FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: NOB HILL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Broward

Principal: Dr. Patricia Patterson

SAC Chair: Dr. Leah Nachman

Superintendent: Robert Runcie

Date of School Board Approval: December 4, 2012

Last Modified on: 10/26/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Principal | Dr.Patricia Patterson | Ed. D. C&I Elem. Ed. Gifted, Guidance/Counseling, ESOL, Administration | 11 | 21 | 2000 – 2012 – School Grade "A" 2011 – 2012 – Students Meeting Proficiency Writing – 89%, Reading – 82%, Math – 86% Science – 65% Lowest 25% of school, 57% reading, 70% in Math 74% made learning gains in mathematics 77% made learning gains in reading |
| Assis Principal | David Suarez | M.S./Bilingual, Ed. Elem 1 – 6, ESOL, Leadership | 6 | 16 | 2006 - 2012 - School Grade "A" 2011 - 2012 - Students Meeting Proficiency Writing - 89%, Reading - 82%, Math - 86% Science - 65% Lowest 25% of school, 57% reading, 70% in Math 74% made learning gains in mathematics 77% made learning gains in reading |

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of

years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
|--------------|--------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Reading | Heather Most | B.A. Elem.; M.Ed.C&I, Reading; ESOL, Gifted | 10 | 1 | 2002 - 2012 -School Grade "A" 2011 - 2012 - Students Meeting Proficiency Writing - 89%, Reading - 82%, Math - 86% Science - 65% Lowest 25% of school, 57% reading AYP, 70% in Math met AYP |

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| 1 | NESS Program | Heather Most | August Ongoing - May | |
| 2 | Team Meetings | Team Leaders | Weekly | |
| 3 | PLC with in-house trainers in Common Core reading, mathematics, writing, science, and utilizing technology for instruction. | Varied | August OngoingMay | |
| | Provide collegial opportunities for professional development, support, and leadership, | Team Leaders | August OngoingMay | |
| 5 | | | | |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|--|---|
| None | |

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number of Instructional Staff | % of First-Year Teachers | | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | % Highly Effective Teachers | % Reading Endorsed Teachers | Board | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|
| 44 | 2.3%(1) | 15.9%(7) | 45.5%(20) | 36.4%(16) | 27.3%(12) | 100.0%(44) | 6.8%(3) | 25.0%(11) | 93.2%(41) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee Assigned | Rationale for Pairing | Planned Mentoring Activities |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Heather Most | Stephanie Tascillo | New to Broward County, support for common core curriculum | Observations and feedback |
| Laraine Berry | Michelle Beesing | New to Nob Hill and needs support with curricular updates | Observations and feedback |
| Laura Horowitz | Chris Smith | New teacher | Observations and feedback |
| Bonnie Schwartzberg | Kimberly Rhoden | Returning to classroom instruction | Observation and feedback |

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Title I, Part A

Full time Reading Specialist, 1 and 1/2 paraprofessionals. Nob Hill Title I funds allow teachers to attend professional development to increase their knowledge in reading, math, writing, and science. Teachers attend district trainings for Common Core standards in math and English Language Arts, and textbook complexity. Parent workshops with childcare and materials are also funded.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

N/A

Title I, Part D

N/A

Title II

N/A

Title III

ELL students receive reading and developmental language arts instruction in the classroom with ELL endorsed teachers. The ELL department provides ELL instructional materials for ELL students.

Title X- Homeless

The school can provide supplies (backpacks, crayons, paper, pencils, glue) and uniforms when needed to homeless students. Additionally, free lunch and breakfast is provided and stability in the educational setting can be addressed when warranted for the homeless student. The school social worker can provide information related to support services to the family of homeless students.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Title 1 funds provide students with an intensive reading intervention program called BRIGHT five days a week for 30 minutes each day.

Violence Prevention Programs

G.R.A.D.E.; Safe Team meetings; Guidance Anti-bullying Program; I'm Thumbody; Get Real About Violence, Review of the Broward County Bullying Policy is presented to each class.

Nutrition Programs

| Incorporated into the Health/Science curriculum program | |
|--|---|
| Housing Programs | |
| N/A | |
| lead Start | |
| N/A | |
| adult Education | |
| N/A | |
| Career and Technical Education | |
| Teacher Technology Cadre; Technology for Parents; Student Technology Cadre | |
| lob Training | |
| N/A | |
| Other | |
| | |
| Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention | (RtI) |
| School-based MTSS/RtI Team | |
| dentify the school-based MTSS leadership team. | |
| ESE Specialist – monitors the IEP services to students, monitors academic progress of inclus coordinates ESE services. Administration – facilitates the RTI team, conducts meetings, monitors the implementation of necessary resources to achieve positive learning gains for all students, conducts data chats progress. School Psychologist – tests students, consults with parents, reviews and suggests intervent School Social Worker – works with students having issues related to home situations and woutside resources. *Classroom Teacher - Works with team to share information/data for the student who has be | tions to assist students. orks with parents to provide |
| Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? | d roles/functions). How does it work |
| The RTI/Support Team meets to discuss the overall process of supporting students. Students may be identified based on teacher conversations, student data, behavior referrals Each/any member of the RTI Leadership Team may function as a case manager to work with of intervention, documenting the progress, and then moving forward to an RTI meeting. The Team focus is to develop a broad understanding of students in the school who are in ne support is needed, documenting the progress, and suggesting additional resources as need The ESE Specialist and School Psychologist address concerns and determine the need for poor The teaacher analyzes data and the indicators related to academic concerns, and administrated academic and behavioral issues as needed. The team shares information/data and important updates with staff at faculty meetings. | eed of support, what type of led. |
| Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implement and implement and implement and implementing the control of the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the | · |

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

| Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. | | |
|---|--|--|
| | | |
| Describe the plan to support MTSS. | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The Literacy Leadership Team will consist of the following people; Reading Coach: Heather Most, Team Leaders – Laura Horowitz, Angie DiStasio, Lydia Kolber, Paula Sanders, Kim Petty, Bonnie Schwartzberg, and Penelope Reinman, Malecia Tardieau, Principal - Dr. Patricia Patterson, Assistant Principal: David Suarez, ESE Specialist: Karyn Frank, Guidance Counselor: Arlene Kall.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The team meets monthly to discuss the literacy needs for each grade level, reviews the data and determines possible support, provides current information and strategies to meet needs of struggling readers, monitors the implementation of the suggested strategies, as well as, provides enrichment to advanced students. Training for K - 2 teachers will be provided related to Common Core Standards.

Team leaders can model effective strategies to teachers on request.

The Team will provide data and identify high risk students not meeting grade level expectations/benchmarks, they will collaborate with staff, general education teachers, ESE resource teachers, speech/language pathologist, school psychologist, and determine which intensive interventions to implement through the BRIGHT program as indicated by the collected data. Team information will be shared with staff at Faculty meetings.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

- *The major initiatives of the LLT will be to incorporate literacy into all content areas for all students. They will develop additional programs for students who need interventions, (i.e. BRIGHT, Power Cats, Early Birds and individual tutoring through America Counts/America Reads Program). The LLT will also increase the use of technology to provide individualization and practice of required skills.
- *Maintaining/increasing the achievement levels of high performing students, and increasing achievement level of students in subgroups, i.e. ESE, Hispanics, etc., as well as maintain achievement for all students.
- *Individual folders are maintained for low performing students with assessment data, and monitoring strategies that are reviewed monthly by the assistant principal.
- *Reading support will focus on vocabulary development, building comprehension, test specificity and specific strategies (i.e. use of graphic organizers).

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/12/2012)

*Elementary Title | Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

During the month of May, a Kindergarten Round-Up is held to allow surrounding preschools to tour the facility and become acquainted with the Kindergarten Program. Families receive invitations via Parent Link for the school's Kindergarten

Orientation held prior to the first day of school to allow for a smooth transition into the Kindergarten Program.

*Grades 6-12 Only
Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

N/A

*High Schools Only
Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g) (j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

N/A

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

N/A

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.

Reading Goal #1a:

Students in grades 3, 4, and 5 will increase scores at Level 3 by 3% on the FCAT Reading Assessment.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

75% (226) of students in grades 3, 4, and 5 achieved Level 3 reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

Students in grades 3, 4, and 5 will increase scores at Level 3 by 3% on the FCAT Reading Assessment.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| 1 | Decrease in time students spend reading. | Implement thematic based curriculum and high interest novels. | Team Leaders Classroom teachers | Team Meetings to monitor student achievement and do team planning. | Assessment of student learning gains through monthly progress monitoring and grade level assessments. |
| 2 | Lack of motivation. | Use high interest/motivational materials to encourage reading, with focus on informational text and use of technology for instruction. | Classroom teachers | Classroom assessments and projects. | Rubrics for Performance Tasks, progress monitoring, FCAT Testing. |
| 3 | Need for extended learning activities for students not predicted proficient on BAT 2. | Implementation of tutoring programs that run before school, during school, and after school. | Principal Assistant Principal Reading Coach | Observation during tutoring, focus on skills identified as weak, feedback to teachers. | Data collected from FCAT 2012 and progress monitoring. |
| 4 | Insufficient implementation of differentiated teaching strategies during instruction and assessments. | Teachers will use a lesson plan template to identify essential questions, strategies and evidence. Learning centers will be set up and a Common core library will be available for literature circles and Reader's theater. | · | Review of lesson plans, classroom observations, data chats, iObservations. | Lesson plans, BAT 2, FCAT 2013, iObservation. |
| 5 | Homework activities for students are not given at the enrichment level. | Strategies used for gifted students, critical thinking activities, and other types of graphic organizers should be assigned rather than just reading books. | Classroom teachers | Student - teacher conferences. | FCAT 2013 |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.

By June 2013, 17% (1) students in grades 3, 4, and 5 will

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Reading Goal #1b: | | | score level at le | score level at level 4, 4, and 6 in reading on the FAA. | | |
|-------------------|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perform | mance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| | (2) of students in grades ; 6 in reading. | 3, 4, and 5 scored Levels 4 | | 17% (1) students in grades 3, 4, and 5 will score level at level 4, 4, and 6 in reading on the FAA. | | |
| | Pi | roblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Too | |
| 1 | Limited collaboration between general education and ESE teacher. | Use push in model for support in the general education classroom. | Principal Assistant Principal ESE Specialist | Observation of student performance tasks. | Diagnostic Assessments, (DAR). | |
| 2 | Students on Alternative Standards manifest unique learning needs and require specialized training to achieve the highest levels of FAA. | Faculty and staff utilize Assistive Technology and varied software, and a district developed program called Unique. | Principal Assistant Principal ESE Specialist | learning logs, assessment | Data chats, learning logs, and FAA. | |

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|-------|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| Level | CAT 2.0: Students scorin 4 in reading. ing Goal #2a: | g at or above Achievemo | There will be a | There will be a 3% increase in the number of students scoring a Level 4 or 5 on the FCAT Reading Assessment. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| | (122) of students in grades in the FCAT Reading Asses | | , | By June 2013, 43% of students in grades 3, 4, and 5 will score a Level 4 or 5 on the FCAT Reading Assessment. | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | 2.1. Lack of differentiated instruction strategies. | 2.1. Increase use project based learning and allow student choice of reading activities and increase time for instruction in small groups. | Teachers | 2.1. Monitor student participation within centers and independent work. | 2.1.Teacher observation of unique reading projects completed during centers. | |
| 2 | Lack of consistent development of students' independent reading in books with informational and complex text. | Utilize Reader's Theater, Literature Circles, AR, reading centers, projects, and conferences with students. | Classroom Teachers Reading Coach Media Specialist | Review of reading logs, classroom observations, data chats. | BAT 2, progress monitoring, projects, and AR records. | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b: | There will be a 2% increase in students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading on the FAA. | | | |
| | | | | |

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 20 | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|---------|---|--|----------------------------|
| ` ' | | | | By June 2013, 63% of students will score at or above Level 7 in reading on the FAA. | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to I | | | to I nc | rease Studen | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Res | Person or Position ponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Limited background knowledge of subject matters. | Use differentiated instruction with the use of supplemental materials. | ESE S | Specialist | Teacher observation of student performance tasks. | Diagnostic Assessments. |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a: | Students in grades 3, 4, and 5 will increase learning gains in reading BY 2% on the FCAT Reading Assessment. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 76% (160) of students in grades 3, 4, and 5 made learning gains in reading. | By June 2013, 78% of students in grades 3, 4, and 5 will make learning gains in reading based on the FCAT Reading Assessment. | | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | 3.2. Effective progress monitoring and Interventions | 3.2. Teachers will use data folders to record student progress, monitor data every 20 days, and collaborate across the grade levels to provide effective interventions. | 3.2. Principal, Assistant Principal, Reading Leadership Team | 3.2. Progress monitoring data will be analyzed to determine effectiveness. | 3.2. School based progress monitoring Data. |
| 2 | 3.2 Meeting the needs of students not making learning gains | 3.2 The Reading Specialist, Reading Coach and Assistant Principal will identify students who require additional support in reading to make appropriate learning gains. These students will receive intensive reading instruction through the BRIGHT program. | 3.2 Reading Specialist | 3.2 Quarterly progress monitoring within the BRIGHT program. | 3.2 School based progress monitoring Data. |
| 3 | Lack of differentiation in instruction and authentic assessments. | Teachers will be given a follow-up to Unwrapping the Benchmarks, analyzing data and using data to drive instruction. | Principal Assistant Principal Reading Coach | Classroom observations, review of lesson plans, data chats. | iObservation, lesson plan review,and progress monitoring. |
| 4 | Lack of small group instruction. | Team Leaders will provide feedback and assistance through weekly team meetings. | | Classroom observations, teacher feedback. | Review of monthly progress monitoring data, conferences with teachers. |
| | Students have difficulty with main idea. | Teachers will implement Marzano's High Yield | Classroom teachers | Variety of reading assessments such as | BAT 2, FCAT |

| - | Strategies such as | Treasures activities. | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 5 | classification, note | | |
| | taking, and non linguistic | | |
| | representation. | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in Students in grades 3, 4 and 5 will make learning gains of 2% reading. in reading on the FAA. Reading Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 44% (4) students in grades 3, 4, and 5 made learning gains in By June 2013, 46% of students in grades 3, 4 and 5 will make reading on the FAA. learning gains in reading on the FAA. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy ESE Teacher Struggling with grade Small group and specific Teacher Observation Diagnostic Tests level materials. ESE Specialist Classroom Performance Tasks.

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Thaking four mig gams in rodaling. | Students in the lowest 25% in grades 3, 4, and 5 will make 2% learning gains in reading based on the FCAT Reading Assessment. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 71% (40) of students in the lowest 25 % in grades 3, 4, and 5 made learning gains in reading. | By June 2013, 73% of students in the lowest 25% in grades 3, 4, and 5 will make learning gains in reading based on the FCAT Reading Assessment. | | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | 4.1. Lack of Language Development/ Vocabulary | 4.1.Provide students with additional time to read to increase vocabulary, use word walls, partner/share, and specific centers to review weekly vocabulary. | 4.1.Reading Coach | 4.1.Computer generated reports, weekly vocabulary quiz, various homework assignments. | 4.1.STAR Reading Tests and weekly reading assessment in Treasures reading series. |
| 2 | Insufficient use of complex text and informational text. | Teachers will raise the bar for instruction by having all students read at or above grade level. | Classroom Teachers | Review of grade level reading plans, and classroom observations. | Data chats, analysis of progress monitoring, lesson plan review. |
| 3 | Insufficient use of Reading Intervention programs and tutoring in extended school hours. | Teachers will work with the Reading coach to provide enhancements and incentives to | Reading Coach Classroom Teachers | Review of Learning logs, assessments, and lesson plans. | BAT 2, progress monitoring, data chats. |

| | | motivate students. | | | |
|---|---|---------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 4 | deficiencies in phonics, phonemic awareness and | activities from the | | assessments such as Yopp Singer. | Data chats, progress monitoring and teacher observations. |
| 5 | keep pace or require | | Tutors | | Progress monitoring, BAT 2, FCAT. |

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. | | | Reading Goal # In 2016-2017 the achievement gap will decrease from 33% of students not meeting proficiency level 3 or higher to 19%. 5A: | | | | |
| Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013 | | | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | |
| | 33% | 27% | 25% | 22% | 19% | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5B: | Hispanic students in grades 3,4,5 will increase scores by 2% on levels 3,4,5 on the FCAT Reading Assessment. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| 64% (50) Hispanic students made satisfactory progress in reading. | By June 2013, 66% of Hispanic students will make satisfactory progress on the FCAT Reading Assessment. | | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | D D 11 11 | | | | |

Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 5A.1. Lack of parental 5A.1 Provide incentives 5A.1. Parent surveys, 5A.1.Weekly skills 5A.1. support/involvement in such as, take home Reading Coach completion of materials tests, student after school and sent home, attendance resources and child care homework evening trainings. to encourage attendance logs, and records assignments. at trainings which provide of time spent at online learning tips, sites. materials, and online sources for parents that can be done at home to provide practice for children. 5A.2 Provide an Intensive 5A.2 Reading 5A.2 Use of specific 5A.2 Lack of reading 5A.2 Quarterly comprehension strategies Reading Intervention programs that address Specialist progress and vocabulary monitoring within program, BRIGHT, to the deficiencies of the knowledge. address the needs of students. Programs used the BRIGHT 2 Hispanic students who include, Elements of program. were not proficient on Reading, Vocabulary, the FCAT. Phonics for Reading and Quick Reads. Lack of on level reading Teachers will instruct on Principal Review of lesson plans Data chats, and project based level to students Assistant Principal looking for differentiated teacher feedback. learning to inspire and incorporating Common Reading Coach strategies, creation of observations of motivate students. Core strategies and rubrics and authentic instructions, authentic assessments. assessments, classroom evaluation of

| | | | observations. | projects. |
|---|----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 4 | staff will contact parents | Teachers | monitoring and class walk | Progress monitoring data, FCAT. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| | ELL students will demonstrate an increase of 2% in reading proficiency as measured by the FCAT Reading Assessment. |
|------------------------------------|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| in reading | By June 2013, 59% of ELL students will demonstrate an increase in reading proficiency as measured by the FCAT Reading Assessment. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | | | | | |
| 2 | Unfamiliarity with English vocabulary. | Utilize vocabulary cards/games, peer tutors, low level reading materials to increase vocabulary. | Counselor | Demonstration of increased vocabulary acquisition by students in dialogs, and interpreting directions and instruction. | DAR, Vocabulary scores, increased ability to explain and read in English. |
| 3 | Need for extended learning opportunities. | Tutoring with Volunteers in extended day opportunities. Use of software and materials to build vocabulary and reading skills. | ESOL Coordinator/Guidance Counselor | Teacher feedback, review of student work. | Analysis of data collection from all sources available. |
| 4 | Parents cannot assist students with English language acquisition. | Using an Interpreter meet with parents to provide resources and locations for them to acquire English language skills. | ESOL Coordinator/Guidance Counselor Social Worker Interpreters | Parent feedback. | Parent conferences, ESOL meetings. |
| 5 | Insufficient implementation of differentiated instruction and learning activities. | Ensure that all new teachers are ESOL certified and there is systematic communication between support staff and ESOL providers and coaches. | ESOL Coordinator/Guidance counselor Teachers | Classroom observations focused on implementation of vocabulary building and differentiated strategies. | Feedback from teacher, classroom observations. parent conference feedback. |
| 6 | | | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making

satisfactory progress in reading.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

SWD students in grades 3, 4, and 5 will increase scores by 2% at Levels 3, 4 or 5 on the FCAT Reading Assessment.

Reading Goal #5D:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

54% (28) of SWD students in grades 3, 4, and 5 scored a Level 3, 4, or 5 on the FCAT Assessment.

56% of SWD students in grades 3, 4, and 5 will score a Level 3, 4 or 5 on the FCAT Reading Assessment.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | 5C.1. Lack of parental support/involvement in after school trainings. | 5C.1.Provide learning tips, materials, and online sources for parents that can be done at home to provide practice for children. | 5C.1.Assistant Principal, VE Teacher | 5C.1. Parent surveys, completion of materials sent home, will be reviewed for improvement and analyzed for future needs. | 5C.1. Weekly skills tests, student homework assignments. | |
| 2 | Varied learning styles among students. | Include supplemental materials in addition to core materials. | Team leaders, Assistant Principal | Teacher observation, and completion of school performance tasks. | Scores on homework,chapter tests, and in class performance. | |
| 3 | Lack of communication between general ed teachers and ESE teachers. | Teachers will work with the Reading Coach and Team Leaders to implement intervention strategies, acquire intervention materials, and create a workable time framework for feedback. | Reading Coach Classroom Teachers | Teacher feedback, data chats. | Progress monitoring, BAT 2, FCAT. | |
| 4 | Students must work on grade level and demonstrate learning through alternative and authentic assessments. | Teachers have been trained on differentiation of instruction and are participating in PLC's to improve classroom structure and frameworks. | ESE Specialist ESE Teacher Reading Coach Teachers | Classroom observations, lesson plan review, Team Leader notes, teacher feedback. | BAT 2, progress monitoring, data chats, FCAT. | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.

Economically Disadvantaged students in grades 3, 4, and 5 will increase scores at Levels 4 or 5 on the FCAT Reading Assessment by 2%

2012 Current Level of Performance:

Reading Goal #5E:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

44% (71) of Economically Disadvantaged students in grades 3, 4, and 5 scored a Level 3, 4 or 5 on the FCAT Reading Assessment.

46% of Economically Disadvantaged students in grades 3, 4, and 5 will score a Level 3, 4 or 5 on the FCAT Reading Assessment.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | | tips, materials, and online | Principal | completion of materials | 5D.1. Weekly skills tests, student homework assignments. |
| 2 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Provide additional take home materials for students to practice reading skills. | Classroom teachers | Ongoing progress monitoring. | BAT 2 and FCAT |
| Г | Students require focused | Students will participate | Classroom teachers | Analysis of growth in | Teacher |

| | instruction on specific | in tutoring programs in | Reading Coach | student achievement in | observation of | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------------|--|
| | skills. | addition to small group | | tutoring assignments. | increased | |
| | | work in class with | | | achievement, | |
| 3 | | teachers. | | | teacher-tutor | |
| | | | | | conferences, | |
| | | | | | FCAT, BAT 2 and | |
| | | | | | progress | |
| | | | | | monitoring. | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Text Complexity | K - 5 | Heather Most | School - wide | August 2012 - June 2013 | PLCs to discuss implementation | Heather Most |
| New Social Studies Series and standards | K - 5 | In house trainers | School - wide | August 2012 | Lesson Plans, Classroom observations, performance assessments. | Team Leaders |
| Marzano High Probability Strategies | K - 5 | Administrators | Grades K - 5 | October 2012 | Observations | Patricia Patterson and David Suarez |
| PLC Lesson Study | k - 5 | Teachers and Team Leaders | School - wide | Early Release Days, Planning Days | Observations | David Suarez |
| FAIR PLC | K | Heather Most | Kindergarten | September 2012, December 2012, April 2013 | September 2012, December 2012, April 2013 | Team Leader |
| Reading, English Language Arts Common Core Standards | K - 5 | Heather Most | Grades K - 5 | Weekly, Monthly | Lesson Plans, Observations | David Suarez |
| Unwrapping the Benchmarks | K - 5 | District Trainings | School - wide | August 2012 - June 2013 | Share with team at their grade level meetings | District Trainers |

Reading Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mater | ial(s) | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Accelerated Reader/miscellaneous materials | | General Fund - \$2500.00 | \$2,500.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,500.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Accelerated Reader and Enterprise | Site Licenses | General Fund | \$4,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$4,000.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |

Common Core Standards grades K, 1, 2. and Teach Like A Champion, Daily Five, and Cafe books.

Training with a consultant from Core Connections.

PTA and Teacher Training

\$2,050.00

Subtotal: \$2,050.00

Other

Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Available Amount

No Data No Data \$0.00

Subtotal: \$0.00

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. The number of students proficient in listening and CELLA Goal #1: speaking will increase by 3%. 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking: 27% (18) of students taking the CELLA test were proficient in listening and speaking. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible for **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Limited student Teachers will utilize Reading Coach Classroom Progress vocabulary. ESOL strategies to Team Leaders observations, visits monitoring, work Classroom Teachers samples, build vocabulary and with children, parent develop a foundation ELL paraprofessionals teacher feedback. observations. for reading through peer tutors, word walls, dialogues with peers, and varied intervention strategies and materials. Another language other Encourage parents to **ESOL** Parent feedback Teacher than English is primarily attend English classes Coordinator/Guidance feedback, spoken in the home. and seek outside Counselor progress 2 resources. Social Worker monitoring, parent ELL conferences

| Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | |
|---|--------|--|--|--|
| Students scoring proficient in reading. To increase the number of students proficient in reading. To increase the number of students proficient in reading. | | | | |
| CELLA Goal #2: | by 2%. | | | |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading: | | | | |
| 24% (6) of ELL students are proficient in reading. | | | | |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | ELL students experience vocabulary challenges that inhibit their reading skills. | Word walls, Spanish dictionaries, labeling of items in the classroom with English and Spanish subtitles, assistance by peer tutors. | ESOL Contact, Principal | Evaluation of ongoing dialogues, parent input, and increased use of English by students. | IPT Spring Test, 2012 CELLA results | |
| 2 | Extended time, differentiated instruction, and reduction in the amount of printed material given to students at one time. | Lesson planning, Computer programs, use of materials to improve listening skills, Fundations, and Phonics for Reading. | Classroom teachers, tutors. | Teacher monitoring, data chats, word drills. | IPT Spring Test, 2012 CELLA results. | |

| Stude | Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| | 3. Students scoring proficient in writing. CELLA Goal #3: | | | The percentage of students proficient in writing will increase by 2%. | | |
| 2012 | 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing: | | | | | |
| 60% | 60% (6) of students scored proficient in writing. | | | | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students are not using translation dictionaries to optimal capacity. | Teachers will demonstrate correct usage of dictionaries and will assign work that requires the dictionary. | Classroom Teacher ESOL Contact | Lesson plans, student conferences. | Writing prompts, CELLA 2012, FCAT, CELLA 2013 | |
| 2 | Lack of vocabulary and prior academic knowledge. | Teachers will incorporate ESOL strategies with fidelity.` | Classroom Teacher ESOL Contact | Lesson plans to document differentiated strategies. | Writing prompts, 2012 CELLA, FCAT writing, 2013 CELLA | |

CELLA Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | | |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 | | | |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 | | | |
| Technology | | | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | | |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 | | | |

| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Professional Developn | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Students in grades 3, 4, and 5 will increase scores at level 3 by 3% on the Math FCAT Assessment. Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 30% (91) of students in grades 3, 4, and 5 scored Level 3 on 33% of students in grades 3, 4, and 5 will score level 3 on the Math FCAT Assessment. the Math FCAT Assessment. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Parents are unable to Selected teachers Parent sign in sheets for Parent surveys ad Offer an evening of parent feedback in assist students with Parent University from each grade attendance. math at home. focusing on how math is level as conferences. taught, what is covered presenters. in grade levels and how to monitor homework. Increase the time Students have difficulty Monthly data from Team Leaders Team meetings to reading lengthy students spend reading Classroom teachers monitor student progress informational text informational text in achievement. monitoring. particularly in science. science in classrooms and assigned reading for homework. Students are unable to Provide daily practice Classroom Weekly Chapter Tests, Assessment of identify the correct Teachers utilizing correct Classroom Assessments, student learning problem solving strategy. vocabulary and analyze Principal classroom assignments gains through data problem solving Assistant Principal and analysis of students analysis and strategies through varied 3 ability to solve problems. assessments will activities/centers. be discussed at grade level data chats every 20 days. Students must be Small group work with Progress Classroom teachers Analysis of teacher made instructed in a variety of teacher, centers, use of tests and materials. monitoring. problem solving online resources, and use of Mountain Math. strategies. Students are unable to Provide individual plans Classroom teachers Analysis of completed Teacher handle many concepts at for struggling students. student work. observation, BAT one time. 2, teacher made assessments.

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | By June 2013, 17% of students in grades 3, 4 and 5 will score at Level 4, 5, and 6 in math on the FAA. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| | 17% of students in grades 3, 4 and 5 will score at Level 4, 5, and 6 in math on the FAA. | | | |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Students require differentiated learning. | Use manipulatives, visuals, and technology to teach math concepts. | Classroom Teacher ESE Specialist | based programs, analysis | Diagnostic assessments, ongoing progress monitoring | | |

| 1 | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2a: | | | 3% of students | in grades 3, 4, and 5 will Math FCAT Assessment. | score at or above | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | Level of Performance: | | | | |
| | (129) students in grades 3 he Math FCAT Assessmen | , 4, and 5 scored Level 4 at. | | n grades 3, 4, and 5 will so AT Assessment. | core Level 4 and 5 | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | o Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 1 | Students do not spend enough time on differentiated instruction at a higher level. | Provide additional instruction in small groups. | Classroom teachers | Team meetings to analyze results of teacher made tests and work done in class. | Weekly math tests, class activities, and assigned homework. | | | |
| 2 | Students not being challenged lose interest and fail to fully apply themselves. | Differentiate Go Math activities, use advanced materials, identify real world problem solving, and utilize centers to accelerate. | | analysis of lesson plans, teacher observations, student - teacher conferences. | Observation of increased motivation and interest, walk throughs, BAT 2, FCAT. | | | |

| 1 | on the analysis of studen | | reference to "Guidin | g Questions", identify and | define areas in need | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b: | | | | n increase of 2% in the nu nd 5 scoreing Level 7 in Ma | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforr | nance: | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | (9) of students in grades 3 ath FAA. | , 4, and 5 scored Level 7 | | 71% of students in grades n Math on the FAA. | 3, 4, and 5 will | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Variety of learning styles | Introduce vocabulary with visuals | ESE Teachers ESE Specialist | Teacher observation during classroom | Use of classroom assessments | |

| | d on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and o | define areas in nee |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| gain | FCAT 2.0: Percentage of s s in mathematics. | tudents making learning | Students in grad | des 3, 4, and 5 making lea essment will increase by 3° | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | Level of Performance: | |
| | (156) of students in grades in mathematics. | s 3, 4, and 5 made learning | 77% students ir on the Math FC. | n grades 3, 4, and 5 will m AT Assessment. | ake learning gains |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | o Increase Studer | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Too |
| 1 | Insufficient amount of time utilizing computers for reinforcement activities. | Increase time students use technology to address student learning styles, and provide specific practice of skills | Classroom Teachers | Participation in Destination Math and Think Central. | Computer generated score reports are discussed at the weekly team meetings to analyze results and modify programs. |
| 2 | Students are deficient in skills not previously covered or mastered in math standards, i.e. number operations. | Small group instruction to allow for practice of skills not previously mastered. | | Review results and analyze student work for patterns of weakness to modify instruction. | Homework assignments, chapter tests, Mini-Bat scores. |
| 3 | Students have difficulty solving word problems, identifying strategies and understanding math processes. | Teachers will utilize online resources, Mountain Math, and centers to focus on deficient skills. | Classroom teachers | Teacher analysis of assignments in class, homework, and center work. | Progress monitoring, BAT 2 teacher made tests, FCAT. |
| | d on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and o | define areas in nee |
| 3b. F Perc math | Florida Alternate Assessn entage of students makir nematics. nematics Goal #3b: | nent: | Students in grad math by 2% on | des 3, 4, and 5 will increas the FAA. | e learning gains in |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | Level of Performance: | |
| | (6)of students in grades 3, ath on the FAA. | 4, and 5 made learning ga | ains By June 2013, 6 gains in math o | | will make learning |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of | Evaluation Too |

Monitoring

Student ability levels may Provide opportunities for be 1 or more years below continuous repetition and grade level practice.

Strategy

Review data from required tests.

Diagnostic Test.

performance

| | on the analysis of student provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and o | define areas in need | |
|------|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| maki | Thaking loarning gams in mathematics. | | | Students in the lowest 25th percentile will make learning gains of 2% in mathematics based on the FCAT Math Assessment. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | Level of Performance: | | |
| | (33) in the lowest 25% ma Assessment. | de learning gains on the F | | s in the lowest 25th percent mathematics on the FCA | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students are weak in Math terminology/Vocabulary Development, and prerequisite skills. | Provide additional support in tutoring programs and daily math review of specific terminology related to skills utilizing kits such as Mountain Math. | Team Leaders | BAT Scores, classroom assessments analyzed for specific patterns of weakness to provide remediation where necessary. | Student completion of workbooks, homework assignments, and specific tests related to math vocabulary. | |
| 2 | Inconsistent use of online reinforcement activities and/or tutoring opportunities. | Provide student incentives such as homework passes for attendance. | Classroom teachers | Online printouts, attendance logs in tutoring. | Analysis of data collected in progress monitoring. | |
| 3 | The pace of instruction may not fit the learners. | Differentiate the curriculum and focus on essential concepts to be mastered. | Classroom teachers | Amount of work completed correctly will be analyzed. | Improvement in student attitudes toward math and increased mastery of math concepts will be determined through student conferences and assessment activities. | |

| Based on Amb | Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. | | | | Mathematics Goal # the achievement g meeting proficien | | | | | |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 2011-2012 2012-2013 | | | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | | | |
| | | 27% | 22% | 17% | 15% | | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #5B:

There will be a 3% increase in number of Black students who will achieve proficiency in mathematics based on the FCAT Math Assessment.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

| 67% | (66) | Black | students | made | adequate | yearly | progress | on |
|------|------|--------|----------|------|----------|--------|----------|----|
| FCAT | Math | n Asse | essment. | | | | | |

70% of Black students will make adequate yearly progress in mathematics.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | Lack of assistance from parents. | Small group tutoring programs before and after school. | | student classwork and homework assignments | Weekly Chapter Tests, class assessments, and performance tasks. |
| 2 | Parents do not know how to assist students at home. | There will be a Parent University Night to teach parents how to assist students with math. | Ü | Parent attendance logs and feedback from parents at conferences. | Parent surveys |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C: | There will be an increase of 2% of ELL students achieving proficiency in Mathematics based on the FCAT Math Assessment. |
|---|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 66% (12) ELL students made adequate yearly progress. | 68% of ELL students will make satisfactory progress in mathematics based on the FCAT Math Assessment. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | | Provide information on places that help parents learn to increase English language skills and send flyers on parent activities in the district. | ESOL Contact | | Parent feedback, number of completed homework activities, and the quality. Weekly tests, online assessments to show increased understanding of concepts. |
| 2 | Lack of differentiated learning activities. | Teams will meet weekly to discuss student activities, pacing, and curriculum supplies. | Classroom teachers | Classroom teachers' discussions of effectiveness of strategies. | Teacher observations and teacher made assessments. |
| 3 | Not enough use of manipulatives and online reinforcement activities. | Students will utilize online resources,such as FCAT Explorer, and Mountain Math. | Classroom teachers | Teacher observation of students increased interest in math and improvement in student achievement. | Teacher observation and progress monitoring. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.

The number of students with Disabilities making satisfactory progress in mathematics will increase by 2%.

Mathematics Goal #5D:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------|--|--|---|--|
| 58% progr | (22) of students with disabless. | oilities made adequate year | | By June 2013, 60% of Students with Disabilities will make adequate yearly progress in mathematics. | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Inc | rease Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Res | Person or Position ponsible for Ionitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Lack of knowledge of number operations. | Provide small group instruction in specific number operation skills and daily practice activities in centers. | ESE c teach | classroom ners | Review of data collected for patterns to determine need for remediation of specific skills in number operations. | Weekly tests, online assessments, homework assignments, teacher made tests. | |
| 2 | Lack of problem solving strategies. | Teachers will teach multiple problem solving strategies in a variety of group situations. | teach | classroom ners | Teacher analysis of student work. | Teacher observations, chapter tests, progress monitoring. | |
| 3 | Lack of individualized attention for students not making progress. | Students will receive instruction from teachers, tutors, and peers. | ESE d teach | classroom ners | Student reports of increased motivation, willingness to try new math activities and teacher observation. | Increase in skills as measured by chapter tests and classroom assignments. | |
| Math | factory progress in mathematics Goal #5E: Current Level of Perforn | | У€ | early progress | sadvantaged students will in mathematics by 2%. I Level of Performance: | increase adequate | |
| | | nance: | 20 | 013 Expected | Level of Performance: | | |
| | (103) of Economically Disa adequate yearly progress. | | | | 99% of Economically Disad late yearly progress in mat | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Inc | rease Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Res | Person or Position ponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Lack of access to technology in the home. | Students will be given increased access to technology in class and practice using Go Math and BEEP. | Class | room teacher | Teacher will review daily performance on class activities. | Chapter tests, teacher made assessments. | |
| 2 | Need for differentiated instruction in classrooms. | Teachers will focus on essential math concepts and utilize online resources, Mountain Math, and teacher made activities. | Classroom teachers | | of teacher instruction in classroom. | Progress monitoring and teacher observations. | |
| 3 | Lack of math vocabulary and problem solving strategies. | Teachers will work with students in small groups to discuss strategies and promote increased vocabulary development. | | room teachers | Teacher analysis of work completed by students. | Progress monitoring of math classwork and test grades. | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade | and/or PLC | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Training in BASIS to monitor student achievement. | K - 5 | Assistant Principal Reading Coach | K - 5 Teachers | September 2012 On-going | Classroom Observation | Principal Assistant Principal |
| Common Core Standards | K - 5 | Reading Coach | K - 5 Teachers | On-going | Lesson Plans Faculty discussions | Principal Assistant Principal |

Mathematics Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | m(s)/Material(s) | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developme | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.

Students scoring at achievement level 3 in science will increase by 2%.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

40% (40) of 5th grade students achieved proficiency,

42% of 5th grade students will score a level 3 on the

Classroom

Teachers

Team Leaders

Analysis of student

understanding and

ability to move to

processes utilizing

previous knowledge.

work to check

higher thought

Tests, projects,

feedback.

journals, teacher

Students often do not

see connections

between previous

learning and new

knowledge.

Frequent review is

content, increase

Streaming, and

science motivation

through videos, United

magazines containing

informational text.

needed, bridging of

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b: | | | 2 students will the FAA. | 2 students will score at level 4, 5, or 6 in science of the FAA. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performand | ce: | |
| 1 student scored level 4, 5, or 6 in science. | | | , | By June 2013, 25% of students will score at level 4, 5, or 6 in science of the FAA. | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Limited background knowledge and vocabulary. | Include supplemental materials and small group instruction. | ESE Teacher ESE Specialist | Observation of science logs. | Review assessments and homework. | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a: | The number of fifth grade students scoring at level 4 or 5 on the FCAT Science Assessment will increase by 2%. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| | | | | |

| | | | | By June 2013, 21% of fifth grade students will score at level 4 or 5 on the FCAT Science Assessment. | | |
|--|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|------------------------------------|
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | 1 | Limited use of technology | Increase use of Distance Learning, United Streaming and BEEP Lessons to | | Lesson plans & Experiments | Unit Tests and Science Journals |

support new science

series.

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|---|----------------------------|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b: | | | The number o | The number of students scoring at or above level 7 in science on the FAA will increase by 1%. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | ormance: | 2013 Expecto | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 69% | (9) students scored at (| or above level 7 in scien | | By June 2013, 70% of the students will score at or above level 7 in science on the FAA. | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stud | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Variety of learning styles | Use real objects for tactile explorations during science activities. | ESE Teacher ESE Specialist | Observation of student participation in completion of science journals. | Science logs and journals. | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| PLC - Science projects building on informational text. | All Teachers K-5 | Selected teachers as presenters. | School - wide | April through May of 2013 | Faculty | Principal Assistant Principal |

Science Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

Students do not have

the skills to write

Primary teachers have

received training and

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the | | nd reference to "Gu | uiding Questions", identify | y and define areas | |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a: | | | Students scori | Students scoring at achievement level 3 and above will increase by 3%. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expecte | d Level of Performance |) : | |
| | (90) of 4th grade studen ting based on the FCAT \ | ts scored level 3 or highe Writing Assessment. | | 87% of 4th grade students will score Level 3 or higher in writing based on the FCAT Writing Assessment. | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Children lack the skills to write using informational text. | Model writing during small group instruction, practice with weekly writing prompts, related to grade level themes found in informational text. | Team Leader | Grade level meetings to discuss writing samples, gather data and analyze student needs. Teams collaborate to analyze various student writing samples. | | |
| 2 | There is a lack of fidelity across all grade levels in reinforcing writing frameworks and vocabulary usage. | Teachers will be instructed in curriculum integration using Common Core strategies. | Reading coach | Data chats, observations, samples, teacher collaboration. | Writing prompts FCAT writing In-school writing activities. | |
| 3 | Lack of skill with grammar and conventions such as quotations for dialog. | Writing skills must be taught daily, writing feedback must be given to students. | Classroom Teachers | Team planning, team meetings to discuss students lacking proficiency. | Classroom work, formal language arts assessments, writing prompts. | |

Principal

PLC's, team meetings,

Assistant Principal in-house training

Sample of

student work,

| 4 | utilizing the new Common Core strategies. | intermediate teachers will receive in-school training. | Reading Coach | activities. | portfolios demonstrating students proficiency. |
|---|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 5 | Writing is seen as a discrete entity and must be incorporated across the curriculum. | implementing a | Principal Assistant Principal Reading Coach | Academic samples, tests, projects. | Teacher feedback, curriculum samples, test data, curriculum portfolios. |

| 1 | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|--|---|-----------------|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b: | | | By June 2013, | By June 2013, 100% (6) of students will score at level 4 or higher in writing on the FAA. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expecte | d Level of Performance | : : | |
| 83% (5) of students scored at 4 or higher in writing on the FAA. | | | | By June 2013, 100% (6) of students will score at level 4 or higher in writing on the FAA. | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process to | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Limited ability to remain focused | | ESE Teachers Classroom Teachers | Analyze writing samples on a weekly basis. | Writing samples | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| PLC - Argumentative Writing | K - 5 | Heather Most | K - 5 Teachers | Early Release Days | Student samples will be analyzed through a collaborative process for the appropriate skills. | David Suarez |
| PLC - Writing in response to texts | K - 5 | Heather Most | K - 5 Teachers | Early Release Days | Student samples will be analyzed through a collaborative process for the appropriate skills. | David Suarez |

Writing Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 | | |
| | • | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 | | |

| t and the second | | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Writing Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of atte provement: | ndance data, and referer | nce to | "Guiding Que | estions", identify and de | fine areas in need | |
|------|--|---|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | tendance ndance Goal #1: | | | By June 2013, the percentage of students with excessive absences and tardies will be reduced by 2%. | | | |
| 2012 | ! Current Attendance R | ate: | 20 | 013 Expecte | d Attendance Rate: | | |
| 95.4 | | | 97 | 7.4 | | | |
| 1 | Current Number of Stances (10 or more) | udents with Excessive | | 013 Expecte osences (10 | d Number of Students or more) | with Excessive | |
| 34 | | | | 28 | | | |
| | Current Number of Stiles (10 or more) | udents with Excessive | | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) | | | |
| 95 | | | 90 | 90 | | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | to Inc | rease Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Resp | erson or Position ponsible for onitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Lack of parental support/awareness of hardships for students who miss school. | Provide student incentives, involve the school social worker, and telephone calls to parents. | Assistant Principa | | Student daily attendance records. | Pinnacle attendance reports by quarter. | |
| 2 | Need for increased student motivation to attend school. | Present awards to students at the end of each quarter who have 95-100% on time arrivals or who have | Classroom teachers | | Pinnacle attendance. | Improvement in on time arrivals. | |

| | improved on time | | |
|--|------------------|--|--|
| | arrivals. | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|-------------------|--|
| Analyze BASIS | K - 5 | David Suarez | | August 2012 Monthly | Monthly Printouts | David Suarez |
| Data | K - 5 | David Suarez | K - 5 Teachers | Quarterly | Parent contacts | David Suarez |

Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | ım(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Suspension | By June of 2013, the student suspension rate will | | | | |
| Suspension Goal #1: | decrease by 10% based on the discipline management system. | | | | |
| 2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| 9 | | | 8 | 8 | | | |
|--------------|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2012 | Total Number of Stude | ents Suspended In-Scho | 2013 Expecte School | d Number of Students | Suspended In- | | |
| 4 | | | 3 | | | | |
| 2012 | Number of Out-of-Sch | ool Suspensions | 2013 Expecte Suspensions | d Number of Out-of-Sc | hool | | |
| 2 | | | 1 | | | | |
| 2012 Scho | | ents Suspended Out-of- | 2013 Expecte of-School | d Number of Students | Suspended Out- | | |
| 1 | | | 0 | | | | |
| | Prol | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Inconsistent implementation of behavior strategies. | Faculty meeting to review the use of grade level strategies and incentives for appropriate behavior. | Principal Assistant Principal Classroom teacher | Improved student behavior/decrease in referrals. | Analysis of Domain 1 using the Marzano Tool. | | |
| 2 | Inconsistent implementation of school-wide discipline plan. | Faculty meetings to review the school-wide behavior plan of expected student behavior throughout the school especially in cafeteria and during transitions to other classes. | Principal Assistant Principal | Improved student behavior/decrease in referrals. | Analysis of Domain 1 using the Marzano Tool. | | |
| 3 | Students do not think of consequences for their actions. | August discipline assemblies in school/district rules August assembly for positive behavior on buses G.R.A.D.E Program for fifth graders Get Real About violence Social worker/guidance counselor anger management activities Classroom Anti-Bullying Program Peace Program. | | Decrease in teacher referrals, improved behavior in transitioning to different activities within the school, behavior in special classes. | feedback. | | |
| 4 | Student lack of understanding of what constitutes bullying. | Students will participate in the district developed bullying program. | Guidance Counselor. | Walk throughs, decrease in teacher referrals, student - teacher conferences. | Analysis of suspension data. | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Positive behavior support training and Review of Marzano Domains 2 - 4. | IK - h | | All teachers K - 5 | October 2012 On-going Faculty Meetings/Grade Level Meetings. | Classroom Observations | Principal Assistant Principal |

Suspension Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developn | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. Parent Involvement More parent trainings will be offered, PTA has a new Parent Involvement Goal #1: school board that is focused on increasing parent participation. Marketing through the school website and *Please refer to the percentage of parents who PTA website will be enhanced, and the grade levels will offer more opportunities to visit the school to view participated in school activities, duplicated or projects. unduplicated. 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: 22% (117) of parents attended evening events, school By June 2013, parent involvement will increase by 10% activities, and training options. based on parent event sign-in sheets. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| 1 | Lack of parent participation in school events. | student evening | - I | Head count or attendance sheets. | Demonstration of increase in participation and parent feedback through surveys. |
| 2 | Increase ways in which parents are notified of meetings and opportunities to visit the school. | Utilize some of the newer marketing strategies. | - I | Head count or attendance sheets. | Demonstration of increased attendance and parent feedback through surveys. |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| a | | | Available |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. STEM Technology will be used to integrate science, math, STEM Goal #1: reading and writing. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Place a computer cart Student production of **GLIDES** Lack of computers for Principal student use. on each grade level, Assistant Principal GLIDES projects and presentations one cart in the media use of computer based center, one cart in the programs to increase science lab, and a reading and math skills. minimum of 2 computers in each room

Principal

Classroom

Teachers

Program

Specialists

Speech/Language Therapist Student projects will be Teachers will use

parts of the

science projects.

Work production,

conferences, and

teacher/parent

feedback from

ESE therapists.

Assistant Principal displayed and judged on rubrics to grades

process, research, and

presented to parents at a Parent University

Students will be more

able to participate in

academic programs as

determined by teachers

use of the scientific

originality. These

projects will be

Night.

OT/PT Therapists and parents.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

Students in grades K-5

will study the scientific

process and develop a

science fair project.

Students in the InD

Cluster program will

Technology devices as

utilize Assistive

appropriate.

Students lack a broad

background in science.

Many students in the

may have handicapping

InD Cluster Program

conditions impacting

speech, vision, or

mobility.

2

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | | |

STEM Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | , | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Pro | ogram(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Accelerated Reader/miscellaneous materials | | General Fund - \$2500.00 | \$2,500.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$2,500.00 |
| Technology | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Accelerated Reader and Enterprise | Site Licenses | General Fund | \$4,000.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$4,000.00 |
| Professional Develo | opment | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Common Core Standards grades K, 1, 2. and Teach Like A Champion, Daily Five, and Cafe books. | Training with a consultant from Core Connections. | PTA and Teacher Training | \$2,050.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$2,050.00 |
| Other | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | | Grand Total: \$8,550.00 |

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

| jn Priority | jn Focus | jn Prevent | jn NA | |
|-------------|----------|------------|-------|--|
|-------------|----------|------------|-------|--|

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 9/6/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Describe projected use of SAC funds | Amount |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| No data submitted | |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

This year SAC plans to focus on student achievement as it relates to the Common Core Standards. The SAC also monitors curricular activities that will increase the use of technology in all content areas. SAC will encourage increased parental involvement and trainings related to the Common Core Standards in math and reading, with particular focus on an increase in reading informational text

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Broward School District NOB HILL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2010-2011 | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 82% | 86% | 89% | 65% | | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 69% | 69% | | | 138 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 57% (YES) | 70% (YES) | | | | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 587 | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | А | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |

| Broward School District NOB HILL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2009-2010 | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 80% | 85% | 92% | 58% | 315 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 66% | 70% | | | 136 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 56% (YES) | 63% (YES) | | | 119 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 570 | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | А | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |