# FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: FRANK CRAWFORD MARTIN K-8 CENTER

District Name: Dade

Principal: Felicia Joseph

SAC Chair: Sally Camiliche

Superintendent: Alberto Carvalho

Date of School Board Approval: Pending

Last Modified on: 10/12/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

# PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position        | Name           | Degree(s)/<br>Certification(s)  | # of<br>Years at<br>Current<br>School | # of Years as<br>an<br>Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)  |
|-----------------|----------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Principal       | Felicia Joseph | MS- Reading BS- Elementary Education  Certification: Elementary Education, Primary Education, Reading, Educational Leadership     | 1                                     | 8                                    | '12 '11 '10 '09 '08<br>School Grade A A A A C<br>High Standards Rdg. 69 83 91 90 49<br>High Standards Math 72 85 88 89 61<br>Lrng Gains-Rdg. 72 61 79 73 56<br>Lrng Gains-Math 68 53 68 71 60<br>Gains-Rdg-25% 79 57 76 67 58<br>Gains-Math-25% 75 57 74 55 69 |
| Assis Principal | Robert Hoel    | MS- Exceptional Student Education & Reading BS- Social Studies Education  Certifications: Educational Leadership, Reading, Social | 2                                     | 2                                    | '12 '11 '10 '09 '08<br>School Grade A A D F D<br>High Standards Rdg. 86 91 25 24 24<br>High Standards Math 85 91 55 54 53<br>Lrng Gains-Rdg. 76 71 44 40 46<br>Lrng Gains-Math 79 76 75 66 74<br>Gains-Rdg-25% 72 78 40 47 51<br>Gains-Math-25% 73 77 72 65 76 |

|                 |                         | Science  |   |     |   |
|-----------------|-------------------------|--|---|-----|---|
| Assis Principal | Jacqueline<br>Theriault | MS-ESOL<br>BS-Varying<br>Exceptionalities<br>Certification:<br>Educational<br>Leadership | 1 | 5.5 | '12 '11 '10 '09 '08<br>School Grade A C D C C<br>High Standards Rdg. 86 57 52 57 62<br>High Standards Math 85 55 55 85 9<br>Lrng Gains-Rdg. 76 62 49 34 64<br>Lrng Gains-Math 79 56 48 62 63<br>Gains-Rdg-25% 72 53 50 57 60<br>Gains-Math-25% 73 50 50 57 52 |

#### INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/<br>Certification(s) | # of<br>Years at<br>Current<br>School | # of Years as<br>an<br>Instructional<br>Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
|--------------|------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| n/A          | N/A  |                                |                                       |   |   |

#### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

|   | Description of Strategy   | Person<br>Responsible                                 | Projected<br>Completion<br>Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------|---|
| 1 | 1 Training in IR philosophy   | Principal,<br>Assistant<br>Principal, Lead<br>Teacher | On-going                        |   |
| 2 | Coaching/mentoring: Continue to partner new teachers with veteran staff | Assistant<br>Principal                                | On-going                        |   |
| 3 | 3. Attend job fairs and recruiting opportunities                        | Principal   | On-going                        |   |

# Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

 $^*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% [35]).\\$ 

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|---|---|
| 4- Not Highly Effective<br>0- Out of Field  | Peer Mentoring, Assisting<br>with completion of<br>HOUSSE survey                                    |

# Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number<br>of<br>Instructional<br>Staff | % of<br>First-Year<br>Teachers |           | % of<br>Teachers<br>with 6-14<br>Years of<br>Experience | % of<br>Teachers<br>with 15+<br>Years of<br>Experience | % of<br>Teachers<br>with<br>Advanced<br>Degrees | % Highly<br>Effective<br>Teachers | % Reading<br>Endorsed<br>Teachers |          | % ESOL<br>Endorsed<br>Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|
| 78   | 2.6%(2)                        | 25.6%(20) | 38.5%(30)   | 33.3%(26)  | 42.3%(33)                                       | 82.1%(64)                         | 6.4%(5)                           | 10.3%(8) | 35.9%(28)                      |

# Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name     | Mentee<br>Assigned | Rationale<br>for Pairing | Planned Mentoring<br>Activities   |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Richards, Wendy | Ballard,<br>Regner | Grade Level<br>Chair     | Weekly Team Planning<br>Meetings, Observation of<br>Team Leader Classroom |
| Brown, Denise   | Krause, Emily      | Department<br>Chair      | Weekly Team Planning<br>Meetings, Observation of<br>Team Leader Classroom |
| Brown, Denise   | Krause, Emily      | Department<br>Chair      | Weekly Team Planning<br>Meetings, Observation of<br>Team Leader Classroom |

# ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

# Coordination and Integration

#### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

| programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable. |
|--|
| Title I, Part A  |
|  |
| Title I, Part C- Migrant   |
|  |
| Title I, Part D  |
|  |
| Title II   |
|  |
| Title III  |
|  |
| Title X- Homeless  |
|  |
| Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)  |
| Violence Prevention Programs   |
| Violence Prevention Programs   |
| Nutrition Programs   |
|  |
| Housing Programs   |
|  |
| Head Start   |
|  |
| Adult Education  |

| Career and Technical Education |  |  |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
|                                |  |  |
| Job Training                   |  |  |
|                                |  |  |
| Other                          |  |  |
|                                |  |  |

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

-School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal, Assistant Principals (2), PYP and MYP Coordinators (Elementary and Secondary), SPED teacher (1), Itinerant Instructional Coach – Reading (1), School Psychologist (1), Media Specialist (1), Student Services Personnel (3).

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The team meets monthly to engage in the following activities:

Analyze data to connect instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks. Using the cited information above, the team will identify professional development and resources. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus and making decisions about implementation.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS & MTSS/RtI Leadership Team will meet with the Educational Excellence School Advisory Council (EESAC) and principal to help develop the SIP. The team will provide data on appropriate Tier targets; reflect on academic and social/emotional areas that needs to be addressed; help set clear expectations for IB framework; facilitated the development of a systemic approach to providing tiered intervention for students not meeting grade level expectations

#### MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

The MTSS & MTSS/RtI Leadership Team will meet with the Educational Excellence School Advisory Council (EESAC) and principal to help develop the SIP. The team will provide data on appropriate Tier targets; reflect on academic and social/emotional areas that needs to be addressed; help set clear expectations for IB framework; facilitated the development of a systemic approach to providing tiered intervention for students not meeting grade level expectations

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Training for all administrators in the RtI problem solving at tiers 1,2, and 3. (SST) using the Tier 1 Problem solving Worksheet, Tier 2 problem Solving Worksheet, and Tier 3 Problem Solving Worksheet and Intervention plan. Professional development will be provided during teachers' collaborative planning time throughout the year

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The MTSS will be supported through monthly problem solving RTI meetings and ongoing professional development.

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Pamela F. Brown, (Principal), Robert D. Hoel (Assistant Principal), Jacqueline Theriault, (Assistant Principal), Sheila Brennen (PYP Coordinator) and Dr. Sharon Humphrey, (MYP Coordinators), Diana Makhoul, (SPED teacher, , Itinerant Reading Coach, Dian Adjamah, (Media Specialist), Regener Ballard, Meredith Chin-Sang, Dolores Ruela-Alba, Julia Ahye, Sheila Brennen, Doris Kuehnl, (Team Leaders), Jennifer Wasielewski, Ronald Malone, Jeffry Aladro, Alice Host, (Department Chairs).

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

A key factor to an individual school's success is the building leadership. The principal sets the tone as the school's instructional leader, reinforcing the positive and convincing the students, parents and teachers that all children can learn and improve academically. In essence, the school principal has the potential to have a great impact on student learning through his or her support of teachers and coaches. In order for principals to become instructional leaders, it is imperative that they understand the literacy challenges of the populations of students whom they serve. The itinerant reading/literacy coach is vital in the process of providing job embedded professional development at the school level.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The LLT will assist with the achievement of reading and writing goals as stated in the district CRRP. The LLT will assist teachers implement Reading strategies across all curriculum and grade levels. The LLT will also assist with extrapolate data trends to assist all teachers implement strategies directly related to the needs of the students.

#### Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

\*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

N/A

\*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Utilizing reading strategies in the content area is expected of all teachers. The itinerant reading coach will conduct professional development on different reading strategies and on the importance of reading teachers' use of reading strategies in all their classes, as well as conduct data chats with grade level teams with all teachers. Strategies may include 1.Comprehension strategies: Instruction and supporting practice that improves the use of effective reading strategies before, during, and after reading. 2. Discussion: Opportunities for deeper, more sustained discussion of content from text. Extended discussions of text can be facilitated by the teacher, or can occur as structured discussions among students in cooperative learning groups. 3. High standards: Setting and maintaining high standards for the level of text, conversation, questions, and vocabulary reflected in discussions and in reading and writing assignments. 4. Reading-writing connection: Strengthening the reading-writing connection to improve student opportunities to reflect on the meaning of text and receive feedback on their reflections. 5. Motivation and engagement: Creating more engaging and motivating classrooms, and interacting with students in a way that promotes internal motivation for reading. 6. Content learning: Teachers should use instructional methods, such as graphic organizers or concept comparison routines that deepen understanding and show students better ways of learning new content on their own. Vocabulary development will be embedded in the content across the curriculum in all classes.

\*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

| How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful? |
|---|
|   |
| Postsecondary Transition  |
| Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.  |
| Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>                  |
|   |

# PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

# Reading Goals

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| ^ vvne                      | n using percentages, include   | the number of students the p  | percentage represents                                  | s (e.g., 70% (35)).   |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
|                             | d on the analysis of studen<br>provement for the following   | t achievement data, and reg group:  | eference to "Guiding                                   | g Questions", identify and o  | define areas in nee  |  |  |
| reading.  Reading Goal #1a: |  |   |  | The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading assessment indicate 30% (238) students achieved proficiency (Level 3)  |  |  |  |
|                             |  |   | percentage of s  | e 2012-2013 school year is<br>students achieving proficie<br>nt to 31% (245)  |  |  |  |
| 2012                        | Current Level of Perforn   | mance:  | 2013 Expected  | d Level of Performance:   |  |  |  |
| 30%(                        | 238)   |   | 31% (245)  |   |  |  |  |
|                             | Pr   | oblem-Solving Process t   | to Increase Studer                                     | nt Achievement  |  |  |  |
|                             | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |  |  |
|                             | The area of deficiency in 3rd grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test is reporting category 2: Reading Application.   | Grade 3- Use grade-level appropriate texts that include identifiable author's purpose for writing, including informing, telling a story, conveying a particular mood, entertaining or explaining. Main idea may be stated or implied. Students should be able to identify causal relationships imbedded in text. Students must be familiar with text structures such as cause/effect, compare/contrast, and chronological order |  | Review student data following state, district and teacher created assessments and adjust instruction as needed. The MTSS/RtI TeamTeamwill review data bimonthly and make recommendations based on needs assessment. | Formative: FAIR assessment, district interim assessments and teacher classroom assessments.  Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Assessment |  |  |
| 1                           | The area of deficiency in 4th Grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test is Reporting Category 3. Literacy Analysis Fiction/Non Fiction.  The area of deficiency in 5th Grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test is Reporting Category 3. Literacy Analysis Fiction/Non Fiction. | through the use of graphic organizers.  Grade 4 & 5- Teach students to identify and interpret elements of story structure within and across a variety of texts. Help students understand character  |  |   |  |  |  |

organize information.

|   | The area of deficiency in | Crade ( Has a variety of      | Administrators | Davious student data      | Formative.        |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
|   | _                         | Grade 6- Use a variety of     |                | Review student data       | Formative:        |
|   | 6th grade as noted on     | activities working with       | LLT            | following state, district | FAIR assessment,  |
|   | the 2012 administration   | sets of words that are        |                | and teacher created       | district interim  |
|   | of the FCAT 2.0 Reading   | semantically related.         |                | assessments and adjust    | assessments and   |
|   | Test is reporting         | Practice with prefixes,       |                | instruction as needed.    | teacher classroom |
|   |                           |                               |                | The MTSS/RtI              | assessments.      |
|   | category 1: Vocabulary.   | suffixes, root words,         |                |                           | assessifierits.   |
|   |                           | synonyms, and                 |                | TeamTeamwill review       |                   |
|   |                           | antonyms. Emphasize           |                | data bimonthly and make   | Summative: 2013   |
|   |                           | strategies for deriving       |                | recommendations based     | FCAT 2.0          |
|   |                           | word meanings and word        |                | on needs assessment.      | Assessment        |
|   |                           | relationships from            |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | context. Practice using       |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | context clues to              |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           |                               |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | distinguish the correct       |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | meaning of words that         |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | have multiple meanings.       |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | Students should be able       |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | to distinguish literal from   |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | figurative interpretations.   |                |                           |                   |
|   | The area of deficiency in | g                             |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           |                               |                |                           |                   |
|   | 7th Grade as noted on     | 0 1 7 01 1 1 1 1              |                |                           |                   |
|   | the 2012 administration   | Grade 7- Students should      |                |                           |                   |
|   | of the FCAT 2.0 Reading   | practice locating and         |                |                           |                   |
|   | Test is Reporting         | verifying details, critically |                |                           |                   |
|   | Category 4. Informational |                               |                |                           |                   |
|   | Text/Research Process.    | synthesizing details to       |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | draw correct conclusions.     |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | Teachers should               |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           |                               |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | emphasize instruction         |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | that helps students build     |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | stronger arguments to         |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | support their answers.        |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | Students should explore       |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | shades of meaning to          |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | better identify nuances.      |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | Both students and             |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           |                               |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | teachers should examine       |                |                           |                   |
| 2 |                           | rubrics and the               |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | appropriate benchmarks        |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | to ensure a complete          |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | understanding of the          |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | skills being assessed.        |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | More practice should be       |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | provided with methods of      |                |                           |                   |
|   | The area of deficiency in |                               |                |                           |                   |
|   | The area of deficiency in |                               |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | understanding the term        |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | supporting details in         |                |                           |                   |
|   | S                         | performance tasks.            |                |                           |                   |
|   | Test is Reporting         |                               |                |                           |                   |
|   | Category 2. Reading       | Grade 8- Students should      |                |                           |                   |
|   | Application.              | practice using/identifying    |                |                           |                   |
|   | • •                       | details from the passage      |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | to determine main idea,       |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | plot, and purpose.            |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           |                               |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | Practice in making            |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | inferences, drawing           |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | conclusions, and              |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | identifying implied main      |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | idea and author's             |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | purpose. Use of graphic       |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | organizers to see             |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | patterns and summarize        |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           |                               |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | the main points.              |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | Understand how patterns       |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | support the main idea,        |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | character development,        |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | and author's purpose.         |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | Students should practice      |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | analyzing the author's        |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | perspective, choice of        |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | words, style, and             |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | technique to understand       |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           |                               |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | how these elements            |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | influence the meaning of      |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           | text.                         |                |                           |                   |
|   |                           |                               |                |                           |                   |

| Based on the analysis of sof improvement for the fo                        | student achievement data, ar<br>llowing group: | nd refer   | ence to "Gu                         | uiding Questions", identify                                  | and define areas in need |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| 1b. Florida Alternate As<br>Students scoring at Lev                        | ssessment:<br>rels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.     |  |                                     |  |                          |
| Reading Goal #1b:  |  |  |                                     |  |                          |
| 2012 Current Level of P  | erformance:                                    |  | 2013 Expe                           | ected Level of Performa                                      | nce:                     |
|  |  |  |                                     |  |                          |
|  | Problem-Solving Proce                          | ss to I  | ncrease St                          | udent Achievement  |                          |
| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy                                       | Posit<br>Resp<br>for   | on or<br>tion<br>oonsible<br>toring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool          |
|  | N  | lo Data :  | Submitted                           |  |                          |
|  |  |  |                                     |  |                          |
| Based on the analysis of sof improvement for the fo                        | student achievement data, ar<br>Ilowing group: | nd refer   | ence to "Gu                         | uiding Questions", identify                                  | and define areas in need |
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. |  | The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading assessment tindicate 56% (440) students achieved above proficiency (Level 4 or 5) |                                     |  |                          |
| Reading Goal #2a:  |  |  |                                     | or the 2012-2013 school y<br>e of students achieving ab      |                          |
| 2012 Current Level of P  | erformance:                                    |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |                          |
|  |  |  |                                     |  |                          |

| Problem-Solving | Process to | Increase Student | Achievement |
|-----------------|------------|------------------|-------------|
|                 |            |                  |             |

56% (443)

56%(440)

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | The area of deficiency in 3rd grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test is reporting category 2: Reading Application. | Grade 3- Use grade-level appropriate texts that include identifiable author's purpose for writing, including informing, telling a story, conveying a particular mood, entertaining or explaining. Main idea may be stated or implied. Students should be able to identify and analyze causal relationships imbedded in text. Students must be analyze text structures such as cause/effect, compare/contrast, and chronological order. Provide enrichment activities by analyzing various points of view of the same topic or issue. | LLT  | following state, district<br>and teacher created<br>assessments and adjust<br>instruction as needed.<br>The MTSS/RtI<br>TeamTeamwill review<br>data bimonthly and make<br>recommendations based | Formative: FAIR assessment, district interim assessments and teacher classroom assessments.  Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Assessment |

|   |   | 1  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
|   | Test is Reporting Category 3. Literacy Analysis Fiction/Non Fiction.  The area of deficiency in 5th Grade as noted on the 2012 administration | Grade 4 & 5-Provide enrichment opportunities for students that call for interpretation of story elements within a text. Help students understand character development and character point of view. Review various types of figurative language such as similes, metaphors, and personification and utilize them in student writing.   |  |  |
|   | 6th grade as noted on<br>the 2012 administration<br>of the FCAT 2.0 Reading<br>Test is reporting  | Grade 6- Use a variety of activities working with sets of words that are semantically related. Practice with prefixes, suffixes, root words, synonyms, and antonyms. Emphasize strategies for deriving word meanings and word relationships from context. Practice using context clues to distinguish the correct meaning of words that have multiple meanings. Students should be able to distinguish literal from figurative interpretations. Enrichment activities should include the application of new vocabulary into their writing.   | Review student data following state, district and teacher created assessments and adjust instruction as needed. The MTSS/RtI Team will review data bimonthly and make recommendations based on needs assessment.  Review student work samples for evidence of student generated work which includes well developed purpose and figurative language used properly yet creatively. | Formative: FAIR assessment, district interim assessments and teacher classroom assessments.  Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Assessment |
| 2 | Test is Reporting Category 4. Informational Text/Research Process.  The area of deficiency in 8th Grade as noted on the 2012 administration   | Grade 7 Students should practice enrichment activities by critically analyzing text, and synthesizing details to draw correct conclusions. Teachers should emphasize instruction that helps students build stronger arguments to support their answers. Students should explore shades of meaning to better identify nuances. Both students and teachers should examine rubrics and the appropriate benchmarks to ensure a complete understanding of the skills being assessed. More practice should be provided with methods of development and understanding the term supporting details in performance tasks. |  |  |

| Based on the analysis of s<br>of improvement for the fol   |                   | ta, and refer | ence to "Gı | uiding Questions", iden                                      | tify and define areas in need |
|--|-------------------|---------------|-------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in<br>reading. |                   |               |             |  |                               |
| Reading Goal #2b:  |                   |               |             |  |                               |
| 2012 Current Level of Po   | erformance:       |               | 2013 Exp    | ected Level of Perfor  | mance:                        |
|  |                   |               |             |  |                               |
|  | Problem-Solving F | Process to I  | ncrease St  | tudent Achievement   |                               |
| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy          | for           |             | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool               |
|  |                   | No Data S     | Submitted   |  |                               |

| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning | The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading assessment indicate 76% (491) of students demonstrated learning gains   |
|--|--|
| gains in reading.  Reading Goal #3a:                 | Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students demonstrating learning gains in reading by 5 percentage points to 81%(523). |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:                   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| 76%(491)   | 81%(523)   |
| Problem-Solving Process to                           | Increase Student Achievement   |
|  | Person or Process Used to  |

|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | 3rd grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test is reporting category 2: Reading Application.  The area of deficiency in 4th Grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test is Reporting Category 3. Literacy Analysis Fiction/Non Fiction.  The area of deficiency in 5th Grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test is Reporting | Grade 3- Use grade-level appropriate texts that include identifiable author's purpose for writing, including informing, telling a story, conveying a particular mood, entertaining or explaining. Main idea may be stated or implied. Students should be able to identify causal relationships imbedded in text. Students must be familiar with text structures such as cause/effect, compare/contrast, and chronological order.  Grade 4 & 5 Teach students to identify and interpret elements of story structure within and across a variety of texts. Help students understand character development, character development, character point of view. Use poetry to practice identifying descriptive language that defines moods and provides imagery. Note the use figurative language. Use a variety of informational text documents to identify text features and to locate, interpret and | LLT                                       | Review student data following state, district and teacher created assessments and adjust instruction as needed. The MTSS/RtI Team will review data bimonthly and make recommendations based on needs assessment. | Formative: FAIR assessment, district interim assessments and teacher classroom assessments.  Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Assessment |
|   | 6th grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test is reporting category 1: Vocabulary.  The area of deficiency in 7th Grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test is Reporting Category 4. Informational Text/Research Process.   | sets of words that are semantically related. Practice with prefixes, suffixes, root words, synonyms, and antonyms. Emphasize strategies for deriving word meanings and word relationships from context. Practice using context clues to distinguish the correct meaning of words that have multiple meanings. Students should be able to distinguish literal from figurative interpretations.  Grade 7- Students should practice locating and verifying details, critically  | LLT                                       | Review student data following state, district and teacher created assessments and adjust instruction as needed. The MTSS/RtI Team will review data bimonthly and make recommendations based on needs assessment. | Formative: FAIR assessment, district interim assessments and teacher classroom assessments.  Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Assessment |

| shades of meaning to better identify nuances. Both students and teachers should examine rubrics and the appropriate benchmarks to ensure a complete understanding of the skills being assessed. More practice should be provided with methods of development and understanding the term supporting Category 2. Reading Application.  Grade S- Students should practice using/identifying details from the passage to determine main idea, plot, and purpose. Practice in making inferences, drawing conclusions, and identifying implied main idea and author's purpose. Use of graphic organizers to see patterns and summarize the main points. Understand how patterns support the main idea, character development, and technique to understand how patterns perspective, choice of words, style, and technique to understand how these elements influence the meaning of |  |   |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| I text.   | The area of deficiency in<br>8th Grade as noted on<br>the 2012 administration<br>of the FCAT 2.0 Reading<br>Test is Reporting<br>Category 2. Reading | better identify nuances. Both students and teachers should examine rubrics and the appropriate benchmarks to ensure a complete understanding of the skills being assessed. More practice should be provided with methods of development and understanding the term supporting details in performance tasks.  Grade 8- Students should practice using/identifying details from the passage to determine main idea, plot, and purpose. Practice in making inferences, drawing conclusions, and identifying implied main idea and author's purpose. Use of graphic organizers to see patterns and summarize the main points. Understand how patterns support the main idea, character development, and author's purpose. Students should practice analyzing the author's perspective, choice of words, style, and technique to understand how these elements |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

| mak | CAT 2.0: Percentage of sto<br>king learning gains in read<br>ding Goal #4:   |  | indicate 72%(6 demonstrated le<br>Our goal for the percentage of s | the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading 4) of students within the locarning gains in Reading.  e 2012-2013 school year is students within the lowest learning gains in reading by  | owest quartile<br>to increase the<br>quartile  |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 201 | 2 Current Level of Perforn   | nance:   | points to 77%(   |  | y 0 por coag-  |
| 2%  | 6(64)  |  | 77%(69)  |  |  |
|     | Pr   | oblem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Studer  | nt Achievement   |  |
|     | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring             | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool  |
|     | 3rd grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test is reporting category 2: Reading Application.  The area of deficiency in 4th Grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test is Reporting Category 3. Literacy Analysis Fiction/Non Fiction.  The area of deficiency in 5th Grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test is Reporting Category 3. Literacy Analysis Fiction/Non Fiction. | the Media Center, Classroom library, and student owned material that include identifiable author's purpose for writing, including informing, telling a story, conveying a particular mood, entertaining or explaining. Main idea may be stated or implied. Students should be able to identify causal relationships imbedded in text. Students must be familiar with text structures such as cause/effect, compare/contrast, and chronological order.  Grade 4 & 5- Teach students to identify and interpret elements of story structure within and across a variety of books from the Media Center, Classroom library, and student owned material . Help students understand character development, character development, character point of view. Use poetry to practice identifying descriptive language that defines moods and provides imagery. Note the use figurative language. Use a variety of informational text documents to identify text features and to locate, interpret and organize information. | Leadership Team  | Assign Accelerated Reader goals for each grade level and allow opportunities for library visits. Students the bottom quartile will also utilize Successmaker to build reading skills.  In Grades 6-8, implement Early Bird (before school) for FCAT Reading Level 1 & 2 students utilizing the Journeys curriculum.  Identify students needing Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions and provide additional instruction based upon needs of the students.  Teachers will participate in monthly PLC's to analyze data and adjust instruction according to the needs of the students. | Summative: 2013<br>FCAT Assessment   |
|     | The area of deficiency in 6th grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test is reporting category 1: Vocabulary.  | Grade 6- Use a variety of  | MTSS/RtI<br>Leadership Team  | Review data reports for<br>Successmaker, and<br>Reading Passport<br>Journeys. Decide what<br>students are not making<br>progress and implement<br>small group instruction  | Formative: Mini-<br>assessments,<br>Reading Plus<br>reports, district<br>interim<br>assessments,<br>Quarterly AR |

antonyms. Emphasize during the reading block reports, FAIR strategies for deriving or early bird course to reports, word meanings and word re-teach that particular Successmaker relationships from skill. reports Voyager SOLO reports context. Practice using context clues to Assign Reading plus goals Summative: 2013 distinguish the correct to each student to be meaning of words that completed for homework. FCAT Assessment have multiple meanings. Assign Accelerated Students should be able Reader goals for each to distinguish literal from grade level and allow figurative interpretations. opportunities for library visits. Students the The area of deficiency in bottom quartile will also 7th Grade as noted on utilize Successmaker to the 2012 administration Grade 7- Students should build reading skills. of the FCAT 2.0 Reading practice locating and Test is Reporting verifying details, critically In Grades 6-8, implement Category 4. Informational analyzing text, and Early Bird (before school) Text/Research Process. synthesizing details to for FCAT Reading Level 1 draw correct conclusions. & 2 students utilizing the Teachers should Journeys curriculum. emphasize instruction that helps students build Identify students needing stronger arguments to Tier 2 and Tier 3 support their answers. interventions and provide Students should explore additional instruction shades of meaning to based upon needs of the better identify nuances. students. Both students and teachers should examine Teachers will participate rubrics and the in monthly PLC's to appropriate benchmarks analyze data and adjust instruction according to to ensure a complete understanding of the the needs of the skills being assessed. students. More practice should be provided with methods of The area of deficiency in development and 8th Grade as noted on understanding the term the 2012 administration supporting details in of the FCAT 2.0 Reading performance tasks. Test is Reporting Category 2. Reading Grade 8- Students should practice using/identifying details from the passage to determine main idea, plot, and purpose. Practice in making inferences, drawing conclusions, and identifying implied main idea and author's purpose. Use of graphic organizers to see patterns and summarize the main points. Understand how patterns support the main idea, character development, and author's purpose. Students should practice

analyzing the author's perspective, choice of words, style, and technique to understand how these elements influence the meaning of

text.

2

Application

| the 2012 administration       | words that are                       |                                      | Reading Plus      |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| · ·                           | semantically related.                |                                      | reports, district |
| Test is reporting             | Practice with prefixes,              | 3                                    | interim           |
| category 1: Vocabulary .      | suffixes, root words,                | 1 3                                  | assessments,      |
|                               | synonyms, and                        | small group instruction              | Quarterly AR      |
|                               | antonyms. Emphasize                  |                                      | reports, FAIR     |
|                               | strategies for deriving              | or early bird course to              | reports,          |
|                               | word meanings and word               | re-teach that particular             | Successmaker      |
|                               | relationships from                   | skill.                               | reports Voyage    |
|                               | context. Practice using              |                                      | SOLO reports      |
|                               | context clues to                     | Assign Reading plus goals            |                   |
|                               | distinguish the correct              | to each student to be                | Summative: 201    |
|                               | meaning of words that                | completed for homework.              | FCAT Assessme     |
|                               | have multiple meanings.              | Assign Accelerated                   |                   |
|                               | Students should be able              | Reader goals for each                |                   |
|                               | to distinguish literal from          | grade level and allow                |                   |
|                               | figurative interpretations.          | opportunities for library            |                   |
|                               |                                      | visits. Students the                 |                   |
|                               |                                      | bottom quartile will also            |                   |
|                               |                                      | utilize Successmaker to              |                   |
|                               |                                      | build reading skills.                |                   |
|                               |                                      | In Grades 6-8, implement             |                   |
|                               |                                      | Early Bird (before school)           |                   |
|                               |                                      | for FCAT Reading Level 1             |                   |
|                               |                                      | & 2 students utilizing the           |                   |
|                               |                                      | Journeys curriculum.                 |                   |
|                               |                                      | Identify students needing            |                   |
|                               |                                      | Tier 2 and Tier 3                    |                   |
|                               |                                      | interventions and provide            |                   |
|                               |                                      | additional instruction               |                   |
|                               |                                      | based upon needs of the              |                   |
|                               |                                      | students.                            |                   |
|                               |                                      |                                      |                   |
|                               |                                      |                                      |                   |
| end on the analysis of studen | t achievement data, and reference to | a llCuidina Cuastianall identificand | lefine anne in n  |

| 5C. English Language satisfactory progress |                            | making           |                        |   |               |
|--|----------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---------------|
| Reading Goal #5C:                          |                            |                  |                        |   |               |
| 2012 Current Level of                      | f Performance:             |                  | 2013 Exp               | pected Level of Perfor                              | mance:        |
|  |                            |                  |                        |   |               |
|  |                            |                  |                        |   |               |
|  |                            |                  |                        |   |               |
|  | Problem-Solvii             | ng Process to Ir | ncrease S              | Student Achievement                                 |               |
| Anticipated Barrier                        | Problem-Solvii<br>Strategy | Perso<br>Positi  | on or<br>on<br>onsible | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation To |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: |                                     |  |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5D:  |                                     |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |

|                     | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to Increase St   | udent Achievement  |                 |
|---------------------|------------------------|---|--|-----------------|
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy               | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|                     | No                     | Data Submitted  |  |                 |

| Based on the analysis of s<br>of improvement for the fol  | student achievement data, and<br>llowing subgroup: | l refere | ence to "Gu                         | uiding Questions", identify                                  | and define areas in need |
|---|--|----------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5E: |  |          |                                     |  |                          |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  |  |          | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |                          |
|   |  |          |                                     |  |                          |
|   | Problem-Solving Proces                             | stolr    | ncrease St                          | udent Achievement  |                          |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Pos<br>for   |  |          | on or<br>ion<br>onsible<br>toring   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool          |
|   | No   | Data S   | Submitted                           |  |                          |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

 ${\it Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.}$ 

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC Focus | Grade | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC, subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g.,<br>early release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings)     | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|--|-------|--|---|--|--|---|
| PLC-Monthly<br>Data Chats                | k-8   | Team Leaders                           | Members of the  | 9/20/12, 10/18/12,<br>11/15,12, 12/20/12,<br>1/24/13, 2/21/13,<br>3/22/13, 4/18/13,<br>5/16/13 | Team Leader<br>Meeting Minutes           | Administration                                      |

Reading Budget:

| Strategy                 | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data                  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology               |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy                 | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Development |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy                 | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                    |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy                 | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                          |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Reading Goals

2013 CELLA

# Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. N/A CELLA Goal #1: 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking: N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students need Students will have Administer and score Formative: additional practice with listen to various students' monthly Students' scores listening and speaking presentations writing prompts to on monthly academic language. throughout the year by monitor students' writing assessments and staff and students and progress and to adjust use buddy reading as a focus as needed. district writing way to develop fluency assessments. with the English Summative: 2013 Language.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 2. Students scoring proficient in reading. |  |
|--|--|
| CELLA Goal #2:                             |  |

| I/A |   |  |  |   |  |
|-----|---|--|--|---|--|
|     | Prol  | olem-Solving Process t                       | o Increase Stude                                       | ent Achievement   |  |
|     | Anticipated Barrier                           | Strategy                                     | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |
|     | in as noted on the 2012 administration of the | sets of words that are semantically related. | MTSS/RtI<br>Leadership Team                            | Review data reports for Successmaker, and Reading Passport Journeys. Decide what students are not making progress and implement small group instruction during the reading block or early bird course to re-teach that particular skill.  Assign Reading plus goals to each student to be completed for homework. Assign Accelerated Reader goals for each grade level and allow opportunities for library visits. Students the bottom quartile will also utilize Successmaker to build reading skills.  In Grades 6-8, implement Early Bird (before school) for FCAT Reading Level 1 & 2 students utilizing the Journeys curriculum.  Identify students needing Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions and provide additional instruction based upon needs of the students. | Formative: Mini-<br>assessments,<br>Reading Plus<br>reports, district<br>interim<br>assessments,<br>Quarterly AR<br>reports, FAIR<br>reports,<br>Successmaker<br>reports Voyager<br>SOLO reports<br>Summative: 2013<br>CELLA |
| 2   | in as noted on the 2012 administration of the | sets of words that are semantically related. | MTSS/RtI<br>Leadership Team                            | Review data reports for Successmaker, and Reading Passport Journeys. Decide what students are not making progress and implement small group instruction during the reading block or early bird course to re-teach that particular skill.  Assign Reading plus goals to each student to be completed for homework. Assign Accelerated Reader goals for each grade level and allow opportunities for library visits. Students the bottom quartile will also utilize Successmaker to   | assessments,<br>Reading Plus<br>reports, district<br>interim<br>assessments,<br>Quarterly AR<br>reports, FAIR<br>reports,<br>Successmaker<br>reports Voyager<br>SOLO reports<br>Summative: 2013<br>CELLA                     |

|  | In Grades 6-8, implement Early Bird (before school) for FCAT Reading Level 1 & 2 students utilizing the Journeys curriculum.   |  |
|--|--|--|
|  | Identify students needing Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions and provide additional instruction based upon needs of the students. |  |

| Stude | ents write in English at gr  | rade level in a manner sin  | nilar to non-ELL st                                    | udents.   |  |
|-------|--|---|--|---|--|
| 3. St | udents scoring proficie  | nt in writing.  | D1/0   |   |  |
| CELL  | A Goal #3:   |   | N/A  |   |  |
| 2012  | 2 Current Percent of Stu   | udents Proficient in writ   | ing:   |   |  |
| N/A   |  |   |  |   |  |
|       | Pro  | blem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Stude                                       | ent Achievement   |  |
|       | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Too   |
| 1     | One area of deficiency noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Writing Test was narrative writing. The students need more writing practice in which ideas, details, and events are in a logical order and are relevant to the story line. | During writing instruction students will use a graphic organizer to plan to write a draft organized with logical sequence of beginning, middle, and end, using supporting facts and/or opinions through concrete examples, statistics, comparisons, real life examples, anecdotes and amazing facts.  Review persuasive writing techniques with students. Poetry, print and media advertisements, editorials, and speeches can be used as examples for students to evaluate persuasive techniques  Students will have preset various presentations throughout the year and use buddy reading as a way to develop fluency with the English Language. | LLT  | Administer and score students' monthly writing prompts to monitor students' progress and to adjust focus as needed. | Formative: Students' scores on monthly writing assessments and district writing assessments.  Summative: 2013 2013 CELLA |

# CELLA Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | ent                      |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of CELLA Goals

# Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #1a:

The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics indicate 34% (269) students achieved proficiency (Level 3)

Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students achieving proficiency by 1 percentage point to 35% (277)

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool   |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| The area of deficiency in 3rd grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test is Reporting Category 2: Fractions.         | Describe and analyze properties of two-dimensional shapes; examine and apply congruency and symmetry in geometric shapes; select appropriate units, strategies and tools to solve problems involving perimeter; measure objects using fractional parts; and tell time and determine the amount of time elapsed. | Administration   | Review student data following state, district and teacher created assessments and adjust instruction as needed. The MTSS/RtI TeamTeam will review data bimonthly and make recommendations based on needs assessment | Formative: District interim assessments and teacher classroom assessments.  Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Assessment |
| The area of deficiency in 4th Grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0  | Conductor Development   |  |   |   |
| Mathematics Test is<br>Reporting Category 3<br>Geometry and<br>Measurement.  | Grade 4 – Develop an understanding of area and determine the area of two-dimensional shapes; classifying angles; identify and   |  |   |   |
| The area of deficiency in 5th Grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics is Reporting Category 3 Geometry and Measurement | describe the results of<br>transformations; and<br>identify and build a<br>three-dimensional object<br>from a two-dimensional   |  |   |   |
| Measurement  | Grade 5 – Describe<br>three-dimensional shapes<br>and analyze their<br>properties, including<br>volume and surface area;<br>identify and plot ordered<br>pairs on the first   |  |   |   |
|  | quadrant; compare,<br>contrast, and convert<br>units of measures within<br>the same dimension to<br>solve problems; solve<br>problems requiring   |  |   |   |

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| attention to approximations, selections of appropi tools, and precision i measurement; and d and apply formulas | n<br>erive |  |  |
|--|------------|--|--|
|--|------------|--|--|

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #2a:

The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics indicate 51% (400) students achieved above proficiency (Level 4 & 5)

The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics indicate 51% (403) students achieved above proficiency (Level 4 & 5)

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

51%(400).

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool   |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| The area of deficiency in 3rd grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test is Reporting Category 2: Fractions. | Provide students opportunities for enrichment through project based and real world application of Fractions. Describe and analyze properties of two-dimensional shapes; examine and apply congruency and symmetry in geometric shapes; select appropriate units, strategies and tools to solve problems involving | Administration   | following state, district<br>and teacher created<br>assessments and adjust<br>instruction as needed.<br>The MTSS/RtI<br>TeamTeamwill review<br>data bimonthly and make<br>recommendations based | Formative: Course and grade level assessments, district interim assessments., rubrics related to group work.  Summative: Results of the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics assessment. |

|             |                      | perimeter; measure        |  |  |
|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
|             |                      | objects using fractional  |  |  |
|             |                      | parts; and tell time and  |  |  |
|             |                      | determine the amount of   |  |  |
|             |                      |                           |  |  |
| Th          | - C - I - Cl - I I - | time elapsed.             |  |  |
|             | of deficiency in     |                           |  |  |
| <b>I</b>    | e as noted on        |                           |  |  |
| the 2012    | administration       |                           |  |  |
| of the FC   | CAT 2.0              |                           |  |  |
| Mathema     | atics Test is        |                           |  |  |
| Reporting   | g Category 3         | Grade 4 - Provide         |  |  |
| Geometry    |                      | students opportunities    |  |  |
| Measurer    |                      | for enrichment through    |  |  |
| livicasurei | Hellt.               |                           |  |  |
|             |                      | project based and real    |  |  |
|             |                      | world application of      |  |  |
|             | of deficiency in     | _                         |  |  |
| 5th Grad    | e as noted on        | Measurement. Develop an   |  |  |
| the 2012    | administration       | understanding of area     |  |  |
| of the FC   | CAT 2.0              | and determine the area    |  |  |
|             |                      | of two-dimensional        |  |  |
|             |                      | shapes; classifying       |  |  |
| Measurer    | -                    | angles; identify and      |  |  |
| ivicasui El | nont.                | describe the results of   |  |  |
|             |                      | transformations; and      |  |  |
|             |                      |                           |  |  |
|             |                      | identify and build a      |  |  |
|             |                      | three-dimensional object  |  |  |
|             |                      | from a two-dimensional    |  |  |
|             |                      | representation and vice   |  |  |
|             |                      | versa.                    |  |  |
|             |                      | Grade 5 - Provide         |  |  |
|             |                      | students opportunities    |  |  |
|             |                      | for enrichment through    |  |  |
|             |                      | project based and real    |  |  |
|             |                      | world application of      |  |  |
|             |                      | Geometry and              |  |  |
|             |                      | 3                         |  |  |
|             |                      | Measurement. Describe     |  |  |
|             |                      | three-dimensional shapes  |  |  |
|             |                      | and analyze their         |  |  |
|             |                      | properties, including     |  |  |
|             |                      | volume and surface area;  |  |  |
|             |                      | identify and plot ordered |  |  |
|             |                      | pairs on the first        |  |  |
|             |                      | quadrant; compare,        |  |  |
|             |                      | contrast, and convert     |  |  |
|             |                      | units of measures within  |  |  |
|             |                      | the same dimension to     |  |  |
|             |                      | solve problems; solve     |  |  |
|             |                      |                           |  |  |
|             |                      | problems requiring        |  |  |
|             |                      | attention to              |  |  |
|             |                      | approximations,           |  |  |
|             |                      | selections of appropriate |  |  |
|             |                      | tools, and precision in   |  |  |
|             |                      | measurement; and derive   |  |  |
|             |                      | and apply formulas for    |  |  |
|             |                      | area.                     |  |  |
|             |                      |                           |  |  |
|             |                      |                           |  |  |
|             |                      |                           |  |  |
|             |                      |                           |  |  |

| of improvement for the following group:   | once to Guiding Questions , identify and define areas in need |
|---|---|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #2b: |   |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                           |
|   |   |
| Problem-Solving Process to I  | ncrease Student Achievement                                   |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---------------------|----------|---|--|-----------------|
|                     |          | No Data Submitted   |  |                 |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group: | ence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need   |
|--|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3a:    | The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics assessment indicate that 79% (511)) of the student s made learning gains.  Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of students making learning gains by 5 percentage points to 84%(543). |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| 79%(511)   | 84%(543)   |

# Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Too   |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| The area of deficiency in 3rd grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test is Reporting Category 2: Fractions.               | Describe and analyze properties of two-dimensional shapes; examine and apply congruency and symmetry in geometric shapes; select appropriate units, strategies and tools to solve problems involving perimeter; measure objects using fractional parts; and tell time and determine the amount of time elapsed | MTSS/RtI Team  | Review formative course related assessment data reports to adjust instruction as needed to ensure progress is being made and students are making learning gains. | Formative: Cour<br>and grade level<br>assessments,<br>district interim<br>assessments,<br>Summative: :<br>Results of the<br>2013<br>FCAT 2.0<br>Mathematics<br>assessment. |
| The area of deficiency in 4th Grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test is Reporting Category 3 Geometry and Measurement. | Grade 4 – Develop an understanding of area and determine the area of two-dimensional shapes; classifying angles; identify and describe the results of transformations; and identify and build a three-dimensional object from a two-dimensional representation and vice versa.                                 |  |  |  |
| The area of deficiency in<br>5th Grade as noted on   |  |  |  |  |

| the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 |                           |  |  |
|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| Mathematics is Reporting                |                           |  |  |
| Category 3 Geometry and                 |                           |  |  |
| Measurement.                            | Grade 5 – Describe        |  |  |
| Wicasar Ciriciit.                       | three-dimensional shapes  |  |  |
|   | and analyze their         |  |  |
|   | properties, including     |  |  |
|   | volume and surface area:  |  |  |
|   | identify and plot ordered |  |  |
|   | pairs on the first        |  |  |
|   | quadrant; compare,        |  |  |
|   | contrast, and convert     |  |  |
|   | units of measures within  |  |  |
|   | the same dimension to     |  |  |
|   | solve problems; solve     |  |  |
|   | problems requiring        |  |  |
|   | attention to              |  |  |
|   | approximations,           |  |  |
|   | selections of appropriate |  |  |
|   | tools, and precision in   |  |  |
|   | measurement; and derive   |  |  |
|   | and apply formulas for    |  |  |
|   | area                      |  |  |
|   | Allow for common          |  |  |
|   | planning across all grade |  |  |
|   | levels to plan            |  |  |
|   | differentiated activities |  |  |
|   | for mathematical blocks.  |  |  |
|   |                           |  |  |
|   | Teachers will participate |  |  |
|   | in monthly PLC's to       |  |  |
|   | analyze data and adjust   |  |  |
|   | instruction according to  |  |  |
|   | the needs of the          |  |  |
|   | students.                 |  |  |
| 1                                       |                           |  |  |

| Based on the analysis of s<br>of improvement for the fol           | student achievement data, and lowing group: | d refere  | ence to "Gu | uiding Questions", identify                                  | and define areas in need |
|--|---|-----------|-------------|--|--------------------------|
| 3b. Florida Alternate As<br>Percentage of students<br>mathematics. | sessment:<br>making Learning Gains in       |           |             |  |                          |
| Mathematics Goal #3b:  |   |           |             |  |                          |
| 2012 Current Level of Pe   | erformance:                                 |           | 2013 Expe   | ected Level of Performar                                     | nce:                     |
|  |   |           |             |  |                          |
|  | Problem-Solving Proces                      | ss to I r | ncrease St  | udent Achievement  |                          |
| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy                                    | for       |             | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool          |
|  | No  | Data S    | Submitted   |  |                          |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need

of improvement for the following group:

The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics assessment indicate that 73% (70) of the bottom 25% student s made learning gains.

| making learning gains in mathematics. | Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of bottom 25% students making learning gains by \$\frac{1}{2}\$                |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Mathematics Goal #4:                  | percentage points to 78%(75).   |
|                                       | Our goal for the 2011-2012 school year is to increase the number of bottom 25% students making learning gains by percentage points to 82%(138). |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:    | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| 73% (70)                              | 78%(75)   |
| Problem-Solving Process               | to Increase Student Achievement   |

| Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test is Reporting Category 2: Fractions.  | Describe and analyze properties of two-dimensional shapes; examine and apply congruency and symmetry in geometric shapes; select appropriate units, strategies and tools to solve problems involving perimeter; measure objects using fractional parts; and tell time and determine the amount of time elapsed. Utilize manipulatives in conjunction with textbook lessons to assist students in the bottom quartile acquire | MTSS/RtI Team  | Review formative course related assessment data reports to adjust instruction as needed to ensure progress is being made and students are making learning gains. Review Successmaker reports every 20 days and adjust instruction as needed. | Formative: Cours and grade level assessments, district interim assessments, Successmaker reports.  Summative: : Results of the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics assessment. |
| Measurement.  | Grade 4 – Develop an understanding of area and determine the area  |  |  |  |
| The area of deficiency in 5th Grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics is Reporting Category 3 Geometry and Measurement. | versa. Utilize<br>manipulatives in<br>conjunction with<br>textbook lessons to  |  |  |  |
|   | Grade 5 – Describe<br>three-dimensional shapes<br>and analyze their<br>properties, including<br>volume and surface area;   |  |  |  |

| identify and plot ordered pairs on the first quadrant; compare, contrast, and convert units of measures within the same dimension to solve problems; solve problems requiring attention to approximations, selections of appropriate tools, and precision in measurement; and derive and apply formulas for area Allow for common planning across all grade levels to plan differentiated activities for mathematical blocks. Utilize manipulatives in conjunction with textbook lessons to assist students in the bottom quartile acquire math concepts.  Teachers will participate in monthly PLC's to analyze data and adjust instruction according to the needs of the students. |  |
|--|--|
| Students will be assigned Successmaker math to reinforce concepts in the classroom.  |  |

| 5A. Ambitious<br>Measurable Obschool will red<br>by 50%.  | ojectives (AMO               | s). In six year                  | Elementary School I   | Mathematics Goal #                  |                       | A                    |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Baseline data<br>2010-2011  | 2011-2012                    | 2012-2013                        | 2013-2014             | 2014-2015                           | 2015-2016             | 2016-2017            |
|   |                              |                                  |                       |                                     |                       |                      |
|   |                              | dent achieveme<br>ving subgroup: | ent data, and referer | nce to "Guiding Ques                | stions", identify and | define areas in need |
| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5B: |                              |                                  |                       |                                     |                       |                      |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  |                              |                                  | 2                     | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |                       |                      |
|   |                              |                                  |                       |                                     |                       |                      |
|   | Problem-Solving Process to I |                                  |                       |                                     | nievement             |                      |

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Responsible | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |
|---------------------|----------|-------------|--|-----------------|--|
| No Data Submitted   |          |             |  |                 |  |
|                     |          |             |  |                 |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need

| satisfactory progress in                             | mathematics.                                      |          |                                     |  |                          |  |
|--|---|----------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|
| Mathematics Goal #5C:                                |   |          |                                     |  |                          |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Po                             | erformance:                                       |          | 2013 Exp                            | ected Level of Performar                                     | nce:                     |  |
|  |   |          |                                     |  |                          |  |
|  |   |          |                                     |  |                          |  |
|  | Problem-Solving Proces                            | s to I r | ncrease St                          | udent Achievement  |                          |  |
| for  |   |          |                                     | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool          |  |
| No Data Submitted                                    |   |          |                                     |  |                          |  |
| Based on the analysis of sof improvement for the fol | student achievement data, and<br>lowing subgroup: | l refere | ence to "Gu                         | uiding Questions", identify                                  | and define areas in need |  |
| 5D. Students with Disab<br>satisfactory progress in  | ilities (SWD) not making mathematics.             |          |                                     |  |                          |  |
| Mathematics Goal #5D:                                |   |          |                                     |  |                          |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Po                             | erformance:                                       |          | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |                          |  |
|  |   |          |                                     |  |                          |  |
|  | Problem-Solving Proces                            | stolr    | ncrease St                          | udent Achievement  |                          |  |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Posi<br>Resp<br>for     |   | for      |                                     | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool          |  |
| No Data Submitted                                    |   |          |                                     |  |                          |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.

of improvement for the following subgroup:

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making

The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics assessment indicate that 82% (280) Economically Disadvantage students made satisfactory progress.

| Math                               | nematics Goal #5E:   |  | number of Econ<br>satisfactory stu                     | Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of Economically Disadvantaged students making satisfactory students making satisfactory progress by 3 percentage points to 84% (287)  |   |  |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected  | d Level of Performance:  |   |  |
| 82% (280)                          |  |  | 84%(287)   | 84%(287)   |   |  |
|                                    | Pr   | oblem-Solving Process t                          | to Increase Studer                                     | nt Achievement   |   |  |
|                                    | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |  |
| 1                                  | One area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics is Reporting Category 3 Geometry and Measurement. | dimensional shapes and analyze their properties, | MTSS/RtI Team  | Review formative course related assessment data reports to adjust instruction as needed to ensure progress is being made and students are making learning gains. Review Successmaker reports every 20 days and adjust instruction as needed. | Formative: Course and grade level assessments, district interim assessments, Successmaker reports.  Summative: : Results of the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics assessment. |  |

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

# Middle School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

math concepts.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.

Mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #1a:

The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics indicate 34% (269) students achieved proficiency (Level 3)

Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students achieving proficiency by 1 percentage point to 35% (277)

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

34% (269)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| 1 | The area of deficiency in 6rd grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test is Reporting Category 3: Geometry and Measurement.  The area of deficiency in 7th Grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test is Reporting Category 2: Ratios/Proportional Relationships  The area of deficiency in 8th Grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics is Reporting Category 3 Geometry and Measurement | students to find the perimeters and areas of composite two-dimensional figures, including non-rectangular figures (such as semicircles) using various strategies. Provide the opportunities for students to determine a missing dimension of a plane figure or prism, given its area or volume and some of the dimensions, or determine the area or volume given the dimensions.  Use a variety of graph paper to explore area and perimeter of two-dimensional figures.  Provide the opportunities for students to add, subtract, multiply, and divide integers, fractions, and terminating decimals, and perform exponential operations with rational bases and whole number exponents including solving problems in everyday contexts.  Use manipulatives and real world scenarios | Administration   | Review student data following state, district and teacher created assessments and adjust instruction as needed. The MTSS/RtI Team will review data bimonthly and make recommendations based on needs assessment. | Formative: District interim assessments and teacher classroom assessments.  Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Assessment |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refe of improvement for the following group:                  | rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |
|--|---|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.<br>Mathematics Goal #1b: |   |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                             |
|  |   |
| Problem-Solving Process to I   | ncrease Student Achievement                                     |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |
|---------------------|----------|---|--|-----------------|--|--|
| No Data Submitted   |          |   |  |                 |  |  |

|       | on the analysis of studen rovement for the following  |   | eference to "Guiding                                   | Questions", identify and o   | define areas in need  |
|-------|---|---|--|--|---|
|       | CAT 2.0: Students scorin<br>4 in mathematics.   | ng at or above Achievemo  | ent  |  |   |
| Mathe | ematics Goal #2a:   |   |  |  |   |
| 2012  | Current Level of Perforn  | nance:  | 2013 Expected  | d Level of Performance:  |   |
|       |   |   |  |  |   |
|       | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process t   | o Increase Studer                                      | nt Achievement   |   |
|       | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |
|       | 6rd grade as noted on<br>the 2012 administration<br>of the FCAT 2.0<br>Mathematics Test is<br>Reporting Category 3:<br>Geometry and<br>Measurement. | Provide students opportunities for enrichment through project based learning and real world application of Geometry. Provide opportunities for students to find the perimeters and areas of composite two-dimensional figures, including non-rectangular figures (such as semicircles) using various strategies. Provide the opportunities for students to determine a missing dimension of a plane figure or prism, given its area or volume and some of the | Administration   | Review student data following state, district and teacher created assessments and adjust instruction as needed. The Administration Team will review data bimonthly and make recommendations based on needs assessment. | Formative: District interim assessments and teacher classroom assessments.  Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Assessment |
|       | 7th Grade as noted on the 2012 administration   | dimensions, or determine the area or volume given the dimensions. Use a variety of graph paper to explore area and perimeter of two-dimensional figures.  Provide students opportunities for enrichment through project based learning and real world application of Ratio's. Provide the opportunities for students to add, subtract, multiply, and  |  |  |   |
|       | 8th Grade as noted on   | divide integers, fractions,<br>and terminating decimals,<br>and perform exponential<br>operations with rational<br>bases and whole number   |  |  |   |

| Mathematics is Reporting<br>Category 3 Geometry and<br>Measurement |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
|  | Provide student's opportunities for enrichment through project based learning and real world application of Geometry. Provide the opportunities for students to use similar triangles to solve problems that include height and distances. |  |  |

|  | ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need improvement for the following group: |                |  |                   |  |
|--|--|----------------|--|-------------------|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. |  |                |  |                   |  |
| Mathematics Goal #2b:  |  |                |  |                   |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   |  |                | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                          |                   |  |
|  |  |                |  |                   |  |
|  | Problem-Solving Proces   | stoli          | ncrease St   | udent Achievement |  |
| Perso<br>Positi<br>Anticipated Barrier Strategy Respo<br>for<br>Monit                              |  | ion<br>onsible | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool   |  |
|  | No Data Submitted  |                |  |                   |  |

| ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need f improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3a:  | The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics assessment indicate that 79% (511)) of the student s made learning gains.  Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of students making learning gains by 5 percentage points to 84%(543). |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |  |  |  |
| 79%(511)   | 84%(543)   |  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to   | ncrease Student Achievement  |  |  |  |
|  | Person or Process Used to  |  |  |  |

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | The area of deficiency in 6rd grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test is Reporting Category 3: Geometry and Measurement.  The area of deficiency in 7th Grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test is Reporting Category 2: Ratios/Proportional Relationships  The area of deficiency in 8th Grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics is Reporting Category 3 Geometry and Measurement | students to find the perimeters and areas of composite two-dimensional figures, including non-rectangular figures (such as semicircles) using various strategies. Provide the opportunities for students to determine a missing dimension of a plane figure or prism, given its area or volume and some of the dimensions, or determine the area or volume given the dimensions.  Use a variety of graph paper to explore area and perimeter of two-dimensional figures.  Provide the opportunities for students to add, subtract, multiply, and divide integers, fractions, and terminating decimals, and perform exponential operations with rational bases and whole number exponents including solving problems in everyday contexts.  Use manipulatives and real world scenarios | Monitoring Administration                 |   | Formative: District interim assessments and teacher classroom assessments.  Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Assessment |
|   |  | for students to use similar triangles to solve problems that include height and distances   |   |   |   |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and ref of improvement for the following group:                    | erence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |
|---|--|
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Cool #3b: |  |
| Mathematics Goal #3b:  2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                              |
|   |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to  | Increase Student Achievement                                     |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Rasnonsible | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |  |
|---------------------|----------|-------------|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted   |          |             |  |                 |  |  |  |

| Based on the analys of improvement for  |  |   | eference to "Guiding   | g Questions", identify and o   | define areas in need  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #4:  2012 Current Level of Performance:   |  |   |  | The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics assessment indicate that 73% (70) of the bottom 25% student s made learning gains.  |   |  |  |
|   |  |   | Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of bottom 25% students making learning gains by 5 percentage points to 78%(75). |  |   |  |  |
|   |  |   |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |   |  |  |
| 73% (70)  |  |   | 78%(75)  | 78%(75)  |   |  |  |
|   | Pr   | oblem-Solving Process t   | o Increase Studer  | nt Achievement   |   |  |  |
| Anticipate  | d Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |  |  |
| The area of d 6rd grade as the 2012 adm of the FCAT 2 Mathematics Reporting Cat Geometry and Measurement  The area of d 7th Grade as the 2012 adm of the FCAT 2 Mathematics Reporting Cat Ratios/Propor Relationships | eficiency in noted on inistration0 Test is egory 3:  eficiency in noted on inistration0 Test is egory 2: | Provide opportunities for students to find the perimeters and areas of composite two-dimensional figures, including non-rectangular figures (such as semicircles) using various strategies. Provide the opportunities for students to determine a missing dimension of a plane figure or prism, given its area or volume and some of the dimensions, or determine the area or volume given the dimensions. Use a variety of graph paper to explore area and perimeter of two-dimensional figures.  Provide the opportunities for students to add, subtract, multiply, and divide integers, fractions, and perform exponential operations with rational bases and whole number exponents including | Administration   | Review student data following state, district and teacher created assessments and adjust instruction as needed. The Administration Team will review data bimonthly and make recommendations based on needs assessment. | Formative: District interim assessments and teacher classroom assessments.  Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Assessment |  |  |
| The area of d<br>8th Grade as<br>the 2012 adm<br>of the FCAT 2  | noted on<br>inistration  | solving problems in<br>everyday contexts.<br>Use manipulatives and<br>real world scenarios  |  |  |   |  |  |

of the FCAT 2.0 real world scenarios
Mathematics is Reporting (budgets) to develop

Category 3 Geometry and meanings for integers and Measurement related vocabulary; and

related vocabulary; and represent and compare

| quantities with them.   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Provide the opportunities for students to use similar triangles to solve problems that include height and distances |  |  |

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target |           |  |           |           |           |           |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Measurable Ob   |           | wable Annual AMOs). In six year achievement gap  5A: |           |           |           |           |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline data<br>2010-2011  | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013  | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |  |  |  |  |
|   |           |  |           |           |           |           |  |  |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics assessment indicate that 79% (340) Black students made satisfactory progress.

Mathematics Goal #5B:

Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of Black students making satisfactory students making satisfactory progress by 3 percentage points to 82% (353).

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

79% (340)

82%(353

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | One area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics is Reporting Category 3 Geometry and Measurement | dimensional shapes and analyze their properties, | MTSS/RtI Team  | related assessment data reports to adjust                    | Formative: Course and grade level assessments, district interim assessments, Successmaker reports.  Summative: : Results of the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics assessment. |

|   | conjunction with<br>textbook lessons<br>assist students | s to                |             |  |                            |
|---|---|---------------------|-------------|--|----------------------------|
|   | bottom quartile math concepts.                          |                     |             |  |                            |
|   |   |                     |             |  |                            |
| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo  |   | ata, and refe       | rence to "G | uiding Questions", identif                                   | y and define areas in need |
| 5C. English Language L<br>satisfactory progress in  |   | king                |             |  |                            |
| Mathematics Goal #5C:                               |   |                     |             |  |                            |
| 2012 Current Level of P                             | erformance:   |                     | 2013 Exp    | ected Level of Perform                                       | ance:                      |
|   |   |                     |             |  |                            |
|   | Problem-Solving   | Process to I        | ncrease S   | tudent Achievement   |                            |
| Anticipated Barrier                                 | Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsion Monit           |                     |             | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool            |
|   |   | No Data             | Submitted   |  |                            |
|   |   |                     |             |  |                            |
| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo  |   | ata, and refe       | rence to "G | uiding Questions", identif                                   | y and define areas in need |
| 5D. Students with Disab<br>satisfactory progress in |   | ing                 |             |  |                            |
| Mathematics Goal #5D:                               |   |                     |             |  |                            |
| 2012 Current Level of P                             | 'erformance:  |                     | 2013 Ехр    | ected Level of Perform                                       | ance:                      |
|   |   |                     |             |  |                            |
|   | Problem-Solving   | Process to I        | ncrease S   | tudent Achievement   |                            |
|   |   | Pers                | on or       |  |                            |
| Anticipated Barrier                                 | Strategy  | Posi<br>Resp<br>for |             | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool            |
|   |   | No Data             | Submitted   |  |                            |
|   |   |                     |             |  |                            |
| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo  | student achievement da<br>llowing subgroup:             | ata, and refe       | rence to "G | uiding Questions", identif                                   | y and define areas in need |
| 5E. Economically Disad satisfactory progress in     |   | nt making           |             |  |                            |
| Mathematics Goal #5E:                               |   |                     |             |  |                            |
| 2012 Current Level of P                             | 'erformance:  |                     | 2013 Exp    | ected Level of Perform                                       | ance:                      |

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |          |   |  |                 |  |  |  |
|---|----------|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |  |
|   | No       | Data Submitted  |  |                 |  |  |  |

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

### Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: The results of the 2012Algebra I End of Course Exam indicate that 41%(36) students scored a Middle 3rd. 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. Algebra Goal #1: Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of students scoring in the Middle 3rd on the Algebra I End of Course Exam by 1% to 42%(37). 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 41%(36) 42%(37) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Strategy Effectiveness of Responsible for Monitoring Strategy The area of deficiency in Provide students with Administration and Review formative Formative: Course Algebra as noted on the more practice in using math department assessment data reports and grade level 2012 administration of graphing technology to assessments, chair to adjust instruction as the Algebra EOC is graph, solve, and district interim needed to ensure Standard 5,6 & 7. interpret quadratic progress is being made. assessments. equations. Provide students with Summative: 2013 more practice using Algebra EOC Assessment quadratic equations to solve real-world problems

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.

Algebra Goal #2:

Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to maintain the number of students scoring a Upper 3rd on the Algebra I End of Course Exam .

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

53% (47)

|   | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Studer                                      | nt Achievement  |  |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy            | Evaluation Tool  |
| 1 | The area of deficiency in<br>Algebra as noted on the<br>2012 administration of<br>the Algebra EOC is<br>Standard 5,6 & 7. | Provide inductive reasoning strategies that include discovery learning activities  Honor student learning styles through an instructional model that embraces diversity and the brain's natural learning cycle |  | assessment data reports<br>to adjust instruction as<br>needed to ensure | Formative: Course<br>and grade level<br>assessments,<br>district interim<br>assessments.<br>Summative: 2013<br>Algebra EOC<br>Assessment |
|   | A   | Isla Assural Massurality Obj   | (ABAO-) ABA  | O O Deceline and Math De  |  |

| Based on Amb   | itious but Ad | chievable Annual                     | Measurable Objec   | tives (AMOs                            | s), AMO-2, I                                   | Reading and Mat    | h Performance Target    |  |
|--|---------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--------------------|-------------------------|--|
|  |               |                                      | Algebra Goal #     |  |  |                    |                         |  |
| 3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.   |               | 3A :                                 |                    |  |  | _                  |                         |  |
| Baseline data<br>2010-2011   | 2011-2012     | 2 2012-2013                          | 2013-2014          | 201                                    | 4-2015   | 2015-2016          | 2016-2017               |  |
|  |               |                                      |                    |  |  |                    |                         |  |
|  |               | tudent achieveme<br>lowing subgroup: | ent data, and refe | rence to "G                            | uiding Ques                                    | tions", identify a | nd define areas in need |  |
| 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. |               |                                      |                    |  |  |                    |                         |  |
| Algebra Goal   | #3B:          |                                      |                    |  |  |                    |                         |  |
| 2012 Current   | Level of Pe   | erformance:                          |                    | 2013 Exp                               | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:            |                    |                         |  |
|  |               |                                      |                    |  |  |                    |                         |  |
|  |               | Problem-Sol                          | ving Process to    | Increase S                             | tudent Ach                                     | ilevement          |                         |  |
| Anticipated E  | Barrier       | Strategy                             | Posi<br>Res<br>for | son or<br>ition<br>ponsible<br>itoring | Process L<br>Determin<br>Effective<br>Strategy | e ,                | Evaluation Tool         |  |
|  |               |                                      | No Data            | Submitted                              |  |                    |                         |  |
|  |               |                                      |                    |  |  |                    |                         |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.

Algebra Goal #3C:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance:              |                 |   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                          |                              |  |  |
|---|-----------------|---|--|------------------------------|--|--|
|   |                 |   |  |                              |  |  |
|   | Problem-Solvin  | a Process to Increase                                     | e Student Achievement  |                              |  |  |
|   | Troblem cerving |   |  |                              |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier                             | Strategy        | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool              |  |  |
|   |                 | No Data Submitte  | ed   |                              |  |  |
|   |                 |   |  |                              |  |  |
| Based on the analysis of improvement for the f  |                 | data, and reference to                                    | "Guiding Questions", ide                                     | ntify and define areas in ne |  |  |
| BD. Students with Disa<br>satisfactory progress |                 | aking   |  |                              |  |  |
| Algebra Goal #3D:                               |                 |   |  |                              |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of                           | Performance:    | 2013 E  | expected Level of Perfo                                      | rmance:                      |  |  |
|   |                 |   |  |                              |  |  |
|   |                 |   |  |                              |  |  |
|   | Problem-Solvin  | g Process to Increase                                     | e Student Achievement  |                              |  |  |
|   |                 | Person or   | Process Used to  |                              |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier                             | Strategy        | Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring              | Determine  | Evaluation Tool              |  |  |
|   |                 | No Data Submitte  | ed   | '                            |  |  |
|   |                 |   |  |                              |  |  |
| Based on the analysis of improvement for the f  |                 | data, and reference to                                    | "Guiding Questions", iden                                    | ntify and define areas in ne |  |  |
| BE. Economically Disactatisfactory progress     | _               | not making  |  |                              |  |  |
| Algebra Goal #3E:                               |                 |   |  |                              |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of                           | Performance:    | 2013 E  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                          |                              |  |  |
|   |                 |   |  |                              |  |  |
|   | Problem-Solvin  | g Process to Increase                                     | e Student Achievement  |                              |  |  |
|   |                 | Person or   |  |                              |  |  |
|   | Strategy        | Position<br>Responsible                                   | Process Used to<br>Determine                                 | Evaluation Tool              |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier                             | Strategy        | for<br>Monitoring   | Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                                 |                              |  |  |

### Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to have 100% (40) students score in the 3rd tier of the Geometry End Geometry Goal #1: of Course Exam. 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 0% (0) 0%(0) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy On the 2012 Geometry Provide students with Administration Review formative Formative: EOC Assessment, it was practice in solving real-Course and grade and math assessment data department chair noted that students world problems using reports to adjust level scored lowest in trigonometric ratios instruction as needed assessments, Trigonometry and (sine, cosine, and to ensure progress is district interim Discrete Mathematics tangent) being made. assessments. Provide the students Summative: 2013 with more practice Geometry EOC creating a logical Assessment argument and provide inductive reasoning strategies that include discovery learning activities

| Based on the analysis of stude in need of improvement for the   |  | nd reference to "Gu  | uiding Questions", identif   | y and define areas  |  |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| <ul><li>2. Students scoring at or ab</li><li>4 and 5 in Geometry.</li><li>Geometry Goal #2:</li></ul> | On the 2012 G  | On the 2012 Geometry EOC Assessment, it was noted that students scored lowest in Trigonometry and Discrete |  |   |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Perfor  | 2013 Expecte   | ed Level of Performanc   | e:   |   |  |
| 100% (40)   | 100% (40)  | 100% (40)  |  |   |  |
| Prok  | olem-Solving Process t   | to Increase Stude  | ent Achievement  |   |  |
| Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |  |
| EOC Assessment, it was noted that students  | Provide students with<br>practice in solving real-<br>world problems using<br>trigonometric ratios<br>(sine, cosine, and | Administration<br>and math<br>department chair   | Review formative<br>assessment data<br>reports to adjust<br>instruction as needed<br>to ensure progress is | Formative:<br>Course and grade<br>level<br>assessments,<br>district interim |  |

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Discrete Mathem  | natics. tanç                                 | gent)  |                      |                                   | be             | eing made.         | assessments.             |
|--|--|--|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 1  | with<br>crea<br>argu<br>indu<br>stra<br>disc | vide the students in more practice string a logical ument and provide uctive reasoning tegies that include overy learning vities |                      |                                   |                |                    |                          |
| Based on Ambitious bu<br>Target  | ut Achievable                                | e Annual Measurabl   | e Ob                 | jectives (A                       | MOs), <i>i</i> | AMO-2, Reading a   | and Math Performance     |
| 3A. Ambitious but Ach<br>Annual Measurable Ob<br>(AMOs). In six year sc<br>reduce their achievem<br>50%. | jectives<br>hool will                        | Geometry Goal #  |                      |                                   |                |                    | <u>A</u>                 |
| Baseline data 2011-2012  | 012-2013                                     | 2013-2014  |                      | 2014-20                           | 15             | 2015-2016          | 2016-2017                |
|  |  |  |                      |                                   |                |                    |                          |
| Based on the analysis in need of improvemer  |  |  | and r                | eference to                       | "Guid          | ing Questions", id | lentify and define areas |
| 3B. Student subgrou<br>Hispanic, Asian, Ame<br>satisfactory progres<br>Geometry Goal #3B:                | erican India                                 | n) not making  | ζ,                   |                                   |                |                    |                          |
| 2012 Current Level c   | of Performa                                  | nce:   |                      | 2013 Exp                          | ected          | Level of Perform   | nance:                   |
|  |  |  |                      |                                   |                |                    |                          |
|  | Problem                                      | n-Solving Process  | to I                 | ncrease S                         | tudent         | Achievement        |                          |
| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy                                     | <br>   | Posit<br>Resp<br>for | on or<br>ion<br>onsible<br>toring | Deter          | iveness of         | Evaluation Tool          |
|  |  | No [   | Data S               | Submitted                         |                |                    |                          |
| Based on the analysis in need of improvemer  |  |  | and r                | eference to                       | ) "Guid        | ing Questions", id | lentify and define areas |
| 3C. English Language<br>satisfactory progres<br>Geometry Goal #3C:                                       | e Learners                                   | (ELL) not making   |                      |                                   |                |                    |                          |
| 2012 Current Level c   | 2012 Current Level of Performance:           |  |                      | 2013 Exp                          | ected          | Level of Perform   | nance:                   |
|  |  |  |                      |                                   |                |                    |                          |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |
|---------------------|----------|---|--|-----------------|--|--|
| No Data Submitted   |          |   |  |                 |  |  |

|  | f student achievement data, for the following subgroup: | and r   | eference to                         | o "Guiding Questions", ic                                    | lentify and define areas |  |
|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|
| 3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. |   |   |                                     |  |                          |  |
| Geometry Goal #3D:   |   |   |                                     |  |                          |  |
| 2012 Current Level of  | Performance:  |   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |                          |  |
|  |   |   |                                     |  |                          |  |
|  | Problem-Solving Proces                                  | s to I  | ncrease S                           | tudent Achievement   |                          |  |
| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring |                                     | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool          |  |
| No Data Submitted  |   |   |                                     |  |                          |  |

|   | f student achievement data, for the following subgroup: | and r                | eference to                         | o "Guiding Questions", id                                    | lentify and define areas |
|---|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| 3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. |   |                      |                                     |  |                          |
| Geometry Goal #3E:  |   |                      |                                     |  |                          |
| 2012 Current Level of   | Performance:  |                      | 2013 Exp                            | pected Level of Perform                                      | nance:                   |
|   |   |                      |                                     |  |                          |
|   | Problem-Solving Proces                                  | s to I               | ncrease S                           | tudent Achievement   |                          |
| Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Posit<br>Resp<br>for | on or<br>tion<br>oonsible<br>toring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool          |
|   | No  | Data                 | Submitted                           |  |                          |

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC Focus | Grade | and/or PLC      | PD Participants (e.g.<br>, PLC, subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g.,<br>early release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings)     | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|---|--|--|---|
| PLC-Monthly<br>Data Chats.            | K-8   | Team<br>Leaders | Members of the<br>Grade Level Teams   | 9/20/12, 10/18/12,<br>11/15,12, 12/20/12,<br>1/24/13, 2/21/13,<br>3/22/13, 4/18/13,<br>5/16/13 | Team Leader<br>Meeting Minutes           | Administration                                      |

### Mathematics Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | ent                      |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Mathematics Goals

# Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 1   | d on the analysis of stud<br>in need of improvement |                       |  | Guiding Questions", ide   | entify and define |  |
|---|---|-----------------------|--|---|-------------------|--|
| 11a FCALZO: STUDENTS SCORING AT ACNIEVEMENT |   |                       |  | The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Science Test indicate 46% (117) students achieved proficiency (Level 3)                                  |                   |  |
| Science Goal #1a:                           |   |                       | the percentage   | Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students achieving proficiency by 1 percentage point to 47% (120) |                   |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:          |   |                       | 2013 Expecte   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |                   |  |
| 46%(117)                                    |   |                       | 47%(120)   | 47%(120)  |                   |  |
|   | Prob  | lem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude                                      | ent Achievement   |                   |  |
|   | Anticipated Barrier                                 | Strategy              | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool   |  |

| in 5rd gra on the 20 administra FCAT 2.0 is Reportii 3: Physica  1 The area in 8th gra on the 20 administra FCAT 2.0 | de as noted 12 ation of the Science Test ng Category al Science  of deficiency de as noted 12 ation of the Science Test | Grade 5- Develop Professional Learning Communities (PLC) of elementary science teachers in order to research, collaborate, design, and implement instructional strategies to increase rigor through inquiry-based learning in Physical Science. The PLC should include vertical and horizontal alignment within the school in order to ensure continuity of concepts taught and to stress the importance of the Fair Game Benchmarks.  Provide opportunities for teachers to integrate literacy in the science classroom in order for students to enhance scientific meaning through writing, talking, and reading science | Administration | Teams will review the results of school-site assessment data to monitor student progress and implement changes to instruction as needed. | Formative: School-site assessments, GIZMO's usage reports and district interim assessments.  Summative: 2013 Science FCAT 2.0 |
|--|---|--|----------------|--|---|
| in 8th gra<br>on the 20<br>administra<br>FCAT 2.0<br>is Reporti  | de as noted<br>12<br>ation of the   | Game Benchmarks.  Provide opportunities for teachers to integrate literacy in the science classroom in order for students to enhance scientific meaning through writing, talking, and  |                |  |   |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and areas in need of improvement for the following group: | reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.<br>Science Goal #1b: |   |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                   |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  |   |  |  |  |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | tor            | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---------------------|----------|----------------|--|-----------------|
|                     | No       | Data Submitted |  |                 |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science.   | The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Science Test indicate 37% (93) students achieved proficiency (Level 3)                         |  |  |  |
| Science Goal #2a:  | Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students achieving proficiency by 1 student to 37% (94) |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |  |
| 37% (93)   | 37% (94)  |  |  |  |

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                             | Evaluation Tool  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | The area of deficiency in 5rd grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Science Test is Reporting Category 3: Physical Science   | Grade 5- Provide enrichment activities for students to design and develop science and engineering projects to increase scientific thinking, and the development and implementation of inquiry-based activities that allow for testing of hypotheses, data analysis, explanation of variables, and experimental design in Physical Science. |  | Teams will review the results of school-site assessment data to monitor student progress | Formative:<br>School-site<br>assessments,<br>GIZMO's usage<br>reports and<br>district interim<br>assessments.<br>Summative: 2013<br>FCAT 2.0 |
| 1 | The area of deficiency in 8th grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Science Test is Reporting Category 1: Nature of Science. | Grade 8- Provide enrichment activities during class and afterschool opportunities for students to design and develop science and engineering projects to increase scientific thinking, and the development and discussion of inquiry-based activities that allow for testing of hypotheses, data analysis, explanation of                  |  |  |  |

|  | variables, models, and various investigative methods scientists use, (i.e., Science Fair, SECME, Fairchild Challenge) and the use of GIZMO's. |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
|--|---|--|--|

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Responsible Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings)  | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| PLC-Monthly<br>Data Chats                   | K-8                    | Team<br>Leaders                           | Members of the<br>Grade Level Teams  | 9/20/12, 10/18/12,<br>11/15,12,<br>12/20/12, 1/24/13,<br>2/21/13, 3/22/13,<br>4/18/13, 5/16/13 | Team Leader                              | Administration   |

#### Science Budget:

|            | am(s)/Material(s)        |                | Available          |
|------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount             |
| No Data    | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00             |
|            |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0    |
| Technology |                          |                |                    |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amoun |
| No Data    | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00             |

|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          | -              | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Science Goals

# Writing Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.   | The results of the 2012 FCAT writing test indicate that 88% (225) of the students scored at a Level 3-6.                           |  |  |  |
| Writing Goal #1a:  | Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of students scoring Level 3-6 by 1 percentage point to 89% (228). |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |  |  |  |
| 88%(225)   | 89% (228)  |  |  |  |

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| L |   |  |  |  |   |   |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|
|   |   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool   |
|   |   | The area of deficiency in 4th grade as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Writing Test was narrative writing. The students need more writing practice in which ideas, details, and events are in a logical order and are relevant to the story line. | During writing instruction students will use a graphic organizer to plan to write a draft organized with logical sequence of beginning, middle, and end, using supporting facts and/or opinions through concrete examples, statistics, comparisons, real life examples, anecdotes and amazing facts. | LLT  | Administer and score students' monthly writing prompts to monitor students' progress and to adjust focus as needed. | Formative: Students' scores on monthly writing assessments and district writing assessments.  Summative: 2013 FCAT Writing Test |
|   | 1 | persuasive writing. The<br>students need more<br>writing practice in<br>which ideas, details,<br>and events are in a<br>logical order and are  | Review persuasive writing techniques with students. Poetry, print and media advertisements, editorials, and speeches can be used as examples for students to evaluate persuasive techniques  All teachers will   |  |   |   |

|  | according to the of the student    |                     |                                       |  |                              |  |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
|  |                                    |                     |                                       |  |                              |  |
| Based on the analysis of in need of improvement                        |                                    |                     | reference to                          | "Guiding Questions"  | ', identify and define areas |  |
| 1b. Florida Alternate<br>at 4 or higher in writin<br>Writing Goal #1b: |                                    | ts scoring          |                                       |  |                              |  |
| 2012 Current Level of  | 2012 Current Level of Performance: |                     |                                       | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                          |                              |  |
|  |                                    |                     |                                       |  |                              |  |
|  | Problem-Solving                    | Process to I        | ncrease S                             | tudent Achievemen  | t                            |  |
| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy                           | Posi<br>Resp<br>for | son or<br>tion<br>ponsible<br>itoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool              |  |
|  |                                    | No Data             | Submitted                             | •  |                              |  |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

participate in monthly PLC's to analyze data

and adjust instruction

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)                 | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| PLC-Monthly<br>Data Chats                   | K-8                    | Team<br>Leaders                           | Members of the<br>Grade Level Teams  | 9/20/12, 10/18/12,<br>11/15,12,<br>12/20/12, 1/24/13,<br>2/21/13, 3/22/13,<br>4/18/13, 5/16/13 | Team Leader<br>Meeting Minutes           | Administration   |

#### Writing Budget:

line.

| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
|------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data    | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|            | •                        | •              | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data    | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|            | •                        |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount        |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                     |
|          |                          | •              | Subtotal: \$0.00           |
| Other    |                          |                |                            |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount        |
|          | No Doto                  | No Doto        | <b>#0.00</b>               |
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                     |
| No Data  | No Data                  | NO Data        | \$0.00<br>Subtotal: \$0.00 |

End of Writing Goals

### Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to have 100% of the students taking the Civics EOC score in the Middle Civics Goal #1: and Upper 3rd. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 0% (3) 12% (16) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Continuously monitor Anticipated barrier will Provide classroom Administration Formative: occur with students activities which help pacing guide to ensure School-site and unfamiliar with the students develop an all benchmarks are district provided Civics benchmarks understanding of the taught. assessments content-specific vocabulary taught in government/civics.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to have 100% 4 and 5 in Civics. of the students taking the Civics EOC score in the Middle and Upper 3rd Civics Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 0% (3) 12% (16) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy

| C | Anticipated barrier will occur with students unfamiliar with the Civics benchmarks. | Provide opportunities for students to utilize print and non-print resources to research specific issues related to government/civics; help students provide alternate solutions to the problems researched |  | Continuously monitor pacing guide to ensure all benchmarks are taught. | Formative:<br>School-site and<br>district provided<br>assessments.<br>Summative: 2013<br>Civics EOC |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|
|---|---|--|--|--|---|

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)                 | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| PLC-Monthly<br>Data Chats                   | K-8                    | Team<br>Leaders                           | Members of the<br>Grade Level Teams  | 9/20/12, 10/18/12,<br>11/15,12,<br>12/20/12, 1/24/13,<br>2/21/13, 3/22/13,<br>4/18/13, 5/16/13 | Team Leader<br>Meeting Minutes           | Administration   |

#### Civics Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          | -              | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Civics Goals

## Attendance Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

|       | tendance  |  | 97.45% (1147)  | The attendance rate for the 2011-2012 school year was 97.45% (1147)  Our goal for the 2011-2012 school year will be to                                 |   |  |  |
|-------|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Atter | ndance Goal #1:   |  | maintain the a   | ttendance rate of 97.49%   | 6 (1162)                                |  |  |
| 2012  | Current Attendance Ra   | ate:   | 2013 Expecte   | ed Attendance Rate:  |   |  |  |
| 97.45 | % (1147)  |  | (97.45% (1147  | 7)   |   |  |  |
| 1     | Current Number of Stunces (10 or more)  | udents with Excessive  | 2013 Expecte<br>Absences (10                           | ed Number of Students<br>or more)  | with Excessive                          |  |  |
| 145   |   |  | 138  |  |   |  |  |
|       | Current Number of Stu<br>les (10 or more)   | udents with Excessive  |  | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)   |   |  |  |
| 239   |   |  | 227  | 227  |   |  |  |
|       | Prol  | olem-Solving Process t   | o Increase Stude                                       | ent Achievement  |   |  |  |
|       | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool                         |  |  |
| 1     | excessive absences has been attributed to   |  | Administration   | Administrators will monitor school's environment and ascertain health education and health prevention strategies are implemented throughout the school | Attendance rosters.                     |  |  |
| 2     | has been attributed to<br>the late arrival of<br>parents to the school<br>during the morning. | Parents will be required to walk their students to the main office and sign their child into school for the day. A student who is tardy more than 3 times will have to meet with an administrator to discuss the importance of tardiness on the child's education. |  | Administrator will<br>monitor daily<br>attendance reports and<br>tardy logs.   | Attendance<br>report and tardy<br>logs. |  |  |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g.,<br>PLC,subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|

#### No Data Submitted

### Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra  | m(s)/Material(s)         |                |                     |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology             |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developme | ent                      |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                  |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                        |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

# Suspension Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Suspension     Suspension Goal #1:  | The number of outdoor suspensions for the 2011-2012 school year was 2  Our goal for the 2011-2012 school year will be to not exceed 1 outdoor suspension. |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions  | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions   |  |  |  |  |
| 0   | 0   |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School   | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-<br>School  |  |  |  |  |
| 0   | 0   |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions  | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School<br>Suspensions  |  |  |  |  |
| 2   | 2   |  |  |  |  |

| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of-<br>School |   |  | - 2013 Expecte of-School                               | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-<br>of-School |                   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|-------------------|--|--|
| 2   |   |  | 2  |  |                   |  |  |
|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement   |  |  |  |                   |  |  |
|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool   |  |  |
| 1   | Students do not understand the consequences of inappropriate behavior as outlined in the Code of Student Conduct. | A tiered intervention process will be implemented by all administrators to ensure that teachers utilize classroom level behavioral interventions first, then counselor meetings, finally administrative actions to ensure that the students are aware of the inappropriate behavior and has been given proper opportunities to correct the behavior. |  | Decrease in number of<br>Student referrals                   | Student referrals |  |  |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g.,<br>PLC,subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |  |  |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | No Data Submitted      |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

### Suspension Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(  | (a) (Matarial(a)         |                |                     |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy                 | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology               |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy                 | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Development |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy                 | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                          |                          |                |                     |

|          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Other    |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|          |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

## Parent Involvement Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

|       | d on the analysis of parened of improvement:  | nt involvement data, and  | reference to "Guid                                     | ding Questions", identify   | and define areas                           |  |
|-------|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| 1. Pa | irent Involvement   |   | During the 201   | 1 2012 school year 029  | 4 of the parents                           |  |
| *Plea | nt Involvement Goal #' ase refer to the percenta<br>cipated in school activitie<br>plicated.  | ge of parents who   | attended at lea<br>year.  Our goal for th              | During the 2011-2012 school year, 92% of the parents attended at least one school function throughout the year.  Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to maintain or exceed the level of involvement at 92%. |  |  |
| 2012  | 2 Current Level of Parer  | nt Involvement:   | 2013 Expecte   | d Level of Parent Invo  | Ivement:                                   |  |
| 92%   |   |   | 92%  | 92%   |  |  |
|       | Prol  | olem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Stude                                       | ent Achievement   |  |  |
|       | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool                            |  |
| 1     | Parents have limited knowledge and understanding of the various functions that occur at Frank C. Martin and how they can become involved at the school. | Advertise and disseminate information on curricular presentations, such as Open House, and Curriculum Night via the marquee, flyers, PTA newsletters, school website, and Connect Ed. | Administrators   | Review sign-in<br>sheets/logs to<br>determine the number<br>of parent participants<br>at school and<br>community events.  | Parent<br>attendance sign-<br>in<br>sheets |  |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|
|   |                        |   |  |   | Decrease of parental                  |  |

|  | Parent Portal | Parent Portal | II)r Iaidiar |  | September 6,<br>2012 | request for portal<br>assistance<br>throughout the<br>school year. | Dr. Laidler |  |
|--|---------------|---------------|--------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------|--|
|--|---------------|---------------|--------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------|--|

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

# Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based                 | Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:                   |  |  |  |                                    |  |  |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1. STEM STEM Goal #1: |   |  |  | For the 2012-2013 school year, a greater number of students will get involved with local science competitions. |                                    |  |  |
|                       | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement   |  |  |  |                                    |  |  |
|                       | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool                    |  |  |
| 1                     | The Fairchild challenge offers a wide variety of science related challenges that students are unaware of. | Advertise the range of challenges to the school during morning announcements and during student lunch times. | Administration   | Fairchild challenge<br>student entries   | 2013 Fairchild<br>challenge awards |  |  |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted                           |                        |  |  |  |  |  |

### STEM Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | arri(s)/Material(s)      |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

# Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based  | on the analysis of school | ol data, identify and defir  | ne areas in need of                                    | improvement:  |  |  |  |
|--------|---------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1. CTI | E<br>Goal #1:             |  | Increase enrollment of CTE courses                     |   |  |  |  |
|        | Prol                      | olem-Solving Process t   | o Increase Stude                                       | nt Achievement  |  |  |  |
|        | Anticipated Barrier       | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool                              |  |  |
| 1      |                           | Teacher begins to take courses that will lead to industry certification. |  | Administration will follow the development of the CTE course. | New CTE course for the upcoming school year. |  |  |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |  |  |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted                           |                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### CTE Budget:

| Stratogy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available           |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | runding source | Amount              |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of CTE Goal(s)

# Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

### FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based   | Program(s)/Material(s) |                             |                |                     |
|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Goal             | Strategy               | Description of<br>Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount    |
| No Data          | No Data                | No Data                     | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                  |                        |                             |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology       |                        |                             |                |                     |
| Goal             | Strategy               | Description of<br>Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount    |
| No Data          | No Data                | No Data                     | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                  |                        |                             |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Dev | velopment velopment    |                             |                |                     |
| Goal             | Strategy               | Description of<br>Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount    |
| No Data          | No Data                | No Data                     | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                  |                        |                             |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other            |                        |                             |                |                     |
| Goal             | Strategy               | Description of<br>Resources |                |                     |
| No Data          | No Data                | No Data                     | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                  |                        |                             |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                  |                        |                             |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

# Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance



Are you a reward school: † Yes † No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/12/2012)

## School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



If NO, describe the measures being taken to Comply with SAC Requirement

| Describe projected use of SAC funds | Amount |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| No data submitted                   |        |

## AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

### SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Dade School District<br>FRANK CRAWFORD MA<br>2010-2011  | ARTIN K-8 ( | CENTER    |         |     |                           |   |
|---|-------------|-----------|---------|-----|---------------------------|---|
|   | Reading     | Math      | Writing |     | Grade<br>Points<br>Earned |   |
| % Meeting High<br>Standards (FCAT<br>Level 3 and Above) | 91%         | 91%       | 87%     | 80% | 349                       | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making<br>Learning Gains                  | 71%         | 76%       |         |     | 147                       | 3 ways to make gains:  Improve FCAT Levels  Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5  Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2   |
| Adequate Progress of<br>Lowest 25% in the<br>School?    |             | 77% (YES) |         |     | 155                       | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.  |
| FCAT Points Earned                                      |             |           |         |     | 651                       |   |
| Percent Tested = 100%                                   |             |           |         |     |                           | Percent of eligible students tested   |
| School Grade*   |             |           |         |     | А                         | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested  |

| Dade School District<br>FRANK CRAWFORD MA<br>2009-2010  | ARTIN K-8 ( | CENTER    |         |         |                           |   |
|---|-------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
|   | Reading     | Math      | Writing | Science | Grade<br>Points<br>Earned |   |
| % Meeting High<br>Standards (FCAT<br>Level 3 and Above) | 91%         | 91%       | 93%     | 70%     | 345                       | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making<br>Learning Gains                  | 74%         | 72%       |         |         | 146                       | 3 ways to make gains:  Improve FCAT Levels  Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5  Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2   |
| Adequate Progress of<br>Lowest 25% in the<br>School?    | 77% (YES)   | 76% (YES) |         |         | 153                       | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.  |
| FCAT Points Earned                                      |             |           |         |         | 644                       |   |
| Percent Tested = 100%                                   |             |           |         |         |                           | Percent of eligible students tested   |
| School Grade*   |             | ·         |         |         | A                         | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested  |