FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: SOUTH TECH ACADEMY

District Name: Palm Beach

Principal: Myron Cost

SAC Chair: Robert Kesten

Superintendent: Wayne Gent

Date of School Board Approval:

Last Modified on: 8/31/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Assis Principal	Maria Rosado	Bachelor's in Organizational Management, Master's in School Counseling, Master's in Education with a concentration in Educational Leadership. Certified in Guidance and Counseling K-12, ESE K-12, and Reading Endorsed	4	4	2012: School Grade Pending 2011: D, No AYP 2010: B, No AYP 2009: D, No AYP
Assis Principal	Eileen Turenne	Bachelor's in English, Master's in Educational Leadership; Certified in English 6-12, and ESOL Endorsed	1	1	2012: School Grade Pending

		Masters Administration/ Supervision K-12			2012: School Grade Pending 2011: D, No AYP
Principal	Myron Cost	Local Director of Vocational Ed. Teacher	9	19	2010: B, No AYP 2009: D, No AYP
		Coordinator of Cooperative Ed.			2008: D, No AYP
		Automotive Technology			2007: D, NO AYP 2006: D, NO AYP

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Tina De La Fe	Master of Education(School Counseling K-12) Bachelor of Arts (English), Certified in English 6-12, School Guidance Counseling K-12, and Reading Endorsed.	1	1	2012: School Grade Pending

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Recruitment: Attend district job fairs; post vacancies on website and in local newspapers; salaries competitive with school district salary scale.	Jennifer Melillo	Continual	
2	Retention: Monetary stipends are offered for completing the Reading Endorsement components; one extra paid duty day during pre-school is given; support offered with New Teacher/Mentor program; additional activities offered for supplemental income such as clubs, and tutoring.	Jennifer Melillo	Continual	
3	aligned with and/or provided by the district; paid application	Eileen Turenne Myron Cost	Continual	
4	Teachers are given assistance in signing up for Reading and ESOL endorsements.	Principal and Reading Coach	Continual	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
All instructional staff and paraprofessionals are teaching in their areas of certification; all have	N/A

received effective ratings.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
68	7.4%(5)	54.4%(37)	29.4%(20)	8.8%(6)	19.1%(13)	100.0%(68)	8.8%(6)	0.0%(0)	10.3%(7)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
1. Jessica Ehring 2. Linda Carr 3. Richard Brinker 4. Jan Pray	 Eric Greene Jessica Alu Jon Palardis Jeannette Bernal- Fernandez 	instructional leaders with	Monthly meetings, monitor lesson plans and provide ongoing feedback and support
Eileen Turenne	ESP Contact; All first-year teachers		Monthly meetings, monitor lesson plans and provide ongoing feedback and support. Observes teachers in classrooms; provides feedback; holds regular professional development meetings throughout school year
Dr. Mary Gray	All first-year teachers	Consultant	Observes teachers in classrooms; provides feedback.
Fallon Felsen	1. Jessica Alu 2. Jon Palardis	new reachers	Mentor observes classroom writing instruction, and makes recommendations to guide future instruction.

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

South Tech has hired a resource coach/teacher for Reading and maintains a reduced class size in Language Arts Classes. This expense will be paid using Title I funds. Additional training on new curriculum requirements and software will be necessary for teachers in Language Arts, Math and Science. Tutoring on all subjects will be provided using Title I funds. Transportation will also be provided for students who participate in extended day learning opportunities. As family involvement is key in student achievement, over 10% of Title I funds are designated for various mediums to keep parents informed. Open houses, parent workshops, informational mailings, a part-time parent liaison position, meetings, the school news letter, tutorial supplies, classroom materials, consultants and South Tech's website are some of the ways we keep parents/guardians up to date of what is happening at their student's school.

South Tech students qualifying for this support participate in college visits sponsored by the Department of Migrant Education.

Title I, Part D

The Credit Recovery classes are available for students currently enrolled at SouthTech Academy who need to recover credits in failed classes or improve a D grade.

Title II

NA

Title III

Assists with helping eligible limited English proficient and immigrant students attain English proficiency and meet the same state standards required of all students.

Title X- Homeless

McKinney-Vento Homeless Education Program—Homeless children and youth are minors who lack a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence. It includes children and youth who are sharing the housing of other persons due to economic hardship; are living in motels, hotels, trailer parks, or camping groups due to lack of alternative adequate accommodations; are living in emergency or transitional shelters; are abandoned in hospitals; are awaiting foster placement; have a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not designed for human sleeping accommodations; are living in cars, parks, public spaces, abandoned buildings, substandard housing, bus or train stations or similar settings; are migrant children and youth who qualify as homeless as described above. Homeless children and youth are entitled to immediate public school enrollment at the school last attended at the onset of homelessness, provided it is in the best interest of the student, requested by the parents, and is feasible.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Funds are used to supplement salary costs in order to provide the 4 x 4 block schedule for the students and to reduce class size.

Violence Prevention Programs

South Tech has implemented all measures mandated by the "Jeffrey Johnston Stand Up For All Students Acts" Section 1006.147, Florida Statutes, in conjunction with Board policy 5.002, entitled "Prohibition of Bullying and Harassment". These measures included: a policy overview and filmstrip presentation to all staff members, distribution and placement of posters throughout the school, establishment of a school contact telephone number along with a Bullying Harassment Anonymous Report Form and Drop Box and a District Incident Report Website. Primary and secondary staff members have been identified and assigned to monitor the phone, written or website Bullying/Harassment Incident Reports twice daily. Communication of the new definitions stated in Section 4 and the Expected Behaviors On School Property or At School Related Functions stated in Section 5 of Policy 5.002 will be included in the Student/Parent Handbook along with the South Tech Staff Handbook and website.

District-wide implementation of Single School Culture as well as Appreciation of Multicultural Diversity.

Nutrition Programs

South Tech utilizes the school districts food service program, meeting all Nutrition Program guidelines.

Housing Programs

NA

Head Start

NA

Adult Education

South Tech offers evening classes for Adults in a range of job-related skills. These classes are advertised three times a year in a pull-out section of the Palm Beach Post newspaper and in a fourth separate mailing/insert via the Penny Saver. Our English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) and GED programs are held four times a week and provide educational services with child care.

Career and Technical Education

South Tech is a wall-to-wall career academy high school—every student who attends the school must be enrolled in one of 12 career academies: Automotive Service Technology, Automotive Collision and Repair and Refinishing, Culinary Arts, Cosmetology, Recording Arts, Finance, Commercial Art Technology, Information Technology, Marine Service Technology,

Motorcycle Service Technology, Medical and Health Sciences, Veterinary Assisting. Students must satisfactorily complete their elective requirements for graduation, exclusively within their academies, and they may also earn Occupational Completion Points and Industry Certification related to their academy field of study.

Job Training

As part of the training for certain career academies in the trades and industry formats, qualified 11th and 12th grade students can participate in paid on-the-job training during part of every school day. This on-the-job training must correspond to the student's career academy training.

Other

The Credit Recovery classes are available during the school year Monday-Wednesday, 2:00-5:00 PM, and during the summer for six weeks for students who need to recover credits in failed classes or to improve a grade of D. Students must have permission from the Guidance Counselor at the school they currently attend, in order to enroll in these classes.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Myron Cost (Principal), Eileen Turenne (Assistant Principal), Maria Rosado (Assistant Principal), Erin Kurtz(Guidance counselor,) Shawna Kingsley-Scott(ESE coordinator), Tina DeLaFe(Reading Coach)

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The school-based RtI Leadership Team identifies students requiring academic, behavioral, social or emotional interventions. As a team, we provide interventions that match the student's need, monitor the progress and make decisions about change depending on the success of the interventions. The school-based RtI Leader develops an agenda, maintains a file for all referrals which include forms: PBSD 2106, PBSD 2284, Tier II and Tier III Data Chart and PBSD 1548

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The school-based RtI Leadership Team can help every South Tech student meet or exceed their academic and academy standards by giving students intervention to become successful.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

The data management system used to summarize tiered data is to record the data and chart it on the Tier II and Tier III Data Chart. This allows us to determine if the student is progressing, will be on target, needs to move up a tier, or needs to be referred to ESE.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Staff will be trained by the assigned RtI leader as determined by The School District of Palm Beach County at scheduled Learning Team Meetings (LTM) or during after-school meetings throughout the school year.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Teachers are provided with lists of ESE and 504 students, along with a tracking system encompassing all RtI students. As teachers track the students, the ESE Coordinator and Administration signs off on the disciplinary tracking so that MTSS is actively implemented.

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Myron Cost(Principal), Eileen Turenne(Assistant Principal and Language Arts Department Liaison), Robin Jones(Teacher), Jean-Claude Kiehl (Teacher), Tina DeLaFe (Reading Coach.)

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT meets on a regular basis to discuss instructional strategies for struggling readers, including lesson plan studies and strategies to build capacity across the content areas. The team maintains a blog called South Tech Reads! that provides resources for teachers.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The LLT will focus on instructional strategies specific to ELL learners and struggling readers. South Tech serves a large population of students designated as LZ, as well as smaller populations of LF and LY students. Research-based strategies will be modeled and implemented across the content areas.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 8/30/2012)

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Reading Teachers at South Tech are required to complete Reading Endorsement classes and are responsible for incorporating strategies into their classes. DILs help ensure that reading strategies are a part of daily lesson plans. The LLT and Reading Coach ensure that instructional strategies are designed on the latest research based strategies. Classroom walkthroughs provide data on the effective use of reading instruction.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

All students are enrolled in a career and technical academy of their choice. Coursework is designed to prepare students for the workforce. Industry certification exams are offered to give students a head start in their chosen field. Academic courses, including honors, advanced placement and dual enrollment courses are provided to prepare students for college.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

As a career and technical academy, South Tech is devoted to preparing students for all aspects of life. Guidance counselors, our Testing Coordinator and office support staff are all trained to assist students in academic and career planning. Students and parents have input into their academic choices as well, particularly concerning AP and dual enrollment courses.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

Students are encouraged to take higher level courses based on ability level. Honors, AP and Dual Enrollment Courses are offered in all subject areas. Guidance department and Testing Coordinator help students enroll in tutoring and register for college-ready testing, including SAT and ACT. Incentives, based on student input, are offered to students for passing scores on these exams. Tutoring is available throughout school year for these exams. Credit lab is offered for students who need to increase GPA or complete courses for graduation. Language Arts teachers include higher level writing strategies, based on College Board's best practices, in their instruction to better prepare students for college level work. Math teachers follow district scope and sequence with assistance of our support personnel-two Quality Assurance Coaches: one site-based, one district-level-to prepare students for college level work. Graduation rate has shown improvement, as has the percentage of graduates attending a Florida postsecondary institution. Seniors who are not yet college ready are enrolled in an English for College Readiness course.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and reg group:	efere	ence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading. Reading Goal #1a:			(Our goal is to achieve 35% mastery (students reading at level 3 or above).		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
25% (139) of students are performing at level 3 or above.			1	Increase to 35% of students will achieve alevel 3 or above.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to I n	icrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	1	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Alignment of curriculum may not meet needs of lowest 25%	Teachers will incorporate more research based strategies such as fluency practice, data chats and differentiation. Provide tutorials throughout year.		ding Coach, stant Principal	Classroom observations, teacher assessments and feedback from data chats	· ·

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the for		ata, and refer	ence to "G	Guiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:			N/A			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfor	mance:	
N/A			N/A			
	Problem-Solving	Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		No Data	Submitted			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference of improvement for the following group:	ence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement	

Level 4 in reading.

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goal #2a:				and 5. We will increase these levels in grades 9 and 10.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
				In 2013, 169 level 5.	% of	ninth and tenth grad	ders a	achieve level 4 and
	Ī	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease Stu	den	Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	or	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool
1	Curriculum lacks rigor fo higher level students	r Level 4 and 5 students are placed in honors or AP courses with increased rigor		sistant Princip ading coach, l	DIL (Ongoing progress monitoring from classroom assessmer standardized tests, Classroom observatio	,	Coaches observation forms teacher feedback, test scores
	on the analysis of stude provement for the following	ent achievement data, and	refer	ence to "Guic	ding	Questions", identify a	and c	lefine areas in need
Stude	ng.	sment: e Achievement Level 7 i	n					
Read	ing Goal #2b:							
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:		2013 Expec	cted	Level of Performan	ice:	
	I	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease Stu	den	Achievement		
Antic	cipated Barrier Stra	ategy	Posit Resp for	ponsible Dete		ess Used to rmine tiveness of egy	Eval	uation Tool
	,	No	Data	Submitted		,		
		ent achievement data, and	refer	ence to "Guic	ding	Questions", identify a	and c	lefine areas in need
3a. Fogains	crovement for the following CAT 2.0: Percentage of a in reading. Ing Goal #3a:	students making learnir	ng	In 2013, we learning gain		e to achieve 55-70%	of s	tudents making
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:		2013 Expec	cted	Level of Performan	ice:	
n 20°	12, 54%(224)of students	made learning gains in rea	ading.	60% of stude	ents	making learning gain	IS.	
		Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease Stu	dent	Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Stratogy		Person or Position		Process Used to Determine)	Evaluation Tool

Anticipated Barrier

Strategy

Responsible for

Effectiveness of

and 5. We will increase these levels in grades 9 and 10.

Evaluation Tool

		Monitoring	Strategy	
1	Attention to individual students needs through data chats, portfolios, differentiated instruction, use of lexile leveled texts	Assistant Principal		Coaches observation forms

Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the following the soft improvement for the following the soft improvement for the soft improvement f		data, and refe	rence to "Gu	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.					
Reading Goal #3b:					
2012 Current Level of Po	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfor	mance:
	Problem-Solvin	g Process to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
			Submitted		
Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fol		data, and refe	rence to "Gu	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:			Lowest 25% students will be monitored closely in order to ensure learning gains. They have been identified and the data supplied to teachers.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
60% of lowest 25% made learning gains.			65% to ma	ake learning gains	
	Problem-Solvin	g Process to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	

	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Subgroups make up most of our lowest 25% students.	differentiation of	Reading Coach, DIL, Assistant Principal, QA support personnel	Classroom observations, test results	DIL monitors lesson plans, Diagnostic scores, FPMS

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

Measu	urable Ob I will red	but Achievable bjectives (AMOs uce their achie). In six year	5A :					<u></u>
1	ine data 0-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-201	4	2014-201	5	2015-2016	2016-2017
		analysis of stud			efere	ence to "Guiding	Quest	ions", identify and o	define areas in need
Hispa satisf	anic, Asia	subgroups by ean, American lorogress in reall#5B:	ndian) not n			None of our sub Development ac address these s been identified efforts in conjur district support Some of our en teachers to ens	ogroups ctivities student by the nction persor richme sure tha	with the RLT, Admir	ng. Professional h best practices to hieving AYP have coordinate support histration and ca chats with ssed down to
2012	Current	Level of Perfo	ormance:			2013 Expected	d Leve	of Performance:	
		9); Black-41% (Indian 73% (8)	91); Hispanic	33%(80); Asiar				a 5% improvement of performance.	over each
			Problem-Sol	ving Process t	to I r	ncrease Studer	nt Achi	evement	
	Antic	ipated Barrier	St	rategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring		rocess Used to Determine ffectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1	pport needed t date student	will suppor	pport personnel t teachers and according to	Qua	iding Coach, ality Assurance sonnel, AP		ts from support nnel, teacher ack	Dignostic scores
2	Using da	ata in classroon		use lexile levels n data chats planning			1	Chats, student lios	Portfolios, Diagnostic scores
of imp 5C. Er satisf	orovemer nglish La	anguage Learn progress in rea	ing subgroup: ners (ELL) no					ions", identify and o	define areas in need
2012	Current	Level of Perfo	ormance:			2013 Expected	d Leve	of Performance:	
In 20° in read		(1) of English L		ners were profic		Students will ac			
			1 10016111-301	Tring Flocess (.011	Person or	1	rocess Used to	
	Antic	ipated Barrier	St	rategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring		Determine fectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Subgrou ELL stra	ip needs focuse tegies	researched strategies		DIL.	ding Coach, , AP, ESOL ordinator	monito	ng progress oring by classroom sments, diagnostics	Coach's observation forms, FPMS

		will be provided.				
	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			Subgroup did no	ot make safe harbor require	ements in 2012.	
Read	ling Goal #5D:					
2012	2 Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
In 20 level.	012, 16% (12)of SWD stude	ents are reading at grade		In order to satisfy Safe Harbor requirements, subgroup will achieve a 26% mastery.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Curriculum does not meet all the needs of this subgroup.	Provide differentiated instruction through data chats, research based instruction and progress monitoring.	Assistant Principal, Reading Coach, ESE Coordinator,DIL	Classroom Assessments, classroom walkthroughs, data chats with teachers	Test data, fluency and FAIR/SRI results	

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E:				This subgroup d 2012.	id not meet Safe Harbor re	equirements in
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:		2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
In 20	12, 36% (150) reading at (or above grade level.		46% reading at or above grade level in order to meet Safe Harbor requirements.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	toIr	ncrease Studer	t Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	1	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Curriculum misaligned to student needs.	Data chats, research- based strategies will address needs of this group.	DIL	ding Coach, , Assistant cipal	Classroom walkthroughs, Data chats with teachers	Diagnostic test data, FAIR/SRI data

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

	Target Dates	

PD Content /Top and/or PLC Focus	ic Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		I	No Data Submitted			

Reading Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Provide extended day learning opportunities for non-proficient students.	Part-time instructors	Title I	\$3,229.00
Provide additional reading specific instructors.	Reading teachers	Title I and Operating Budget	\$52,102.60
		Subto	otal: \$55,331.60
Гесhnology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Provide reading software and training.	Rading Plus and Learning Village software	Title I	\$6,319.00
		Sub	total: \$6,319.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Provide a Reading Coach to provide training, model lessons and analyze data.	Reading resource coach	Title I and Operating Budget	\$48,442.50
Provide outside consultant to assist classroom teachers through observation.	Consultant	Title I	\$10,000.00
		Subto	otal: \$58,442.50
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Provide transportation for extended day learning opportunities.	Palm Tran	Title I	\$2,500.00
Complete reading series for student availability and provide classroom libraries.	Reading series and novels	Title I	\$3,003.90
provide additional materials to increase professional development opportunities	student portfolio supplies, management tools, paper and ink for EDW reports	Title I	\$4,600.00
		Subto	otal: \$10,103.90

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

Students are expected to achieve 90% proficiency in listening/speaking.

CELLA Goal #1:

2012	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:							
In 20	In 2012, 86% (19) of students achieved proficiency in Listening/Speaking							
	Pro	blem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Evaluation Tool							
1	Most students do no speak English at home.	Immerse students in English speaking academic environment.	Classroom teachers	Administrative observations	Classroom observation notations			

Stude	Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.						
	udents scoring proficie A Goal #2:	nt in reading.	37% of studen	ts will achieve proficienc	y in reading		
2012	Current Percent of Stu	idents Proficient in read	ding:				
In 20	In 2012 32% (7) students achieved proficiency in reading.						
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Evaluation T						
1	Because English is not their first language, students are intimidated by the amount of English text.	Differentiated instruction will be used to modify the text into a more comprehensible format.	Classroom teachers, Reading Coach	Administrative observation	Lesson plan tracking form		

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.							
3. Students scoring proficient in writing. 60% of students will achieve a Lev Writes.					or higher on FCAT		
2012	Current Percent of Stu	idents Proficient in writ	ing:				
In 20	In 2012, 50% (11) students achieved proficiency in writing. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Process Used to Position Determine Responsible for Monitoring Strategy Evaluation Tool						
1	Students are more accustomed to writing in their native language.	Use Palm Beach Writes practice tests, which will be revised to increase writing proficiency.	Classroom teacher and Assistant Principal	Classroom teacher scoring and grading of writing practice tests.	Monitoring EDW writing based reports.		

CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	ım(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas

in need of improvement	for the following group:			g =	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1. Florida Alternate As Levels 4, 5, and 6 in m	ssessment: Students scori nathematics.	ng at			
Mathematics Goal #1:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S [.]	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Positi Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data	Submitted		
Based on the analysis of in need of improvement	f student achievement data, for the following group:	and r	eference to	"Guiding Questions", id	entify and define areas
2. Florida Alternate As	ssessment: Students scori	ng at			
or above Level 7 in ma	athematics.				
Mathematics Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data	Submitted		
Based on the analysis of in need of improvement	f student achievement data, for the following group:	and r	eference to	"Guiding Questions", id	entify and define areas
3. Florida Alternate As	ssessment: Percent of stu	dents			
making learning gains	in mathematics.				
Mathematics Goal #3:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:

	Problem-Solving Process	s to Increase S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data Submitted		

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. Our goal is to achieve 64% mastery of students reading at Level 3 or above. Algebra Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In 2012 54% (139) of students achieved a level 3 on their Expected level of performance is 64% mastery for 2013. Algebra EOC. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Classroom teacher, Teacher assessment and EOC practice Students are entering Teachers will use remediation and scaffold class with low level Assistant Principal evaluation tool. tests. mathematical skills. with new information as students solidify their basic skills.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra. 13% of students will achieve a Level 4 or above on the Algebra 1 EOC. Algebra Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 13% of students will achieve a Level 4 or above on the In 2012,8% of students achieved a Level 4 or high in Algebra. Algebra 1 EOC. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of Responsible for Monitoring Strategy

1	learned applicati	s have not practical ons of atical skills.	world exan scaffold wi information			ssroom teacher, istant Principal	1	er assessment and ation tool.	EOC practice tests.
Base	d on Amb	itious but Achiev	vable Annual	Measurable Ob	jecti	ves (AMOs), AM	O-2, R	eading and Math Pe	erformance Target
Meas	urable Ob ol will red	but Achievable A pjectives (AMOs) uce their achiev	. In six year	Algebra Goal # Reduce tyears.		achievement ga	ab by	10% per year for	the next six
	eline data 0-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-201	4	2014-201	5	2015-2016	2016-2017
		analysis of stude		ent data, and r	efere	ence to "Guiding	Quest	ions", identify and	define areas in need
Hisp satis	anic, Asia	ubgroups by e an, American I progress in Alg #3B:	ndian) not m			Expected level of listed subgroup.		ormance will increas	e by 5% in each
2012	2 Current	Level of Perfo	rmance:			2013 Expected	d Level	of Performance:	
		4), Black 64% (7 Indian 25% (1)	70), Hispanic-	56% (55), Asia		Expected level (ormance will increas	e by 5% in each
		F	Problem-Sol	ving Process	to I r	ncrease Studer	nt Achi	evement	
	Antic	ipated Barrier	St	rategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring		rocess Used to Determine ffectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	class wit	s are entering th varying levels ematical skills.	scaffold wi information	e learning and	Tea	ssroom Icher, Assistant Icipal			EOC practice tests.
		analysis of stude		ent data, and r	efere	ence to "Guiding) Quest	ions", identify and	define areas in need
3C. E satis	English La	anguage Learn progress in Alg	ers (ELL) no	t making		Goal			

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Expected level of performance.....

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

2012 Current Level of Performance:

In 2012 70% (16) of ELL students achieved Level 3 and above on the Algebra EOC.

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Rasnonsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data Submitted		

I	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guidin	g Questions", identify and c	define areas in need	
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3D:			Expected level	Expected level of performance will be 49%.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance:		
In 2012 44% (15) Students with Disabilities (SWD) were proficient on the Algebra 1 EOC			Expected level	Expected level of performance will be 49%.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students with disabilities require modifications for success in mathematics.	Teachers will follow IEPs to insure that adequate modifications are made.	Classrrom Teacher ESE Coordinator	Classroom evaluation and IEP reviews.	Algebra 1 EOC practice tests, EDW historical data.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in rof improvement for the following subgroup:							
3E. E	conomically Disadvantaç	ged students not making	J				
satisf	actory progress in Algeb	ora.	In 2013 69% o	f Economically Disadvantag	ed students will		
Algeb	ora Goal #3E:		achieve Level 3	achieve Level 3 and above on the Algebra 1 EOC.			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:			
In 2012 64% (123)of Economically Disadvantaged students achieved Level 3 and above on the Algebra 1 EOC.				In 2013 69% of Economically Disadvantaged students will achieve Level 3 and above on the Algebra 1 EOC.			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier Strategy			Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Position Determine Responsible for Effectiveness of Evaluation 1			
Students have higher Provide extended day Clas mathematical abilities learning opportunities for and		Classroom teacher and tutor, Assistant Principal	Classroom diagnostic and follow up tests.	Algebra I EOC practice tests, EDW historical data.			

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

 $^{^*\} When\ using\ percentages,\ include\ the\ number\ of\ students\ the\ percentage\ represents\ (e.g.,\ 70\%\ (35)).$

in need of improve	ment	for the foll	owing group:						
1. Students scorii Geometry.	ng at	Achieven	nent Level 3 in						
Geometry Goal #	1:								
2012 Current Lev	el of	Performaı	nce:		2013 Exp	ected	Level of Perform	nanc	ce:
		Problem	-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent	Achievement		
Anticipated Barri	er	Strategy		Posi Resp for	on or tion oonsible itoring	Deter	iveness of	Eva	aluation Tool
			No	Data	Submitted				
Based on the analy in need of improve	sis of	student a	chievement data, owing group:	and r	reference to	"Guid	ing Questions", id	lentif	fy and define areas
2. Students scori 4 and 5 in Geome	_	or above	Achievement Le	vels					
Geometry Goal #	2:								
2012 Current Lev	el of	Performaı	nce:		2013 Exp	ected	Level of Perform	nanc	ce:
		Problem	-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent	Achievement		
Anticipated Barri	er	Strategy		Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Deter	iveness of	Eva	aluation Tool
			No	Data	Submitted				
Based on Ambitiou Target	s but	Achievable	Annual Measurab	ole Ob	ojectives (A	MOs), i	AMO-2, Reading a	and N	Math Performance
Annual Measurable (AMOs). In six year	(AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by						<u></u>		
Baseline data 2011-2012	201	12-2013	2013-2014		2014-20	15	2015-2016		2016-2017

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

_ ,	s by ethnicity (white, Blad ican Indian) not making in Geometry	CK,				
Geometry Goal #3B:						
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perforn	nance:	
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion oonsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No	Data	Submitted			
	f student achievement data, for the following subgroup:	and r	reference t	o "Guiding Questions", id	dentify and define areas	
3C. English Language satisfactory progress	Learners (ELL) not making in Geometry.	g				
Geometry Goal #3C:						
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi ^s Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No	Data	Submitted			
	f student achievement data, for the following subgroup:	and r	reference t	o "Guiding Questions", id	dentify and define areas	
3D. Students with Disa satisfactory progress	abilities (SWD) not making in Geometry.	9				
Geometry Goal #3D:						
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perforn	nance:	
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement		

Anticipated Barrier		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data Submitted		

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:				
3E. Economically Disa making satisfactory p Geometry Goal #3E:	ndvantaged students not progress in Geometry.				
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfor	mance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Positi Resp	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data	Submitted		

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Emerging Trends in Education	9-12	Assistant Principal, Testing Coordinator	Department Liaison, Math instructors	Learning Team Meetings held monthly.	Ovservations, Teacher surveys through PLC	Department Liaison and Assistant Pricipal
Best Practices, Lesson Studies	9-12	Department Liaison	Math Teachers	Monthly	Classroom observations, Teach surveys	Assistant Pricipal and Department Liaison
Data Chats that address subgroups, training ohow to disaggregate data	9-12	Assistant Principal, Testing Coordinator	Math, Language Arts, Schience and Social Studies	4 times a year	Conversations between teacher and student	Assistant Principal

Mathematics Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Classroom Instruction	Classroom manipulatives, calculators, student white boards, tutoring supplies	Title I	\$3,000.00
Extended day learning opportunities.	Part time instructors	Title I	\$3,229.00
			Subtotal: \$6,229.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$6,229.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.					
Science Goal #1:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfor	mance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Posi for		on or tion oonsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.		
Science Goal #2:		

2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
		Dama			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Pers Pos egy Res for Mor		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

	d on the analysis of stud in need of improvement			d reference to "(Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define	
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology. Biology Goal #1:				40% of students will achieve at level 3 or above.			
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A				40% of students will achieve at level 3 or above.			
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	οI	ncrease Stude	ent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Ro			Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Scope and Sequence misaligned with student needs Science Department to help align calendar			sistant incipal, DIL	classroom observations	Classroom Assessments, Biology EOC practice tests, data chats with teachers		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology. Biology Goal #2:	8% of students will achieve at level 4 or above.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A	8% of students will achieve at level 4 or above.			

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Scope and Swquence, Data Chats, Essential Labs, Enrichment	9-12	QA	Science Teachers	Monthly Learning Team Meetings and Department Meetings	Classroom observations, Ongoing professional development trainings	Assistant Principal
PLC-Lesson Studies	9-12	Department Liaison	Science Teachers	Monthly	Teacher surveys, Observations	Assistant Principal
Data Chats to target subgroups	9-12	Assistant Principal	Science Teachers	4 times a year	Teacher/student conversations	Assistant Principal
Reading, writing and vocabulary	9-12	Reading Coach, teachers and Assistant Principal	Science Teachers	Monthly	Classroom modeling, observations, and data chats	Reading Coach and teachers.
Emerging Trends in Education	9-12	Reading Coach	All Teachers	During LTN meetings	Teacher professional development logs and assignments	Reading Coach

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	terial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Classroom instruction	Classroom manipulatives, lab materials, enrichment activities	Title I and Operating Budget	\$10,942.00
Extended learning opportunities	Part time instructors	Title I	\$3,229.00
		Subto	otal: \$14,171.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

Grand Total: \$14,171.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
ing at Achievement Le		In 2013, 91% will achieve Level 3 or above.					
rmance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	<u>):</u>				
and above	In 2013, 91%	will achieve Level 3 or ab	ove.				
blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement					
Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
a week throughout	Reading Coach, DIL, AP	Classroom observations, data from Palm Beach Writes and classroom assessments	Palm Beach Writes, Lesson Plans (monitored by DIL) Coaching observations				
are responsible for preparing students for FCAT Writes. receive individualized scoaching to prepare for student success in As			Palm Beach Writes, Lesson Plans (monitored by DIL) Coaching observations				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	nd reference to "Gu	iiding Questions", identify	y and define areas				
sment: Students scorin	g						
	rmance: and above blem-Solving Process t Strategy Teachers will include writing instruction twice a week throughout year, focusing on best practices New teachers will receive individualized coaching to prepare for student success in writing.	rmance: 2013 Expecte In 2013, 91% Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Teachers will include writing instruction twice a week throughout year, focusing on best practices New teachers will receive individualized coaching to prepare for student success in writing. District/Charter School Writing Specialist, Assistant Principal ent achievement data, and reference to "Guent achievement data, an	rmance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance and above In 2013, 91% will achieve Level 3 or ab blem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Teachers will include writing instruction twice a week throughout year, focusing on best practices New teachers will prepare for student success in writing. District/Charter School Writing Specialist, Assistant Principal Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Classroom observations, data from Palm Beach Writes and classroom assessments District/Charter School Writing Specialist, Assistant Principal Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Strategy Classroom observations, data from Palm Beach Writes and classroom assessments Page 1 achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identification of the process of the proc				

at 4 or higher in writir	ng.				
Writing Goal #1b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solvin	g Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Position Strategy For		on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Subr					

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Writing teachers will be trained to accurately score FCAT Writes practice tests.	9,10 Language Arts		All 9th and 10th grade Language Arts Teachers		Analyze EDW writing reports and actual Palm Beach Writes essays.	Assistant Principal
All faculty will be trained in how they can best support the School- wide writing plan.	9-12	District/Charter School Writing Specialist	School-wide	Monthly Learning Team Meetings	Evaluate writing in content areas.	Assistant Principal

Writing Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
No Data	No Data	No Data	Amount \$0.00
No Data	No Data	No Data	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			Subtotal: \$0.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Writing Goals

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

History.					
U.S. History Goal #1:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solvir	ng Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History. U.S. History Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible Evaluation Tool Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
	No Data Submitted						

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

 $^{^{\}star}$ When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	I on the analysis of atter provement:	ndance data, and refere	nce to "Guiding Qu	estions", identify and def	îne areas in need		
1. Att	tendance		Improve stude	Improve student attendance through increased			
Attendance Coal #1.				llignment with our school			
2012	Current Attendance Ra	ate:	2013 Expecte	ed Attendance Rate:			
Attendance rate for 2012 was 83%.			2013 Expected	2013 Expected attendance rate is 85%.			
	Current Number of Stunces (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)			
In 2012, 225 students had excessive absences.			We will reduce	We will reduce this number by 40-50% in 2013.			
	Current Number of Stues (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			
In 2012, 466 students had excessive tardies.			We will reduce	We will reduce this number by 40-50% in 2013.			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	Students may not be	Teachers will provide	Teachers, DIL,	Monitor attendace and	Attendance data		

1	motivated to attend	individual attention to	Student Services	report deficiencies to	
1	school	attendance matters		student services	
		through data chats			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Importance of attendance record keeping.	9-12	Assistant Principal	School wide	August and September	GradeQuick data	Data Processor and Administration

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

 * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
Supposion Cool #1.	South Tech works hard to find alternatives to out of school as well as in-school suspensions. There was a reduction in In-school and out-of-school suspensions.			
2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions			

In 20	12 there were 69 in-scho	ool suspensions.	50	50% reduction in in-school suspensions to 35.		
2012	Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended In-Sch)13 Expecte	d Number of Students	Suspended In-
In 2012 there were 58 students suspended in-school.				In 2013 a 50% reduction to 27 is expected.		
2012	Number of Out-of-Sch	ool Suspensions)13 Expecte uspensions	d Number of Out-of-Sc	chool
In 20	12 there were 44 out-of-	school suspensions.	50	50% reduction to 22		
2012 Scho		ents Suspended Out-of		2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School		
In 20 schoo	12 there were 40 studen II.	ts suspended out-of-	50	50% reduction to 20		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Inci	rease Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Resp	erson or Position ponsible for pnitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Teacher awareness of positive discipline strategies to prevent infractions	Provide SAFE SCHOOL sponsored Verbal Judo and anti-bullying training for all staff	Director of Operations and		Teacher surveys, monitor Student Services reports	EDW Supspension Reports

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: South Tech would like to increase parent involvement in school activities and decision-making. In FY11, several workshops were held for parents during the school day 1. Parent Involvement with minimal attendance, averaging between 0-15 attendees (0-1%). A parent night is scheduled for Parent Involvement Goal #1: September 21, 2011 and another one is scheduled for November 10, 2011. Parents that attend board meetings *Please refer to the percentage of parents who provide input on the school wide program. We will participated in school activities, duplicated or continue to provide Title I information through our Title I unduplicated. coordinator through parent links, flyers, mail outs, Edline. We will encourage parents to participate in school decision making opportunities. 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: Current level of parent involvement is minimal. Our last Increase parent invlovement to 50% in all mail-in parent meeting had a 2% attendance rate. surveys, and at least 4-5% at each workshop.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	transportation may be a	Provide extra incentives, such as food from our culinary academy and vary topics for parent workshops. We will also vary times to increase attendance.	Parent Liaison	Monitor attendance at parent meetings.	Sign in sheets, surveys.
2	Parents may not be aware of students progress in school	Share Data Chat information with parents via parent/teacher conferences, edline, phone links and through guidance counselors	AP, Guidance, Teachers	Parent contact is recorded by teachers and guidance counselors	Feedback and surveys from parents and staff
3	Transportation	We will inform parents of bus schedules.	Parent Liaison	Monitor attendance at parent trainings	Sign in sheets and evaluations.
		Provide mailings in Spanish and Haitian	Parent Liason	Monitor attendance and evaluations	Sign-in sheets and evaluations

4	discourage attendance	Creole. Provide translators at parent trainings.			
5	Low parental involvement may hinder student achievement	Provide notice of opportunities for parents to participate in decision making through Board Meetings, Input/Review of SIP and Title I Compact. Notice given through school website, Edline, mailings and parent-link phone message system. Title I Policy/Plan and compact will be discussed on October 13th, 2011.	Parent Liaison	Monitor parent participation	Sign-In sheets and feedback
6	Sources must be located to provide extra support for student tutorials and parent workshops	Continue to work with our existing business partners and actively foster new business partnerships to provide support and incentives	Parent Liaison, Board of Directors		Student attendance at tutorials; donation letters to business partners
7	Transportation may be a cause for low attendance	Provide a Guidance Counselor to work with seniors and provide information to parents through fliers, letters, parent conferences and parent workshops	Parent Liaison	Monitor attendance at parent meetings	Sign-in sheets, surveys
8	Limited technology	Edline, school's website and student handbooks.	Parent Liaison	Monitoring Edline and School website	Edline
9	Lack of feed-back from partents	Provide an annual survey for all parents at the end of the year. The results will be discussed by our SAC for future school improvements.	Parent Liaison	Discussion with SISC	Survey Results, Minutes from SAC meeting
10	Community Involvement	Provide a Volunteer Program that can be accessed through the School Website. Encourage Business Community members to actively participate on our Governing Board, Advisory Commitee meetings. Supported Employment is a program that was implemented as another way to have our students involved in the community.	teacher(s)	Monitor attendance at SISC and Advisory Commitee Meetings	Sign-in sheets and Minutes

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
	No Data Submitted								

Parent Involvement Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Parent Liaison	Relay communication between school and families	Title I	\$13,994.00
Provide notice of opportunities for parent participation	Printed materials, postage, parent workshops	Title I	\$4,300.00
		-	Subtotal: \$18,294.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$18,294.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis	of school data, iden	tify and define a	reas in ne	eed of improvement:	
1. STEM					
STEM Goal #1:					
	Problem-Solvir	ng Process to Ir	ocrease S	Student Achievemen	t
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Perso Positi Respo for Monit	on onsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data S	ubmitted		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
	No Data Submitted								

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	·		Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

Based	d on the analysis of school	ol data, identify and defi	ne areas in need of	improvement:		
1. CT	E					
CTE Goal #1:			Increase perfo	Increase performance on industry certification tests.		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Limited funding for	Solicit donations and	Principal	Administer surveys to	Teacher surveys,	

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	continual upgrading of technology needed.	grants to enhance instruction.	determine satisfaction of industry	Industry Certification tests	
			requirements.		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
	No Data Submitted								

CTE Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

		Description of		
Goal	Strategy	Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Reading	Provide extended day learning opportunities for non-proficient students.	Part-time instructors	Title I	\$3,229.00
Reading	Provide additional reading specific instructors.	Reading teachers	Title I and Operating Budget	\$52,102.60
Mathematics	Classroom Instruction	Classroom manipulatives, calculators, student white boards, tutoring supplies	Title I	\$3,000.00
Mathematics	Extended day learning opportunities.	Part time instructors	Title I	\$3,229.00
Science	Classroom instruction	Classroom manipulatives, lab materials, enrichment activities	Title I and Operating Budget	\$10,942.00
Science	Extended learning opportunities	Part time instructors	Title I	\$3,229.00
Parent Involvement	Parent Liaison	Relay communication between school and families	Title I	\$13,994.00
Parent Involvement	Provide notice of opportunities for parent participation	Printed materials, postage, parent workshops	Title I	\$4,300.00
				Subtotal: \$94,025.6
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Provide reading software and training.	Rading Plus and Learning Village software	Title I	\$6,319.00
				Subtotal: \$6,319.0
Professional Developm	nent			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Provide a Reading Coach to provide training, model lessons and analyze data.	Reading resource coach	Title I and Operating Budget	\$48,442.50
Reading	Provide outside consultant to assist classroom teachers through observation.	Consultant	Title I	\$10,000.00
				Subtotal: \$58,442.5
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Provide transportation for extended day learning opportunities.	Palm Tran	Title I	\$2,500.00
Reading	Complete reading series for student availability and provide classroom libraries.	Reading series and novels	Title I	\$3,003.90
Reading	provide additional materials to increase professional development	student portfolio supplies, management tools, paper and ink for EDW reports	Title I	\$4,600.00
	opportunities			
	opportunities			Subtotal: \$10,103.9

jn Priority	jn Focus	jn Prevent	j∩ NA	
e you a reward s	school: jm Yes jm No			
reward school is	any school that impr	roves their letter grad	e or any school graded A.	
ew uploaded file	e (Uploaded on 8/30/	/2012)		
chool Advis	ory Council			
hool Advisory Co	uncil (SAC) Membersl	hip Compliance		
• •			chool district. The SAC is composed of	the principal and an appropriate only), parents, and other busin
nd community cit		entative of the ethnic,	, racial, and economic community serv	• •
nd community cit	izens who are repres	entative of the ethnic,		• •
nd community cit atement above b	izens who are repres by selecting "Yes" or	entative of the ethnic, "No" below.		• •
nd community cit	izens who are repres by selecting "Yes" or	entative of the ethnic, "No" below.	, racial, and economic community serv	• •
nd community cit atement above b	izens who are repres by selecting "Yes" or	entative of the ethnic, "No" below.	, racial, and economic community serv	• •
nd community cit atement above b	izens who are repres by selecting "Yes" or	entative of the ethnic, "No" below. ing taken to Comp	, racial, and economic community serv	• •
nd community cit	izens who are repres by selecting "Yes" or the measures be Describe projected	entative of the ethnic, "No" below. ing taken to Comp	, racial, and economic community serv	red by the school. Please verify t
NO, describe	izens who are repres by selecting "Yes" or the measures be Describe projected	entative of the ethnic, "No" below. ing taken to Comp	, racial, and economic community serv	red by the school. Please verify t
No data submitt	izens who are repres by selecting "Yes" or the measures be Describe projected	entative of the ethnic, "No" below. ing taken to Comp	racial, and economic community serv	red by the school. Please verify t

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Palm Beach School Dis SOUTH TECH ACADEMY 2010-2011						
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	29%	75%	88%	34%		Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	36%	73%			109	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	42% (NO)	53% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					440	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					D	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Palm Beach School District SOUTH TECH ACADEMY 2009-2010							
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned		
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	33%	74%	86%	32%	225	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.	
% of Students Making Learning Gains	44%	79%			123	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2	
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?		73% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.	
FCAT Points Earned					473		
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested	
School Grade*					В	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested	