### FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: WINGATE OAKS CENTER

District Name: Broward

Principal: Sarah T. Hausman

SAC Chair: David Riddle

Superintendent: Robert Runcie

Date of School Board Approval: December 4, 2012

Last Modified on: 10/23/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Sarah T. Hausman	Ed.S- Educational Leadership, M.S. – Guidance B.A Elementary Education Certification: Ed Leadership ESE Guidance Elementary Ed. ESOL	13	22	2011-2012: AMO Progress: Reading- Improving, Math-Declining 2010-2011: School Grade: N/A, AYP: yes (100%) 2009-2010: School Grade: N/A, AYP: no (95%) 2008-2009: School Grade: N/A, AYP: no (90%) 2007-2008: School Grade: N/A, AYP: no (90%) 2006-2007: School Grade: N/A, AYP: no (95%) 2006-2006: School Grade: N/A, AYP: no (92%) 2004-2005: School Grade: N/A, AYP: yes 2003-2004: School Grade: N/A, AYP: yes
					2011-2012: AMO Progress: Reading- Improving, Math-Declining 2010-2011: School Grade: N/A, AYP: yes

Assis Principal	Allen Valentine	M.S Ed. Leadership, Certification: Ed Leadership Emotionally Handicapped Principal (all Levels)	12	12	(100%) 2009-2010: School Grade: N/A, AYP: no (95%) 2008-2009: School Grade: N/A, AYP: no (90%) 2007-2008: School Grade: N/A, AYP: no (90%) 2006-2007: School Grade: N/A, AYP: no (95%) 2005-2006: School Grade: N/A, AYP: no (92%) 2004-2005: School Grade: N/A, AYP: yes 2003-2004: School Grade: N/A, AYP: yes
-----------------	--------------------	--	----	----	--

#### INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
ESE	Ruthanne Newell	Varying Exceptionalities (K-12), ESOL Endorsement	12	15	2011-2012: AMO Progress: Reading- Improving, Math-Declining 2010-2011: School Grade: N/A, AYP: yes (100%) 2009-2010: School Grade: N/A, AYP: no (95%) 2008-2009: School Grade: N/A, AYP: no (90%) 2007-2008: School Grade: N/A, AYP: no (95%) 2005-2006: School Grade: N/A, AYP: no (95%) 2005-2006: School Grade: N/A, AYP: no (92%) 2004-2005: School Grade: N/A, AYP: yes

#### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Teachers receive consultation through instructional coaching in scheduling, teaching skills relevant to independent functioning and problem solving.	Al Valentine	Ongoing	
2	······································	Ruthanne Newell	Ongoing	
3	Teachers receive support and consultation in IEP development and data collection as well as the implementation of benchmark assessments through instructional coaching.	David Riddle	Ongoing	
4	Teachers receive in-class support through the intervention (as needed) of the behavior team for problem students, as well as assistance with data collection/review.	Jason Miletsky	Ongoing	

#### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
0%(0)	

#### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
35	0.0%(0)	28.6%(10)	25.7%(9)	45.7%(16)	28.6%(10)	45.7%(16)	25.7%(9)	8.6%(3)	34.3%(12)

#### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale	Planned Mentoring
	Assigned	for Pairing	Activities
Ruthanne Newell/David Riddle	Briana joseph	Curriculum Specialist and ESE Specialist	Clin. Ed.

### ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

#### Coordination and Integration

#### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Title I, Part D

Title II

Title III

Title X- Homeless

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Violence Prevention Programs

Nutrition Programs

Housing Programs

Head Start

Adult Education

Career and Technical Education

Job Training

Other

#### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

School-based MTSS/Rtl Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

David Riddle- ESE Specialist and RTI Coordinator, Sarah Hausman- Principal, Al Valentine- Intern Principal, Ruthanne Newell-Curriculum Specialist and Autism Coach, Jason Miletsky- Behavior Specialist, Sherel Lee- School Nurse, Sarah Sadler-Santiago-School Nurse, Robin Paino- Social Worker and other school-based personnel as needed including, but not limited to Classroom Teachers of targeted students, Speech/Language Pathologists, School Psychologist, Occupational Therapists and Physical Therapists

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The MTSS Leadership Team meets biweekly to review data and identify patterns that may require intervention. Teachers with challenging student issues can also attend these meetings and seek assistance from the MTSS Leadership Team. The ESE Specialist serves as a case manager for all students requiring intervention. The Intern Principal schedules Quarterly Classroom Review Meetings (Tier 1 Rtl). Classroom teachers and the Speech/Language Pathologist assigned to the students in the classroom are invited to meet with support staff (principal, Intern Principal, Curriculum specialist, ESE Specialist and Behavior Specialist) to identify and reflect on student progress and successes. The basic components of Rtl are applied first to all students in a school (i.e., grade level and classroom level) to determine what percentages of the students are responding to the Tier 1 instruction using the "core curriculum" (both academic and behavior). Four questions are asked and can be answered using valid and reliable assessment data:

- Is the core curriculum effective? (80 percent of students making benchmarks)
- Have students had access to effective curriculum? (Barriers to access may include excessive student or teacher absence, high student mobility rates, restrictive environments, excessive suspensions, etc.)
- Which students are not meeting academic or behavioral expectations?
- Does any over-representation of particular student sub-groups (i.e., grade level, classroom, AYP subgroup) exist in those students identified at-risk? Is Tier 1 equally effective for different student subgroups?

At this point, Support Team Members are assigned as "point persons" to work at classroom interventions (researching curriculum/classroom management strategies and coaching their implementation). As part of this process the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan group dynamics (Tier 2 RtI) may be identified, and a point person maybe assigned to take and analyze data related to the situation. Follow up meetings will then be scheduled bi-weekly to review progress and review the following:

- Data collection related to interventions delivered to smaller groups of students, either in the classroom environment or outside of the classroom as part of the exceptional student educational environment.
- Interventions must be provided in addition to core instruction (Tier 1). Increased Academic Engaged Time (AET) influences student academic achievement to a significant extent (Cancelli, 1993).
- Interventions focus on particular skill areas that need strengthening.

Progress monitoring of student performance is conducted frequently with the same measures used to assess Tier 1

performance, as well as additional measures specific to the particular skill targeted or the supplemental intervention implemented. In an effective Tier 2 intervention, approximately 70 percent of the students receiving Tier 2 instruction should have a positive response to intervention and demonstrate rates of progress represented by aim lines that will reach benchmark performance. A small percentage of students will not respond to Tier 2 levels of instruction and will require the most intensive instruction (Tier 3). Classroom teachers with specific concerns regarding student progress make a request to David Riddle (MTSS Coordinator) to schedule an RTI Meeting (Tier 3 RtI). All stakeholders and ESE Service providers are required to attend and outside assistance (Area/District Level Personnel) are consulted and invited to attend as needed. This personnel includes: Administration, Support Staff (ESE, Curriculum, and Behavior Specialists), Parents, ESE Classroom Teachers, additional Service Providers (Speech/Language Pathologists, Occupational and Physical Therapists), Nursing Staff, Social Worker, Psychologist Tier 3 interventions are developed based on individual student needs following a problem-solving process that will use additional formal and/or informal diagnostic assessment, allowing more in-depth problem analysis to inform intervention development. Additional methods of progress monitoring of intervention effectiveness include those used at Tiers 1 and 2, but may also include additional measures that are unique to more narrowly defined skills. Characteristics of Tier 3 interventions are:

- Interventions are delivered to students individually.
- Interventions must be highly focused on targeted skill areas with increased duration and frequency and be provided in alignment with and in addition to the effective Tier 1 and Tier 2 instruction.
- All Tier 3 interventions must be integrated with Tier 1 and Tier 2 instruction.

These cannot be three completely different interventions that do not strengthen the work of the other interventions and core instruction. Each tier of the RtI approach defines the level and intensity of services required for a student to progress. A student is described as receiving Tier 1, Tier 2, or Tier 3 services. The three intervention tiers are on a continuum that is fluid, as the student's level of need dictates the level of support. The actual length of time that an intervention is implemented depends on the student's response to the intervention and time period required for the target skills or behavior to develop. The problem-solving process is used to make the necessary decisions within each tier. The "response" component of RtI requires two specific actions. First, a student responses that reflect those needs must be assessed in a reliable and valid manner. The "intervention" component of RtI also requires two specific skill applications. First, intervention for the type of need, the demographics of the student population (e.g., gender, race, language), and the setting factors (levels of supervision, number of students in the room). Second, evidence must exist that the intervention was implemented with fidelity and that the level of implementation (e.g., number of minutes per week) was documented.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS Leadership Team monitors the continuous improvement teaching / learning cycle which include the following steps:

- Disaggregate test data to identify instructional groups and identify weak and strong objective areas
- Develop an instructional timeline
- Deliver the instructional focus
- Administer frequent assessments
- Provide tutorials to re-teach target areas not mastered
- · Provide enrichment opportunities for students who have mastered target areas
- Reinforce learning through maintenance
- Monitor progress

By disaggregating test data, an Instructional Timeline and Instructional Focus Calendar will be developed as part of the School Improvement Plan for instructing the benchmarks in the core subject areas, specifically the tested benchmarks. The MTSS Leadership team supports teachers as they collaboratively evaluate student data and develop the instructional timeline and instructional calendar, which will be re-evaluated after each scheduled assessment. Analysis of data will determine which students require tutorials vs. enrichment. Ongoing monitoring of data with frequent classroom walk- throughs will be one of the most essential elements of this improvement model.

#### MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Formative Evaluation: Wingate Oaks Center uses Instructional Focus Calendars to make changes to our curriculum maps and to plan for the Florida Alternate Assessment

• At Tier 1, Data Sources include results from Weekly Benchmark Assessments correlated to Access Points for Sunshine State Standards, as well as curriculum data resulting from pre- and post-test for Go Math, Moving with Math, Meville to Weville and

Unique Curriculum.

• At Tier 2 and Tier 3, individual Intervention records and progress monitoring graphs are used as data resources.

• The SIP at Wingate Oaks Center is used in two ways: to prepare students to meet the High Standards set through the use of Access Points to Sunshine State Standards and to prepare students as much as possible with the life skills and functional tools to participate to the maximum extent possible as adult members of the community. The SAC and school staff monitor implementation of the SIP through data related to the mastery of IEP goals, lesson plan checks, and individually identified learning targets (academic and behavioral) are monitored by classroom teachers as they follow the curriculum map and assessed through school-developed Assessments based on the Florida Alternate Assessment format. Data collection related to curriculum, behavior, and Specific goals related to the mastery of IEP objectives are monitored by members of the MTSS Leadership Team.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Wingate Oaks Center staff are continually a part of the MTSS Model through formal training related to providing instruction in Benchmark Assessments that develop progress monitoring on each student's individual progress toward mastery of IEP goals and Access Points for the Sunshine State Standards during Pre-Planning Activities (provided through Support Staff on-site), through specific instruction in the setting, documentation and measuring of IEP Goals and objectives, as well as ongoing training in Behavior and Curriculum Data Collection, and Individualized Coaching based on problem-solving strategies specific to their ESE students.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Ongoing training in Behavior and Curriculum Data Collection, and Individualized Coaching based on problem-solving strategies specific to their ESE students. In addition, Quarterly Classroom data chats serve as a review of supports needed for continued success for each student by class.

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Sarah Hausman- Principal, Al Valentine-Intern Principal, Ruthanne Newell- Curriculum Specialist and Autism Coach, David Riddle- ESE Specialist and SAC Chair, Karen Hoehman - Speech/Language Pathologist, Roberta Verran-ESE Teacher, Noel Doglione- ESE Teacher, Beatriz Garcia- ESE Teacher

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Leadership Team meets monthly to develop an Instructional Timeline and Instructional Focus Calendar for instructing the benchmarks in the core subject areas, specifically the tested benchmarks in reading and writing. The Master Schedule is developed to maximize direct instructional time, therapeutic interventions, physical education, fine arts and quality of life needs. The Curriculum Specialist works closely with the Speech/Language pathologists to make sure that each student has a consistently used and functional communication system that they can use to express their wants and needs, and use as a means to access literature. Other team members assist in the review of weekly student data to determine which students require additional tutorials and enrichment.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The Literacy Leadership Team will work collaboratively to evaluate weekly student data and determine which students require tutorials vs. enrichment. Ongoing monitoring of data with frequent classroom walk-throughs will be one of the most essential elements of this improvement model. Each student at Wingate Oaks Center in grades K-11 is working several times a day in Direct Instruction or Small Group Instruction working on Access Points to Sunshine State Standards in Reading and Writing (and also Math and Science) at their Cognitive Level. Access Points are Curriculum Mapped annually and each teacher documents the Access Points taught for the week in their plan books. Additionally, each student in grades 3-11 is participating in the Florida Alternate Assessment. Two additional major initiatives for this school year include the Literacy Fair and the continued development of the Media Center, to provide students with disabilities access to literature utilizing a multimedia approach to provide modified content with accommodations necessary for each individual to actively engage in reading and writing within the limitations of their cognitive ability. Information regarding Reading activities and progress monitoring will be shared with teachers through faculty meetings, team meetings, data chats, classroom reviews and literacy team meeting notes shared on the Wingate Oaks Conference site.

#### Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Preschool Children can transition from a Specialized ESE Pre-school Program, such as Baudhuin Oral School, ARC Preschool, The Broward Children's Center, if their IEP indicates the need for a Separate ESE Day School upon entering Kindergarten. When this occurs ESE Program Specialists notify the ESE Specialist at Wingate Oaks Center. The ESE Specialist then schedules an observation of the student at their current placement, including relevant personnel (such as the Autism Specialist, or the Behavior Specialist, as necessary). The ESE Specialist is also responsible for working with the student's family and ESE Personnel at the current school to set up a transition meeting, where the student's needs are considered and a placement decision is made. Finally the ESE Specialist is responsible for assisting the family with the registration process.

#### \*Grades 6-12 Only

#### Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Grade Level Instructional Focus Calendars, Curriculum Maps and Weekly Benchmark Assessments have been developed for each grade level. The Literacy Leadership Team will monitor weekly benchmark assessment data based on the Access Points to The Sunshine State Standards for Reading and Language Arts, looking for continuous improvement in reading and language arts. Speech/Language Pathologists will vist each classroom every week to ensure that each student is being presented information in the specific way that they learn best and that each student has access to an appropriately developed communication system that they can understand and use effectively. Reading activities for each student will be planned around the use of the communication system, as supervised by our Curriculum Specialist, to make sure that instruction is appropriately accessible and appropriately paced.

#### \*High Schools Only

#### Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Students at Wingate Oaks Center require careful planning of their schedules to make sure that they are taking courses that will lead to having the right number of credits when it comes time for them to graduate on time with their peers. Support Staff have developed a carefully crafted suggested Program of Study to assure that appropriate course decision are made on behalf of students who, due to a disability, are unable to plan their own educational schedules. Schedules will be assigned to each student at the beginning of the school year. Students entering the school after the start of the school year will be the subject of a course review and corrective course schedules will be developed by the Intern Principal to get students on track to graduate on time with their peers. Progress of each student will be monitored through course schedules and school credit reports from TERMS.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Support Staff have developed a carefully crafted suggested Program of Study to assure that appropriate course decision are made on behalf of students who, due to a disability, are unable to plan their own educational schedules. Due to the nature of their disabilities, students at Wingate Oaks Center are all pursuing a Special Diploma, Option One. Once students have enough credits to graduate, they are invited to return for FAPE in our transition InD Center PASS Program, where they are given the opportunity to pursue activities within five separate curriculum bands. Data is collected regarding which activities the students prefer as well as which ones they have an affinity for. When a student begins to develop a pattern of activities that suggest a preferred curriculum band, then the student is given additional activities and instruction in that area in addition to the behavioral, pacing, and instructional support necessary for their continued success.

#### Postsecondary Transition

#### Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School

#### Feedback Report

For the students at Wingate Oaks Center, Postsecondary Transition is part of the IEP Process. Starting at age 14, member of the IEP committee begin to identify Postsecondary goals for each student in the areas of Education, Employment, and Independent Living. The student's IEP is then designed in order to help each student make reasonable progress toward the meeting of those goals. In addition, each student prior to entering 9th grade is assisted to complete an ePEP, which identifies interests for each student and identifies courses that are necessary for the student to take in order to meet their individual career goals.

In High School, targeted students are also selected for On the Job Training (OJT) opportunities, as well as Community-Based Instruction (CBI), in order to maximize their potential as members of the community.

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

### **Reading Goals**

N/A

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

N/A

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading. Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. Reading Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Effectiveness of Responsible for Monitoring Strategy

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:	By May 2013, 42% (14) of students in grades 3 through10 will score within the Proficient 4 Performance Descriptor Level or higher for Supported Level Students on reading skills as measured by the Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA).				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
29.62% (9) students who take the Florida Alternate Assessment scored at Levels 4, 5 and 6 in reading.	42% (14) of students in grades 3 through10 will score within the Proficient 4 Performance Descriptor Level or higher in reading.				

N/A

N/A

N/A

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1	Students are unable to retain sight word vocabulary.	Small group instruction ULS Lessons	Classroom Teacher ESE Specialist	ULS post testing	ULS				
2	Students have difficulty with comprehension skills.	0 1	Classroom Teacher ESE Specialist	ULS post testing	ULS				
3	population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make	All classroom instructors will include in their lesson plans activities leading to the development of a cook book, creating social time with other classes monthly, relating	Team Leaders	Unique Learning Template of questions to ask students.	Graded product based on rubric established in cooperation by the reading committee and teachers to be completed weekly,				

	allowable course accommodations and modifications.	vocabulary and answering questions relating to the cooking activity.			Florida Alternate Assessment. Concepts of Print Checklist.
4	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	Classrooms will participate in stories read by "Mystery Readers" monthly utilizing Skype and other software to participate.	Curriculum Specialist, Literacy Leadership Team Chair	will be kept in student portfolios. Documentation of specific reading access points/curriculum maps will be within	based on rubric established by reading committee to be done 4 times
5	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	Teachers will provide students with individualized instruction based on learning needs to increase acquisition of targeted reading skills. Resources to assist with this will include (as applicable) adaptive reading books including talking pen, iPad storybooks, and magazines using a mobile library cart.	Curriculum Specialist, Literacy Leadership Team Chair	graded student products will be kept in student portfolios. Documentation of specific reading	Graded product based on rubric established in cooperation by the reading committee and teachers to be completed weekly, Florida Alternate Assessment. Concepts of Print Checklist.
6	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	All students will continue to demonstrate acquisition of pre-reading skills according to their ability level as demonstrated by their score on comprehension questions related to weekly stories in Unique Curriculum and News-2- You.	Specialist, Literacy	graded student products will be kept in student portfolios. Documentation of specific reading access points/curriculum	Graded product based on rubric established by reading committee to be done 4 times per year, Florida Alternate Assessment.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. Reading Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading.

Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.

Reading Goal #2b:							
2012 Current Level of I	2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
0% (0)			2% (1)				
	Problem-Solvi	ng Process to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted							

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
gains	CAT 2.0: Percentage of s in reading. ing Goal #3a:	tudents making learning	Due to our uniq	Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:			
N/A			N/A	N/A			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

	l on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guidir	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:			score five poir Performance E on reading ski	By May 2013, 25% (8) of students in grades 3 through10 will score five points higher within the Emergent 1, 2 or 3 Performance Descriptor Level for Participatory Level students on reading skills as measured by the Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA).		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
22% (7)			25% (8)	25% (8)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students	All classroom instructors will include in their lesson	Team Leaders	Unique Learning Template of questions to ask	Graded product based on rubric	

1	identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	plans activities leading to the development of a cook book, creating social time with other classes monthly, relating vocabulary and answering questions relating to the cooking activity.		students.	established in cooperation by the reading committee and teachers to be completed weekly, Florida Alternate Assessment. Concepts of Print Checklist.
2	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	Classrooms will participate in stories read by "Mystery Readers" monthly utilizing Skype and other software to participate.	Curriculum Specialist, Literacy Leadership Team Chair	graded student products will be kept in student portfolios. Documentation of specific reading	Graded product based on rubric established in cooperation by the reading committee and teachers to be completed weekly, Florida Alternate Assessment. Concepts of Print Checklist.
3	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	Teachers will provide students with individualized instruction based on learning needs to increase acquisition of targeted reading skills. Resources to assist with this will include (as applicable) adaptive reading books including talking pen, iPad storybooks, and magazines using a mobile library cart.	Curriculum Specialist, Literacy Leadership Team Chair		Graded product based on rubric established in cooperation by the reading committee and teachers to be completed weekly, Florida Alternate Assessment. Concepts of Print Checklist.
4	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	All students will continue to demonstrate acquisition of pre-reading skills according to their ability level as demonstrated by their score on comprehension questions related to weekly stories in Unique Curriculum and New-2- You.	Specialist, Literacy	will be kept in student portfolios. Documentation of specific reading	based on rubric established by

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:	Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.						
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:						
N/A	N/A						
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Person or Process Used to						

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

				Deeding Cool	11.				
Measu	urable Ob I will red	but Achievable bjectives (AMOs uce their achie	). In six year	score fi Performa	016, ve p nce	oints higher Descriptor Le	withi evel f	n grades 3 throu n the Emergent 1 or Participatory measured by the	, 2 or 3 Level
	ine data D-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-201	4	2014-201	5	2015-2016	2016-2017
		25%	42%	48%		53%		59%	
		analysis of stuc nt for the follow			efere	nce to "Guiding	j Quest	ions", identify and	define areas in need
Hispa satisf	anic, Asia	subgroups by e an, American progress in rea #5B:	ndian) not n			Due to our uniq applicable to ou		dent population, th ol.	is section is not
2012	Current	Level of Perfo	ormance:		:	2013 Expected	d Leve	l of Performance:	
N/A					I	N/A			
			Problem-So	Iving Process	to I n	crease Studer	nt Achi	evement	
	Antic	ipated Barrier	- St	rategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring		rocess Used to Determine ffectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A
of imp 5C. Ei satisf	orovemer nglish La	nt for the follow anguage Learn progress in rea	ing subgroup: ners (ELL) no		1		lue stu	dent population, th	define areas in need is section is not
2012 Current Level of Performance:					2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
N/A					I	N/A			
			Problem-So	Iving Process	toIn	icrease Studer	nt Achi	evement	
	Antic	ipated Barrier	- St	rategy		Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring		rocess Used to Determine ffectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.

1

All students at Wingate Oaks Center have disabilities and as such are all taking the Florida Alternative Assessment, as documented in their Individual Education plans (IEPs). See

Redding oddi // ob.			above FAA-based goals that address their individualized reading needs.			
2012 Current Level of F	2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A			
	Problem-Solving	g Process to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E:			Due to our uniq	Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

# Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Language Arts PLC	Language Arts	ТВА	Language Arts Committee	Monthly	Team Leaders, Classroom Walk- throughs, meeting minutes.	SAC Chair, Intern Principal
Lesson Study	Language Arts	Autism Coach	Grade Level Teams	Monthly	Classroom Walk- throughs.	Autism Coach, Intern Principal
Autism Strategies	Language Arts	Autism Coach	Classrooms with students who have ASD	Pre-Planning	Classroom Walk- throughs, meeting minutes.	Autism Coach, Intern Principal

#### Reading Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
	Curriculum Materials	SAC	\$300.00
			Subtotal: \$300.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$300.00

End of Reading Goals

### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).						
Students speak in Engli	sh and understand spoken Eng	glish at grade le	vel in a manner similar t	o non-ELL students.		
			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
2012 Current Percent	of Students Proficient in lis	stening/speaki	ng:			
Due to our unique stud	ent population, this section is	not applicable	to our school.			
	Problem-Solving Process	s to Increase S	tudent Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	No I	Data Submitted	•			

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

CELLA Goal #2:

Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.							
3. Students scoring proficient in writing. CELLA Goal #3:			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.				
2012 Current Percent	of Students Proficier	nt in writing:					
Due to our unique stude	Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.						
	Problem-Solving F	Process to Increase	Student Achievement	t			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
No Data Submitted							

#### CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00
			End of CELLA Goa

### Elementary School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
		Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A		N/A			
	Problem-Solvin	ng Process to Li	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
for			Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b:	By May 2013, 48% (15) of students in grades 3 through 10 will score within the Proficient 4 Performance Descriptor Level or higher for Supported Level Students on mathematics skills as measured by the Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA).			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
32.1% (10)	48% (15)			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	All students will participate in matching and sequencing in a variety of real-world tasks (i.e., assembling/ disassembling, completing a work system, completing scripting real-world routines, following schedules, etc.). Including work products created by Unique Learning Systems and recycling websites. Activities and work products will be presented/exhibited/showcased at both the Country Fair in the fall and Recycling Fair (replacing the Math Fair) in the Spring.		Teachers will ask each student a weekly benchmark question related to the access point of the week.	Benchmark evaluations, Florida Alternate Assessment, photographs and/or video evidence of activities/ preparation and participation.

2		All teachers (including Specials) will document in their plan books teaching each appropriate benchmark (supported level or participatory level) in their classroom for each Math Body of Knowledge (Algebra, Geometry, Discrete Mathematics, Probability, Trigonometry, Statistics, Financial Literacy) in line with an academic skills curriculum map.	Intern Principal	Teachers will ask each student a weekly benchmark question related to the access point of the week.	Benchmark evaluations, Florida Alternate Assessment.
3	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	All students will participate in collecting, sorting, and charting/graphing/tracking how much recyclables have been collected from their classroom. The recyclables will focus on papers, cans, and plastic. A sample of their graphing will be presented along with a project at the recycling fair. Skills to address will include full/empty, high/low, weight, volume, fractions and counting.		Teachers will ask students at minimum on a monthly basis to sort, count and chart recyclables collected. Collection will occur all during the month, but one day in each month will be dedicated to tracking so that students can see potential tracking variations.	Graphs of monthly tracking.

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fol	student achievement data, and Ilowing group:	l refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2a:		Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		n, this section is not	
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expe	2013 Expected Level of Performance:	
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Process	s to lı	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy for		for .		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data S	Submitted	•	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group:	ence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b:	Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
6.6% (2)	9% (3)

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool
		for Monitoring	Strategy	
		No Data Submitted		

5	ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need f improvement for the following group:				
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a:		Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		ion, this section is not	
2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A		N/A			
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Form		son or ition ponsible toring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Evaluation Tool		Evaluation Tool	
	No	Data S	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b:	By May 2013, 26.4% (11) of students in grades 3 through 10 will score within the Emergent 2 or 3 Performance Descriptor Level for Participatory Level students on mathematics skills as measured by the Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA).			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
23.3% (7)	26.4% (11)			

		Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student	Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	All students will participate in matching and sequencing in a variety of real-world tasks (i.e., assembling/ disassembling, completing a work system, completing scripting real-world routines, following schedules, etc.). Including work products created by Unique Learning Systems and recycling websites. Activities and work	Team Leaders, Curriculum Specialist	Teachers will ask each student a weekly benchmark question related to the access point of the week.	Benchmark evaluations, Florida Alternate Assessment, photographs and/or video evidence of activities/ preparation and participation.

		products will be presented/exhibited/showcased at both the Country Fair in the fall and Recycling Fair (replacing the Math Fair) in the Spring.			
2	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	All teachers (including Specials) will document in their plan books teaching each appropriate benchmark (supported level or participatory level) in their classroom for each Math Body of Knowledge (Algebra, Geometry, Discrete Mathematics, Probability, Trigonometry, Statistics, Financial Literacy) in line with an academic skills curriculum map.	Intern Principal	Teachers will ask each student a weekly benchmark question related to the access point of the week.	Benchmark evaluations, Florida Alternate Assessment.
3	identified as having Significant Cognitive	All students will participate in collecting, sorting, and charting/graphing/tracking how much recyclables have been collected from their classroom. The recyclables will focus on papers, cans, and plastic. A sample of their graphing will be presented along with a project at the recycling fair. Skills to address will include full/empty, high/low, weight, volume, fractions and counting.	Team Leaders, Curriculum Specialist	Teachers will ask students at minimum on a monthly basis to sort, count and chart recyclables collected. Collection will occur all during the month, but one day in each month will be dedicated to tracking so that students can see potential tracking variations.	Graphs of monthly tracking of recyclables.

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fo		ata, and refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas in need
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.					
Mathematics Goal #4:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	ance:
	Problem-Solving	Process to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target							
Measurable Ob	Elementary School Mathematics Goal # By May 2016, 63% of students in grades 3 through 10 will score within the Proficient 4 Performance Descriptor Level or higher for Supported Level Students on mathematics skills as measured by the Florida Alternate Assessment				riptor Level		
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

42%	48%	53%	58%		63%			
Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f			erence to "Gu	uiding Quest	ions", identify	and d	lefine areas in n	reed
				Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.				
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
N/A	N/A	N/A						
	Problem-Sc	lving Process to	Increase St	tudent Achi	evement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Pos Res for	rson or sition sponsible nitoring	Process U Determine Effectiven Strategy	9	Evalu	uation Tool	
		No Dat	a Submitted					

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, an Ilowing subgroup:	d refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need	
5C. English Language Le satisfactory progress ir Mathematics Goal #5C:	earners (ELL) not making n mathematics.	Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.				
2012 Current Level of P	2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nce:			
N/A		N/A				
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D:	All students at Wingate Oaks Center have disabilities and as such are all taking the Florida Alternative Assessment, as documented in their Individual Education plans (IEPs). See above FAA-based goals that address their individualized mathmatical needs.					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
N/A	N/A					

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
No Data Submitted							

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
5E. Economically Disady satisfactory progress ir Mathematics Goal #5E:	Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.					
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	ance:	
N/A	N/A					
	Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Perso Posit Resp for Moni		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

### Middle School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, and Illowing group:	d refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas in need
			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	ance:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsibl for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	Nc	o Data S	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b:	By May 2013, 48% (15) of students in grades 3 through 10 will score within the Proficient 4 Performance Descriptor Level or higher for Supported Level Students on mathematics skills as measured by the Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA).
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
32.1% (10)	48% (15)

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	All students will participate in matching and sequencing in a variety of real-world tasks (i.e., assembling/ disassembling, completing a work system, completing scripting real-world routines, following schedules, etc.). Including work products created by Unique Learning Systems and recycling websites. Activities and work products will be presented/exhibited/showcased at both the Country Fair in the fall and Recycling Fair (replacing the Math Fair) in the Spring.	Team Leaders, Curriculum Specialist	Teachers will ask each student a weekly benchmark question related to the access point of the week.	Benchmark evaluations, Florida Alternate Assessment, photographs and/or video evidence of activities/ preparation and participation.			
2	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	All teachers (including Specials) will document in their plan books teaching each appropriate benchmark (supported level or participatory level) in their classroom for each Math Body of Knowledge (Algebra, Geometry, Discrete Mathematics, Probability, Trigonometry, Statistics, Financial Literacy) in line with an academic skills curriculum map.	Intern Principal	Teachers will ask each student a weekly benchmark question related to the access point of the week.	Benchmark evaluations, Florida Alternate Assessment.			
3	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	All students will participate in collecting, sorting, and charting/graphing/tracking how much recyclables have been collected from their classroom. The recyclables will focus on papers, cans, and plastic. A sample of their graphing will be presented along with a project at the recycling fair. Skills to address will include full/empty, high/low, weight, volume, fractions and counting.	Team Leaders, Curriculum Specialist	Teachers will ask students at minimum on a monthly basis to sort, count and chart recyclables collected. Collection will occur all during the month, but one day in each month will be dedicated to tracking so that students can see potential tracking variations.				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2a:			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving	g Process to I	ncrease S <sup>-</sup>	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the for	student achievement data, and ollowing group:	d refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Questions", identi	fy and define areas in need
		Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
6.6% (2)		9% (3)			
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to l	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Perso Posit Resp for Moni		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	) Data (	Submitted	-	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need f improvement for the following group:					
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a:	Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
N/A	N/A				

ΙL

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b:	By May 2013, 26.4% (11) of students in grades 3 through 10 will score within the Emergent 2 or 3 Performance Descriptor Level for Participatory Level students on mathematics skills as measured by the Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA).				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
23.3% (7)	26.4% (11)				

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	All students will participate in matching and sequencing in a variety of real-world tasks (i.e., assembling/ disassembling, completing work system, completing scripting real-world routines, following schedules, etc.). Including work products created by Unique Learning Systems and recycling websites. Activities and work products will be presented/exhibited/showcased at both the Country Fair in the fall and Recycling Fair (replacing the Math Fair) in the Spring.	Team Leaders, Curriculum Specialist	Teachers will ask each student a weekly benchmark question related to the access point of the week.	Benchmark evaluations, Florida Alternate Assessment, photographs and/or video evidence of activities/ preparation and participation.			
2	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	All teachers (including Specials) will document in their plan books teaching each appropriate benchmark (supported level or participatory level) in their classroom for each Math Body of Knowledge (Algebra, Geometry, Discrete Mathematics, Probability, Trigonometry, Statistics, Financial Literacy) in line with an academic skills curriculum map.	Intern Principal	Teachers will ask each student a weekly benchmark question related to the access point of the week.	Benchmark evaluations, Florida Alternate Assessment.			
3	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and	All students will participate in collecting, sorting, and charting/graphing/tracking how much recyclables have been collected from their classroom. The recyclables will focus on papers, cans, and plastic. A sample of their graphing will be presented along with a project	Team Leaders, Curriculum Specialist	Teachers will ask students at minimum on a monthly basis to sort, count and chart recyclables collected. Collection will occur all during the month, but one day in each month will be dedicated to	Graphs of monthly tracking of recyclables.			

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f		t data, and refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", ident	ify and define areas in need
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4:			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	mance:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solvi	ng Process to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Submitted		
Based on Ambitious but	Achievable Annual Me	easurable Object	ives (AMOs	), AMO-2, Reading and	Math Performance Target

			Middle School Mathe	ematics Goal #		
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. 5A			score within or higher for	the Proficient 4	in grades 3 throu Performance Desc Students on math rida Alternate As	riptor Level
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

58%

63%

53%

48%

			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

Based on the analysis of a of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, Ilowing subgroup:	and refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Questions", identify	y and define areas in need
		Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	ance:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Pro	cess to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy for		son or ition ponsible itoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Evaluation Tool		Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D:		All students at Wingate Oaks Center have disabilities and as such are all taking the Florida Alternative Assessment, as documented in their Individual Education plans (IEPs). See above FAA-based goals that address their individualized mathematical needs.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nce:
N/A		N/A			
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Fosit for		son or ition ponsible itoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:				
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5E:	Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A	N/A			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
		No Data Submitted				

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

## Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages,	include the number of students in	ne percentage represents next to the	he percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		
Mathematics Goal #1:	By May 2013, 48% (15) of students in grades 3 through 10 will score within the Proficient 4 Performance Descriptor Level or higher for Supported Level Students on mathematics skills as measured by the Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA).	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:	
32.1% (10)	48% (15)	

Problem-Solving	Drocoss to	Incroaco	Studopt	Achiovomont	
FIODIem-Solving	FIOCESS LO	THCI ease	Student	Achievenient	

F			Person or	Process Used to	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	population are students identified as having Significant	All students will participate in matching and sequencing in a variety of real-world tasks (i.e., assembling/ disassembling, completing a work system, completing scripting real-world routines, following schedules, etc.). Including work products created by Unique Learning Systems and recycling websites. Activities and work products will be presented/exhibited/showcased at both the Country Fair in the fall and Recycling Fair (replacing the Math Fair) in the Spring.		Teachers will ask each student a weekly benchmark question related to the access point of the week.	evaluations, Florida Alternate
2	population are	All teachers (including Specials) will document in their plan books teaching each appropriate benchmark (supported level or participatory level) in their classroom for each Math Body of Knowledge (Algebra, Geometry, Discrete Mathematics, Probability, Trigonometry, Statistics, Financial Literacy) in line with an academic skills curriculum	Intern Principal	Teachers will ask each student a weekly benchmark question related to the access point of the week.	evaluations, Florida Alternate

		map.		
3	population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	All students will participate in collecting, sorting, and charting/graphing/tracking how much recyclables have been collected from their classroom. The recyclables will focus on papers, cans, and plastic. A sample of their graphing will be presented along with a project at the recycling fair. Skills to address will include full/empty, high/low, weight, volume, fractions and counting.	Team Leaders, Curriculum Specialist	-

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
<ul><li>2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.</li><li>Mathematics Goal #2:</li></ul>		Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	nance:
6.6% (2)			9% (3)		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

\_\_\_\_\_

Г

	ed on the analysis of st eed of improvement for	udent achievement data, and re the following group:	eference to "Guidi	ng Questions", identify	and define areas	
<ol> <li>Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students making learning gains in mathematics.</li> <li>Mathematics Goal #3:</li> </ol>			By May 2013, 26.4% (11) of students in grades 3 through 10 will score within the Emergent 2 or 3 Performance Descriptor Level for Participatory Level students on mathematics skills as measured by the Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA).			
201	2 Current Level of Pe	rformance:	2013 Expected L	evel of Performance:		
23.3% (7)			26.4% (11)			
	F	Problem-Solving Process to Ir	ncrease Student	Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	population are students identified as having Significant	All students will participate in matching and sequencing in a variety of real-world tasks (i.e., assembling/ disassembling, completing a work system, completing scripting real-world routines, following schedules, etc.).	Team Leaders, Curriculum Specialist	Teachers will ask each student a weekly benchmark question related to the access point of the week.	Benchmark evaluations, Florida Alternate Assessment, photographs and/or video evidence of activities/	

1	with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	Including work products created by Unique Learning Systems and recycling websites. Activities and work products will be presented/exhibited/showcased at both the Country Fair in the fall and Recycling Fair (replacing the Math Fair) in the Spring.			preparation and participation.
2	population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course	All teachers (including Specials) will document in their plan books teaching each appropriate benchmark (supported level or participatory level) in their classroom for each Math Body of Knowledge (Algebra, Geometry, Discrete Mathematics, Probability, Trigonometry, Statistics, Financial Literacy) in line with an academic skills curriculum map.	Intern Principal	Teachers will ask each student a weekly benchmark question related to the access point of the week.	Benchmark evaluations, Florida Alternate Assessment.
3	population are students identified as having Significant	All students will participate in collecting, sorting, and charting/graphing/tracking how much recyclables have been collected from their classroom. The recyclables will focus on papers, cans, and plastic. A sample of their graphing will be presented along with a project at the recycling fair. Skills to address will include full/empty, high/low, weight, volume, fractions and counting.	Team Leaders, Curriculum Specialist	Teachers will ask students at minimum on a monthly basis to sort, count and chart recyclables collected. Collection will occur all during the month, but one day in each month will be dedicated to tracking so that students can see potential tracking variations.	Graphs of monthly tracking of recyclables.

### Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f		nt data, and refer	ence to "G	Suiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. Algebra Goal #1:			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solv	ing Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	rier Strategy Res for		on or ion onsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need

of improvement for the following group:					
<ol> <li>Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.</li> <li>Algebra Goal #2:</li> </ol>			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	is to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on Amb	Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target					
3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual       Algebra Goal #         Measurable Objectives (AMOS). In six year       Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.         school will reduce their achievement gap       3A :				tion is not 🔺		
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black,         Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making         satisfactory progress in Algebra.    Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			
Algebra Goal #3B:	Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.	Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A			N/A			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Perso Posit Resp for Monit	ion onsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving	Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
		Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	ance:	
N/A			N/A			
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following subgroup:						
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3E:	Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
N/A	N/A					

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
No Data Submitted							

End of Algebra EOC Goals

### Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perforr	mance:		
N/A			N/A				
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or ion onsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted							

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
		Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A			N/A			
	Problem-Solving Proces	is to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

3A. Ambitious but Annual Measurable (AMOs). In six yea reduce their achie 50%.	e Objectives ar school will	Geometry Goal # Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. 3A :						
Baseline data 2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017			
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black,

			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data			Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data,	and reference to	"Guiding	Questions",	identify	and d	efine	areas
in need of improvement for the following subgroup:							

			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	is to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving	Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:										
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3E:			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.							
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:							
N/A			N/A							
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement										
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool					
No Data Submitted										

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

	Content /Topic /or PLC Focus		and/or PLC		Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Le	sson Study	Mathematics	Intern Principal	Grade Level Teams	Monthly	Classroom walk- throughs.	Intern Principals, Autism Coach

÷

Evidence-based Program	m(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
	Curriculum Materials	SAC	\$300.00
			Subtotal: \$300.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$300.00

End of Mathematics Goals

# Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

1a.	FCAT2.0: Students scor	ing at Achievement				
Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance:				Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
			2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ce:	
N/A			N/A			
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Toc	
1	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	teacher will follow a science curriculum map teaching Access Points on the student's developmental level	Principal	Lesson Plan Checks, data collected related to the weekly benchmarks tested in science.	Teacher made pre and post tests, weekly benchmark assessments in science, Florida Alternate Assessment.	
	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant	identify and demonstrate one	Science Committee Chair, Curriculum Specialist, Intern	Portfolio evidence, video, recipes, etc.	Teacher made pre and post tests, weekly benchmark	

2	that inhibit their ability	fruit and/or vegetables which can be grown in gardens or the orchard at Wingate, and disseminate this information to all other classes (examples: create a video of cooking chosen vegetables, prepare samples of food and distribute to other classes, find recipes for specified fruit and share, invite other classes to visit your garden to pick designated vegetable).			assessments in science, Florida Alternate Assessment.
3	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	water application of a garden area for one week per semester using provided rain gauges, and then use	Science Committee Chair, Curriculum Specialist, Intern Principal	Scheduled activities, lesson plans	Weekly benchmark assessments in science, Florida Alternate Assessment.
4	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	Committee will establish an accessible support system/lending area for ongoing garden efforts, to	Curriculum	Scheduled activities, lesson plans, check out list.	Weekly benchmark assessments in science, Florida Alternate Assessment.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b:			11 will score v Level or highe	By May 2013, 42% (6) of students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will score within Proficient 4 Performance Descriptor Level or higher for Supported Level Students on science skills as measured by the Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)		
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
38.5% (5)			42% (6)	42% (6)		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Wingate Oaks Center's Each classroom Cur			Lesson Plan Checks, data collected related to the weekly	Teacher made pre and post tests, weekly	

1	having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.			benchmarks tested in science.	benchmark assessments in science, Florida Alternate Assessment.
2	that inhibit their ability	Each classroom will identify and demonstrate one example of the use of fruit and/or vegetables which can be grown in gardens or the orchard at Wingate, and disseminate this information to all other classes (examples: create a video of cooking chosen vegetables, prepare samples of food and distribute to other classes, find recipes for specified fruit and share, invite other classes to visit your garden to pick designated vegetable).	Curriculum Specialist, Intern	Portfolio evidence, video, recipes, etc.	Teacher made pre and post tests, weekly benchmark assessments in science, Florida Alternate Assessment.
3	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	0 0 1	Science Committee Chair, Curriculum Specialist, Intern Principal	Scheduled activities, lesson plans.	Weekly benchmark assessments in science, Florida Alternate Assessment.
4	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	The Science Committee will establish an accessible support system/lending area for ongoing garden efforts, to		Scheduled activities, lesson plans, check out list.	Weekly benchmark assessments in science, Florida Alternate Assessment.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a:	Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		

	Problem-Solving Proces	s to Increase S	Student Achievement			
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

5	of student achievement data vement for the following gro		l reference	e to "Guiding Question	s", identify and define
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b:			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
7.6% (1)			25% (3)		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	Student Achievemer	it
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	) Data	Submitted		·

# Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
<ol> <li>Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.</li> <li>Science Goal #1:</li> </ol>			11 will score w Level or higher skills as measu	By May 2013, 42% (6) of students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will score within Proficient 4 Performance Descriptor Level or higher for Supported Level Students on science skills as measured by the Florida Alternate Assessment		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			(FAA). 2013 Expecte	(FAA). 2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
38.5% (5)			42% (6)	42% (6)		
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1	population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability	Each classroom teacher will follow a science curriculum map teaching Access Points on the student's developmental level weekly.	Principal	Lesson Plan Checks, data collected related to the weekly benchmarks tested in science.	Teacher made pre and post tests, weekly benchmark assessments in science, Florida Alternate Assessment.
2	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	identify and demonstrate one example of the use of fruit and/or vegetables which can be grown in	Curriculum Specialist, Intern	Portfolio evidence, video, recipes, etc.	Teacher made pre and post tests, weekly benchmark assessments in science, Florida Alternate Assessment.
3	population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments	Each class will monitor water application of a garden area for one week per semester using provided rain gauges, and then use	Science Committee Chair, Curriculum Specialist, Intern Principal	Scheduled activities, lesson plans	Weekly benchmark assessments in science, Florida Alternate Assessment.
4	population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments	The Science Committee will establish an accessible support system/lending area for ongoing garden efforts, to	Curriculum	Scheduled activities, lesson plans, check out list.	Weekly benchmark assessments in science, Florida Alternate Assessment.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
	2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2:	Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
	2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		

7.6% (1)		9%	9% (2)		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

# Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology. Biology Goal #1:			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfor	mance:	
N/A			N/A			
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for		son or ition ponsible Strategy Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology. Biology Goal #2:	Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A	N/A			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

Anticip	oated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	No Data Submitted						

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
	Gardening Supplies	SAC	\$400.00
			Subtotal: \$400.00
			Grand Total: \$400.00

End of Science Goals

#### Writing Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level

				Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expect	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Pro	olem-Solving Process	to Increase Stud	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b:	By May 2013, 63% (8) of students in grades 4, 8, and 10 will score within Proficient 4 Performance Descriptor Level or higher for Supported Level Students on writing skills as measured by the Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA).			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
60% (6)	63% (8)			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	participate in a variety of writing exercises	Intern Principal, Curriculum Specialist	Copies and/or samples of graded student products will be kept in student portfolios. Documentation of specific writing access points / curriculum maps will be within teachers' lesson plans.	Graded product based on rubric established in cooperation by the writing committee and teachers to be completed weekly. Teacher generated assessments.		
2	Wingate Oaks Center's population are students identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	"Flat Friends" (based on Flat Stanley activities)	Intern Principal, Curriculum Specialist, Team Leaders	Copies and/or samples of graded student products will be kept in student portfolios. Documentation of specific writing access points / curriculum maps will be within teachers' lesson plans.	Benchmark Assessments, Florida Alternate Assessment		
	Wingate Oaks Center's	All students will	Intern Principal,	Copies and/or samples	Benchmark		

3	Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.	recognition and use of information presented in Classroom Suite 4, Unique Learning Systems and news-2- You to include informational text, book reports and graphic organizers relating to	Specialist	products will be kept in	Assessments, Florida Alternate Assessment
		weekly lesson plans.			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

#### Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Progra			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
	Curriculum Materials	SAC	\$200.00
			Subtotal: \$200.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$200.00

End of Writing Goals

#### Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas

in need of improvement	need of improvement for the following group:				
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics. Civics Goal #1:			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving	g Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	:
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
		Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A		N/A			
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Fosi for		on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Civics Budget:

Evidence-based Progr			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Civics Goals

### U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
		Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.		tion, this section is not	
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	is to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for		on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels
 4 and 5 in U.S. History.

U.S. History Goal #2:			applicable	licable to our school.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A			
	Problem-Solving	g Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	:	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

#### U.S. History Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	·	-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

# Attendance Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference of improvement:	e to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need		
1. Attendance Attendance Goal #1:	By June 2013, 50.6% (42) of students will demonstrate good attendance by having less than 10 absences and less than 10 tardies during the 2012-2013 school year.		
2012 Current Attendance Rate:	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:		
47.5% (37)	50.6% (42)		
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)		
34	36		
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)		
18	15		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students in this population are often frequently ill.	Nursing staff and classroom teachers will closely monitor the students who are identified as medically fragile and report any signs of illness to caregivers in a timely manner. IMT will monitor closely to look for patterns of non- attendance and report results to the ESE Specialist and the Intern Principal.	ESE Specialist, Intern Principal	to look for patterns of non-attendance and	Attendance records, customized home-notes.
2	Families of students in this population do not see the value of students attending on a regular basis.	Regular messages regarding attendance will be placed in the school's newsletter and disseminated to families via SAC/SAF Meetings.	ESE Specialist, Intern Principal	to look for patterns of non-attendance and	Attendance records, customized home-notes.
3	Families of students in this population do not understand the consequences of students not attending on a regular basis.	Regular messages regarding attendance will be placed in the school's newsletter and disseminated to families via SAC/SAF Meetings.	ESE Specialist, Intern Principal	to look for patterns of	Attendance records, customized home-notes.

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	nm(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

#### Suspension Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. Suspension	By May 2013, the number of internal and external school			
Suspension Goal #1:	suspensions will maintain their current acceptable levels.			
2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions			
0	0			
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended I n- School			

	1
0	0
2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions
0	0
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of- School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School
0	0

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Many students in this population have persistent behavior problems that require intensive interventions to keep them actively engaged in an academic environment.	Students developing aberrant behavior will be referred to the Behavior Specialist. The Behavior Specialist will monitor and help determine through the RtI process whether a Functional Behavior Assessment (FBA) and /or a Positive Behavior Intervention Plan (PBIP). Are warranted. The ESE Specialist will follow up through the IEP process to ensure that appropriate strategies are developed and made part of the student's IEP.		Data collection will be reviewed by the Behavior Specialist weekly and graphed monthly. The PBIP for each student will be revised as behavior improves.	Frequency and ABC Data
2	Many students in this population demonstrate aberrant behavior as a result of ineffective attempts to communicate with others their displeasure, wants and needs.	communication systems will be in place in all environments on campus. Communication	Pathologists	Data collection regarding Communication goals on the IEP and Communication targets will be collected by the SLPs. Consultation will take place between ESE Teachers and SLPs regarding communication for students experiencing difficulty.	IEP data and SLP data collection.

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

Target Dates

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator	subject grade	(e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Positive Behavioral Support	All students	Behavior Specialist	Instructional Staff	Pro planning	Monitoring will take place through frequent walk- throughs (at least every two weeks) and follow up activities will be scheduled with individual teachers/classroom teams as needed.	Intern Principal

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

# Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

#### Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
1. Dropout Prevention					
Dropout Prevention Goal #1:	By May 2013, 83.3% (5) of eligible students will have enough credits to graduate on time with their cohort				
*Please refer to the percentage of students who	peers.				
dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.					
2012 Current Dropout Rate:	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:				
0% (0)	0% (0)				
2012 Current Graduation Rate:	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:				

	Prot	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students can fail a course due to poor attendance.	Attendance policy will be added to the first day packet of information sent home with all students. Regular messages regarding attendance will be placed in the school's newsletter and disseminated to families via SAC/SAF Meetings. ParentLink system will be used to send warning messages to the families of students who are in danger of developing a pattern on non- attendance.	Intern Principal, ESE Specialist	IMT will monitor closely to look for patterns of non-attendance and report results to the ESE Specialist and the Intern Principal.	Attendance records, customized home-notes.
2	Students require careful planning of their schedules to make sure that they are taking courses that will lead to having the right number of credits when it comes time for them to graduate on time with their peers.	developed a carefully crafted a suggested Program of Study to assure that appropriate course decision are made on behalf of	Intern Principal, ESE Specialist	Schedules will be assigned to each student at the beginning of the school year. Students entering the school after the start of the school year will be the subject of a course review and corrective course schedules will be developed by the Intern Principal to get students on track to graduate on time with their peers.	Course schedules, school credit reports from TERMS.
3	Behavioral issues can keep students from remaining actively engaged in academic activities	Students developing aberrant behavior will be referred to the Behavior Specialist. The Behavior Specialist will monitor and help determine through the RtI process whether a Functional Behavior Assessment (FBA) and /or a Positive Behavior Intervention Plan (PBIP). Are warranted. The Curriculum Specialist will follow up with the classroom teacher to ensure that appropriate strategies and classroom structure are in place to maximize authentic engagement.	Principal,	5 0 1	Behavior Specialist, Intern Principal, Curriculum Specialist

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	No Data Submittee	d		

Dropout Prevention Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

#### Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas In need of improvement:			
1. Parent Involvement			
Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.	By May 2012, 26% of parents will attend whole school functions, such as community resource, math, science and literacy fairs, as well as parent conferences, SAC/SAF and IEP meetings.		
2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:		
20% (22)	26%		
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement		

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Due to the level of medical needs, increased therapeutic interventions and frequent illnesses, the parents of the students in this population have significant life pressures causing a need to access community resources and gather information from local agencies to provide services for them.	variety of county	ESE Specialist	Parent attendance at The Community Resource Fair.	Attendance logs.
2	attending events in the evening because they	Partner with local agency (YMCA) to provide childcare during evening activities (Open House, Community Resource Fair, SAC/SAF Meetings).	Principal, ESE Specialist	Track attendance at evening-based events.	Attendance Logs
3		Increase the use of and assisting parents to use technology to interact and participate in their child's education including, but not limited to, Parent Link updates, e-mail blasts, SAC/SAF Meetings, and electronic meeting formats. Setting up parent e-mail and simple training on Skype at Open House.		Track website traffic, responses to e-mail blasts, and traffic on social networking sites and use of podcasts.	Frequency data related to access of content made available to parents.
4	of the opportunities for	Teachers will follow through with parents during parent conferences and all parent contact opportunities (IEPs, SAC Meetings, Open House) to help parents get access to vital programs like the Med- waiver and APD.	ESE Specialist, Support Team	Track numbers of students that have support coordinators.	Frequency data related to numbers of students that have or are in the process of getting support coordinators.

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
	No Data Submitted					

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate	erial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0C
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Develop a Community Resource Fair to provide networking opportunities for parents and the community resources that they need for their children with special needs	Advertisement and supplies	SAC	\$300.00
			Subtotal: \$300.0
			Grand Total: \$300.0

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

### Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
1. STEM STEM Goal #1:			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Program	n(s)/material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

# Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
			Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

CTE Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CTE Goal(s)

#### Additional Goal(s) No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

## **FINAL BUDGET**

Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading		Curriculum Materials	SAC	\$300.00
Mathematics		Curriculum Materials	SAC	\$300.00
Writing		Curriculum Materials	SAC	\$200.00
				Subtotal: \$800.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Science		Gardening Supplies	SAC	\$400.00
Parent Involvement	Develop a Community Resource Fair to provide networking opportunities for parents and the community resources that they need for their children with special needs	Advertisement and supplies	SAC	\$300.00
				Subtotal: \$700.00
				Grand Total: \$1,500.00

Frand Total: \$1,500.

## **Differentiated Accountability**

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority jn Focus jn Prevent jn NA	
---------------------------------------	--

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/18/2012)

## School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

SAC Funds will be used to provide curriculum materials and support for Reading, Mathematics and Writing, as well as to buy gardening materials and supplies for our gardens to help us teach Science. SAC Funds will also be used to support \$1,500.00 our Community Resource Fair.

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

• The School Advisory Council (SAC) is the sole body responsible for final decision-making at the school relating to implementation of the provisions of Sections 1001.42(16) and 1008.345, F.S. (school improvement).

• SAC meetings are publicized at Wingate Oaks through our website, through our school newsletter, through Parent Link and through individualized daily home notes for each student. Public notice is required under the Sunshine State Law.

• Advisory Council members the elected and appointed in the first month of each school year. Peer groups elect their representatives, with Principal, BTU representative and business partners being appointed. The composition of the SAC reflects the demographics of the student population.

• \$10 per unweighted FTE is allocated for Accountability Funds to support SIP objectives.

• SAC assists in the preparation and evaluation of the School Improvement Plan and the school's annual budget [Section 1001.452

(2), F.S.] by reviewing and approving drafts submitted by the faculty and the administration, respectively.

• SIP will be reviewed at the September meeting of the SAC.

# AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

# SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found No Data Found No Data Found