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## PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

## STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

| School Grades Trend Data |
| :--- |
| Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/ Statewide Assessment Trend Data |
| High School Feedback Report |
| K- 12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan |

## ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25\%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | \# of Years at Current School | \# of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25\% ), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2011-2012 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 3.1\% <br> Math Mastery: Middle School 2\%, <br> Geometry 27\% <br> Science Mastery: Middle School 4\%, <br> Biology- Not met <br> Writing Mastery: 8th graders $67 \%$, 10th <br> graders 39\% <br> AYP not met <br> 2010-2011 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 8\% <br> Math Mastery: 24\% <br> Science Mastery: 8\% <br> Writing Mastery: 67\% <br> AYP not met <br> 2010 (Stranahan High School) <br> Grade: B <br> 2009 |


| Principal | David Watkins | Professional Certificate Educational Leadership K-12 and Social Sciences 5-9 Ed.S. Educational Leadership M.A. Social Studies Education | 2 | ```Grade: C Reading Mastery: 43\% Math Mastery: 74\% Science Mastery: 37\% Writing Mastery: 90\% AYP: No Subgroups made AYP in Reading. No Subgroups made AYP in Math 2008-2009 Grade: N/A Reading Mastery: 10\% Math Mastery: 25\% Science Mastery: 0 \% Writing Mastery: 68\% AYP: No subgroups met AYP for Reading and Math. 2007-2008 Grade: N/A Reading Mastery: 4\% Math Mastery: 6\% Science Mastery: 3\% Writing Mastery: 60\% AYP: There were no subgroups that made AYP in Reading and Math. 2011 Grade: N/A 2010 (Stranahan High School) Grade: B 2009 Grade: C Reading Mastery: 43\% Math Mastery: 74\% Science Mastery: 37\% Writing Mastery: 90\% AYP: No Subgroups made AYP in Reading. No Subgroups made AYP in Math 2008-2009 Grade: N/A Reading Mastery: 10\% Math Mastery: 25\% Science Mastery: 0 \% Writing Mastery: 68\% AYP: No subgroups met AYP for Reading and Math. 2007-2008 Grade: N/A Reading Mastery: 4\% Math Mastery: 6\% Science Mastery: 3\% Writing Mastery: 60\% AYP: There were no subgroups that made AYP in Reading and Math.``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2011-2012 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 3.1\% <br> Math Mastery: Middle School 2\%, <br> Geometry 27\% <br> Science Mastery: Middle School 4\%, <br> Biology- Not met <br> Writing Mastery: 8th graders 67\%, 10th graders 39\% <br> AYP not met <br> 2010-2011 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 8\% <br> Math Mastery: 24\% <br> Science Mastery: 8\% <br> Writing Mastery: 67\% <br> AYP not met <br> 2010 (Stranahan High School) <br> Grade: B <br> 2009 <br> Grade: C <br> Reading Mastery: 43\% <br> Math Mastery: 74\% <br> Science Mastery: 37\% <br> Writing Mastery: 90\% <br> AYP: No Subgroups made AYP in Reading. <br> No Subgroups made AYP in Math <br> 2008-2009 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 10\% |


| Assis Principal | Mark Howard | Professional Certificate in Administration and Supervision K-12; <br> Certification in Science 5-9 Bachelors of Science in Agricultural Business; Masters in Ed. Leadership | 5 | 5 | \|Math Mastery: 25\% <br> Science Mastery: 0 \% <br> Writing Mastery: 68\% <br> AYP: No subgroups met AYP for Reading and Math. <br> 2007-2008 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 4\% <br> Math Mastery: 6\% <br> Science Mastery: 3\% <br> Writing Mastery: 60\% <br> AYP: There were no subgroups that made <br> AYP in Reading and Math. <br> 2010-2011 <br> Grade: N/A <br> 2009-2010 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 13\% <br> Math Mastery: 12\% <br> Science Mastery: 0 \% <br> Writing Mastery: 78\% <br> AYP: No subgroups met AYP for Reading and Math. <br> 2008-2009 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 10\% <br> Math Mastery: 25\% <br> Science Mastery: 0 \% <br> Writing Mastery: 68\% <br> AYP: No subgroups met made AYP for <br> Reading and Math. <br> 2007-2008 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 4\% <br> Math Mastery: 6\% <br> Science Mastery: 3\% <br> Writing Mastery: 60\% <br> AYP: There were no subgroups that made <br> AYP in Reading and Math. <br> 2008-2009 FCAT Middle School Academy <br> Program <br> - Reading: 19\% met proficiency <br> - Math: 29\% met proficiency <br> - Math: 73\% <br> Writing: 74\% met proficiency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assis Principal | Elizabeth Tatum | Ed. Leadership K- <br> 12 MS <br> English 6-12 <br> ESOL Endorsed | 3 | 12 | 2011-2012 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 3.1\% <br> Math Mastery: Middle School 2\%, <br> Geometry 27\% <br> Science Mastery: Middle School 4\%, <br> Biology- Not met <br> Writing Mastery: 8th graders 67\%, 10th graders 39\% <br> AYP not met <br> 2010-2011 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 8\% <br> Math Mastery: 24\% <br> Science Mastery: 8\% <br> Writing Mastery: 67\% <br> AYP not met <br> 2010 (Stranahan High School) <br> Grade: B <br> 2009 <br> Grade: C <br> Reading Mastery: 43\% <br> Math Mastery: 74\% <br> Science Mastery: 37\% <br> Writing Mastery: 90\% <br> AYP: No Subgroups made AYP in Reading. <br> No Subgroups made AYP in Math <br> 2008-2009 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 10\% <br> Math Mastery: 25\% <br> Science Mastery: 0 \% <br> Writing Mastery: 68\% <br> AYP: No subgroups met AYP for Reading and Math. <br> 2007-2008 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 4\% <br> Math Mastery: 6\% |


|  |  |  |  |  | Science Mastery: 3\% <br> Writing Mastery: 60\% <br> AYP: There were no subgroups that made <br> AYP in Reading and Math. <br> 2010-2011 <br> Grade: N/A <br> 2009-2010 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 13\% <br> Math Mastery: 12\% <br> Science Mastery: 0 \% <br> Writing Mastery: 78\% <br> AYP: No subgroups met AYP for Reading and Math. <br> 2006-2009 Northeast High School <br> School grade: C <br> AYP: No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assis Principal | Sharon Grant | MA Educational Leadership English 612 Guidance K-12 ESOL Endorsed Cert. School Principal | 2 | 12 | 2011-2012 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 3.1\% <br> Math Mastery: Middle School 2\%, Geometry 27\% <br> Science Mastery: Middle School 4\%, Biology- Not met <br> Writing Mastery: 8th graders 67\%, 10th graders 39\% <br> AYP not met <br> 2010-2011 <br> Grade: N/A <br> 2009-2010 <br> Grade: C (Piper) <br> 08/09 performance- Piper HS grade was "C" with a score of 454. AYP status was NO- $72 \%$ of criteria met |
| Assis Principal | Carlos Rodriguez | B. S History M.S Social Studies M.S Ed Leadership Spec Ed Leadership Cert School Principal | 2 | 12 | ```2011-2012 Grade: N/A Reading Mastery: 3.1\% Math Mastery: Middle School 2\%, Geometry 27\% Science Mastery: Middle School 4\%, Biology- Not met Writing Mastery: 8th graders 67\%, 10th graders 39\% AYP not met 2010-2011 Grade: N/A Cypress Bay-09-10 Grade pending/649 points Reading proficiency 78\% Learning Gains Reading 73\% Math proficiency 95\% Learning Gains Math 85\% Science proficiency 57\% AYP was not met Western High Grade C 08-09 Reading proficiency 50\% Math proficiency 82\% Science proficiency 44\% AYP was not met``` |

## INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest $25 \%$ ), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

|  |  |  |  | Prior Performance Record (include |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#rior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide |  |  |  |  |


| Subject Area | Name | ```Degree(s)/ Certification(s)``` | Years at Current School | an I nstructional Coach | Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25\% ), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | Olga Wilson | Masters in Reading/Reading Certification K-12 | 4 | 4 | 2011-2012 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 3.1\% <br> Math Mastery: Middle School 2\%, <br> Geometry 27\% <br> Science Mastery: Middle School 4\%, <br> Biology- Not met <br> Writing Mastery: 8th graders 67\%, 10th graders 39\% <br> AYP not met <br> 2009-2010 <br> Reading Mastery: 13\% <br> AYP: No subgroups met AYP for Reading. <br> 2008-2009 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 15\% <br> AYP: No subgroups met AYP for Reading. <br> 2007-2008 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 4\% <br> AYP: There were no subgroups that made AYP in Reading. <br> P, P 22\% Learning gain 2008 <br> 16\% Learning gain 2007 |
| Math | Renee Hudson | Masters in Curriculum and Instruction / Certification Math 5-9 and Math 612 | 6 | 3 | 2011-2012 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 3.1\% <br> Math Mastery: Middle School 2\%, <br> Geometry 27\% <br> Science Mastery: Middle School 4\%, <br> Biology- Not met <br> Writing Mastery: 8th graders 67\%, 10th <br> graders 39\% <br> AYP not met <br> 2009-2010 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Math Mastery: 19\% <br> AYP: There were no subgroups that made <br> AYP in Math. <br> 2008-2009 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Math Mastery: 25\% <br> AYP: There were no subgroups that made <br> AYP in Math. <br> 2007-2008 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Math Mastery: 6\% <br> AYP: There were no subgroups that made AYP in Math. <br> P, P 41\% Learning gain 2008 <br> 35\% Learning gain 2007 |
| Science | Katie Moncrief | Bachelor of Science in Secondary Education and Science; Masters in Instructional Technology; Education Specialist in Educational Leadership | 4 | 3 | 2011-2012 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Reading Mastery: 3.1\% <br> Math Mastery: Middle School 2\%, <br> Geometry 27\% <br> Science Mastery: Middle School 4\%, <br> Biology- Not met <br> Writing Mastery: 8th graders 67\%, 10th graders 39\% <br> AYP not met <br> 2009-2010 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Science Mastery: 3 \% <br> 2008-2009 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Science Mastery: 0 \% <br> 2007-2008 <br> Grade: N/A <br> Science Mastery: 3\% |

## EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

|  | Description of Strategy | Person <br> Responsible | Projected <br> Completion <br> Date | Not Applicable (If not, please <br> explain why) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | NESS <br> New teachers are mentored through a site-based liaison <br> program. | Joan Sternberg | 2013 |  |


| 2 | 8 Professional Study Days | Sydney Culver | 2013 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | Professional Learning Communities | Sydney Culver | 2013 |  |

## Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).
*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% [35]).
$\left.\begin{array}{||l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\ \text { staff and } \\ \text { paraprofessional } \\ \text { that are } \\ \text { teaching out- } \\ \text { of-field/ and } \\ \text { who are not } \\ \text { highly } \\ \text { effective. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Provide the } \\ \text { strategies } \\ \text { that are } \\ \text { being }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { implemented } \\ \text { to support } \\ \text { the staff in } \\ \text { becoming } \\ \text { highly } \\ \text { effective }\end{array}\right]$

## Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.
*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

| Total Number <br> of <br> Instructional <br> Staff | \% of <br> First-Year <br> Teachers | \% of <br> Teachers <br> with 1-5 <br> Years of <br> Experience | \% of <br> Teachers <br> with 6-14 <br> Years of <br> Experience | \% of <br> Teachers <br> with 15+ <br> Years of <br> Experience | \% of <br> Teachers <br> with <br> Advanced <br> Degrees | \% Highly <br> Effective <br> Teachers | \% Reading <br> Endorsed <br> Teachers | National <br> Board <br> Certified <br> Teachers |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | $0.0 \%(0)$ | $34.2 \%(27)$ | $44.3 \%(35)$ | $21.5 \%(17)$ | $40.5 \%(32)$ | $100.0 \%(79)$ | $25.3 \%(20)$ | $3.8 \%(3)$ |
| Endorsed <br> Teachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Teacher Mentoring Program/ Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee Assigned | Rationale for Pairing | Planned Mentoring Activities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J oan Sternberg (NESS Coordinator, PLC Community Leader) | Mr. Jacob Curry | Mr. Jacob Curry will continue to receive support and guidance in order to complete certificate requirements. | Monthly NESS meetings 9/6/12 Session One: <br> Multi-media <br> Cart \& Computer <br> Programs <br>  <br> Computer Programs <br> tion <br> of PDD Sessions |
| PLCs: <br> Science/English Departments Math/Electives Departments Reading/Social Studies Departments Guidance and ESE Departments | Sydney Culver and PDD/PLC Community (Facilitators) | Departments were paired according to how each supports student learning | 9/6/12 Session One: <br> Multi-media <br> Cart \& Computer <br> Programs <br>  <br> Computer Programs <br> PLCs Topics includes; <br> 1.Balancing Informational and 2.Literary Text <br> 3.Knowledge in the Disciplines <br> 4.Staircase of Complexity <br> 5. Text-based Answers <br> 6. Writing from Sources |

## Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

N/A

Title I, Part C- Migrant
N/A

Title I, Part D

N/A

Title II

N/A

Title III
N/A

Title X- Homeless

N/A

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
N/A

Violence Prevention Programs
N/A
Nutrition Programs

N/A

Housing Programs
N/A

Head Start
N/A
Adult Education

ABE/GED and ESOL and Computer Assisted Instruction (formally known as DBI)

Career and Technical Education

N/A
J ob Training
N/A

Other

N/A

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/ Response to Instruction/ Intervention (RtI)
-School- based MTSS/ Rtl Team
Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

```
Mark Howard, Assistant Principal
Dr. Marion Hernandez, Behavior Specialist
Kimberly Jackson, Guidance Counselor
Nerissa Lester, ESE Support Facilitator
Shelly Reid, Transition Specialist
Mary Shaw, Reading Coach
Renee Hudson, Math Coach
Alison Brooks, ESE Specialist
Andre Newton, Coordinator of the Rtl Leadership Team
Dawn Sclafani, School Psychologist
```

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The Coordinator of RtI, Mr. Newton, will facilitate bi-weekly meetings to discuss the performance of students that are under performing academically. Mr. Newton will track students utilizing documents to record and monitor the implementation of academic and/or behavioral interventions. Data will be entered onto a progress monitoring graph. This data will be analyzed to address students' needs. Based on the level of interventions, the case manager will facilitate meetings to share student data, which will include baseline/diagnostic and progress monitoring data derived from research-based resources. This data will be utilized to make recommendations to increase interventions, determine tiers, and address instructional gaps.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the Rtl Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The Rtl leadership team will work collaboratively with the School Advisory Council to monitor the school wide core curriculum goals and objectives outlined in the SIP. Specifically, they will be responsible for utilizing the FCIM to determine the effectiveness of the school-wide curriculum and make adjustments/recommendations to the school-wide curriculum. The Rtl team will collaborate with the leadership team and provide reports at SAC meetings. Rtl team members will also use this opportunity to provide an overview of the Rtl process at the SAC meetings.

## -MTSS I mplementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline Data: Academic data is obtained from a variety of sources including: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PRMN) using the FAIR, Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Broward Assessment Test (BAT 1), for reading, math, and science, mini assessments, content area pre-tests, Fluency Probes, work samples, teacher made quizzes, Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR), SAN DIEGO World List, and the BASI (reading, math, and writing).
Mid Year data: mini assessments, work samples, teacher made test and quizzes. End of the Year: FCAT data, content area post tests: DAR and Fluency Probes post test. Data is to be collected on a weekly basis. It will be summarized and recorded on data forms, graphs and in the school based data system. Behavioral Data: DMS, review of student records, interviews, conferences, and observations.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Members of the Rtl team will be trained/re-trained (if needed during) pre-planning week by Dr. Angela Brinson. The focus of the training will be addressing behavior and academic issues early in the Rtl process. The relevance of collecting and graphing data and intervention strategies. Additional staff training will be facilitated during PLC's by the Rtl team members.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.
$\square$

## Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

## -School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Mark Howard, Intern Principal
Sharon Grant, Assistant Principal DJJ
Mary Shaw, Reading Coach DJJ
Olga Wilson, Reading Coach
Alison Brooks, ESE Specialist
Deidtre Whack-Sanchez, ESE Specialist
Beverly Croslin, ESOL Coordinator
Renee Hudson, Math Coach/Department Chair
Katie Moncrief, Science Coach/Department Chair
Susan Nash, Teacher
Sydney Culver, Curriculum Specialist
Debra Trohatos-Rosenberg, Media Specialist
Gayle Holland, Vocational Department Chair
Bori Lenard, Behavior Specialist

Katie Moncrief, Science Coach/Department Chair
Sylvester Robinson, Teacher
Sydney Culver, Curriculum Specialist
Debra Trohatos-Rosenberg, Media Specialist
Gayle Holland, Vocational Department Chair

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).
The LLT will meet monthly and as needed to address school wide literacy needs, monitor progress of focus calendars/curriculum, provide support for instructional personnel, and facilitate best practice sharing via professional learning communities and mini-workshop sessions ("bite sessions"). The LLT will utilize the FCIM to monitor the effectiveness of literacy initiatives. Each member of the LLT will ensure that the literacy component is infused into all content areas and adequately meets the unique needs of students in our various programs. Team members will also provide data driven feedback and will make adjustments in regards to the curriculum area they monitor.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?
Over $90 \%$ of our students performed below level three proficiency. Our major area of focus will to effectively monitor the implementation of the K-12 Comprehensive Reading Plan. Efforts will be made to progress monitor students in all identified subgroups with frequent administrative, teacher, and student data chats. Additionally, professional development, the instructional focus calendar, reading and writing initiatives, and increasing the effective use of reading strategies across all content areas will be included in the area of focus for this year's LLT.

## Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification
No Attachment
*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition
Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

## N/A

*Grades 6-12 Only
Sec. $1003.413(b)$ F.S.
For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.
The Reading Coaches and PLC Facilitators will coach, model, and monitor all instructional staff to implement reading and writing instruction across the curriculum providing professional development and daily support using CRISS and/or MCREL learning strategies, through the use of reading journals, reading logs, summarizing, note-taking, graphic organizers, student writing assignments, activities, and projects. Administrators and coaches will monitor the implementation of IFC's and assessments

```
*High Schools Only
```

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Whiddon-Rogers students gain access to real world applications in connection to their future course of studies. For example, culinary arts students are taught proper food sanitation, storage and preparation and select students work along with teacher to serve at our special events that take place on campus. Students with musical interests are enrolled in Chorus, and Keyboarding, they are exposed to various instruments and are taught to read and play music. Select students participate in school's band and chorus and perform during designated school plays and cultural events (i.e. Multicultural Day). Further students in our science classes receive instruction via integrated science classes and participate in real- world laboratory experiments and projects. New programs including, production technology, French, art, construction and health sciences have been added to the master schedule to give students the opportunity to get basic information in regards to college and career choices so that they can continue this interest with share-time, technical education, etc.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Guidance department meets ASCA counselor/student ratio of 270 to 1 . AGP goals in alignment with ASCA, state, and district career, social and personal development initiatives.
Counselors meet with student regularly and follow Policy 6000.1 Student Procedural Guidelines for promotion and acceleration mechanisms.
Counselors will meet with students annually to review FACTS.org postsecondary planning guide (PAC plan) per FL statute. All 8th grade students complete an PAC plan per FL statute.
Counselors meet with students weekly to discuss academic and personal goals. This includes face-to-face reviewing of course selections for the subsequent school year.
Due to large Haitian population, an interpreter is available for parent/student information programs. Parent Link and other electronic services are provided in English and Creole.
All 10th grade students take the PSAT.
Eligible 11th and 12th grade students were administered the CPT exam.
11th and 12th grades students are highly encouraged to take the ACT and SAT exams.
ACT prep (Princeton Review) is offered as enrichment classes during school day.
Eligible juniors and seniors are given opportunities to enroll in shared-time vocational programs local technical training centers.
Select 11th and 12th grade students attended the College Fair. BRACE Advisor will host a career day with specific postsecondary and professional organizations for students. BRACE Advisor holds monthly informational meeting with students to discuss relevant career and college planning issues. BRACE Advisor will schedule monthly college/university and technical school visits. Career technical students will take industry certification tests. Select students are encouraged to take coursework via APEX to accelerate credit recovery. All juniors and seniors are screened and notified of Bright Futures requirements..

## Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.
Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report

Brace Advisor primary role is to provide post-secondary preparation for students. Brace Advisor conducts classroom visitations and use wireless cart to ensure that all students have created a user account on FLCHOICES. ORG. All students complete Interest Inventory available of FIChoices.org to help student explore post secondary careers. Students attend field trips Construction Fair, Junior Experience, BCC Career Expo to gain exposure to different career options. College Reps and Technical School Reps visit senior classes. College Placement Test is offered on campus to all juniors and seniors that meet FL statute requirements. The ASVAB test is administered on campus. A teacher certified in Princeton Review offers ACT Prep course. Due to nature of program (competency/performance), guidance counselors meet daily/weekly with students to discuss course selection, academic progress and post-secondary options as related to interests and graduation requirements. Students will be exposed to the Princeton Review course, which provides ACT remediation and more post-secondary options.

## PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

## Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in
reading.

To increase the number of students scoring level 3 proficiency in Reading FCAT by 7\%.

| Reading Goal \#la: |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ Current Level of Performance: |
| On the 2011-2012 FCAT test, $2.6 \%$ of students tested |
| acheved proficiency |

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

On the 2011-2012 FCAT test, 2.6\% of students tested achieved proficiency Level 3

Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement

|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | *Students lack the Reading strategies to respond adequately to moderate and/or higher order thinking questions. | *Students will be mediated in Princeton Review and prepped academically for all assessments through various test taking strategies and exposure to released testing materials. <br> *All curricular areas will actively integrate higher order thinking questions into all instruction/discussions and assessments. <br> *Use test data to monitor student progress and areas needing re-teaching for continuous progress and provide accommodations and modifications to the curriculum. <br> *Independent reading practice will be monitored by all teachers. <br> *Each class will focus on informational text during instructional practice. | 1. Principal <br> 2. Administration <br> 3. Reading Coach <br> 4.Leadership Team | *Leadership Team meets to review and discuss what resources and support are needed. <br> * Coach/Teacher Conference to discuss students' academic needs and improvements. <br> *Coach/Administration Conference to discuss instructional staff support and resources. <br> Teachers and students hold data/progress chats to pinpoint areas of concern and plan for remediation. <br> * Mini- Assessments to include higher order thinking questions are utilized to provide skilled practices and feedback for areas in need of remediation. <br> *Classroom Walkthroughs will be practiced with fidelity to ensure questioning techniques are integrated effectively. | *Teacher observation and feedback to support academic improvement. <br> *Teacher-made Tests, Chapter, Unit, and Skill Assessment and project to monitor academic advancement and/or reteaching to increase student achievement. <br> * Data Chats to monitor students' academic needs. <br> * Direct results of ACT/SAT/PSAT. |


| 2 | \|lacks the rigor for students to respond effectively to moderate and/or higher order level questions. | \|have common planning to share effective/best practices, share resources, and to collaborate on lesson planning, and lesson delivery strategies by using Common Core State Standards. <br> *To better monitor student academic learning and achievement by maintaining student assessment log(s). <br> *To provide students with intensive reading instruction through a variety of test-taking strategies, practices, materials and technology-Achieve 3000. <br> *Students will be mediated in Princeton Review and prepped academically for all assessments through various test taking strategies and exposure to released testing materials. <br> *Independent reading practice will be monitored by all teachers. <br> *Each class will focus on informational text during instructional practice. <br> Students will participate in <br> reading initiatives such as accelerated reader and independent reading time to build capacity. | 2. Administration <br> 3. Reading Coach <br> 4. Leadership Team | to review and discuss the resources and support needed to advance students academically. <br> *Coach/Teacher Conference to discuss students' academic needs and improvements. <br> *Coach/Administration Conference to discuss instructional staff support and resources. <br> Teachers and students hold data/progress chats to pinpoint areas of concern and plan for remediation. <br> * Mini- Assessments to include higher order thinking questions are utilized to provide skilled practices and feedback for areas in need of remediation. Marzano Observations will be practiced with fidelity to ensure questioning techniques are integrated effectively. <br> *Target Graduation Team will mentor and monitor student learning. <br> *Teachers and students hold data/progress chats to pinpoint areas of concern and plan for remediation. <br> *Evaluate FAIR Assessment data to determine support needed for student achievement. | and feedback to support academic improvement. <br> *Teacher-made Tests, Chapter, Unit, and Skill Assessment and project to monitor academic advancement and/or re-teaching to increase student achievement. <br> * Data Chats to monitor students' academic needs. <br> *Direct results of ACT/SAT/PSAT. <br> *Teacher-made Tests, Chapter, Unit, and Skill Assessment and project to monitor academic advancement and/or reteaching to increase student achievement. <br> *Data Chats to monitor students' academic needs. <br> *Direct results of FCAT/ACT/SAT/PSAT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.
Reading Goal \#1b:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible <br> for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#2a: |  |  | Number of students tested receiving Level 4 or higher in Reading will increase by $6 \%$. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Students tested achieved a Level 4 or higher in reading by . $5 \%$. |  |  | Increase students tested Level 4 or higher proficiency in Reading by $6 \%$. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | *Students lack the Reading strategies to respond adequately to moderate and/or higher order thinking questions. | *Students will be mediated in Princeton Review and prepped academically for all testing scenarios to include FCAT, ACT and SAT through ACT Prep class and exposure to testing materials. <br> *Small group differentiated instruction will be utilized in all content areas. <br> *High level students will be scheduled into the most academically challenging classes to ensure that they are exposed to curriculum that will continue to enhance their skills. <br> *Utilize APEX Learning Systems to provide students the opportunity to take higher level courses not offered on our traditional schedule. | 1. Principal <br> 2. Administration <br> 3. Reading Coach <br> 4. Leadership Team | *Leadership Team meets to review and discuss what resources and support are needed. <br> *Coach/Teacher Conference to discuss students' academic needs and improvements. <br> *Coach/Administration Conference to discuss instructional staff support and resources. <br> Teachers and students hold data/progress chats to pinpoint areas of concern and plan for remediation. <br> *Mini- Assessments to include higher order thinking questions are utilized to provide skilled practices and feedback for areas in need of remediation. <br> *Classroom Walkthroughs will be practiced with fidelity to ensure questioning techniques are integrated effectively. | *Teacher observation and feedback to support academic improvement. <br> *Teacher-made Tests, Chapter, Unit, and Skill Assessment and project to monitor academic advancement and/or reteaching to increase student achievement. <br> * Data Chats to monitor students' academic needs. <br> *Direct results of ACT/SAT/PSAT. |
|  | * Limited instruction of | To increase novel study | 1. Principal | Leadership Team meets | *Teacher |


| 2 | Novel Study in classroom (s). | with high interest reading material(s) and activities. <br> Enroll students in academically challenging (honors and advanced placement) classes to ensure that students are exposed to curriculum to increase and/or maintain skill mastery. <br> *Use differentiated instruction resources to meet multiple students' learner needs. <br> *Reading Teachers have common planning to share effective/best practices, share resources, and to collaborate on lesson planning, and lesson delivery strategies by using Common Core State Standards. | 2. Administration <br> 3. Reading Coach <br> 4.Leadership Team | to review and discuss the resources and support needed to advance students' academically. <br> *Coach/Teacher Conference to discuss students' academic needs and improvements. <br> *Coach/Administration Conference to discuss instructional staff support and resources. <br> Teachers and students hold data/progress chats to pinpoint areas of concern and plan for remediation. <br> *Mini- Assessments to include higher order thinking questions are utilized to provide skilled practices and feedback for areas in need of remediation. <br> *Classroom Walkthroughs will be practiced with fidelity to ensure questioning techniques are integrated effectively | observation and feedback to support academic improvement. <br> *Teacher-made Tests, Chapter, Unit, and Skill Assessment and project to monitor academic advancement and/or re- teaching to increase student achievement. <br> * Data Chats to monitor students' academic needs. <br> * Direct results of ACT/SAT/PSAT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#2b: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.

To increase the number of students making learning gains in reading by $7 \%$.

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0\% of students showed learning gains on FCAT reading. |  |  | Increase \% of students making learning gains to 7\% |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | * Lack of vocabulary instruction hinders students ability to effectively read and comprehend information in all content areas. | *Students will be mediated in Princeton Review and prepped academically for PSAT through various test taking strategies and exposure to released testing materials. <br> *Utilize specific vocabulary building software and websites throughout all curricular areas. <br> *Elective teachers have established vocabulary enrichment as their initiative and participate in daily vocabulary building activities in all classes. | 1. Principal <br> 2. Administration <br> 3. Reading Coach <br> 4.Leadership Team <br> 5. ESOL <br> Coordinator | *Leadership Team meets to review and discuss resources and support needed. <br> *Coach/Teacher Conference to discuss students' academic needs and improvements. <br> *Coach/Administration Conference to discuss instructional staff support and resources. <br> *Mini- Assessment to provide skilled practices. <br> *Classroom Walkthroughs to observation processes in place and areas in need of improvement, and to support the academic process. <br> *Results on <br> ACT/SAT/PSAT pretests. | *Teacher observation and feedback to support academic improvement. <br> *Teacher-made Tests, Chapter, Unit, and Skill Assessment and project to monitor academic advancement and/or reteaching to increase student achievement. <br> *Data Chats to monitor students' academic needs. <br> * Direct results of ACT/SAT/PSAT. |
| 2 | Classsoom instruction lacks rigor and consistency of instructional focus. | **Reading Coach will ensure that the use of New Generation Sunshine State Standards and Common Core Standards are posted in reading classes for students' use and information. <br> *Use differentiated instruction resources to meet multiple students' learner needs. <br> *Reading Teachers have common planning to share effective/best practices, share resources, and to collaborate on lesson planning, and lesson delivery strategies by using Common Core State Standards. | 1. Principal <br> 2. Administration <br> 3. Reading Coach <br> 4. Leadership Team <br> 5. ESOL <br> Coordinator | * Leadership Team meets to review and discuss what resources and supports are needed. <br> *Coach/Teacher Conference and Planning to discuss students' academic needs and improvements. <br> *Coach/Administration Conference to discuss instructional staff support and resources. <br> *Professional Development to increase teacher effectiveness. <br> *Marzano Observations will be practiced with fidelity to ensure questioning techniques are integrated effectively. <br> *Target Graduation Team will mentor and monitor student learning. | *Teacher observation and feedback to support academic improvement. <br> *Teacher-made Tests, Chapter, Unit, and Skill Assessment and project to monitor academic advancement and/or re teaching to increase student achievement. <br> * Data Chats to monitor students' academic needs. <br> * Direct results of ACT/SAT/PSAT. |


| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#3b: |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25\% making learning gains in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#4: |  |  | Increase the percentage of students in the lowest $25 \%$ making learning gains by 7\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Students making learning gains in reading was 0\% |  |  | Increase number of students making learning gains in reading by $7 \%$ in the lowest $25 \%$ of students. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | *Teachers do not sufficiently provide opportunities to increase students reading endurance which limits their ability to effectively focus and stay motivated while reading lengthy content area or reading material. | *Students will be mediated in Princeton Review and prepped academically through various test taking strategies and exposure to released testing materials. <br> *The reading coach will conduct student pullouts with lower $25 \%$ to work with them one on one to build capacity for reading lengthy passages with comprehension. <br> *Small group differentiated instruction will be provided to students in all academic areas. | 1. Principal <br> 2. Administration <br> 3. Reading Coach <br> 4.Leadership Team <br> 5. Media Specialist | *Leadership Team meets to review and discuss resources and support needed. <br> *Coach/Teacher Conference to discuss students' academic needs and improvements. <br> *Coach/Administration Conference to discuss instructional staff support and resources. <br> *Evaluate FAIR Assessment data to determine support needed for student achievement. <br> * Mini- Assessment to provide skilled practices. | *Teacher <br> observation and feedback to support academic improvement. <br> *Teacher-made Tests, Chapter, Unit, and Skill <br> Assessment and project to monitor academic advancement and/or reteaching to increase student achievement. <br> * Data Chats to monitor students' academic needs. <br> *Direct results of |


|  |  | *Independent reading practice (20-minutes of silent reading time and Media Center visits) will be scheduled and managed by the teacher. <br> *Classroom libraries will be maintained where possible with interesting, level appropriate materials |  | *Classroom Walkthroughs to observation processes in place and areas in need of improvement, and to support the academic process. <br> *Progress Monitoring and Data Analysis | \|ACT/SAT/PSAT. <br> *Accelerated Reading Assessment. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Utilization of students' reading lexile score to increase book selection and novel selection for instruction. | Reading Teachers will conduct data chats to include lexile score data for students to use for book selection(s). <br> *Use differentiated instruction resources to meet multiple needs of learners. <br> *Reading Teachers have common planning to share effective/best practices, resources, and to collaborate on lesson planning and delivery strategies by using Common Core State Standards. | 1. Principal <br> 2. Reading Coach <br> 3. Leadership Team <br> 4. Media Specialist <br> 5. ESOL <br> Coordinator <br> 6. ESE Specialist | . Leadership Team meets to review and discuss what resources and supports are needed. <br> *Coach/Teacher Conference and Planning to discuss students' academic needs and improvements. <br> *Coach/Administration Conference to discuss instructional staff support and resources. <br> *Professional Development to increase teacher effectiveness. <br> *Marzano Observations will be practiced with fidelity to ensure questioning techniques are integrated effectively. <br> *Target Graduation Team will mentor and monitor student learning. | Teacher-made Classroom Assessment(s) and project(s) to monitor academic learning and/or reteaching to increase student achievement. <br> * Data Chats to monitor students' academic needs. <br> *Direct results of ACT/SAT/PSAT. <br> *Accelerated Reading Assessment |


| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by $50 \%$. |  |  | Reading Goal \# |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline data | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, <br> Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making <br> satisfactory progress in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#5B: | Student subgroups by ethnicity not making satisfactory <br> progress in reading will decrease by 5\%. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| White: 77.8 <br> Black: 97.5 <br> Hispanic: 100 <br> Asian: 100 | White: 72.8 <br> Black: 92.5 <br> Hispanic: 95 <br> Asian: 95 |


| \|American Indian: N/A |American Indian: N/A |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | *Teachers do not sufficiently provide opportunities to increase students reading endurance which limits their ability to effectively focus and stay motivated while reading lengthy content area or reading material. | *Students will be mediated in Princeton Review and prepped academically through various test taking strategies and exposure to released testing materials. <br> *The reading coach will conduct student pullouts with lower $25 \%$ to work with them one on one to build capacity for reading lengthy passages with comprehension. <br> *Small group differentiated instruction will be provided to students in all academic areas. <br> *Independent reading practice (20-minutes of silent reading time and Media Center visits)will be scheduled and managed by the teacher. <br> *Classroom libraries will be maintained where possible with interesting, level appropriate materials | 1. Principal <br> 2. Administration <br> 3. Reading Coach <br> 4. Leadership Team <br> 5. ESOL Coordinator <br> 6. Media Specialist <br> 7.TargetGraduationTeam | Leadership Team meets to review and discuss resources and support needed. <br> *Coach/Teacher Conference to discuss students' academic needs and improvements. <br> *Coach/Administration Conference to discuss instructional staff support and resources. <br> *Evaluate FAIR Assessment data to determine support needed for student achievement. <br> *Mini- Assessment to provide skilled practices. <br> *Classroom Walkthroughs to observation processes in place and areas in need of improvement, and to support the academic process. <br> *Progress Monitoring and Data Analysis | *Teacher observation and feedback to support academic improvement. <br> *Teacher-made Tests, Chapter, Unit, and Skill Assessment and project to monitor academic advancement and/or reteaching to increase student achievement. <br> *Data Chats to monitor students' academic needs. <br> *Direct results of ACT/SAT/PSAT. <br> *Accelerated Reading Assessment. |
| 2 | Graduation rate is low as compared to the graduation rate in traditional schools. | Target Graduation leadership team created to increase graduation rates and deal with student academics and achievement. <br> This team will identify students within 1 year of graduation. The team will monitor their attendance, progress, schedule, behavior and social and provide mentors. | Olga Wilson, Reading Coach <br> Target GraduationTeam | Standardized test results. | Graduation Results |
|  | Students need to be counseled to increase their knowledge of the importance of data. | Target Graduation Team/Reading Coach will monitor students' academic learning and growth. <br> *Target Graduation Team/Reading Coach mentor students and conduct data chats to assess academic needs. <br> *Use differentiated instruction resources to meet multiple students' | Principal <br> 2. Reading Coach <br> 3. Leadership Team <br> 4. ESOL Coordinator <br> 5. ESE Specialist <br> 6. Target Graduation Team. | Leadership Team meets to review and discuss what resources and supports are needed. <br> *Coach/Teacher Conference and Planning to discuss students' academic needs and improvements. <br> *Coach/Administration Conference to discuss instructional staff support and resources. | *Teacher observation and feedback to support academic improvement. <br> *Teacher-made Tests, Chapter, Unit, and Skill Assessment and project to monitor academic advancement and/or reteaching to |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l}3 & \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}\text { learner needs. } \\ \text { *Instructional Staff, } \\ \text { Reading Coach, and } \\ \text { Target Graduation Team } \\ \text { collaborate on student } \\ \text { placement, academic } \\ \text { resources, and } \\ \text { mentorship to increase } \\ \text { student achievement. }\end{array}\right. \\ & \begin{array}{l}\text { *Professional } \\ \text { Development to increase } \\ \text { teacher effectiveness. } \\ \text { achievement. } \\ \text { *Marzano Observations } \\ \text { *Data Chats to } \\ \text { monitor students' } \\ \text { academic needs. } \\ \text { aill be practiced with } \\ \text { fidelity to ensure } \\ \text { questioning techniques } \\ \text { are integrated } \\ \text { effectively. } \\ \text { *Direct results of } \\ \text { ACT/SAT/PSAT. } \\ \text { ATarget Graduation } \\ \text { Team will mentor and } \\ \text { monitor student } \\ \text { learning. }\end{array} \\ \text { *Accelerated } \\ \text { Reading } \\ \text { Assessment }\end{array}\right]$

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#5C: <br> English Language Learners ELL not making progress is reading will decrease by $5 \%$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| English Language Learners ELL not making satisfactory progress is reading is $100 \%$. |  |  | English Language Learners ELL not making satisfactory progress is reading is $95 \%$. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | * Lack of vocabulary instruction hinders the ELL students ability to effectively read and comprehend information in all content areas. | *Students will be mediated in Princeton Review and prepped academically for PSAT through various test taking strategies and exposure to released testing materials. <br> *Utilize specific vocabulary building software and websites throughout all curricular areas. <br> *Elective teachers have established vocabulary enrichment as their initiative and participate in daily vocabulary building activities in all classes. | 1. Principal <br> 2. Administration <br> 3. Reading Coach <br> 4. Leadership Team <br> 5. ESOL Coordinator <br> 6.TargetGraduationTeam | *Leadership Team meets to review and discuss resources and support needed. <br> *Coach/Teacher Conference to discuss students' academic needs and improvements. <br> *Coach/Administration Conference to discuss instructional staff support and resources. <br> *Mini- Assessment to provide skilled practices. <br> *Classroom Walkthroughs to observation processes in place and areas in need of improvement, and to support the academic process. <br> *Results on ACT/SAT/PSAT pretests. <br> *Achieve 3000 | *Teacher observation and feedback to support academic improvement. <br> *Teacher-made Tests, Chapter, Unit, and Skill Assessment and project to monitor academic advancement and/or reteaching to increase student achievement. <br> *Data Chats to monitor students' academic needs. <br> * Direct results of ACT/SAT/PSAT. <br> *Achieve 3000 |

of improvement for the following subgroup:


Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making
satisfactory progress in reading.


## Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content / Topic and/ or PLC Focus | Grade Level/ Subject | $\qquad$ | PD Participants (e.g. PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Followup/ Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PDD/PLC - <br> CCSS <br> CCSS <br> Resources CCSS Online <br> Resources- <br> How to <br> access online <br> resources <br> Utilizing <br> CCSS - <br> Lesson <br> Planning and <br> Delivery <br> I.D. Program <br> Reading <br> Teachers <br> Planning | 7-12 | Sydney <br> Culver, <br> Curriculum <br> Specialist <br> Olga Wilson, <br> Reading <br> Coach <br> Olga Wilson, <br> Reading <br> Coach <br> Olga Wilson, <br> Reading <br> Coach <br> District <br> PLC | School-wide <br> School-wide <br> School-wide <br> School- <br> wide/Departments <br> Reading Department <br> Staff <br> Reading Department <br> Staff | 9/16/12; <br> 10/4/12; <br> 11/1/12; <br> 12/6/12; <br> 1/10/13; <br> 2/21/13; <br> 3/14/13; and <br> 4/4/12 | Ongoing <br> Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing | Ms. S. Culver; Ms. O. Wilson, Ms. K. Moncrief, Ms. Hudson/Ms.Lester, Ms. G. Holland, Mr. Lenard, and Mr. Newton <br> Ms. S. Culver; Ms. O. Wilson, Ms. K. Moncrief, Ms. Hudson/Ms.Lester, Ms. G. Holland, Mr. Lenard, and Mr. Newton Instructional Coaches and Department Heads Instructional Coaches, Administration, Department Heads, ESOL Coordinator, ESE Specialist Instructional Coaches and Department Heads Instructional Coaches and Department Heads |

## Reading Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available <br> Amount |
| Novel Study | To increase students independent <br> and application of reading skills <br> and strategies | School | $\$ 3,000.00$ |
| Ten-Steps To Improving/Advancing <br> Skill and Vocabulary Workbook | Instructional Materials needed for <br> student instructional. | School | $\$ 3,000.00$ |

Subtotal: \$6,000.00

| Technology |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Wireless Cart | Reading programs and software | School | \$40,000.00 |
| Rosetta Stone | Reading and Language instruction, practice, and application. | school | \$20,000.00 |
| Achieve 3000 | Reading instruction, practice, and application. | district | \$10,000.00 |
|  |  |  | \$70,000.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| PDD/PLC | Technology, Resource materials | School | \$2,000.00 |
| Common Core Standards | To train staff on to accept online standards and utilize standard for lesson planning and delivery | School | \$2,000.00 |
|  |  |  | : \$4,000.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Newsletter Teen Food and Health | Reading materials for teens to better prepare students mentally and physically for learning. | school | \$1,000.00 |


| Physical/Health Activities | Physical activities items/Healthy <br> Eating resources to create and <br> demonstrate. | school |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70\% (35)).

| Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/ speaking. | In the 2012-2013 <br> school year 10\% of <br> ELL students will <br> score proficient on listening and speaking on the CELLA <br> test. |
| CELLA Goal \#1: |  |

## 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/ speaking:

During the 2011-2012 School year, 7\% (113) of ELL students scored proficient in listening and speaking on the CELLA test.

| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Family preference to speak native language at home or with friends. <br> Cultural lack of motivation to engage in the new culture. <br> Lack of confidence by new citizens to try to speak the language. <br> Lack of traditional schooling in their home country. | The school will provide incentives and methods in the classroom to encourage students to use English more frequently. <br> The school will create an atmosphere conducive to respect ELLs' own cultural values while acquiring the new culture. <br> Instructors will increase the usage of software programs, such as, Rosetta Stone and Achieve 3000 by 3 times a week. <br> Instructors will monitor and use results of assessments to differentiate instruction. <br> Instructors will provide certificates and awards for students who master the various software programs used in class. | Teachers <br> 2. ESOL Coordinator <br> 4. Leadership Team <br> 5. Administration <br> 6. Principal | ESOL Coordinator/Teacher Conference to discuss students' academic needs and improvements. <br> * ESOL <br> Coordinator /Administration Conference to discuss instructional staff support and resources. <br> *Classroom Walk-throughs to observe/assess/recommend changes or support academic process. <br> Analysis of the results of 2012-13 FCAT, CELLA \& IPT scores. <br> ACHIEVE 3000 and Rosetta Stone pre-tests and post tests results | Teacher observation and feedback to support academic improvement. <br> *Teacher- made Tests, Software evaluations, Chapter/Unit Textbook. <br> * Data Chats to monitor students' academic needs. <br> *Direct results of CELLA, IPT, Achieve 3000 and Rosetta Stone |

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non- ELL students.

| 2. Students scoring proficient in reading. CELLA Goal \#2: |  |  | In the 2012-2013 school year increase the percentage of ELL students scoring 605-743 on the reading part of CELLA to $90 \%$ (80). |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85\% of ELLs were classified beginners. They scored 605-743 in the Reading part of the CELLA. <br> 13\% were classified Low-Intermediate. <br> $2 \%$ did not take the test due to excessive absences, dual enrollment or withdrawn/credit completion. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | . Limited vocabulary and lack of former English instruction impede ELLs ability to acquire reading comprehension in all subjects. <br> Family preference to speak native language at home or with friends. <br> Cultural lack of motivation to engage in the new culture. <br> Lack of confidence by new citizens to try to speak the language. <br> Lack of traditional schooling in their home country. | Utilize ACHIEVE3000 and Rosetta Stone software programs at least three times a week. These programs will be used in combination with the mandated ESOL textbooks. <br> ELL teachers will monitor students' performance bi- weekly. <br> Reports on students' progress will be utilized to implement or change strategies and lessons. <br> Teacher/student data chats will occur biweekly | Teachers <br> 2. ESOL Coordinator <br> 4. Leadership Team <br> 5. Administration <br> 6. Principal | ESOL Coordinator/Teacher Conference to discuss students' academic needs and improvements. <br> * ESOL <br> Coordinator / Administration Conference to discuss instructional staff support and resources. <br> *Classroom Walk-throughs to observe/assess/recommend changes or support academic process. <br> * CELLA, IPT scores, ACHIEVE3000 and Rosetta Stone pre-test an post test results will be analyzed. | Teacher observation and feedback to support academic improvement. <br> *Teacher-made Tests, Software evaluations, Chapter/Unit Textbook. <br> * Data Chats to monitor students' academic needs. <br> * Direct results of CELLA, IPT <br> *Achieve 3000 and Rosetta |


| Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. Students scoring proficient in writing. <br> CELLA Goal \#3: |  | In the 2012-2013 <br> school year 4\% (4) of <br> ELL students will <br> score proficient on writing portion of the CELLA test. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing: |  |  |  |  |
| $1.7 \%$ (2) ELL students were classified as proficient on the writing portion of the CELLA Test. |  |  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Limited vocabulary and lack of former English instruction impede ELLs ability to acquire reading comprehension in all subjects. | To improve ELLs vocabulary and writing. Students will utilize ACHIEVE3000 and Rosetta Stone software programs at least three times a | Teachers <br> 2. ESOL Coordinator <br> 4. Leadership Team | ESOL Coordinator/Teacher Conference to discuss students' academic needs and improvements. <br> * ESOL <br> Coordinator / Administration | Teacher observation and feedback to support academic improvement. |


| 1 | Family preference to speak native language at home or with friends. <br> Cultural lack of motivation to engage in the new culture. <br> Lack of confidence by new citizens to try to speak the language. <br> Lack of traditional schooling in their home country. | week. These programs will be used in combination with the mandated ESOL textbooks. <br> ELL teachers will monitor student's performance bi- weekly. <br> Reports on students' progress will be utilized to implement or change strategies and lessons <br> Mini-lesson and other methods will target students' individual needs. | 5. Administration <br> 6. Principal | Conference to discuss instructional staff support and resources. <br> *Classroom Walk-throughs to observe/assess/recommend changes or support academic process. <br> 2011-12 CELLA \& IPT. ACHIEVE3000 and Rosetta Stone pre-tests and post test results will be analyzed | *Teacher-made Tests, Software evaluations, Chapter/Unit Textbook. <br> * Data Chats to monitor students' academic needs. <br> * Direct results of CELLA, IPT <br> *Achieve 3000 and Rosetta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## CELLA Budget:



## Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in

| mathematics. | Increase the number of students scoring level 3 by $8 \%$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ Current Level of Performance: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ Expected Level of Performance: |
| $0 \%$ of the students are currently performing at a level 3. | Number of students scoring level 3 will increase by $8 \%$. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Level 3 students are grouped with level 1 \& 2 students. Due to this type of scheduling the teachers may find it difficult to provide students with differentiation and rigor while delivering classroom instruction. <br> Level 3 students may not feel challenged in class and may lose motivation. | . Integrate differentiated instruction using the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM) and Marzano's High Yield Strategies. <br> 2. Utilize the district pacing guides and online textbook resources to support direct instruction by identifying weekly benchmarks and administering assessments aligned with the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS)/Common Core State Standards (CCSS). <br> 3. Use test data to monitor student progress and areas needing re-teaching for continuous progress and provide accommodations and modifications to the curriculum. <br> 4. Incorporate the use of technology (Compass Odyssey, Symphony Math, Understanding Math and Gizmos) into the curriculum. | Mark Howard Assistant principal <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> Math Teachers | 1. I- Observations <br> 2. Data Chats <br> 3. Professional Learning Community (PLC) Meetings | 1. Math FCAT <br> 2. Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exam <br> 3. Teachermade/District Assessments <br> 4. Student Progress Report |
| 2 | Lack of student awareness on academic progress. | 1. Conduct data chats with students and teachers. <br> 2. Virtual Counselor Student Data Scavenger Hunt. | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> Math Teachers | 1. . Leadership Team will meet monthly to discuss school- wide data. <br> 2. Math Coach will meet with math teachers to discuss student data. | 1. Data Chat Logs <br> 2. Student Self Assessment |


|  | $\left\lvert\,$Leadership Team3. Teachers will conduct <br> data chats with students <br> each <br> quarter.\right. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#1b: |  | ics. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#2a: |  | Increase the number of students achieving level 4 and 5 by 5\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 2\% [1] of the students are currently performing at or above a level 4 and 5. |  | 7\% of the students will perform at a level 4 or 5 by 2013. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Lack of students frontloaded with the basics math skills needed in order to perform at a level 4 or 5. | 1. Integrate differentiated instruction using the 8- Step Instructional Process and Marzano's High Yield Strategies. <br> 2. Explain and illustrate the use of mathematical terms and concepts; make connections to use of terms in everyday application and language. <br> 3. Utilize the district pacing guide/ IFC to | Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> Math Teachers | 1. CWT <br> 2. Data Chats <br> 3. PLC Meetings | 1. District Math BAT Assessment <br> 2. MiniAssessments <br> 3. Lesson Study Plan <br> 4. Math FCAT <br> 5. Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exam <br> 6. Geometry End of Course (EOC) Exams |


| 1 |  | support direct instruction by identifying weekly benchmarks and administering mini- assessments aligned with NGSSS. <br> 4. Construct math tests, which incorporate real world applications at all levels of high order thinking skills. <br> 5. Utilize the APEX Learning System to assign higher-level math courses. <br> 6. Engage students in Algebra and Geometry End- of Course prep/remediation sessions. |  |  | 7. Teacher-made Assessments <br> 8. Student Progress Reports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Instruction lacks depth of rigor and relevance | Integrate differentiated instruction using the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM) and Marzano's High Yield Strategies. <br> 2. Construct math tests, which incorporate real world applications at all levels of high order thinking skills. | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> Math Teachers <br> Leadership Team | 1. I- Observations <br> 2. Data Chats <br> 3. PLC Meetings | . Math FCAT <br> 2. Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exam <br> 3. Teachermade/District Assessments <br> 4. Student Progress Reports |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#2b: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning $\quad$ Increase the number of students making learning gains by gains in mathematics.

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

## 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.

| Mathematics Goal \#3b: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
|  | Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible <br> for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ making learning gains in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#4: |  |  | Increase the percentage of math students in the lowest 25 percent making learning gains by $20 \%$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Pending Data |  |  | (Pending)\% of math students in the lowest 25 percent will show learning gains. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Teachers may not have an opportunity to cover all the standards outlined in the curriculum for the students to demonstrate learning gains and successfully pass the FCAT, and Algebra EOC during the 2012-2013 school year.the FCAT, Algebra and Geometry EOC during the 20112012 school year. | Utilize the district pacing guides. <br> 2. Utilize Understanding Math, Compass Odyssey, Gizmos and Symphony Math programs weekly. <br> 3. During common planning the math teachers will review items specifications and share best practices that will assist students with acquiring basic and higher level skills. <br> 4. FCAT/Algebra End of Course (EOC) Prep/Remediation Sessions. | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) | 1. i- Observations <br> 2. Data Chats <br> 3. PLC Meetings | 11. Math FCAT <br> 2. Algebra End of Course (EOC) Assessment. <br> 3. Teachermade/District Assessments <br> 4. Progress Reports |
| 2 | Data chats are not facilitated frequently with the students. | 1. Conduct data chats with students and teachers. <br> 2. Virtual Counselor Student Data Scavenger Hunt. <br> 3. Peer-Observations | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> Math Teachers | 1. Leadership Team will meet monthly to discuss school- wide data. <br> 2. Math Coach will meet with math teachers to discuss student data. | 1. Data Chat Logs <br> 2. Student Self Assessment |



Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#5B: |  |  | Increase the percentage of students making learning gains by Increase the percentage of students making learning gains by $6 \%$ in the White and Hispanic sub- groups. Increase the percentage of students making learning gains by $9 \%$ for the Black sub-group. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Whites: 80\% (4 students) <br> Blacks: 93\% (212 Students) <br> Hispanic: 94\% (17 students) |  |  | White - $26 \%$ will make satisfactory progress <br> Blacks: 16 \% will make satisfactory progress <br> Hispanics: $12 \%$ will make satisfactory progress 19 <br> Expected performance Hispanic - 31 <br> Expected performance Asian - 15 |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Teachers may not be familiar with or understand the issues that overwhelm students on a daily basis. | 1. Modify student assignments and utilize computer- based programs. <br> 2. Teachers will initiate parent contact and notify support staff (behavior specialist, guidance counselor, social worker, RTI, etc) excessive unexcused absences) <br> 3. Continuously monitor student progress. <br> 4. The Math Coach will be available daily to assist math teachers with helping students acquire basic and higher level skills, high yield instructional | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) | 1. I-Observation <br> 2. Data Chats <br> 3. RTI Meetings | 1. Student Progress Report <br> 2. RTI Follow-up <br> 3. Math FCAT <br> 4. Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exams <br> 5. Teacher-made Assessments |


|  |  | strategies, the use of graphic organizers, and other materials produced by researchbased programs. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Teachers may not be able to review all the tested benchmarks with their students prior to the end of the school year and state assessment. | 1. Model the use of metacognition (problem solving learning strategies). <br> 2. Teach problem solving strategies. <br> 3. Students will attend weekly Math Lab sessions to utilize the Understanding Math Software program. <br> 4. Review NGSSS problems of the day to build and increase students mathematical background knowledge. | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> Math Teachers | 1.i- observation <br> 2. Teacher Observation <br> 3. PLC Meetings <br> 4. Student-Teacher Conference | 1. District Math BAT Assessment <br> 2. Mini- BAT Assessments <br> 3. Lesson Study Plan <br> 4. Math FCAT <br> 5. Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exam <br> 6. Geometry End of Course (EOC) Exam <br> 7. Teacher-made Assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making <br> satisfactory progress in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#5C: |
| :--- |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |
| 97\% (56 students) did not make satisfactory progress |



Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#5D: |  |  | $5 \%$ of Students with Disabilities will demonstrate satisfactory progress in mathematics. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 100\% (21 students) did not make satisfactory progress |  |  | 19\% of SWD AYP students will show proficiency in math. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | The teacher's instructional style may not be engaging for all students. As a result, students may get distracted and go off task. | 1. Teachers will attend PLC sessions to become familiar with best practices. <br> 2. Technology and manipulatives will be used to engage students and cement learning. <br> 3. Modifications will be made to lessons and assignments. <br> 2. Math teachers will attend PLC sessions to become familiar with best practices. <br> 3. Technology and manipulatives will be used to engage students and cement learning. <br> 4. The Math Coach will be available to assist and provide teachers with support in their classroom. | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> (ESE Facilitator) <br> (ESOL Coordinator) <br> Herchella Baynes (Speech Language Pathologist) | 1. I- observation <br> 2. Teacher Peer Observations <br> 3. PLC Meetings <br> 4. Professional Development Days <br> 5. Data Chats | 1. Lesson Study Plan <br> 2. Math FCAT <br> 3. Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exam <br> 4. Teachermade/District Assessments <br> 5. Student SelfAssessment |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making

| \|satisfactory progress in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#5E: |  |  | Increase the number of Economically Disadvantaged students making satisfactory progress by $10 \%$. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 94\% (209 students) did not make satisfactory progress |  |  | $16 \%$ of Economically disadvantaged AYP students will show proficiency in math in 2013. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Students may not be placed in appropriate courses based on their achievement level. | Assess struggling students using alternative assessments such as the GMADE. <br> 2. Based on the data obtained from the FCAT and alternative assessments along with recommendations from the math matrix, struggling students will be placed in Pre- Algebra, Informal Geometry or Liberal Arts courses. | Sherrie Portier (Guidance Director) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> Math Teachers | 1. Review of Data student progress on a monthly basis. | 1. Math FCAT <br> 2. Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exam <br> 3. Teacher-made Assessments <br> 4. Alternative Assessments <br> 5. Math End of Course (EOC) Exam <br> 6. Geometry End of Course (EOC) Exam <br> 7. Teacher-made Assessments <br> 8. Student SelfAssessment <br> 9. Alternative Assessments (STAR Math and GMADE) |

## Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal \# 1:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |


| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |


| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#2: |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |


| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students making learning gains in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#3: |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |

## Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

[^0]| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. Algebra Goal \#1: |  |  | Increase the number of students scoring level 3 by $2 \%$. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 8\% (6 students) scored level 3 |  |  | $10 \%$ of the students will score a level 3 as demonstrated by their mean scale score. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Multiple levels of student learners are grouped in each course | 1. Integrate differentiated instruction using the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM) and Marzano's High Yield Strategies. <br> 2. Utilize the district pacing guides to support direct instruction and administer assessments aligned with Next Generation Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS) and Common Core State Standards (CCSS). <br> 3. Use test data to monitor student progress and areas needing re-teaching for continuous progress and provide accommodations and modifications to the curriculum. <br> 4. Incorporate the use of technology into daily instruction (High School Compass Odyssey, Symphony Math, Gizmo's, Understanding Math, Resources from the Online Textbook and Math Wiki). <br> 5. Engage students in Algebra End- of Course (EOC) prep/remediation sessions. | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> Math Teachers | 1. i- Observations <br> 2. Data Chats <br> 3. Professional Learning Community (PLC) Meetings | 1. Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exam <br> 2. Teachermade/District Assessments <br> 3. Student SelfAssessment <br> 4. Student Progress Report |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

## 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.

Increase the number of students achieving level 4 and 5 by 5\%

Algebra Goal \#2:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0\% [0] scored Level 4 or 5 |  |  | $5 \%$ of the students will perform at or above a level 4 or 5 . |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Instruction lacks depth of rigor and relevance. | 1. Integrate differentiated instruction using the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM) and Marzano's High Yield Strategies. <br> 2. Utilize the district pacing guides to support direct instruction and administer assessments aligned with Next Generation Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS)/Common Core State Standards (CCSS). <br> 3. Engage students in Algebra End- of Course prep/remediation sessions. <br> 4. Provide students with online learning activities that are academically challenging. | Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Math Teachers | 1. i- Observations <br> 2. Data Chats <br> 3. PLC Meetings | 1. Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exam <br> 2. Teachermade/District Assessments <br> 3. Student Progress Reports |


| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by $50 \%$. |  |  | Algebra Goal \# <br> Every year students scoring a level 3 or higher will increase by 9\%. |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Baseline data } \\ 2010-2011 \end{gathered}$ | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |  |
|  | 8\% of the stude |  |  | - | $\square$ |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, <br> Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making <br> satisfactory progress in Algebra. <br> Algebra Goal \#3B: | Increase the percentage of students making satisfactory <br> progress by 5 \% in the White and Hispanic sub-groups. <br> Increase the percentage of students making satisfactory <br> progress by 9 \% for the black subgroup |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| Pending | Expected performance White - 26 <br> Expected performance Black - 19 <br> Expected performance Hispanic - 31 <br> Expected performance Asian - 15 |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |


|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Teachers may not be familiar with or understand the issues that overwhelm students on a daily basis. | 1. Modify student assignments and utilize computer- based programs <br> 2. Assign students to a mentor <br> 3. Conduct Data Chats | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach | 1. i- Observation <br> 2. Data Chats <br> 3. RTI Meetings | 1. Student Progress Report <br> 2. Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exams <br> 3. Teachermade/District Assessments |
| 2 | Teachers may not be able to review all the tested benchmarks with their students prior to the end of the school year and state assessments. | 1. Modify student assignments and utilize computer- based programs. <br> 2. Teachers will initiate parent contact and notify support staff (behavior specialist, guidance counselor, social worker, RTI, etc) of excessive unexcused absences. <br> 3. Continuously monitor student progress. | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> School Social Worker <br> Behavior Specialist <br> School Psychologist | 1. i- Observations <br> 2. Data Chats <br> 3. RTI Meetings | 1. Student Progress Report <br> 2. RTI Follow-up <br> 3. Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exams <br> 4. Teachermade/District Assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. <br> Algebra Goal \#3C: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine <br> Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making <br> satisfactory progress in Algebra. <br> Algebra Goal \#3D: | $5 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities will make satisfactory <br> progress in Algebra 1. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
|  |  |


| Data not available |  |  | (Pending Data) of SWD AYP students will show proficiency in math |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | The teacher's instructional style may not be engaging for all students | 1. Teachers will attend PLC sessions to become familiar with best practices. <br> 2. Technology and manipulatives will be used to engage students and cement learning. <br> 3. Modifications will be made to lessons and assignments. | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> ESE Facilitator <br> ESOL Coordinator | 1. i- Observation <br> 2. Teacher Peer Observations <br> 3. PLC Meetings <br> 4. Professional Development Days <br> 5. Data Chats | 1. Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exam <br> 2. Teachermade/District Assessments <br> 3. Student SelfAssessment |
| 2 | Data chats are not facilitated frequently with the students. | 1. Conduct data chats with students and teachers. <br> 2. Virtual Counselor Student Data Scavenger Hunt. <br> 3. Peer-Observations | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) Math Teachers Leadership Team | 1. Leadership Team will meet monthly to discuss school- wide data. <br> 2. Math Coach will meet with math teachers to discuss student data. <br> 3. Teachers will conduct data chats with students each semester. | . Data Chat Logs <br> 2. Student Self Assessment |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. <br> Algebra Goal \#3E: |  |  | Increase the percentage of Economically Disadvantaged students making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1 by 8\%. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Data not available |  |  | Pending Data) of Economically disadvantaged AYP students will show proficiency in math in 2013. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Students may not be placed in appropriate courses based on their achievement level. | 1.Assess struggling students using an alternative assessment such as the GMADE. <br> 2. Based on the data obtained from the FCAT and alternative assessments along with recommendations from the math matrix, struggling students will be placed in Pre- Algebra, Informal Geometry or Liberal Arts courses. | Sherrie Poitier (Guidance Director) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> Math Teachers | 1. Review of Data student progress on a monthly basis | 1. Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exam <br> 2. Teachermade/District Assessments <br> 3. Alternative Assessments |

## Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in <br> Geometry. <br> Geometry Goal \#1: | $30 \%$ of the students will score a level 3 on the Geometry <br> EOC assessment. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| $27 \%$ (7 Students) achieved Level 3 | $30 \%$ of the students will score at achievement level 3. |


| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Multiple levels of student learners are grouped in each course | 1. Integrate differentiated instruction using the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM) and Marzano's High Yield Strategies. <br> 2. Utilize the district pacing guides to support direct instruction and administer assessments aligned with Next Generation Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS) and Common Core State Standards (CCSS). <br> 3. Use test data to monitor student progress and areas needing re-teaching for continuous progress and provide accommodations and modifications to the curriculum. <br> 4. Incorporate the use of technology into daily instruction (High School Compass Odyssey, Symphony Math, Gizmo's, Understanding Math, Resources from the Online Textbook and Math Wiki). <br> 5. Engage students in Geometry End- of | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> Math Teachers | 1. i- Observation <br> 2. Data Chats <br> 3. Professional Learning Community (PLC) Meetings | 1. Lesson Study Plan <br> 2. Geometry End of Course (EOC) Exam <br> 3. Teachermade/District Assessments <br> 4. Student SelfAssessment <br> 5. Student Progress Report |



| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry. <br> Geometry Goal \#2: |  |  | Increase the number of students demonstrating level 4 and 5 proficiency to $6 \%$. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 0\% (0 students) scored level 4 or 5 |  |  | Increase the number of students achieving Level 4 and 5 proficiency to 6\% |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Instruction lacks depth of rigor and relevance | 1. Integrate differentiated instruction using the 8Step Instructional Process and Marzano's High Yield Strategies. <br> 2. Explain and illustrate the use of mathematical terms and concepts; make connections to use of terms in everyday application and language. <br> 3. Utilize the district pacing guide/ IFC to support direct instruction by identifying assessed benchmarks and administering assessments aligned with NGSSS/CCSS <br> 4. Construct math tests, which incorporate real world applications at all levels of high order thinking skills. <br> 5. Engage students in Geometry End- of Course prep/remediation sessions. | Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> Math Teachers | 1. i- Observation <br> 2. Data Chats <br> 3. PLC Meetings | . Lesson Study Plan <br> 2. Geometry End of Course (EOC) Exam <br> 3. Teachermade/District Assessments <br> 4. Student Progress Reports |



Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. <br> Geometry Goal \#3B: <br> Increase the percentage of students making satisfactory progress by $3 \%$ for the Black sub- group. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Blacks: 73\% (19 students) <br> Whites: N/A <br> Hispanics: N/A <br> Indians: N/A <br> Asians: N/A |  |  | Black : 30\% <br> White: N/A <br> Hispanic: <br> N/A <br> Indians: N/A <br> Asian: N/A performance Black - 19 <br> Expected performance Hispanic - 31 <br> Expected performance Asian - 15 |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Teachers may not be familiar with or understand the issues that overwhelm students on a daily basis | 1. Modify student assignments and utilize computer- based programs. <br> 2. Assign students to a mentor. <br> 3. Conduct Data Chats | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) | 1. i- Observation <br> 2. Data Chats <br> 3. RTI Meetings | 1. Student Progress Report <br> 2. Geometry End of Course (EOC) Exams <br> 3. Teachermade/District Assessments |
| 2 | Teachers may not be able to review all the tested benchmarks with their students prior to the end of the school year and state assessment. | 1. Modify student assignments and utilize computer- based programs. <br> 2. Teachers will initiate parent contact and notify support staff (behavior specialist, guidance counselor, social worker, RTI, etc) of excessive unexcused absences. <br> 3. Continuously monitor student progress | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> School Social Worker <br> Behavior Specialist <br> School Psychologist | 1. i- Observation <br> 2. Data Chats <br> 3. RTI Meetings | 1. Student Progress Report <br> 2. RTI Follow-up <br> 3. Geometry End of Course (EOC) Exams <br> 4. Teachermade/District Assessments |
|  | Data chats are not facilitated frequently with the students. | 1. Conduct data chats with students and teachers. <br> 2. Virtual Counselor Student Data | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) | 1. Leadership Team will meet monthly to discuss school- wide data. <br> 2. Math Coach will meet | 1. Data Chat Logs <br> 2. Student Self Assessment |


| 3 | $\|$Scavenger Hunt. <br> 3. Peer- Observations | Math Teachers | with math teachers to <br> discuss student data. <br> Leadership Team |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3. Teachers will <br> conduct <br> data chats with <br> students each <br> semester. |  |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making <br> satisfactory progress in Geometry. <br> Geometry Goal \#3C: | $25 \%$ of the ELL students will make satisfactory progress <br> in Geometry. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ Current Level of Performance: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ Expected Level of Performance: |
| $100 \%$ (4 students) ELL Learners did not make <br> satisfactory progress | $25 \%$ of the ELL AYP students will make satisfactory <br> progress in Geometry in 2012-2013 |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making

| Geometry Goal \#3D: |  |  | satisfactory progress in Geometry. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| $100 \%$ (2 students) (SWD) did not make satisfactory progress in Geometry |  |  | $50 \%$ of the ELL AYP students will make satisfactory progress in Geometry 2012-2013 |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | The teacher's instructional style may not be engaging for all students | 1. Teachers will attend PLC sessions to become familiar with best practices. <br> 2. Technology and manipulatives will be used to engage students and cement learning. <br> 3. Modifications will be made to lessons and assignments. | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> ESE Facilitator <br> ESOL Coordinator | 1. i- Observation <br> 2. Teacher Peer Observations <br> 3. PLC Meetings <br> 4. Professional Development Days <br> 5. Data Chats | 1. Lesson Study Plan <br> 2. Geometry End of Course (EOC) Exam <br> 3. Teachermade/District Assessments <br> 4. Student SelfAssessment |
| 2 | Data chats are not facilitated frequently with the students. | 1. Conduct data chats with students and teachers. <br> 2. Virtual Counselor Student Data Scavenger Hunt. <br> 3. Peer- Observations | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> Math Teachers <br> Leadership Team | 1. Leadership Team will meet monthly to discuss school- wide data. <br> 2. Math Coach will meet with math teachers to discuss student data. <br> 3. Teachers will conduct data chats with students each semester. | 1. Data Chat Logs <br> 2. Student Self Assessment |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. <br> Geometry Goal \#3E: |  | Increase the percentage of Economically Disadvantaged students making satisfactory progress in Geometry by 7\%. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 77\% (17 students) did not ma Geometry | ake satisfactory progress | $\text { in } \begin{aligned} & 330 \text { of Econom } \\ & \text { make satisfacto } \end{aligned}$ | mically disadvantaged ory progress in Geome | students will |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Students may not be placed in appropriate courses based on their achievement level. | 1. Assess struggling students using an alternative assessment such as the GMADE. <br> 2. Based on the data | Sherrie Poitier (Guidance Director) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) | 1. Review of Data student progress on a monthly basis. | 1. Geometry End of Course (EOC) Exam <br> 2. Teachermade/District |


| 1 |  | obtained from the FCAT and alternative assessments along with recommendations from the math matrix, struggling students will be placed in PreAlgebra, Informal Geometry or Liberal Arts courses. | Math Teachers |  | Assessments <br> 3. Alternative Assessments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Data chats are not facilitated frequently with the students. | 1. Conduct data chats with students and teachers. <br> 2. Virtual Counselor Student Data Scavenger Hunt. <br> 3. Peer-Observations | Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) <br> Renee Hudson (Math Coach) <br> Math Teachers <br> Leadership Team | 1. Leadership Team will meet monthly to discuss school-wide data. <br> 2. Math Coach will meet with math teachers to discuss student data. <br> 3. Teachers will conduct data chats with students each semester. | 1. Data Chat Logs <br> 2. Student Self Assessment |

## Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Followup/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The PLC will focus on how to successfully implement the Common Core State Standards across the curriculum | 7-12 | PLC | All teachers | September 16, 2012 <br> October 4, 2012 <br> November 1, 2012 <br> December 6, 2012 <br> J anuary 10, 2013 <br> February 21, 2013 <br> March 14, 2013 <br> April 4, 2013 | PLC binder will be maintained and will contain the following: <br> a) Reflection Logs <br> b) Agendas <br> c) Handouts | PLC Facilitators |
| Symphony Math | 7- <br> 12/Mathematics | PLC | Math Teachers | tba | TBA Teachers will monitor student progress on the program | Math Teacher |

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s)

$\left.$| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | | Available |
| ---: |
| Amount | \right\rvert\,


|  |  |  | Subtotal: \$1,000.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Understanding <br> Math / Gizmos/Symphony Math Programs | A wireless laptop cart will be utilized to assist teachers with diagnosing and remediating students math skills: <br> Understanding Math Plus, Gizmos and Symphony Math | School | \$10,000.00 |
| TI-34 Multi-View Scientific Calculator and TI 84 Graphing Calculator | Students will use the hand-held calculators in Geometry, Algebra II and ACT classes on a daily basis to become familiar with the use and function of a scientific/graphing calculator. Approved calculators will also be available for students to use on both the Geometry EOC and ACT assessments. | school | \$2,500.00 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal: \$12,500.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Grand Total: \$13,500.00 |  |  |  |

## Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.

Science Goal \#1a:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | $80 \%$ of all stud enrolled in scie | dents will achieve a "C ence courses. | or higher while |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| In 2012, the mean scale score for 8th grade students on the Science FCAT was 227. 4\% (4) achieved a level 3 or above. |  | By June 2012, the mean scale score for students on the Science FCAT will increase by $25 \%$. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Teachers do not utilize high interest manipulatives and materials during lesson presentation therefore students unmotivated. | Students will participate in hands- on enrichment to connect learning and relate | Katie Moncrief, Science Coach <br> Science <br> Teachers | iobservation Lesson Plans Science Coach will have weekly communication with instructors. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Science FCAT } \\ & \text { Science Fair } \\ & \text { BAT } \\ & \text { Science Mini } \\ & \text { Assessments } \\ & \text { Teacher Made } \end{aligned}$ |


| 1 |  | scientific thinking. <br> EOC remediation |  | Administration will have monthly Data Chats with teachers and students. | Test <br> Lab Report using the District template. <br> Compass Odyssey remediation for Biology EOC. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Students experience difficulty grasping the abstract/inquiry based concepts of the science curriculum. | Scientific Thinking benchmarks will be interwoven into daily instruction. <br> Students will participate in hands-on enrichment and computer based learning to connect learning and relate scientific thinking. <br> Teachers will demonstrate ways that science relates to everyday life experiences. <br> Student comprehension of science concepts will be increased through the use of guest speakers and field trips. activities. <br> Scientific Thinking benchmark will be interwoven into daily instruction. <br> Students will participate in hands- on enrichment to connect learning and relate scientific thinking. <br> Teachers will develop higher order test questions that align to science test item specifications. <br> Teachers will participate in test specs training. <br> Teachers will demonstrate ways that science relates to everyday life experiences. <br> Student motivation in science will be increased through the use of guest speakers. | Science <br> Department <br> Chair/Coach <br> Science Teacher <br> Science <br> Administrator | iObservation Lesson Plans Science Coach will have weekly communication with instructors. <br> Administration will have monthly Data Chats with teachers and students. | Science FCAT <br> Biology EOC <br> BAT <br> Science Mini <br> Assessments <br> Teacher Made <br> Test <br> Lab Report using the District template <br> Compass <br> Odyssey <br> remediation for Biology EOC template. <br> Compass <br> Odyssey remediation for Biology EOC. |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal \#1b: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. <br> Science Goal \#2a: |  | By June 2012, the mean scale score for grade 8 students on the Science FCAT will increase by $10 \%$.By June 2012, $60 \%$ of the students taking the Biology EOC will attain a passing score. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| In 2011, 4\% (4) of 8th graders achieved a level 3 or above on the science FCAT. 0\% (0) achieved a level 4 or above. $0 \%$ (0) of the 11 grade students scored a level 4 or above. |  | By June 2012, the mean scale score for grade 8 students on the Science FCAT will increase by 10\%.By June 2012, 60\% of the students taking the Biology EOC will attain a passing score. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Previous science instruction has not exposed students to facets of science that would motivate and engage them. | Teachers will provide a culturally responsive classroom that places emphasis on hands on activities. <br> Biology EOC remediation (via Compass Odyssey) will be used as bell ringers and remediation activities. <br> Teachers will accommodate varying learning styles through the integration of differentiated classroom instructional strategies. <br> Teachers will demonstrate how science is infused in all aspects of everyday | Katie Moncrief, Science Coach <br> Science <br> Teachers <br> Administration | Observations by Administrators (CWT) Review of lesson plans Test data from common <br> Assessments Administration will have monthly Data Chats with teachers and students. <br> Science Coach will have weekly communication with teachers. <br> Create and monitor the effective use of lab schedules. | FCAT (Grade 8) <br> Biology EOC <br> BAT <br> Science Mini <br> Assessments <br> Teacher Made <br> Test <br> Lab Report using <br> the District <br> template <br> Compass <br> Odyssey remediation for Biology EOC |


| 1 |  | \|life. <br> Teachers will utilize vocabulary development strategies such as word walls and graphic organizers to increase vocabulary attainment. <br> Teachers will measure the progress of all students through the use of data collected from district provided assessments. differentiated classroom instructional strategies. <br> Teachers will demonstrate how science is infused in all aspects of everyday life. <br> Teachers will utilize vocabulary development strategies such as word walls and graphic organizers to increase vocabulary attainment. <br> Teachers will measure the progress of all students through the use of data collected from district provided assessments. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Teachers do not cover required labs during classroom instruction due to lack of instruction time. | Teachers will provide a culturally responsive classroom that places emphasis on hands on activities. <br> Biology EOC remediation (via Compass Odyssey) will be used as bell ringers and remediation activities. <br> Teachers will accommodate varying learning styles through the integration of differentiated classroom instructional strategies. <br> Teachers will demonstrate how science is infused in all aspects of everyday life. <br> Teachers will utilize vocabulary development strategies such as word walls and graphic organizers to increase vocabulary attainment. <br> Teachers will measure | Administrators <br> Science department chair Science Coach Science Teachers | 2.1. <br> Observations by Administrators (CWT) Review of lesson plans Test data from common Assessments Administration will have monthly Data Chats with teachers and students. <br> Science Coach will have weekly communication with teachers. <br> Create and monitor the effective use of lab schedules. | FCAT (Grade 8) Biology EOC BAT <br> Science Mini Assessments Teacher Made Test Lab Report using the District template Compass Odyssey remediation for Biology EOC |


|  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |\(\left|\begin{array}{l}the progress of all <br>

students through the <br>
use of data collected <br>
from district provided <br>

assessments.\end{array}\right| \quad\)

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. <br> Science Goal \#2b: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |

## Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., $70 \%$ (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.

Science Goal \#1:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible <br> for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define

| 2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science. <br> Science Goal \#2: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine <br> Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |

## Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology. <br> Biology Goal \#1: |  | 45\% of all students enrolled in Biology will receive a passing score on the Biology EOC. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| The mean scale score for students taking the Biology EOC was 34. 13\% (8) of the students tested scored a level 2. $0 \%$ ( 0 ) of the students scored a level 3 or above. |  | By June 2013, the mean scale score for students on the Biology EOC will increase by $25 \%$. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Previous science instruction has not exposed students to facets of science that would motivate and engage them. | Biology EOC remediation materials will be used as bell ringers. The science resource teacher/coach will provide push in and pullout remediation activities. <br> Scientific Thinking benchmarks will be interwoven into daily instruction. <br> Students will participate in hands- on enrichment | Science <br> Department <br> Chair/Coach <br> Science Teacher <br> Science <br> Administrator <br> Guidance <br> Counselor <br> Family Counselor <br> RTI team | I- Observation Lesson Plans Science Coach will have weekly communication with instructors. <br> Administration will have monthly Data Chats with teachers and students. | Biology EOC BAT <br> Science Mini Assessments <br> Teacher Made Test <br> Lab Report using the District template |


| 1 |  | and computer based learning to connect learning and relate scientific thinking. <br> Teachers will demonstrate ways that science relates to everyday life experiences. <br> Student comprehension of science concepts will be increased through the use of guest speakers and field trips. <br> Teachers will accommodate varying learning styles through the integration of differentiated classroom instructional strategies. <br> Teachers will utilize vocabulary development strategies such as word walls and graphic organizers to increase vocabulary attainment. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Students have poor class attendance | Science teachers will contact the parents of students with poor attendance. <br> Science teachers will notify the guidance counselor/family counselor of students with poor attendance. <br> Students with poor attendance will be referred to RTI. | Science <br> Department <br> Chair/Coach <br> Science Teacher <br> Science <br> Administrator <br> Guidance <br> Counselor <br> Family Counselor <br> RTI team | Parent Contact Logs Science Coach will have weekly communication with instructors. | Parent Contact Logs RTI referrals Guidance/Family Counselor referrals. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:



| science concepts will |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| be increased through |  |
| the use of guest |  |
| speakers and field |  |
| trips. |  |
| Teachers will |  |
| accommodate varying |  |
| learning styles through |  |
| the integration of |  |
| differentiated |  |
| classroom instructional |  |
| strategies. |  |
| Teachers will utilize |  |
| vocabulary |  |
| development strategies |  |
| such as word walls and |  |
| graphic organizers to |  |
| increase vocabulary |  |
| attainment. |  |
|  |  |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| ```PD Content / Topic and/ or PLC Focus``` | Grade Level/ Subject | PD Facilitator and/ or PLC Leader | PD <br> Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g. , early release) and Schedules (e.g., <br> frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Followup/ Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Science Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Increasing the use of interactive, inquiry based science | Gizmos/Explore Learning | accountability | \$1,500.00 |
| Biology EOC Remediation | Compass Odyssey | accountability | \$300.00 |
|  |  |  | \$1,800.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |


| Required labs | District | $\$ 900.00$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Subtotal: $\mathbf{\$ 9 0 0 . 0 0}$ |  |

## Writing Goals

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. <br> Writing Goal \#1a: |  |  | 10\% increase in Level 4 to 5 20\% increase in Level 1 to 2 |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| $39 \%$ of 10th graders scored a level 4 or above. $67 \%$ of 8 th graders scored a level 4 or above |  |  | $50 \%$ of 10th graders will score a level 4 or above $75 \%$ of 8 th graders will score a level 4 or above. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Lack of rigorous secondary writing skill instruction for students. | 1. Writing Across the Curriculum <br> 2. All 8th and 10th grade students will participate in a writing camp (twice during the 2011-2012) on using FCAT instructional strategies to improve student scores. <br> 3. District approved writing materials will be routinely used as part of the language arts and English curriculum. | 1. Sternberg and Culver <br> 2. <br> Sternberg, <br> Cancilla and McNish <br> 3. <br> Leadership Team. | 1. $39 \%$ scored a 4.0 or higher on the Writing Essay portion. <br> 2. FCAT writing scores will be used to determine success. | 1. 2012 FCAT data and graduation rates. 2. Six-Traits Writing Rubric |
| 2 | Barriers to communication prevent understanding of the message, making writing ineffective. | All 8th and 10th grade students will participate in a writing camp; using FCAT instructional strategies to improve student scores. | Sydney Culver and Joan Sternberg | Immediate feedback and results of essay will determine effectiveness. | Writing camp scores. |
| 3 | . Lack of written expression, unable to relate to topic. | District approved writing materials will be routinely used as part of the language arts and English curriculum. | . Sydney Culver and Joan Sternberg | Use of CCSS in order to increase the ability to write logical arguments. | FCAT writing results |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring
at 4 or higher in writing.
Writing Goal \#1b:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $39 \%$ of 10th graders scored a level 4 or above. $67 \%$ of 8th graders scored a level 4 or above. |  |  | $50 \%$ of 10th graders will score a level 4 or above $75 \%$ of 8th graders will score a level 4 or above. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Underdeveloped verbal vocabulary needed to progress to the next level. | Use of Vocabulary Strategies book in Reading | Sydney Culver, J oan Sternberg and Olga Wilson | Monitoring of vocabulary use within the context of a school period in Reading/English. | Weekly essay assessments |
| 2 | . Lack of written expression, unable to relate to topic. | . District approved writing materials will be routinely used as part of the Language Arts and English curriculum. | Sydney Culver and Joan Sternberg | Use of CCSS in order to increase the ability to write logical arguments. | FCAT writing results. |
| 3 | Grammar and Convention usage. | District approved writing materials will be routinely used as part of the language arts and English curriculum. | Sydney Culver and Joan Sternberg | Use of CCSS and reiteration of complex texts and its use in essay writing for higher levels (i.e. ACT) | FCAT writing results. |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Content / Topic <br> and/ or PLC <br> Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD <br> Facilitator <br> and/ or PLC <br> Leader | PD Participants <br> (e.g., PLC, <br> subject, grade <br> level, or school- <br> wide) | Target Dates (e.g., <br> early release) and <br> Schedules (e.g., <br> frequency of <br> meetings) | Strategy for <br> Follow- <br> up/ Monitoring | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring |
| Incorporating <br> Common <br> Core <br> Standards <br> Throughout <br> the <br> Curriculum | $8-12$ | PLC |  |  |  |  |

## Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s)

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No Data | No Data | No Data |
|  |  |  |
| Technology | Description of Resources | Funding Source |
| Strategy | No Data | No Data |
| No Data |  |  |
|  | Description of Resources | Funding Source |


| Other | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Strategy | No Data | No Data | $\$ 0.00$ |
| No Data |  |  | Subtotal: $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 0}$ |
|  |  | Grand Total: $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 0}$ |  |

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

| * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)). |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics. Civics Goal \#1: |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.

Civics Goal \#2:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD <br> Content / Topic and/ or PLC Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD Facilitator and/ or PLC Leader | PD <br> Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g. , early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Followup/ Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Civics Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | tal: \$0.00 |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | tal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | tal: \$0.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Grand Total: \$0.00 |  |  |  |

## U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.
U.S. History Goal \# 1:

2012 Current Level of Performance:
2013 Expected Level of Performance:

|  |  | Person or <br> Anticipated Barition <br> Responsible <br> for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD <br> Content / Topic and/ or PLC Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD Facilitator and/ or PLC Leader | PD <br> Participants (e.g., <br> PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g. , early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Followup/ Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. History Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) | Funding Source | Available <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | $\$ 0.00$ |  |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | Subtotal: $\$ 0.00$ |
|  |  |  | Available <br> Amount |
| Technology | Description of Resources | Funding Source |  |
| Strategy |  |  |  |


| No Data | No Data | No Data | $\$ 0.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  |  | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available <br> Amount |
| Strategy | No Data | No Data | $\$ 0.00$ |
| No Data |  |  | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
|  | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available |
| Other | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Strategy |  |  | Subrand Total: \$0.00 |

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

## Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

| 1. Attendance <br> Attendance Goal \#1: |  |  | By June 2013, $87 \%$ of students will be in attendance during 2013 school year. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Attendance Rate: |  |  | 2013 Expected Attendance Rate: |  |  |
| 80\% of students were in attendance regularly during the 2012-2013 school year. |  |  | 87\% of the students will be in attendance during the 2013 school year. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) |  |  | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) |  |  |
| 660 students had excessive absences in the 2013 school year. |  |  | Excessive student absences will decrease by 10\%(594). |  |  |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) |  |  | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) |  |  |
| 293 students had excessive tardies in the 2013 school year. |  |  | Excessive tardies will decrease by 10\% (263). |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person Responsible | r Position for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1. Teacher expectations may be lower for economically disadvantaged students. | 1.Student parents will be contacted and informed frequently of their child's attendance, four unexcused tardies or absences the parents will be required to report to the school for a parent conference. The administrative team will generate a "watch | 1. Teachers, Gu support staff an <br> 2.Teachers ,the team, curriculum guidance. <br> 3.Behvioral specialist,Security and all other pe staff. | idance and d administration. <br> leadership specialist and <br> ity,Administration rtinent support | 1.Review of the students status per pinnacle <br> 2. Class room walk throughs, assessments, FCAT and promotions; Monitoring attendance, grades and discipline reports. <br> 3.All parent conferences and contact information | 1.Documentation <br> 2. Report cards, attendance and discipline reportsPromotions, Classroom Assessments and the FCAT exam 3.Terms and Pinnacle Data Base and the school phone tree |


|  | \|list" at the mid- point of each semester and at the end of the first semester. The "watch list" will consist of students that are not making adequate progress towards grade progression (i.e. academic grades, attendance and discipline). <br> Students on this list will meet with the appropriate administrator, guidance, teachers and parent or guardian and receive personalized attention and assistance in meeting the school and district's expectation for graduation <br> 2.Utilize culturally responsive teaching techniques to create a risk-free classroom environment that values all learners. <br> 3. Ensure that elgible students are aware of the school boundarys and school board policy in reference to attendance and tardiness; support staff will monitor bus assignments. |  | will be documented in Terms/Pinnacle |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.Teachers may not present material in ways that appeal best to students' minds, resulting in decreased academics, behavior and attendance. | 2.All seniors will be monitored bimonthly by the Target Graduation Council addressing academic, behavior and attendance issues and enhanced students will the interventions to graduate. | 2.Target Graduation Council, teachers and adminisration. | 2. The council will review pinnacle and meet with the students twice a month reviewing , counseling and interpreting data to enhance student achievement. | 2.Report cards, attendance and discipline reports and end of the year graduation rates. |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| ```PD Content / Topic and/ or PLC Focus``` | Grade Level/ Subject | PD Facilitator and/ or PLC Leader | PD <br> Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g. , early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Followup/ Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | tal: \$0.00 |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | tal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | tal: \$0.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | tal: \$0.00 |
| Grand Total: \$0.00 |  |  |  |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

## Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need <br> of improvement: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Suspension <br> Suspension Goal \#1: | Whiddon Rogers Education Center will decrease internal <br> and external suspensions by 10\% for the 2012/2013 <br> school year. |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ Total Number of I n- School Suspensions | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ Expected Number of I n-School Suspensions |
| 149 | 190 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ Total Number of Students Suspended In-School | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ Expected Number of Students Suspended In- <br> School |
| 160 | 200 |


| 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions |  |  | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 150 |  |  | 175 |  |  |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-ofSchool |  |  | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School |  |  |
| 200 |  |  | 225 |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | School communication with parent/guardian. | Parents will be actively recruited to participate in school activities. Instructional personnel will initiate proactive methods of parent communication in an effort to reduce negative behavior. | Behavior <br> Specialist <br> Assistant Principal <br> School Social <br> Worker | Documented interventions, student conference, parent contacts, parent conferences and RTI refferals. <br> 2. Reduction of external/internal suspensions and student behavioral refferals; increased student achievement. <br> 3. Monitored by administration Refferrals, internal and external suspensions | 1.Terms <br> 2.Pinnacle <br> 3.Discipline Matrix |
| 2 | Lack of classroom Management | Teachers will utilize proactive discipline plan. <br> Instructional personnel will practice CHAMP's. | Behavior <br> Specialist <br> Assistant Principal <br> School Social <br> Worker <br> Department Chair | 1.Documented interventions, student conference, parent contacts, parent conferences and RTI refferals. <br> 2. Reduction of external/internal suspensions and student behavioral refferals; increased student achievement. 3.Monitored by administration Refferrals, internal and external suspensions | 1.Terms <br> 2.Pinnacle <br> 3.Discipline Matrix |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| ```PD Content / Topic and/ or PLC Focus``` | Grade Level/ Subject | PD Facilitator and/ or PLC Leader | PD <br> Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g. , early release) and Schedules (e.g., <br> frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Followup/ Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | tal: \$0.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

## Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:


| 1 | 1.Years of negative student and staff relationships may cause a breach in academic education. | team will generate a "watch list". The "watch list" will consist of students that are not making adequate progress towards grade progression ( academic, behavior and attendance). <br> Students on this list will <br> meet with the appropriate administrator, guidance, <br> teachers and parent or <br> guardian and receive personalized attention and assistance in meeting the school and district's expectation for graduation <br> 2.Each member of the administrative team and other school leaders will mentor an identified "at risk" student on a regular basis to encourage and motivate student success. <br> 3.WREC has expanded and improved Prevention Programs to motivate, encourage and celebrate success of our students and improve student achievement. | counselors, the family counselor and support staff. <br> 2. <br> Administration,Teachers, guidance counselor, family counselor and appropriate support staff. <br> 3.Administration, curriculum specialist and teachers. | student progress through scheduled reports and updates. <br> 2.Discussion with Administative Team and other school leaders. 3.Monitoring attendance, grades and discipline. | attendance and discipline reports. <br> 2.Overall school graduation rate data. <br> 3. Report cards, attendance and discipline reports. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3. Due to teachers inability to understand the latest issues in academic and behavioral trends, some students may lose interest in school or become academically deficient. | 3.students will be referred to RTI , school counselors, mentoring groups and other student support programs. | 3.Target Graduation council, Response to Intervention committee, guidance counselors,teachers and administrators | 3.Meeting with students and their parents/guardians on a consistent basis. Monitoring attendance, behavior and academics. | Attendance reports, reports cards, testing assessments and graduation data. |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

| PD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Content / Topic <br> and/ or PLC <br> Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD <br> Facilitator <br> and/ or PLC <br> Leader | PD Participants <br> (e.g., PLC, <br> subject, grade <br> level, or school- <br> wide) | Target Dates (e.g., <br> early release) and <br> Schedules (e.g., <br> frequency of <br> meetings) | Strategy for <br> Follow- <br> up/ Monitoring | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring |
| Increasing <br> Academic <br> Rigor Across <br> the Content <br> Area. | $7-12$ | Sydney <br> Culver | School Wide | professional study <br> days | data <br> Logs | administration |

Dropout Prevention Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  | Available <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | $\$ 0.00$ |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | Funding Source |
| Technology | Description of Resources | Available |  |
| Amount |  |  |  |$|$| $\$ 0.00$ |
| :---: |
| Strategy |
| No Data |

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

## Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. Parent I nvolvement

Parent I nvolvement Goal \#1:
*Please refer to the percentage of parents who
participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.

2012 Current Level of Parent I nvolvement:
2013 Expected Level of Parent I nvolvement:

A improved parent proactive documentation system for student achievement(529)

Terms; pinnacle and improved parent proactive documentation for student achievement.(481)

Parent contacts will increase by 10\%; teachers and staff will contact parents quarterly a minimal of two documented interventions per quarter in reference to student attendance, behavior, academic and testing status.

Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 1 | Lack of engaging activities to attract parent involvement | Provide parents with stimulating and engaging topics of interest. <br> Allow parents to actively participate on school committees. <br> Schedule monthly activities and night to allow parent participation <br> Will utilize communication links such as flyers, Parentlink, school website, the school marquee, electronic newsletter,email, and phone calls to improve parent contact <br> 3.Parents will be involved in the Target Graduation counsel, Response to Intervention sessions and other meetings with teachers, guidance counselors and other school support staff. | Principal Assistant Principal School Social Worker Behavior Specialist SAC Chair <br> 3.Target Graduation Council, Response To Intervention Committee, guidance counselors,teachers and administrators. | Will review parent interest survey and provide feedback. Monitor parent contact links. <br> Monitor parent contact links <br> Staff will monitor communication of current events forwarded to parents <br> Staff will document meetings and conversations with parents on terms. | 1.Parent Survey 2. Quality assurance survey, terms and Pinnacle. <br> 3. School survey, parent conferences, parent contacts and sign in sheets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD <br> Content / Topic <br> and/ or PLC <br> Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD <br> Facilitator <br> and/ or PLC <br> Leader | PD Participants <br> (e.g., PLC, <br> subject, grade <br> level, or school- <br> wide) | Target Dates <br> (e.g., early <br> release) and <br> Schedules (e.g., <br> frequency of <br> meetings) | Strategy for <br> Follow- <br> up/ Monitoring | Person or Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Effective <br> communication <br> with parents | $6-12$ | Behavior <br> Specialist <br> School Social <br> Worker | School Wide |  | Analyze parent <br> surveys and <br> attendance logs | Principal/Assistant |

Parent I nvolvement Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s)

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Parent PDD - What does your <br> child need to graduate? |  | SAC | $\$ 500.00$ |
|  |  |  | Subtotal: $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Technology | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available <br> Amount |
| Strategy | No Data | No Data | $\$ 0.00$ |
| No Data |  |  | Subtotal: $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 0}$ |

Professional Development

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | $\$ 0.00$ |
|  |  |  | Subtotal: $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Other | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available |
| Amount |  |  |  |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | $\$ 0.00$ |
|  |  |  | Srand Total: $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

## Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. STEM <br> STEM Goal \#1: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD <br> Content / Topic and/ or PLC Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD Facilitator and/ or PLC Leader | PD <br> Participants (e.g., <br> PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g. , early release) and Schedules (e.g., <br> frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Followup/ Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## STEM Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available <br> Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | $\$ 0.00$ |
|  |  |  | Subtotal: $\$ 0.00$ |
| Technology |  |  |  |


| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Grand Total: \$0.00 |  |  |  |

End of STEM Goal(s)

## Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. CTE

CTE Goal \#1:

Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible <br> for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD <br> Content / Topic and/ or PLC Focus | Grade Level/ Subject | PD Facilitator and/ or PLC Leader | PD <br> Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g. , early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Followup/ Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Data Submitted |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## CTE Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Grand Total: \$0.00 |  |  |  |

## Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of <br> Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | To increase students <br> independent and <br> application of reading <br> skills and strategies | School |  |  |
| Reading | Ten-Steps To <br> Improving/Advancing <br> Skill and Vocabulary <br> Workbook | Instructional Materials <br> needed for student <br> instructional. | School |  |


| Reading | PDD/PLC | Technology, Resource materials | School | \$2,000.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | Common Core Standards | To train staff on to accept online standards and utilize standard for lesson planning and delivery | School | \$2,000.00 |
| Subtotal: \$4,000.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Newsletter Teen Food and Health | Reading materials for teens to better prepare students mentally and physically for learning. | school | \$1,000.00 |
| Reading | Physical/Health Activities | Physical activities items/Healthy Eating resources to create and demonstrate. | school | \$1,000.00 |
| Science | Required labs |  | District | \$900.00 |
| Subtotal: \$2,900.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total: \$98,700.00 |  |  |  |  |

## Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance
jn Priority jn Focus jn Prevent jn NA

Are you a reward school: j Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment

## School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance
The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

```
\boxtimes
```

If NO, describe the measures being taken to Comply with SAC Requirement
$\square$

| Describe projected use of SAC funds | Amount |
| :--- | :---: |
| No data submitted |  |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year
$\square$

AYP DATA
Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012
Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011
Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010
SCHOOL GRADE DATA
No Data Found
No Data Found
No Data Found


[^0]:    * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

