FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name:4021Shore Acres Elementary School	District Name: Pinellas County Schools
Principal: Dr. Bonnie Cangelosi	Superintendent:John A. Stewart, Ed.D.
SAC Chair: Teresa Ray-Gay	Date of School Board Approval: October 19, 2012

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Dr. Bonnie Cangelosi	BA El Ed; MA Ed Leadership; EdD Leadership	2	16	"A" School for 10 years in a row; AYP 6 years; Lowest 25% consistently showing gains each year; FCAT results consistently at or above district level with a positive trend
Assistant Principal	Kimberly Stoessel	BA El Ed; MA Ed Leadership	1	1	"A" School for 6 years, "B" for one year, No AYP. Lowest 25% showed improvement in Reading.

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
Ongoing PD based on Classroom Walkthrough Observations	Administration	6/6/13
2. Individual Professional Development Plan	Administration	6/6/13
3.		
4.		

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
1	Working side-by-side daily with experienced teacher in area of instruction. Professional development to enhance instructional strategies.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	%ESOL Endorsed Teachers
45	0% (0)	7% (3)	49% (22)	44%(20)	38% (17)	100%	2% (1)	4% (2)	51% (23)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Robyn DeCressie	Jennifer Bryant	2 nd year in Kindergarten	Observe mentee's instruction & provide feedback; Planning lessons
			with mentee; Connecting lesson activities to content standards;
			Discussing student progress & analyzing student work; Modeling or co-teaching lessons

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Dr. Bonnie Cangelosi, Principal, Kimberly Stoessel, Assistant Principal, Karen Faris, Guidance Counselor, Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teacher: Lisa Touchette, General Education Teachers (Primary and Intermediate), Hourly Teachers, TBA, school psychologist, Dru Brooks, educational diagnostician, Dru Brooks, educational diagnostician.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

- -Facilitator generates agenda and leads team discussions
- -Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data
- -Technology Specialist brokers technology necessary to manage and display data
- -Recorder/Note Taker documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access
- -Time Keeper -helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda

Meeting time: Mondays 8:00 a.m.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? The RtI team plays a vital role in the development and implementation of the SIP by reviewing student progress weekly and putting strategies and processes in place to help all students be successful.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. PIAP testing, FCAT testing. Ongoing Progress Monitoring.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The SBLT through school administration will provide access to resource documents such as the Florida Department of Education Statewide Problem Solving Response to Instruction/Intervention Implementation Plan and the Pinellas County Problem Solving- Response to Instruction/Intervention Elementary Implementation Guide. All staff will become knowledgeable of the principles and processes described in these documents through staff inservice training and recommended independent study. Staff will be encouraged to complete the Introduction to Problem Solving and Response to Intervention provided through the Florida Department of Education website.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Review data and make support decisions as a team.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal, Assistant Principal, Curriculum Leaders, Team Leaders

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

- Support for text complexity
- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
 - o Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
 - o Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
 - o Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
 - o Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
 - Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Support for text complexity

- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

N/A

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Rea	ding Goal		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Inprove current level of performance (27%) Performance (98) Important level of performance (98) Improve current level of performance:* Improve current level of pe		standard based	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans		
			standard based	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	teacher		1a.2. Walkthrough	

		1a.3.			1a.3.
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b: Improve current level of performance: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:* 25% Decrease level 1,2,3	Insufficient standard based instruction 1b.2. Insufficient standard based	Increase instructional rigor 1b.2. Implement High Yield	AP who evaluates teacher 1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks 1b.2.	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results 1b.2. Walkthrough
				*Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
	1b.2.	1b.2.	Ib.2.	16.2.	1b.2.
	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

Improve current level of	s 4 and 5 in 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 39% (145)	reading. 2013Expected Level of	Lack of differentiation of instruction	Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	2a.1. Walkthrough
			2a.2. 2a.3		2a.2. 2a.3	2a.2. 2a.3	2a.2. 2a.3
Improve current level of	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	eading. 2013Expected Level of	Lack of differentiation of	Provide formative	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher		2b1. Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	2b.2. 2b.3	2b2. 2b.3	2b.2. 2b.3	and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points 2b.2.	2b.2. 2b.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:* Performance:*	engagement	Instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b: Level of Improve current level of performance: Performance:* Performance:*		Learning 2013Expected Level of Performance:*	3b.1. Lack of student engagement 3b.2.	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction 3b.2.	3b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis and reference to "Gui- define areas in need of	ding Questions"	, identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
c	entage of stu	2013Expected Level of	4a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	*SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs
	4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4b: Improve current level of performance:* 72% 100%	4b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4b.1. Differentiate Instruction	4b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and	4b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exi address the varying need students acreacademic are engagement		that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	*SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses	4b.2. Evidence of co intervention te and planning;	re teachers and eachers communicating & Walkthroughs
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
	s but Achievable Annual (AMOs), Reading and Math	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	Baseline data 2010-2011	84	<mark>87</mark>	<mark>91</mark>	<mark>94</mark>	<mark>97</mark>	<mark>100</mark>
Achievable	01						
Annual	<u>81</u>						
Measurable							
Objectives							
(AMOs). In six							
year school will							
reduce their							
achievement gap							
by 50%.							

Reading Goal #5A:					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
Based on the analysis of student achievement da and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify a define areas in need of improvement for the follow subgroup:	d	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (WI Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) making satisfactory progress in reading	not White:	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Improve current level of performance White:180 100% of subgroup Black: 12 learning 5% gain Hispanic: 23 9% Increase proficier of all	all s to				

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

9 4% Am	nerican lian:	ubgroups y 10%	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of s and reference to "Guiding define areas in need of imp subgr	g Questions", i	ement data, identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language making satisfactory por Reading Goal #5C: 201	e Learners progress in 12 Current vel of rformance:* P 5% 1 E	reading. 013Expected evel of erformance:* 00% of ELL tudents to nake a earning gain An increase n proficiency by 10%	Lack of differentiation of instruction	Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
				5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.		5C.2.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	5C.3. Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	5C.3. Evaluation Tool	

making satisfactory page Reading Goal #5D: Improve current level of performance	rove current level of Current Level of Performance:*		5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	Differentiate	5d.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
Based on the analysis of and reference to "Guidin define areas in need of im subs	g Questions	s", identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
reading Goar #BE.		2013Expected Level of Performance:*	5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction		5e.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

	gain An increase in proficiency by 10%				*These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3

Reading Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	j	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					
Reading Units of Study	Grades k-5	Literacy Coach, Assistant Principal	School-wide	Common Planning (wkly) and Early Release (mthly)	Leadership Team will review progress monthly at CORE meeting.	Literacy Coach, Team Leaders, Assistant Principal, Principal					
Analyzing Student Data	Grades K-5	Team Leaders and SBLT team members	School-wide	Common Planning (wkly) and weekly SBLT	SBLT and Leadership Team at CORE Meeting	Literacy Coach, Team Leaders, Assistant Principal, Principal					
Book Study "The Reflective Teacher"	Grades K-5	Assistant Principal, Literacy Coach & Literacy Teacher Leader		Common Planning (wkly) and Early Release (mthly)	Review by Literacy Team before monthly staff training.	Literacy Coach, Team Leaders, Assistant Principal, Principal					

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

8 8 \	,			
Include only school funded activities	es/materials and exclude district funded activit	ies/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials	s(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Book study; Reflective Teacher	One book for each instructional staff member	PTA	\$1200	
	monioci			
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				\$1200 Total:
				φ1200 IUlai.

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELL	A Goals		Problem-Solving Pr	rocess to Increase	e Language Acquisition	
	nderstand spoken English at grade lar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring proficion CELLA Goal #1: Improve current level of performance Number CELLA tested: 47	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: 51% 24	1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	1.1. Walkthrough
		2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Differentiate Instruction	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are	2.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	1	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 1.3.	1.3.
			~			
Students read in English at grade level text in non-ELL students.	n a manner similar to	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring proficient in Rea				2.2.		2.2.
	I			AP who evaluates		Walkthrough
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of performance 26% 12		pased instruction	Instructional Strategies	teacher	*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
	2	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

	Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students.		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Students scoring profici CELLA Goal #3: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing: 28% 13	3.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	3.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	3.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3.1. Determine Lesson:	3.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
		2.2. 2.3	2.2.	2.2.	*Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson 2.2.	2.2.

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activit	ies/materials and exclude district funded act	ivities/materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary I	Mathemati	cs Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding areas in need of impro-	Questions", iden	tify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance Performance: 95 Decrease in level 1 and 2 from 36% To 26%			AP who evaluates teacher	Determine Lesson:	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans				
				1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	AP who evaluates teacher		1a.2. Walkthrough		

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						and Independent Practice occur	
						and independent Fractice occur	
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
						Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	
#1b:	5, and 6 in ma 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease in level 1,2 and 3	standard based instruction	Instructional Štrategies	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1b.2. Walkthrough
			1b.2.	Ib.2.	1b.2.	lb.2.	Ib.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	lb.3.	1b.3.

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	Questions", identi	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at AchievementLevels 4 and 5 in ma Mathematics Goal #2a: Improve current level of performance 139		2a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2a.1. AP who evaluates teacher			
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.		2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate scoring at or above L. Mathematics Goal #2b: Improve current level of performance	Level 7 in ma		2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher		2b1. Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

				b.2. b.3	2b.2. 2b.3		2b.2. 2b.3
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions", identif	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
#3a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	g		3a.1. Differentiate Instruction		Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			1		•	T	•
				-			
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	3a.3.
3b. Florida Alternate	Assessment:	Percentage	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		3b.1.
of students making L			Lack of student	Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates		School Summary of
mathematics.	ő		engagement				observation section of
Mathematics Goal						interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and	teacher appraisal results
#3b:	Level of	Level of					IPI data when available
<u>#30.</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*				*Content materials are	ii i data wiicii avallable
Improve current level of	73%	100% of				appropriately scaffolded to	State instructional
performance		students will					walkthrough when
		make					applicable
		learning				and specific learning needs)	
		gains				*Models, examples and questions are appropriately	
		S				scaffolded to meet the needs of	
						diverse learners *Teachers	
						provide small group instruction	
						to target specific learning	
						needs.	
						*These small groups are	
						flexible and change with the content, project and	
						assessments	
						*Students are provided	
						opportunities to demonstrate or	
						express knowledge and	
						understanding in different	
						ways, which includes varying	
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	degrees of difficulty. 3b.2.	3b.2.
			50.2.	30.2.	30.2.	50.2.	50.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible		Evaluation Tool	
	reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	
4a.FCAT 2.0:Percent	age of studer	nts in		4a.1.		4a.1.	4a.1.
Lowest 25% making				Differentiate Instruction		Content materials are	Lesson Plans &
			of instruction		teacher	differentiated by student	Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

4						<u> </u>	1
mathematics.						interests, cultural background,	
						prior knowledge of content, and	
						skill level	
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013Expected				*Content materials are	
#4a:	Level of	Level of				appropriately scaffolded to	
#4a.	Performance:*	Performance:*				meet the needs of diverse	
		1000/				learners (learning readiness	
Improve current level of	66%	100% of				and specific learning needs)	
performance		students will				*Models, examples and	
		make a				questions are appropriately	
		learning gain				scaffolded to meet the needs of	
		icarining gain				diverse learners *Teachers	
						provide small group instruction	
						to target specific learning	
						needs.	
						*These small groups are	
						flexible and change with the	
						content, project and	
						assessments	
						*Students are provided	
						opportunities to demonstrate or	
						express knowledge and	
						understanding in different	
						ways, which includes varying	
						degrees of difficulty.	
					4a.2.		4a.2.
			Insufficient	Create intervention that	SBLT	*SBLT utilizes data to plan for a	Evidence of core teachers
			intervention supports	support core instructional		sufficient number and variety of	and intervention teachers
			exist to address the	goals and objectives		intervention courses	communicating and
			varying needs of	-		*Intervention and core teachers	planning;
			students across			communicate and plan together	Lesson Plans &
			academic and				Walkthroughs
			engagement areas			*Intervention curriculum is	3
			3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			aligned with core instructional	
						goals/objectives	
						*Core content materials and	
						subject matter are integrated	
						within intervention courses	
						*Intervention strategies are	
						reinforced in core classes	
						*Interventions are integrated	
						and aligned across all providers	
						*Effectiveness of intervention	
						courses are evaluated by	
						reviewing student success in	
						core courses	
						<u> </u>	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3
4b. Florida Alternat	te Assessment:	Percentage	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.
of students in Lowes		g learning	Lack of differentiation	Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates		Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
gains in mathematic	es.	_	of instruction		teacher	differentiated by student	
Mathematics Goal		2013Expected				interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and	
#4b:		Level of				skill level	
		Performance:*				*Content materials are	
Improve current level of	66%	100% of				appropriately scaffolded to	
performance		students will				meet the needs of diverse	
		make a				learners (learning readiness	
		learning gain				and specific learning needs)	
						*Models, examples and questions are appropriately	
						scaffolded to meet the needs of	
						diverse learners *Teachers	
						provide small group instruction	
						to target specific learning	
						needs.	
						*These small groups are flexible and change with the	
						content, project and	
						assessments	
						*Students are provided	
						opportunities to demonstrate or	
						express knowledge and	
						understanding in different	
						ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			4b.2.	4b.2.	4ab.2.		4b.2.
			Insufficient	-	SBLT	*SBLT utilizes data to plan for a	
				support core instructional		sufficient number and variety of	
				goals and objectives		intervention courses	communicating and
			varying needs of			*Intervention and core teachers	
			students across			communicate and plan together	Lesson Plans &
			academic and			regularly *Intervention curriculum is	Walkthroughs
			engagement areas			aligned with core instructional	
						goals/objectives	
						*Core content materials and	
						subject matter are integrated	
						within intervention courses	
						*Intervention strategies are	
						reinforced in core classes	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	*Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses 4b.3.	4b.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. Ambitious but 79 Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Mathematics Goal #5A: Improve current level of performance	81	83	84	86	88	90
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evalua	tion Tool
SB. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B: Improve current level of performance White: 100% of student 179 subgroups will make	5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are	5b.1. Lesson Plans Walkthrough	&

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

33 88 11 12 14 11	3% 3 i	learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%				questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
				5B.3.	5B.3.		5B.3.
Based on the analysis of s reference to "Guiding Qu areas in need of improvement	estions", identi	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language making satisfactory properties of Mathematics Goal #5C: Improve current level of performance	rogress in n 2012 Current Level of	,			AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem	uestions", identi	nent data, and fy and define	5C.2. 5C.3. Anticipated Barrier	5C.2. 5C.3. Strategy	5C.2. 5C.3. Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3. Evaluation Tool
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	. — /		5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. AP who evaluates teacher	54111	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
				5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2.	5D.2. 5D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
#5E: Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5E: Disadvantaged students Disadvantaged Disadvanta	5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
		5E.2 5E.3		degrees of difficulty. 5E.2 5E.3	5E.2

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Math Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
Math Lesson Study	K - 5	Team Leaders	PLC's at grades K-3	Early Release and monthly meetings	Walk-through data	Principal & Assistant Principal Karen Fradley, Administrative Intern		
MFAS Formative Assessment	IK & 1		Selected teachers at grades K & 1		Impetings and formative assessment	Michael Anderson, Principal & Assistant Principal		
MFAS Formative Assessment	L α .3	_	Selected teachers at grades 2 & 3			Dr. Reeves, Principal & Assistant Principal		

Math Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Wath Budget (Insert 10	,			
Include only school-based for	unded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/N	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			<u>.</u>	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Lesson Study	Small Group Training	PTA	\$2000	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Subtotal:
				\$2,000 Total:

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1a.FCAT 2.0:Students scorin science. Science Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 35% 46	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease the number of level 1 and 2	1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans	
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background	1a.2. Walkthrough	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of gradelevel standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	
1b.Florida Alternate Asses Level 4, 5, and 6 in science Science Goal #1b: Improve current level of performance	Ü	1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			lb.2.	1b.2. 1b.3.	1b.2. 1b.3.		1b.2. 1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student a "Guiding Questions", identified improvement for the	fy and define areas	s in need of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a. FCAT 2.0:Students scor Achievement Levels 4 and a Science Goal #2a: Improve current level of performance	5 in science. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 23%	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase the level 4 and 5 students 5%		2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data (using formative assessment tools) regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.		2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.			Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Teachers regularly assess	2b1. Walkthrough
Science Goal #2b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 0%	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase the level 7 by 5%		differentiation in instruction		students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	
			2b.2 2b.3	2b.2. 2b.3	2b.2. 2b.3		2b.2. 2b.3

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Science Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					
5E Instructional Model	K-5	Team Leaders	Classroom Teachers	Early Release Days (2)	Walk-through data collection	Assistant Principal & Principal					
Format	K-5	Team Leaders	IL Jassroom Leachers	Early Release Days and PLC's	Walk-through data collection	Assistant Principal & Principal					
School-wide focus on Scientific Thinking	K-5	Team Leaders		Early Release Days and Monthly Staff Training	Walk-through data collection, Science Fair projects	Assistant Principal & Principal					

Science Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Science Budget(mser	,			
Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	Total

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

W	riting Goals			Problem-Solving Problem-Solvin	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievement	
"Guiding Questions"	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a. FCAT:Students see and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance 9 Lai 3			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher		
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies Model teaching and side- by-side coaching over the long term for teachers who are not proficient in the units of study model and differentiated instruction.	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and	1a.2. Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	
1b. Florida Alternativat 4 or higher in writer Writing Goal #1b: Improve current level of performance	ting.	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students	1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Determine Lesson:	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans

				goal/essential question *Analysis of student writing both on-going and prompted.	
	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

End of Writing Goals

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					
School-wide focus on conventions/sentenc e structure/fluency and focus in writing.		Teacher Leader, Assistant Principal, Literacy Team	School-wide	Early Release, PLC's, monthly staff trainings	Walkthrough data collection	Assistant Principal & Principal					
School-wide focus on 200 most commonly used words.		Classroom Teachers	School-wide	Early Release, PLC's, monthly staff trainings	Walkthrough data collection	Assistant Principal & Principal					

Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Zuaget (misert 10 ws us needed)				
Include only school-based funded activ	vities/materials and exclude district funded a	activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Writing fluency through vocabulary	Common lists, posters, practice skills	PTA and School Budget	\$200	
		Subtotal:		
	,	Technology		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Sub	ototal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			\$200 '	Total

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

U I	ndance Goal(s)		i S	Problem-solvi		crease Attendance	
	Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Improve current level of performance	Attendance Rate:* Attendance R	Expected dance Rate:* Inter than prior Expected Number udents with sive Absences r more) decrease from r year Expected Number ents with Excessive es or more) decrease from r year	Lack of student engagement	Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
			1.2.		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					
Process for supporting students	K-5	School Social Worker & Guidance Counselor	Teachers	Weekly SBLT and monthly staff meetings.	SBLT weekly review of attendance data	Social Worker					

Attendance Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activit	ies/materials and exclude district funded ac	tivities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Commitment To Character	Daily lessons on morning news, posters, puppets	PTA, School Budget	\$200
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount \$200 Total:

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	pension Goal(s		radents the percentage	Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension				
	Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Improve current level of performance	Suspensions 5 2012Total Number of Students Suspended In-School 4 2012Number of Out-of-School Suspensions 35 2012Total Number of Students Suspended Out- of- School	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions 10% decrease from prior year 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School 10% decrease from prior year 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions 10% decrease from prior year 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions 10% decrease from prior year 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School 10% decrease from prior year	Lack of Student Engagement	Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule	
			1.2.		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Profe	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					
Positive Behavior Support	K-5	Behavior Team	All staff	Early Release, PLC's and Monthly Staff meetings	Bi-monthly Behavior Team mtg.	Teacher leader for Behavior team and Assistant Principal					
Character Development	K-5	Behavior Team	All staff	Early Release, PLC's and Monthly Staff meetings, Morning news show	Bi-monthly Behavior Team mtg.	Teacher leader for Behavior team and Assistant Principal					
Suspension Bud	 get (Insert roy	vs as needed)									

Suspension Duuget(msert	10ws as needed)			
Include only school-based funde	d activities/materials and exclude district funde	d activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Mater	rials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Commitment to Character	Puppets, posters, Resource books	School Budget, PTA	\$200	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		•	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				\$200 Total:

End of Suspension Goals

${\bf 2012\text{-}2013School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention			
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Dropout Prevention Dropout Prevention Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year. 2012 Current Dropout Rate:* 2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.											
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC, grade level, or school-wide) PD Facilitator (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Participants (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Monitoring												

Dropout Prevention Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/	/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·		Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·	•	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	<u>,</u>	•	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involv	Parent Involvement Goal(s) Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Problem-solv	ing Process to Pa	arent Involvement	
"Guiding Questions", identif			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1 *Please refer to the percental participated in school activity unduplicated. Improve current level of performance Portal logins by parents	age of parents	who d or	Lack of frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to	1.1. Provide frequent home- school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational progress	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Track parent involvement throughout the school year.	1.1. Sign-in sheets Surveys
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity											
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring												
Dealing with Difficult Parents	PreK-5	Principal & AP	School-wide	On-going at monthly staff training	Parent complaints	Principal & AP						

Parent Involvement Budget

vities/materials and exclude district funded a	ctivities /materials.		
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Book, "Dealing with Difficult Parents"	School	\$100	
			Subtotal:
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·	·	Subtotal:
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		<u>'</u>	Subtotal:
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
1	1	<u> </u>	Subtotal:
			\$100 Total:
	Description of Resources Book, "Dealing with Difficult Parents" Description of Resources Description of Resources	Description of Resources Book, "Dealing with Difficult Parents" School Description of Resources Funding Source Description of Resources Funding Source Funding Source	Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Book, "Dealing with Difficult Parents" School \$100 Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Description of Resources Funding Source Amount

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				t
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

	BILLY I Tolessional Bevelopment										
	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Facilitator and/or PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Facilitator and/or PLC subject, grade level, or school-wide) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Monitoring										
-											

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.						
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			

				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•		•	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		•	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)		Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	t	
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2. 1.2.	1.2. 1.2. 1.2.

CTE Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject FD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Participants (e.g., Farly Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Monitoring								

CTE Budget(Insert rows as needed)

ount
Subtotal:
ount

			Subtotal
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal
			Total

End of CTE Goal(s)

${\bf 2012\text{-}2013School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

Additional Goal I Wellness (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of sci		and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Additional Goal: Welln	ess		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Additional Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory B Data:	Healthy Schools Inventory B Data: Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgr	School Team.	Complete Healthy Schools Program 6 Step Processonline https://schools.healthiergeneratio n.org/	A: Healthy School Team (school administrator, physical education teacher, cafeteria manager, health teacher/elementary classroom teachers (optional members – students, parents, school nurse)	A: Completion of 6 th Step of the Healthy School Program online (Celebrate Successes)	A: Healthy School Inventory (Evaluate Your School) online	
			upload Being Fit	B: Complete Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments and upload data			B: Being Fit Matters Statistical Report (Portal)	

Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
Educate all staff and Parents on Healthier Generation guidelines and implement throughout the school	PrK-5	Assistant Principal and Principal	IAH Stan		No sugary snacks served throughout the school day.	Principal and Assistant Principal		

$Additional\ Wellness\ Goal(s)\ Budget\ ({\tt Insert\ rows\ as\ needed})$

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u>.</u>		<u>.</u>	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Total:
	,	·	<u>'</u>	Subtotal:

Other						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			
Subtotal:						
			Total:			

Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black student achievement	2012 Current Level:* Reading level 3 and above:5% (12)	2013 Expected Level :*	of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction Gradual release model Technology assisted programs	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and	
						assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and	

				understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PD Facilitator (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Monitoring									

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	ided activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal
T. 1 1				Subtotati
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Addition	al Goal(s)		, ,	Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievemen	t
	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
There will be an increase in black student engagement	2012 Current Level :* Black Referrals: 12% (6) Black Suspensions: 4% (2)		Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan Character development program with positive behavior supports STEP program Multi-cultural club		Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established	Suspension Number of Students
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development

	1								
Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmen	it or PLC activity.				
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	d activities/materials and exclude district funde	d activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materi				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Commitment to Character	Daily lessons, puppets, morning announcements, posters	School Budget, PTA	\$100	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•			Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•		<u>, </u>	Subtotal:

\$100 Total:

Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Additional Goal: Black graduation rate							
2012 Current 2013 Expected Level:*							
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	PD Content /Topic PD Facilitator PD Participants Target Dates and Schedules								

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	•	•	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)		, ,	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Additional Goal: Black advanced Co							
	2013 Expected Level :*						
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	PD Content /Topic PD Facilitator PD Participants Target Dates and Schedules									

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activiti	ies/materials and exclude district funded acti	vities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Subtotal:	
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Subtotal:	
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Subtotal:	
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Subtotal:	
			Total:	

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)

That Dudget (hisert lows as needed)		
Please provide the total budget from each section.		
Reading Budget		
	\$1,200	Total:
Mathematics Budget		
	\$2,000	Total:
Science Budget		

	0	Total:
Writing Budget		
	\$200	Total:
Attendance Budget		
	\$200	Total:
Suspension Budget		
	\$200	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget		
	0	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget		
	\$100	Total:
Additional Goals		
	\$200	Total:
	\$4,100 Grand	Total:
Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)		
Please provide the total budget from each section.		
Reading Budget	\$1,200	Totalı
CELLA Budget	\$1,200	10tal:
CELLA Buuget	0	Total:
Mathematics Budget		
· ·	\$2,000	Total:
Science Budget		
	0	Total:
Writing Budget		
	\$200	Total:
Civics Budget		
	0	Total:
U.S. History Budget		
	0	Total:
Attendance Budget		

	\$200	T	otal:
Suspension Budget			
	\$200	T	otal:
Dropout Prevention Budget			
	(0 T	otal:
Parent Involvement Budget			
	\$100	T	otal:
STEM Budget			
	(0 T	otal:
CTE Budget			
	(0 T	otal:
Additional Goals			
	\$200) T	'otal:
\$	4,100 Gran	d T	otal:

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
Priority	Focus	Prevent

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

∑ Yes □ No	
If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.	
Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.	
SIP Review	
Referendum support	
Increasing Parent Involvement School-wide	
Amendment 8 Discussion	
Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
We no longer have SIP funds.	0