini assessments, 9 week math exams, FCAT Scores, School Grade, |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. N/A Mathematics Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.

In 2013, students in lower quartile making learning gain will be least 50%

Mathematics Goal #4:

2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance:

In 2013, 47% of lower quartile students made learning gains. In 2013, 50% or more students in lower quartile will make learning gains.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| L | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| , | 1 | Discipline and behavior issues, attendance, motivation | Progress reports, Communicating with parents regarding behavior goals on PMPs, Positive behavior reports sent home. | Teachers, Guidance, and administration, dean | ' | FCAT Simulation, FCAT Scores, School Grade |
| | 2 | Students at EWMS, Lower quartile, students not making adequate gains | 5 | McMorris, James Gallacher, and Mary Ann SpenceFCAT | Scores, School Grade, AYP FCAT Mini | FCAT Simulation, FCAT Scores, School Grade, AYP FCAT Mini assessments, 9 week math exams, FCAT Scores, School Grade, |

| | Mini assessments, 9 week math exams, FCAT Scores, School Grade, | | |
|--|---|--|--|
|--|---|--|--|

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target Middle School Mathematics Goal # 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual In 6 years, Ernest Ward Middle School will reduce the 4 Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year achievement gap by 50%. school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. 5A: Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 2010-2011 71 77 61 68 74

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making All subgroups by ethnicity should increase satisfactory satisfactory progress in mathematics. progress on the 2013 Math FCAT. Mathematics Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: On the 2012 math FCAT satisfactory progress for each Each ethnicity subgroup area should show the following ethnicity was: improvement on 2013 Math FCAT. Black/African American - 36% Black/African American - 41% White - 65% White - 73%

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | Lack of Technology at home Lack of transportation to stay after school for tutoring | benchmarks, and individualized instruction for lower quartile students in lowest FCAT | Wimberly Murphy - TSA Gayle Hanks – Guidance | Compare 2012 and 2013 FCAT Math level 3 percentages Teachers will submit focus lesson calendars and retain copies of focus lessons for CIM binder Teachers will monitor lowest quartile monthly and target bubble students and differentiate instruction accordingly. | Daily focus-lesson assessments FCAT Chats Math 9 weeks exams |
| 2 | Lower quartile not making adequate learning gains. Absences. In-School Suspension. Out-of-school suspension. | each Math class covering all FCAT-tested benchmarks, and individualized instruction for lower quartile students in lowest FCAT | Wimberly Murphy - TSA Gayle Hanks – Guidance | Compare 2012 and 2013 FCAT Math level 3 percentages Teachers will submit focus lesson calendars and retain copies of focus lessons for CIM binder Teachers will monitor lowest quartile monthly and target bubble students and differentiate instruction accordingly. | Daily focus-lesson assessments FCAT Chats Math 9 weeks exams |

| 1 | on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Gu | iding Question | ns", identify and o | define areas in need |
|---|--|-----------------------|---|---|---|----------------------|
| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C: | | | Ernest War | Ernest Ward Middle School has no ELL students | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | 2013 Expe | ected Level o | f Performance: | | |
| Ernest Ward Middle School has no ELL students | | | | Ernest Ward Middle School has no ELL students | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase St | udent Achiev | rement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible Monitorin | for Effe | cess Used to Determine ctiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | N/A |

| | on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and | define areas in need | |
|--------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| | | | All SWD should Math FCAT. | All SWD should increase satisfactory progress on the 2013 Math FCAT. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| On th 27% | e 2012 Math FCAT satisfac | ctory progress for SWD wa | | increase satisfactory prog by 10 percentage points. 2 | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Lack of Technology at home Lack of transportation to stay after school for tutoring | Daily focus lessons in each Math class covering all FCAT-tested benchmarks, and individualized instruction for lower quartile students in lowest FCAT area; FCAT Chats, FCAT Explorer | Wimberly Murphy - TSA Gayle Hanks – Guidance | Compare 2012 and 2013 FCAT Math level 3 percentages Teachers will submit focus lesson calendars and retain copies of focus lessons for CIM binder Teachers will monitor lowest quartile monthly and target bubble students and differentiate instruction accordingly. | Daily focus-lesson assessments FCAT Chats Math 9 weeks exams | |
| | Lower quartile not making adequate learning gains. Absences. | Daily focus lessons in each Math class covering all FCAT-tested | Principal- Nancy Perry Wimberly Murphy - | Compare 2012 and 2013 FCAT Math level 3 percentages | Daily focus-lesson assessments FCAT Chats | |

TSA

Guidance

individualized instruction Gayle Hanks -

Teachers will submit

and retain copies of

focus lesson calendars

Math 9 weeks

exam

In-School Suspension.

Out-of-school

suspension.

benchmarks, and

for lower quartile

| 2 | | · | McMorris, James Gallacher, and Mary Ann Spence Grade Level Team leaders-Somer Bridges ,Michelle | binder Teachers will monitor lowest quartile monthly and target bubble students and differentiate instruction accordingly. | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
|---|--|---|--|--|--|

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| | All economically disadvantaged students should increase satisfactory progress on the 2013 Math FCAT. |
|---|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| On the 2012 Math FCAT satisfactory progress for economically disadvantaged students was 51% | All economically disadvantaged students should increase satisfactory progress on the 2013 FCAT by 4 percentage points. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| 1 | Lack of Technology at home Lack of transportation to stay after school for tutoring | each Math class covering all FCAT-tested benchmarks, and individualized instruction for lower quartile students in lowest FCAT area; FCAT Chats, FCAT Explorer | Wimberly Murphy - TSA Gayle Hanks – Guidance | Compare 2012 and 2013 FCAT Math level 3 percentages Teachers will submit focus lesson calendars and retain copies of focus lessons for CIM binder Teachers will monitor lowest quartile monthly and target bubble students and differentiate instruction accordingly. | Daily focus-lesson assessments FCAT Chats Math Exams |
| 2 | Lower quartile not making adequate learning gains. Absences. In-School Suspension. Out-of-school suspension. | each Math class covering all FCAT-tested benchmarks, and individualized instruction for lower quartile students in lowest FCAT area; FCAT Chats, FCAT Explorer | Wimberly Murphy - TSA Gayle Hanks – Guidance Robin McCall, Holly McMorris, James Gallacher, and Mary Ann Spence | Compare 2012 and 2013 FCAT Math level 3 percentages Teachers will submit focus lesson calendars and retain copies of focus lessons for CIM binder Teachers will monitor lowest quartile monthly and target bubble students and differentiate instruction accordingly. | Daily focus-lesson assessments FCAT Chats Math Exams |

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.

Algebra Goal #1:

The percentage of students scoring a level 3 on the Algebra EOC will increase by 1 percentage point.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

On the 2012 Algebra EOC 88% (40) of students achieved a level 3.

On the 2013 Algebra EOC 41% of students are expected to make a level 3.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | Algebra students need rigorous and differentiated instruction. | Identify each student's FCAT Level by utilizing FCAT Star and conducting an FCAT chat between Math teacher and each student. All students will receive Accelerated Math instruction Whfollows the State Standards and Benchmarks and provides practice and instruction. Teach strategies students are weak in using whole group, individualized, paired, and/or computerized instruction. Use CRISS and Kagan teaching strategies while incorporating high order thinking questions into lesson plans. | Administrators, | Classroom assessments, FCAT tests, & district nine weeks tests | Classroom assessments, FCAT tests, & district nine weeks tests |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels
4 and 5 in Algebra.

Algebra Goal #2:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

| On th a lev | | % (38) of students achiev | ved On the 2013 A to make a leve | | ents are expected |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Too |
| | Algebra students need rigorous and differentiated instruction. | Algebra teachers offer morning tutoring sessions to students needing additional help. | Chair - James | Classroom assessments, FCAT tests, & district nine weeks tests | Classroom assessments, FCAT tests, & district nine weeks tests |
| 1 | | Teach strategies students are weak in using whole group, individualized, paired, and/or computerized instruction. | Classroom assessments, FCAT tests, & district nine weeks tests Accelerated Math Program, | | |
| | | Use CRISS and Kagan teaching strategies while incorporating high order thinking questions into lesson plans. | Classroom assessments, FCAT test, & | | |

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry. Ernest Ward Middle School does not have any students at our school that take Geometry Geometry Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels
4 and 5 in Geometry.

Geometry Goal #2:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | | |
|-----|---|----------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|
| Data Meetings | 6-8 All subjects | CIM and Grade level leaders, Principal and TSA | 6-8 All Subjects | Faculty Meetings; Monthly Dept. Meetings | School-wide Classroom Walkthroughs; Lesson Plans; Data Notebooks | CIM and Grade level leaders, Principal and TSA |
| Kagan coaching Strategies | Teachers grade 6-8 | Grade Level leaders and CIM leaders | School-wide all teachers 6-8 | September 2012 and on-going | Administrators will complete classroom walk- throughs. Teachers will do a workshop on teaching a Kagan Lesson for training purposes. | Principal |
| Exam View training (test generation) | Teachers grade 6-8 | Principal TSA Tech Coordinator Department Chair, | School-wide all math and science teachers 6-8 | Teachers will complete will training. | Administrators will complete classroom walk-throughs. | Principal TSA Tech Coordinator Department Chair, |

Mathematics Budget:

| 5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
|---|--|----------------|---------------------|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/N | laterial(s) | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| District Workshops for Math | Subs for teachers to attend training for Common Core and other math workshops | Title 1 | \$1,115.00 |
| Data Meetings | All Teachers will complete training provided by data team | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Exam View training (test generation) | Teachers grade 6-8 Tech Coordinator Department Chair, School-wide all math and science | N/A | \$0.00 |

| | teachers 6-8 | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Development Opportunities and workshops on Common Core Standards and math content | Subs for teachers to attend trainings | Title 1 | \$1,115.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,230.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Mathematics Supplies | Consummables, paper, manipulatives | Title I | \$2,265.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,265.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$4,495.00 |

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| Leve | CAT2.0: Students scor I 3 in science. nce Goal #1a: | ing at Achievement | 1 0 | The percentage of students scoring level 3 on the Science FCAT will maintain or increase by 1%. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | ormance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performand | ce: | |
| In 20 | 12, 39% (60)of student | s scored level 3. | In 2013, 39% | In 2013, 39% or more students will score a level 3. | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Barriers will be attendance, behavior, and reading skills. | Progress reports, positive behavior reports, reading in science classes. | Mrs. Thomas Mrs. Grant Mr. Truett Mrs. Spence Mrs. Hall | FCAT Mini Assessments, FCAT scores, School grade, School attendance District 9 weeks test | FCAT Mini Assessments, FCAT scores, School grade, School attendance District 9 weeks test | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b: | N/A | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| N/A | N/A | | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. The percentage of students scoring level 4 or 5 on the Science FCAT will maintain or increase by 1%. Science Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In 2012 17% (27) all curriculum group scored a 4 or 5 on Science FCAT. The percentage of students scoring level 4 or 5 on the Science FCAT 17% or above. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Lack of enrichment Provide enrichment Mrs. Thomas FCAT Scores. FCAT FCAT Scores. opportunities. activities for students Mrs. Grant Mini-assessments, FCAT Mini-Mr. Truett Barriers will be who are grouped FCAT chats, Science assessments, Mrs. Spence labs, District Science 9 FCAT chats, attendance, behavior, according to FCAT and reading skills. level. Mrs. Hall week exams Science labs, Progress reports, District Science 9 week exams positive behavior reports, reading in science classes. Mrs. Thomas Mrs. Grant Mr. Truett Mrs. Spence Mrs. Hall FCAT Mini Assessments, FCAT scores, School grade, School attendance

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b: | | | N/A | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |

| - 1 | | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | ı | | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| FSU Grant Facilitated Bio-Scopes workshops (training) for all science teachers | 6-8 | Cheyenna Novotny District Science TSA Kim Walden - Science Specialist Nancy Perry - Principal Wimberly Murphy -TSA Chett Truett - Department Chair | Science Teachers 6-8 | Summer 2012 School year 2012- 2013 | Walk through and district workshops | Cheyenna Novotny District Science TSA Kim Walden - Science Specialist Nancy Perry - Principal Wimberly Murphy -TSA Chett Truett - Department Chair |

Science Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat | erial(s) | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| FSU Grant Facilitated Bio-Scopes workshops (training) for all science teachers | Subs for teachers to attend the Bio-Scopes Cheyenna Novotny District Science TSA Kim Walden - Science Specialist | FSU Grant Facilitated | \$0.00 |
| Professional Development Opportunities and workshops on Common Core Standards and Science Content Areas | Subs for teachers to attend trainings | Title 1 | \$1,115.00 |
| | - | | Subtotal: \$1,115.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Science Instructional Supplies | Consumables, paper, manipulatives | Title 1 | \$2,265.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,265.0 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$3,380.0 |

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level The eighth-grade students at Ernest Ward Middle School 3.0 and higher in writing. will scoring a 3 or higher on the 2013 Florida Writes Test will increase be 81% with a least 50% scoring at a 4.0. Writing Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 80% of eighth-grade students at Ernest Ward Middle 81% of eighth-graders at EWMS will score a 3 or higher School scored a 3 or higher on the 2012 Florida Writes on the 2013 Florida Writes with a least 50% scoring at a Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Anticipated Barrier Strategy Determine Effectiveness Evaluation Tool Responsible for of Strategy Monitoring Observation/Documentation Observation Class Size Try to meet class-size Principal, CIM Full Inclusion Documentation reduction standards Leader, Team Dissemination of data Co-Teaching Collaborate with co-Leader teacher and utilize an aide in the fullinclusion class to differentiate instruction Writing Simulation Step up to writing Principal will work with Data chats in grade-Conferences with In-Service to address FCAT Chats with district leaders to level meetings ESE/general curriculum issues of Parents combining ESE/general education ensure that teacherteachers students through full pupil ratio is correct inclusion

| | d on the analysis of studeed of improvement for the | | nd reference to "Gu | uiding Questions", identif | y and define areas | |
|--|---|----------------------|--|--|--------------------|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b: | | | ng N/A | N/A | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expecte | d Level of Performanc | e: | |
| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|
| Step-Up Writing | 6-8 Language Arts | Brian Spivey | | Summer and fall of 2012 | Classroom Walk | Brian Spivey Nancy Perry - Principal Somer Bridges - Language Arts Chair |

Writing Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|--|---|----------------|---------------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Step-Up Writing 6-8 Language Arts | Language Arts Department - Summer and fall of 2012 training | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Professional Development Opportunities and workshops on Common Core Standards and Language Arts and Writing Content. | Subs for teachers to attend trainings | Title 1 | \$1,115.00 |
| Purchase class sets of The Florida Crosswalk Coach 6,7,8, (Common Core State Standards) for Language Arts classes | The Crosswalk Coach (Common Core State Standards) workbook which are highly motivating and engaging while improving students' reading, writing, and language skills | Title 1 | \$4,500.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$5,615.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Instructional Supplies | Consumables, paper, manipulatives | Title 1 | \$2,265.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,265.0 |

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.

Ernest Ward Middle School was not selected to field test

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Civic | s Goal #1: | | the Civics EOC | the Civics EOC. | | |
|-------|------------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| 2012 | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

| | d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the | ent achievement data, an e following group: | d reference to "Gu | uiding Questions", identif | y and define areas | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--------------------|--|
| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. Civics Goal #2: | | | Ernest Ward M | Ernest Ward Middle School was not selected to field test the Civics EOC. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expecte | d Level of Performance | 9 : | |
| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| The PD content is going to be Civics Training. Technology Training for online testing. | 7th grade | Staff DevelopmentOffice IT Department | 7th grade teachers | Spring 2013 Summer 2013 | Post Test | Nancy Perry Wimberly Murphy Gayle Hanks Cherie Arnette |

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat | erial(s) | | |
|---|---|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| The PD content is going to be Civics Training. | Technology Training for online testing. IT Department Social Studies Department | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Professional Development Opportunities and workshops on Common Core Standards and Civics/History content | Subs for teachers to attend trainings | Title 1 | \$1,115.00 |
| - | | | Subtotal: \$1,115.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Instructional Supplies | Consumables, paper, manipulatives | Title 1 | \$2,265.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,265.0 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$3,380.00 |

End of Civics Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference of improvement: | to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Attendance Attendance Goal #1: | Ernest Ward Middle School will maintain or increase the average daily attendance rate by 1%. | | | |
| 2012 Current Attendance Rate: | 2013 Expected Attendance Rate: | | | |
| In 2012, the daily average attendance rate was 93.4% (486). | In 2013, the daily average attendance rate will be 93.6 or higher. | | | |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) | | | |
| 215 Students | 200 Students | | | |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) | | | |
| 11 Students | 10 Students | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |

| | | ī | | Ī | |
|---|--|--|--|--|-------------------------------|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | 1.Transportation issues 2.Illness 3.Conflict between school start time and family schedule 4. Distance from school 5. Out of school suspension | 1. School messenger call to student's home when absent 2. Child Study team will meet if the following occur: 3 days consecutive absences or 5 days in a 30 day period 10 days in a 90 day period 3. Strategies and interventions will be determined based on absences, tardies, and /or individual student / home situations. 4. Behavior Contracts 5. In school suspension program | | Review and evaluation of attendance/discipline records | Attendance/Discipline records |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | (e.g. , PLC, | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--------------|--|-----|--|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Attendance Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developn | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | I on the analysis of susp provement: | ension data, and referen | nce to | "Guiding Que | stions", identify and def | îne areas in need | |
|--|--|--|--------|--|--|---|--|
| Suspension Suspension Goal #1: | | | r | In 2013, Ernest Ward will maintain or decrease by 1% the rate of students assigned to In School Suspension and Out of School Suspension. | | | |
| 2012 | Total Number of In-Sc | chool Suspensions | 2 | 2013 Expecte | d Number of In-Schoo | ol Suspensions | |
| 242 I | n School Suspensions in | 2012 | 2 | 242 or below I | n School Suspensions | | |
| 2012 | Total Number of Stude | ents Suspended In-Sch | | 2013 Expecte School | d Number of Students | Suspended In- | |
| 139 Students Suspended In School in 2012 | | | | 139 Students Suspended in School | | | |
| 2012 | Number of Out-of-Sch | ool Suspensions | | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | | | |
| 216 C | out of School Suspension | s in 2012 | 2 | 216 or below Out of School Suspensions | | | |
| 2012 Scho | | ents Suspended Out-of | | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School | | | |
| 109 C | out of School Suspension | s in 2012 | 1 | 109 Out of School Suspensions | | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | to In | crease Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Res | Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Inability for school personnel to communicate with parents. Noncompliance with school/district policies or rules. | Develop positive school climate. Effective classroom management. Classroom walkthroughs. | | n of students Principal | Follow the discipline action plan. | In-school suspension and out-of- school data | |
| | | | _ | | L | | |

(PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| | PD entent /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | (e.g. , PLC, | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|-----|--|------------------------|---|--------------|--|-----|--|
| N/A | | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Suspension Budget:

| | | | Available |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developn | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: Maintain school-parent communication/involvement to reach the criteria for the Golden School Award (1000 *Please refer to the percentage of parents who Hours.) participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: Parents are encouraged to set up conference with teachers. Teachers and parents also communicate through phone calls and E-mails. Progress reports are sent home in the middle of the nine weeks to inform parents of student progress. EWMS maintains a website weekly that offers parents and students individual class homework assignments, teacher E-mail access, helpful websites, and other school activities In 2013, we expect to maintain school-parent

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Parents are encouraged to set up conference with teachers. Teachers and parents also communicate through phone calls and E-mails.

Progress reports are sent home in the middle of the nine weeks to inform parents of student progress.

EWMS maintains a website weekly that offers parents and students individual class homework assignments, teacher E-mail access, helpful websites, and other school activities

communication/involvement to again reach the criteria for the Golden School Award (1000 Hours.)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Faculty may have concerns related to time constraints for providing documentation for parent communication | Teachers will receive substantial training on the web editor program and FOCUS gradebook program. Teachers will be given deadlines to update teacher homework / communication site Teachers will keep communication log to include notes on all phone, email, and in person communication. | Administration and teachers | check teacher websites | View school website to make sure |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | (e.g. , PLC, | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--------------|--|-----|--|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | • | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|--------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------|
| Parent Involvement | Career Fair, EWMS Show Case night for pre-registration, FCAT Chats with parents and students | Title 1 | \$1,800.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,800.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$1,800.00 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|------------------------|--|
| STEM Cool #1. | | | technology cla | Students in the Microsoft Office Suite information technology class will take the MOS Certiport test and at least 75 percent will make a passing score. | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students must pass 3 Microsoft Office Suite tests (Word, Powerpoint, & Outlook.) Passing 3 MOS tests takes substantial persistence on the part of the teacher and the students | preparation through GMetrix online test software, and MOS | Principal-Nancy Perry TSA – Wimberly Murphy Microsoft IT Academy Teacher-Brenda Rutherford CTE Specialist – Michelle Taylor | Review of MOS tests results | MOS Certiport tests | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | (e.g. , PLC, | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--------------|--|-----|--|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

STEM Budget:

| Evidence-based Progr | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |

| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Professional Developr | ment | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based | d on the analysis of scho | ol data, identify and defir | ne areas in need of | improvement: | | |
|-------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 1. CTE CTE Goal #1: | | | Offer electives through our Electrical, Culinary, and Graphics Arts Academies along with our Ag program that provides real world experience in our future outlook. | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Arranging the school wide master schedule to offer students our career and technical electives. | Schedule all students possible into one or more of the following courses: Wheel in 6th grade (9-weeks of each CTE class) 7th - choose up to 2 academies. 8th grade choose 1 academy to further study and prepared for high school and future career. | Principal-Nancy Perry TSA – Wimberly Murphy Guidance Counselor - Gayle Hanks CTE Director – Lesa Morgan | Review class load reports, student surveys, and registration cards. | Class load reports, student surveys, and registration cards. | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | (e.g. , PLC, | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Early Orientation and Registration | 6-8 All | | Parents Students Teachers Staff | February 2013 | Registration Cards Academy Showcase | Gayle Hanks |

CTE Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat | terial(s) | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Professional Development Opportunities and workshops on Common Core Standards and aligned with CTE classes and academies. | Subs for teachers to attend trainings | Title 1 | \$1,115.00 |
| | • | - | Subtotal: \$1,115.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Instructional Supplies | Consumables, paper, manipulatives | Title 1 | \$2,265.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,265.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$3,380.00 |

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Prog | ram(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|----------------------|---|---|----------------|------------------|
| - | | Description of | - u o | |
| Goal | Strategy | Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| CELLA | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Writing | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Civics | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Attendance | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| STEM | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| CTE | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| CELLA | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Writing | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Civics | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Attendance | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| STEM | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| CTE | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Develop | ment | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Purchase class sets of Amsco Florida Reading 6,7,8 for reading classes | The Amsco workbook which are highly motivating and engaging while improving students' reading, grammar, and writing skills. | Title I | \$4,500.00 |
| Reading | Kagan coaching Strategies Teachers grade 6-8 | Grade Level leaders and CIM leaders | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Reading | Professional Development Opportunities and workshops on Common Core Standards and Reading Content | Subs for teachers to attend trainings | Title 1 | \$1,115.00 |
| CELLA | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | District Workshops for Math | Subs for teachers to attend training for Common Core and other math workshops | Title 1 | \$1,115.00 |
| Mathematics | Data Meetings | All Teachers will complete training provided by data team | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | Exam View training (test generation) | Teachers grade 6-8 Tech Coordinator Department Chair, School-wide all math and science teachers 6-8 | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | | |

| Mathematics | | | | |
|-------------|--|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Mathematics | Opportunities and workshops on Common Core Standards and math content | Subs for teachers to attend trainings | Title 1 | \$1,115.00 |
| Science | FSU Grant Facilitated Bio-Scopes workshops (training) for all science teachers | Subs for teachers to attend the Bio-Scopes Cheyenna Novotny District Science TSA Kim Walden - Science Specialist | FSU Grant Facilitated | \$0.00 |
| Science | Professional Development Opportunities and workshops on Common Core Standards and Science Content Areas | Subs for teachers to attend trainings | Title 1 | \$1,115.00 |
| Writing | Step-Up Writing 6-8 Language Arts | Language Arts Department - Summer and fall of 2012 training | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Writing | Professional Development Opportunities and workshops on Common Core Standards and Language Arts and Writing Content. | Subs for teachers to attend trainings | Title 1 | \$1,115.00 |
| Writing | Purchase class sets of The Florida Crosswalk Coach 6,7,8, (Common Core State Standards) for Language Arts classes | The Crosswalk Coach (Common Core State Standards) workbook which are highly motivating and engaging while improving students' reading, writing, and language skills | Title 1 | \$4,500.00 |
| Civics | The PD content is going to be Civics Training. | Technology Training for online testing. IT Department Social Studies Department | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Civics | Professional Development Opportunities and workshops on Common Core Standards and Civics/History content | Subs for teachers to attend trainings | Title 1 | \$1,115.00 |
| Attendance | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| STEM | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| СТЕ | Professional Development Opportunities and workshops on Common Core Standards and aligned with CTE classes and academies. | Subs for teachers to attend trainings | Title 1 | \$1,115.00 |
| Other | _ | _ | _ | Subtotal: \$16,805.00 |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Reading Instructional Supplies | Consumables, paper, manipulatives | Title 1 | \$2,265.00 |
| CELLA | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | Mathematics Supplies | Consummables, paper, manipulatives | Title I | \$2,265.00 |
| Science | Science Instructional Supplies | Consumables, paper, manipulatives | Title 1 | \$2,265.00 |
| Writing | Instructional Supplies | Consumables, paper, manipulatives | Title 1 | \$2,265.00 |
| Civics | Instructional Supplies | Consumables, paper, manipulatives | Title 1 | \$2,265.00 |
| Attendance | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | N/A | IV/A | IV/A | \$0.00 |

| STEM | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|
| CTE | Instructional Supplies | Consumables, paper, manipulatives | Title 1 | \$2,265.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$15,390.00 |

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

| jn Priority | jn Focus | jn Prevent | j n NA |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------------|
|-------------|----------|------------|---------------|

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/16/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Projected use of SAC Funds | Amount |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| No SAC funds provided this year. | \$0.00 |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

Our School Advisory Council helps to preform following task:

- 1. Assisting with the writing of the School Improvement Plan
- 2. In the spring, our SAC provides input into the school budget for the next year.
- 3. Helps to discuss school uniforms.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Escambia School District ERNEST WARD MI DDLE SCHOOL 2010-2011 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | | | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 74% | 77% | 91% | 50% | 292 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. | | |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 66% | 70% | | | 136 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 | | |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 62% (YES) | 75% (YES) | | | 137 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. | | |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 565 | | | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested | | |
| School Grade* | | | | | А | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested | | |

| Escambia School District ERNEST WARD MI DDLE SCHOOL 2009-2010 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | | | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 77% | 80% | 96% | 53% | 306 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. | | |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 68% | 75% | | | 143 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 | | |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 64% (YES) | 65% (YES) | | | 129 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. | | |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 578 | | | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested | | |
| School Grade* | | | | | А | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested | | |