# FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: PALM TERRACE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Volusia

Principal: Laura Williamson

SAC Chair: Stacie Postell

Superintendent: Dr.Margaret Smith

Date of School Board Approval: Pending School Board Approval October

25, 2011

Last Modified on: 10/16/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Assis Principal	Mrs. Joy Boyd-Walker	Bachelor's Degree in Sociology Master's Degree in Educational Leadership		3	Palm Terrace 2012-C School 430 ponts, 49% reading, 42% math, 85% writing,39% science, 59% LGR, 51% LGM, 51%LQR, 54% LQM; 2011-B School, AYP 87%, R66%, M64%,,W81%, S38%, LGR 62%, LGM 55%, LQR 69%, LQM 60%
Principal	Laura Williamson	SLD, Biology,Administration		17	2012 Discovery: 525-A,54% Reading, 63% Math, 81% Writing, 56% science, 63LGR, 76%LGM, 76%LQR, 63% LQM; 2011: A 550 points, Reading 81%, Math 76%, Science 67%, Writing 70%, LG reading 69%, LG Math 59%, Lowest 25% Reading 63%, Lowest 25% math 65%. 2010: A 602 points, reading 81, math 84, writing 74, science 74, LG Reading 65, LG math 76,25% Reading 58, 25% math 90: AYP 92%. 2009: "A" 566 points, Reading 81, math 76, Science51, writing 82, LG Reading 70, LG math 67, 25% reading 75, 25% math math 64, AYP no 97%. 2008 B AYP 97%, (72%

		R/70% M, 63% R/65% M, 57% R/72% M). 2007 "A" 584 AYP: no 92%, Reading 81%, math 80%, LG Reading 78%, LG Math 71%, Sci. 40% writing 81%, lower 25 read 84%, Lower 25% math 69%. 2006 "A" AYP No 97%, Reading 76%, Math 81%, Writing 77%, LG read 63%, LG Math 71, lowest 25% read 56%. Prior to 2007: Based on the Volusia County District evaluation system currently in place, I have been rated either meeting or exceeding the 12 competencies required for administrators.
--	--	--

### **INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading Math	Mary Tulak	Bachelor's Early Childhood Education	4	1	Palm Terrace 2012-C School 49% R, 42% M, 85% WR, 39% SCI, 59% LGR, 51% LGM, 51% LQR, 54%LQM 2011-B School, AYP 87%, R66%, M64%,,W81%, S38%, LGR 62%, LGM 55%, LQR 69%, LQM 60%
Reading Math	Jessica Ruppen	Elem. Ed., Administration		1	Discovery Elementary 2012 525 A, 54%R, 63% M, 81% WR, 63% SCI, 63%LR, 76% LGM, 76%LQR, 63% LQM

### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	1.Peer Teacher Program	Joy Walker Fran Barrs	June 2013	
2	2.	Laura Williamson Mary Tulak Jessica Ruppen	June 2013	
3	3. Professional Learning Communities	Laura Williamson, Joy Walker, Terranius Filer, Linda Silber, Nicole Maynard, Jeneen Small, Jessica Ruppen, Laura Bernstein	June 2013	
4	4.Classroom Visitations	Laura Williamson, Joy Walker, Mary Tulak, Jessica Ruppen	June 2013	

### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective	
No data submitted		

### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
44	0.0%(0)	9.1%(4)	52.3%(23)	38.6%(17)	31.8%(14)	100.0%(44)	18.2%(8)	13.6%(6)	29.5%(13)

### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Linda Silber	Sarah Carter	Phase I School- VSET requirement	The mentor teacher will look at the Charlotte Danielson frameworks , tools from the Professional Growth plan to determine levels of performance through observations, conferencing and artifacts.
Gail David	Angela Lee	Phase I School- VSET requirement	The mentor teacher will look at the Charlotte Danielson frameworks , tools from the Professional Growth plan to determine levels of performance through observations, conferencing and artifacts.

### ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

### Coordination and Integration

### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

### Title I, Part A

Under Title I Part A our school works with outside agencies that provide specific services to targeted children and their families. These organizations team with our school to provide specific services to students, parents, and staff, including all special needs groups. It is the expectation of those involved in these partnerships that the activities and services will benefit the students by providing the children served with the support, tools, and materials they need to be ready to learn as they move down the appropriate path to graduation.

Programs supported by Title I at Palm Terrace Elementary include:

- Family Center Para-professional who facilitates our extensive parent involvement program
- Reading Intervention Teachers to provide interventions for students in need via a push-in model
- Math Intervention Teacher to provide interventions for students in need via a push-in model
- · Science Intervention Teacher
- · Supplemental Tutoring during the school day
- Supplemental materials and supplies needed to close the achievement gap
- · Supplemental funds for on-going staff development as determined by the results of FCAT data

#### Title I, Part C- Migrant

- · Academic Assistance through credit accrual/recovery, tutoring, and summer school
- Translation Services for parent/teacher conferences
- Parental support through parent/kid activity nights and workshops on school success
- Migrant Parent Advisory Council (MPAC)
- Medical Assistance through referrals to outside community agencies
- · Food Assistance through referrals to food assistance programs

#### Title I, Part D

The district receives funds to support the N & D programs to accelerate the rate of student achievement and close the achievement gaps for students in these programs. Services are coordinated with district DJJ and Neglected programs. Students are transitioned from DJJ centers back into the district schools with a transition plan to ensure academic and social success.

#### Title II

The district provides ongoing Professional Development in the core subject areas to ensure quality instruction and student success.

#### Title III

The District ESOL Coordinator and staff provide ongoing support and Professional Development to teachers to ensure instructional best practices are utilized. Teachers consistently progress monitor the ELL students to identify specific needs, target interventions/enrichments to ensure the appropriate pathway toward graduation

#### Title X- Homeless

The school works closely with Pam Woods, Title X Coordinator, to ensure that homeless students have the materials and resources they need to be successful. In addition the principal serves on the Homeless Steering Committee.

### Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

The district provides remedial and supplemental instructional resources to students who fail to meet performance levels. Palm Terrace Elementary utilizes these resources though the following:

- Tutoring in Math
- · Tutoring in Reading

#### Violence Prevention Programs

The school offers the following non-violence and anti-drug programs:

- Student mentoring program
- Peer Mediation program
- · Crisis training program
- · Suicide prevention program
- Bullying program

#### **Nutrition Programs**

Fresh Fruit and Vegetable program

- Free and Reduced Meal Plan
- Wellness Policy School Plan
- Nutrition and Wellness classes
- · Health classes
- Personal Fitness classes

### Housing Programs

#### N/A

The district, in conjunction with the Head Start agency serving the community, coordinates efforts to promote continuity of services and effective transitions for children and their families.

These include:

- Providing the opportunity for ongoing channels of communication with Head Start to facilitate coordination of programs and for shared expectations for children's learning and development as the children transition to elementary school.
- Assisting in the development of a systematic procedure for transferring, with parental consent, Head Start records, for each participating child to the school in which they will enroll.
- Collaborating and participating in joint Professional Development, including transition-related training for school staff and Head Start staff when feasible.
- Coordinating the services being provided by Head Start with services in elementary schools
- Providing to the Head Start agency local public school policies, kindergarten registration and other relevant information to ease the transition of children and families from Head Start.

Δdı	ılt.	Fdi	ication

N/A

Career and Technical Education

Palm Terrace works with community agencies on career day and various other activities to expose our students to jobs in the community.

Job Training

N/A

Other

N/A

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team:

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making by promoting the Volusia Proficiency Model. Ensures that educators are implementing the district's Progress Monitoring Plan (PMP) accessible through the K-12 curriculum link of the webpage and the VCS Problem Solving/RtI model (i.e., Problem Identification, Analysis of Problem, Intervention Implementation and Response to Intervention) for those students who do not respond effectively to core instruction. For those students who do not respond positively to interventions beyond core, ensure that the school's Problem Solving Team (PST) is accessed as needed. Ensure adequate professional development is scheduled for faculty. School Psychologists will provide/facilitate training on skill building and understanding of the components of PS/RtI. Support the school's team in the completion of resource mapping (academic and behavioral) with focus on standard protocol interventions in order to enhance implementation of PS/RtI.

Communicates with parents through school newsletters, relevant meetings, and the sharing of the parent link of the VCS Problem Solving/RtI website (under Psychological Services) in order to address the purpose of PS/RtI in meeting student needs and to address frequently

asked parental questions. In addition, parents are provided information about PS/RtI at PST meetings.

School Psychologist: Assists schools in interpreting individual, class-wide, grade-level and school-wide data in order to develop appropriate targeted interventions linked to the academic or emotional/behavioral problem. Ensure that on-going progress monitoring is in place in the area of intervention to most appropriately determine the student's response to intervention. Provides professional development to staff on PS/Rt1.

Select General Education Teachers (Primary and Intermediate): Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities. Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching. Encompasses Problem Solving/RtI practices when addressing the needs of ESE students with a focus on potential reintegration into General Education based on data.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work

with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The school's RtI leadership Team functions as a natural extension of the school's Problem Solving Team (PST). The school's PST includes RtI as an explicit step of problem solving and addresses individual as well as class, grade-level and school-wide issues

The PST is embedded in the infrastructure of the school. Core members of the PST are the principal, assistant principal, curriculum specialists, academic coaches, school psychologist, speech/language clinician, school counselor, school social worker.

In addition, since parent collaboration is essential for the success of PST/RtI implementation, parent input will be actively sought to enhance student outcomes. The school's leadership team will focus PST/RtI meetings around two PLC essential questions: 1)

"How will we respond when they don't learn?" and 2) "How will we respond when they already know it?" The team meets regularly to engage in the following activities: Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress

monitoring data at the grade level and the classroom level to identify student who are either meeting/exceeding expectations or those who are at risk for not meeting benchmarks. For those students who are at risk, tiered level supports are in place to

address the deficits and to ensure grade-level proficiency as appropriate. For those students who are exceeding expectations, enrichment activities are in place to ensure acceleration of learning.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The Problem Solving/RtI Leadership Team met with the School Advisory Council (SAC) and principal to help develop the SIP. The team provided data on: Tier 1, 2, and 3 targets; academic, behavioral and social/emotional areas that needed to be addressed; helped set clear expectations for instruction; facilitated the development of a systemic approach to teaching (Gradual Release, Essential Questions, Activating Strategies, Teaching Strategies, Extending, Refining, and Summarizing); and aligned processes and procedures.

#### -MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), FAIR, Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Differentiated Accountability

Progress Monitoring: PMRN, FAIR, Curriculum Based Measurement (CBM), FCAT Practice. Interim and DA analysis.

Midyear: Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR), Early Reading Diagnostic Assessment (ERDA), Differentiated Accountability

End of year: FAIR, FCAT, Differentiated Accountability

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Describe the plan to support MTSS

Professional development will be provided to staff through faculty meetings, grade level meetings, and individual teacher and parent consultations in order help with understanding of PST/RtI. School-wide training is provided by members of the School Psychological Services

department. Training modules for each step of the Problem Solving/RtI process as well as an overview of PS/RtI is accessible through the PST/RtI link on the Psychological Services link of the district website. Specific training is provided on intervention design, data collection, and development of hypotheses and goal statements. School staff has access to web-based state training on PST/RtI. Job-embedded learning through academic and behavioral data analysis and progress monitoring will enhance the acquisition and application of PS/RtI.

bescribe the plan to support in 35.

### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal: Susie Williamson Assistant Principal: Joy Walker

Academic Coaches: Mary Tulak and Jessica Ruppen

Grade Level Chairs: T. Filer, A. Silber, A. Maynard, J. Small, P. Troup, L. Bernstein

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Our team will meet 4-6 times per year. The goals of the team are as follows:

- · supporting the school's literacy initiatives
- · promoting the continuity of literacy policy and innovative practices within the school.
- sponsoring the design, development and delivery of equitable and excellent literacy curriculum.

Literacy leaders in collaboration with their teacher teams enable substantive improvement in literacy teaching and student literacy. functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

- · Establish literacy goals for the school based on current data
- · Develop strategies to achieve goals
- Support teachers in interpreting literacy data
- Facilitate professional learning opportunities to improve literacy achievement
- · Plan for and provide school wide celebrations of literacy

### Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 9/30/2012)

### \*Elementary Title | Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

The District, in conjunction with the local Head Start agency, Early Learning Coalition, VPK Sites and other local pre-school facilities, coordinates efforts to promote continuity of services and effective transitions for children and their families. These include:

- Providing the opportunity for ongoing communication between agencies to facilitate coordination of programs and shared expectations for children's learning and development as the children transition to elementary school.
- Collaborating and participating in joint professional development, including transition-related training for school staff and pre-school staff when feasible.
- Providing to the pre-school agencies local public school policies, kindergarten registration, kindergarten orientation and other relevant information to ease the transition of children and families.

### \*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

\*High Schools Only

Note: Required for Hig	n School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.
low does the school in elevance to their futu	ncorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and re?
	ncorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that idy is personally meaningful?
Postsecondary Tra	nsition
Note: Required for Hig	n School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.
Describe strategies for Feedback Report	improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u>

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

### Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.

Reading Goal #1a:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

22% (45)

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Low SES Attendance High Mobility Rate Parental Involvement Funds for Professional Development	In order to maintain students at Level 3 on FCAT, classroom teachers will continue to provide whole group, as well as small group instruction in core curriculum areas. Teachers will differentiate instruction to provide for individual needs. Professional Development will continue to be offered to meet the high standards we expect our students to achieve.		Student will be monitored according to the District assessment Calendar	
2	Faculty not utilizing data in an effective way.	Staff development in Scantron, Pinnacle, and other data collection strategies.	Academic Coach, classroom teachers, intervention teachers	Data will be monitored throughout the year.	FAIR DA DRA District Tests Formative and Summative assessments.
3	Strategies for core instruction can be improved.	Staff development for small group instruction, centers, remdiation techniques, and data collection. Coaching and modeling. Use of istation computer reading progrm.	Academic coach, classroom teachers,intervention teachers, special are teachers.	Observations and data collection.	Observation DA FAIR District tests Formative and summative assessments.
4	Use of Common Core strategies are new to our teachers.	Staff Development, Modeling, coaching, PLC Meetings, use books with higher text complexity	Acadmeic Coaches, teachers, administration	Observation, data collection	FCAT FAIR District Assessment Formative and summative assessmnets.

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

of im	provement for the following	g group:			
	lorida Alternate Assessn ents scoring at Levels 4,				
Read	ing Goal #1b:				
2012	Current Level of Perforn	mance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
	Pr	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	-	1		1	
	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
Leve	CAT 2.0: Students scorir I 4 in reading. ing Goal #2a:	ng at or above Achievem	Students scorin	g above proficiency level of ease by 5% in grades 3,4,	
2012	Current Level of Perforn	mance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
27%	(54)		32%		
	Pr	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Step-Up /Gifted classes working above Ge Low SES proficiency level will have tea		Step-Up teachers General Ed	Through the study of district assessments and Gifted Assessments, students will be monitored according to the District Assessment Calendar	District Assessments Gifted Assessments State Assessments
2	design stonge academic Reseach effective tea		Academic coaches, teachers, administration	Observations and tracking data.	District assessments, FCAT, formative and summative assessments.
3	Learn more effecient ways to use data.	Staff Development, Coaching and modeling.	Academic coach, administration, district PD	Observations, Summative and formative assessments tracking on scantron.	Summative and formative assessments, DA, District tests
Based	d on the analysis of studen	at achievement data, and re	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need

of improvement for the following group:

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in

reading.

Rea	Reading Goal #2b:					
201	2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
NA	NA			NA		
	Pi	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
gains	3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.  Reading Goal #3a:			making Learning Gains in	reading will increase			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:				
60%			65%					
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Low SES Attendance High Mobility Rate Parental Involvement Funds for Professional Development Time for follow-up	Through the use of intervention programs, K_5 tutoring, during and after school, Direct Instruction, Walk to Intervention, HOSTS, and ESE support services, students will show learning gains.	Intervention teachers DI Coach Reading Coach HOSTS Coordinator]ESE support staff Teachers Tutoring providers	Students enrolled in these programs will be frequently monitored according to the District Decision Tree regarding Progress Monitoring. Tutoring programs may require their own monitoring	District Assessments Gifted Assessments State Assessments			
2	Understanding the use of common core strategies and text complexity.	Staff development, modeling, district assistance	District Coach, Academic Coach, Teachers	Observations, PLC Meetings	FCAT District assessments, Formative and summative assessments, Observations			
3	More effective use of data to drive curriculum.	Throught the use of scantron and Pinnacle programs the school will track student growth more effectively. Curriculum choices will match data needs. Use staff development to assist teachers in this area.	Administration, Acadmic coaches, teachers.	Observations, data tracking	FCAT Pinnacle/Scantron Data Formative and summative tests.			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.			NA			
Reading Goal #3b:						
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perforr	nance:	
NA			NA			
	Problem-Solvin	g Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit	Determine Evaluation Tool		Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						
December the conduction of a		doko ond 20502	to !!C	vidia a Overskie sall ideak	ify and define areas in need	

	No Data Submitted							
	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		reference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need			
				Students in the lowest 25% range making learning gains will increase by 5%.				
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:				
54%			59%					
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Behavior Concerns Low SES Attendance High Mobility Rate Parental Involvement Funds for Professional Development Time for Professional Development follow up	Small group instruction Walk to Intervention Tutoring Opportunities Core Curriculum	Classroom teachers Intervention teachers Tutoring Programs ESE Support Staff	These students will be monitored every 20 days in the Walk to Intervention	District Assessments Gifted Assessments State Assessments			
2	Use of Common Core and text complexity to improve academic skills.	Staff development, PLC Meetings, Modeling and coaching	Administration, Academic Coaches, Teachers	Observations, data collection	FCAT District assessments Formative and summative assessments.			
3	The need for more effective use of data to track student growth. Better strategies used for intervention.	Staff Development, proper use of scantron and Pinnacle. Observations and coaching.	Academic Coaches, District coaches, Administration, classroom teachers	Track data on scantron and Pinnacle.	FCAT District assessments, formative and summative assessments			

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

Meas	urable Ob ol will red	but Achievable bjectives (AMO: luce their achie	s). In six year					vel 3 or higher el 3 or higher i	
	line data 0-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-201	4	2014-2015		2015-2016	2016-2017
		49%	53%	57%		62%		67%	
		analysis of stud			efere	ence to "Guiding	Quest	ions", identify and	define areas in need
Hispa satis	anic, Asi	subgroups by an, American progress in re #5B:	ndian) not n			In 2012-2013, r higher in reading		er than 48% will sco	ore a level 3 or
2012	? Current	Level of Perf	ormance:		:	2013 Expected	d Level	of Performance:	
	45% 2 72% Inic 34%				١	Black 48% White 77% Hispanic 45%			
			Problem-Sol	lving Process	toIn	ncrease Studer	nt Achi	evement	
	Antic	cipated Barrie	St	rategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring		rocess Used to Determine fectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Behavio Low SES Attenda High Mo Parental Funds fo Professi Develop Time for	c: NA NA In Indian: NA In Indian: NA Ince Shince I Involvement Or Onal		Il group  <-to-Intervention  ring opportunities  teac  Tutc  ESE		ssroom teachers ervention chers oring Programs support staff ding Coach	distric assess Gifted studer monito accord	t sments, as well as Assessments, ats will be	FAIR Interim assessments DRA Word Lists QPA
2	New Cor	mmon Core es. Higher rigor	Teacher tr Walk to In Saturday S	tervention,	Tea inte	ninistration, chers, coach, rvention chers	Observ Data d	et assessments vations collection for ng programs.	FAIR District assessments
Based	d on the	analysis of stud	ent achievem	ent data, and r	efere	ence to "Guiding	Quest	ions", identify and	define areas in need
of im	provemer Inglish La	nt for the follow anguage Lear progress in re	ing subgroup: ners (ELL) no						
	ling Goal	_	9		I	NA			
2012	? Current	Level of Perf	ormance:		:	2013 Expected	d Level	of Performance:	
NA					NA				
			Problem-Sol	Iving Process	toIn	ncrease Studer	nt Achi	evement	

Person or

Process Used to

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	High Mobility, Parents do not speak English, Poor attendance, Low vocabulary skills	Development, Computer reading programs,	ELL contact, classroom teachers, academic coaches	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CELLA, FCAT, District Tests.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. In 2012-2013, no fewer than 32% of our SWD students will score a level 3 or higher in reading. Reading Goal #5D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: SWD: 8% SWD: 32% or 9% for Safe Harbor Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Limited codnitive abilities, Small group instruction, Teachers. Data Collection, **FCAT** high mobility, poor data collection and quility Administration, ESE Observations District Tests IEPs, Staff development District Contacts **FAIR** attendance of ESE Stusents. for all DRA teachers.Computerized reading and math programs. Increase rigor of Common Teacher Training ESE teachers. District Tests FCAT Core initiatives. Improve questioning Teachers, Coach, District Tests Observations Materials with higher FAIR techniques. Use on Pinnacle data rigor. tracking.

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and r g subgroup:	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5E:			In 2012-2013, r	no fewer than 51% of our or higher in reading	ED students will
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
ED: 4	ED: 46%				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	Behavior Low SES Attendance High Mobility Rate Parental Involvement	small group instruction Walk-to-Intervention Tutoring opportunities Core curriculum	Classroom teachers Intervention teachers Tutoring Programs	Through the use of district and classroom assessments	FAIR Interim assessments DRA Word Lists

1	Funds for Professional Development Time for Professional Development follow up		ESE support staff Reading Coach	OPA District Assessments
2	Challenges of working with students who do not have exposure to high-level academic vocabulary in their homes.	Word of the Day Vocabulary instruction with higher rigor. Systematic approach to the teaching of vocabullary using research-based strategies.	Teachers, Administration	 VSET Observation FCAT scores

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Thinking Maps	All	Academic Coach	School-wide Follow up	OctJan	Observation, Coaching	Academic Coaches, Administration
Data Days	All	Academic Coaches	School-wide	Quarterly	PLC Meetings	Weekly PLC Meetings
Study Island Computer Program	All	Study Island Consultant, administration	School-wide	AugSept.	Computer usage	Administration
Kagen Training	Targeted Staff	Kagen Consultant	Targeted Staff	Sept.	Observations, Coaching, Follup training	Academic Coaches, Kagen consultant
Pinnacle and scantron training	All	Disctrict and school based trainers	School-wide	AugJune	Observation,data tracking, additional training, coaching	Administration, Academic Coaches
Istation Computerized Reading program	All	Istation Consultant, Administration	School-wide	SeptOct.	Computer usage	Administration
Read Naturally/ 100 Book Challenge	All	Reading Coach	School-wide	AugSept.	Observation, Coaching	Academic Coaches
Common Core Traing	All	Academic Coaches	School-wide	On-going	Observations, PLC Meetings	Administration, Academic Coaches
Guided Reading and Snall Group Instruction	All	Reading Coach	Classroom and Intervention Teachers	On-going	Observations, Data results	Acadmic Coach Administration

### Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)							
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount				
Read Natually	Classroom Fluency Program	Title I	\$400.00				
Making Connections	Reading Stategies for Classrooms.	Title I	\$300.00				
100 Book Challenge	Home Reading Incentive Program	Title I and school funds	\$500.00				

		-	Subtotal: \$1,200.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
istation Reading Computer Program	Reading Program	Title I	\$6,700.00
Study Island	Reading/Math/Science computer Program	Title I	\$2,200.00
			Subtotal: \$8,900.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Kagen Training	Collaborative Strategies for Class	Title I	\$400.00
			Subtotal: \$400.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$10,500.00

End of Reading Goals

### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. Students are Palm Terrace will improve CELLA scores by 2%. CELLA Goal #1: 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking: 71.4% of the Palm Terrace students are at profeciency. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Low attendance and Offer an additional 30 Classroom Observation, data CELLA minutes of reading and tardies. Teachers, tracking math. Use technology Parents do not speak Administration academic programs. English. Low socioeconomic status.

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

CELLA Goal #2:

Students at Palm Terrace will improve CELLA scores by 2%.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

35.7% (10)of the ESOL students at Palm Terrace are scoring proficient on CELLA

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1	Low attendance and numerous tardies, Parents do not speak English, Low socioeconomic status	programs, extra 30 minutes of reading each day, small reading group instruction	teachers,		CELLA District reading tests				

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.						
3. Students scoring proficient in writing. CELLA Goal #3:			The students a scores by 2%.	The students at Palm Terrace will improve their CELLA cores by 2%.		
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:						
57.1% (8)of the Palm Terrace ESOL students are proficient in writing.  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Parents do not speak English and cannot help with homework,Low attendance, low family economics	Vocabulary building activities, use visuals to build background knowledge, Special area will assist with reinforcing vocabulary.	Teachers, Intervention Teachers, Academic Coach, Administration	Writing prompt data, small group instruction	CELLA Writing Prompts	

### CELLA Budget:

Stratogy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	runding source	Amount
Refer to Reading Budget	Refer to reading Budget	Title I and School	\$0.00
		S	ubtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
istaion and Study Island	Computerized reading program	Title I (cost added to reading budget)	\$0.00
		S	ubtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Common Core comprehension strategies	Academic Coach	none needed	\$0.00
		S	ubtotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

### **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Students achieving proficiency in math will increase by 5% Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 25% (51) 30% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Low SES In order to maintain Academic Coach, Student will be monitored FAIR classroom teacher, according to the District DA Attendance students at Level 3 on High Mobility Rate assessment Calendar FCAT, classroom intevention DRA Parental Involvement teachers will continue to teachers Word Lists Funds for Professional provide whole group, as Development well as small group Student Outcomes instruction in core curriculum areas. Teachers will differentiate instruction to provide for individual needs. Professional Development will continue to be offered to meet the high standards we expect our students to achieve Faculty not utilizing data Staff development in Academic Coach. Data will be monitored FAIR in an effective way. Scantron, Pinnacle, and classroom throughout the year. DA DRA other data collection teachers, 2 strategies. intervention District Tests teachers Formative and Summative assessments. Lack of fluency of basic Small Group Instruction Classroom teacher School Based District Core Curriculum Administration Assessments Assessments, Sudents not completing Pearson Quick Checks FCAT, Intervention homework, Learning Centers Pearson End of Chapter Summative and 3 Low vocab and Tutoring Tests formative background knowledge. assessments FASTT MATH Manipulatives, Study Island Computer Program

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group:	ence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:	
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	NA
Mathematics Goal #1b:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:

NA			NA		
	Pro	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studen	t Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

	l on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and reg group:	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
Level	CAT 2.0: Students scorin 4 in mathematics. ematics Goal #2a:	ng at or above Achievem		Students achieving above proficiency in math will increase by		
2012	Current Level of Perforr	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
17% (	(35)		20%	20%		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Limited placement in Step-Up /Gifted classes Low SES Attendance High Mobility Rate Parent Involvement Funds for Professional Development	Students who are working above proficiency level will have the opportunity to enter Step-Up, K-5. Core Curriculum ensures all teachers receive professional development.	Step-Up teachers General Ed teachers Reading Coach	Through the study of district assessments and Gifted Assessments, students will be monitored according to the District Assessment Calendar	District Assessments Gifted Assessments State Assessments	
2	Effective use of data to design stonge academic strategies.	Staff development. Reseach effective common core strategies, text complexity, and quality core instruction.	Academic coaches, teachers, administration	Observations and tracking data.	District assessments, FCAT, formative and summative assessments.	
3	Confidence with using common core stategies, improving math vocabulary skills	Core Curriculum with text complexity. Enrichment Activities FASTT MATH Manipulatives Study Island Computer program.	administration,	School Based Assessments Pearson Quick Checks Pearson End of Chapter Tests	District Assessments FCAT	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #2b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

NA

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3a:				Students making by 5%.	g learning gains in mathen	natics will increase
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:		2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
52%				57%		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to I r	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Understanding the use of common core strategies and text complexity.	Staff development, modeling, district assistance	Aca	trict Coach, ademic Coach, achers	Observations, PLC Meetings	FCAT District assessments, Formative and summative assessments, Observations
2	Lack of fluency of basic facts High mobility rate Low SES population Tardiness Absenteeism Below grade level reading skills	3.1. Small Group Instruction Core Curriculum Intervention Learning Centers Tutoring FASTT MATH Manipulatives	Cla	ssroom teacher	School Based Assessments Pearson Quick Checks Pearson End of Chapter Tests	District Assessments FCAT.
3	Lack of basic facts skills and not completing homework, lack of background knowledge.	Us more hands-on activities, extra 30 minutes of math each day	inte tea aca	ssroom teacher, ervention ichers, ademic coach, ministration	Observation, track math data	District math tests, formative and summative tests, FCAT

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group:	ence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3b:	NA
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
NA	NA
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Students in the lowest 25% making learning gains will increase by 5% Mathematics Goal #4: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 55% 60% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring Use of Common Core and Staff development, PLC Administration, Observations, data FCAT District text complexity to Meetings, Modeling and Academic collection Coaches, Teachers improve academic skills. coaching assessments Formative and summative assessments. Lack of fluency of basic Small Group Instruction 4.1. School Based District Core Curriculum Classroom teacher Assessments Assessments Pearson Quick Checks High mobility rate Intervention Intervention FCAT Low SES population Learning Centers Teacher Pearson End of Chapter Tardiness 2 Tutoring Tests Absenteeism FASTT MATH Below grade level reading Manipulatives skills Sudy Island Computer Behavior Program

Based on Amb	ased on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target					
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Elementary School Mathematics Goal #  In 2010-2011, 34% scored a level 3 or higher in math.  Target: Increase level 3 or higher to 67% by 2016-17.						
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	34%	42%	51%	56%	62%	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.

In 2012-2013, no fwere than 53% will score at level 3 or higher in math.

Mathematics Goal #5B:

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	cted Level of Performance:		
Black: 34% White: 67% Hispanic: 47%			Black: 43% white: 74% Hispanic: 52%			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Lack of fluency of basic facts High mobility rate Low SES population Tardy/late Below grade level reading skills Behavior	Small Group Instruction Core Curriculum Intervention Learning Centers Tutoring FASTT MATH Manipulatives	Classroom teacher Intervention teacher Administration	School Based Assessments Pearson Quick Checks Pearson End of Chapter Tests	District Assessments FCAT	

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
satis	5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5C:						
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:		2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
NA	NA				NA		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	High Mobility, Parents do not speak English, Poor attendance, Low vocabulary skills	Staff Development, Computer reading programs, vocabulary enrichment, ELL strategies used in classrooms.	ELL contact, classroom teachers, academic coaches		Track classroom data, observation	CELLA, FCAT, District Tests.	

1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
				In 2012-2013, no fewer than 32% of our students with SWD will score a level 3 or higher in math.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
SWD:	16%		SWD: 32% or 1	SWD: 32% or 18% for Safe Harbor.			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		

			Monitoring	Strategy	
1	Limited codnitive abilities, high mobility, poor attendance of ESE Stusents.	Small group instruction, data collection and quility IEPs, Staff development for all teachers.Computerized reading and math programs.	Administration, ESE	Observations	FCAT District Tests FAIR DRA
2	basic facts. Parents do not assist		Academic Coach, administration	tutoring programs. District and state	FCAT District Tests FAIR Tutoring Data

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. In 2012-2013, no fewer than 43% of our students of our ED students will score a level 3 or higher in math. Mathematics Goal #5E: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: ED:40% ED:51% or 44% for Safe Harbor. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Behavior small group instruction Classroom teachers Through the use of FAIR Low SES Walk-to-Intervention district Interim Attendance Tutoring opportunities Intervention and classroom assessments High Mobility Rate Core curriculum DRA teachers assessments Parental Involvement Tutoring Programs Word Lists QPA Funds for ESE support staff Professional Reading Coach District Development Assessments Time for Professional Development follow up Lack of fluency of basic Small Group Instruction Classroom teacher School Based District Administration facts Core Curriculum Assessments Assessments High mobility rate Pearson Quick Checks FCAT Intervention 2 Low ESE Learning Centers Pearson End of Chapter Tardy/late Tutoring Tests Behavior FASTT MATH

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

Manipulatives

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

How to use scantron and Pinnacle Data	All	Academic Coach, Administration	All	On-going	Track data use by observing PLC Time	Academic coach, Administration
Math data workshops	All	Academic Coach, grade level chair	Classroom Teachers	On-going	PLC after each district math test, test data	Academic coach, administration
Thinking Maps reveiw	All	Academic Coach	All	On-going	Observations, data meetings	Grade chairs, Academic Coach, Administration.
Math Centers	All	Academic Coach, Grade Chairs	School-wide	On-going	Obervation, data meetings	Grade Chairs, Academic Coach, Administration

Mathematics Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Math Centers: Mountain Math	Math basic facts reveiw	Title I	\$1,200.00
Everglades Math Program	Additional basic practice and word problems	none	\$0.00
		Subt	otal: \$1,200.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Study Island	Computerized math program	Title I (cost added to reading budget)	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Common Core Math Strategies	Professional development assisting with math vocabulary and student engagement.	None	\$0.00
Math Centers	Sharing small group math ideas	None	\$0.00
Data Analysis Meetings	Data PLC Meetings to discuss data and design curriculum to respond to data.	None	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
		Grand I	otal: \$1,200.00

End of Mathematics Goals

# Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a:	The number of students achieving a FCAT level 3 will increase by 3%.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
27% (17)	30%			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1	Low SES Attendance High Mobility Rate Parental Involvement Funds for Professional Development	In order to maintain students at Level 3 on FCAT, classroom teachers will continue to provide whole group, as well as small group instruction in core curriculum areas. Teachers will differentiate instruction to provide for individual needs. Professional Development will continue to be offered to meet the high standards we expect our students to achieve.	Academic Coach, classroom teacher, intevention teachers	Student will be monitored according to the District assessment Calendar	FAIR DA DRA Word Lists QPA Student Outcomes				
2	Faculty not utilizing data in an effective way.	Staff development in Scantron, Pinnacle, and other data collection strategies.	Academic Coach, classroom teachers, intervention teachers	Data will be monitored throughout the year.	FAIR DA DRA District Tests Formative and Summative assessments.				
3	Time for teachers to collaborate and prepare lessons  Professional Development Time for follow up after professional development High mobility rate Low SES population Tardiness Absenteeism	PLC/Professional Learning Communities will meet twice per month to analyze student assessment data and determine interventions to be implemented. Core Curriculum Science Mapping Science Research Center Activities	Classroom Teachers, Administration	Classroom Assessments District Assessments	District Assessments 2012 FCAT – Science data				

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b:			NA	NA				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
NA	NA			NA				
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			

1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
		<u> </u>					
	d on the analysis of studes in need of improvement			Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define		
Achi	CAT 2.0: Students sco evement Level 4 in sci nce Goal #2a:	9		The level of students achieving above proficiency in science will increase by 3%			
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performand	ce:		
11%	(7)		14%				
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Limited placement in Step-Up /Gifted classes Low SES Attendance High Mobility Rate Parent Involvement Funds for Professional Development	Students who are working above proficiency level will have the opportunity to enter Step-Up, K-5. Core Curriculum ensures all teachers receive professional development.	Step-Up teachers General Ed teachers Reading Coach	Through the study of district assessments and Gifted Assessments, students will be monitored according to the District Assessment Calendar	District Assessments Gifted Assessments State Assessments		
2	Effective use of data to design stonge academic strategies.	Staff development. Reseach effective common core strategies, text complexity, and quality core instruction.	Academic coaches, teachers, administration	Observations and tracking data.	District assessments, FCAT, formative and summative assessments.		
3	Time for teachers to collaborate and prepare lessons  Professional Development Time for follow up after	Core Curriculum Science Mapping Science Research Center Activities	Classroom Teacher Administration	Classroom Assessments District Assessments	District Assessments 2012 FCAT – Science data		
	professional development.						
	d on the analysis of stud in need of improvemen			Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define		
Stud in sc	Florida Alternate Assesents scoring at or about ience.		7 NA				
Scier	nce Goal #2b:						
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performand	ce:		

NA

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Person or

Process Used to

NA

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core Strategies	All-Science	Academic Coach	Intermediate Science Teachers	Sept-Nov.	Observations and Data Meetings	Academic Coach
Hands on activities and Labs in Science	All-Science	Academic Coach, Grade Level Chairs	All science teachers		Observations and data PLC Meetings	Academic Coach

#### Science Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat	terial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
FCAT Explorer-Science	Computer Program	None	\$0.00
Study Island Computer Program	Computer Program	Added to reading budget.	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Hands on Science activities and Labs	Workshop with Academic Coach	None	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

### Writing Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

3.0 a	CAT 2.0: Students scor nd higher in writing. ng Goal #1a:	ring at Achievement Le	vel	The percentage of students achieving a 3.0 or higher will increase by 1%.			
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:		2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	e:	
85% (57) scored level 4 or higher, meeting high standards.				86% will score	level 4 or higher, meetin	g high standards	
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Spelling, Vocabulary, and Grammar skills are low.	Professional Learning Community re: Data Review Classroom Mini-Lessons Author's Chair Figurative Language Unit Workshops (Students & Teachers) Review Anchor Papers Timed Prompts Differentiated curriculum	Cla Tea Int	ministrators ssroom achers ervention achers	PLC Data Review Lessons Writing Team	School Based Writing Assessments District Writing Assessments	
2	Poor Attendance Mobility Tardies Low socio economics	Parental contact to improve tardies,	aca	ademic ciach	PLC Data Reveiw Meetings	Attendance Data	
3	Inconsistant use of graphic organizers for writing	Reveiwing Thinking Maps, Write From the Beginning, and other graphic organizer strategies.	Aca	ademic Coach	Observations, Data analysis of District prompts.	District Prompts	
Pacad	d on the analysis of stud	ant achievement data, an	nd r	oforonce to "Cu	ilding Ougstions" identif	y and define areas	
	ed of improvement for th	ent achievement data, ar le following group:	iu I	CICICILE LO GU	namy Questions , luciting	y and define areas	
at 4 d	lorida Alternate Asses or higher in writing. ng Goal #1b:	sment: Students scorin	ig	Na			

	of student achievement dat for the following group:	ta, and r	eference to	o "Guiding Questions"	, identify and define areas
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.  Writing Goal #1b:		Na			
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfo	ormance:
NA		NA			
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to I	ncrease S	Student Achievemen	t
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Position Responds		on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	1	No Data :	Submitted		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Reveiw of previous year's writing prompts	Fourth Grade: Writing		Fourth Grade: Writing	Sept. Data Meeting	Observations	Academic Coach
Use of Graphin Organizers		Academic Coach	Third and Fourth Grade: Writing	SeptNov.	Observations, Discussions and PLC Meetings	Adcademic Coach

### Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Progr	(-)(-)		Aviallable
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Writing Goals

### Attendance Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
Attendance  Attendance Goal #1:	Decrease the percentage of excessive tardies and absences by 10%.				
2012 Current Attendance Rate:	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:				
94.42%	95%				

2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)				2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)		
292			262	262		
	Current Number of Stuies (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2013 Expecte Tardies (10 o	d Number of Students r more)	with Excessive	
214			190	190		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase				ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Challenges of working with students from low-socio economic backgrounds. Motivation to attend school and the acknowledgement of getting to school on time.	Creating an attendance incentive program through our Behavior Leadership Team.	Administration Attendance Clerk Guidance Counselor BLT committee	Comparing data from previous year and previous quarters to current attendance report.	Attendance Report	
2	Parent attendance at PST attendance meeting.	Make numerous phone calls and home visits to increase parental involvement in PST meetings.	PST Chair, Administration	Compare data from previous years.	Attendance Reprot	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

### Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	•		Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Professional Developr	ment		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

# Suspension Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
	1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1:			The total number of in and out of school suspensions will decrease by 5%.		
2012	Total Number of In-Sc	chool Suspensions	2013 Expecte	ed Number of In-Schoo	l Suspensions	
4			3.5			
2012	Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended In-Sch	ool 2013 Expecto School	ed Number of Students	Suspended In-	
4			3.5			
2012	Number of Out-of-Sch	ool Suspensions		2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions		
92			72	72		
2012 Scho		ents Suspended Out-of	- 2013 Expector of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School		
52			40	40		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stud	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Challenges of working with students from low socio-economic backgrounds.	Teachers will receive training in RTI B  Student Advocate will work on Social skills with targeted students  Guidance ALPHA Behavior	ALPHA Behavior Specialist (Tanya McKenzie Coaches (Mary Tulak) Guidance Counselor (Denise Hill)	Staff Feedback from RTIB and Behavior ) Leadership Team meetings. School Data on suspensions	Referral and Suspension data.	

		Program School Pschycologist PST		
2	Lack of Social Skills	Social Skills training through a school wide reinforcer program.	Observations Discipline Data	Referral and Suspension Data
3	Adjust stradagies used BLT.	Continue to meet with our BLT group and adjust stategies to meet the needs of the students and teachers.	 Observations and discipline data.	Discipline Data.

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
BLT Tainings	All	BLT	School-wide	OctNov.	 BLT and suspension Data.

### Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

### Parent Involvement Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. Parent Involvement

ı			1			
*Ple	ent Involvement Goal # ase refer to the percenta icipated in school activition uplicated.	nge of parents who	To maintain ou	ır Five-Star status.		
201	2 Current Level of Pare	nt I nvolvement:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Parent Invo	olvement:	
Mee	ting 5 Star Criteria		Meet Parental status.	Meet Parental involvement standards for Five-Star status.		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too	
1	Need for daycare	Provide supervision for children during meetings	SAC Chair/Principal	Sign in sheets	Compare attendance to previous year	
2	Non English speaking	Provide interpreters from the district and also a faculty member on staff serves provides translation.	Principal	Sign in sheets	Compare attendance to previous year	
3	Transportation	Provide bus passes	Principal/School Social Worker	Track number of bus passes distributed	Sign in sheets	
4	Parents are working two jobs or do not complete job until late at night.	Offer morning meetings.	Administration, PTA	Track attendance at meetings	Sign-in sheets	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	release) and Schedules	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Open House: Title I information and discussion of grade level curriculum.	All grade Levels: Title I and Curriculum	Administration and classroom teachers.	All Parents are invited.	Sept. 2012	Sign-in Sheets	Administration
Family Math Nights	All grade levels: Math curriculum.	Coaches, Administration, classroom teachers.	All parents are invited.	Feb. 2013	Sign-in Sheets	Administration
Family Science Night: Daytona Beach Museum of Natural Sciences.	All grade Levels: Science exploration through experiments.	Museum Representative.	All Families are invited.	April 2013	Sign-in sheets	Administration

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available	
Strategy	<u> </u>	- ariamy source	Amoun	
Publix Math Night	Publix offers math scavenger hunt. Palm Terrace gives each	Title I	\$150.00	
T dbiix Matii Mgiit	student fake money.	THIC I	Ψ130.00	
	Daytona Museum of Arts and			
Science Night	Sciences comes to school with hands on activities.	Title I	\$350.00	
	Math flash cards, Sight word flash		-	
Supplies for home use	cards,100 Book Challenge replacement books	Title I	\$2,300.0	
		_	Subtotal: \$2,800.0	
Technology				
Strategy Description of Resources		Funding Source	Available	
	<u>·</u>		Amoun	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
			Subtotal: \$0.0	
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
			Subtotal: \$0.0	
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
No Data	No Data	-	Subtotal: \$0.0	
			วนมเบเสโ: \$0.0	

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

# Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Base	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:							
1. ST	ГЕМ Л Goal #1:		Palm Terrace v	Palm Terrace will improve math ans science FCAT scores.				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Lack of training and experience in developing high quality lessons that integrate all areas of STEM.	Use Common Core stategies and allign them with STEM strategies.	District, Academic Coach, Administation	Observations of STEM strategies.	Science and math district tests.			
2	Students are low in science and math vocabulary. Students do not have the backround knowledge of hands on activities usually learned at home. Some homes cannot afford computers.	Infuse science/math vocabulary in all activities at school. Use technology at school as often as possible.		Observations, DA tests, Math topic tests	Science and math district tests.			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Math/Science Vocab training	All	Academic Coach	All teachers	On-going	Student acheivenebt on summatives.	Teachers, Coach, Administration
Using istation and Study Island in the classrooms.	All	Administrators, Media Specialists and Academic Coach	All Teachers	Sept./Oct.	Monitor usage	Administration
Math Center Training	All	Academic Coach	All Teachers	On-going	Student acheivement on summatives	Teachers, Coach, Administration.

### STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	diii(3)/ Material(3)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

# Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

### FINAL BUDGET

C I	Charte	Description of	Francisco C	A
Goal	Strategy	Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Reading	Read Natually	Classroom Fluency Program	Title I	\$400.00
Reading	Making Connections	Reading Stategies for Classrooms.	Title I	\$300.00
Reading	100 Book Challenge	Home Reading Incentive Program	Title I and school funds	\$500.00
CELLA	Refer to Reading Budget	Refer to reading Budget	Title I and School	\$0.00
Mathematics	Math Centers: Mountain Math	Math basic facts reveiw	Title I	\$1,200.00
Mathematics	Everglades Math Program	Additional basic practice and word problems	none	\$0.00
Parent Involvement	Publix Math Night	Publix offers math scavenger hunt. Palm Terrace gives each student fake money.	Title I	\$150.00
Parent Involvement	Science Night	Daytona Museum of Arts and Sciences comes to school with hands on activities.	Title I	\$350.00
Parent Involvement	Supplies for home use	Math flash cards, Sight word flash cards, 100 Book Challenge replacement books	Title I	\$2,300.00
				Subtotal: \$5,200.0
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Reading	istation Reading Computer Program	Reading Program	Title I	\$6,700.00
Reading	Study Island	Reading/Math/Science computer Program	Title I	\$2,200.00
CELLA	istaion and Study Island	Computerized reading program	Title I (cost added to reading budget)	\$0.00
Mathematics	Study Island	Computerized math program	Title I (cost added to reading budget)	\$0.00
Science	FCAT Explorer-Science	Computer Program	None	\$0.00
Science	Study Island Computer Program	Computer Program	Added to reading budget.	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$8,900.0
Professional Developm	nent	D 111 6		
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Reading	Kagen Training	Collaborative Strategies for Class	Title I	\$400.00
CELLA	Common Core comprehension strategies	Academic Coach	none needed	\$0.00
Mathematics	Common Core Math Strategies	Professional development assisting with math vocabulary and student engagement.	None	\$0.00
Mathematics	Math Centers	Sharing small group math ideas  Data PLC Meetings to	None	\$0.00
Mathematics	Data Analysis Meetings	discuss data and design curriculum to respond to data.	None	\$0.00
Science	Hands on Science activities and Labs	Workshop with Academic Coach	None	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$400.0
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Subtotal: \$0.00

Grand Total: \$14,500.00

### Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

View uploaded file (Uploaded on 9/30/2012)

### School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

**√** 

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Describe projected use of SAC funds

Amount

No data submitted

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

Our SAC reviews grants written by both teachers and parents. These grants are submitted to improve the academics of our students. The group then votes to either fund or deny grant.

### AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

### SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Volusia School District PALM TERRACE ELEME 2010-2011		OOL				
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	66%	64%	81%	38%	249	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	62%	55%			117	3 ways to make gains:  Improve FCAT Levels  Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5  Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	69% (YES)	60% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					495	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					В	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

PALM TERRACE ELEME 2009-2010	NTARY SCH	OOL				
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	62%	63%	71%	40%	236	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above or Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/o science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	56%	67%			123	3 ways to make gains:  Improve FCAT Levels  Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5  Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	54% (YES)	79% (YES)			133	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					492	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					С	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested