FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: EMERALD COVE MIDDLE SCHOOL

District Name: Palm Beach

Principal: Dr. Nancy J. Lucas

SAC Chair: Michael Vennett

Superintendent: Wayne Gent

Date of School Board Approval: December 2012

Last Modified on: 11/27/2012



Pam Stewart, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
					Principal: Emerald Cove Middle School 11- 12 Grade A: (625) Reading Mastery 64% Math Mastery 70% Writing Mastery 91% Science Mastery 61% Principal: Emerald Cove Middle School 10- 11. Grade: A (592) Reading Mastery 81% Math Mastery 83% Writing Mastery 86% Science Mastery 69% Science Mastery 69% AYP 79%, Students with Disabilities (SWD) subgroup did not meet targets in reading or math; black student subgroup did not meet targets in reading; economically disadvantaged subgroup did not meet target in reading or math. Principal: Middle School 09-10 Grade: A (599) Reading Mastery 79%

Principal	Dr. Nancy J. Lucas	B.A. Spanish M.A. Spanish Ed.S. Ed. Leadership Ed.D. Ed. Leadership FL DOE Certifications: Spanish 7 – 12 English 6 – 9 ESOL Endorsed School Principal K12 Ed. Leadership K12	6	19	Writing Mastery 94% Science Mastery 63% AYP 87%, Students with Disabilities (SWD) subgroup did not meet targets in reading or math; black student subgroup did not meet targets in reading or math; economically disadvantaged subgroup did not meet target in reading Principal: Emerald Cove Middle School 08- 09 Grade: A (592) Reading Mastery 78% Math Mastery 78% Math Mastery 78% Writing Mastery 98% Science Mastery 52% AYP 95%, Students with Disabilities (SWD) subgroup did not meet targets in reading or math Principal: Emerald Cove Middle School 07- 08 Grade: A (558) Reading Mastery 75% Math Mastery 74% Writing Mastery 96% Science Mastery 53% AYP 90%, SWD subgroup did not meet targets in reading or math; Black and Economically Disadvantaged (ED) subgroups did not meet targets in reading Principal: Freedom Shores Elementary 06- 07 Grade: A Reading Mastery 80% Math Mastery 78% Writing Mastery 82% Science Mastery 57% AYP 90% SWD did not meet targets in reading or math, Black and ED subgroups did not meet targets in math Principal: Freedom Shores Elementary 05- 06 Grade: A Reading Mastery 83% Math Mastery 74% Writing Mastery 78% Science Mastery N/A AYP 87% SWD subgroup did not meet targets in reading, Black, ED, and ELL subgroups did not meet targets in math Principal: Freedom Shores Elementary 04- 05 Grade: B Reading Mastery 80% Math Mastery 72% Writing Mastery 83% Science Mastery 80% Math Mastery 72% Writing Mastery 83% Science Mastery 80% Math Mastery 72% Writing Mastery 83% Science Mastery 80% Math Mastery 72% Writing Mastery 83% Science Mastery N/A AYP 87%, SWD did not meet targets in
					reading or math, Black and ELL subgroups did not meet targets in math Asst. Principal: Emerald Cove Middle School 11-12 Grade A: (625) Reading Mastery 64% Math Mastery 70% Writing Mastery 91%
		B.S. in Education M.A. in School			Asst. Principal: Emerald Cove Middle School 10-11 Grade: A (592) Reading Mastery 81% Math Mastery 83% Writing Mastery 96% Science Mastery 69% AYP 79%, Students with Disabilities (SWD) subgroup did not meet targets in reading or math; black student subgroup did not meet targets in reading; economically disadvantaged subgroup did not meet target in reading or math. Asst. Principal: Emerald Cove Middle School 09-10 Grade: A (599) Reading Mastery 79% Math Mastery 83% Writing Mastery 94% Science Mastery 63% AYP 87%, Students with Disabilities (SWD) subgroup did not meet targets in reading or math; black student subgroup did not meet targets in reading or math; economically disadvantaged subgroup did not meet target in reading

Assis Principal	Mr. Tom Fredrickson	Administration Florida Certification in Special Education (Learning Disabilities/ Behavioral Disorders) (K- 12) School Administration (K-12)	6	9	Asst. Prin.: Emerald Cove Middle School 08-09 Grade: A (592) Reading Mastery 78% Math Mastery 78% Writing Mastery 98% Science Mastery 52% AYP 95%, Students with Disabilities (SWD) subgroup did not meet targets in reading or math Asst. Prin.: Emerald Cove Middle School 07-08 Grade: A (558) Reading Mastery 75% Math Mastery 74% Writing Mastery 96% Science Mastery 53% AYP 90%, SWD subgroup did not meet targets in reading or math; Black and Economically Disadvantaged (ED) subgroups did not meet targets in reading Asst. Prin.: Polo Park Middle School 06-07 Grade: A Reading Mastery 76% Math Mastery 78% Writing Mastery 76% Math Mastery 78% Writing Mastery 94% Science Mastery 57% AYP 97%, SWD did not meet targets in reading Asst. Prin.: Polo Park Middle School 05-06 Grade: A Reading Mastery 74% Math Mastery 72% Writing Mastery 74% Math Mastery 73% Science Mastery N/A AYP 92% SWD subgroup did not meet targets in reading, Black and ED subgroups did not meet targets in reading, Black and ED subgroups did not meet targets in reading, Black and ED subgroups did not meet targets in math Asst. Prin.: Polo Park Middle School 04-05 Grade: A Reading Mastery 71% Math Mastery 74% Writing Mastery 71% Math Mastery 74% Writing Mastery 87% Science Mastery N/A AYP 93% SWD subgroup did not meet targets in reading or math
Assis Principal	Mr. Jason Wheeler	B.A. in elementary ed. M.A. in Ed. Leadership Florida Certification in K-6; Science 5 - 9; Ed. Leadership	3	4	Asst. Principal: Emerald Cove Middle School 11-12 Grade A: (625) Reading Mastery 64% Math Mastery 70% Writing Mastery 91% Science Mastery 61% Asst. Principal: Emerald Cove Middle School. 10-11. Grade: A (592) Reading Mastery 81% Math Mastery 83% Writing Mastery 86% Science Mastery 69% AYP 79%, Students with Disabilities (SWD) subgroup did not meet targets in reading or math; black student subgroup did not meet targets in reading; economically disadvantaged subgroup did not meet target in reading or math. Asst. Principal: Berkshire Elem 09-10 Grade: A (564) Reading Mastery 72% Math Mastery 81% Writing Mastery 82% Science Mastery 52% AYP 95%, Students with Disabilities (SWD) subgroup did not meet targets in reading or math
Assis Principal	Mr. Marellius L. King	M.Ed Educational Leadership, NOVA Southeastern University; BS- Social Science, Florida State University; Reading Endorsed	1	2	2011-2012 Grade A, 64% reading, 61% in Math, 64% REading Gains, 86% Math Gains, 64% making gains in lowest 25%, 58% making learning gains in math,61% in science, 90% above level 3 in writing. 2010-2011 Grade: A, Meeting High Standards in: Reading 77%, Math 75%, Writing 93%, Science 69%. AYP: 69%, None of the subgroups represented at CMS used to determine AYP status made AYP under the No Child Left Behind Act.

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
We currently have no Instructional Coaches					

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	administration attends Job Fairs	Administrators	as scheduled	
2	2. vacancies posted on PeopleSoft	Principal; payroll secretary	ongoing	
3	3. new teachers assigned a mentor	Assistant Principal	year long mentoring period ending June 2013	
4	Beginning teachers are assigned a mentor and a buddy and are introduced to the Educator's Support Program	Assistant Principal	year long mentoring period ending June 2013	
5	5. All teachers receive ongoing staff development through scheduled PDD days, Learning Team Meetings, and monthly staff meetings.	Administration; PDD Team	ongoing ending June 2013	
6	Language arts, math, science, social studies, and electives department chairs assist teachers with instruction.	Department Chairs	ongoing ending June 2013	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

 * When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
4	Teachers are being mentored by their Asst. Principal and encouraged to obtain highly qualified status as soon as possible.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers		% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
70	4.3%(3)	17.1%(12)	57.1%(40)	21.4%(15)	21.4%(15)	94.3%(66)	7.1%(5)	7.1%(5)	28.6%(20)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Brittany Kiser	McAliley	Excellent leadership skills and effective mentoring knowledge	Developing the IPDP and FEAP activities
Kimberly Stellman	McGriff		Developing the IPDP and FEAP activities
Mathew Jensen	Brett Bailey	Excellent leadership skills and effective mentoring knowledge	Developing the IPDP and FEAP activities

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Housing Programs

Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Title I, Part D

Title III

Title III

Title X- Homeless

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Ulolence Prevention Programs

District-wide implementation of Single School Culture as well as Appreciation of Multicultural Diversity.

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other

Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other
Required instruction listed in 100.42(2) F.S., as applicable to appropriate grade levels.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal and Assistant Principal provide oversight and monitor progress

Guidance Representative provides behavioral and social emotional data

ESE Contact provides data on students with disabilities

Reading Coach provides student achievement data

Area RTI Facilitator provides assistance in drilling down data: whole school to grade level to classroom to student

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The RtI Leadership Team will meet monthly. Additional meetings may be planned as necessary. See above for description of member roles/functions.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Provide formative academic and behavioral data and assist in implementing Florida's Continuous School Improvement Model throughout school year.

-MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

District data management system (EDW) provides baseline data analysis and reports on academics, demographics, discipline, attendance, and mobility rates, etc.

Baseline data: Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) and District Diagnostics

Progress Monitoring: Princeton Review, Scholastic Reading Inventory, FCAT Test Maker, Curriculum Framework Assessments, data driven embedded assessments

Midyear: Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR), FCAT District Diagnostics

End of year: FCAT

Frequency of Data: twice a month for data analysis

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development will be provided during regular faculty meetings and during teachers' common planning time and small sessions will occur throughout the year. Two PD sessions will take place in Fall and Winter terms. The RtI team will also evaluate additional staff PD needs during the weekly RtI Leadership Team meetings.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The school-based MTSS Leadership team will meeet regularly to discuss and follow specific targeted student needs and intervention progress. Stakeholders will be updated with their progress. The team will use the Problem Solving Model* to support the process at all meetings. Based on data and discussion, the team will identify students who are in need of additional academic and/or behavioral support (supplemental or intensive). An intervention plan will be developed (PBCSD Form 2284) which identifies a student's specific areas of deficiencies and appropriate research-based interventions to address these deficiencies. The team will ensure the necessary resources are available and the intervention is implemented with fidelity. Each case will be assigned a case liaison to support the interventionist (e.g., teacher, inclusion facilitator, guidance counselor) and report back on all data collected for further discussion at future meetings.)

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal: Dr. Nancy J. Lucas

Library Media Specialist: Sharon Neubauer

ESE coordinator: Tracy Nash

LA/Reading: Cynthia Richards and Amy Yuzenas. Content Area: Ana Villanueva, Barry Asch, Brittany Kiser, Kimberly Kovacs

Criteria for consideration included a strong background in reading/literacy, a mentor to others, a willingness to grow and learn professionally and share with others, and an ability to come up with innovative ways to promote reading achievement in the school.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) creates capacity of reading knowledge within the school building and focuses on areas of literacy concern across the school. The principal, reading coach, mentor reading teachers, content area teachers, and other principal appointees serve on the team. The LLT meets at least once a month.

The principal meets with the LLT at least once a month. Agenda topics include the discussion of the team's goals and progress, as well as identification of new strategies and activities to implement. As additional needs and concerns arise, the LLT investigates the concern, studies and plans a course of action, implements the action, analyzes its effectiveness, and reflects on the process. This is a continuous process throughout the entire school year.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The LLT plays an integral role in fostering a rich literacy environment at the school for all students and staff. The team builds professional conversations; promotes collegiality, collaboration, and a literacy culture. Initiatives are based on literacy-related data and needs assessments related to the school, including literacy achievement, motivation, and building a community of readers, both at school and at home. This is a continuous process throughout the entire school year.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

The goal of content area instruction is to teach the ideas, concepts, and principles of a specific subject. Content area textbooks are challenging in that they contain subject-specific vocabulary, dense information and unfamiliar concepts. Students in content area classes receive instruction in learning strategies in order to meet the unique requirements of the individual subject area. Students learn to read and understand expository text and to gain information from pictures, maps, charts, diagrams, and other texts. Students learn to: understand the organization of their textbooks, including bold-faced type, icons, italics, etc.; recognize organizational patterns in text; understand how pictures and other graphic representations contain information that is important to understanding the text; understand that reading is a process and utilize appropriate reading strategies before, during, and after reading; know which reading strategies are appropriate to use with a particular text; use a variety of study and note-taking skills; understand vocabulary context clues provided by the author; and use word attack skills.

To support students' efforts, content area teachers are trained to use and to teach learning strategies that are effective for their subject areas. Professional development opportunities are provided to support the infusion of reading instruction in content area instruction.

Teachers received CRISS professional development to provide engaging learning strategies for all subject areas across the curriculum. These learning strategies are designed to develop critical thinking, independent readers and motivated learners. These professional development trainings supported subject area classroom explicit instruction and ways to actively engage students in discussion and questioning the text. Content-area books are checked out from the media center or classroom library to be utilized in supporting and deepening the students' understanding of course content. Teachers incorporate both fiction and nonfiction read alouds into the curriculum from a variety of content area text provided through the media center. Teachers incorporate course notebooks, journals, or writing prompts as a tool to improve literacy skills.

The following research supports the systematic delivery of explicit instruction in the use of reading strategies:

- Strategy instruction improves comprehension of texts (The Report of the National Reading Panel, 2006).
- · Struggling readers benefit from explicit instruction in the use of strategies. (RAND Report on Reading Comprehension, 2002)
- The explicit teaching of strategies improves comprehension. (Pressley, 2002)
- Students need to be taught a set of strategies that they can use on their own when they read text, especially when they encounter difficulties. (Dole, 2000)

411		0 1			\sim	
^H	ınh	Sch	$\cap \cap$	l C	()n	11/
- 11	IUII	JUL	IUU.	1.0	OH	ıν

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?
How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?
Postsecondary Transition Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.
Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

81% (777)

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.

Reading Goal #1a:

In SY13, ECMS will increase its percentage of students achieving level 3 on FCAT 2.0 in reading by 3%.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

84%(1041)

Person or Process Used to Determine Position **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy No current funding Content area and Principal, Asst. Lesson plans reviewed by Classroom available walkthrough logs; Principal, Dept. Dept. Chairs and elective teachers will Chairs, Library explicitly infuse higher submitted quarterly to Lesson Plans; EDW order questions and Media Specialist the Assistant Principal, Reports, progress CRISS instructional monitor implementation reports, and school reading strategies and through classroom arades. activities that address walkthroughs; analyze secondary reading mini-assessments, SRI benchmarks. scores, EDW Diagnostic scores, and school grades to revise lesson plans Classroom Walk Reading strategy Provide staff members Principal and FCAT diagnostic instruction needs to with reading across the Administrative Throughs, monitoring of data and performance on Team occur across all content areas, strategy lesson plans to ensure curriculum areas. instruction, and strategies are being the FCAT SSS 2 professional implemented, feedback Reading Assessment development. from teachers after professional development and in service opportunities. Students lack Utilize data chats with Evaluation of diagnostic Diagnostic scores Principal students to increase Asst. Principals, scores fall v. winter to FCAT scores understanding of score awareness of scores and teachers assess improvement, reports. **FCAT** methods to improve scores

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.

Reading Goal #1b:

1n SY13, ECMS will increase its percentage of students who achieve a level 4,5, or 6 and participate in the FAA for reading by 6%.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

In SY12, 19%(3) of students who participated in the FAA for reading achieved a level 4,5, or 6.

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Students individual behavioral and communication needs may interfere with the delivery of insruction in class.	Small group/individualized instruction to students to ensure delivery of instruction. Infusion of communication interventions and devices within the class setting. Research based reading program implemented school-wide for FAA students	Coordinator, ESE Department Chair	Practice FAA materials	FAA Assessment			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Students making a 4 or 5 on the 2012 FCAT make increase by 3% on the 2013 FCAT. Reading Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 42%(405) 46% (570) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible for **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Limited technology Varied instructional Principal, Technology Lesson plans reviewed Classroom available technology applications Specialist; PDD Team by Dept. Chairs and walkthrough will be infused to enrich submitted quarterly to logs/reports; Leader; instruction in LanguageArts/Reading the Assistant Principal, Lesson Plans; advanced/Gifted content Department monitor implementation Classroom area classes including Chairperson through classroom assessments. Reading Counts and walkthroughs; monitor Diagnostic tests: Cranium Core programs. and analyze data and EDW Reports, Gifted and advanced results of miniprogress reports, level teachers will use assessments, SRI report cards direct vocabulary scores, school grades, instruction utilizing and Diagnostic test Sadlier-Oxford Word results. Attack curriculum that focuses on root words, prefixes and suffixes for vocabulary development. Students making a 4 or 5 Board configuration Principal, Asst. Are required board items Walkthroughs, on the 2012 FCAT will including objectives, observed in classrooms Observations of Principal, Dept. maintain proficiency and agenda, and homework Chairs, Teachers. classes.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

make learning gains on

the 2013 FCAT.

Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in

assignments in all

classrooms.

reading. Reading Goal #2b:				students who participate i evel 7 or higher will increas	
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
In SY 12, 44%(7) of ECMS students who particiapated in FAA for reading achieved a level 7 or higher.			3) of ECMS students who p will achieve a level 7 or hi		
Problem-Solving Process to I			o Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students individual behavioral and communication needs may interfere with the delivery of instruction in class.	Small group/individualized instruction to students to ensure delivery of instruction. Infusion of communication interventions and devices within the class setting. Research based reading program inplemented for FAA students.	Coordinator, ESE Contact	Practice FAA materials, individual class assignments	FAA for Reading

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guidin	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:			Emerald Cove	Middle School will improve k lents making learning gains	
2012	Current Level of Perforr	nance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance:	
62%(576)			66%(818)		
Problem-Solving Process to I			to Increase Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Limited time for school- wide comprehensive training in Differentiated Instruction	Content area and elective teachers will adhere to course specific instructional scope and sequence frameworks, plan differentiated instruction, implement the Reading Counts program to address individual student needs and address secondary benchmarks through increased incorporation of informational text within course curriculum frameworks.	Principal, Asst. Principal, Dept. Chairs	Monitor implementation through examination of lesson plans and classroom walkthroughs; results and data analysis of SRI tests, Diagnostics Tests, progress report grades, and school grades.	

of imp	of improvement for the following group:					
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:			student who participate ir ke learning gains will increa			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
1	12, 56%(9) ECMS studen ading made a learning gair	ts who participate in the F.		10) ECMS students who pa make a learning gain.	orticpate in the FAA	
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students individual behavioral and communication needs may interfere with the delivery of instruction in class.	Small group/individualized instruction to students to ensure delivery of instruction. Infusion of communication interventions and devices within the class setting. Research based reading program implemented school-wide for FAA students	Coordinator, ESE Teacher, ESE Department Chair	FAA sample tests	SY 2013 FAA Assessment	

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:			Emerald Cove Middle School students, in the lowest 25%, will make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT.			
2012	Current Level of Perforr	mance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
60%(720)			69%(858)			
Problem-Solving Process to I			to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Lack of student motivation to read	Intensive reading classes will be provided to all level 1 and level 2 disfluent readers. Content area and elective teachers will identify Level 1 and 2 students, promote Reading Counts and plan relevant and rigorous differentiated instruction that meets student needs and focuses on higher order critical thinking skills.	Principal, Asst. Principal, Dept. Chairs, Teachers	Monitor implementation through examination of lesson plans and classroom walkthroughs; monitor and analyze SRI, Reading Counts, and Diagnostic results; progress report grades, and report card grades.	Classroom walkthrough logs; Lesson Plans; SRI, EDW, Diagnostic, Reading Counts reports and report cards.	

2	Student understa reports.	s lack anding of score	students, of chats with counselors students, r		Princ	cipal, Asst. cipal, Dept. rs,Teachers.	Increa	ise in diagnostic s.	Comparison of fall v. winter diagnostic scores.
Based	d on Amb	itious but Achiev	rable Annual	Measurable Ob	jectiv	es (AMOs), AM	O-2, R	eading and Math Pe	rformance Target
Measi	urable Ob I will red	but Achievable / njectives (AMOs) uce their achiev	. In six year	Reading Goal a		S students wi	ill ac	hieve 85% profic	iency.
	line data 0-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-201	4	2014-201	5	2015-2016	2016-2017
		64 7	5	78		80		83	
of imp	orovemer tudent s	analysis of stude at for the following ubgroups by ean, American II	ng subgroup: hnicity (Wh	nite, Black,				ions", identify and o	
	factory p	#5B:	ding.			n SY13, ECMS tudent subgrou		crease reading profices:	ciency for each
		Level of Performs (134); Black, 61% (134)	:1); Hispanic, é		I s (ncrease the pe	ercent of ove gra 1) to 6 AT Rea		n 85%(434) to 87%
	Antici	pated Barrier	Str	ategy	Res	on or Position sponsible for Monitoring		rocess Used to Determine ffectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	wide mu	ime for school- lticultural y training	motivationa skills curricu intensive le instruction. and elective model effect strategies to incorporation content are and informations.	d "Why Try" al and study ulum for vel 1 & 2 Content area e teachers will ctive reading hrough ng relevant ea literature	Schoo Guida Conte Electi Librar	anceCounselors ent and iveTeachers, ry Media	through lessor class collab teach impler monit result: Diagn Count progreand 9	or implementation gh examination of	Classroom walkthrough logs; Lesson Plans, SPARC- School Counselor Accountability Report Card; student report cards, progress reports, EDW Reports.
of im	orovemer	it for the following	ng subgroup:		eferer	nce to "Guiding) Quest	ions", identify and o	define areas in neec
satis	_	anguage Learne progress in rea		t making	Ν	J/A			

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

N/A				N/A	N/A			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D:				n 2013 ECMS S n the FCAT 2.0	SWD will increase their read.	ding scores by 2%
2012	Current Level of Perforr	mance:	2	013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
36%(41)			SC		rcent of Students with Dis ove grade level from 36% Reading Test.	
	Problem-Solving Process to I			crease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Res	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Limited number of ESE Faculty to provide small group instruction with additional accommodations and modifications	Determine core instructional needs by reviewing assessment data for all SWD. Plan differentiated instruction using evidence-based instruction/ interventions including an inclusion model of instruction in content areas	Dept. Read	Contact, ESE . Chair, ling Teachers	Student progress will be assessed using miniassessments as well as District Fall and Winter FCAT Diagnostics. Percent of students making adequate progress toward benchmarks will be calculated	EDW assessment data reports

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E:			In S	In SY13, ECMS will increase reading proficiency for Economically Disadvantaged students by 2%.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			201	13 Expected	Level of Performance:	
64%(234)			stud	Increase the percent of Economically Disadvantaged students scoring at or above grade level from 64%(234) to 66%(260)on the 2013 FCAT Reading Test.		
Problem-Solving Process to I			to Incre	ease Studen	t Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy R			Po Respo	rson or osition onsible for nitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

1	comprehensive training in teaching children of poverty		Dept. Chair, Reading Teachers	Student progress will be assessed using mini-assessments as well as District Fall and Winter FCAT Diagnostics. Percent of students making adequate progress toward benchmarks will be calculated	EDW assessment data reports
---	--	--	----------------------------------	--	-----------------------------

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
CRISS Strategies/Reading Counts for all content and elective teachers	6-8 All content areas	Rae Jensen	School Wide	Early Release September 2012	Peer teacher observations, Classroom Walkthroughs; teacher post-tests and PLC's	Principal and Assistant Principals
Technology applications for RTI Tiers 1,2 and 3 in reading for all content and elective teachers	6-8 All content areas	Rae Jensen	School Wide	Early Release October 2012	Peer teacher observations, Classroom Walkthroughs; teacher post-tests and PLC's	Principal, Assistant Principals, Technology Specialist
Marzano Instructional Effectiveness Strategy	6-8	Principal, Assistant Principals, and all Academic Department Chairs	School Wide	Early Release Days and Monthly LTM's	Peer teacher observations, Classroom Walkthroughs, and iObservation	Principal and Assistant Principals
Common Core/NGSSS for reading in all content and elective areas	6-8 All content areas	Rae Jensen	School Wide	Early Release August 2012	Peer teacher observations, Classroom Walkthroughs; teacher post-tests and PLC's	Principal and Assistant Principals

Reading Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
	•	-	Subtotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Reading Goals

					Grand Tota
					End of Rea
Comprehensive Er	nglish Language	Learning /	Assessm	ent (CELLA) Goa	als
* When using percentage	s, include the number	of students the p	percentage	represents next to the p	percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).
Students speak in Engli	ish and understand s	ooken English a	at grade le	vel in a manner simila	r to non-ELL students.
1. Students scoring p	roficient in listenin	g/speaking.			
CELLA Goal #1:			N/A		
2012 Current Percent	t of Students Profic	ient in listenir	ng/speaki	ing:	
N/A					
	Problem-Solvinç	g Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievemen	t
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit	on or tion oonsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool
			toring	Strategy	
		No Data	Submitted		
Students read in Englis	h at grade level text	in a manner si	milar to no	on-ELL students.	
2. Students scoring p	roficient in reading				
CELLA Goal #2:					
2012 Current Percent	t of Students Profic	ient in readin	g:		
	Problem-Solvino	g Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievemen	t
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	ı	'	Submitted	1	1

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.							
3. Students scoring pr	3. Students scoring proficient in writing.						
CELLA Goal #3:	CELLA Goal #3:						
2012 Current Percent	of Students Proficient	in writing:					
	Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase S	Student Achievement				
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Evaluation Tool							
No Data Submitted							

CELLA Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. In SY13, ECMS will increase its percentage of student proficiency in math by 3%. Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 84% (800) 87% (1078) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy No current funding The school will utilize Review data reports to EDW assessment Principal, Asst. available District provided focus Principal, Math ensure teachers are data reports lessons, incorporate Dept. Chair assessing students Problem-of-the-Day according to the created schedule warm-ups that focus on secondary benchmarks, and utilize Core K12 diagnostic and mini assessments to monitor student progress and understanding of grade level benchmarks. Guiding students to Math teachers will follow Principal and Asst. Class visits, Diagnostic test understand goal-setting the instructional Principals LTM reports scores, FCAT and how to achieve frameworks including scores on testing. scope and sequence and pacing chart. -Identify students for enrichment Data chats Students that are Differentiated instruction Principal, Asst. Diagnostic results, Fall v. winter diagnostic

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b:	In SY13, ECMS will incerase its percentage of FAA students scoring levels 4,5, and 6 in mathematics by 6%.					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
In SY12, 38% (6) of FAA students at ECMS scored proficient at levels 4,5, and 6.	In SY13, 44% of FAA students at ECMS will score proficient at levels 4,5,and 6.					
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement					
	Person or Process Used to					

principals,

Teachers

scores.

EDW reports, FCAT

2013 results

will be offered in all

classes.

proficient do not receive

the benefit of intensive

math classes.

3

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students individual behavior and communication needs may interfere with the delivery of instruction in class.	Small group/individualized instruction to students to ensure delivery of instruction. Manipulatives will be used for instruction with students participating in the FAA.	Coordinator	Practice FAA materials, individual classroom assessments	FAA for Math

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Students achieving above proficiency (FCAT Level 4 & 5) in Level 4 in mathematics. math will maintain proficiency and experience learning gains on the 2013 FCAT. Mathematics Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 48%(458) 52%(645) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Determine Position **Evaluation Tool Anticipated Barrier** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy No current funding Connect Ed and other Math Dept. Lesson plans reviewed Classroom available educational technology Chairperson and by Dept. Chairs and walkthrough applications Subject Area submitted quarterly to logs; Lesson Plans; will be infused into Assistant Principal the Assistant Principal, EDW Diagnostic instructional strategies to monitor implementation reports, progress enhance and challenge through classroom reports, and report students with higher level walkthroughs card grades. thinking and performance standards. Differentiated instruction Principal, Assistant Improvement in scores Diagnostic results, Students that are proficient do not receive will be offered in all Principals, will be realized as EDW reports, FCAT the benefit of intensive classes. Teachers differentiated instruction 2013 results. math classes. is utilized in all classes. Students scoring a level 4 Principal and Level 4 & 5 students do Improvement in scores Diagnostic tests, Assistant Principals will be realized as not receive additional & 5 will receive 2013 FCAT results differentiated instruction instruction through enrichment through intensive mathematics differentiated instruction. is utilized in all classes. classes to maintain proficiency. Data chats to make 3 students aware of assessment levels Mentoring/encouragement

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b:	In SY13, ECMS will increase its percentage of FAA students achieving level 4 and above in mathematics by 6%.				
2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance:					

to students.

In SY12, 19% (3) of FAA students acheived a level 7 or above on the Florida Alternate Assessment for mathematics.

In SY13, 25% (4) of FAA students will achieve a level 7 or above on the Florida Alternate Assesment for mathematics.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1		mastery of instruction.	Coordinator	FAA practice materials, individual class assessment.	FAA for Math

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a:	Emerald Cove Middle School will improve the percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics when comparing scores on 2012 FCAT v. 2013 FCAT by 4%.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
74%(686)	78%(967)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	No current funding available	Math teachers will plan and implement differentiated instructional strategies to develop relevant and rigorous grade-level specific activities that address individual student needs.	Principal, Math Dept.	Monitor implementation and effectiveness through examination of lesson plans, Classroom Walk- throughs, grades on progress reports, and diagnostic test results.	Classroom walkthrough logs; Lesson Plans progress reports and report cards.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b:	In SY13, ECMS will increase its learning gains of student's participating in the Florida Alternate Assessment for math by 6%.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
In SY12, 44% (7) of student who participate in the Florida Alternate Assessment for math made a learning gain.	In SY13, 50% (8)of students participating in the Florida Alternate Assessment for math will show a learning gain.			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

Person or Process Used to

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	delivery of instruction in class.	Small group/individualized instructions to students to ensure mastery of instruction. Manipulatives used in class setting for delivery of instruction	Administration	FAA practice materials, individual class assessment	FAA for Math

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% Students in the subgroup Lowest 25% will experience growth making learning gains in mathematics. as shown on Fall v. Winter Diagnostic scores. In addition, these students will make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT. Mathematics Goal #4: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 67%(804) 73% (908) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool Anticipated Barrier** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy limited time and funding Math teachers will plan Principal, Subject Monitor implementation Classroom to provide school-wide and implement Area Administrator, and effectiveness Walkthrough logs, RTI Process training differentiated instruction Math Department through review of lesson lesson plans, (RTI) for Tiers 1, 2 and 3 Chairperson plans, Classroom progress reports, to address individual Walkthroughs, grades on and report cards. student needs. progress reports, and diagnostic test results. Need for enhanced Teachers will utilize Principal and An increase in student Comparison of programming in class. available technology on Assistant principal scores will be realized diagnostic test campus (for use in when comparing 2 scores, FCAT 2013 classroom or computer diagnostic tests. scores. labs) to improve student scores.

Based on Amb	Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target							
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Middle School Mati By 2017 87%		CMS will achieve	proficiency.		
Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013			2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
	70	78	81	83	85			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.

Increase the percent of white, black, and Hispanic students scoring at or above grade level by 2% on the 2013 FCAT Math Test.

Mathematics Goal #5B:

2012	Current Level of Perform	mance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
White	84%(428);Black 69%(148	i);Hispanic 72%(184)	(187), and Hisp	rcent of white to 86%(476 anic students to 74%(222) vel on the 2013 FCAT Math	scoring at or
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Limited time and funding for school-wide multicultural sensitivity training	and implement culturally responsive instructional	Math Department Chairperson, PDD Team Leader	and effectiveness of instructional practices by reviewing mini- assessments, progress	Progress Reports, EDW reports, report cards, district Diagnostic test scores and analysis.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. N/A Mathematics Goal #5C: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. SWD at Emerald Cove Middle School will show a 2% increase learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 43%(49) 45%(69) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Limited time or funding to Math teachers and Subject Area mini-assessments, IEP progress reports, provide training to cooperating ESE Inclusion Administrator, Team meetings, Fall and report cards,

	and ESE Inclusion	collaboratively plan strategies, modifications and accommodations to	Chairperson, ESE Contact, ESE	Winter Diagnostic test results analyzed by SWD subgroup, progress report grades, school grades	through EDW
--	-------------------	--	----------------------------------	---	-------------

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Economically Disadvantaged students at Emerald Cove Middle School will improve by 2% in mathematics on the 2013 FCAT. Mathematics Goal E: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 69%(252) 71%(278) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy no funding available Math teachers will Principal, Math Monitor grades and test progress reports, implement instructional Dept. Chair, PDD results of Economically school grades, diagnostic test Team Leader Disadvantaged students practices and vary instructional strategies to and adjust instructional results of accommodate practices as needed. Economically Disadvantaged differentiated learning styles, set high goals and students. hold students accountable for meeting grade level expectations.

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. In SY13, the number of student who achieve a level 3 on the Algebra EOC will increase by 2%. Algebra Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In SY12, 35% (78)of student who participated in the In SY13, 33% (74) will achieve a level 3 on the Algebra Algebra EOC achieved a level 3. EOC. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy As we address the Through the use of our Principal, For program Student selfneeds of our students, School-wide positive assessments, Fall Assistant participants, there will

1	we have identified the need to build stronger connections to our students.	program, research has	mentoring coordinator		v. winter diagnostics
---	---	-----------------------	--------------------------	--	--------------------------

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:								
r and o miringostal			In S shov		s students who participat use of 2% for achieving l EOC.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
1	In SY 12, 40% (89)of ECMS students who participated in the Algebra EOC achieved a level 4 or higher.				In SY 13, 42%(95) of ECMS students who participate in the Algebra EOC will achieve a level 4 or higher.				
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Incre	ase Stude	ent Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Po Respo	son or sition nsible for itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Enrichment and higher order thinking skills activities do not occur as often as they should.	Provide a variety of activities, clubs and critical thinking opportunites for students (Academic Games, SECME.)	Adminis Club Sp		Attendance in activities, classroom walk thoughs	EOC, attendance in activities			

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry. In SY13, 100% of ECMS Geometry students will acheive a level 3 or higher on the Geometry EOC. Geometry Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In SY12, 100%(47) of students who participated in the For SY13, 100% (48) of students who will participate in Geometry EOC achieved a level 3. the Geometry EOC will achieve a level 3 or higher. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Lack of time during Meet regularly with Administration Review and decrease of EOC

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

-		school day for teachers	teachers to discuss	activities that take	
	1	to cover all material.	ways to limit intrusions	away instructional time	
			to class instructional		
			time.		

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:								
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in Geometry.Geometry Goal #2:			In SY13, 1009	% of ECMS Geometry stu er on the Geometry EOC					
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expect	ed Level of Performand	e:				
In SY12, 100%(47) of students who participated in the Geometry EOC achieved a level 4 or higher.				For SY13, 100% (48) of students who will participate in the Geometry EOC will achieve a level 4 or higher.					
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stud	ent Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1			Club Sponsors, Administration	Club rosters	Geometry EOC				

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core/NGSSS for math	6-8	Math Department Chairperson (PLC Leader)	Math teachers	bi-weekly department meetings - August 2012- May 2013		Principal, Subject Area Administrator, math department chairperson
Culturally appropriate instructional practices	6-8	Rae Jensen (PD Facilitator)	school-wide	Fariv Palagea	Monitor instructional delivery, test scores and grades	Principal, Subject Area Administrator, Math department chairperson
Characteristics of students of poverty	6-8	Rae Jensen (PD Facilitator	school-wide	Farly Palagea	Monitor instructional delivery, test scores and grades	Principal, Subject Area Administrator, Math department chairperson
Marzano Instructional Effectiveness Strategy	6-8	Principal, Assistant Principals, and all Academic Department Chairs	school-wide	Early Release Days and Monthly LTM's	Peer teacher observations, Classroom Walkthroughs, and iObservation	Principal and Assistant Principals
Technology applications for RTI Tier 1,2 and 3 in Math courses	6-8	Principal, Assistant Principals, and all Academic Department Chairs	Math teachers	Early Release September 2012	Monitor lesson plans and instructional delivery/board configuration	Principal, Subject Area Administrator, Math department chairperson

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
		-	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, a areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define	
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a:		s will utilize common 9 el) based on the benchn		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
69%(225)	76%(942)	76%(942)		
Problem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Person or	Process Used to		

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	no funding available	labs, incorporate	Principal, Subject Area Administrator, Science Department Chairperson	test results and grades.	District- developed Grades 7 and 8 Diagnostic tests; teacher- developed grade 6 science diagnostic tests.
	Development of common assessments.	l e	- P	Measurement of assessment scores	Assessment scores

develop assessments	2		assessments to assess mastery by students. Grade level teachers will work together to develop assessments				
---------------------	---	--	---	--	--	--	--

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. In SY13, ECMS will increaase its perecentage of FAA science students who achieve a level 4,5,or 6 by 6%. Science Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In SY12, 25%(4) of ECMS students who participated in In SY13, 31% (5) of ECMS students who participte in the FAA for science achieved a level 4,5,or 6. the FAA for science will achieve a level 4,5,or 6. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Student's individual Hands-on function Practive FAA materials FAA for science Administration. behavioral and learning activities will **ESE** Coordinator communication needs be used to present may interfere with the science concepts. delivery of instruction in class. Small group/individualized instruction to ensure mastery of concepts.

		dent achievement data, at the following group		Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a:				pe taught skills to improvata related diagnostic s	
2012	Current Level of Perf	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ce:
23%(74)		27%(108)		
	Prob	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	inadequate funding	Provide level 4 and level 5 students the opportunity to participate in a rigorous standards based virtual science course and conduct midterm and quarterly student performance data analysis based on higher order content	Area Administrator, Science Department Chairperson	progress report grades, school grades, diagnostic test results	report cards,

standards and

		assessments.			
2	Students do not understand the implications that science has in their daily lives.	All students will be required to participate in science fair activities - an activity which promotes the scientific method in action, student based research, evaluation of data and analysis during middle school.	teachers.	diagnostics, student	Diagnostic results and FCAT 2013 results.

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
Stud in sc	Florida Alternate Asses ents scoring at or abo ience. nce Goal #2b:		participate in	In SY13, ECMS the percentage of students who participate in the FAA for science and acheived a level 7 or higher will increase by 6%.		
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expect	ed Level of Performand	ce:	
	In SY12, 31% (5) of ECMS students who participated in the FAA for science acheieved a level 7 or higher.			In SY13, 37%(6) of ECMS students who participate in the FAA for science will achieve a level 7 or higher.		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stud	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Student's individual behavioral and communication needs may interfere with the delivery of instruction in class.	Small group/indivicualized instruction to ensure master of concepts. Hands-on functional learning activities to teach the scientific method.	Administration, ESE Coordinator	Practice FAA materials	FAA for Science	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Marzano Instructional Effectiveness Strategy	6-8	Principal, Assistant Principals, and all Academic Department Chairs	School Wide	Early Release Days and Monthly LTM's	Peer teacher observations, Classroom Walkthroughs, and iObservation	Principal and Assistant Principals
Lesson Study for Science teachers	6-8	Science Department Chairperson (PLC Leader)		bi-weekly department meetings, December 2012		Principal, Subject Area Administrator, Science Department Chairperson
					meeting minutes,	Principal, Subject

Higher order Science labs implementation	6-8	Science Department Chairperson (PLC Leader)	Science Teachers	meetings October 2012	observations, lesson plans/board	Area Administrator, Science Department Chairperson
NGSSS training for Science	Science teachers by grade level	Science Department Chairperson (PLC Leader)	Science Teachers	bi-weekly grade level meetings August/September 2012	classroom observations, lesson plans/board	Principal, Subject Area Administrator, Science Department Chairperson

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a:				Identify unique strategies to maintain high level of			
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
100%(324)FCAT Level 3.0 and higher			100%(414) FC	100%(414) FCAT Level 3.5 and higher			
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	inadequate funding; State proficiency	In 8th grade, 5 PB Writes Diagnostic	Principal, Language Arts	Palm Beach Writes formative scores and	Palm Beach Writes		

1	standards will be raised from 3.0 to 4.0 and writing skills content will be expanded	assessments will be administered, the Holt Online Essay Scorer program will be utilized and students will be provided writing tutorials in February 2013.	'	prescriptive feedback to drive instruction.	
2	Maintaining high level of performance on FCAT.	Utilize new technological resources in the classroom	Asst. Principal	Measure improvement throughout year on PB writes.	FCAT 2013 scores
3	S	Writing skills will be focused upon in all Language Arts classes with particular emphasis on conventions and support. In addition, writing skills will be addressed in Social Studies classes.	Asst. principals	Analyze for growth of PB Writes scores.	PB Writes scores and FCAT 2013 scores

Based on the analysis of in need of improvement	student achievement data, a for the following group:	and r	eference to	o "Guiding Questions", id	lentify and define areas
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b:			N/A		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Barrier Strategy Pos for		on or ion onsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Marzano Instructional Effectiveness Strategy	6-8	Principal, Assistant Principals, and all Academic Department Chairs		Days and	Classroom	Principal and Assistant Principals

Culturally Appropriate instructional strategies for teaching writing	6-8	Language Arts Department Chairperson (PD Facilitator)/8th Grade Writing Teachers	Language Arts Teachers	department	ciassi oom	Principal, Language Arts Department Chairperson
ESE Instructional Strategies for Teaching Writing	6-8	Language Arts Department Chairperson (PD Facilitator)/8th Grade Writing Teachers; ESE Department Chairperson, ESE Contact	Language Arts and Cooperating ESE Inclusion Teachers	department meetings	meeting minutes, classroom observations,	Principal, Language Arts Department Chairperson ESE Department Chairperson
Instructional Strategies for Teaching Writing to Students of Poverty	6-8	Language Arts Department Chairperson (PD Facilitator)	Language Arts Teachers	department	classroom observations	Principal, Language Arts Department Chairperson

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
		-	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and r in need of improvement for the following group:	reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas		
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics. Civics Goal #1:	This will be required in SY15 according to the FLDOE. SY13 is a baseline year for the Civics EOC.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A	N/A		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement			

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	tor	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

	of student achievement data, a for the following group:	and r	eference t	o "Guiding Questions", i	dentify and define areas
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in Civics.Civics Goal #2:		This will be required in SY15 according to the FLDOE. SY13 is a baseline year for the Civics EOC.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No I	Data (Submitted		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Civics Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Civics Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of atter provement:	ndance data, and referei	nce to "Guiding Qu	estions", identify and def	ine areas in need		
1. At	tendance		In grades 6-8,	In grades 6-8, 3% of students had excessive (10 or			
Attachdanas Cool #1			,	s in FY 2012. In FY 2013 ssive absences.	2% of students		
2012	2 Current Attendance R	ate:	2013 Expecte	ed Attendance Rate:			
3% a	ittendance rate		2% attendanc	e rate			
I	Current Number of Stuences (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2013 Expecte Absences (10	ed Number of Students O or more)	with Excessive		
31			21	21			
I	Current Number of Stuies (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			
0			0	0			
	Pro	blem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy R		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	student lack of interest/engagement in school	Improve instruction by providing more hands- on activities, relevance and community service in curriculum.	Principal, Subject Area Administrator	Monitor lesson plans, classroom observations, Classroom Walkthroughs, monthly attendance rates, guidance intervention	guidance records and parent conference notes, attendance reports, lesson plans, classroom and CWT notes and documentation.		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Increase Student Classroom Engagement for SWD and Economically Disadvantaged Students	6-8	Rae Jensen (PD Facilitator), Guidance Department	School-Wide	Paculty Meetings	Monitor number and level of discipline referrrals and subgroups	Administrators

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
·		·	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1: Students who have been affected by suspension in past will be targeted with interventions including a mentoring program.					
2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions				
172	162				

2012	2 Total Number of Stude	2013 Expecte School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School			
88	88			78		
2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions			2013 Expecte Suspensions	ed Number of Out-of-S	chool	
99			89	89		
2012 Scho	2 Total Number of Stude ool	ents Suspended Out-of-	2013 Expecte of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School		
71	71			61		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Lack of parental support	Provide Guidance interventions (anger management, etc.) with Level 1 and Level 2 discipline referrals/infractions.	Administrators, Guidance Department Chairperson	Suspension Rates	Suspension Reports on EDW/TERMS	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Culturally Appropriate teacher- student communication and instructional practices	6-8	Rae Jensen (PD Facilitator)	School-wide	Larly Release	Monitor Suspension Rates on a weekly basis	

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
	•		Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:							
1. Pa	rent Involvement							
Pare	nt Involvement Goal #7	1:						
*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.				Parent volunteers logged 1995.5 hours in FY 2012. In FY 2013, parents will log at least 2300 volunteer hours.				
2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:			2	2013 Expecte	d Level of Parent Invo	Ivement:		
1995.5 parent volunteer hours			F	At least 2300 parent volunteer hours				
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to I n	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Res	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	lack of parent availability and awareness of volunteer opportunities	Advertise volunteer opportunities on the schoool's web page and through email and phone messages, and work with PTSA to increase awareness of volunteer opportunities	Assi Prind Volu Cool	cipal, istant cipal, unteer rdinator, PTSA sident	volunteer tracking system	VIPS tracking system data reports		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	------------------------	--	---	--	--	--

Parent volunteer recruitment and retention	6 - 8		Administrators, Teachers, and Staff	October 2012 PDD	tracking system	Volunteer Coordinator
--	-------	--	--	------------------	-----------------	--------------------------

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:								
1. STEM								
STEM Goal #1:								
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
No Data Submitted								

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
	No Data Submitted							

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Е	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:							
1. CTE CTE Goal #1:			that 2 CTE pro skills and Culin students for th	2011-12 data for Emerald Cove Middle School, indicates that 2 CTE programs were offered (Business Leadership skills and Culinary Careers) with a total enrollment of 309 students for the year. Increase enrollment in CTE programs by 3% for SY 2013.				
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1		Scheduling priorities	Modify scheduling efforts to begin earlier and allow more student choice into academy other than start of middle school	choice program leader	Student requests will be entered into the computer as students enter them onto the course request sheet not just teacher preference. There should be an increase in students enter CTE	Results of the student request tallies should demonstrate an increase in requests for the programs		

classes.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Culturally appropriate instructional practices	6-8	Rae Jensen (PD Facilitator)	school-wide	. ,	arades	Principal, Subject Area Administrator,
Marzano Instructional Effectiveness Strategy	6-8	Assistant Principals	school-wide	Early Release Days and Monthly LTM's		Principal and Assistant Principals

CTE Budget:

Evidence-based Progra			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

Appreciation of Multicultural Awareness Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
Appreciation of Multicultural Awareness Goal Appreciation of Multicultural Awareness Goal #1:		Every school in Palm Beach County recognizes and values the racial, ethnic, cultural and language diversity represented in their school. We see it as imperative that all staff members are actively involved in preparing students to interact effectively and positively in a multicultural society. Incorporating culturally responsive, research-based instructional practices across the curriculum affirms our commitment to emphasizing the important role of multicultural education in teaching and learning. School-based initiatives focus on professional development, intergroup relations, equity, democratic governance, and increased academic achievement opportunities for all students. Our goal is to foster appreciation by students and staff of the diverse racial, ethnic, cultural, and language diversity groups represented in our schools, our system, the United States, and the world.				
2012 Current level:			2013 Expected level:			
new objective, no previo	ous baseline data		Reduce incidents involving intolerance or discrimination by 10%.			
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Appreciation of Multicultural Awareness Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Progr	ram(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading				\$0.00
Mathematics				\$0.00
Science				\$0.00
Writing				\$0.00
Attendance				\$0.00
Suspension				\$0.00
Parent Involvement				\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading				\$0.00
Mathematics				\$0.00
Science				\$0.00
Writing				\$0.00
Attendance				\$0.00
Suspension				\$0.00
Parent Involvement				\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	ment			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading		-	-	\$0.00
Mathematics				\$0.00
Science				\$0.00
Writing				\$0.00
Attendance				\$0.00
Suspension				\$0.00
Parent Involvement				\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading				\$0.00
Mathematics				\$0.00
Science				\$0.00
Writing				\$0.00
Attendance				\$0.00
Suspension				\$0.00
Parent Involvement				\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
				Grand Total: \$0.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority jn Focus jn Prevent jn NA

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 8/30/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
It is anticipated that funds will be used for school-wide tutoring programs and other programs to promote student achievement.	\$575.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The School Improvement and Education Accountability system, which includes School Advisory Councils, sets up priorities and student performance standards that serve as guiding principles for everything the councils do.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Palm Beach School Dis EMERALD COVE MIDDI 2010-2011						
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	81%	83%	96%	69%	329	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	62%	74%			136	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	60% (YES)	67% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					592	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Palm Beach School Dis EMERALD COVE MIDDI 2009-2010						
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	79%	83%	94%	63%	319	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	68%	76%			144	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	65% (YES)	71% (YES)			136	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					599	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested