FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: BROADMOOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Dade

Principal: Linda Klein

SAC Chair: Amparo Quintero

Superintendent: Alberto M. Carvalho

Date of School Board Approval: Pending

Last Modified on: 10/17/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Linda Klein	BS Elementary Education, Masters in Educational Leadership, Elementary Education 1-6 Certification, Early Childhood Certification, and a Certified Principal	9	18	School Grade 2012-C, 2011-C, 2010-C, 2009-C, 2008-C High Standards Rdg. 2012-40, 2011-53, 2010-55, 2009-53, 2008-53 High Standards Math 2012-39, 2011-67, 2010-64, 2009-62, 2008-59 Lrng Gains-Rdg. 2012-70, 2011-54, 2010-57, 2009-64, 2008-61 Lrng Gains-Math 2012-58, 2011-69, 2010-62, 2009-57, 2008-66 Gains-Rdg-25% 2012-86, 2011-46, 2010-72, 2009-59, 2008-61 Gains-Math-25% 2012-67, 2011-69, 2010-74, 2009-63, 2008-NA AMO-N
					School Grade 2012-C, 2011-C, 2010-C, 2009-B, 2008-B High Standards Rdg. 2012-47, 2011-70, 2010-61, 2009-59, 2008-70 High Standards Math 2012-47, 2011-73,

Assis Principal	Maria Rodriguez	BS Elementary Education, Educational Leadership	1	8	2010-66 , 2009-66 , 2008-68 Lrng Gains-Rdg. 2012-76 , 2011-66 , 2010- 59 , 2009-34 , 2008-73 Lrng Gains-Math 2012-56 , 2011-58 , 2010- 63 , 2009-59 , 2008-50 Gains-Rdg-25% 2012-79 , 2011-55 , 2010- 67 , 2009-70 , 2008-75 Gains-Math-25% 2012-49 , 2011-70 , 2010- 67 , 2009-65 , 2008-61 AMO-N
-----------------	--------------------	--	---	---	---

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Amparo Quintero	Elementary Education 1-6, Masters in Reading, ESOL Endorsement, and Reading Certification	9	9	School Grade 2012-C, 2011-C, 2010-C, 2009-C, 2008-C High Standards Rdg. 2012-40, 2011-53, 2010-55, 2009-53, 2008-53 High Standards Math 2012-39, 2011-67, 2010-64, 2009-62, 2008-59 Lrng Gains-Rdg. 2012-70, 2011-54, 2010-57, 2009-64, 2008-61 Lrng Gains-Math 2012-58, 2011-69, 2010-62, 2009-57, 2008-66 Gains-Rdg-25% 2012-86, 2011-46, 2010-72, 2009-59, 2008-61 Gains-Math-25% 2012-67, 2011-69, 2010-74, 2009-63, 2008-NA

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Regular meetings of new teachers with principal	Principal	May 29, 2013	
2	1) Partnering new teachers with veteran teachers		August 16, 2012	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
$O(O^{\circ})$ Loss than	Regular meetings with principal and partnering with veteran teacher who is ESOL endorsed

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
34	5.9%(2)	17.6%(6)	44.1%(15)	32.4%(11)	38.2%(13)	100.0%(34)	5.9%(2)	11.8%(4)	64.7%(22)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Linda B. Hume	Mayleen Baluja	Outstanding knowledge of content, materials, and methods that support high standards in the curriculum areas	Assist the mentee in organizing a rich learning environment in the classroom and developing rigorous lesson plans
Chantea Salley	Jennifer Holcombe	Outstanding knowledge of content, materials, and methods that support high standards in the curriculum areas	Assist the mentee in organizing a rich learning environment in the classroom and developing rigorous lesson plans
Marina Prieto	Jenifer Molinas	Outstanding knowledge of content, materials, and methods that support high standards in the curriculum areas	Assist the mentee in organizing a rich learning environment in the classroom and developing rigorous lesson plans
Ada Ortiz	Jessica Gonzalez	Outstanding knowledge of content, materials, and methods that support high standards in the curriculum areas	Assist the mentee in organizing a rich learning environment in the classroom and developing rigorous lesson plans
Chaneqa Wooten	Janet Pinero	Outstanding knowledge of content, materials, and methods that support high standards in the curriculum areas	Assist the mentee in organizing a rich learning environment in the classroom and developing rigorous lesson plans

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Broadmoor Elementary School Services are provided to ensure students requiring additional remediation are assisted through extended learning opportunities (before-school and/or after-school programs, or summer school). The district coordinates with Title III and Title III in ensuring staff development needs are provided. Support services are provided to students. Curriculum Coaches develop, lead, and evaluate school core content standards/ programs; identify and analyze existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. They identify systematic patterns of student needs while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assist with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assist in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participate in the design and delivery of professional development; and provide support for assessment and implementation monitoring. Other components that are integrated into the school-wide program include an extensive Parental Program; Supplemental Educational Services; and special support services to special needs populations such as homeless, migrant, neglected and delinquent students.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Broadmoor Elementary School provides services and support to migrant students and parents. The District Migrant liaison coordinates with Title I and other programs and conducts a comprehensive needs assessment of migrant students to ensure that the unique needs of migrant students are met. Students are also provided extended learning opportunities (before school and/or after-school, and summer school) by the Title I, Part C, Migrant Education Program.

Title I, Part D

District receives funds to support the Educational Alternative Outreach program. Services are coordinated with district Dropout Prevention programs.

Title II

We are a Title II District. The District uses supplemental funds for improving basic education as follows:

- training to certify qualified mentors for the New Teacher (MINT) Program
- training for add-on endorsement programs, such as Reading, Gifted, ESOL training and substitute release time for Professional Development Liaisons (PDL) at each school focusing on Professional Learning Community (PLC) development and facilitation, as well as Lesson Study Group implementation and protocols

Title III

Services are provided through the district for education materials and ELL district support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners. Broadmoor Elementary participates in a tutorial program for ELL students coupled with parent out-reach activities. Additional professional development on best practices for ESOL and content area teachers is made readily available. Waterford Early Reading Grant and Achieve 3000 are programs which are used to enhance the ELL program.

Title X- Homeless

- Miami-Dade County Public Schools' School Board approved the School Board Policy 5111.01 titled, Homeless Students. The board policy defines the McKinney-Vento Law and ensures homeless students receive all the services they are entitled to.
- The Homeless Assistance Program seeks to ensure a successful educational experience for homeless children by collaborating with parents, schools, and the community.
- Project Upstart, Homeless Children & Youth Program assists schools with the identification, enrollment, attendance, and transportation of homeless students. All schools are eligible to receive services and will do so upon identification and classification of a student as homeless.
- The Homeless Liaison provides training for school registrars on the procedures for enrolling homeless students and for school counselors on the McKinney Vento Homeless Assistance Act-ensuring homeless children and youth are not to be stigmatized or separated, segregated, or isolated on their status as homeless-and are provided with all entitlements.
- Project Upstart provides a homeless sensitivity, awareness campaign to all the schools each school is provided a video and curriculum manual, and a contest is sponsored by the homeless trust-a community organization.
- Project Upstart provides tutoring and counseling to twelve homeless shelters in the community.
- The District Homeless Student Liaison continues to participate in community organization meetings and task forces as it relates to homeless children and youth.
- Each school will identify a school based homeless coordinator to be trained on the McKinney-Vento Law ensuring appropriate services are provided to the homeless students.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Broadmoor Elementary school will receive funding from Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) as part of its Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) allocation.

Violence Prevention Programs

Broadmoor Elementary School offers a non-violence and anti-drug program provided by the counselor to students that incorporates counseling with a focus on anti-drugs and anti-violence themes.

Nutrition Programs

- 1) Broadmoor Elementary adheres to and implements the nutrition requirements stated in the District Wellness Policy.
- 2) Nutrition education, as per state statute, is taught through physical education.
- 3) The School Food Service Program, school breakfast, school lunch, and after care snacks, follows the Healthy Food and Beverage Guidelines as adopted in the District's Wellness Policy.

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

Head Start programs are co-related in several Title I schools and/or communities. Joint activities, including professional development and transition processes are shared. Through affiliating agreements, the Summer VPK program is provided at Head Start sites.

Adult Education

N/A

Career and Technical Education

By promoting Career Pathways and Programs of Study students will become academy program graduates and have a better understanding and appreciation of the postsecondary opportunities available and a plan for acquiring the skills necessary to take advantage of those opportunities.

Articulation agreements allow students to earn college and postsecondary technical credits in high school and provide more opportunities for students to complete 2 and 4 year postsecondary degrees.

Students will gain an understanding of business and industry workforce requirements by acquiring Ready to Work and other industry certifications.

Readiness for postsecondary opportunities will strengthen with the integration of academic, career and technical education components in conjunction with a coherent sequence of courses.

Job Training

N/A

Other

Health Connect in Our Schools

- Health Connect in Our Schools (HCiOS) offers a coordinated level of school-based healthcare which integrates education, medical and/or social and human services on school grounds.
- Teams at designated school sites are staffed by a School Social Worker (shared between schools), a Nurse (shared between schools) and a full-time Health Aide.
- HCiOS services reduces or eliminates barriers to care, connects eligible students with health insurance and a medical home, and provides care for students who are not eligible for other services.
- HCiOS delivers coordinated social work and mental/behavioral health interventions in a timely manner.
- · HCiOS enhances the health education activities provided by the schools and by the health department.
- HCiOS offers a trained health team that is qualified to perform the assigned duties related to a quality school health care program.

Broadmoor Elementary will involve parents in the planning and implementation of the Title I program and extend an open invitation to Broadmoor Elementary's Parent Resource Center in order to inform parents regarding available programs, their rights under No Child Left behind and other referral services.

Increase parental engagement/involvement through developing (with on-going parental input) our Title I School-Parent Compact (for each student); our school's Title I parental Involvement Policy; scheduling the Title I orientation meeting (Open House); and other documents/activities necessary in order to comply with dissemination and reporting requirements.

Conduct informal parent surveys to determine specific needs of our parents, and schedule workshops. Parent Academy Courses, etc., with flexible times to accommodate our parents' schedule as part of our goal to empower parents and build their capacity for involvement.

Complete Title I Administration Parental Involvement monthly School Reports (FM-6914 Rev. 06-08) and the Title I parental involvement Monthly Activities Report (FM-6913 03-07), and submit to Title I Administration by the 5th of each month as documentation of compliance with NCLB Section 1118.

Confidential "as-needed services" will be provided to any students in the school in "homeless situations" as applicable.

Additional academic and support services will be provided to students and families of the Migrant population as applicable

Broadmoor Elementary School receives funding under the 21st Century Learning Grant in order to increase the achievement of the lowest performing subgroups through comprehensive, ongoing data analysis, curriculum and instruction alignment, and specific interventions such as extended day remedial tutorial instruction, differentiated instruction/intervention, classroom libraries, and project CRISS. Additionally, Title I School Improvement Grant/Fund support funding and assistance to schools in Differentiated Accountability based on need.

The Voluntary Public School Choice Program (I Choose!) a federally funded grant, is a district wide initiative designed to assist in achieving the Miami-Dade County Public Schools' District Strategic Plan goal to expand the availability of and access to high quality public school choice options for all parents in Miami-Dade County. Voluntary Public School Choice grant funds are used to evaluate programs, inform parents of educational options, and reculture teaching practices to establish qualitative school environments. Broadmoor Elementary provides parent out-reach activities in grades K-5.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

MTSS/RtI is an extension of the school's Leadership Team, strategically integrated in order to support the administration through a process of problem solving as issues and concerns arise through an ongoing, systematic examination of available data with the goal of impacting student achievement, school safety, school culture, literacy, attendance, student social/emotional well being, and prevention of student failure through early intervention.

- 1. MTSS/RtI leadership is vital, therefore, in building our team we have considered the following:
- Administrator(s) who will ensure commitment and allocate resources;
- Teacher(s) and Coaches will extend and report on meeting the goals of the leadership team at grade level, subject area, and intervention group, problem solving
- · Team members who will meet to review consensus, infrastructure, and implementation of building level.
- 2. The school's Leadership Team will include additional personnel as resources to the team, based on specific problems or concerns as warranted, such as:
- School reading, math, science, and behavior specialists
- Special education personnel
- · School guidance counselor
- · School psychologist
- · School social worker
- Member of advisory group
- 3. Community stakeholders MTSS/RtI is a general education initiative in which the levels of support (resources) are allocated in direct proportion to student needs. MTSS/RtI uses increasingly more intense instruction and interventions.
- The first level of support is the core instructional and behavioral methodologies, practices, and supports designed for all students in the general curriculum.
- The second level of support consists of supplemental instruction and interventions provided in addition to and in alignment with effective core instruction and behavioral supports to groups of targeted students who need additional instructional and/or behavioral support.
- The third level of support consists of intensive instructional and/or behavioral interventions provided in addition to and in alignment with effective core instruction and the supplemental instruction and interventions with the goal of increasing an individual student's rate of progress academically and/or behaviorally.
- There will be an ongoing evaluation method established for services at each tier to monitor the effectiveness of meeting school goals and student growth as measured by benchmark and progress monitoring data. The MTSS/RtI four step problem-solving model will be used to plan, monitor, and revise instruction and intervention. The four steps are problem identification, problem analysis, intervention implementation, and response evaluation.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The following steps will be considered by the school's Leadership Team to address how we can utilize the MTSS/RtI process to enhance data collection, data analysis, problem solving, differentiated assistance, and progress monitoring.

The Leadership Team will:

- 1. Use the Tier 1 Problem Solving process to set Tier 1 goals, monitor academic and behavior data evaluating progress at least three times per year by addressing the following important questions:
- What will all students learn? (curriculum based on standards)

- · What progress is expected in each core area?
- How will we determine if students have made expected levels of progress towards proficiency? (common assessments)
- How will we respond when grades, subject areas, or class of, or individual students have not learned? (Response to Intervention problem solving process and monitoring progress of interventions)
- · How will we respond when students have learned or already know? (enrichment opportunities).
- 2. Gather and analyze data at all Tiers to determine professional development for faculty as indicated by group or individual student diagnostic and progress monitoring assessment.
- 3. Hold regular team meetings. Use the four step problem solving process as the basis for goal setting, planning, and program evaluation during all team meetings that focus on increasing student achievement or behavioral success.
- 4. Gather ongoing progress monitoring (OPM) for all interventions and analyze that data using the Tier 2 problem solving process after each OPM.
- 5. Maintain communication with staff for input and feedback, as well as updating them on procedures and progress.
- 6. Support a process and structure within the school to design, implement, and evaluate both daily instruction and specific interventions.
- 7. Provide clear indicators of student need and student progress, assisting in examining the validity and effectiveness of program delivery.
- 8. Assist with monitoring and responding to the needs of subgroups within the expectations for meeting Annual Measurable Objectives.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Broadmoor Elementary School's MTSS/RtI Leadership Team meets with the School Advisory Council (SAC) and principal to help develop the SIP.

• Through test score disaggregation, the MTSS/RtI Team assists in the formulation of objectives as school-wide priorities. This process also helps identify and target curriculum areas and resources most needed to improve student performance. A review, analysis and evaluation of additional data such as the School's Demographic, Academic Profile and Accountability information also provide insight regarding the performance of subgroups and Tier 1, 2, and 3 students. This invaluable insight enables the team to effectively align instructional focus/intervention with the individual needs of the students.

-MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

- 1. Data will be used to guide instructional decisions and system procedures for all students to:
- adjust the delivery of curriculum and instruction to meet the specific needs of students
- · adjust the delivery of behavior management system
- · adjust the allocation of school-based resources
- drive decisions regarding targeted professional development
- create student growth trajectories in order to identify and develop interventions
- 2. Managed data will include:

Academic

- FAIR assessment (Broad Screening, Progress Monitoring, Targeted Diagnostic Indicators, Broad Diagnostic Indicators, Ongoing Progress Monitoring Tools, Phonics Screening Inventory
- Oral Reading Fluency Measures
- Voyager Checkpoints
- Voyager Benchmark Assessments
- Baseline Benchmark Assessments
- Success Maker Utilization and Progress Reports
- · Interim assessments
- State/Local Math and Science assessments
- FCAT
- Student grades
- School site specific assessments

- · Student Case Management System
- Detentions
- Suspensions/expulsions
- · Referrals by student behavior, staff behavior, and administrative context
- · Office referrals per day per month
- · Team climate surveys
- Attendance

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The district professional development and support will include:

- 1. training for all administrators in the MTSS/RtI problem solving at Tiers 1, 2, and 3 (SST), using the Tier 1 Problem Solving Worksheet, Tier 2 Problem Solving Worksheet, and Tier 3 Problem Solving Worksheet and Intervention Plan
- 2. providing support for school staff to understand basic MTSS/RtI principles and procedures; and
- 3. providing a network of ongoing support for MTSS/RtI organized through feeder patterns.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Based upon the information from http://www.florida-rti.org/educatorResources/MTSS_Book_ImplComp_012612.pdf, but not limited to the following:

- 1. Effective, actively involved, and resolute leadership that frequently provides visible connections between a MTSS framework with district & school mission statements and organizational improvement efforts.
- 2. Alignment of policies and procedures across classroom, grade, building, district, and state levels.
- 3. Ongoing efficient facilitation and accurate use of a problem-solving process to support planning, implementing, and evaluating effectiveness of services.
- 4. Strong, positive, and ongoing collaborative partnerships with all stakeholders who provide education services or who otherwise would benefit from increases in student outcomes.
- 5. Comprehensive, efficient, and user-friendly data-systems for supporting decision-making at all levels from the individual student level up to the aggregate district level.
- 6. Sufficient availability of coaching supports to assist school team and staff problem-solving efforts.
- 7. Ongoing data-driven professional development activities that align to core student goals and staff needs.
- 8. Communicating outcomes with stakeholders and celebrating success frequently.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) is comprised of the principal, Ms. Linda Klein, assistant principal, Ms. Maria Rodriguez, the reading coach, Ms. Amparo Quintero and the following grade level chairs: Kindergarten, Ms Joann Welch, First Grade, Ms. Crystal Moore, Second Grade, Mr. Roody Estriplet, Third Grade, Dr. Tanesha Sewell, Fourth Grade, Ms. Marina Prieto, and Fifth Grade, Ms. Chantea Salley. Teams support teachers by collecting diagnostic data, conducting progress monitoring and identifying appropriate instructional interventions. As team members chart particular student needs, data is used strategically to shift instructional focus and align professional development with the students' instructional needs. Professional development thus serves as a focal point to promote continuous improvement aimed at remediation and increased student achievement.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Leadership Team will discuss data driven instruction and instructional strategies that will target areas where the

students are weak. The administration will ensure appropriate implementation and allocate the resources. Teachers and the reading coach will support the administration in an on-going systematic examination of available data that will positively impact student achievement, school safety, school culture, literacy, attendance, and the prevention of student retention through early intervention. The team will meet monthly to monitor the core curriculum instructional strategies for all general education students. Supplemental instruction and interventions will be provided as appropriate and the Leadership team will monitor the effectiveness of implementation through data analysis. All students will be monitored through their performance and the analysis of progress monitoring data.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The Literacy Leadership Team will meet with teachers monthly during grade level planning time, or one-on-one to discuss assessment results and student progress. During these meetings, lesson plans, data binders, and student portfolios will be utilized to provide evidence of instruction, assessment, and differentiation to address individual student needs. Progress Monitoring logs will also be utilized to document the process of teaching, assessing, re-teaching, and re-assessing. Special attention will be given to the lowest 25% and NCLB subgroups not making AMO. The LLT will conduct classroom walkthroughs and provide assistance in the delivery of instruction and/or classroom management. The LLT will work with teachers to ensure that research-based reading programs (comprehensive core reading programs, supplemental reading programs and comprehensive intervention reading programs) and strategies are implemented with fidelity and adjusted to meet the needs of all students. Professional development will be provided during teachers' common planning time and small sessions will occur throughout the year by the reading coach, Ms. Quintero in each of the major reading components, as needed, based on an analysis of student performance data; administration and analysis of instructional assessments; and providing differentiated instruction and intensive intervention based on assessments. Ms. Quintero will also model effective instructional strategies for teachers and train teachers in data analysis and using data to differentiate instruction. The Literacy Leadership Team will provide a network of ongoing support for teachers as they implement interventions for students.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/12/2012)

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Broadmoor Elementary has developed a partnership with Title I Administration. Title I Administration assists the school by providing supplemental funds beyond the State of Florida funded Voluntary pre-Kindergarten Program (VPK). Funds provided are used to give extended support through a full time highly qualified teacher and paraprofessional. This assists with the goal of providing young children with a variety of meaningful learning experiences in environments that give them opportunities to create knowledge through initiatives shared with supportive adults. In selected school communities, the Title I Program further provides assistance for preschool transition through the Home Instruction for Parents of Preschool Youngster (HIPPY) Program. HIPPY provides in-home training for parents to become more involved in the educational process of their three- and four-year old children with the Early Childhood in Attendance Zone Program in order to ensure a smooth transition for all the students from pre-kindergarten to kindergarten. Broadmoor Elementary currently has three Pre-K Programs. During the spring, Head Start visits the pre-kindergarten classrooms to assess student progress in the program. We use the Early Growth Indicators Benchmark Assessment (EGIBA) and the Phonological Early Literacy Inventory (PELI) to determine students' acquisition of specific skills and knowledge, as well as the ability to form meaningful relationships. Pre-K teachers and their paraprofessionals are responsible for implementing these strategies. At the end of the school year, the pre-kindergarten teachers articulate with the kindergarten teachers in order to establish a smooth transition. Broadmoor Elementary conducts its annual Open House which takes place in the evening during the first weeks of school, to ensure parents and students are properly oriented in their new academic environment. Broadmoor's dedicated funding for Pre-K is Title I.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

N/A

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning students' course of study is personally meaningful?	g, as well as promote student course selections, so that
N/A	
Postsecondary Transition	
Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.	
Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public posts Feedback Report	econdary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High Scho</u>

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment indicate that 25 % of students achieved proficiency (Level 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the Reading Goal #1a: percentage of students achieving proficiency (Level 3) by 4 percentage points to 29%. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 25% (51) 29% (59) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Strategy **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy The area of deficiency as Provide a variety of real-MTSS/RtI Team Following the FCIM Formative: Mininoted on the 2012 world documents such model, the Literacy assessments/Interim administration of the as, how-to articles, Leadership Team will Assessments FCAT 2.0 Reading brochures, fliers, and Analyze classroom Summative: 2013 Assessment was websites use text assessments to FCAT 2.0 Reading Reporting Category 4, features to locate, determine students' Assessment Informational interpret, and organize knowledge of text Text/Research Process. information. features Students demonstrate difficulty in interpreting graphical information (text features) The area of deficiency as Provide a variety of MTSS/RtI Team Following the FCIM Formative: Mininoted on the 2012 strategies that help model, the Literacy assessments administration of the students be familiar with Leadership Team will Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading text structures such as Analyze classroom FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment was cause/effect. assessments to Assessment Reporting Category 2, compare/contrast, and determine students' Reading Application. chronological order. ability to identify cause Students demonstrate and effect relationships, compare/contrast and difficulty in identifying Chronological order. cause and effect relationships, compare/contrast and chronological order.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in ne of improvement for the following group:				
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.				
Reading Goal #1b:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increase S	itudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data Submitted		

	d on the analysis of studer provement for the following		refere	nce to "Guidin	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a:			nent r	The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment indicate that 14% of students achieved Levels 4 and 5 proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students achieving Levels 4 and 5 by 2 percentage points to 16%.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance:	
14% (29)			1	16% (33)		
	P	roblem-Solving Process	toIn	crease Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Res	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	The area which showed minimal growth and would require students to maintain or improve performance as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment was Reporting Category 4, Informational Text/Research Process. These students require the ability to utilize critical thinking strategies needed to locate, interpret and organize information and to determine the validity and reliability of information within and across texts.	Provide a variety of how- to articles, brochures, fliers and other real- world documents to identify text features (subtitles, headings, charts, graphs, diagrams, etc), to locate, interpret and organize information and infuse higher order thinking	,	S/RtI Team	Following the FCIM model, the Literacy Leadership Team will monthly analyze the assessments and observations of students' ability to complete assignments as teacher becomes facilitator guiding students to become independent learners	Formative: Mini- assessments/Interim Assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group:	rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading.	
Reading Goal #2b:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:

	Problem-Solvino	g Process to Increase S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning indicate that 70% of students made learning gains gains in reading. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the Reading Goal #3a: percentage of students making learning gains by 5 percentage points to 75%. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 70% (90) 75% (97) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring MTSS/RtI Team Following the FCIM The area which showed Provide a variety of Formative: FAIR, model, the Principal will minimal growth and would instructional strategies Computer reports require students to and activities that Analyze bi-weekly generated from maintain or improve include building strong formative assessment FCAT Explorer, performance as noted on arguments to support data reports to ensure Riverdeep and the 2012 administration answers, exploring Edusoft bi-weekly progress is being made of the FCAT 2.0 Reading shades of meaning, using and adjust intervention reports reciprocal teaching and as needed Summative: 2013 Assessment was Reporting Category 4, question-answer FCAT 2.0 Reading Informational relationships, questioning Assessment Text/Research Process. the author, and summarizing

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.

Reading Goal #3b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment indicate that 86% in the Lowest 25% subgroup made learning 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% gains making learning gains in reading. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the Reading Goal #4: percentage of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains by 5 percentage points to 91%. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 86% (28) 91% (30) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy The area of deficiency as Provide students with MTSS/RtI Team Following the FCIM Formative: FAIR, Voyager or SuccessMaker noted on the 2012 model, the Principal bi-Computer reports Intervention and gradeweekly will analyze generated from administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading level appropriate texts formative assessment FCAT Explorer. Assessment was that include identifiable data reports to ensure Riverdeep, Reporting Category 2 author's purpose for progress is being made SuccessMaker, and Reading Application: writing, including and adjust intervention Edusoft bi-weekly Identifying Author's informing, telling a story, as needed reports Purpose, Main Idea, Summative: conveying a particular Results from 2013 Cause and Effect mood, entertaining or Relationships, Text explaining. The author's FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment Structure, and perspective should be recognizable in text. Theme/Topics Students should be able to identify causal relationships imbedded in text and must be familiar with text structures such as cause/effect, compare/contrast and provide practice in identifying topics and themes within texts

Based on Amb	Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target							
5A. Ambitious Measurable Obschool will red by 50%.	ojectives (AMO	e Annual s). In six year	Reading Goal # Our goal from 2011-2017 is to reduce the percent of non-proficient students by 50%. 5A:					
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
	40	45	51	56	62			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment indicate that 37% in the Black Subgroup made learning gains 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, and 41% in the Hispanic Subgroup made learning gains. Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the satisfactory progress in reading. percentage of students in the Black Subgroup making learning gains by 3 percentage points to 40% and increase Reading Goal #5B: the percentage of students in the Hispanic Subgroup making learning gains by 5 percentage points to 46% 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Black: 37% (24) Black: 40% (26) Hispanic: 41% (55) Hispanic: 46% (62) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	White: The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment was Reporting Category 1, Vocabulary. Students lack the vocabulary necessary to be successful readers	Provide a variety of reading strategies through differentiated instruction that help students determine meanings of words by using context clues. Instruction should allow students to build their general knowledge of words and word relationships, the study of synonyms and antonyms, and the practice of recognizing examples and non-examples of word relationships.	MTSS/RtI Team	Following the FCIM model, the Literacy Leadership Team will Analyze classroom assessments to determine the students' knowledge of words and word relationships.	Formative: Bi-weekly classroom assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment
2	Black: The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment was Reporting Category 1, Vocabulary. Students lack the vocabulary necessary to be successful readers	Provide a variety of reading strategies through differentiated instruction that help students determine meanings of words by using context clues. Instruction should allow students to build their general knowledge of words and word relationships, the study of synonyms and antonyms, and the practice of recognizing examples and non-examples of word relationships.	MTSS/RtI Team	Following the FCIM model, the Literacy Leadership Team will Analyze classroom assessments to determine the students' knowledge of words and word relationships.	Formative: Bi-weekly classroom assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.

Reading Goal #5C:

The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Assessment indicate that 31% in the ELL Subgroup made learning gains.

Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students in the ELL Subgroup making learning gains by 7 percentage points to 38%.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

31%	31% (22)				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1		more practice through differentiated instruction for prefixes, suffixes, root words, synonyms, and antonyms. Teachers should emphasize strategies for deriving	MTSS/Rtl Team	Following the FCIM model, the MTSS/RtI Team bi-weekly will analyze formative bi-weekly assessment data reports to ensure progress is being made and adjust intervention as needed	Formative: FAIR, Computer reports generated from FCAT Explorer, Riverdeep, SuccessMaker, and Edusoft bi-weekly reports Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D:			that 6% in the Our goal for the percentage of s	The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Assessment indicate that 6% in the SWD Subgroup made learning gains. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students in the SWD Subgroup making learning gains by 15 percentage points to 21%.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance:		
6% (1)		21% (4)	21% (4)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment was Reporting Category 1, Vocabulary. Students lack the vocabulary necessary to be successful readers	Provide a variety of reading strategies through differentiated instruction that help students determine meanings of words by using context clues. Instruction should allow students to build their general knowledge of words and word relationships, the study of synonyms and antonyms, and the practice of recognizing examples and non-examples of word relationships.	MTSS/RtI Team	Following the FCIM model, the Literacy Team will analyze bi-weekly classroom assessments to determine the effectiveness of the students' knowledge of words and word relationships	Formative: Bi-weekly classroom assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment	

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.			g th	The results of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment indicate that 40% in the Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup made learning gains. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the		
Read	ing Godi # JL.		SL		tudents in the Economicall ng learning gains by 4 perce	
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	20	013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
40% ((80)		44	4% (88)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Inc	rease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Res	Person or Position ponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment was Reporting Category 2, Reading Application. Students demonstrate difficulty in main idea/relevant details, identifying cause and effect relationships, compare/contrast and chronological order. Teachers will utilize the Accelerated Reader Program for students to practice and become successful readers	Provide a variety of instructional strategies and activities through differentiated instruction that include making inferences, drawing conclusions, returning to text as support for answers, analyzing stated vs. implied main ideas, using graphic organizers to analyze text, interacting with text, understanding text structures and summarizing text. Teachers will utilize the Accelerated Reader Program for students to practice and become		S/RtI Team	Following the FCIM model, the Literacy Leadership Team bi-weekly will Analyze classroom assessments in order to determine students' ability to identify cause and effect relationships, compare/contrast and Chronological order.	Assessment, District

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

successful readers.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Voyager Intervention Training	K-5	Reading Coach	K-5	September 19, 2012	Mini-assessments	MTSS/Rtl Leadership Team, Assistant Principal and Reading Coach
Success Maker Intervention Training	3-5	Reading Coach	3-5	September 19, 2012	Computer- based	MTSS/RtI Leadership Team, Assistant Principal and Reading Coach
Differentiated Instruction	K-5	Reading Coach	K-5	September 26, 2012		Principle, Assistant Principal and Reading Coach

Listening/Speaking

Assessment, was

paraphrasing and

The students had difficulty in understanding text when asked to read,

retelling.

Evidence-based Progran	m(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
5E.1.	AR books and quizzes	EESAC funds	\$3,800.00
			Subtotal: \$3,800.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
4A.1.	Materials for Workshops & Printing of Informational Worksheets	School Based Budget	\$100.00
			Subtotal: \$100.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
4A.1.	Voyager Intervention	Title I	\$31,000.00
			Subtotal: \$31,000.00
			Grand Total: \$34,900.00

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

have read, accounting

for the vocabulary

the excerpt.

words and concepts

that are important to

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)). Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. The results of the 2012 CELLA Listening/Speaking 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. assessment indicate that 46% of students achieved proficiency. CELLA Goal #1: 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking: 46% (88) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool Anticipated Barrier** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy MTSS/RtI Team Following the FCIM The area of deficiency, The students will use Formative: as noted on the 2012 Student work brief excerpts or model, the ESOL administration of the passages from text and teacher will analyze bifolders and **CELLA** paraphrase what they weekly student work teacher made

folders and teacher

made assessments.

assessments

Summative:

2013 CELLA

Assessment

Listening/Speaking

retell or paraphrase what they read.					
Students read in English at gra	nde level text in a manne	r similar to non-EL	L students.		
2. Students scoring proficient in reading. The results of the 2012 CELLA Reading assessment indicate that 27% of students achieved proficiency. CELLA Goal #2:					
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:					
27% (51).					
Prob	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too	
as noted on the 2012 administration of the CELLA Reading Assessment was comprehending text on	The students will use the Reciprocal Teaching steps (predicting, questioning, clarifying and summarizing) to comprehend grade level		Following the FCIM model, the ESOL instructor will analyze bi-weekly student formative reading benchmark assessment and teacher made.	Formative: Bi-weekly assessments and teacher made assessments Summative:	

and teacher made

assessments.

2013 CELLA Writing

grade level.

The students had difficulty in understanding text when asked to read, grade level text independently.

Stude	ents write in English at gr	ade level in a manner sin	milar to non-ELL st	udents.			
3. Students scoring proficient in writing. CELLA Goal #3:			The results of the 2012 CELLA Writing assessment indicate that 19% of students achieved proficiency				
2012	Current Percent of Stu	dents Proficient in writ	ting:				
19%	19% (37)						
	Prol	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	The area of deficiency, as noted on the 2012 administration of the CELLA Writing Assessment was the writing process The students had difficulty in understanding the necessary steps to respond to a writing	The students will write in the following steps: planning, drafting, revising, editing, and publishing according to their individual writing level; additionally, they will share and respond to other pieces of writing.	MTSS/RtI Team	Following the FCIM model, the ESOL instructor will analyze student writing journals and teacher made assessments weekly and adjust instruction to meet the needs of the students.	Formative: Monthly Writing Prompt Summative: 2013 CELLA Writing Assessment		

prompt.		

CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
2.1	Writing Journals	Title One	\$400.00
		-	Subtotal: \$400.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$400.00

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

manipulatives for each

classroom.

practice.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in indicate that 23% of students achieved proficiency (Level 3). mathematics. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the Mathematics Goal #1a: percentage of students achieving proficiency (Level 3) by 5 percentage points to 28%. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 23% (46) 28% (57) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring The area of deficiency in Provide the instructional MTSS/RtI Team Following the FCIM Formative: grade 3 as noted on the support needed for model, the Leadership Chapter Tests; 2012 administration of students to develop District interim Team will analyze and the FCAT 2.0 quick recall of addition review formative chapter data reports; Mathematics Assessment facts and related Tests data reports Student work was Number: Fractions. subtraction facts, and monthly to ensure Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 This deficiency is due multiplication and related progress is being made to limited access to division facts and and adjust instruction as Mathematics Assessment. manipulatives for each multiplication and division needed. Administrative classroom. of whole numbers, as well walkthroughs/grade level as addition and meetings to obtain subtraction of fractions teacher feedback on and decimals. effectiveness of manipulative usage with students. The area of deficiency in Provide contexts for MTSS/RtI Team Following the FCIM Formative: grades 4-5 as noted on mathematical exploration model, the Leadership Chapter Tests: the 2012 and the development of Team will analyze and District interim administration of the review formative chapter data reports; student understanding of FCAT 2.0 Mathematics number sense and Tests data reports Student work operations by supporting Assessment was Number monthly to ensure Summative: and Operations. the use of manipulatives progress is being made 2013 FCAT 2.0 This deficiency is due and engaging and adjust instruction as Mathematics opportunities for needed. Administrative to limited access to Assessment.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:					
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.					
Mathematics Goal #1b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				

walkthroughs/grade level

meetings to obtain teacher feedback on effectiveness of manipulative usage with

students.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
Level 4 in mathematics.	The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment indicate that17% of students achieved Levels 4 and 5 proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students achieving Levels 4 and 5 by 3 percentage points to 20%.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
17% (35)	20% (41)			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	The area of deficiency in grade 3 as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment was Number: Fractions. This deficiency is due to limited access to manipulatives for each classroom.	support needed for students to develop quick recall of addition	MTSS/RtI Team	Following the FCIM model, the Leadership Team will analyze and review formative chapter Tests data reports monthly to ensure progress is being made and adjust instruction as needed. Administrative walkthroughs/grade level meetings to obtain teacher feedback on effectiveness of manipulative usage with students.	Student work Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0
2	The area of deficiency in grade 4 as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment was Number and Operations. This deficiency is due to limited access to manipulatives for each classroom.	Provide contexts for mathematical exploration and the development of student understanding of number sense and operations by supporting the use of manipulatives and engaging opportunities for practice	MTSS/RtI Team	Following the FCIM model, the Leadership Team will analyze and review formative chapter Tests data reports monthly to ensure progress is being made and adjust instruction as needed. Administrative walkthroughs/grade level meetings to obtain teacher feedback on effectiveness of manipulative usage with students.	Formative: Chapter Tests; District interim data reports; Student work Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment.
	The area of deficiency in grade 5 as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0	Conduct vertical planning to reinforce attributes of shapes, size and position, dimensional geometric	MTSS/RtI Team	Following the FCIM model, the MTSS/RtI will analyze chapter tests and review data monthly	Formative: Chapter Tests; District interim data reports;

3	Mathematics Assessmen was in the Reporting Category of Geometry and Measurement	t shapes, and transitive properties in the primary grade to prepare and support applications of 2 and 3 dimensional shapes in the intermediate grades	based on data reports. Provide time during grade	work Summative: Results from the
---	---	---	---	--

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fol	student achievement data, allowing group:	and refere	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Prod	cess to Ir	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Posi Barrier Strategy Resp for		on or ion onsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eterence to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and c	define areas in nee	
	CAT 2.0: Percentage of s s in mathematics.	tudents making learning		he 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathem % of students made learni		
Math	nematics Goal #3a:			e 2012-2013 school year is tudents making learning ga nts to 68%.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
58%	(75)		68% (88)	68% (88)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Toc	
1	2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment was Number: Fractions. This deficiency is due to limited access to manipulatives for each classroom.	support needed for students to develop quick recall of addition	MTSS/RtI Team	Following the FCIM model, the Leadership Team will analyze and review formative chapter Tests data reports monthly to ensure progress is being made and adjust instruction as needed. Administrative walkthroughs/grade level meetings to obtain teacher feedback on effectiveness of	Formative: Chapter Tests; District interim data reports; Student work Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment.	

				manipulative usage with students.	
2	the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment was in the Reporting Category of Geometry and Measurement	appropriate activities that promote the composing and	MTSS/RtI Team	model, the Leadership Team will analyze and Review monthly ongoing classroom assignments and assessments that target application of the skills taught	Formative: Student work; Monthly assessments, Interim Assessments Summative: 2013FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment

Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the following the followin	student achievement data, an Ilowing group:	nd refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identif	fy and define areas in need
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.					
Mathematics Goal #3b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	N	o Data S	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment indicate that 67% in the Lowest 25% subgroup made learning gains 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students in the lowest 25% making learning Mathematics Goal #4: gains by 5 percentage points to 72%. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 67% (25) 72% (27) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	The area of deficiency in grade 3 as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment was in Reporting Category – Number: Fractions. Lack of performance of targeted students is due to minimal appropriate interventions	performing students in grades 3 based on instructional needs; in	MTSS/RtI Team	Following the FCIM model, the Leadership Team will analyze formative chapter test monthly data reports as well as intervention assessments to ensure progress is being made and adjust intervention as needed.	Formative: Chapter Tests data reports; Intervention assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment
2	The area of deficiency in grades 4 and 5 as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment was in the Reporting Category of Number: Operations & Problems Lack of performance of targeted students is due to minimal appropriate interventions.	Provide differentiated instruction that utilizes the Go Math series Reteach Lessons to those students not demonstrating mastery on weekly tests.	MTSS/RtI Team	Following the FCIM model, the Leadership Team will analyze formative chapter test monthly data reports as well as intervention assessments to ensure progress is being made and adjust intervention as needed.	Formative: Chapter Tests data reports; Intervention assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target						
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Elementary School Mathematics Goal # Our goal from 2011-2017 is to reduce the percent of non- proficient students by 50%. 5A:			
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2016			2016-2017
	44	49	54	59	64	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0Mathematics Assessment indicate that 40% in the Black Subgroup made learning gains 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, and 37% in the Hispanic Subgroup made learning gains. Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the satisfactory progress in mathematics. percentage of students in the Black Subgroup making learning gains by 6 percentage points to 46% and increase Mathematics Goal #5B: the percentage of students in the Hispanic Subgroup making learning gains by 13 percentage points to 50% 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Black: 40% (26) Black: 46% (30) Hispanic: 37% (50) Hispanic: 50% (67) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy

1	as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment was Number and Operations for both	schedule for small group instruction during the mathematics 60-minute instructional block and provide tailored		assessments and adjust academic goals utilizing teacher feedback on student skill attainment.	
---	---	--	--	--	--

	on the analysis of student provement for the following		efere	ence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C:				The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment indicate that 32% in the ELL Subgroup made learning gains. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students in the ELL Subgroup making learning gains by 13 percentage points to 45%.		
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:		2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
32% (32% (22)			45% (32)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	toIr	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment, the area of greatest difficulty for	Engage students in activities to use technology (such as Brainchild/Web-Achiever, Gizmos, and Riverdeep®) that include visual stimulus to develop conceptual understanding of numbers.	MTS	SS/RtI Team	Review Gizmos and Riverdeep® reports to ensure students are making adequate progress.	Formative: Gizmos and Riverdeep® reports Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment, District Assessments

	the analysis of studenterment for the following	t achievement data, and subgroup:	reference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need		
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D:			indicate that 199 Our goal for the percentage of sto	The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment indicate that 19% in the SWD Subgroup made learning gains. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students in the SWD Subgroup making learning gains by 9 percentage points to 28%.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
19% (3)			28% (5)	28% (5)			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	s to Increase Studen	t Achievement			
,	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		

			Monitoring	Strategy	
1	of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment, the area of greatest difficulty for students was Reporting	facts and related		Following the FCIM model, the Leadership Team will review Gizmos and Riverdeep® reports to ensure students are making adequate progress and make recommendations and adjust instruction as needed.	Formative: Gizmos and Riverdeep® reports Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment, District Assessments

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5E:			indicate that 39 Subgroup made Our goal for the percentage of s	The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment indicate that 39% in the Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup made learning gains. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students in the Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup making learning gains by 9 percentage points to 48%		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
39%	(78)		48% (96)	48% (96)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment was Number and Operations. This can be attributed to the Inconsistent implementation of small group instruction during the mathematics instructional block	Implement a rotation schedule for small differentiated group instruction during the mathematics 60-minute instructional block and provide tailored instruction based on mini-assessments and hands-on practice for students utilizing manipulatives to develop an understanding of number sense concepts	MTSS/RtI Team	Following the FCIM model, the Leadership team and teachers will review assessment data bi-weekly and adjust instruction as needed and adjust academic goals utilizing teacher feedback on student skill attainment and miniassessments from informal and tutorial assessments.	Formative: Mini assessments and tutorial assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Number Sense and Operations (New Generation Standards)	K-5 Mathematics	Leadership Team	K-5 Teachers	Start September 10, 2012-Ongoing	Grade level planning sessions/classroom walkthroughs	Administrator
Differentiated Instruction during the Mathematics Instructional Block	K-5 Mathematics	Leadership Team	K-5 Teachers	Start September 10, 2012-Ongoing	Mathematics small group schedule	Administrator

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Progra			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
5B.1	Materials for Workshops & Printing of Informational Worksheets	EESAC	\$100.00
			Subtotal: \$100.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
5C.1	Web-based Achiever	School based	\$1,100.00
			Subtotal: \$1,100.00
			Grand Total: \$1,200.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

 * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Science Assessment indicate that 25% of 5th Grade students achieved proficiency (FCAT Level 3)

The goal for the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Science assessment is to increase 5th Grade students achieving proficiency (FCAT Level 3) by 3 percentage points to 21%.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

30% (21)

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Science is in Physical Science. Students lack higher order thinking skills. Students need more opportunities to investigate Physical Science and students were not consistently engaged in independent inquiry labs that fostered a deeper conceptual understanding.	Provide students the opportunity to work cooperatively in a small group setting to design and develop science and engineering projects to increase scientific thinking, and the development and implementation of inquiry-based activities that allow for testing of hypotheses, data analysis, explanation of variables, and experimental design in Physical Science.	MTSS/RtI Team	Data from school- based assessments and District Interims will be analyzed monthly by administration and shared with teachers to determine if students are making adequate progress toward the goal. Adjustments to instructional focus will be made as appropriate	Formative: School- based assessment and District Baseline and Interim Assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Science Assessment				
2	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Science is in Nature of Science. Students lack higher order thinking skills. Students need more opportunities to practice observation skills and forming hypothesis.	Provide a variety of hands-on inquiry-based learning opportunities for students to analyze, draw appropriate conclusions, and apply key instructional concepts	MTSS/RtI Team	Data from school- based assessments and District Interims will be analyzed monthly by administration and shared with teachers to determine if students are making adequate progress toward the goal. Adjustments to instructional focus will be made as appropriate	Formative: School- based assessment and District Baseline and Interim Assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Science Assessment				

3	of student achievement data rement for the following gro		rence	to "Guiding Questions",	identify and define
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.					
Science Goal #1b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			3 Ехр	ected Level of Perforr	mance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to Incre	ase S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science.			indicate that 7	The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Science Assessment indicate that 7% of 5th Grade students achieved proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 & 5)		
Scie	nce Goal #2a:		to increase 5th	ne 2013 FCAT 2.0 Scien n Grade students achiev 1 & 5) by 2 percentage	ing proficiency	
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ce:	
7% (5)		9% (6)			
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Science is in Physical Science. Students lack higher order thinking skills. Students need more opportunities to investigate Physical Science and students were not consistently engaged in independent inquiry labs that fostered a deeper conceptual understanding.	Provide enrichment activities for students to design and develop science and engineering projects to increase scientific thinking, and the development and implementation of inquiry- based activities that allow for testing of hypotheses, data analysis, explanation of variables, and experimental design in Scientific thinking	MTSS/RtI Team	Data from school- based assessments and District Interims will be analyzed monthly by administration and shared with teachers to determine if students are making adequate progress toward the goal. Adjustments to instructional focus will be made as appropriate	Formative: School- based assessment and District Baseline and Interim Assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Science Assessment	
2	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Science is in Nature of Science. Students lack higher order thinking skills. Students need more opportunities to practice observation skills and forming hypothesis.		MTSS/RtI Team	Data from school- based assessments and District Interims will be analyzed monthly by administration and shared with teachers to determine if students are making adequate progress toward the goal. Adjustments to instructional focus will be made as appropriate	Formative: School- based assessment and District Baseline and Interim Assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Science Assessment	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science.						
Science Goal #2b:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
No Data Submitted							

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PD focus on Scientific Thinking Strategies	Grades 3-5 Science	II eadersnin	grade Science	(1)(11)	Classroom Walkthroughs	Administrator

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Program	n(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-		Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developmer	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
1A.1.	Materials for Workshops & Printing of Informational Reference Worksheets	School Based Budget	\$300.00
		•	Subtotal: \$300.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$300.00

End of Science Goals

When using percentages	, include the numb	er of students the	percentage represents	(e.a.,	70% (35)).
------------------------	--------------------	--------------------	-----------------------	--------	------------

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: The results of the 2012 FCAT Writing Test indicate that 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 61% of students scored level 3 or higher. 3.0 and higher in writing. The goal for the 2013 FCAT Writing Test is to increase 4th Grade students achieving proficiency (FCAT Level 3 Writing Goal #1a: or higher) by 4 percentage points to 65%. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 61% (37) 65% (39) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy During the 2012 FCAT Students will be MTSS/RtI Team Monthly the reading Formative: Writing Test, fourth Students' scores exposed to the writing coach will assist graders demonstrated process from the time classroom teachers in on monthly difficulty in narrative they enter the school. analyzing students' writing writing. monthly writing prompts Students will be assessments Summative: 2013 exposed to mentor in order to monitor Students' lack practice text, explicit instruction students' progress and FCAT Writing following the writing and independent determine their needs Test. process and experience practice and adjust the in editing and revisiting instruction. their work. Students will confer in pairs and provide Feedback on word choice, specificity, depth, relevance and thoroughness. After which students will have a conference with the teacher to address additional changes that need to be made.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.					
Writing Goal #1b:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	release) and	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Teaching the use of drafting organizational skills and support.		Reading Coach	4th Grade Level	September 12, 2012 - Ongoing (Monthly)	their self-correcting	Grade Level Chair & Reading Coach

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
1A.1.	Journals for student writing	Title I	\$450.00
	<u> </u>		Subtotal: \$450.00
			Grand Total: \$450.00

End of Writing Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

Our goal for this year is to increase attendance to 95.79% by minimizing absences due to illnesses and the area to a direct to a surround to area to a direct in our parked where

1. Attendance

Attendance Goal #1:

Our goal for this year is to increase attendance to 95.79% by minimizing absences due to illnesses and truancy, and to create a climate in our school where parents, students and faculty feel welcomed and appreciated.

Our second goal is to decrease the number of students

				with excessive absences (10 or more) and excessive tardiness (10 or more) by 5%			
2012	Current Attendance Ra	ate:	2013 Expecte	ed Attendance Rate:			
95.29	% (438)		95.79% (441)				
	Current Number of Stunces (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2013 Expecte Absences (10	d Number of Students or more)	with Excessive		
155			147				
1	Current Number of Stues (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2013 Expecte Tardies (10 o	ed Number of Students r more)	with Excessive		
107			102	102			
	Prok	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	medical resources.	Identify and refer students who may be developing a pattern of nonattendance to the Truancy Child Study Team (TCST) for intervention services. Provide parents with information to assist them in improving student attendance. Provide health information through	Assistant Principal & Guidance Counselor	Monitoring of weekly updates to Administration by the TCST	TCST logs, Connect Ed Reports, and Attendance rosters		
		Health Connect in our school.					
2		Identify and refer students who may be developing a pattern of tardiness to MTSS/RTI team for intervention services and a tardy conduct.	& Guidance	Bi-weekly updates to the Principal and faculty from the MTSS/RTI Team	Attendance rosters		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

 ${\it Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.}$

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	subject grade	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	FOLLOW	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	------------------------	--	---------------	---	--------	---

Truancy Prevention	Grades K-5 Parents	IInvolvement	Parante and	September 28, 2012 – Ongoing (monthly)	TCST meeting sign in sheets	Guidance Counselor, Community Involvement Specialist, & Assistant Principal
-----------------------	-----------------------	--------------	-------------	--	--------------------------------	--

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	ım(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
1.2	News letters and District Policies	EESAC	\$50.00
			Subtotal: \$50.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$50.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1:	Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to maintain the percentage of student suspension.				
2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions				
0% (0)	0% (0)				
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School				
0% (0)	0% (0)				
2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions				
0% (0)	0% (0)				

2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of- School			- 2013 Expecte of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School				
0% (())		0% (0)	0% (0)				
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Lack of student motivation leading to misbehavior.	Utilizing the Student Code of Conduct provide a parent informational meeting about truancy	Administrative Team	The Administrative Team will participate monthly in analyzing the data in order to determine the effectiveness of the strategy and using the FCIM Model will monitor COGNOS reports on student outdoor suspension rate	Participation Log for students who are recognized for complying with the Student Code of Conduct along with the monthly COGNOS suspension reports			

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
The Student Code of Conduct		Guidance Counselor	School wide	August 20, 2012	Walk-throughs to monitor teachers' enforcement of the Student Code of Conduct.	Leadership Team
The Student Code of Conduct	Grades K-5 Parents	Guidance Counselor & Community Involvement Specialist	Parents and Family Members	August 29, 2012	Effectiveness will be	Guidance Counselor & Community Involvement Specialist

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
1.1	Materials for Workshop & Printii of Informational Reference Worksheets	ng PTA	\$300.00

			Subtotal: \$300.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$300.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of in need of improvement:	f parent involvement data, a	and re	ference to	"Guiding Questions", ic	entify and define areas	
1. Parent Involvement	t					
Parent Involvement G	oal #1:					
*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.			N/A - Title I school, see PIP			
2012 Current Level of	Parent Involvement:		2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:			
N/A	N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No	Data	Submitted			

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Γ	No Data Submitted	d		

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Host monthly family events in Family Resource Center, both during school hours and beyond the regular schools day, in order to increase parent participation	Duplicating materials, Parent Resource Pamphlets	Title I	\$500.00
		•	Subtotal: \$500.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$500.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Base	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
	TEM M Goal #1:	100% of stude	nts will participate in the	Science Fair			
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Science is in Nature of Science. Teachers lack time to prepare mini-lessons to conduct science projects testing the scientific thinking process.	Provide opportunities for students to experience the scientific method by participating in the school's Science Fair.	Administrators	District Interims will be analyzed monthly by administration and shared with teachers to determine if students	School- based assessment and District Baseline and Interim		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PD focus on Scientific Thinking Strategies	Grades 3-5 Science	II daddrehin		2012 - May 17,	Science Lab and Classroom Walkthroughs	Administrator

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	(=):=(=)		A ! I - I - I -
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
1.1	Materials for Workshops & Printing of Informational Reference Worksheets	School Based Budget	\$300.00
			Subtotal: \$300.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$300.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Progra		Description of	- · · ·	
Goal	Strategy	Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Reading	5E.1.	AR books and quizzes	EESAC funds	\$3,800.00
CELLA	2.1	Writing Journals	Title One	\$400.00
Parent Involvement	Host monthly family events in Family Resource Center, both during school hours and beyond the regular schools day, in order to increase parent participation	Duplicating materials, Parent Resource Pamphlets	Title I	\$500.00
				Subtotal: \$4,700.0
Гесhnology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	ent			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Reading	4A.1.	Materials for Workshops & Printing of Informational Worksheets	School Based Budget	\$100.00
Mathematics	5B.1	Materials for Workshops & Printing of Informational Worksheets	EESAC	\$100.00
Science	1A.1.	Materials for Workshops & Printing of Informational Reference Worksheets	School Based Budget	\$300.00
Attendance	1.2	News letters and District Policies	EESAC	\$50.00
Suspension	1.1	Materials for Workshop & Printing of Informational Reference Worksheets	PTA	\$300.00
STEM	1.1	Materials for Workshops & Printing of Informational Reference Worksheets	School Based Budget	\$300.00
				Subtotal: \$1,150.0
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Reading	4A.1.	Voyager Intervention	Title I	\$31,000.00
Mathematics	5C.1	Web-based Achiever	School based	\$1,100.00
Writing	1A.1.	Journals for student writing	Title I	\$450.00
		wiitiig		

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

j₁ Priority	jn Focus	j ∩ Prevent	jn NA	
-------------	----------	--------------------	-------	--

Are you a reward school: jm Yes jm No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/12/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
• EESAC funds will be utilized to purchase Accelerated Reader books and quizzes to further enhance the Media Center and students' reading performance \$3,800.00 • Printing of informational worksheets on differentiated instruction professional development \$100.00 • News letters/District Policies \$50.00	\$3,950.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The EESAC will meet monthly to assist and support the total school program. The EESAC will develop and monitor the implementation and progress of the School Improvement Plan.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Dade School District BROADMOOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2010-2011									
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned				
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	53%	67%	84%	44%	248	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.			
% of Students Making Learning Gains	54%	69%			123	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2			
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?		69% (YES)			115	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.			
FCAT Points Earned					486				
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested			
School Grade*					С	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested			

Dade School District BROADMOOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2009-2010									
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned				
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	55%	64%	87%	23%	229	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.			
% of Students Making Learning Gains	57%	62%			119	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2			
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	72% (YES)	74% (YES)			146	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.			
FCAT Points Earned					494				
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested			
School Grade*					С	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested			