FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: OSTEEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Volusia

Principal: Robert Ouellette

SAC Chair: Kami Aronson

Superintendent: Margaret Smith

Date of School Board Approval: Pending School Board Action on

December 11, 2012

Last Modified on: 10/5/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
|----------|------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | | 03-04 Assistant Principal, Osteen Elementary School, A Grade(85% High Standards in reading, 85% High Standards in math, 79%Learning Gains in reading, 74% learning gains in math, 70% Lowest 25% gains in reading), and 97% of AYP criteria met; 04-05 Assistant Principal, Osteen Elementary School, A Grade(85% High Standards in reading, 78% High Standards in math, 72%Learning Gains in reading, 76% learning gains in math, 62% Lowest 25% gains in reading), and no to meeting AYP. 05-06 Assistant Principal, Osteen Elementary School, A Grade(83% High Standards in reading, 75% High Standards in reading, 75% High Standards in math, 65%Learning Gains in reading, 62% learning gains in math, 68% Lowest 25% gains in reading), and no to meeting AYP. 06-07 Assistant Principal, New Smyrna Beach Middle School, B Grade (69% High Standards in reading, 58% High Standards in math, 62%Learning Gains in |

| Principal | Robert | BS. Elementary Education MA. Education Leadership | 5 | 10 | reading, 63% learning gains in math, 59% Lowest 25% gains in reading, 65% Lowest 25% gains in math), and no to meeting AYP. 07-08 Assistant Principal, New Smyrna Beach Middle School, A Grade(71% High Standards in reading, 65% High Standards in math, 63%Learning Gains in reading, 68% learning gains in math, 57% Lowest 25% gains in reading, 66% Lowest 25% gains in math), and no to meeting AYP. 08- 09 Principal, Osteen Elementary School, A Grade(83% High Standards in reading, 75% High Standards in math, 66%Learning Gains in reading, 62% learning gains in math, 56% Lowest 25% gains in reading, 61% Lowest 25% gains in math), and no to meeting AYP. 09-10 Principal, Osteen Elementary School, A Grade(82% High Standards in reading, 76% High Standards in math, 64%Learning Gains in reading, 59% learning gains in math, 58% Lowest 25% gains in math, 58% Lowest 25% gains in math), and 80% of AYP criteria met. 10-11 Principal, Osteen Elementary School, A Grade(85% High Standards in reading, 80% High Standards in math, 68% Learning Gains in reading, 53% learning gains in math, 59% Lowest 25% gains in reading, 54% Lowest 25% gains in reading, 54% Lowest 25% gains in reading, 54% Lowest 25% gains in math), and 97% of AYP criteria met. 11-12 Principal, Osteen Elementary School, B Grade (67% High Standards in Reading, 60% lowest gain in Math). |
|-----------------|----------------------|--|---|----|--|
| Assis Principal | Cristina Raimundo | BA. MS. Childhod Ed. MS. Educational Leadership | 3 | 3 | 10-11 Assistant Principal, Osteen Elementary School, A Grade(85% High Standards in reading, 80% High Standards in math, 68%Learning Gains in reading, 53% learning gains in math, 59% Lowest 25% gains in reading, 54% Lowest 25% gains in math), and 97% of AYP criteria met. 11-12 Assistant Principal, Osteen Elementary School, B Grade(67% High Standards in Reading, 60% High Standards in Math, 58% Learning Gains in Reading, 58% Learning Gains in Math, 46% lowest 25% gains in Reading, 50% lowest gain in Math). |

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
|--------------|--------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Reading | Tara Geiger | B.A. Elementary Education 1-6 M.S. Educational Leadership | | | N/A first year as Academic Coach |
| Math | April Jollie | B.A.Elementary Education | | | N/A first year as Academic Coach |

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Mentoring fellow teachers so they may receive positive feedback for improvement. | Veteran teachers | ongoing | |
| 2 | Staff Development training that targets best practices aligned with the Volusia System for Empowering Teachers. | school administration | ongoing | |

| 3 | Partnering with community stakeholders to provide activities and local advertisement. Assist with collaboration between classroom and community. | school administration | ongoing | |
|---|--|--|---------|--|
| 4 | Formal and informal faculty recognitions | school administration and instructional colleagues | ongoing | |
| 5 | PLC Activities | PLC groups | ongoing | |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective | |
|---|---|--|
| No data submitted | | |

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | al Number of structional Staff | % of First-Year Teachers | | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | % Highly Effective Teachers | % Reading Endorsed Teachers | Board | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|----|---|--------------------------------|-----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|
| 45 | | 0.0%(0) | 24.4%(11) | 33.3%(15) | 42.2%(19) | 35.6%(16) | 0.0%(0) | 6.7%(3) | 8.9%(4) | 73.3%(33) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee Assigned | Rationale for Pairing | Planned Mentoring Activities |
|----------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| Candy Ezen | Jennifer Anton (par | Highly qualified and skilled veteran teacher. | Informal meetings classroom visitations curriculum discussions Scheduled PLC meetings with grade level peers. |
| Michelle McCoy | Tiffany Robbins (par | Heacher | Informal meetings classroom visitations curriculum discussions Scheduled PLC meetings with grade level peers. |

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Under Title I Part A Osteen Elementary School works with outside agencies that provide specific services to targeted children and their families. These organizations team with our school to provide specific services to students, parents, and staff, including all special needs groups. It is the expectation of those involved in these partnerships that the activities and services will benefit the students by providing the children served with the support, tools, and materials they need to be ready to learn as they move down the appropriate path to graduation.

Programs supported by Title I at Osteen Elementary School include:

- Academic Coaches for the purpose of comprehensive staff development
- · Family Center Para-professional who facilitates our extensive parent involvement program
- Reading Intervention Teacher to provide interventions for students in need
- Supplemental Tutoring after school
- Supplemental materials and supplies needed to close the achievement gap
- · Supplemental funds for ongoing staff development as determined by the results of FCAT data
- Parent To Kids workshops to teach literacy skills to parents so they can help their children to become better readers

Title I, Part C- Migrant

The District Migrant Education Program Coordinator, Migrant Advocates and Migrant Recruiters work together to provide services and support to the migrant students and their parents. The MEP Coordinator works with Title I and other programs to ensure student needs are met. The Migrant Education Program provides the following:

- Academic Assistance through credit accrual/recovery, tutoring, and summer school
- Translation Services for parent/teacher conferences
- · Parental support through parent/kid activity nights and workshops on school success
- Migrant Parent Advisory Council (MPAC)
- Medical Assistance through referrals to outside community agencies
- · Food Assistance through referrals to food assistance programs

Title I, Part D

The district receives funds to support the N & D programs to accelerate the rate of student achievement and close the achievement gaps for students in these programs. Services are coordinated with district DJJ and Neglected programs. Students are transitioned from DJJ centers back into the district schools with a transition plan to ensure academic and social success.

Title II

The district receives federal funds to provide access to Professional Development activities for public and private school teachers and principals in the core subject areas to ensure quality instruction and student success.

Title III

The District ESOL Coordinator and staff provide ongoing support and Professional Development to teachers to ensure instructional best practices are utilized. Teachers consistently monitor the progress of ELL students to identify specific needs, as well as target interventions and enrichments that ensure the appropriate pathway toward graduation.

Title X- Homeless

The school works closely with Vicki White, Title X Coordinator, to ensure that homeless students have the materials and resources they need to be successful.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

The district provides remedial and supplemental instructional resources to students who fail to meet performance levels. Osteen Elementary School utilizes these resources though the following:

- · After School Tutoring in Math
- After School Tutoring in Reading

Violence Prevention Programs

The school offers the following non-violence and anti-drug programs:

- Student Mentoring Program
- Crisis Training Program
- Suicide Prevention Program
- Bullying Program

Nutrition Programs

Osteen Elementary School offers a variety of nutrition programs including: (Add/Delete from suggested list below – must be specific to your school)

- Free and Reduced Meal Plan
- Wellness Policy School Plan

- · Nutrition and Wellness classes
- · Running Clubs

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

The District, in conjunction with the Head Start agency serving the community, coordinates efforts to promote continuity of services and effective transitions for children and their families. These include:

- Providing the opportunity for ongoing channels of communication with Head Start to facilitate coordination of programs and for shared expectations for children's learning and development as the children transition to elementary school.
- Assisting in the development of a systematic procedure for transferring, with parental consent, Head Start program records, for each participating child to the school in which such child will enroll.
- Collaborating and participating in joint Professional Development, including transition-related training for school staff and Head Start staff when feasible.
- · Coordinating the services being provided by Head Start with services in elementary schools.
- Providing to the Head Start agency local public school policies, kindergarten registration and other relevant information to ease the transition of children and families from Head Start.

Adult Education

N/A

Career and Technical Education

N/A

Job Training

Osteen Elementary School offers students' career awareness opportunities through Jr. Achievement programs, job shadowing opportunities, guest speakers from business and industry, and field trips to business and industry locations.

Other

N/A

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making by promoting the Volusia Proficiency Model. Ensures that educators are implementing the district's Progress Monitoring Plan (PMP) accessible through the K-12 curriculum link of the webpage and the VCS Problem Solving/RtI model (i.e., Problem Identification, Analysis of Problem, Intervention Implementation and Response to Intervention) for those students who do not respond effectively to core instruction. For those students who do not respond positively to interventions beyond core, ensure that the school's Problem Solving Team (PST) is accessed as needed. Ensure adequate professional development is scheduled for faculty. School Psychologists will provide/facilitate training on skill building and understanding of the components of PS/RtI. Support the school's team in the completion of resource mapping (academic and behavioral) with focus on standard protocol interventions in order to enhance implementation of PS/RtI. Communicates with parents through school newsletters, relevant meetings, and the sharing of the parent link of the VCS Problem Solving/RtI website (under Psychological Services) in order to address the purpose of PS/RtI in meeting student needs and to address frequently asked parental questions. In addition, parents are provided information about PS/RtI at PST meetings.

School Psychologist: Assists schools in interpreting individual, class-wide, grade-level and school-wide data in order to develop appropriate targeted interventions linked to the academic or emotional/behavioral problem. Ensure that on-going progress monitoring is in place in the area of intervention to most appropriately determine the student's response to intervention. Provides professional development to staff on PS/RtI.

Select General Education Teachers (Primary and Intermediate): Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as coteaching. Encompasses Problem Solving/RtI practices when addressing the needs of ESE students with a focus on potential reintegration into General Education based on data.

School Administrators: Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The school based MTSS leadership team identifies school based resources (both materials and personnel) to determine the continuum of academic and behavioral supports available to students at the individual school site. Academic and behavioral data are considered in order to determine priorities and functions of other existing teams (e.g., Problem Solving Teams, Behavior Leadership Teams, and Professional Learning Communities). The Problem Solving process (i.e., Problem Identification, Analysis of Problem, Intervention Implementation and Response to Intervention) is used as the way of work of all teams and not just for individual student concerns. Adherence to the Problem Solving process ensures that individual, class-wide, and school-wide issues are addressed systematically with data; that interventions (supports) are tiered to the targeted problems; and that a plan is in place to monitor progress. The school-based MTSS leadership team meets regularly throughout the school year in order to address the academic and behavioral needs that develop throughout the year, as well as to monitor outcomes of supports and interventions.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The school improvement plan is data driven and focuses on areas of school- based need for both specific content areas as well as specific student populations. Similarly, MTSS is a data-driven framework that seeks to find solutions/resources matched in intensity to student need in academic and behavioral areas. The MTSS framework follows the district's four-step problem solving process, with RtI as an integral component of the process. As a result, the school improvement plan is based on a strategic analysis of data, and identified resources (as identified by the MTSS school based leadership team) are matched to the needs of the students/schools. Building the SIP within the context of MTSS results in the school determining the areas of most significant need and, as importantly, enables the school to develop a plan that can be addressed based on existing resources.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Pinnacle Gradebook provides evidence of performance in core instruction across content areas. In addition, information gleaned from FAIR assessments, DRAs, OPM probes, interim assessments and FCAT provide valuable information regarding reading performance for both individuals and groups of students. Interim assessments and FCAT also provide critical information regarding student performance in the areas of mathematics, science, and writing. Pinnacle Insight reports provide further information regarding performance by both individual and groups of students (disaggregated by specific groups) in order to inform instruction and intervention. Behavioral expectations are communicated by the school to all students and parents. Those students who do not obtain proficiency in behavioral expectations are provided supports and interventions matched to student need. Office discipline data are maintained and monitored by the school site. Tier 2 and tier 3 supports/interventions and the response to these interventions are entered into the electronic PST system. Summary reports within the system are available to MTSS school-based leadership (i.e. the Principal, PST Chair, and school psychologist). Frequency of Data Days: Bi weekly meetings for data analysis or as determined by principal

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The district Coordinator of MTSS in conjunction with the Deputy Superintendent for Instructional Services will be providing schools with relevant training materials on MTSS. In addition to an overview of MTSS that will be available to all schools, the foundational principles of MTSS and resources will be embedded within other resources and trainings (e.g., Deliberate Practice and Common Core State Standards Training).

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

School-based support for MTSS will be provided by the District MTSS Leadership Team. In turn, the school-based MTSS Leadership team will disseminate relevant MTSS information to teachers and parents. Data-based meetings throughout the school year will identify those students in need of academic and/or behavioral supports. Furthermore, based on this data-based decision making, supports will be implemented and monitored. School-specific reports, such as those available in

Pinnacle Insight, will facilitate the development of a data-based MTSS framework. This data, in conjunction with identified school-based tiered resources, will ensure that a Multi-Tiered System of Supports is an overarching framework that guides the work of the school.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The Literacy Leadership Team consists of:

Principal

Assistant Principal

Academic Coaches

Intervention Teacher

Supplimented general education teachers with extra leadership duties

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The school's LLT functions as a part of the school's Leadership Team. The LLT is embedded in the infrastructure of the school. Core members of the LLT are the principal, assistant principal, teachers. The school's LLT will focus meetings around the school's reading program. The team meets regularly to engage in the following activities: Review curriculum and materials; review student data ad assessments and to identify additional materials that could be beneficial. Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making by promoting the Volusia Proficiency Model.

Select General Education Teachers: Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

School Administrators: Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The implementation of standards and guidelines based upon formative assessments and standards based grading practices as part of the school district's Volusia Proficiency Model and Volusia System for Empowering Teachers.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 9/24/2012)

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

The District, in conjunction with the local Head Start agency, Early Learning Coalition, VPK Sites and other local pre-school facilities, coordinates efforts to promote continuity of services and effective transitions for children and their families. These include:

- Providing the opportunity for ongoing communication between agencies to facilitate coordination of programs and shared expectations for children's learning and development as the children transition to elementary school.
- Collaborating and participating in joint professional development, including transition-related training for school staff and pre-school staff when feasible.
- Utilizing pre-school assessments to monitor readiness skills for students transitioning from pre-school to kindergarten.
- Providing to the pre-school agencies local public school policies, kindergarten registration, kindergarten orientation and other relevant information to ease the transition of children and families.

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S. For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher. N/A *High Schools Only Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S. How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future? N/A How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful? N/A

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report

N/A

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | | d refere | ence to "Guid | ling Questions", identify a | nd define areas in need |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| <u>'</u> | 3 3 1 | | | | Level 3) in reading will |
| ading Goal #1a: | | | increase by | 3%. | |
| 2 Current Level of Perfor | rmance: | | 2013 Expec | ted Level of Performand | ce: |
| 36% (94) | | | 34.86% (94) | | |
| F | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to Ir | ncrease Stu | dent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Resp | Position ponsible for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS and CCS. | Implement NGSSS and CCS crosswalk and standards references grading in all core content areas. | Academic Coaches, General Education Teachers, ESE Team, Administration | | Reports generated by Pinnacle Grading System | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data |
| Obtaining adequate SAI funding for tutoring and small group interventions resources. | for students with identified reading deficiencies. | Select classroom | | Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment and teacher observation by principal. | District Assessments, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data |
| 1.2. Funds for professional development resources. | 1.2. Utilize funds to implement systems for formative assessment and standards based grading. | 1.2. School principal and literacy leadership team. | | 1.2. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment implementation and PLC collaborations with teachers. | 1.2. Teachers implementing effective strategies in their classroom. |
| 1.3. Time for teacher collaboration as a follow up to professional development. | 5 | | istration | 1.3. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment implementation and PLC collaborations with teachers. | 1.3. Teachers implementing effective strategies in their classroom. |
| 1.4. Scheduling time within the school day for Tier 2 and Tier 3 reading interventions. | 1.4. Intervention teachers will work with identified students, based on reading deficiencies, during a suspended curriculum situation to provide prescriptive interventions four days per/week, in four to eight week intervals. | 1.4 Special Area Teacher (Interventions) | | 1.4. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment data, and PLC collaboration with teachers. | 1.4. District Assessments, formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data |
| 3 | 1.5. Provide students with books on their identified reading level, empower them to read independently on a consistent basis. | 1.5. Classroom teachers, medi- specialist | | 1.5. Monitoring the number of students successfully completing Reading Counts program assessments each quarter. | 1.5. Reading Counts Program Assessments,formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data |
| | Anticipated Barrier Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS and CCS. Obtaining adequate SAI funding for tutoring and small group interventions resources. 1.2. Funds for professional development resources. 1.4. Scheduling time within the school day for students to conduct sustained, | Problem-Solving Proces Anticipated Barrier Strategy Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS and CCS. Obtaining adequate SAI funding for tutoring and small group interventions resources. Claims for professional development resources. 1.2. Funds for professional development resources. 1.3. Time for teacher collaboration as a follow up to professional development. 1.4. Scheduling time within the school day for Tier 2 and Tier 3 reading interventions. 1.5. Time within the existing school day for students to conduct sustained, independent reading. 1.5. Time within the existing school day for students to conduct sustained, independent reading. 1.5. Time within the existing school day for students to conduct sustained, independent reading. | Problem-Solving Process to I result of the following group: FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in ding. Iding Goal #1a: 2 Current Level of Performance: Anticipated Barrier Strategy Problem-Solving Process to I result of the factor of | Problem-Solving Process to Increase by Anticipated Barrier Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS and CCS. Obtaining adequate SAI funding for tutoring and small group interventions resources. 1.2. Funds for professional development resources. 1.2. Funds for professional development school day for Tier 2 mid Tier 3 reading interventions. 1.3. Time for teacher collaboration as a follow up to professional development. 1.4. Scheduling time within the school day for Tier 2 mid Tier 3 reading interventions. 1.5. Time within the existing school day for students to conduct sustained, independent reading. 1.5. Time within the existing school day for students to conduct sustained, independent reading. 1.5. Time within the existing school day for students to conduct sustained, independent reading. 1.5. Time within the existing school day for students to conduct sustained, independent reading. 1.5. Time within the existing school day for students to conduct sustained, independent reading. 1.5. Time within the existing school day for students to conduct sustained, independent reading. 1.5. Time within the existing school day for students to conduct sustained, independent reading. | FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in ding. Iding Goal #1a: 2 Current Level of Performance: 3 d.8.6% (94) 3 d.8.6% (94) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person Position Responsible for Monitoring of Strategy Person Or Position Responsible for Monitoring of Strategy Person Or Position Responsible for Monitoring of Strategy Person Or Position Responsible for Monitoring of Strategy Perpose Student Achievement Person Or Position Responsible for Monitoring of Strategy Perpose Student Achievement Person Or Position Responsible for Monitoring of Strategy Perpose Student Achievement Person Or Position Responsible for Monitoring of Strategy Pinnacle Grading System Effect Level of Performance Effectiveness of Strategy Pinnacle Grading System Effect Level of Performance Effectiveness of Strategy Pinnacle Grading System Effect Level of Person Or Position Responsible for Monitoring of Interventions of Strategy Pinnacle Grading System Effect Level of Person Or Position Responsible for Monitoring o |

| 7 | Time to dissagregate data on a consistent basis to determine appropriate differentiated instructional group placement. | Use of leveled reading material to provide differentiated instruction, as provided by the district adopted MacMillan reading textbook. | Classroom teachers | Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment implementation and PLC collaborations with teachers. | District Assessments, formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data |
|----|--|--|---|---|---|
| 8 | 1.7. Obtaining funds for professional development and resources. | 1.7. Use of Mobi View and CPS Clickers as part of formative assessments as part of standards based grading. | 1.7. Select classroom teachers | 1.7. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments implementation and PLC collaborations with teachers. | 1.7. District assessment, formative assessments, unit test, FCAT result and FAIR data |
| 9 | 1.8. Getting parents to be willing to attend school activities. | 1.8. PLC curriculum breakfast designed to provide parents with relevent curriculum information and ways to assist with their child's learning goals. | 1.8. PLC and School Administrators. | 1.8. Solicit parent feedback. | 1.8. Surveys and anecdotal records. |
| 10 | 1.9. Finding time within the school day and parental involvement. | 1.9. Use of scholastic readers/ leveled readers and decodables to increase reading fluency. | 1.9. Select classroom teachers. | 1.9. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments, self monitoring and PLC collabration. | 1.9. DRA and FAIR Results. Monitoring Reading Counts Program |
| 11 | Scheduling time with in the school day for tier 2 and tier 3 reading interventions. | Classroom teachers will provide interventions for at least 30 minutes daily. | Classroom Teachers, Academic Coaches, Administration. | Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments, and PLC collaboration with teachers. | Assessments, formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data |

| | d on the analysis of studen aprovement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and c | define areas in need | |
|------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Stud | Florida Alternate Assessn lents scoring at Levels 4, ding Goal #1b: | | | Students scoring at or Levels 4,5,and 6 on FAA in reading will increase by 2%. | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| 40% | (2) | | 42% (1) | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS and CCS. | Implement NGSSS and CCS crosswalk and standards references grading in all core content areas. | Academic Coaches, General Education Teachers, ESE Team, Administration | 5 5 | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data | |
| 2 | There is a need for more collaboration time amongst teachers of students with cognitive disabilities and general education teachers. | PLC meetings with academic coaches, team planning, professional development, LLC meetings. | Administration, ESE Team, General Education Teachers, Academic Coaches | , | District Assessments, FCAT results | |
| 3 | Difficulty of finding high- quality lessons for students with cognitive disabilities that also address varying complexity levels | Follow-up coaching provided by program specialists | Administration ESE Team General Education Teachers Academic Coaches | PLC meeting notes, Team planning notes, Coaching session Notes | | |

| | ed on the analysis of stude | | d refer | ence to "Guid | ling Questions", identify a | nd define areas in need | |
|------|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| | FCAT 2.0: Students scor el 4 in reading. | ing at or above Achieve | ment | Increase percent of students scoring at current level by 3% at each grade level. | | | |
| Rea | ding Goal #2a: | | | | | | |
| 201 | 2 Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | | 2013 Exped | ted Level of Performand | ce: | |
| 33.9 | 0% (100) | | | 36.90% (99) | | | |
| | | Problem-Solving Proces | s to I | ncrease Stu | dent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Res | Person or Position ponsible for onitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS and CCS. | Implement NGSSS and CCS crosswalk and standards references grading in all core content areas. | Educa Teach Team | nes, General ation ners, ESE | Reports generated by Pinnacle Grading System | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data | |
| 2 | 2.1. Time within the existing school day for students to conduct sustained, independent reading. | 2.1. Provide students with books on their identified reading level, empower them to read independently on a consistent basis. | 2.1. Classroom teachers, media specialist | | 2.1. Monitoring the number of students successfully completing Reading Counts program assessments each quarter. | 2.1. Reading Counts Program Assessments,formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data | |
| 3 | 2.2. Funds for professional development resources. | 2.2. Utilize funds to implement systems for formative assessment and standards based grading. | 2.2. School principal and literacy leadership team. | | 2.2. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment implementation and PLC collaborations with teachers. | 2.2. Teachers implementing effective strategies in their classroom. | |
| 4 | 2.3. Time to dissagregate data on a consistent basis to determine appropriate differentiated. instructional group placement. | 2.3. Use of leveled reading material as provided by the district adopted MacMillan reading textbook. | 2.3. Classi teach | | 2.3. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment implementation and PLC collaborations with teachers. | 2.3. District Assessments,formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data | |
| 5 | 2.4. Time to dissagregate data on a consistent basis to determine appropriate differentiated instructional group placement. | 2.4. Use of FCRR reading material. | 2.4. Classroom teachers | | 2.4. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment implementation and PLC collaborations with teachers. | 2.4. District Assessments,formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data | |
| 6 | 2.5. Investigate funding for purchase of Raz Kids software. | 2.5. Student use of Raz Kids software to enrich and enhance reading skills through independent usage. | 2.5. Classroom teachers. | | 2.5. Review of software data points provided for students based on individual usage. | 2.5. Raz Kids software's data print outs. | |
| 7 | 2.6. Obtaining funds for professional development and resources. | 2.6. Use of Mobi View, IPADS, and CPS Clickers as part of formative assessments with standards based grading. | | | 2.6. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments implementation and PLC collaborations with teachers. | 2.6. District assessment, formative assessments, unit test, FCAT result and FAIR data | |
| | 2.7. Getting parents to be willing to attend school | 2.7. PLC curriculum breakfast designed to provide | | and School nistration. | 2.7. Solicit parent feedback. | 2.7. Surveys and anecdotal records. | |

| 8 | activities | parents with relevent curriculum information and ways to assist with thier child's learning goals. | | | |
|----|---|--|---|--|--|
| 9 | 2.8. Finding time within the school day and parental involvement. | 2.8. Use of scholastic readers/leveled readers/decodable books to increase reading fluency. | 2.8. Classroom Teachers. | 2.8. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments and self monitoring. | 2.9. DRA and FAIR Results. Reading Counts points. |
| 10 | Time and funds to create lesson wtih more rigorous instruction and more opportunities for higher-level thinking skills. | Use of common core standards, along with Charlotte Danielson's Framework for Learning. | Administrators, Academic Coaches, Instruction Support TOAs, | Ratio of higher-level questions to lower-level questions will be assessed during walk-throughs and coaching provided to those with a low percentage of higher-level questions. | Walk-throughs, PLC meetings, team planning, and coaching sessions |
| 11 | Scheduling time with in the school day for tier 2 and tier 3 reading interventions. | Classroom teachers will provide interventions for at least 30 minutes daily. | Classroom Teachers, Academic Coaches, Administration. | Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments, and PLC collaboration with teachers. | Assessments,formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in Students scoring at or above Level 7 on FAA in reading will reading. increase by 2%. Reading Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 60% (3) 62% (2) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Implement NGSSS and Academic Coaches, Reports generated by District Not all instruction has General Education | Pinnacle Grading System been consistantly aligned CCS crosswalk and Assessments, between NGSSS and Teachers, ESE FCAT, FAA and standards references CCS grading in all core Team, FAIR Data content areas. Administration There is a need for more PLC meetings with Academic Coaches PLC meeting notes, team District collaboration time academic coaches, team Administrator planning notes, assessments and ESE Team FCAT results amongst teachers of planning, professional 2 students with cognitive development, LLC General Education disabilities and the Teachers meetings. general education teachers. Difficulty of finding highfollow-up coaching administration PLC meeting notes, team walk through, planning notes coaching quality lessons for provided by program ESE Team coaching sessions students with cognitives specialists Gerneral Education session notes 3 disabilities that also Teacher Academic Coaches address varying complexitiy levels

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a: | | | Students making Learning Gains in reading will increase by 5%. | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 201 | 2 Current Level of Perfor | rmance: | | 2013 Expec | ted Level of Performand | ce: |
| 59% | | | | 64% | | |
| | F | Problem-Solving Proces | s to I | ncrease Stu | dent Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS and CCS. | Implement NGSSS and CCS crosswalk and standards references grading in all core content areas. | Educa Teach Team | nes, General ation ners, ESE | Reports generated by Pinnacle Grading System | District Assessments FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data |
| ! | 3.1. Obtaining adequate SAI funds for tutoring and small group interventions resources. | 3.1. Implement tutoring and small group interventions for students with identified reading deficiencies. | Teach | al Area ner vention) | 3.1. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment and teacher observation by principal. | 3.1. District Assessments,unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data |
| 3 | 3.2. Scheduling time within the school day for Tier 2 and Tier 3 reading interventions. | 3.2. Select classroom teachers will works with identified students, based on reading deficiencies, during a suspended curriculum | Teach | al Area ner vention) | 3.2. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment data, and PLC collaboration with classroom teachers. | 3.2. District Assessments formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3.3.

Academic

Coaches,

Classroom

Teachers,

Gradebook

Manager

Academic Coaches, ESE

Intervention

Special Area

Administrators

Teacher,

Classroom

Teachers,

Academic

Coaches,

Administration.

Team,

3.3.

teachers.

each year.

Ongoing monitoring of

formative assessment

collaborations with

analyzed three times

FCAT Explorer and

Assessments will be monitored monthly to note student improvements.

Ongoing monitoring of

and PLC collaboration

with teachers.

formative assessments,

District Interim

implementation and PLC

3.3.

FAIR assessments will be FAIR assessments

District

Assessments, formative

assessments, unit

tests, FCAT results and FAIR data

FCAT Explorer

District Interim

Assessments, formative

assessments, unit

and FAIR data

tests, FCAT results

Assessments

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.

situation to provide prescriptive

intervals.

reports

Students with large gaps Intensive assistance in

3.3.

3.3.

5

Time to dissagregate

data on a consistent

basis to determine

instructional group

in reading achievement.

Scheduling time with in

the school day for tier 2

and tier 3 reading

interventions.

appropriate

placement.

differentiated

interventions two days per/week, in four week

Provide school based

Gradebook and Insight

Reading will be provided

by Intensive Reading

teachers, assisted by

the evaluation and

administrative team.

Classroom teachers will

provide interventions for

at least 30 minutes

daily.

monitoring of the

training on Pinnacle

N/A

| Readi | ing Goal #3b: | | | | |
|-------|--|--|---|--|--|
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | Level of Performance: | |
| N/A | | | N/A | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS and CCS. | Implement NGSSS and CCS crosswalk and standards references grading in all core content areas. | | Reports grnerated by Pinnacle Grading System | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data |
| 2 | There is a need for more collaboration time amongst teachers of students with cognitive disabilities and the general education teachers. | PLC meetings with academic coaches, team planning, professional development, LLC meetings. | | PLC meeting notes, team planning notes | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data |
| 3 | Difficulty of finding high- quality lessons for students with cognitives disabilities that also address varying complexitiy levels. | Follow-up coaching provided by program specialists | Administration ESE Team Gerneral Education Teacher Academic Coaches | PLC meeting notes, team planning notes, coaching session notes | walk through, coaching sessions |

| | d on the analysis of stude approvement for the following | | d refer | ence to "Guid | ling Questions", identify a | nd define areas in need |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4: | |) | Students in the lowest 25% making learning gains in readin will increase by 6%. | | arning gains in reading | |
| 201 | 2 Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | | 2013 Expec | ted Level of Performand | ce: |
| 48% | | | | 54% | | |
| | I | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to I | ncrease Stud | dent Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Res | Person or Position ponsible for onitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| _ | Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS | Implement NGSSS and CCS crosswalk and standards references | Acade Coach Educa | nes, General | Reports generated by Pinnacle Grading System | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data |

Teachers, ESE Team,

Administration

Classroom

Classroom

teachers

teachers

4.1.

4.2.

District

District and state

assessments, and

software program.

Assessments, formative

Ongoing monitoring of

student progess within

Ongoing monitoring of

formative assessment

software program.

4.1.

4.2.

grading in all core

Independent use of Raz-

reading program by

Use of leveled reading

material as provided by

primary students.

content areas.

day to allow students to Kids computer software

and CCS.

Time within the school

independently and on a

Time to dissagregate

data on a consistent

use computers

consistent basis.

4.1.

| 3 | basis to determine appropriate differentiated instructional group placement. | the district adopted MacMillan reading textbook. | | implementation and PLC collaborations with teachers. | assessments, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| 4 | 4.3. Scheduling time within the school day for Tier 2 and Tier 3 reading interventions. | 4.3. Select intervention teachers will work with identified students, based on reading deficiencies, during a suspended curriculum situation to provide prescriptive interventions two days per/week, in four week intervals. | 4.3. Special Area Teacher (intervention) | 4.3. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment data, and PLC collaboration with classroom teachers. | 4.3. District Assessments,formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data |
| 5 | 4.4 Allocating time within the instructional day for full use of thinking map materials. | 4.4. Teachers use thinking maps to teach reading curriculum and build organizational skills. | 4.4. Classroom teacher | 4.4. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment and teacher observation by principal. | 4.4. District Assessments and FCAT result |
| 6 | 4.6. Additional time and resources needed to provide intervention services. | 4.6. Use of additional vocabulary building lessons. | 4.6. ESE teachers, classroom teachers. | 4.6. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment implementation and PLC collaborations with teachers. | 4.6. District Assessments, formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data |

| Based on Amb | itious but Achi | evable Annual | Measurable Objectiv | es (AMOs), AMO-2, | Reading and Math Pe | erformance Target |
|----------------------------|---|---------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Measurable Ob | Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year chool will reduce their achievement gap | | | | | _ |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| | 72 | 74 | 77 | 79 | 82 | |

| | d on the analysis of stude | | d refer | ence to "Guid | ling Questions", identify a | nd define areas in need |
|---|---|------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|
| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5B: | | | In 2012-13, in reading. | no fewer than 38% will sc | ore at level 3 or higher | |
| 2012 | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expec | ted Level of Performand | ce: |
| Black | anic- 32% (- 48% e- 33% | | | Hispanic- 31% Black- 59% White- 23% | | |
| | ļ | Problem-Solving Proces | s to I | ncrease Stud | dent Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier Strategy Re | | | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | aligned between NGSSS standards references grading in all core content areas. | | nes, General ation ners, ESE | Reports generated by Pinnacle Grading System | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data | |

| 2 | 5a.1. Obtaining adequate SAI for tutoring and small group interventions resources. | 5a.1. Implement tutoring and small group interventions for students with identified reading deficiencies. | 5a.1. Select classroom teachers from grades K-5 | 5a.1. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment and teacher observation by principal. | 5a.1. District Assessments,unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| 3 | 5a.2. Allocating time within the instructional day for full use of thinking map materials. | 5a.2. Teachers use thinking maps to teaching reading curriculum and build organizational skills. | 5a.2. Classroom teacher | 5a.2. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment and teacher observation by principal. | 5a.2. District Assessments and FCAT result |
| 4 | 5a.3. Time to dissagregate data on a consistent basis to determine appropriate differentiated instructional group placement. | 5a.3. Use of leveled reading material as provided by the district adopted MacMillan reading textbook. | 5a.3. Classroom teachers | 5a.3. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment implementation and PLC collaborations with teachers. | 5a.3. District Assessments,formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data |
| 5 | 5a.4. Additional time and resources needed to provide intervention services. | 5a.4. Use additional vocabulary building lessons. | 5a.4. ESE teachers,ESOL teacher, and classroom teachers. | 5a.4. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment implementation and PLC collaborations with teachers. | 5a.4. District Assessments,formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. In 2012-13, no fewer than 27% of our ELL students will score at level 3 or higher in reading. Reading Goal #5C: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 53% 49% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Not all instruction has Implement NGSSS and Academic Coaches, Reports generated by District been consistantly aligned CCS crosswalk and General Education Pinnacle Grading System Assessments, between NGSSS and standards references Teachers, ESE FCAT, FAA and CCS. grading in all core Team, FAIR Data content areas. Administration 5b.1. 5b.1. 5b.1 5b.1. Select intervention Ongoing monitoring of Obtaining adequate SAI Implement tutoring and District funds for tutoring and small group interventions teacher formative assessment Assessments, unit small group interventions for students with and teacher observation tests, FCAT results by principal resources identified reading and FAIR data deficiencies. 5b.2 5b.2 5b.2 5b.2. 5b.2 ELL teacher will work ELL teacher and District and state One ELL teacher for 60 ongoing monitoring of students in grades K-5 with ELL students using school both formative and assessments, unit adopted reading texts for administrators summative assessments tests, FCAT results extended daily periods in by both classroom and FAIR data small groups. teacher and ELL teacher 5b.3. 5b.3. 5b.3 5b.3. 5b.3. Allocating time within the Teachers use thinking Classroom teacher Ongoing monitoring of District Assessments and instructional day for full maps to teaching reading formative assessment use of thinking map FCAT results curriculum and build and teacher observation materials. organizational skills. by principal 5b.4 5b.4 5b.4. 5b.4 5b.4. Scheduling time within Intervention teachers will Intervention District Ongoing monitoring of the school day for Tier 2 work with identified teacher. formative assessment Assessments,

| 5 | interventions. | students, based on reading deficiencies, during a suspended curriculum situation to provide prescriptive interventions two days per/week, in four week intervals. | with classroom teachers. | formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data |
|---|---|---|--------------------------|--|
| 6 | Lack of materials at home to complete assignments (or comprehension of instructions) | | homework completion | Analyzing standards via Gradebook |

| | instructions) | | | | | | |
|------|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | ed on the analysis of stude approvement for the following | | d reference t | o "Guid | ling Questions", identify a | nd define areas in need | |
| sati | 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D: | | | In 2012-13, no fewer than 27% of our SWD students will score at level 3 or higher in reading. | | | |
| 201 | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | Expec | ted Level of Performand | ce: | |
| 70% | | | 66% | | | | |
| | F | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to Increa: | se Stud | dent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person Position Responsib Monitor | n le for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS and CCS. | Implement NGSSS and CCS crosswalk and standards references grading in all core content areas. | Academic Coaches, Go Education Teachers, E Team, Administrati | SE | Reports generated by Pinnacle Grading System | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data | |
| 2 | 5c.1. Obtaining adequate SAI funds for tutoring and small group interventions resources | 5c.1. Implement tutoring and small group interventions for students with identified reading deficiencies. | 5c.1. Intervention teachers | 1 | 5c.1. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment and teacher observation by principal | 5c.1. District Assessments, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data | |
| 3 | 5C.2. The majority of our Students with Disabilities are below grade level. | 5C.2. Ensure that all teachers receive professional development related to effective instructional strategies in reading for SWDs. | 5C.2. Administrato | or | 5C.2. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments and teacher observation by Principal | 5C.2. District Assessments and FCAT results | |
| 4 | 5c.3. Allocating time within the instructional day for full use of thinking map materials. | 5c.3. Teachers use thinking maps to teach reading curriculum and build organizational skills. | 5c.3. Classroom t | eacher | 5c.3. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment and teacher observation by principal | 5c.3. District Assessments and FCAT results | |
| 5 | 5c.4. Time to dissagregate data on a consistent basis to determine appropriate differentiated instructional group placement. | 5c.4. Use of leveled reading material as provided by the district adopted MacMillan reading textbook. | 5c. 4. Classroom teachers | | 5c.4. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment implementation and PLC collaborations with teachers. | 5c.4. District Assessments,formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data | |
| | 5c.5. Additional time and | 5c.5. Use of additional | 5c.5. ESE teacher | rs, | 5c.5. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment | 5c.5. District Assessments formative | |

classroom

teachers.

formative assessment

collaborations with

teachers.

implementation and PLC

Assessments, formative

assessments, unit

and FAIR data

tests, FCAT results

vocabulary building

lessons.

resources needed to

provide intervention

services.

6

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. No fewer than 34% of Economically Disadvantaged students will score a level three or higher on the FCAT. Reading Goal #5E: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 35% 34% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Implement NGSSS and Not all instruction has Academic Reports generated by District Assessments, been consistantly CCS crosswalk and Coaches, General Pinnacle Grading System FCAT, FAA and FAIR aligned between NGSSS standards references Education Data and CCS. grading in all core Teachers, ESE content areas. Team, Administration 5d.1. 5d.1. 5d.1. 5d.1. 5d.1. Obtaining adequate SAI Implement tutoring and Intervention Ongoing monitoring of District funds for tutoring and small group interventions teachers formative assessment Assessments, unit small group interventions for students with and teacher observation tests, FCAT results identified reading and FAIR data resources by administration. deficiencies 5d.2. 5d.2. 5d.2. 5d.2. 5d.2. Challenges of working Primary and Intermediate Primary and Ongoing monitoring of District Assessments with students who come teachers will hold a Intermediate formative assessment and FCAT results from low SES curriculum breakfast for classroom and teacher observation backgrounds. parents at each grade teachers and by administration. 3 level in order to Administration empower parents to assist with the curriculum at home through homework. 5d.3 5d.3. 5d.3. 5d.3 5d.3 Allocating time within Ongoing monitoring of District Assessments Teachers use thinking Classroom teacher the instructional day for maps to teach reading formative assessment and FCAT results full use of thinking map curriculum and build and teacher observation materials. organizational skills. by administration.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

5d.4

Intervention

teachers

5d.4.

Ongoing monitoring of

formative assessment

data, and collaboration

with classroom teachers.

5d.4.

District

Assessments,formative

assessments, unit

and FAIR data.

tests, FCAT results

5d.4.

Intervention teachers

students, based on

reading deficiencies,

during a suspended

week intervals.

curriculum situation to provide prescriptive interventions four days per/week, in four - eight

will work with identified

5d.4.

5

Scheduling time within

and Tier 3 reading

interventions.

the school day for Tier 2

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| PLC teams on Formative Assessments and Standards Based Grading | Kindergarten through fifth grade | School Principal and Leadership Team | ISCHOOL WILD | weekly PLC data meetings | Weekly grade level collaborative planning meetings, weekly PLC data meetings | |
| 01 a Diliberate | Kindergarten through fifth grade | School Administration | School Wide | meeting during an | Weekly grade level collaborative planning meetings, weekly PLC | School Administration |

Reading Budget:

| | | | Available |
|--|--|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| In school tutoring and intervention sessions four times per week | Intervention special area teacher will conduct intervention sessions with identified students four times per week during school. | School level funds and Title 1 | \$13,000.00 |
| | | Subtot | al: \$13,000.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading software application used by students at their instructional level | Raz Kidz Interactive Software | Internal Budget String Line | \$1,438.00 |
| | | Subto | otal: \$1,438.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No data | No data | No data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Extended planning time for PLC collabration. | Use extended planning time to review student data and develop plans and formative assessments to increase reading achievement. | Volusia Proficiency Model Grant | \$2,000.00 |
| | | Subto | otal: \$2,000.00 |
| | | Grand Tot | al: \$16,438.00 |

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

CELLA Goal #1:

The percentage of students scoring proficient in Listening/Speaking on CELLA will increase by 2%.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | Providing comprehensible instruction to English Language Learners | Data on ELL students language proficiency and achievement levels should be used for differentiated instruction. | Administrator Academic Coach | Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments and teacher observations by administration. | CELLA, IPT, FCAT, District Assessments |
| 2 | 5 | | Administrator Academic Coach | Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments and teacher observations by administration. | CELLA, IPT, FCAT, District Assessments |
| 3 | Providing comprehensible instruction to English Language Learners | Ensure that teachers receive professional development related to effective instructional practices for teaching ELLs. | Administrator Academic Coach | Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments and teacher observations by administration. | CELLA, IPT, FCAT, District Assessments |
| 4 | Students are too shy to speak during class instruction. | ESOL Tutoring, small group, and 1 on 1 conversation | ELL teacher | Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments and teacher observations by administration. | CELLA,IPT, FCAT, District Assessments |

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

CELLA Goal #2:

The percentage of students scoring proficient in Reading on CELLA will increase by 2%.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

49% (32)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | Providing comprehensible instruction to English Language Learners | Data on ELL students language proficiency and achievement levels should be used for differentiated instruction | Administrator Academic Coach | Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments and teacher observations by principal | CELLA, IPT, FCAT, District Assessments |
| 2 | Providing comprehensible instruction to English Language Learners | Ensure that teachers use English Language Proficiency Standards for English Language Learners | Administrator Academic Coach | Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments and teacher observations by principal | CELLA, IPT, FCAT, District Assessments |
| 3 | Providing Ensure that teachers A | | Administrator Academic Coach | Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments and teacher observations by principal | CELLA, IPT, FCAT, District Assessments |

| Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 3. St | udents scoring proficie | nt in writing. | The percented | o of atudanta cooring pro | oficient in Writing | | | |
| CELL | A Goal #3: | | | e of students scoring pronce of students scoring pronce of students are students. | dicient in writing | | | |
| 2012 | Current Percent of Stu | idents Proficient in writ | ting: | | | | | |
| 65% (42) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 1 | Providing comprehensible instruction to English Language Learners | Data on ELL students language proficiency and achievement levels should be used for differentiated instruction | Administrator Academic Coach | Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments and teacher observations by principal | CELLA, IPT, FCAT, District Assessments | | | |
| 2 | Providing comprehensible instruction to English Language Learners | Ensure that teachers use English Language Proficiency Standards for English Language Learners | Administrator Academic Coach | Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments and teacher observations by principal | CELLA, IPT, FCAT, District Assessments | | | |
| Providing Ensure th receive pr instruction to English Language Learners effective in practices | | Ensure that teachers receive professional development related to effective instructional practices for teaching ELLs. | Administrator Academic Coach | Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments and teacher observations by principal | CELLA, IPT, FCAT, District Assessments | | | |

CELLA Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Students achieving proficiency (FCAT Level 3) in Mathematics will increase by 3%. Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 35.81% (106) 38.81% (104) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Not all instruction has Implement NGSSS and Academic Coaches, Reports generated by District been consistantly aligned CCS crosswalk and General Education Pinnacle Grading System Assessments, between NGSSS and standards references Teachers, ESE FCAT, FAA and CCS grading in all core Team. FAIR Data content areas. Administration 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. Create and act out Classroom teachers Formative and summative Classroom none number word problems formative and assessments using manipulatives. administered and summative reviewed. assessments 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. Input of student names Implementation of Classroom teachers Teachers can monitor Data printouts with and passwords by Pearson's online math and track student student software component progress on this webassessment classroom teachers. 3 (Success Tracker) for information based software program. use with individual provided by the students at their software instructional levels. packages. 1.3. 1.3. 1.3. 1.3. Training and materials. Use of Stuart Murphy Classroom teachers Classroom teachers will Classroom Interactive Math Stories monitor progress through formative and the use of formative summative assessments. assessments. 1.4. 1.4. Diverse range of student Differentiated classroom Classroom teachers will Classroom, District Classroom teacher experiences and instruction through the monitor progress through and State backgrounds. consistent and the use of formative Summative systematic use of math assessments. assessments manipulatives and math power stations. 1.5. 1.5. 1.5. 1.5. Training Kindergarten and Kindergarten and 1st Kindergarten and 1st Classroom grade classrooms will 1st grade grade Classroom teache formative and 6 teach Touch Math classroom teacher will monitor progress summative strategies to students for through the use of assessments. solving simple formative assessments. computations. 1.6. 1.6. Purchase of materials Intermediate grade level Classroom teacher Classroom teachers will Classroom teachers will use Daily monitor progress through formative and Math Review and the use of formative summative Mountain Math to review assessments. assessments. and instruct students on the required math curriculum.

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 8 | 1.7. Time for consistent collaboration amongst grade level teachers on a weekly basis. | 1.7. Use of common formative assessments to drive classroom instruction as part of the schools plan to align curriculum with formative assessments and standards based grading. | administrators and | 1.7. PLC groups meet weekly and bi weekly with school adminstrators to plan, discuss, and disaggregate data as part of the common formative assessment and standards based grading process. | 1.7. Classroom, District and State Summative assessments. |
|----|--|--|--|---|---|
| 9 | 1.8. Materials and Training | 1.8. Application of Versitiles to applicable mathematics instruction | 1.8. Classroom teachers | 1.8. Classroom teachers will monitor progress through the use of formative assessments. | 1.8. Classroom formative and summative assessments. |
| 10 | 1.9. Materials and time within the existing school day. | 1.9. Consistent use of interactive student notebooks in intermediate grade level classrooms by students. | | 1.9. Intermediate classroom teachers will monitor progress through the use of formative assessments. | 1.9. Classroom formative and summative assessments. |
| 11 | 1.12. Obtaining funds for materials, obtaining parental involvement, professional development. | 1.12. Each member of the Science and Robotics Club will design a science project board and build a robot using a computer program which incorporates mathematics and engineering. | | 1.12. Ongoing formative assessment, self monitoring and obsevation by the club sponsor. | 1.12. District assessments and FCAT results. |
| 12 | 1.10. Getting parents to be willing to attend school activities. | 1.10. Each grade level PLC develops and implements a curriculum breakfast designed to provide parents with relevent curriculum information and ways to assist with their child's learning goals. | 1.10. Grade Level PLC and school administration | 1.10. Solicit parent feedback. | 1.10. Surveys and anecdotal records. |
| 13 | 1.11. Obtaining funds for professional development and resources. | 1.11. Use of Mobi, CPS clickers, and IPADS as part of formative assessments with standards based grading. | 1.11. Classroom teachers. | 1.11. Ongoing monitoring of formitive assessment implementations and PLC collaboration with teachers. | 1.11. District assessments, formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results, and FAIR data. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Students acheiving proficient on the FAA will remain consistant. Mathematics Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 100% (5) 100% (5) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Effectiveness of Responsible for Monitoring Strategy Not all instruction has Implement NGSSS and Academic Coaches, Reports generated by District

been consistantly aligned CCS crosswalk and

General Education Pinnacle Grading System Assessments,

| 1 | between NGSSS and | standards references | Teachers, ESE | FCAT, FAA and |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------|
| | CCS. | grading in all core | Team, | FAIR Data |
| | | content areas. | Administration | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | Students achieving above proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 and 5) in mathematics will increase by 2%. |
|------------------------------------|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 24.32% (72) | 26.32% (71) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier Strategy | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | been consistantly aligned CCS crosswalk and between NGSSS and cCS. grading in all core | | | Reports generated by Pinnacle Grading System | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data |
| 2 | 2.1. Time to plan enrichment activities within an existing school day. 2.1. Create enrichment adepth of knowledge activities and lessor advanced students the Step It up section the adopted Pearso Publisher's math textbook. | | 2.1. Classroom teacher | 2.1. Provide formative feedback on lessons that extend the curriculum. | 2.1. Classroom formative and summative assessments. |
| 3 | Obtaining funds for Each member of the S | | | 2.5. Ongoing formative assessment, self monitoring and observation by the club sponsor. | 2.5. District assessments and FCAT results. |
| 4 | 2.2. Funds to purchase site Use Brain Pop Software | | 2.2. Classroom teachers. | 2.2 Teachers monitoring student progress. | 2.2. Formative and anecdotal |
| 5 | 2.4. | | 2.4. Classroom teachers. | 2.4. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment implementation and PLC collaboration with teachers. | 2.4. District assessments, formative assessment, unit tests, FCAT results and FAIR data. |
| 6 | 2.3. Getting parents to be willing to attend school activities. | 2.3. Each grade level PLC develops and implements a curriculum breakfast designed to provide parents with relevent curriculum information and ways to assist with their child's learning goals. | 2.3. Grade level PLC and school administration. | 2.3. Solicit parent feedback. | Surveys and anecdotial records. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. N/A Mathematics Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Determine Position Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Effectiveness of Responsible for Strategy Monitoring Not all instruction has Implement NGSSS and Academic Coaches, Reports generated by District been consistantly aligned CCS crosswalk and General Education Pinnacle Grading System Assessments, Teachers, ESE FCAT, FAA and between NGSSS and standards references CCS. grading in all core Team, FAIR Data Administration content areas. Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics

| | nematics Goal #3a: | | | The percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics will increase by 4%. | | |
|------|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| 59% | | | 63% | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS and CCS. | Implement NGSSS and CCS crosswalk and standards references grading in all core content areas. | 1 | Reports generated by Pinnacle Grading System | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data | |
| 2 | 3.1. Finding time within the school day for tutoring and intervention groups. | 3.1. 3.1. Inding time within the chool day for tutoring teachers provide | | 3.1. Ongoing progress monitoring of skills and concepts for which students were tutored or receive intervention lessons. | 3.1. Classroom formative and summative assessments as well as district assessments. | |
| 3 | 3.2 Providing training and materials for K-2 | 3.2 Attempt to expand the use and implementation | 3.2 Classroom teachers and school | 3.2 Formative and summative classroom assessments. | 3.2. Class formative and summative | |

administration.

assessments.

of Touch Math by K-2

teachers.

teachers

| Perce | lorida Alternate Assessnentage of students makir ematics. ematics Goal #3b: | | N/A | | | |
|-------|---|---|-----------|--|--|--|
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | |
| N/A | | | | N/A | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to I | ncrease Studer | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | R | Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS and CCS. | consistantly aligned CCS crosswalk and Ge | | | Reports grnerated by Pinnacle Grading System | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data |
| | I on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | efer | rence to "Guiding | Questions", identify and o | define areas in need |
| maki | AT 2.0: Percentage of stong learning gains in matematics Goal #4: | | | | of students in the lowest n mathematics will increase | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform | nance: | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 52% | | | | 56% | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to I | ncrease Studer | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | R | Person or Position Pesponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS and CCS. | Implement NGSSS and CCS crosswalk and standards references grading in all core content areas. | Ge Tea | ademic Coaches, neral Education achers, ESE am, ministration | Reports generated by Pinnacle Grading System | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data |
| | | | | | | |

4.1. 4.1. 4.1. 4.1. 4.1. Finding time within the Have Intervention Intervention Ongoing progress Classroom school day for tutoring teachers tutor and teachers. monitoring of skills and formative and and intervention groups. provide intervention concepts for which summative sessions for four 45 students were tutored or assessments as minute session per week receive intervention well as district during school hours. lessons. assessments. 4.2. 4.2. 4.2. 4.2. Providing training and Attempt to expand the Classroom teachers Formative and summative Class formative materials for K-2 3 use and implementation and school classroom assessments. and summative teachers of Touch Math by K-2 administration. assessments. teachers.

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

| | will red | ojectives (AMOs uce their achie | | | | | | yel 3 or higher : Igher rate to 80 | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---|----------------------------|---|---|
| | ne data)-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-201 | 4 | 2014-201 | 5 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| | | 62 | 66 | 69 | | 73 | | 76 | |
| | | analysis of stud | | | efere | ence to "Guiding | J Questi | ons", identify and o | define areas in nee |
| BB. St Hispa Satisf | tudent s nic, Asia actory p | subgroups by ean, American I progress in ma Goal #5B: | ethnicity (What not not not not not not not not not no | nite, Black, | | The number of mathematics wi | | s not making satisf ase by 2% | actory progress in |
| 2012 | Current | Level of Perfo | ormance: | | | 2013 Expected | d Level | of Performance: | |
| Black- | - 36% 58% nic- 42% | | | | | White- 33% Black- 67% Hispanic- 45% | | | |
| | | | Problem-So | Iving Process | to I r | ncrease Studer | nt Achie | evement | |
| | Antic | ipated Barrier | St | rategy | Re | Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring | | ocess Used to Determine fectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | been co | nstruction has nsistantly align n NGSSS and | ed CCS cross | references all core | Ger Tea Tea | neral Education chers, ESE | | s generated by le Grading System | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data |
| | school d | time during the lay for tutoring rvention group: | teachers p s. remediatio four 45 mi | | | ervention chers. | monito concep studen | ng progress ring of skills and ots for which ots were tutored or e intervention s. | 5.1. Classroom formative and summative assessments as well as district assessments. |
| } | , | g training and s for K-2 s | use and in | expand the applementation Math by K-2 | and | | 1 | tive and summative oom assessments. | 5.2. Class formative and summative assessments. |
| | | analysis of stud | | | efere | ence to "Guiding | J Questi | ons", identify and o | define areas in nee |
| atisf | actory p | anguage Learr progress in ma Goal #5C: | | ot making | | The number of mathematics wi | | s not making satisf ase by 2% | actory progress in |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | | |
| 7% | | | | | | 57% | | | |
| | | | Problem-So | Iving Process | to I r | ncrease Studer | nt Achie | evement | |
| | Antic | ipated Barrier | St | rategy | Re | Person or Position esponsible for | | ocess Used to Determine fectiveness of | Evaluation Tool |

| | | | Monitoring | Strategy | |
|---|---|---|------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS and CCS. | | | Pinnacle Grading System | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data |
| 2 | 5b.1. Finding time during the school day for tutoring and intervention groups. | 5b.1. Have Intervention teachers tutor and provide remediation sessions for four 45 minute sessions per week during school hours. | Intervention teachers. | Ongoing progress monitoring of skills and concepts for which students were tutored or receive intervention | 5b.1. Classroom formative and summative assessments as well as district assessments. |
| 3 | 5b.2. Providing training and materials for K-2 teachers | use and implementation | Classroom teachers | Formative and summative classroom assessments. | 5b.2. Class formative and summative assessments. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. The number of students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics will decrease by 2% Mathematics Goal #5D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 74% 72% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of Responsible for Monitoring Strategy Not all instruction has Academic Coaches, Reports generated by Implement NGSSS and District been consistantly aligned CCS crosswalk and General Education Pinnacle Grading System Assessments, FCAT, FAA and between NGSSS and standards references Teachers, ESE CCS. grading in all core Team, FAIR Data content areas. Administration 5c.1. 5c.1. 5c.1. 5c.1. 5c.1. Finding time during the Intervention Ongoing progress Classroom Have Intervention school day for tutoring monitoring of skills and formative and teachers tutor and teachers. and intervention groups. provide intervention concepts for which summative students were tutored or sessions for four 45 assessments as minute sessions per week receive intervention well as district during school hours. lessons. assessments. 5c.2. 5c.2. 5c.2. 5c.2. 5c.2. Providing training and Attempt to expand the Classroom teachers Formative and summative Class formative materials for K-2 use and implementation and school and summative 3 classroom assessments. teachers of Touch Math by K-2 administration. assessments. teachers.

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5E: | No fewer than 44% of Economically Disadvantaged students will score a level 3 or higher on the FCAT. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| | | | | |

| 40% | | | 44% | | | | |
|-----|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS and CCS. | Implement NGSSS and CCS crosswalk and standards references grading in all core content areas. | | Reports generated by Pinnacle Grading System | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data | | |
| 2 | 5d.1. Finding time during the school day for tutoring and intervention groups. | 5d.1. Have Intervention teachers tutor and provide remediation sessions for four 45 minute sessions per week during school hours. | 5d.1. Intervention teachers. | 5d.1. Ongoing progress monitoring of skills and concepts for which students were tutored or receive intervention lessons. | 5d.1. Classroom formative and summative assessments as well as district assessments. | | |
| 3 | 5d.2. Providing training and materials for K-2 teachers | 5d.2. Attempt to expand the use and implementation of Touch Math by K-2 teachers. | Classroom teachers | 5d.2. Formative and summative classroom assessments. | 5d.2. Class formative and summative assessments. | | |

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| Standards Referenced Grading | K-5 | Academic coaches | K-5 General Education teachers, ESE Team, ESOL Team, Administration | Preplanning, Early Release Wednesdays, Weekly PLC Meeting | | Administration, grade book manager, academic coaches |
| Common Core Instruction | K-5 | Academic Coaches | K-5 General Education teachers, ESE Team, ESOL Team, Administration | Preplanning, Early Release Wednesdays, Weekly PLC Meeting | | Administration, grade book manager, academic coaches |

Mathematics Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma | aterial(s) | | |
|---|---|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Use of Brain Pop software for student enrichment in mathematics | Brain Pop Software site license for schoolwide usage. | Internal School Funds | \$795.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$795.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No data | No data | No data | \$0.00 |
| | | | |

| | | Sub | total: \$0.00 |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Extended planning time for PLC collaboration. | Use extended planning time to review student data and develop plans and formative assessments to increase reading achievement. | Volusia Proficiency Model Grant | \$2,000.00 |
| Math Attack Program | Incentives for students who solve the Math Attack Math Problems | Incentive Funds Internal Accounts | \$300.00 |
| | | Subtotal | : \$2,300.00 |
| | | Grand Total | : \$3,095.00 |

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of studes in need of improvemen | | | Guiding Questions", ide | ntify and define |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a: | | | | ge of students achieving) in science will increase | |
| 201 | 2 Current Level of Perf | ormance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performan | ce: |
| 37.2 | 5% (38) | | 39.25% (35) | | |
| | Prob | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS and CCS. | Implement NGSSS and CCS crosswalk and standards references grading in all core content areas. | Academic Coaches, General Education Teachers, ESE Team, Administration | Reports generated by Pinnacle Grading System | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data |
| 2 | 1.1. Training and pedogogical understanding of benefits by teachers. | 1.1. Intermediate grade teachers will investigate and practice using interactive science notebooks with students in order to build deeper concept mastery. | 1.1. Intermediate classroom teachers | 1.1 PLC teacher collaboration, team planning and data disaggregation. | 1.1. Classroom formative and summative assessments as well as district assessments. |
| 3 | 1.2. Time, training and pedogogical understanding of benefits by teachers. | 1.2. Teachers will plan and implement "hands on" inquiry based science lessons a minimum of once every two weeks. | 1.2. Classroom teachers and school administrators. | 1.2. PLC teacher collaboration, team planning and data disaggregation. | 1.2. Classroom formative and summative assessments as well as district assessments. |
| 4 | 1.3 Time, training and pedogogical understanding of benefits by teachers. | 1.3. Each class will develop and present a class modeled science experiment during the school's science fair. | 1.3. Classroom teachers and school administrators | 1.3. PLC teacher collaboration, team planning and data disaggregation. | 1.3. Classroom formative and summative assessments as well as district |

| | | | | | assessments. |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| 5 | 1.4. Teachers volunteering time for an evening event and parent participation. | 1.4. School Science Night where students work on a variety of curriculum related science activity stations to broaden their depth of knowledge. | 1.4. Classroom teachers and school adminstration. | 1.4. Anecdotal monitoring of stations and student feedback. | 1.4. Anecdotal and student surveys. |
| 6 | 1.5. Funds for professional development resources | 1.5. Utilize funds to implement systems for formative assessment and standards based grading. | 1.5. School principal and literacy leadership team. | 1.5. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment implementation and PLC collaborations with teachers. | 1.5. Teachers implementing effective strategies in their classroom. |
| 7 | 1.6. Getting parents to be willing to attend school activities | 1.6. Primary and Intermediate PLC develops and implements a curriculum breakfast designed to provide parents with relevent curriculum information and ways to assist with their child's learning goals. | 1.6. Primary and Intermediate PLC and school administration. | 1.6. Solicit parent feedback | 1.6. Surveys and anecdotal records. |
| 8 | 1.7. Obtaining funds for professional development and resources. | 1.7. Use of Mobi, IPADS, and CPS Clickers as part of formative assessments with standards based grading. | 1.7. Classroom teachers. | 1.7. Ongoing monitioring of formative assessment implementations and PLC collaborations with teachers. | 1.7 District assessments, formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results, and FAIR data. |
| 9 | 1.8. Obtaining funds for materials, obtaining parental involvement, professional development | 1.8. Each member of the Science and Robotics Club will design a science project board and build a robot using a computer program which incorporates mathematics and engineering. | 1.8. Science and Robotics Club Sponsor | 1.8. Ongoing formative assessment, self monitoring and observation by the club sponsor. | 1.8. District Assessments and FCAT Results. |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b: | | | Students scon | Students scoring at or Levels 4,5,and 6 on FAA in Science will increase by 2%. | | |
| 2012 | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | ed Level of Performan | ce: | |
| 50% (1) | | | 52% (1) | 52% (1) | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS and CCS. | Implement NGSSS and CCS crosswalk and standards references grading in all core | Academic Coaches, General Education Teachers, ESE | Reports generated by Pinnacle Grading System | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data | |

| i i | | | r i | |
|---------|----------------|----------------|-----|--|
| | content areas. | Team, | | |
| | | Administration | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science.

Science Goal #2a:

Science Goal #2a:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

25% /26

23%/ 23

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS and CCS. | Implement NGSSS and CCS crosswalk and standards references grading in all core content areas. | Academic Coaches, General Education Teachers, ESE Team, Administration | Reports generated by Pinnacle Grading System | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data |
| 2 | 2.1. Training and pedogogical understanding of benefits by teachers. | 2.1. Intermediate grade teachers will investigate and practice using interactive science notebooks with students in order to build deeper concept mastery. | 2.1. Classroom teachers | 2.1 PLC teacher collaboration, team planning and data disaggregation. | 2.1. Classroom formative and summative assessments as well as district assessments. |
| 3 | 2.2. Time, training and pedogogical understanding of benefits by teachers. | 2.2. Teachers will plan and implement "hands on" inquiry based science lessons a minimum of once every two weeks. | 2.2. Classroom teachers and school administrators. | 2.2. PLC teacher collaboration, team planning and data disaggregation. | 2.2. Classroom formative and summative assessments as well as district assessments. |
| 4 | 2.3. Time, training and pedogogical understanding of benefits by teachers. | 2.3. Each class will develop and present a class modeled science experiment during the school's science fair. | 2.3. Classroom teachers and school administrators | 2.3. PLC teacher collaboration, team planning and data disaggregation. | 2.3. Classroom formative and summative assessments as well as district assessments. |
| 5 | 2.4. Teachers volunteering time for an evening event and parent participation. | 2.4. School Science Night where students work on a variety of curriculum related science activity stations to broaden their depth of knowledge. | 2.4. Classroom teachers and school adminstration. | 2.4. Anecdotal monitoring of stations and student feedback. | 2.4. Anecdotal and student surveys. |
| 6 | 2.5. Funds for professional development resources | 2.5. Utilize funds to implement systems for formative assessment and standards based grading. | · | 2.5. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment implementation and PLC collaborations with teachers. | 2.5. Teachers implementing effective strategies in their classroom. |
| | 2.6. Getting parents to be willing to attend school | 2.6. Primary and Intermediate PLC | 2.6. Primary and Intermediate PLC | 2.6. Solicit parent feedback. | 2.6. Surveys and ancedotal |

| 7 | activities. | develops and implements a curriculum breakfast designed to provide parents with relevent curriculum information and ways to assist with their child's learning goals. | and school administration | | records. |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 8 | 2.7. Obtaining funds for professional development and resources. | 2.7. Use of Mobi, IPADS, and CPS Clickers as part of formative assessments as part of standards based grading. | 2.7. Classroom teachers. | | 2.7. District assessment, formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results, and FAIR data. |
| 9 | 2.8. Obtaining funds for materials, obtaining parental involvement, professional development. | 2.8. Each member of the Science and Robotics Club will design a science project board and build a robot using a computer program which incorporates mathematics and engineering. | 2.8. Science and Robtoics Club Sponsor | 2.8. Ongoing formative assessment, self monitoring and obsevation by the club sponsor. | 2.8. District Assessments and FCAT Results. |

| | d on the analysis of stud in need of improvemen | | | Guiding Questions", ide | ntify and define | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b: | | | Students scori | Students scoring at or Levels 7 or higher on FAA in Science will increase by 2%. | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perf | ormance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performan | ce: | |
| 50% | 50% (1) | | | 52% (1) | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Not all instruction has been consistantly aligned between NGSSS and CCS. | Implement NGSSS and CCS crosswalk and standards references grading in all core content areas. | Academic Coaches, General Education Teachers, ESE Team, Administration | Reports generated by Pinnacle Grading System | District Assessments, FCAT, FAA and FAIR Data | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Common Core Instruction | K-5 | Academic Coaches | Education teachers, ESE Team, ESOL Team, | Wednesdays, | | grade book manager, |
| Standards Referenced Grading | K-5 | Academic coaches | Education teachers, ESE Team, ESOL Team, | Wednesdays, | | grade book manager, |

Science Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat | erial(s) | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| As part of the STEM program each member of the Science and Robotics club will design a science project board and build a robot using a computer program which incorporates mathematics and engineering. | Purchase robot kit software and registration fee for the First Lego League Challenge. | Extended Day Budget | \$800.00 |
| As part of STEM program each member of the Rocketry Club will design and build a rocket. | To purchase materials to build rockets | Extended Day Budget | \$250.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,050.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Encourage weekly hands-on and inquiry based science experiments in K-5 classrooms. | Consumable materials purchased for class level science experiments. | District Science Office | \$395.66 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$395.6 |
| | | | Frand Total: \$1,445.6 |

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and r in need of improvement for the following group: | on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define area of improvement for the following group: | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a: | The percentage of students in 4th grade scoring a level 4 or higher will increase by 2%. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | | | Dorosis | Drooped Head to | |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | 1.1. Finding time within the school day for continuous, dedicated, writing lessons. | 1.1. Use of Kathy Robinson writing materials to supplement classroom instruction on a regular basis. | 1.1. Classroom teachers and school administrators. | 1.1. Teachers will collaborate and utilize district rubrics to assess writing samples by students. | District writing rubrics and supplemental materials from Kathy Robinson. |
| 2 | 1.2. Time and funds to train grade levels other than fourth grade teachers. | 1.2. Use of Melissa Forney writing materials to supplement classroom instruction on a regular basis. | 1.2. Classroom teachers and school administrators. | 1.2. Teachers will collaborate and utilize district rubrics to assess writing samples by students. | 1.2. District writing rubrics and supplemental materials from Melissa Forney. |
| 3 | 1.3. Funds for professional development resources. | 1.3. Utilize funds to implement systems for formative assessment and standards based grading. | 1.3. School principal and literacy leadership team. | 1.3. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment implementation and PLC collaborations with teachers. | 1.3. Teachers implementing effective strategies in their classroom. |
| 4 | 1.4. Getting parents to be willing to attend school activities. | 1.4. Each grade level PLC develops and implements a curriculum breakfast designed to provide parents with relevent curriculum information and ways to assist with their child's learning goals. | | 1.4. Solicit parent feedback. | 1.4. Surveys and anecdotal records. |
| 5 | 1.5. Obtaining funds for professional development and resources. | 1.5. Use of Mobi, IPADS, and CPS clickers as part of formative assessments with standards based grading. | 1.5. Classroom teachers. | 1.5. Ongoing monitoring of formative assessment implementations and PLC collaborations with teachers. | 1.5. District assessment, formative assessments, unit tests, FCAT results, and FAIR data. |
| 6 | 1.6. Finding time within the school day. | 1.6. Use of Writing Fix computer program to supplement classroom instruction on a regular basis. | 1.6. Select Classroom Teachers. | 1.6. Teachers will collaborate and utilize district rubrics to assess samples by the students. | 1.6. District writing assessments and FCAT results. |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b: | The percentage of students in 4th grade scoring a level 4 or higher will increase by 2%. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 100% (1) | 100% (1) | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|--|---|------------------------------|
| 1 | Not all instruction has been consistently aligned to the NGSSS access points | Implement Access courses in all core academic areas, as well as Standards- Referenced Grading | Administration ESE Team | Check usage and implementation, as well as student progress data using Unique Reports Administrative observation tools | Unique Reports FAA Scores |
| 2 | Difficulty of finding high-quality lessons for students with cognitive disabilities that also address varying complexity levels | District training for teachers on the implementation of Unique Learning System for Access courses Follow-up coaching provided by program specialists | Administration ESE Team | Check usage and implementation, as well as student progress data using Unique Reports Administrative observation tools | Unique Reports FAA Scores |
| 3 | There is a need for more collaboration time amongst teachers of students with cognitive disabilities | District's monthly | Administration ESE Team | District follow-up survey Check student progress data using Unique Reports | Unique Reports Survey |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| Standards Referenced Grading | K-5 | Academic coaches | K-5 General Education teachers, ESE Team, ESOL Team, Administration | Wednesdays, | Reports Generated from Pinnacle | Administration, grade book manager, academic coaches |
| PLanning and implementation of writing strategies | fourth grade | district writing spectialist, school administration | fourth grade PLC | level collaboration | Weekly grade collaoration planning meetings, weekly PLC meetings, observations and conferences with school administration | school administration |
| Common Core Instruction | K-5 | Academic Coaches | K-5 General Education teachers, ESE Team, ESOL Team, Administration | Wednesdays, | from Pinnacle | Administration, grade book manager, academic coaches |

Writing Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | |
| To plan and implement writing strategies. | four substitute teachers for a one day training | District funds | \$400.00 | | |
| | | | Subtotal: \$400.00 | | |
| Technology | | | | | |

| | | Grand Total: \$400.00 |
|--------------------------|--|---|
| | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| | | |
| | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| | | |
| | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| No Data | No data | \$0.00 |
| Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| | No Data Description of Resources No Data Description of Resources | No Data No data Description of Resources No Data Description of Resources Funding Source Funding Source |

End of Writing Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of atter provement: | ndance data, and referer | nce to "Guiding Que | estions", identify and def | ine areas in need | |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| 1. Attendance Attendance Goal #1: | | | | The number of students with excessive absences and tardies will decrease by 10% | | |
| 2012 | ? Current Attendance Ra | ate: | 2013 Expecte | ed Attendance Rate: | | |
| Curre | ent Attendance Rate 94.6 | % | 97% | 97% | | |
| _ | Current Number of Stuences (10 or more) | udents with Excessive | 2013 Expecte Absences (10 | ed Number of Students or more) | with Excessive | |
| 202 Students | | | 180 Students | 180 Students | | |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) | | | | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) | | |
| 143 Students | | | 129 Students | 129 Students | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Apathy by many parents of poverty regarding the importance of education. | Increase the use of the PST process for attendance as soon as parents make students miss more than five school days. | | PLC meeting discussion of current attendance rates in classrooms. | Data reports tracking absences and tardies. | |
| | Parental engagement in their child's education. | Continued communication and awareness information sent home regarding the importance of being | School Principal, School Social Worker, Classroom Teacher and | PLC meeting discussion of current attendance rates in classrooms on a regular basis. | Data reports tracking absences and tardies. | |

| 2 | in school through the | Attendance Clerk. | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|--|
| | use of school | | |
| | newsletter, parent | | |
| | conferences, | | |
| | automated telephone | | |
| | messages home. | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

Attendance Budget:

| Fridance beend Dungue | om (a) /Matarial(a) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | Available |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. Suspension

The number of in school and out of school suspensions will decrease by 10%.

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 2012 | Total Number of In-Sc | hool Suspensions | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions | | |
|----------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 25 | 25 | | | | | |
| 2012 | Total Number of Stude | ents Suspended In-Scho | 2013 Expecte School | ed Number of Students | Suspended In- | |
| 15 | | | 0 | | | |
| 2012 | Number of Out-of-Sch | ool Suspensions | 2013 Expecte Suspensions | ed Number of Out-of-Sc | hool | |
| 93 | | | 84 | | | |
| 2012 School | Total Number of Stude | ents Suspended Out-of- | - 2013 Expecte of-School | ed Number of Students | Suspended Out- | |
| 48 | 48 | | | 40 | | |
| | Prob | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | There are Exceptional Education Students with significant diagnosed mental health issues and few community resources to assist. | Try to limit out of school suspensions to incidents that affect the safety of students on campus and or the student in question, and in these cases the suspension will be coupled with a discussion of available mental health resources. | School Principal, school guidance counselor. | Review individual student Behavior Improvement Plans following student suspensions. | Available suspension data. | |
| 2 | social worker, and | Implement RTI behavior process as part of school wide problem solving team to identify and assist students with behavior issues. | School Principal, guidance counselor and behavior specialist. | Review student behaviors and develop plans to address such behaviors as part of the PST process. | Available school discipline and school suspension data. | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|

Suspension Budget:

| | | | Available |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | |
|--------|---|--|---|--|-----------------|--|
| 1. Pa | 1. Parent I nvolvement | | | | | |
| | Parent Involvement Goal #1: | | | Based on the preponderance of sign in sheets and data for school events, the expected level of parent involvement will increase by 5%. | | |
| partio | *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. | | | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Parer | nt Involvement: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Parent Invo | Ivement: | |
| 75% | 75% | | | 80% | | |
| | Prol | blem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | 1.1. Getting parents to be willing to access resources. | 1.1. Provide materials and training for assisting students with curriculum for each grade level. These | 1.1. Classroom teacher, school administrators | 1.1. Solicit parent feedback and check out materials logs. | | |

| 2 | 1.2 Getting parents to be willing to attend school activities. | materials are available in the school's parenting center. 1.2 Primary and Intermediate PLC develops and implements a curriculum breakfast designed to provide parents with relevent curriculum | | 1.2. Solicit parent feedback. | Surveys and anecdotal records |
|---|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| | | information and ways to assist with their child's learning goals. | | | |
| 3 | Getting parental imput on school title one compact. | Include parents in the development and implementation of the school's Title I compact. | SAC Committee | Solicit Parent feedback | SAC meeting notes |
| 4 | Getting all parents to attend informational meeting about title one, grading changes and CCS changes. | Convene an annual meeting, at a convenient time, to which all parents shall be invited and encouraged to attend to inform parents of their school's participation in Title I and to explain the requirements of Title I and the right of parents to be involved. Also share information on new grading policies and CCS implentation. | Administration, PTA | Solicit parent feedback | PTA and open house sign in sheets and survey |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

| Professional Development | t | | |
|--------------------------|---|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Parent Liason | Part time employee in charge of parent resource center, volunteer program and business partner program. | Title one | \$3,328.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$3,328.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$3,328.00 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| E | Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------|---|--|--|-----------------|--|
| | 1. STEM STEM Goal #1: | | | To increase FC | To increase FCAT Science Scores. | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to I | | | | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | 1 | | Create 2 clubs focused on STEM project. | Club Sponsors | Robotics-successful entry in the Lego Competion. Rocketry-a successfully built rocket that takes flight | FCAT science. | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

STEM Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

Implement Standards Based Grading Goal:

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| | all arada lavals | and academic | classroom teachers | weekly PLC | Grade level planning meetings | Adminsitration |

Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Implement Standards Based Grading Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Pro | ogram(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|---------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | In school tutoring and intervention sessions four times per week | Intervention special area teacher will conduct intervention sessions with identified students four times per week during school. | School level funds and Title 1 | \$13,000.00 |
| Science | As part of the STEM program each member of the Science and Robotics club will design a science project board and build a robot using a computer program which incorporates mathematics and engineering. | Purchase robot kit software and registration fee for the First Lego League Challenge. | Extended Day Budget | \$800.00 |
| Science | As part of STEM program each member of the Rocketry Club will design and build a rocket. | To purchase materials to build rockets | Extended Day Budget | \$250.00 |
| Writing | To plan and implement writing strategies. | four substitute teachers for a one day training | District funds | \$400.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$14,450.00 |
| Technology | | Description of | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Reading software application used by students at their instructional level | Raz Kidz Interactive Software | Internal Budget String Line | \$1,438.00 |
| Mathematics | Use of Brain Pop software for student enrichment in mathematics | Brain Pop Software site license for schoolwide usage. | Internal School Funds | \$795.00 |
| Science | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Writing | No Data | No Data | No data | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$2,233.00 |
| Professional Develo | opment | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | No data | No data | No data | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | No data | No data | No data | \$0.00 |
| Science | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Writing | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | 81. 1 | Description of | 5 " 0 | |
| Goal | Strategy | Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Extended planning time for PLC collabration. | Use extended planning time to review student data and develop plans and formative assessments to increase reading achievement. | Volusia Proficiency Model Grant | \$2,000.00 |
| Mathematics | Extended planning time for PLC collaboration. | Use extended planning time to review student data and develop plans and formative assessments to increase reading achievement. | Volusia Proficiency Model Grant | \$2,000.00 |
| Mathematics | Math Attack Program | Incentives for students who solve the Math Attack Math Problems | Incentive Funds Internal Accounts | \$300.00 |

| Science | Encourage weekly hands-on and inquiry based science experiments in K-5 classrooms. | Consumable materials purchased for class level science experiments. | District Science Office | \$395.66 |
|--------------------|--|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Writing | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Parent Involvement | Parent Liason | Part time employee in charge of parent resource center, volunteer program and business partner program. | Title one | \$3,328.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$8,023.66 |
| | | | | Grand Total: \$24,706,66 |

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

Are you a reward school: j'n Yes j'n No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 9/24/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Describe projected use of SAC funds | Amount | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No data submitted | | | | | | |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

Meeting Dates: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 Tuesday, September 11, 2012 Tuesday, October 9, 2012 Tuesday, November 13, 2012 Tuesday, December 11, 20012 Tuesday, January 8, 2013 Tuesday, February 12, 2013 Tuesday, March 12, 2013 Tuesday, April 9, 2013

Tuesday, May 14, 2013

Osteen Elementary School

School Advisory Council Meeting Agenda

August 28, 2012 2:30 PM Media Center

Agenda

- 1. Pledge
- 2. Welcome From Miss Aronson
- 3. Review/Accept Previous Minutes
- 4. New member appointment
- a. Secretary
- 5. Collaborative and Shared Decision Making Process
- a. 5 star requirement
- 6. This Year's SAC Meeting Schedule
- 7. Child care
- 8. SAC Budget Review
- 9. Title one update
- a. Review and approve Title 1 compact
- 10. School Wide Initiatives
- 11. Safety Update
- 12. Calendar Items

Osteen Elementary School

School Advisory Council Meeting Agenda

September 11, 2012 2:30 PM Media Center

Agenda

- 1. Pledge
- 2. Welcome
- a. Secretary -to take our minutes
- 3. Review/Accept Previous Minutes
- 4. Membership Additions and Deletions
- 5. Title I Compact with Corrections and Final Approval
- 6. Information Regarding the Proposed 1 mill. Increase on the November Election Ballot
- 7. Staffing Changes and Personnel Changes
- 8. SAC Budget Review
- 9. Title I Budget Review
- 10. 2011-2012 Accountability Data Review by Mrs. Raimundo
- 11. School Improvement Plan review, discussion an input from SAC regarding first draft of the plan
- 12. Calendar Items

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Volusia School District OSTEEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2010-2011 | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------|-----|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | | Grade Points Earned | | | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 85% | 80% | 79% | 71% | 315 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. | | |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 68% | 53% | | | 121 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 | | |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 59% (YES) | 54% (YES) | | | 113 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. | | |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 549 | | | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested | | |
| School Grade* | | | | | А | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested | | |

| Volusia School District OSTEEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2009-2010 | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| 2007 2010 | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | | | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 82% | 76% | 77% | 63% | 298 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. | | |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 64% | 59% | | | 123 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 | | |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 58% (YES) | 54% (YES) | | | | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. | | |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 533 | | | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested | | |
| School Grade* | | | | | А | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested | | |