FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: AVALON ELEMENTARY

District Name: Orange

Principal: Pamela Sanders

SAC Chair: Jackie Carroll

Superintendent: Barbara Jenkins

Date of School Board Approval: Pending

Last Modified on: 10/1/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| | Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
|---|-----------|-------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| - | Principal | Pamela Sanders | Bachelor of Science Masters, Elem Ed and Early Childhood Specialist, Ed Leadership Certifications: Educational Leadership | 11 | 18 | 2011-2012: Grade A, High Standards in Reading 85%, Math 88%, Writing 97%, Science 79% Learning Gains in Reading 82%, Math 81% Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 88%, Math 76% 2010-2011: Grade A, made AYP, High Standards in Reading 95%, Math 98%, Writing 98%, Science 95% Learning Gains in Reading 74%, Math 78% Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 79%, Math 91% 2009-2010: Grade A, made AYP, High Standards in Reading 94%, Math 95%, Writing 95%, Science 82% Learning Gains in Reading 71%, Math 74% Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 67%, Math 78% |

| Elementary Education Early Childh Education Gifted K-12 | nood | 2008-2009: Grade A, made AYP, High Standards in Reading 97%, Math 97%, Writing 99%, Science 84% Learning Gains in Reading 82%, Math 84% Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 88%, Math 81% |
|---|------|---|
| | | 2007-2008: Grade A, made AYP, High Standards in Reading 93%, Math 94%, Writing 92%, Science 74% Learning Gains in Reading 74%, Math 71% Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 74%, Math 64% |

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
|------------------|----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| CRT | Gretchen Krasoski | Bachelor of Science in Education, Master of Education. Elementary Ed and ESOL Certification | 11 | 9.5 | 2011-2012: Grade A, High Standards in Reading 85%, Math 88%, Writing 97%, Science 79% Learning Gains in Reading 82%, Math 81% Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 88%, Math 76% 2010-2011: Grade A, made AYP, High Standards in Reading 95%, Math 98%, Writing 98%, Science 95% Learning Gains in Reading 74%, Math 78% Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 79%, Math 91% 2009-2010: Grade A, made AYP, High Standards in Reading 94%, Math 95%, Writing 95%, Science 82% Learning Gains in Reading 71%, Math 74% Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 67%, Math 78% 2008-2009: Grade A, made AYP, High Standards in Reading 97%, Math 97%, Writing 99%, Science 84% Learning Gains in Reading 82%, Math 84% Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 88%, Math 81% 2007-2008: Grade A, made AYP, High Standards in Reading 93%, Math 94%, Writing 92%, Science 74% Learning Gains in Reading 74%, Math 71% Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 74%, Math 64% |
| Reading Coach | Christy Phelps | Bachelor of Science in Elementary Education, Elementary Ed and ESOL Certification | 11 | 1 | 2011-2012: Grade A, High Standards in Reading 85%, Math 88%, Writing 97%, Science 79% Learning Gains in Reading 82%, Math 81% Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 88%, Math 76% |

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 1 | All instructional applicant resumes are reviewed to determine if the applicant is highly qualified. Verbal references are obtained to determine if the candidate is of high quality. OCPS E-Recruiting System will be used to seek out additional highly qualified applicants. Once hired, the teacher will be provided staff and professional development | | On-going | |

| through PLCs | on school | procedures | and | the | continuous |
|--------------|-----------|------------|-----|-----|------------|
| improvement | model. | | | | |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|---|--|
| None | N/A |

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number of Instructional Staff | % of First-Year Teachers | | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | % Highly Effective Teachers | % Reading Endorsed Teachers | % National Board Certified Teachers | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| 62 | 3.2%(2) | 37.1%(23) | 46.8%(29) | 12.9%(8) | 41.9%(26) | 100.0%(62) | 11.3%(7) | 12.9%(8) | 93.5%(58) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee | Rationale | Planned Mentoring |
|--------------|-----------------------------|--|---|
| | Assigned | for Pairing | Activities |
| Kelly Bryant | Lexi Hiller Scott Stumpf | Mrs. Bryant is a veteran teacher on the 4th grade team. She is willing to share her knowledge and expertise of the fourth grade curriculum. | Mentee will set personal and professional goals with guidance of Mrs. Bryant. Observe the Mrs. Bryant: hold a pre-conference discussing what the focus of the observation will be,the mentee will take notes during the observation to facilitate discussion during post- conference. |

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

N/A

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Title I, Part D

| N/A | |
|-----------|--|
| Title II | |
| N/A | |
| Title III | |

N/A

Title X- Homeless

N/A

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

N/A

Violence Prevention Programs

N/A

Nutrition Programs

N/A

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

N/A

Adult Education

N/A

Career and Technical Education

 N/A

 Job Training

 N/A

 Other

 N/A

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

 School-based MTSS/Rt1 Team

 Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

 The school-based Rt1 Committee consists of:

 Pamela Sanders, Principal

 Christy Phelps, Reading Coach

 Gretchen Krasoski, Curriculum Resource Teacher

 Donald Vega, Dean

 Grace Felipa-Hayes, Rt1 Specialist

 Stacy Dixon, SLD Teacher

 Wendi Lowrey, Speech Pathologist

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The MTSS Leadership Team will meet regulary to sustain a culturally embedded problem-solving environment to promote an exceptional school with commendable teachers and students.

The team meets once a week, to engage in the following activities:

Review data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus while making decisions about implementation.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS Leadership Team met with principal and leadership team to aid in the development of the SIP. The team provided data on: specific targets; academic and social needs; helped set clear expectations for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, Relationship); facilitated the development of a systemic approach to teaching (Gradual Release, Essential Questions, Activating Strategies, Teaching Strategies, Extending, Refining, and Summarizing); and aligned processes and procedures.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline Data: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network, EduSoft, WriteScore, FAIR, Diagnostic Reading Assessment, Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)

Progress Monitoring: EduSoft Mini-Assessments, FAIR Targeted Diagnostic Inventory, FCAT Explorer, Florida Achieve (FOCUS Assessments), FCAT Simulation

Midyear: Edusoft, FAIR, Diagnostic Reading Assessment

End of Year: FAIR, EduSoft, FCAT

Frequency of Data Days: twice a month for data analysis with all grade level teams.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development will be provided during teachers' planning period and small sessions will occur throughout the year. The MTSS Leadership Team will provide training as the pyramid to intervention is developed and implemented. The RtI Committee will also evaluate additional staff professional development needs during the weekly RtI meetings.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The MTSS Leadership Team under the guidance of the RtI Specialist will provide structured support for each teacher based on individual students needs. The RtI Specialist will collaborate regularly with PLC's and individual teachers, closely identifying trends and patterns using school-wide and grade-level data. The data will be used to decide which interventions will be maintained or layered.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team—

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Avalon Elementary implemented a school-based literacy leadership team which consists of the Principal, Reading Coach, Curriculum Resource Teacher, Dean, Media Specialist, Staffing Specialist, SLD Teacher, Speech Pathologist and Technology Support Rep.

Pamela Sanders, Principal Christy Phelps, Reading Coach Gretchen Krasoski, Curriculum Resource Teacher Donald Vega, Dean Cathy Stallings, Media Specialist Grace Felipa-Hayes, RtI Specialist Stacy Dixon, SLD Teacher Wendi Lowrey, Speech Pathologist John Jarnagin, Technology Support Rep

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) meets monthly to discuss, share, and plan based on observations and student data. The team supports teachers in the implementation of the K-12 Reading Plan. The LLT promotes the use of a variety of assessment strategies to support students' literacy achievement. The team also promotes and assists teachers in analyzing data for instructional decision-making. Professional learning opportunities to improve literacy are planned, organized, and delivered by the LLT.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The major initiatives of the Literacy Leadership Team will be to promote reading success. The LLT will meet regularly to discuss and analyze assessment data to establish needs that promote student achievement. In addition to regular meetings, the team will revisit and revaluate curriculum to be sure it is consistent across all grade levels. Designated members will meet with grade level teams to share reading messages and plans for the school year. Grade level leaders will keep their teams' administrative team member informed of team decisions on their professional needs to strengthen student learning. The literacy team will choose professional development that is aligned with the needs of the staff.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading. Reading Goal #1a: | 88% of the students will score at or above a Level 3 on the 2013 FCAT Reading. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| 2012 FCAT results showed that 24% (103) of all students taking the FCAT Reading test scored at Level 3. | By July 2013, at least 88% of all students taking the FCAT Reading test will score a Level 3 or above. | | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|--|--|----------------------------------|
| 1 | Teaching all Next Generation Sunshine State Standards to mastery. | PLC will meet frequently to analyze and interpret data. PLC will address concerns and needs. Provide intervention strategies and programs for students who are below grade level. | Principal Dean CRT Classroom Teacher | Frequent monitoring of all student learning progress. Provide weekly on-going progress monitoring for Tier 2 and 3 students. Participate in PLCs to discuss and share student learning progress, areas of improvement, and intervention strategies. | Reading Benchmark Assessments |

| | l on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and o | define areas in need | |
|-------|--|-------------------------|--|--|----------------------|--|
| Stude | lorida Alternate Assessn ents scoring at Levels 4, ing Goal #1b: | | N/A | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform | nance: | 2013 Expected | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need

| of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a: | 64% of the students at Avalon Elementary will score at Levels 4 and 5 on the 2013 FCAT Reading test. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 2012 FCAT results showed that 61% (264) of all students taking the FCAT Reading test scored at Levels 4 and 5. | By July 2013, 64% of all students taking the FCAT reading test will score at a Level 4 or 5. | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to I | ncrease Student Achievement | | | |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 1 | Moving students who scored a Level 3 on prior FCAT Reading test up to a Level 4 or 5. | students between a Level 3 to 4 or a Level 4 to 3. Implementing enrichment | Reading Coach Principal Dean CRT | Edusoft Reading Mini Assessments FAIR Ongoing Progress Monitoring | District Reading Edusoft Benchmark Assessments FAIR FCAT | | | |
| | | continuing differentiated small group instruction. | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and read of improvement for the following group: | ference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and o | define areas in need | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b: | N/A | N/A | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to | o Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Person or Position | Process Used to | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---------------------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a: | 85% of the students at Avalon Elementary will make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Reading test. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| 82% (231) of the students taking the 2012 FCAT Reading test made learning gains. | By July 2013, 85% of students taking the FCAT Reading test will make learning gains. | | | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|----------|--|---|--|
| 1 | The time ELL and ESE students need to acquire new information. | | Principal Reading Coach CRT | Imagination Station Reading Intervention Data Imagination Station On Demand Assessments | Edusoft Reading Mini Assessments FAIR Edusoft Reading Benchmark Assessments |

| | of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|---|---|----------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b: | | N/A | N/A | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | N/A | | | N/A | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to I | | | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need if improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4: | | | | s in the Lowest 25% at Av gains on the 2013 FCAT Re | 5 | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| On the 2012 FCAT Reading test, 88% (62 of 71) of students in the Lowest 25% made learning gains. | | | | By July 2013, 91% of students in the Lowest 25% taking the FCAT Reading test will make learning gains. | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy R | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| | Scheduling time to provide extended reading support focusing on targeted learning needs. | Students in the Lowest 25% will receive daily intensive reading support for 20 minutes during | Principal CRT | Comprehension and Phonics Skill Bag Assessments | FAIR Ongoing Progress Monitoring | |

| | their daily computer lab Reading Coach time. | STAR Reading Assessment |
|---|--|----------------------------|
| | Dean | |
| 1 | Students below grade- | Easy CBM |
| 1 | level will work (3 to 5 | - |
| | times per week) with | |
| | trained paraprofessionals | |
| | using instructional | |
| | strategies based on | |
| | student data while | |
| | focusing on the learning | |
| | needs of the students. | |

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six yea school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. | | | students meet ESE (20) stud | ntary has 88% (34 ting standards in dents meeting stan we an achievement | reading. We hav ndards in reading | e 45% of our 🗌 . We | |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 2011-2012 2012-2013 | | | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | |
| <u></u> | 43% | 33% | 23% | 13% | 3% | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| satisfactory progress in reading. | 100% of our Asian students, 84% of our Black students, and 80% of our Hispanic students and 91% of our White students taking the 2013 FCAT Reading test will score a Level 3 or higher. |
|---|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 23% (27) of Hispanic students, and 12% (28) of our White students scored below a Level 3 on the 2012 FCAT Reading | By July 2013, 100% of our Asian students, 84% of our Black students, 80% of our Hispanic students, and 91% of our White students taking the FCAT Reading test will score a Level 3 or higher. |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Limited time to monitor progress. | Continue PLCs and progress monitoring meetings to ensure student achievement among our student subgroups by ethnicity. Classroom teachers will focus on results and create a climate of collaboration to intervene as needed. | Principal MTSS Leadership Team Classroom Teachers | PLC Meetings Progress Monitoring Meetings | FAIR Edusoft Reading Benchmark Assessments | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. 62% of English Language Learners taking the 2013 FCAT Reading test will make satisfactory progress in reading.

Reading Goal #5C:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 41% (13 of 31) of English Language Learners did not make satisfactory progress on the 2012 FCAT Reading test. | | | | 2% of all English Languag est will score a Level 3 or | |
| | Pi | roblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | The time ELL students need to acquire new information. | Provide additional intensive instruction outside of the 90 minute reading block. Students attend early morning computer lab from 8:00 to 8:30 am and work on computer-based reading intervention program. | Principal Reading Coach CRT | Imagination Station Reading Intervention Data Imagination Station On Demand Assessments | Edusoft Reading Mini Assessments FAIR Edusoft Reading Benchmark Assessments |

| | l on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | reference to "Guiding | g Questions", identify and | define areas in need | |
|--|--|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | 51% of Students with Disabilities taking the 2013 FCAT Reading test will make satisfactory progress. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 52% (23) of Students with Disabilities did not make satisfactory progress on the 2012 FCAT Reading test. | | | | By July 2013, 51% Students with Disabilities will make satisfactory progress as measured by the FCAT Reading test. | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | The time ESE students need to acquire new | Provide additional intensive instruction | Principal | Imagination Station Reading Intervention | Edusoft Reading Mini Assessments | |

| | need to acquire new | Intensive instruction | | Reading Intervention | WITH ASSessments |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | information. | outside of the 90 minute | Reading Coach | Data | |
| | | reading block. | 0 | | FAIR |
| | | | Dean | Imagination Station On | |
| 1 | | Students attend early | | Demand Assessments | Edusoft Reading |
| | | morning computer lab | | | Benchmark |
| | | from 8:00 to 8:30 am and | 8 | | Assessments |
| | | work on computer-based | | | |
| | | reading intervention | | | |
| | | program. | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E: | 81% Economically Disadvantaged Avalon Elementary students taking the 2013 FCAT Reading test will make satisfactory progress. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| | | | | |

| 22% (27) Economically Disadvantaged students did not make satisfactory progress on the 2012 FCAT Reading test. | By July 2013, 81% Economically Disadvantaged Avalon Elementary students will make satisfactory progress as measured by the FCAT Reading test. |
|--|---|
|--|---|

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Limited time to monitor progress. | progress monitoring meetings to ensure student achievement among our Economically | Principal MTSS Leadership Team Classroom Teachers | PLC Meetings Progress Monitoring Meetings | Edusoft Reading Mini Assessments | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Implement practice of allowing students to bring their own technology to use in classroom to support learning. | 3rd-5th | Don Vega Daniela Mitchell | 3rd-5th Grade Classroom Teachers | Every Wednesday morning | Classroom visits Edmodo discussions | Don Vega |
| Designing and Communicating Clear Learning Goals | K-5 | Gretchen Krasoski, CRT Christy Phelps, Reading Coach | All Instructional Staff | Grade Level Meetings | Class Walkthroughs and Observations | Principal Reading Coach |
| FAIR and Edusoft Data Analysis | K-5 | Gretchen Krasoski, CRT Donald Vega, Dean Christy Phelps, Reading Coach | Classroom Teachers | Grade Level Meetings | PLC will monitor, discuss, and share interventions and student results. | Reading Coach Team Leaders MTSS Leadership Team |
| Common Core | K-1 | Gretchen Krasoski, CRT Shanda Clarke, Black Belt Team Stephanie Thomas, Black Belt | Primary Classroom Teachers | Grade Level Meetings | Classroom Walkthroughs | Leadership Team |

| | | Team | | | | |
|------|-----|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| MTSS | K-5 | Grace Felipa- Hayes, RtI Coach Stacy Dixon, ESE Teacher | All Instructional Staff | Grade Level Meetings | Classroom Walkthroughs, Rtl Meetings | Leadership Team MTSS Leadership Team |

Reading Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|--|---|----------------|-----------------------|
| Florida Ready by Curriculum Associates | Florida Ready provides a combination of assessment and intensive instruction on all the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards. | School Budget | \$4,000.00 |
| ForeSight Assessment | ForeSight Assessments provides in depth feeback data allowing teachers to drive their instruction. The data pinpoints where to focus instruction to maximize learning. | School Budget | \$6,000.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$10,000.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Imagination Station | Imagination Station is a data- driven comprehensive reading intervention program for students K-5. | School Budget | \$6,000.00 |
| Renaissance Place - Accelerated Reader and STAR Reading | Provides daily information about student reading and makes it easy to continuously monitor comprehension, track the time students spend reading, and differentiate reading practice. | School Budget | \$4,000.0C |
| Brainchild WebAchiever | Formative assessment and instructional FCAT online assessment program to assess and adjust instruction on Next Generation Standards, as measured by the FCAT tests. | School Budget | \$950.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$10,950.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.0C |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

2012 CELLA results showed that 64% (9) Avalon Elementary students scored proficient in listening/speaking.

| Problem-Solving | Process to | Increase | Student / | Achievement |
|-----------------|------------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| | | | | |

| | | 1 | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|------------------------|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | English Language Learners | Classroom teachers will use graphic organizers and Marzano's strategies for academic vocabulary development when teaching key vocabulary terms. | CRT Classroom Teacher | 5 1 0 | Lesson plan reviews |

| Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| 2. Students scoring proficient in reading. | 70% of Avalon Elementary taking the 2013 CELLA will | | | |
| CELLA Goal #2: | score proficient in reading. | | | |
| | | | | |

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

2012 CELLA results showed that 67% Avalon Elementary students scored proficient in reading.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | English Language Learners lacking solid reading strategies in their native language | Instruction based on student data and student learning styles. | Principal CRT Reading Coach | Data collection | FAIR Assessment District Edusoft Benchmark Assessments |
| | | Use of bilingual dictionaries | | | |

| Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 3. Students scoring proficient in writing. | 47% Avalon Elementary students taking the 2013 CELLA | | | |
| CELLA Goal #3: | will score proficient in writing. | | | |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing: | | | | |

2012 CELLA results showed that 44% (4) Avalon Elementary students scored proficient in writing.

| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|--|--|----------------------------|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Limited vocabulary for ELLs | graphic organizers and/or Marzano's strategies for academic | Principal CRT Reading Coach | Data collection | Monthly writing prompts |

CELLA Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Fechnology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.0 |

End of CELLA Goals

| | on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and c | lefine areas in need | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | | 91% of student | 91% of students at Avalon Elementary will score at a level 3 or above the 2013 FCAT Math test. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform | nance: | 2013 Expected | Level of Performance: | | |
| 2012 FCAT results showed that 23% (101) of all students taking the FCAT Math test scored at Level 3. By July 2013, 91% of all students taking the FCAT Math test will score a Level 3 or above. | | | | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Teaching all Mathematics Next Generation Sunshine State Standards to mastery. | PLC will meet frequently to analyze and interpret data. PLC will address concerns and needs. Provide intervention strategies and programs for students who are below grade level. | Principal Dean CRT Classroom Teacher | Frequent monitoring of all students' learning progress. Provide weekly on-going progress monitoring for Tier 2 and 3 students. Participate in PLCs to discuss and share student learning progress, areas of improvement, and intervention strategies. | Benchmark | |
| 2 | Moving students who scored a Level 1 or 2 on prior FCAT Math test up to a Level 3 or higher. | Identify students between a Level 1 or 2 and bubble students between Level 3 to 2. | Principal Dean CRT Classroom Teacher | Edusoft Math Mini Assessments Envision Math Assessments | District Math Edusoft Benchmark Assessments FCAT | |

| | l on the analysis of studen provement for the following | t achievement data, and re group: | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and (| define areas in need | |
|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------|--|
| 1b. Fl | lorida Alternate Assessn | nent: | | | | |
| Stude | ents scoring at Levels 4, | 5, and 6 in mathematics | | | | |
| Mathe | Mathematics Goal #1b: | | | N/A | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | o Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

| | I on the analysis of studen | | eference to "Guiding | g Questions", identify and | define areas in need | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. | | 67% of the stu | dents at Avalon Elementar on the 2013 FCAT Math te | 2 | | |
| Math | ematics Goal #2a: | | | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| | 2012 FCAT results showed that 64% (281) of all students taking the FCAT Math test scored at Levels 4 and 5. | | | By July 2013, 67% of all students taking the FCAT Math test will score at a Level 4 or 5. | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | Moving students who scored a Level 3 on prior FCAT Math test up to a Level 4 or 5. | Identifying bubble students between a Level 3 to 4 or a Level 4 to 3. | Principal Dean | Edusoft Math Mini Assessments Envision Math | District Math Edusoft Benchmark Assessments | |
| 1 | | Implementing enrichment math groups and continuing differentiated small group instruction. | CRT | Assessments | FCAT | |

L

| | on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | g Questions", identify and | define areas in need | |
|---|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b: | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| 2012 | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | o Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | | | Person or Position | Process Used to Determine | | |

| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|---|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. | 84% of the students at Avalon Elementary will make learning | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #3a: | gains on the 2013 FCAT Math test. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| | | | | |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Students identified as ELL and ESE learners. | intensive instruction in mathematics using Envision Supplemental | Classroom Teacher | instruction targeting specific learning needs of the student. | Envision Math FASTT Math Moby Math | | |

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b: | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference of improvement for the following group: | rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4: | 79% of students in the Lowest 25% at Avalon Elementary w make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Math test. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| On the 2012 FCAT Math test, 76% (34 of 45) of students in the Lowest 25% made learning gains. | By July 2013, 79% of students in the Lowest 25% taking the FCAT Math test will make learning gains. | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to I | ncrease Student Achievement | | |
| | Person or Process Used to | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| 1 | developed strong foundation of basic math skills. | instruction with trained paraprofessionals After school tutoring | Dean CRT Classroom Teacher | Edusoft Mini Benchmark Assessments | Envision Topic Tests District Edusoft Math Benchmark Assessments |

| Based on Amb | Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|---------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. | | | students meet | ntary has 90% (352 ing high standard students meeting | ds in math. We h standards in mat | ave 64% of 📕 | | |
| Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013 | | | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | | |
| | 26% | 16% | 6% | 0% | 0% | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B: | 91% of our Black students, 75% of our Hispanic students, and 91% of our White students taking the 2013 FCAT Reading test will score a Level 3 or higher. |
|---|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 12% (3) of our Black students, 18% (20) of Hispanic students, and 12% (30) of White students scored below a Level 3 on the 2012 FCAT Math test. | By July 2013, 91% of our Black students, 75% of our Hispanic students, and 91% of our White students taking the FCAT Math test will score a Level 3 or higher. |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Limited time to monitor progress. | Continue PLCs and progress monitoring meetings to ensure student achievement among our student subgroups by ethnicity. Classroom teachers will focus on results and create a climate of collaboration to intervene as needed. | Principal MTSS Leadership Team Classroom Teachers | PLC Meetings Progress Monitoring Meetings | Edusoft Math Benchmark Assessments Envision Topic Tests Moby Math | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.

72% of English Language Learners taking the 2013 FCAT Math test will make satisfactory progress in math.

Mathematics Goal #5C:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 31% (10 of 32) of English Learners did not make satisfactory progress on the 2012 FCAT Math test. | By July 2013, 72% of all English Language Learners taking the FCAT Math test will score a Level 3 or higher. | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| | The time ELL students need to acquire new information. | intensive instruction | Principal CRT | Envision Math Assessments | Edusoft Math Mini Assessments Edusoft Math | | | |
| 1 | | Students attend early morning computer lab from 8:00 to 8:30 am and work on Moby Math. | | Moby Math Practice Set Scores | Benchmark Assessments | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D: | 69% of Students with Disabilities taking the 2013 FCAT Math test will make satisfactory progress. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| 34% (15) of Students with Disabilities did not make satisfactory progress on the 2012 FCAT Math test. | By July 2013, 69% of Students with Disabilities will make satisfactory progress as measured by the FCAT Math test. | | | | |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 1 | The time ESE students need to acquire new information. | intensive instruction | Principal Dean | Envision Topic Tests Moby Math Practice Set Scores | Edusoft Math Mini Assessments Edusoft Math Benchmark Assessments | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in ne of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5E: | 83% of Economically Disadvantaged students at Avalon Elementary School taking the 2013 FCAT Math test will make satisfactory progress. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 20% (24) Economically Disadvantaged students did not make satisfactory progress on the 2012 FCAT Math test. | By July 2013, 83% of Economically Disadvantaged students at Avalon Elementary School will make satisfactory progress as measured by the FCAT Math test. | | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|----------------------------------|
| 1 | Limited time to monitor progress. | Continue PLCs and progress monitoring meetings to ensure student achievement among our Economically Disadvantaged students. Classroom teachers will focus on results and create a climate of collaboration to intervene as needed. | RtI Team Classroom Teachers | PLC Meetings Progress Monitoring Meetings | Edusoft Math Mini Assessments |

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade | | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|-------|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Implementation of Moby Math school-wide. | K-5 | Tara Ruyter Don Vega Wayne Muse | Teachers | Professional development scheduled for September with a follow up in October. | Classroom visits Data reports | Don Vega |

Mathematics Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|------------------------------|---|----------------|-----------------------|
| Envision Math Daily Homework | Scientific, evidence-based math program with empirical data that proves its effectiveness in increasing student math achievement. | School Budget | \$425.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$425.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$425.00 |

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | |
|--|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a: | 82% of students at Avalon Elementary will score a Level 3 or above on the 2013 FCAT Science test. | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | |
| 2012 FCAT results show that 37% (52) of all students taking the FCAT Science test scored at Level 3. | By July 2013, 82% of all students taking the FCAT Science test will score a Level 3 or above. | |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Mastery of Next Generation Sunshine State Standards. | Student will attend scheduled Science Lab time with classroom teacher and science teacher two to three times per week. Integrating hands-on activities provides student with the opportunity to explore, process, synthesize, and apply fundamental processess related to science. | Principal Classroom Teacher Science Teacher | Students' ability to actively discuss, share and apply science strategies while problem-solving and using science vocabulary to create and test a hypothesis | AIMS Scott Foresman Science Assessment FCAT | |
| 2 | Ability to read and comprehend grade- level text | Teachers will incorporate more more informational text during reading block. Teachers will Integrate reading and science. | Principal Classroom Teacher Science Teacher | Lesson plan review | Edusoft Science Benchmark Fusion Unit Tests | |

| | d on the analysis of stud in need of improvement | | | Guiding Questions", ide | ntify and define | |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|--|------------------|--|
| Stud | lorida Alternate Asses ents scoring at Levels nce Goal #1b: | | N/A | N/A | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |

| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | | | | |

| | d on the analysis of stud in need of improvemen | | | Guiding Questions", ider | ntify and define | |
|---|---|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a: | | | | 63% of students at Avalon Elementary will score a Level 4 or above on the 2013 FCAT Science test. | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perf | ormance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performan | ce: | |
| 2012 FCAT results showed that 60% (84) of all students taking the FCAT Science test scored at Levels 4 and 5. | | | ^{vels} By July 2013, Science test w | 63% of all students taki /ill score a Level 4 or ab | ng the 2013 FCAT pove. | |
| Problem-Solving Process to I | | | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students' prior knowledge of science content. | K-5th grade will utilize the second Science Lab for to empower students in their own learning process through hands-on learning. Student will attend the lab with their classroom teacher After FCAT is completed, K-4th grade students will attend the lab with science teacher and classroom teacher. | Principal Science Teacher Classroom Teacher CRT | Students' ability to actively discuss, share, and apply science strategies while probelm-solving and using science vocabulary to create and test a hypothesis. | AIMS STEM Resource Labs FCAT | |

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| Stud in sc | Iorida Alternate Asses ents scoring at or abo ience. nce Goal #2b: | ssment: ve Achievement Level | 7 N/A | | | |
| 2012 | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process to | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier Strategy R | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| STEM | 3-5 | | Intermediate classroom teachers | | Lesson plan reviews | Principal Dean |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

Science Budget:

| Evidence-based Progran | | | Available |
|------------------------|---|----------------|-----------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available |
| Essential Labs | Materials to conduct investigations to support benchmarks Florida students are required to master. | School Budget | \$300.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$300.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developme | nt | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$300.00 |

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define ar in need of improvement for the following group: | | |
|--|---|--|
| 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a: | Avalon Elementary will maintain 97% of students scoring a Level 3.0 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Writing test. | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | |
| 2012 FCAT results showed that 97% (137) of all students taking the FCAT Writing test scored at Level 3.0 or above. | By July 2013, 97% of all students taking the 2013 FCAT Writing test will score at Level 3.0 or above. | |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|----------------------------------|
| | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | k | nowledge of the vriting process. | teachers on school developed writing curriculum. After FCAT, fourth grade teachers will visit third grade classrooms and model the writing | CRT Reading Coach | | WriteScore Assessment FCAT |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define are in need of improvement for the following group: | | |
|---|---|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b: | 74% of Avalon Elementary students will score at a Level 4 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Writing test. | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | |
| 71% (102) of Avalon Elementary students scored at Leve 4 or higher in writing. | By July 2013, 74% of Avalon Elementary students taking the FCAT Writing test will score at Level 4 or higher. | |

| | Prol | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | |
|---|---|---|--|--|----------------------------------|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | State is rating conventions higher. | Provide training for 4th grade classroom teachers using new Anchor Papers from DOE. During last quin, provide training for 3rd grade classroom teachers. | Principal Reading Coach CRT Classroom Teachers | | WriteScore Assessment FCAT |
| 2 | Four new teachers to the 4th grade team. | Provide training on how to score writing papers using state criteria. | Principal Reading Coach CRT Classroom Teachers | | WriteScore Assessment FCAT |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Changes to FCAT Writing | 4 | CRT Reading Coach | 4th grade classroom teachers | Team Meeting PLC Meeting | Lesson plan reviews Monthly writng prompts | CRT Reading Coach |
| Common Core | K-1 | CRT Reading Coach | K-1 Classroom Teachers | Preplanning Team Meeting | Lesson plan reviews Monthly writing prompts | CRT Reading Coach |
| Scoring Writing Prompts using Anchor Papers | 4 | Reading Coach | New 4th grade teachers | After school meetings | Monthly writing prompts | Reading Coach |

Writing Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|-----------------------|---|----------------|-------------------------|
| WriteScore | Student essays are hand-scored according to state-mandated criteria and reflect similar findings. Analysis of student writing identifies strengths and weaknesses to inform the most effective instruction. | School Budget | \$1,700.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,700.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$1,700.00 |

End of Writing Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

| Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| 1. Attendance Attendance Goal #1: | Our attendance rate increased .25% for the 2011-2012 school year. We project a continued increase in the attendance rate for the 2012-2013 school year. | | |
| 2012 Current Attendance Rate: | 2013 Expected Attendance Rate: | | |
| | | | |

| The attendance rate for 2011-2012 school year was 96.57%. | | | The expected a year is 97%. | The expected attendance rate for the 2012-2013 school year is 97%. | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) | | | 2013 Expecte Absences (10 | d Number of Students or more) | with Excessive | |
| | | | | In the 2012-2013 school year, we expect there to be no more than 165 students with excessive absences. | | |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) | | | 2013 Expecte Tardies (10 o | d Number of Students r more) | with Excessive | |
| In the 2011-2012 school year, there were 83 students with excessive tardies. | | | | For the 2012-2013 school year, we expect there to be no more than 75 students with excessive tardies. | | |
| | Prot | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | Improving school's high attendance rate. | Continuing incentive plan (Mariner Bucks) for students to get to school on time. Advocating the positive correlation between student attendance and student achievement we hope to increase the attendance rate for the 2012-2013 school year. | Registrar | Monitoring attendance log and student achievement data. Print and analyze attendance rates on a quarterly basis. | EDW District Benchmark Assessments FCAT | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | (e.g. , PLC, | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--------------|--|-----|--|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Attendance Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

| Professional Developn | nent | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |
| | | | |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

| Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference of improvement: | to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |
|---|---|
| 1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1: | Continuing the Change Starts with Me, Character Development Plan, Avalon Elementary expects the suspension rate to decrease for the 2012-2013 school year. |
| 2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions |
| In the 2011-2012 school year, Avalon Elementary had 7 suspensions. | For the 2012-2013 school year, we expect there to be 5 in-suspensions. |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School |
| In the 2011-2012 school year, Avalon Elementary had 1 student with an In-School Suspension. | For the 2012-2013 school year, we expect there to be zero in-school suspensions. |
| 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions |
| In the 2011-2012 school year, Avalon Elementary had 6 out-of-school suspensions. | For the 2012-2013 school year, we expect there to be less than 4 out-of-school suspensions. |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of- School | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School |
| In the 2011-2012 school year, Avalon Elementary had 0 students Suspended out-of-school (10 or more days). | For the 2012-2013 school year, we expect there to be zero students suspended for 10 or more days. |

| Prol | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | |
|---|---|--|---|-----------------|
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Maintaining working contact numbers for our students. | School will cross reference numbers given to teachers and the office staff. Classroom teacher will have emergency information cards completed by parents | Registrar Front Office Clerk | We will track the number of students that do not have working numbers. We will send letters home in student planners to try and obtain working numbers when none are found. | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| | PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | (e.g. , PLC, | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|---|------------------------|---|--------------|--|-----|--|
| ſ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Suspension Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | · | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |
| | | | End of Suspension Goal |

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

| Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Parent Involvement | | | | |
| Parent Involvement Goal #1: | Avalon Elementary will increase PTO memberships to 185 | | | |
| *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. | parent/guardian members. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: | 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: | | | |

There were 167 PTO memberships for the 2011-2012 school year.

By July 2013, there will be 185 PTO family memberships, and there will be at least 3 PTO sponsored activities.

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | (e.g. , PLC, | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--------------|--|-----|--|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| | | | Available |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Development | t | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.0 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:

| 1. STEM | Avalon Elementary currently has 0% of its classroom teachers using problem based learning through |
|---------------|---|
| | technology. By July 2013, we will have 80% of teachers |
| STEM Goal #1: | in grades 3-5 incorporating problem based learning |
| | through our Bring Your Own Technology program. |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 1 | In depth teacher knowledge to apply technology effectively where it will enhance student learning. | collaborate electronically using Edmodo. | Don Vega Laurie Jackson Stephanie Schnettler | PLC meetings Teacher survey Classroom observations | Student generated output | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| STEM | 3-5 | | Intermediate classroom teachers | Grade level meetings | Lesson plan reviews | Principal Dean |

STEM Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | · | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

Additional Goal #1

Increase College and Career Awareness (i.e., Destination College, AVID, schoolwide activities) Goal:

| Based | I on the analysis of stud | ent achievement data, a | nd reference to "G | uiding Questions", identif | y and define areas | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| in nee | ed of improvement for th | e following group: | | | | | |
| Awar schoo Addit Awar | ditional Goal #1 Increa eness (i.e., Destination olwide activities) Goal ional Goal #1 Increase eness (i.e., Destination olwide activities) Goal | e College and Career n College, AVID, | 100% (24) of t continue the "[philosophy by primary classro | 100% (24) of the intermediate aclassroooms will actively continue the "Destination College" program and philosophy by June 2012. In addition 100% (25) of the primary classrooms will implement componenents of the "Destination College" program. | | | |
| 2012 | Current level: | | 2013 Expecte | ed level: | | | |
| belief | of creating a classroom ated the "Destination Co | | classrooms will "Destination Co | By July 2013, 100% (49) of the primary and intermediate classrooms will have actively implemented the "Destination College" program and philsophy to support academic rigor and promote college readiness. | | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | |
| | | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | N/A | Classroom Discussions Continue Cornell Notes in Fifth Grade Faculty Training for Destination College Celebrate National College Colors Day College Themed Classroom Decorations | Laurie Jackson Christy Phelps | Class Walkthroughs and Observations | Destination College Committee | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | (e.g., PLC, | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|-------------|--|---------------------------|--|
| Destination College | K-5 | | statt | ONCE EVERY NINE | Classroom walkthroughs | Principal Dean |

| | Phelps | | |
|---|--------|--|--|
| - | | | |

Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developn | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Additional Goal #1

Increase College and Career Awareness (i.e., Destination College, AVID, schoolwide activities) Goal(s)

Additional Goal #2

All Elementary Students will Read Independently on Grade Level by Age Nine Goal:

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | d on the analysis of stud ed of improvement for th | | nd referen | ce to "G | uiding Questions", identi | fy and define areas | |
| 1. Ac | dditional Goal #2 All Ele | mentary Students will | | | | | |
| Read Independently on Grade Level by Age Nine Goal | | | | I 85% of all third grader students will score at a Level 3 or | | | |
| | | | ad above | | | | |
| Inde | ependently on Grade Le | vel by Age Nine Goal # | 1: | | | | |
| 2012 Current level: | | | 2013 | B Expected level: | | | |
| The 2012 FCAT results showed that 82% (127) of all third graders taking the FCAT Reading test scored at Level 3 or higher. | | | | By July 2013, at least 85% of third grade students taking the FCAT Reading test will score a Level 3 or above. | | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process | to Increas | se Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Perso Posi Respons Monit | tion sible for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | Third grade students with a retention based on 2012 FCAT Reading results. | Provide an additional hour of intensive instruction outside of the 90 minute reading block. | Reading C CRT Dean | Coach | Imagination Station Comprehension and Phonics Skill Bag Assessments | Edusoft Reading Mini Assessments Edusoft Reading Benchmark Assessments | |

| 1 | | Student will work (3 to 5 times per week) with trained paraprofessionls using instructional strategies based on student data while focusing on the learning needs of the student. | | | FAIR STAR Reading Assessment FCAT Reading |
|---|---|--|-----|---------------------|---|
| 2 | Designing and communicating clear learning goals. | Train classroom teachers how to design effective learning goals and scales based on Marzano's Instructional Strategies. | CRT | Lesson plan reviews | Edusoft Reading Mini Assessments Edusoft Reading Benchmark Assessments FAIR ForeSight Reading Assessment |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | (e.g., PLC, | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|-------------|--|-----|--|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | lent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Additional Goal #2

All Elementary Students will Read Independently on Grade Level by Age Nine Goal(s)

Additional Goal #3

Increase number of classrooms actively implementing the Orange County Public Schools Character Development Plan.

Goal:

| | d on the analysis of stud ed of improvement for th | ent achievement data, a e following group: | nd refe | erence to "G | uiding Questions", identi | fy and define areas |
|---|---|---|--------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Additional Goal #3 Increase number of classrooms actively implementing the Orange County Public Schools Character Development Plan. Goal Additional Goal #3 Increase number of classrooms actively implementing the Orange County Public Schools Character Development Plan. Goal #1: | | | 10 im | 100% of the Avalon Elementary classrooms will actively implement the Orange County Public Schools Character Development Plan by June 2012. | | |
| 2012 | Current level: | | 20 |)13 Expecte | d level: | |
| In the 2011 school year, 0% of the Avalon Elementary classrooms actively implemented the Orange County Public Schools Character Development Plan. | | | | By June 2012, 100% of the K-5 classrooms are committed to building honorable character at Avalon Elementary by implementing the Orange County Public Schools Character Development Plan. | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | to Incr | rease Stude | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | P Resp | erson or Position ponsible for pnitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Finding time within the school day to teach and discuss character education. | Mr. Rick Videos Highlight a Monthly Character Development Trait Character Education Themed Leveled- Readers Monthly OCPS Public Service Announcement Video on Wave TV Show Display Character Development Posters on Campus | Don V Classr Teach | room | Number of in-school and out-of-school suspensions | Class Walkthroughs Observations |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| | PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|---|------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| (| OCPS | | | | | Classroom walkthroughs | |

| Character Development Plan | К-5 | Don Vega | K-5 classroom teachers | | Number of in- school and out-of- school suspensions | Don Vega |
|----------------------------------|-----|----------|---------------------------|--|--|----------|
|----------------------------------|-----|----------|---------------------------|--|--|----------|

Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Developn | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.0 |

End of Additional Goal #3

Increase number of classrooms actively implementing the Orange County Public Schools Character Development Plan.

Goal(s)

Additional Goal #4

Increase by 3 to 5% the percent of VPK students who will enter elementary school ready based on FLKRS data (score 70% and above). Goal:

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and in need of improvement for the following group: | reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas |
|---|--|
| 1. Additional Goal #4 Increase by 3 to 5% the percent of VPK students who will enter elementary school ready based on FLKRS data (score 70% and above). Goal Additional Goal #4 Increase by 3 to 5% the percent of VPK students who will enter elementary school ready based on FLKRS data (score 70% and above). Goal #1: | Avalon Elementary will increase VPK students' readiness by 3% based on FLKRS 2012 score. |
| 2012 Current level: | 2013 Expected level: |
| Data not yet released. | By July 2013, Avalon Elementary will increase VPK students' readiness by 3% based on FLKRS 2012 score. |
| Problem-Solving Process to I | ncrease Student Achievement |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---------------------|-------------|--|--|-----------------|
| 1 | | orientation | Principal CRT Kindergarten Teachers | Parent sign-in sheets Classroom observations | FLKRS |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | (e.g. , PLC, | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--------------|--|-----|--|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | | | Available |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Additional Goal #4

Increase by 3 to 5% the percent of VPK students who will enter elementary school ready based on FLKRS data (score 70% and above). Goal (s)

Additional Goal #5

All students will become fluent in all four basic mathematical operations (whole numbers) by grade four; adding and subtracting decimals by the end of fifth grade.

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| Additional Goal #5 All students will become fluent in all four basic mathematical operations (whole numbers) by grade four; adding and subtracting decimals by the end of fifth grade. (Reference Math Goals 1, 3, 4, and 5) Goal Additional Goal #5 All students will become fluent in all four basic mathematical operations (whole numbers) by grade four; adding and subtracting decimals by the end of fifth grade. (Reference Math Goals 1, 3, 4, and 5) Goal #1: | | | h 91% of all stu in at a Level 3 o | 91% of all students taking the 2013 FCAT Math will score at a Level 3 or above. | | |
| 2012 | Current level: | | 2013 Expect | 2013 Expected level: | | |
| | of all students scored at FCAT Math. | a Level 3 or above on th | 5 5 . | By July 2013, 91% of students will score at a Level 3 or above on the FCAT Math assessment. | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stud | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Reference Math Goals 1, 3, 4, and 5 | Reference Math Goals 1, 3, 4, and 5 | Reference Math Goals 1, 3, 4, and 5 | Reference Math Goals 1, 3, 4, and 5 | Reference Math Goals 1, 3, 4, and 5 | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Reference Math Goals 1, 3, 4, and 5 | | | | | | Reference Math Goals 1, 3, 4, and 5 |

Budget:

| Evidence-based Progr | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | · | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | |

| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Professional Developme | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Additional Goal #5

All students will become fluent in all four basic mathematical operations (whole numbers) by grade four; adding and subtracting decimals by the end of fifth grade.

(Reference Math Goals 1, 3, 4, and 5) Goal(s)

Additional Goal #6

All students will be proficient in FCAT mathematics, reading, science and writing and all students will demonstrate learning gains in reading and math.

(Reference Reading Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Math Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Science Goals 1, 2; and Writing Goal 1) Goal:

| | d on the analysis of studeed of improvement for the | | nd r | reference to "G | uiding Questions", identif | y and define areas |
|---|---|---|--------------------|--|---|--|
| Additional Goal #6 All students will be proficient in FCAT mathematics, reading, science and writing and all students will demonstrate learning gains in reading and math. (Reference Reading Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Math Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Science Goals 1, 2; and Writing Goal 1) Goal Additional Goal #6 All students will be proficient in FCAT mathematics, reading, science and writing and all students will demonstrate learning gains in reading and math. (Reference Reading Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Math Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Science Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Math Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Science Goals 1, 2; and Writing Goal 1) Goal #1: | | | | Math 91%, Wri | nce on the 2013 FCAT Re iting 97%, and Science 8 on FCAT Reading 85% a | 2% |
| 2012 | Current level: | | | 2013 Expected level: | | |
| High performance on the 2012 FCAT Reading 85%, Math 88%, Writing 97%, and Science 79% Learning gains on FCAT Reading 82% and Math 81% | | | | By July 2013, high performance on the 2013 FCAT Reading will be 88%, Math 91%, Writing 97%, and Science 82% Learning gains on FCAT Reading 85% and Math 84% | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | | 0.0 | 0 | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | | Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Reference Reading Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Math Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Science Goals 1, 2; and Writing Goal 1 | Reference Reading Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Math Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Science Goals 1, 2; and Writing Goal 1 | Rea 2, 3 Goa | ference ading Goals 1, 3, 4, 5; Math als 1, 2, 3, 4, Science Goals | Reference Reading Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Math Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Science Goals 1, 2; and Writing Goal 1 | Reference Reading Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Math Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Science Goals |

| 1, 2; and Writing 1, 2; and Writing Goal 1 Goal 1 |
|---|
|---|

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Reference | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader Reference | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Reference | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| Goals 1, 2, 3, 4 5: Math | Reference Reading Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Math Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Science Goals 1, 2; and Writing Goal 1 | Reading Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Math Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; | Reference Reading Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Math Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Science Goals 1, 2; and Writing Goal 1 | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Math Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; | Reference Reading Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Math Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Science Goals | Reference Reading Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Math Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Science Goals 1, 2; and Writing Goal 1 |

Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | m(s)/Material(s) | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developme | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Additional Goal #6

All students will be proficient in FCAT mathematics, reading, science and writing and all students will demonstrate learning gains in reading and math.

(Reference Reading Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Math Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Science Goals 1, 2; and Writing Goal 1) Goal(s)

Additional Goal #7

Maintain High Fine Arts Enrollment Percentage Goal:

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|-------------------|--|--|
| 1. Additional Goal #7 Maintain High Fine Arts Enrollment Percentage Goal Additional Goal #7 Maintain High Fine Arts Enrollment Percentage Goal #1: | | | Maintain 100% Arts. | Maintain 100% of Avalon students enrolled in the Fine Arts. | | | |
| 2012 | Current level: | | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected level: | | | |
| 100% | of Avalon students are o | enrolled in the Fine Arts. | By July 2013, 7 the Fine Arts. | By July 2013, 100% of Avalon students will be enrolled in the Fine Arts. | | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Funding for fine arts programs | Provide school funds as requested to maintian Fine Arts programs | Principal Registrar | Classroom observations | Enrollment Report | | |
| | | | Dean | | | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| | PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | (e.g. , PLC, | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|---|------------------------|---|--------------|--|-----|--|
| ſ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | · | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Additional Goal #7

Maintain High Fine Arts Enrollment Percentage Goal(s)

Additional Goals #8

Eliminate the disproportionate classification and placement of minority students in special education Goal:

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|
| class | lditional Goals #8 Elimi ification and placemen ial education Goal | | | | | | |
| class | tional Goals #8 Elimina ification and placemen ial education Goal #1: | 1 1 |)) | f identified ESE students | are minority. | | |
| 2012 | Current level: | | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected level: | | | |
| | 5) of identified ESE stude r total population is mino | | 5 5 | By July 2013, 9% or less of identified ESE students will be minority students. | | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Re | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 1 | New enrollments already placed in special education Providing funds for adeguate interventions | Completion of MTSS process for all students being considered for Exceptional Education services | Principal School Psychologist Staffing | RtI Meetings Classroom observations | EDW report SMS ESE report | | |
| | and afterschool tutoring | | Coordinator | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | (e.g. , PLC, | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--------------|--|-----|--|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Budget:

| Evidence-based Progr | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Additional Goals #8

Eliminate the disproportionate classification and placement of minority students in special education Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Prograr | n(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|----------------|------------------|
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Florida Ready by Curriculum Associates | Florida Ready provides a combination of assessment and intensive instruction on all the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards. | School Budget | \$4,000.00 |
| Reading | ForeSight Assessment | ForeSight Assessments provides in depth feeback data allowing teachers to drive their instruction. The data pinpoints where to focus instruction to maximize learning. | School Budget | \$6,000.00 |
| CELLA | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | Envision Math Daily Homework | Scientific, evidence- based math program with empirical data that proves its effectiveness in increasing student math achievement. | School Budget | \$425.00 |
| Science | Essential Labs | Materials to conduct investigations to support benchmarks Florida students are required to master. | School Budget | \$300.00 |
| Writing | WriteScore | Student essays are hand-scored according to state-mandated criteria and reflect similar findings. Analysis of student writing identifies strengths and weaknesses to inform the most effective instruction. | School Budget | \$1,700.00 |
| Attendance | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Parent Involvement | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| STEM | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #1 Increase College and Career Awareness (i.e., Destination College, AVID, schoolwide activities) | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #2 All Elementary Students will Read Independently on Grade Level by Age Nine | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #3 Increase number of classrooms actively implementing the Orange County Public Schools Character Development Plan. | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #4 Increase by 3 to 5% the percent of VPK students who will enter elementary school ready based on FLKRS data (score 70% and above). | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #5 All students will become fluent in all four basic mathematical | | | | |

| operations (whole numbers) by grade four; adding and subtracting decimals by the end of fifth grade. (Reference Math Goals 1, 3, 4, and 5) | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|--|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| Additional Goal #7 Maintain High Fine Arts Enrollment Percentage | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goals #8 Eliminate the disproportionate classification and placement of minority students in special education | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |

Subtotal: \$12,425.00

| Technology | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------|------------------|
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Imagination Station | Imagination Station is a data-driven comprehensive reading intervention program for students K-5. | School Budget | \$6,000.00 |
| Reading | Renaissance Place - Accelerated Reader and STAR Reading | Provides daily information about student reading and makes it easy to continuously monitor comprehension, track the time students spend reading, and differentiate reading practice. | School Budget | \$4,000.00 |
| Reading | Brainchild WebAchiever | Formative assessment and instructional FCAT online assessment program to assess and adjust instruction on Next Generation Standards, as measured by the FCAT tests. | School Budget | \$950.00 |
| CELLA | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Writing | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Attendance | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Parent Involvement | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| STEM | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #1 Increase College and Career Awareness (i.e., Destination College, AVID, schoolwide activities) | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #2 All Elementary Students will Read Independently on Grade Level by Age Nine | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #3 Increase number of classrooms actively implementing the Orange County Public Schools Character Development Plan. | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #4 Increase by 3 to 5% the percent of VPK students who will enter elementary school ready based on FLKRS data (score 70% | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |

| and above). | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Additional Goal #5 All students will become fluent in all four basic mathematical operations (whole numbers) by grade four; adding and subtracting decimals by the end of fifth grade. (Reference Math Goals 1, 3, 4, and 5) | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #7 Maintain High Fine Arts Enrollment Percentage Additional Goals #8 | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Eliminate the disproportionate classification and placement of minority students in special education | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$10,950.00 |
| Professional Developme | nt | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| CELLA | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Writing | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Attendance | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Parent Involvement | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| STEM | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #1 Increase College and Career Awareness (i.e., Destination College, AVID, schoolwide activities) | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #2 All Elementary Students will Read Independently on Grade Level by Age Nine | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #3 Increase number of classrooms actively implementing the Orange County Public Schools Character Development Plan. | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #4 Increase by 3 to 5% the percent of VPK students who will enter elementary school ready based on FLKRS data (score 70% and above). | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #5 All students will become fluent in all four basic mathematical operations (whole numbers) by grade four; adding and subtracting decimals by the end of fifth grade. (Reference Math Goals 1, 3, 4, and 5) | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #7 Maintain High Fine Arts Enrollment Percentage | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goals #8 Eliminate the | | | | |

| disproportionate classification and placement of minority students in special education | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|--|----------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| CELLA | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Vathematics | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Writing | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Attendance | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Parent Involvement | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| STEM | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #1 Increase College and Career Awareness (i.e., Destination College, AVID, schoolwide activities) | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #2 All Elementary Students will Read Independently on Grade Level by Age Nine | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #3 Increase number of classrooms actively implementing the Orange County Public Schools Character Development Plan. | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #4 Increase by 3 to 5% the percent of VPK students who will enter elementary school ready based on FLKRS data (score 70% and above). | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #5 All students will become fluent in all four basic mathematical operations (whole numbers) by grade four; adding and subtracting decimals by the end of fifth grade. (Reference Math Goals 1, 3, 4, and 5) | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goal #7 Maintain High Fine Arts Enrollment Percentage | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Additional Goals #8 Eliminate the disproportionate classification and blacement of minority students in special education | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | | Grand Total: \$23,375.00 |

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 9/13/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Projected use of SAC Funds | Amount |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| No allocation of funds for SAC. | \$0.00 |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The 2012-2013 School Advisory Council for Avalon Elementary will be proactive in approving and monitoring this years SChool Improvement Plan

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010 SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Orange School District AVALON ELEMENTARY 2010-2011 | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|--|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 95% | 98% | 98% | 95% | | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 74% | 78% | | | 152 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | | 91% (YES) | | | | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 708 | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | A | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |

| 2009-2010 | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|--|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 94% | 95% | 95% | 82% | | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 71% | 74% | | | 145 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 67% (YES) | 78% (YES) | | | | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 656 | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | A | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |