# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



# DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

### 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

#### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### **School Information**

School Name:2031Lakewood High School	District Name: Pinellas County Schools
Principal: Robert Vicari	Superintendent: John A. Stewart, Ed.D.
SAC Chair: Sherry LaBonte	Date of School Board Approval: Pending: October 19, 2012

### **Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

### **Administrators**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Robert Vicari	BA, MS, Ed.S/School principal, school administrator, political science/science	3	16	Osceola MS -2007-2008(A); 2008-2009(A) Proficiency in Reading - 2007-08 (70%); 2008-09 (73%); 2009-10 (71%). Proficiency in Math - 2007-08 (75%); 2008-09 (71%); 2009-10 (70%). Lowest 25% math; 71%, 68%, 68%; Lowest 25% Reading; Reading; 65%, 77%, 59%. Lakewood High- 2010-11 (C); Proficiency in Reading 44%, Proficiency in Math 61%; Lowest 25% math 57%; lowest 25% reading 39%; 2012-2013 Reading 3+42%; Math 3+53%; L25 Reading gains 63%; Math L25 gains 72%;
Assistant Principal	Sue Alvaro	BA Special Education; MS/Educational Leadership; ESE K-12; middle grades 5-9; Education (principal certification) Leadership K-12	2	7	Osceola MS- 2007-2008(A); 2008-2009(A) Proficiency in Reading - 2007-08 (70%); 2008-09 (73%); 2009-10 (71%). Proficiency in Math - 2007-08 (75%); 2008-09 (71%); 2009-10 (70%). Lowest 25% math; 71%, 68%, 68%; Lowest 25% Reading; Reading; 65%, 77%, 59%. Lakewood High- 2010-11 (C); Proficiency in Reading 44%, Proficiency in Math 61%; Lowest 25% math 57%; lowest 25% reading 39%; 2012-2013 Reading 3+42%; Math 3+53%; L25 Reading gains 63%; Math L25 gains 72%;
Assistant Principal	Harriet Davis	BA,Government; MS/Educational Leadership; ED.d, Policy Studies and Educational Leadership; Political Science; Certification principalship K-12;	5	7	2007-2008 (B) Pinellas Park MS; 2008-09 (D); Lakewood HS 2009-2010 (D); Proficiency in Reading – 2007-08 (59%); 2008-09 (45%); 2009-10 (45%); Proficiency in Math – 2007-08 (55%); Lakewood High 2008-09 (67%); 2009-10 69%); Lowest 25% math; Pinellas Park MS 2007-08 (71%); LHS – 2008-09 (63%); 2009-10 (54%); Lowest 25% reading – Pinellas Park MS 2007-08 (71%); 2008-09 (39%); 2009-10 (35%). 2010-2011 (C); Proficiency in Reading, 44% Proficiency in Math 61%; Lowest 25% math 57%; lowest 25% math 57%; lowest 25% reading 39%. 2012-2013 Reading 3+42%; Math 3+ 53%; L25 Reading gains 63%; Math L25 gains 72%;

Assistant Prinicipal	Deborah Fabrizio	BA, MS/Educational leadership, health, physical Education, recreation,	6	15	2007/2008 (D) Dixie Hollins High School; 2008-2009 (D); Lakewood High School; 2009-10 (D); Lakewood High School; 2010-11 (C); Proficiency in Reading, 44% Proficiency in Math 61%; Lowest 25% math 57%; lowest 25% math 57%; lowest 25% reading 39%. 2012-2013 Reading 3+42%; Math 3+53%; L25 Reading gains 63%; Math L25 gains 72%;
Assistant Principal	Pete Oberg	BA, MS/Educational Leadership; Biology, Chemistry	22	4	LHS-2004-2010 (D); 2003 (C); 2000-02 (B); Proficiency in Reading 2009-10 (45), 2008 (52) 2007 (49), 2006 (44) 2005 (48); Proficiency in Math 2010 (63), 2009 (67), 2008 (70), 2007 (67) 2006 (66); Lowest 25% Reading- 2010 (35), 2009 (39), 2008 (45), 2007 (48), 2006 (48), Lowest 25 % Math- 2010 (54), 2009 (63), 2008 (68), 2007 (60); 2010-2011 (C); Proficiency in Reading, 44%, Proficiency in Math 61%; Lowest 25% math 57%; lowest 25% reading 39%. 2012-2013 Reading 3+42%; Math 3+53%; L25 Reading gains 63%; Math L25 gains 72%;

## **Instructional Coaches**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as anInstructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Science	Jennifer Pacowta	BS Marine Science; MA Science Education/ Biology, general science	11	3	2010-2011 (C); Proficiency in Reading, 44% Proficiency in Math 61%; Lowest 25% math 57%; lowest 25% math 57%; lowest 25% reading 39%.2012-2013 Reading 3+42%; Math 3+53%; L25 Reading gains 63%; Math L25 gains 72%;
Math	Kevin Haugabrook	BS mathematics;	2	2	Hopkins: 2009-2010 (C); Hopkins: 2010-2011 (B); 2012-2013 Reading 3+42%; Math 3+53%; L25 Reading gains 63%; Math L25 gains 72%;
Literacy	Jacqueline Hurley	MS Applied Linguistics/Teaching English as a Second Language; Ed.D in Instructional Leadership K-12/Curriculum and Instruction	0	4	2010-11 (B) Pinellas Park High; Proficiency in Reading, 44%, Proficiency in Math 73%; L25 gains in Reading 41%; Math 57%;

## **Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
Mentoring Peer to Peer	Lisa Alcott/Deborah Fabrizio	May 2013
Professional Development		Ongoing during school year
2. RTI-A/B		Ongoing during school year
3.		

### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective		
Linda Harless	Waiting certification to be added to certificate for Spanish.		

### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	%ESOL Endorsed Teachers
100	5	31	28	12	35	99	13	2	8

## Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Kayla Rodak - Math Brennan Woods - Social Studies Justin Bending - Chemistry Edward Blake-Elective Jonathan Crouch- Math	Fowler/Haughbook Tara Fowler Tara Fowler Gaddy Squarciafico Fawnia Schultz Tara Fowler/Haughbook	Dept. Head/Coach  Dept. Head Dept. Head	Observation of mentee's instruction and providing feedback; Planning lessons with mentee; Connecting lesson activities to content

	standards; Discussing student progress and analyzing student
	work; Modeling or co-teaching lessons

## **Additional Requirements**

### Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

#### Title I. Part A

Title I, Part A funds are utilized, in conjunction with district operating funds and other federal resources, to support teaching and learning, parental engagement, and professional development. Title I services are coordinated and integrated with other resources through the Division of Teaching and Learning, Student Assignment, and Research and Accountability.

#### Title I, Part C- Migrant

NA in Pinellas

#### Title I, Part D

The district receives Title I, Part D funds which provide transition services from alternative education programs to zoned schools. In addition, a portion of Title I, Part A funds is reserved for services to neglected and delinquent students. Funds are targeted to support continuous education services to students in neglected and delinquent facilities through tutoring, instructional materials and resources, and technology.

#### Title II

The district receives funds to increase student achievement through professional development for teachers and administrators. Title II funds provide math and science coaches, as required by Differentiated Accountability, in some of the district's lowest performing schools. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide additional reading and math coaches in targeted schools based on FCAT results.

#### Title III

Title III funds provide educational materials, bilingual translators, summer programs, and other support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners. Bilingual translators provide assistance with parent workshops and dissemination of information in various languages for Title I schools.

#### Title X- Homeless

The district receives funds to provide resources for students for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is also reserved to provide services to homeless students (social workers, a resource teacher, tutoring, and technology).

#### Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI funds are coordinated with Title I, Part A funds to provide extended learning opportunities for students before/during/after school and during the summer.

#### **Violence Prevention Programs**

### **Nutrition Programs**

Title I coordinates with district food services to provide breakfast and lunch to students in Title I summer extended learning camps.

**Housing Programs** 

Head Start

Title I, Part A funds are used to provide Pre-Kindergarten to Kindergarten transition services. Title I schools coordinate with staff from public and private preschool programs, including Head Start, to prepare students for a successful start to school. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide classes for 3 year olds at targeted elementary schools to support early literacy.

Adult Education

Career and Technical Education

Job Training

Other

## Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

#### School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

- -Facilitator generates agenda and leads team discussions
- -Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data
- -Technology Specialist brokers technology necessary to manage and display data
- -Recorder/Note Taker documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access
- -Time Keeper -helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda

Meeting time:

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

#### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

#### School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Susan Alvaro-Maidenberg (APC)

Jackie Hurley - Literacy Coach

Jennifer Pacowta - Science Coach

Andrea McDougal - Media Specialist

Brandy Noonan - Science teacher

Darlene Lebo, Spanish teacher/Dept. Chair

Linda Santiago, Spanish teacher/AVID

Tara Fowler, Math teacher/Dept. Chair/AVID

Ruth Barrens, English teacher

Latonya Hill, Dept. Chair

Jessica Heiser, Social Studies teacher

Sharyn Jacob, Social Studies teacher

Anna Neal, Varying Exceptionalities Specialist

Harriet Davis, AP instruction

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

- Support for text complexity
- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
  - o Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
  - o Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
  - $\circ\quad$  Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
  - o Emphasizing studnts supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
  - o Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Support for text complexity

- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects. Support for Literacy strategies in the content areas

#### Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parentsin the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

#### \*Grades 6-12 OnlySec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

- The school Literacy Leadership Team is established to grow the use of literacy strategies in all disciplines. The Team is composed of a cross section of the faculty that act as liaisons to help grow department wide literacy strategies in all classrooms
- The school has a Student Literacy Team that assists in the development and implementation of classroom literacy strategies.
- Teacher evaluations include a provision for teaching reading strategies to students. The teacher summative evaluation, in most cases, uses reading data as a portion of teacher performance.

#### \*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

- Students are provided with an array of course offerings that have applicable skills directly relating to various post-secondary training.
- Applied and integrated courses are found extensively in our schools' Center of Excellence program. Within the Center of Excellence, students are required to take multiple integrated courses each year.
- Teachers use a daily common board configuration to identify learning targets for students and how it is relevant in their daily lives.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Guidance counselors meet with students in individual, small group and large group settings to provide service for academic and social needs. Academic advisement leading into career choice awareness, exploration and planning occurs each year.

- Students meet with guidance counselors individually each year to identify and request courses for the upcoming school year. Counselors also conduct credit checks each year, with multiple meetings each year as student's progress through high school.
- Students have the ability to take a transitions course into and out of high school where transition skills are developed and career planning takes place.

### Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

The School Based Leadership Team analyzes the High School Feedback Report each year to determine strengths, weaknesses and problem solve gaps in data. Since the data is lagging by two years, it is sometimes difficult to quickly affect change. Some current and previous strategies used to increase postsecondary readiness include: implementation and increased use of the AVID program; increased participation in advanced coursework; establishment and expansion of Centers of Excellence; increased participation in ACT, CPT, and SAT exams; improved articulation with local colleges and postsecondary institutions; and participation in partnerships with St. Petersburg College.

## PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

## **Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

<u> </u>	ding Goal		l students	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following			Anticipated Barrier			Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
group:  1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.  Reading Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:*  15% (92) Decrease		Decrease level 1&2 from 58%	standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson  • Engaging activities and lessons	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans		
			standard based	Implement High Yield	teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning	1a.2. Walkthrough		

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
				Increase instructional	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher		1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alterna Students scoring a reading. Reading Goal #1b: Improve current level of performance	t Levels 4, 5,  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  50%	and 6 in  2013Expected Level of	Insufficient standard based	Implement High Yield	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1b.2. Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		ı	1	1	T		
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	Ib.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis and reference to "Guid define areas in need of i	ding Questions'	', identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	4 and 5 in		Lack of differentiation of		AP who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning	2a.1. Walkthrough
Improve current level of performance		2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%		in instruction		and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students  • Gradual Release • Higher order questioning • Engagement	20.2
			24.2.				2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3

Improve current level of	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Pe	rel 7 in  13Expected evel of rformance:*  crease vel 7 by %	2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction  2b.2.	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction  2b2.	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher  2b.2.	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points  2b.2.	2b1. Walkthrough  2b.2.
	ling Questions", ic improvement for the group:	dentify and he following	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.  Reading Goal #3a:    2012 Current   Level of   Performance:*   Performance:*   Performance:*   pending   100%		Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction Teacher Professional development	3a.1.  AP who evaluates teacher  by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level  *Content materials are appropriate scaffold to meet the needs of diver learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs)  *Models, examples and questions appropriately scaffold to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teacher provide small group instruction to		3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			-		-	-	
						target specific learning needs.  *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments  *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.
Improve current level of	ents making  2012 Current  Level of		3b.1. Lack of student engagement  3b.2.	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction Teacher professional development	3b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results  IPI data when available  State instructional walkthrough when applicable  3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.		3b.3.
			50.5.	50.0.	50.5.		50.5.

and reference to "Guio	of student achievement data, ding Questions", identify and improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Lowest 25% making reading.  Reading Goal #4a:  Improve current level of	group:  entage of students in ag learning gains in  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  pending  100%	Lack of differentiation of instruction  4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction  4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives Teacher professional development	4a.2. SBLT	sufficient number and variety of intervention courses	
					goals/objectives  *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses  *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes  *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers  *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.
Improve current level of	ents in Lowest 25% ains in reading.		4b.1. Differentiate Instruction	4b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
	•	Insufficient intervention supports exist to	4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	4b.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of	4b.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs

		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
	s but Achievable Annu- (AMOs), Reading and Mat		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Reading Goal #5A:	Baseline data 2010-20 41 oal in this box.	1 51	61	71	80	90	100
and reference to "Guid define areas in need of i	of student achievement data, ding Questions", identify and improvement for the followin	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Ev	valuation Tool
Reading Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Level of Performance:* Performance:* Asian: Americ Lack of Lac		of White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in		& Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	0% (0.00)					different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis and reference to "Guid define areas in need of i	ding Questions'	', identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Improve current level of	y progress in 2012 Current Level of Performance:* pending	n reading.  2013Expected Level of	Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction Teacher professional development ESOL endorsements	5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
				5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.
	ding Questions' improvement fo ubgroup:	evement data, ', identify and or the following	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with I making satisfactory		` '	5d.1. Lack of	5d.1. Differentiate	5d.1. AP who evaluates	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

Reading Goal #5D: Improve current level of performance		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  100% of all SWD students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%	differentiation of instruction  5D.2.	Instruction Teacher professional development  5D.2.	5D.2. 5D.3.		5D.2. 5D.3.
Based on the analysis of and reference to "Guidir define areas in need of im sub	ng Questions	s", identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Dis not making satisfactoreading. Reading Goal #5E: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current	2013Expected Level of Performance:*	5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction Teacher development	5e.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

	An increase in proficiency by 10%	5E.2.	5E.2		assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 5E.2.	5E.2.
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3

## **Reading Professional Development**

Profess	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities  Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					
PLC	9-12		Reading teachers/literature coach	Tuesday block 4	Minutes	McInosh					
Literacy Leadershop team	9-12	Hurley	School wide	Monthly	Minutes	Hurley					
AVID Path trainings	9-12	Fowler	School wide	As needed	Moodle/LMS transcripts	Fowler					
NGCAR-PD practicum	9-12	Pacowta	School wide	Fall 2012	LMS transcript	Pacowta					
NGCAR-PD Reading	9-12	Karen Reed	School-wide	2012-13	LMS transcripts	K. Reed					
Demonstration teaching days	9-12	Hurley	School-wide	2x per school year	Survey monkey	Hurley					
Lesson studies	9-12	Hurley, Pacowta	School wide	As requested	LMS transcripts	Haugabrook, Pacowta, Hurley					

**Reading Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activiti	ies/materials and exclude district funded ac	ctivities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materia	ls(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·	·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·	·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Reading Goals

## Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELL	A Goals		Problem-Solving Pr	rocess to Increase	e Language Acquisition	
	nderstand spoken English at grade ar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring proficion CELLA Goal #1: Improve current level of performance  Number of students tested on CELLA: 5	ent in Listening/Speaking.  2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:  60%  (3)	1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	1.1. Walkthrough
		2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Differentiate Instruction	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are	2.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

-						
Students read in English at grade lo	evel text in a manner similar to	1.3. Anticipated Barrier	1.3. Strategy	1.3. Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	1.3. Evaluation Tool
non-ELL st				Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	
Improve current level of performance	012 Current Percent of Students reficient in Reading : 0% 1)	2.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	2.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	teacher	Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	2.2. Walkthrough
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.		2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Students scoring profici  CELLA Goal #3:  Improve current level of performance	T	based instruction	Set and communicate a	Monitoring 3.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3.1. Determine Lesson:	f
		2.2. 2.3	2.2.	2.2.		2.2.

**CELLA Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activity	ties/materials and exclude district funded ac	tivities/materials.						
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)								
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount					
			Subtotal:					
Technology								
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount					
			Subtotal:					
Professional Development								
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount					
			Subtotal:					
Other								
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount					
			Subtotal:					
Total:								

End of CELLA Goals

## Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

High School M	<b>Iathematics Goals</b>		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
reference to "Guiding Q	student achievement data, and uestions", identify and define ment for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Mathematics Goal #1:	, and 6 in mathematics.	1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	teacher	standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson			
		1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background	1a.2. Walkthrough		

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	· ·			1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions", identify an	nd define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Florida Alternate A scoring at or above L  Mathematics Goal #2: Improve current level of performance	Assessment: Stude evel 7 in mathen  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  0% Incr	dents matics.  3Expected el of formance:*  rease el 7 by	2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction			2b1. Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						FAA access points	
			2.2. 2	2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.2.	.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Based on the analysis of	student achieven	nent data, and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible		Evaluation Tool
reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve					for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	
						= :	
3. Florida Alternate A			3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		3a.1.
of students making L	earning Gair	ıs in	Lack of student	Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates teacher		School Summary of observation section of
mathematics.			engagement		leachel		teacher appraisal results
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013Expected				prior knowledge of content, and	icaciici appi aisai i esuits
#3.	Level of	Level of					IPI data when available
	Performance:*	Performance:*				*Content materials are	
Improve current level of	pending	100% of				appropriately scaffolded to	State instructional
performance		students will					walkthrough when
		make					applicable
		learning				and specific learning needs)	
		gains				*Models, examples and	
		Sams				questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of	
						diverse learners *Teachers	
						provide small group instruction	
						to target specific learning	
						needs.	
						*These small groups are	
						flexible and change with the	
						content, project and	
						assessments	
						*Students are provided	
						opportunities to demonstrate or	
						express knowledge and understanding in different	
						ways, which includes varying	
						degrees of difficulty.	
			3.2.	3.2.	3.2.		3.2.
			3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.
			l	L	i		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool
areas in need of improvement for the following group:				Strategy	
4. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #4:  Improve current level of performance:*  Improve current level of performance  pending 100% of students will make learning gains	4a.1. Lack of student engagement	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction		4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying	4a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
	4.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4.2. SBLT	degrees of difficulty.  4.2.  *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses  *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly  *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives  *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses  *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes  *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers	and intervention teachers communicating and planning;

				*Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	
	4.3	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

## Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebi	a EOC Goal	s	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at A	chievement Le	vel 3 in Algebra.		1a.1. Set and communicate a	1a.1. AP who evaluates	1a.1. Determine Lesson:	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson	
Algebra Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*  9% (13)	2013Expected Level of Performance:*  Decrease level 1 and 2 By 10%	based instruction	purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson		*Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide  *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals  *Includes a learning goal/essential question  *Includes teacher  explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question  *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question  *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it  *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	Plans	
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes	1a.2. Walkthrough	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						*Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring at or a and 5 in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #2:  Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*  0% (0)		Lack of differentiation of	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that	2b1. Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

_							
					elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points		
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
(AMO) D I IM I D C	m ,						
(AMOs), Reading and Math Perform	mance Target				630/2	820/ <sub>a</sub>	
(AMOs),Reading and Math Performance  3A. Ambitious but	mance Target  Baseline data 2010-2011	9%	27%	45%	63%	82%	100%
(AMOs),Reading and Math Perfor 3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual	mance Target				63%	82%	
(AMOs),Reading and Math Performance  3A. Ambitious but	Primance Target  Baseline data 2010-2011  2011-2012				63%	82%	
(AMOs),Reading and Math Performs 3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their	mance Target  Baseline data 2010-2011				63%	82%	
(AMOs),Reading and Math Performs.  3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Primance Target  Baseline data 2010-2011  2011-2012				63%	82%	
(AMOs),Reading and Math Performs 3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their	Primance Target  Baseline data 2010-2011  2011-2012				63%	82%	
(AMOs),Reading and Math Performs.  3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Primance Target  Baseline data 2010-2011  2011-2012  41				63%	82%	
(AMOs),Reading and Math Performs 3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Algebra Goal #3A:	Primance Target  Baseline data 2010-2011  2011-2012  41				63%	82%	
(AMOs),Reading and Math Performs 3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Algebra Goal #3A:	Primance Target  Baseline data 2010-2011  2011-2012  41				63%	82%	
(AMOs),Reading and Math Performs 3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Algebra Goal #3A:	Primance Target  Baseline data 2010-2011  2011-2012  41				63%	82%	
(AMOs),Reading and Math Performs 3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Algebra Goal #3A:	Primance Target  Baseline data 2010-2011  2011-2012  41				63%	82%	
(AMOs),Reading and Math Performs 3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Algebra Goal #3A:	Primance Target  Baseline data 2010-2011  2011-2012  41				63%	82%	
(AMOs),Reading and Math Performs.  3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Algebra Goal #3A: Improve current level of performance	Baseline data 2010-2011  2011-2012  41  tachievement data, and reference to			45%  Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	82% Evaluatio	100%
(AMOs),Reading and Math Performs.  3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Algebra Goal #3A: Improve current level of performance  Based on the analysis of studen "Guiding Questions", identify an	Baseline data 2010-2011  2011-2012  41  tachievement data, and reference to define areas in need of improvement	9%	27%	45%	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of		100%
(AMOs),Reading and Math Performs.  3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Algebra Goal #3A: Improve current level of performance  Based on the analysis of student "Guiding Questions", identify an for the follows.	Baseline data 2010-2011  2011-2012  41  tt achievement data, and reference to define areas in need of improvement owing subgroup:	9% Anticipated Barrier	27%	45%  Person or Position	Process Used to Determine		100%
AMOs), Reading and Math Performs.  3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Algebra Goal #3A: Improve current level of performance  Based on the analysis of studen "Guiding Questions", identify an for the follows.  3B. Student subgroups by	Baseline data 2010-2011  2011-2012  41  tt achievement data, and reference to define areas in need of improvement owing subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier  5b.1.	27%	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 5b.1. Content materials are	Evaluatio	100% on Tool

	2012 Current Level of Performance:*  White: 31% 4  Black: 69% 9  Hispanic: 0% 0 Asian: 0% 0.00 American Indian: 0% 0	2013Expected	Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction			interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3B.2.
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	3B.3.
Based on the analysis of student ac "Guiding Questions", identify and de for the following	efine areas in need	of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #3C:  Improve current level of performance  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Performance:*		5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	teacher	Content materials are	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	Increase proficience ELL study by 10%	ain y of			skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of	
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	difficulty. 5C.2.	3C.2.
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student act "Guiding Questions", identify and det for the followin	fine areas in need of improven		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Disabilities	(SWD) not making	5d.1.	5d.1.	5d.1.	5d.1.	5d.1.
satisfactory progress in Algebi	a.	Lack of differentiation of	Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student	Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
rigeora Goar #3D.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*  2013 Expect Level of Performance:*  100% of a SWD stude to make a learning guide increase proficience.	instruction  instruction  instruction  instruction  instruction		teacher	interentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

_		_	I			
	SWD studer	ts			appropriately scaffolded	
	by 10%				meet the needs of divers	
					learners *Teachers provi	de
					small group instruction t	0
					target specific learning	
					needs.	
					*These small groups are	
					flexible and change with	
					the content, project and	
					assessments	
					*Students are provided	
					opportunities to	
					demonstrate or express	
					knowledge and	
					understanding in differer	nt
					ways, which includes	
					varying degrees of	
					difficulty.	
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	3D.2.
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	3D.3.
		5D.3.	50.3.	50.3.	50.3.	30.3.
Based on the analysis of student ac	1:	Anticipated Barrier	C44	Person or Position Resp	onsible for Process Used	o Evaluation Tool
"Guiding Questions", identify and de			Strategy	Monitoring	Determine	6 Evaluation 1001
for the following				Wontoring	Effectiveness	of
for the following	ig subgroup.				Strategy	<i>y</i> 1
3E. Economically Disadvantag	ged students not making	5e.1.	5e.1.	5e.1.	5e.1.	5e.1.
		Lack of	Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates teach		Lesson Plans &
satisfactory progress in Algeb	га.	differentiation of	Billerentiate mistraetion	vii viilo evaluates teael	materials are	
11 1 0 1 107	ho12 G	instruction			differentiated	
Algebra Goal #3E:	2012 Current 2013 Expected Level of Level of	in our donor.			by student	
					interests,	
Improve current level of performance					cultural	
	100% of				background,	
	Economical <sup>1</sup>	v			prior	
	Disadvantag				knowledge of	:
	d students to				content, and	
					skill level	
	make a				*Content	
	learning gair	1			materials are	
					appropriately	
	Increase				scaffolded to	
	proficiency (	of			meet the nee	eds
	proficiency				of diverse	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

End of Alashya FOC Coa	1	JL.J	DL.J	25.3		JE.J
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3		3E.3
		5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	3E.2.
		5E 2	FE 2	SE 2	difficulty.	2E 2
					degrees of	
					varying	
					includes	
					ways, which	
					in different	
					understanding	
					knowledge and	
					or express	
					to demonstrate	
					opportunities	
					provided	
					*Students are	
					assessments	
					the content, project and	
					change with	
					flexible and	
					groups are	
					*These small	
					learning needs.	
					target specific	
					instruction to	
					group	
					provide small	
					*Teachers	
					learners	
					of diverse	
					meet the needs	6
					scaffolded to	
	<b> </b>				appropriately	
					questions are	
					examples and	
	<b> </b>				*Models,	
	10%				learning needs)	1
	10%				specific	
	d students by				readiness and	
	Disadvantage	: [			(learning	
	Economically	7		1	learners	

End of Algebra EOC Goals

### Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometr	y EOC Goa	ls		Problem-Solving	Process to Increase	<b>Student Achievement</b>	;
Based on the analysis of stude "Guiding Questions", identify a for the fo			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at AcGeometry.  Geometry Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*  Decrease level 1 and 2 students	based instruction	purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction		1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes	1a.2. Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

				*Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and	
	1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	Independent Practice occur 1a.3. Evidence of:	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above AchievementLevels 4 and 5 in Geometry.  Geometry Goal #2:  Level of Performance:*    2013 Expected Level of Performance:*   2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher		2b1. Walkthrough

C	L	T	T	T	T		1
performance.	Increase level 4				during instruction		
	and 5 by 5%				*Teachers facilitate		
		1			effective classroom		
					activities and tasks that		
					elicit evidence of learning		
					*Teachers collect both		
					formal and informal data		
					regarding students'		
					learning and provide		
					feedback regularly to		
					students regarding their		
					personal progress		
					throughout the lesson		
					cycle		
					*Teachers utilize data to		
		1			modify and adjust		
					teaching practices and to		
					reflect on the needs and		
					progress of students		
					aligned to FAA access		
					points		
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achie (AMOs), Reading and Math Perfo	vable Annual Measurable Objectives rmance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. Ambitious but	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Achievable Annual							
Measurable Objectives							
(AMOs). In six year							
school will reduce their							
achievement gap by 50%.							
Geometry Goal #3A:						1	
Geometry Goat #3A.							
Improve current level of performan	ce						
1							
					l		

Based on the analysis of student acl "Guiding Questions", identify and de for the followin	fine areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Improve current level of performance		Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
	1				,	3B.2.
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	3B.3.
Based on the analysis of student acl "Guiding Questions", identify and de for the followin	fine areas in need of improvement ng subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learne satisfactory progress in Geom	` /	5c.1. Lack of differentiation of	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

Geometry Goal #3C: Improve current level of performance	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*  100% of ELL students to	instruction			interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are	
		make a learning gain Increase proficiency of				appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and	
		of ELL students by 10%				questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning	
						needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments	
						*Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of	
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	difficulty. 5C.2.	3C.2.
				5C.2. 5C.3.		5C.2. 5C.3.	3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student ac "Guiding Questions", identify and do for the following	efine areas in need	nd reference to	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Disabilities satisfactory progress in Geom	netry.	g	differentiation of	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates teacher		5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Geometry Goal #3D: Improve current level of performance	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  100% of SWD students to make a learning gain	instruction			interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning	
						readiness and specific	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	Increase proficiency of SWD students by 10%		5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2. 5D.3.	learning needs)  *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.  *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments  *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.  5D.2.	3D.2. 3D.3.
Based on the analysis of student act "Guiding Questions", identify and det	fine areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Evaluation Tool
Geometry Goar #32.	ged students not making		5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. AP who evaluates teacher		5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

Increase proficiency of Economically Disadvantage d students by 10%				appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.  *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments  *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	3E.2.
	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3		3E.3

End of Geometry EOC Goals

# **Mathematics Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities  Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus  Grade Level/Subject  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Focus  PD Facilitator and/or PLC subject, grade level, or school-wide)  PD Facilitator and/or PLC subject, grade level, or school-wide)  Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)  Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring					Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					

 $\underline{Mathematics\ Budget}(Insert\ rows\ as\ needed)$ 

Include only school-based funde	ed activities/materials and exclude district funded	activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat	rerials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			•	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Mathematics Goals

## Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School	Science Go	als		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
"Guiding Questions", iden	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1.Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.  Science Goal #1: 2012 Current 2013Expected			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans		
Improve current level of performance	Level of Performance:* 40%	Level of Performance:*  Decrease level 1,2, and 3				guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson			
		1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected	1a.2. Walkthrough			

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						outcomes  *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.  *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of gradelevel standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		in need of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Florida Alternate Assess or above Level 7 in science.  Science Goal #2:  Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	Ü		2.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher		2.1. Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

				learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

### Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals(this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biolog	y EOCGoals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Biology Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*  Decrease the level 1 and 2	.1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans	
						explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses		

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

				class discussion by referring	
				back to the learning goal/essential question	
				*Includes a scale or rubric	
				that relates to the learning	
				goal is posted so that all	
				students can see it	
				*Teacher reference to the	
				scale or rubric throughout	
				the lesson	
	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.
	Insufficient standard	Implement High Yield	AP who evaluates	Determine:	Walkthrough
	based instruction	Instructional Strategies	teacher	*Lesson focuses on essential	
				learning objectives and goals by specifically stating	
				the purpose for learning,	
				lesson agenda and expected	
				outcomes	
				*Student readiness for	
				learning occurs by	
				connecting instructional	
				objectives and goals to	
				students' background	
				knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.	
				*Explicit Instruction;	
				Modeled Instruction; Guided	
				Practice with Teacher	
				Support and Feedback;	
				Guided Practice with Peer	
				Support and Feedback; and	
				Independent Practice occur	
	1 0	1 0	4 0		1 0
	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.
	Insufficient standard based instruction	Increase instructional rigor	AP who evaluates teacher	Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction	Walkthrough
	based Histi detion	i igoi	leachei	which is aligned with the	reactier Appraisal Results
				cognitive complexity levels	
				of standards and	
				benchmarks	
				The cognitive complexity of	
				models, examples,	
				questions, tasks, and	
				assessments are appropriate	1
				given the cognitive complexity level of grade-	
				level standards and	
				benchmarks	
				DCHGHHAI K3	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4 and 5 in Biology.  Biology Goal #2: Improve current level of performance    2012 Current   Level of   Performance:*     Performance:*	2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction  2.2. 2.3.	2.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction  2.2.	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher  2.2.	Determine:  *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction  *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points  2.2.	2.1. Walkthrough  2.2. 2.3

End of Biology EOC Goals

# **Science Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus  Grade Level/Subject  PD Facilitator and/or PLC school-wide)  PD Facilitator and/or PLC school-wide)  PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or pLC Leader school-wide)  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring frequency of meetings)  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring										

**Science Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

2				
Include only school-based fund	ded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat	terials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·	·		Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Science Goals

## **Writing Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

W	riting Goals			Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievement	
"Guiding Questions"	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a. FCAT:Students and higher in writing Writing Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance		2013Expected Level of Performance:*  Decrease level 1,2 and 3 students	1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher		1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
			Insufficient standard based instruction	Inglement High Yield Instructional Strategies	AP who evaluates teacher		Ta.2. Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher		1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate at 4 or higher in write Writing Goal #1b:  Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Level 4,5,6 0%	2013Expected Level of Performance:*  Decrease level 1,2 and 3 students	1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.1. Determine Lesson:	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

				question  *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referrin back to the learning goal/essential question  *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it  *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	g
	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

End of Writing Goals

### U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals(required in year 2013-2014)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

U.S. History EOC Goals				Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
"Guiding Questions", identify a	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Ach History.  U.S. HistoryGoal #1:				ard Set and communicate a	teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans	
Establish baseline level of performance	Level of Performance:*  Not available	of Performance:*  Improved from baseline		lesson		and to the district/school pacing guide  *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals  *Includes a learning goal/essential question  *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question  *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question  *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal/essential question  *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it  *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson		
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2.	1a.2. Walkthrough	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

				lesson agenda and expected outcomes  *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.  *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
	based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor			1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.  U.S. History Goal #2:  Establish baseline level of     2012 Current   2013 Expected Level   of Performance:*	Insufficient standard based instruction	2.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	AP who evaluates teacher		2.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans

performance	Not available	Improved from				*Begins with a discussion	
		baseline				of desired outcomes and	
		Cuscinic				learning goals	
						*Includes a learning	
						goal/essential question	
						*Includes teacher	
						explanation of how the	
						class activities relate to	
						the learning goal and to	
						answering the essential	
						question	
						*Focuses and/or refocuses	
						class discussion by	
						referring back to the	
						learning goal/essential	
						question	
						*Includes a scale or rubric	
						that relates to the learning	
						goal is posted so that all	
						students can see it	
						*Teacher reference to the	
						scale or rubric throughout	
						the lesson	
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.2	2.2	b 2	2.2	2.2
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

**U.S. History Professional Development** 

	.D. IIIbtory I ro	reporting D	cvelopinene							
	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Tonic PD Facilitator PD Participants Target Dates (e.g. Farly							Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			

# $\textbf{U.S. History Budget} (Insert \ rows \ as \ needed)$

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·		Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of U.S. HistoryGoals

## **Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<u> </u>	Attendance Goal(s)		1	Problem-solvi		crease Attendance	
Based on the analysis of a Questions", identify an			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance			Lack of student	1.1. Positive behavior supports		1.1. Determine:	1.1. Decrease in
Improve current level of performance	Attendance Rate:*  92%  2012 Current Number of Studentswith Excessive Absences (10 or more)  518  2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)  0	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:* Greater than prior year 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 10% decrease from prior year 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) 5% decrease from prior year		behavior plan	CST A.P. of Cohort Data Reports from DMT	Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected	Suspension Number of Students
		r *	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity							
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmen	t or PLC activity.			
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		

#### Attendance Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	I funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			•	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Attendance Goals

#### **Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

when using percentages, merade the number of s	nadents the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (33)).
Suspension Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Suspension Goal #1: Improve current level of performance  983  10% decrease from prior year  2012Total Number of Students Suspended In -School  Suspensions  983  10% decrease from prior year  2012Total Number of 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School  327  10% decrease from prior year  2012Number of Out-of-School Suspensions  1182  5% decrease from prior year  2012Total Number of 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School Suspensions  1182  5% decrease from prior year  2012Total Number of 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School Suspensions  1182  5% decrease from prior year  2012Total Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School  195  5% decrease from prior year	Lack of Student Engagement	Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	Behavioral Specialist	Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Suspension Professional Development** 

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			

Suspension Budg	<b>get</b> (Insert row	s as needed)				_
Include only school-b	ased funded act	tivities/materials	s and exclude district funded a	activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Materials(s	s)				
Strategy		Description	n of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
						Subtotal:
Technology						
Strategy		Description	n of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
						Subtotal:
Professional Developm	nent					
Strategy		Description	n of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
						Subtotal:
Other						
Strategy		Description	n of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
						Subtotal:
						Total:

End of Suspension Goals

**Dropout Prevention Goal(s)** Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Dropout Prevention Goal(s)</b>		Problem-solv	ing Process to Di	ropout Prevention	
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

Dropout Prevention Go *Please refer to the perout during the 2011-20  Improve current level of performance	centage of stude 12 school year.  2012 Current Dropout Rate:*  2012 Current Graduation Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*  10% decrease from prior year 2013 Expected	plan for future aspirations and create educational goals	1.1. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies Cohorts will help monitor students at risk for dropping out Credit Recovery classes Attendance specialist monitoring attendance Automatic monitoring		<u> </u>	1.1. Walkthrough and teacher appraisal
				1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.

## **Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					

# $\label{eq:continuous_proposed} \textbf{Dropout Prevention Budget} (Insert \ rows \ as \ needed)$

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.								
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)								
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount					

				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

#### **Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
J	home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to		1.1. SBLT Semi-annual parent meeing based on cohort model- A.P.	1.1.	1.1.

Improve current level of performance Portal logins by parents	level of Parent Involvement:*		progress. AVID parent night(s) Scholarship nights for Juniors and Seniors Test Prep. Night Cohort night(s) A.P. parent night EOC's parent night Beautification night			
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

### **Parent Involvement Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			

**Parent Involvement Budget** 

Include only school-based funded activiti	ies/materials and exclude district funded activ	vities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

### Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Increase the % of students passing AP tests	1.1. Teacher preparation	1.1. Professional Development for teachers	1.1. AP coordinator Assistant Principal	1.1. Walk throughs AP results	1.1. AP tests
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**STEM Professional Development** 

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	PD Content /Topic PD Facilitator PD Participants Target Dates and Schedules				Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
AP workshops	9-12	AP inst.	AP teachers	As scheduled		AP coordinator		
PLC	9-12	various	AP teachers	Early release		Assistant Principal		

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activiti	es/materials and exclude district funded activ	vities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Use of Technology	Netbook labs	SIG	
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
AP workshops		AP fundings	

				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		•	•	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

### **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<u> </u>	Teacher Preparation Lack of student awareness	Professional Development	Assistant Principal	1.1.  Number of tests taken and percentage of students getting industry certification	1.1. Industry Certification tests
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

### **CTE Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity							
	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
	ntent /Topic	Grade	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for
and/or	PLC Focus	Level/Subject	and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or	(e.g., Early Release) and	Strategy for Follow up/Molitoring	Monitoring

	PLC Leader	school-wide)	Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	
CTE training		PLC	Early realease	Assistant Principal

CTE Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Lands and a substantial form		4.4		
•	unded activities/materials and exclude district fur	ided activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/N				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•		·	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of CTE Goal(s)

# Additional Goal I Wellness (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

U T				Problem-Solving P		se Student Achievemen	t
Addition	nal Goal(s)			1 Toblem-Solving 1	Tocess to increas	se Student Aemevemen	·
	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Well	ness		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Additional Goal #1:	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
Improve current level of			<b>l</b> .				
performance	Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Silver Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory  Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory  Meeting Silver Level on Healthy Schools Inventory  Meeting Gold Level on Healthy	School Team.	A: Complete Healthy Schools Program 6 Step Processonline https://schools.healthiergeneratio n.org/	A: Healthy School Team (school administrator, physical education teacher, cafeteria manager, health teacher/elementary classroom teachers (optional members – students, parents, school nurse)	A: Completion of 6 <sup>th</sup> Step of the Healthy School Program online (Celebrate Successes)	A: Healthy School Inventory (Evaluate Your School) online
	B Data:	B Data:					B:
	Being Fit	Being Fit	B:	В:	В:	В:	Being Fit Matters Statistical
	Matters/Fitnessgr	Matters/Fitnessgr	Failure to assess students and	Complete Pre and Post Being Fit	physical education	Compare Pre and Post Being Fit	Report (Portal)

am Data by am	upload Being Fit	Matters/Fitnessgram student	teachers	Matters/Fitnessgram student	
school will be		assessments and upload data		assessments results	
inserted here. School	ol will				
improv					
	ents' scores				
	ne Being Fit				
	ers/Fitnessgr				
	Assessment				
scores					
selecte					
school	01.				
-	1.2.	1.2.	1.2	1.2.	1.2.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus  Grade Level/Subject  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader  PD Facilitator school-wide)  PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)  Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Monitoring  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Monitoring											

### Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activiti	es/materials and exclude district funded activ	ities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources Funding Source Amount					
			Subtotal:			
Technology						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			

			T	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

### Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Addition	Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievemen	t
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black	1. Additional Goal: Black Academic Achievement			1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates	1.1. Content materials are	1.1. Lesson Plans &
Additional Goal #1:  There will be an increase in black student achievement	Level :* Reading: 34%	2013 Expected Level :*  All black		Courageous Conversation with instructional staff twice a semester  Born Eagles for Black male students	teacher Committee of teachers along with Assistant Principal Maurice Herring	differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to	Walkthrough
Utilizing the following: Born Eagles AVID	(83.00) Math: (algebra) 69% (9)	students to make learning gains in reading and math Working with		AVID strategies  Developing lesson plans using common core standards.		meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners	

Black males giving additional support.				*Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Additional MOU Goals Professional Development** 

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus  Grade Level/Subject  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader  PD Facilitator school-wide)  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader  PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring											

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	val(s) buuget (msert rows as needed)			
Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	ded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	'		Su	ubtotal
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

	<del>_</del>			
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·			Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

### Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Addition	al Goal(s)			Problem-Solving P	ring Process to Increase Student Achievement			
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Additional Goal: Student Engagement for Black Students		1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of	1.1. SBLT	Expectations are clearly and			
Additional Goal #1:  There will be an increase in black student engagement	School data for % of black students receiving referrals	2013 Expected Level:*  Decrease the percent of Black students receiving referrals, and Receiving in school and out of school		an effective school wide behavior plan		Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established		

	suspensions by 5% for					
Plan report	2012-13.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	1 PI) Escalifator I PI) Participants								

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	(2) — 626 <b>8</b> 00 (==========)			
Include only school-based fund	ded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat	terials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·		Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u>.</u>	•	<u> </u>	Subtotal:

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

### Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Additional Goal: Black graduation rate	1.1. Lack of Student	1.1. Positive behavior	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine:	1.1. Increase in black	
Additional Goal #1:  There will be an increase in black student graduation rate  2012 Current Level :*  Level :*  2013 Expected Level :*	Engagement	supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Databased monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	graduation rate	

1.2	2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
1.3	3. 1	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus  Grade Level/Subject  Grade Level/Subject  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader  PD Facilitator school-wide)  PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Focus  PD Participants (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., Frequency of meetings)  Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring									

### Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded a	activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Material	s(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·	·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	·	<u>.</u>	Subtotal:

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

### Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additiona			Problem-Solving Process to			ncrease Student Achievement			
Based on the analysis of sch	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
There will be an increase percent of black students enrolled in rigorous advanced coursework  There will be an increase in performance of black students in rigorousadvanced coursework	2012 Current Level :* Honors:	2013 Expected Level :*  Increase from prior year	1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher		Professional Development includes equity and cultural responsiveness		
			1.3.		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		

# Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus  Grade Level/Subject  Level/Subject  PD Facilitator and/or PLC subject (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)  PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)  Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)  Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring									

### Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of Additional Goal(s)

### Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Final Budget(insert lows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total:

End of Additional Goal(s)

**Final Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total:

#### **Differentiated Accountability**

#### School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status			
Priority	Focus	Prevent	

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

#### **School Advisory Council (SAC)**

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

racial, and economic community served by the school. Flease verify the statement above by selecting less of 140 below.	
⊠ Yes □ No	
If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.	
Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.	
Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.	
Monitoring the SIP monthly.	
Ensuring school wide Reading and Writing in the content areas are implemented.	
Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount