# FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: FOREST HILL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Palm Beach

Principal: Ana Arce-Gonzalez

SAC Chair: Lynne Hayes

Superintendent: Wayne Gent

Date of School Board Approval: December 2012

Last Modified on: 10/30/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/<br>Certification(s) | # of<br>Years at<br>Current<br>School | # of Years as<br>an<br>Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include<br>prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide<br>Assessment Achievement Levels,<br>Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and<br>AMO Progress along with the<br>associated school year)   |
|----------|------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
|          |      |                                |                                       |                                      | Assistant Principal of Forest Hill Elementary in 2011-2012: Grade B: Reading Mastery 54%, Math Mastery 48%, Science Mastery 46%, Writing Mastery 79%, LGR 68%, LGM 51%, L25%R 64%, L25%M 59%; Assistant Principal of Forest Hill Elementary in 2010-2011: Grade A: Reading Mastery 80%, Math Mastery 77%, Science Mastery 57%, Writing Mastery 96%; AYP: 79%, Hispanic reading and SWD reading did not make AYP; White, Hispanic, Economically Disadavantaged, ELL, and SWD did not make AYP in Math; LGR 75%, LGM 63%, L25%R 70%, L25%M 61%; Assistant Principal of Forest Hill Elementary in 2009-2010: Grade A: Reading Mastery 76%, Math Mastery 73%, Science Mastery 50%, |

| Assis Principal | Sean Higgins          | BS and MS from<br>Florida State<br>University;<br>Certified in<br>Elementary Ed.<br>and Ed.<br>Leadership   | 6 | 9  | Writing Mastery 87%; AYP: 79%, Black reading and SWD reading did not make AYP, Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadavantaged, ELL, and SWD did not make AYP in Math; LGR 73%, LGM 60%, L25%R 75%, L25%M 52%Assistant Principal of Forest Hill Elementary in 2008-2009: Grade A: Reading Mastery 72%, Math Mastery 74%, Science Mastery 50% Writing Mastery 99%; AYP: 95%, Black reading and SWD reading did not make AYP, LGR 68%, LGM 67%, L25%R 71%, L25%M 71%. 2007-2008: Assistant Principal at Forest Hill Elementary Grade B: Reading Mastery 69%, Math Mastery 69%, Science Mastery 39%, Writing Mastery 85%; AYP: 97% Black math did not make AYP, LGR 66%, LGM 68%, L25%R 58%, L25%M 70%. 2006-2007: Assistant Principal at Forest Hill Elementary: Grade A: Reading Mastery 68% Math Mastery 65%, Science Mastery 44%, Writing Mastery 92%; AYP: 97% SWD in reading did not make AYP, LGR 67%, LGM 66%, L25%R 55%, L25%M 77%.  |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---|---|----|---|
| Principal       | Ana Arce-<br>Gonzalez | BA- Music Performance Florida International University; BS- Education; MS- Marriage and Family Therapy From Nova University; Ph.D - Educational Leadership with a Counseling Specialist Degree from Barry University, Certified in Education, Ed. Leadership and Principal Certification State of Florida | 6 | 12 | Principal of Forest Hill Elementary in 2011-2012: Grade B: Reading Mastery 54%, Math Mastery 48%, Science Mastery 46%, Writing Mastery 79%, LGR 68%, LGM 51%, L25%R 64%, L25%M 59%: Principal of Forest Hill Elementary in 2010-2011: Grade A: Reading Mastery 80%, Math Mastery 77%, Science Mastery 57%, Writing Mastery 96%; AYP: 79%, Hispanic reading and SWD reading did not make AYP; White, Hispanic, Economically Disadavantaged, ELL, and SWD did not make AYP in Math; LGR 75%, LGM 63%, L25%R 70%, L25%M 61%; Principal of Forest Hill Elementary in 2009-2010: Grade A: Reading Mastery 76%, Math Mastery 73%, Science Mastery 50%, Writing Mastery 87%; AYP: 79%, Black reading and SWD reading did not make AYP, Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadavantaged, ELL, and SWD did not make AYP in Math; LGR 73%, LGM 60%, L25%R 75%, L25%M 52%; Principal of Forest Hill Elementary in 2008-2009: Grade A: Reading Mastery 72%, Math Mastery 74%, Science Mastery 50% Writing Mastery 99%; AYP: 95%, Black reading and SWD reading did not make AVP, LGR 68%, LGM 67%, L25%R 71%, L25%M 71%. 2007-2008: Principal at Forest Hill Elementary Grade B: Reading Mastery 69%, Math Mastery 69%, Science Mastery 39%, Writing Mastery 85%; AYP: 97% Black math did not make AYP, LGR 66%, LGM 68%, L25%R 58%, L25%M 70%. 2006-2007: Principal at Forest Hill Elementary: Grade A: Reading Mastery 68% Math Mastery 65%, Science Mastery 44%, Writing Mastery 92%; AYP: 97% SWD in reading did not make AYP, LGR 66%, LGM 68%, L25%R 55%, L25%M 77%. |

### INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/<br>Certification(s) | # of<br>Years at<br>Current<br>School | # of Years as<br>an<br>Instructional<br>Coach | Prior Performance Record (include<br>prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide<br>Assessment Achievement Levels,<br>Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and<br>AMO progress along with the<br>associated school year)  |
|--------------|------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
|              |      |                                |                                       |   | Reading Coach of Forest Hill Elementary in 2011-2012: Grade B: Reading Mastery 54%, Math Mastery 48%, Science Mastery 46%, Writing Mastery 79%, LGR 68%, LGM 51%, L25%R 64%, L25%M 59%; Reading Coach at Forest Hill Elementary in 2010-2011: Grade A: Reading Mastery 80%, Math Mastery 77%, Science Mastery 57%, Writing Mastery 96%; AYP: 79%, Hispanic reading and SWD reading did not make |

| Reading Olga Ayala Elementary Fducation, B.S. 7 2 | Disadavantaged, ELL, and SWD did not make AYP in Math; LGR 75%, LGM 63%, L25%R 70%, L25%M 61%; SAI Teacher at Elementary in 2009-2010: Grade A: Reading Mastery 76%, Math Mastery 73%, Science Mastery 50%, Writing Mastery 87%; AYP: 79%, Black reading and SWD reading did not make AYP, Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadavantaged, ELL, and SWD did not make AYP, Black, Hispanic, LGM 60%, L25%R 75%, L25%M 52%; SAI teacher at Forest Hill Elementary in 2008-2009: Grade A: Reading Mastery 72%, Math Mastery 74%, Science Mastery 50% Writing Mastery 99%; AYP: 95%, Black reading and SWD reading did not make AYP, LGR 68%, LGM 67%, L25%R 71%, L25%M 71%. 2007-2008: ELL teacher at Forest Hill Elementary Grade B: Reading Mastery 69%, Math Mastery 69%, Science Mastery 39%, Writing Mastery 85%; AYP: 97% Black math did not make AYP, LGR 68%, LGM 67%, L25%R 71%, L25%M 71%. 2006-2007: ELL teacher at Forest Hill Elementary: Grade A: Reading Mastery 68% Math Mastery 65%, Science Mastery 68% Math Mastery 69%, Science Mastery 68% Math Mastery 65%, Science Mastery 68% Math Mastery 69%, AYP: 97% SWD in reading did not make AYP, LGR |
|---|--|
|---|--|

### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

|   | Description of Strategy   | Person<br>Responsible                                  | Projected<br>Completion<br>Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Partner mentor teachers with new teachers   | Assistant<br>Principal                                 | June 2013                       |   |
| 2 | Regular meetings of new teachers with principal   | Principal  | June 2013                       |   |
| 3 | Reading Coach, LTM facilitator, and Reading Resource teacher to provide support to new and veteran teachers | Resource<br>Teachers                                   | June 2013                       |   |
| 4 | Provide professional development, training, and workshops throughout the year                               | Reading<br>Resource<br>Teachers and<br>LTM Facilitator | June 2013                       |   |
| 5 | Solicit referrals from current employees  | Principal  | June 2013                       |   |

## Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective  |
|---|--|
| NA - 0%   | School administrator will work closely with teacher (s) and district personnel to determine requirements needed for highly effective status. School administrator will meet with teacher(s) monthly to monitor requirement(s). |

## Staff Demographics

 $Please\ complete\ the\ following\ demographic\ information\ about\ the\ instructional\ staff\ in\ the\ school.$ 

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number<br>of<br>Instructional<br>Staff | % of<br>First-Year<br>Teachers |           | % of<br>Teachers<br>with 6-14<br>Years of<br>Experience | % of<br>Teachers<br>with 15+<br>Years of<br>Experience | % of<br>Teachers<br>with<br>Advanced<br>Degrees | % Highly<br>Effective<br>Teachers | % Reading<br>Endorsed | % National<br>Board<br>Certified<br>Teachers | % ESOL<br>Endorsed<br>Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| 72   | 11.1%(8)                       | 23.6%(17) | 38.9%(28)   | 36.1%(26)  | 29.2%(21)                                       | 100.0%(72)                        | 1.4%(1)               | 0.0%(0)                                      | 63.9%(46)                      |

## Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name  | Mentee<br>Assigned  | Rationale<br>for Pairing  | Planned Mentoring<br>Activities  |
|--|---|---|--|
| Mary Ann McMullen<br>Kindergarten Teacher                | Michelle<br>Cooper -<br>Kindergarten                      | Ms. McMullen has been teaching for over 10 years and has extensive training in kindergarten.                | To support mentee in preparing lessons and demonstrating in the classroom as needed. Time will be given for coaching, planning and feedback. |
| Rebecca Singh<br>First Grade Teacher                     | Patricia<br>Molina -1st<br>grade teacher                  | Mrs. Singh has been teaching for over 25 years and has extensive training in first grade.                   | To support mentee in preparing lessons and demonstrating in the classroom as needed. Time will be given for coaching, planning and feedback. |
| Aida DelCollado<br>Second Grade Dual<br>Language Teacher | Jennifer<br>George -Dual<br>Language 2nd<br>grade teacher | Mrs. DelCollado has been teaching for over 5 years and has extensive training in Dual Language.             | To support mentee in preparing lessons and demonstrating in the classroom as needed. Time will be given for coaching, planning and feedback. |
| Susan Maguire<br>Third Grade Teacher                     | Melissa<br>Schaeffer -<br>3rd grade<br>teacher            | Mrs. Maguire has been teaching for over 5 years and has extensive training in reading and math curriculum.  | To support mentee in preparing lessons and demonstrating in the classroom as needed. Time will be given for coaching, planning and feedback. |
| Susan Maguire<br>Third Grade Teacher                     | Michelle<br>Kilpatrick -<br>3rd grade<br>teacher          | Mrs. Maguire has been teaching for over 5 years and has extensive training in reading and math curriculum.  | To support mentee in preparing lessons and demonstrating in the classroom as needed. Time will be given for coaching, planning and feedback. |
| Susan Maguire<br>Third Grade Teacher                     | Leigh Grippe<br>- 3rd grade<br>teacher                    | Mrs. Maguire has been teaching for over 5 years and has extensive training in reading and math curriculum.  | To support mentee in preparing lessons and demonstrating in the classroom as needed. Time will be given for coaching, planning and feedback. |
| Tracey Fedrick<br>Third Grade Teacher                    | Christina<br>Brown -3rd<br>grade teacher                  | Mrs. Fedrick has been teaching for over 20 years and has extensive training in math and reading curriculum. | To support mentee in preparing lessons and demonstrating in the classroom as needed. Time will be given for coaching, planning and feedback. |
| Sharon Powell<br>SAI Teacher                             | Analisa<br>Ierace - VE<br>teacher                         | Mrs. Powell has been teaching for over 25 years and has extensive   | To support mentee in preparing lessons and demonstrating in the classroom as needed. Time will be given for                                  |

|                             |   | training in reading curriculum.   | coaching, planning and feedback.   |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--|
| Duane Starr<br>CIT          | Lissette<br>Espinoza -<br>ASD teacher         | Mr. Starr has<br>experience<br>teaching<br>ASD,EBD and<br>VE students.  | To support mentee in preparing lessons and demonstrating in the classroom as needed. Time will be given for coaching, planning and feedback. |
| Duane Starr<br>CIT          | Karent Gold -<br>EBD teacher                  | Mr. Starr has<br>experience<br>teaching<br>ASD, EBD<br>and VE<br>students.  | To support mentee in preparing lessons and demonstrating in the classroom as needed. Time will be given for coaching, planning and feedback. |
| Duane Starr<br>CIT          | Brianna Koch<br>- ASD<br>teacher              | Mr. Starr has<br>experience<br>teaching<br>ASD, EBD<br>and VE<br>students.  | To support mentee in preparing lessons and demonstrating in the classroom as needed. Time will be given for coaching, planning and feedback. |
| Olga Ayala<br>Reading Coach | Kim Moniz -<br>Reading<br>Resource<br>Teacher | Ms. Ayala has<br>been teaching<br>for over 25<br>years and<br>has extensive<br>training in the<br>balanced<br>literacy<br>approach. | To support mentee in preparing lessons and demonstrating in the classroom as needed. Time will be given for coaching, planning and feedback. |

### ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

### Coordination and Integration

#### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

### Title I, Part A

Title I funds will be used to provide professional development to teachers, after school tutorial to students who are "at—risk" in reading, math, writing, and science, after school tutorial to provide reading, math, writing, and science enrichment for on or above grade level students, bookshelves and classroom libraries to support student reading levels and the Reader's Workshop model, continue affording our families with educational trainings, workshop and resource materials, affording iPads to enhance communication with students of special needs, and to hire a reading resource teacher and learning team facilitator to model, coach, teach and facilitate professional development.

### Title I, Part C- Migrant

Migrant Liaison provides services and support to students and parents. The liaison coordinates with Title I and other programs to ensure student needs are met.

### Title I, Part D

District receives funds to support the Education Alternative Outreach program. Services are corrdinated with District Drop-Out Prevention programs.

### Title II

District receives supplemental funds for improving basic education programs and technology for classrooms. New technology in the classrooms will increase the instructional strategies provided to students and new instructional software will enhance literacy and math skills of struggling students.

### Title III

Services are provided through the district for education materials and ELL district support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners.

### Title X- Homeless

District Homeless Social Worker provides resources (clothing, school supplies, social services referrals) for students identified

as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI funds will be used to remediate Level 1 and 2 struggling readers in grades 1,2, 3 and 5.

Violence Prevention Programs

Single School Culture and Appreciation for Multicultural Diversity.

**Nutrition Programs** 

School Food Service provides free breakfast for all students at Forest Hill Elementary.

Housing Programs

N/A

**Head Start** 

N/A

Adult Education

SB Idea offers a parent literacy program for Forest Hill parents. The progroam components include ESOL and GED classes and life skills.

Career and Technical Education

N/A

Job Training

N/A

Other

Required Instruction listed in 1003.42(2) F.S., as applicable to appropriate grade levels

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

-School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Identify the school-based RtI Leadership Team -

The members of the RtI Leadership Team are as follows:

Principal: Provides vision and focus to the team, ensures implementation of RtI, ensures that staff receives support to deliver intervention/strategies and understands how to interpret data, communicates with parents about the process and planned activities.

Reading Coach: Develops and identifies evidence-based intervention strategies; assist in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; delivers professional development and facilitates and supports data collection activities.

Speech Pathologist: Provides information on the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction; assists in the selection screening measures, and identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based intervention approaches. ESE and ESOL Coordinator: Facilitates development of intervention plans; provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 2 and 3 instruction; collaborate with teachers and assist with the intervention implementation process; and participates in the delivery of professional development.

After School Program Director: Participates in student data collection; and collaborates with staff to implement Tier 1 and Tier 2 interventions in the after school program.

Guidance Counselor: To support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success.

Rtl Specialist: Assist in the design and implementation of progress monitoring, collecting and analyzing data; contributes to the development of intervention plans; implements Tier 3 interventions; and offers professional development and technical assistance.

School Psychologist: Assist in interpreting and analyzing data; provides professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluating.

In addition, the SBT will provide personal development for students. An assigned mentor/liaison will afford students with social development skills.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The School-Based Rtl Leadership Team meets regularly to review universal screening data, diagnostic data, and progress monitoring data. Based on this information, the team identifies the professional development activities needed to create effective learning environments. After determining that effective Core Instruction (Tier 1) is in place, the team identifies students who are not meeting identified academic targets. The identified students are offered supplemental interventions and monitored over time. Those who continue to not make adequate progress are referred to the problem solving team. The SBT uses the Problem Solving Model\* to conduct all meetings. Based on data and discussion, the team identifies students who are in need of additional academic and/or behavioral support (supplemental or intensive). An intervention plan is developed (PBCSD Form 2284) which identifies a student's specific areas of deficiencies and appropriate research-based interventions to address these deficiencies. The team ensures that necessary resources are available and the intervention is implemented with fidelity. Each case is assigned a case liaison to support the interventionist (e.g., teacher, Rtl/Inclusion Facilitator, guidance counselor) and this individual reports on all data collected at future meetings.

\* Problem-Solving Model

The four steps of the Problem-Solving Model are:

- Problem Identification entails identifying the problem and the desired behavior for the student.
- Problem Analysis involves analyzing why the problem is occurring by collecting data to determine possible causes of the identified problem.
- Intervention Design & Implementation involves selecting or developing evidence-based interventions based upon data previously collected. These interventions are then implemented.
- Evaluating is also termed Response-to-Intervention. In this step, the effectiveness of a student's or group of students' response to the implemented intervention is evaluated and measured.

The problem solving process is self-correcting, and, if necessary, recycles in order to achieve the best outcomes for all students. This process is strongly supported by both IDEA and NCLB (ESEA). Specifically, both legislative actions support all students achieving benchmarks regardless of their status in general or special education.

\*Problem-Solving & Response to Intervention Project 2008

SBT members also participate on other school-based teams where information sharing occurs during grade level, faculty and PLC meetings.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Members of the School-Based Rtl Leadership Team meet with the School Advisory Council (SAC) and help develop the SIP. Utilizing the previous year's data, information on core, curricular targets is discussed and attention is focused on deficient areas.

Topics for discussion include, but are not limited to, the following:

- FCAT scores and the lowest 25%
- · Strengths and weaknesses of supplemental and intensive intervention programs
- Mentoring, tutoring, and other services

The Rtl/Inclusion Facilitator provides professional development for the SAC members on the Rtl framework.

### -MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

### Baseline data:

- Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)
- · Curriculum Based Measurement
- Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
- · Palm Beach County Fall Diagnostics in Reading, Math and Science
- Palm Beach Writes
- K-4 Literacy Assessment System

Core K-12 Assessments IBM/MBA's in Reading, Math, Science

- Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN)
- Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) \_
- Office Discipline Referrals
- Retentions
- Absences
- Staff/Student Surveys

### Midyear data:

- Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
- Winter Diagnostic Assessment for Reading, Math, Writing and Science
- Palm Beach County Winter Diagnostics
- Palm Beach Writes

- Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN)
- K-4 Literacy Assessment System

Core K-12 IBM/MBA's Assessments in Reading, Math and Science End-of-year data:

- Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
- Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)
- FCAT Writes

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development will be offered to Rtl/Inclusion Facilitator by district staff. The school based Rtl/Inclusion Facilitator will provide professional development opportunities to the faculty on designated professional development days (PDD). These opportunities include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 1. Effective School Based Teams- What should they look like?
- 2. RtI and the Problem Solving Process
- 3. School-wide Positive Behavior support (Sw-PBS)
- 4. How to Interpret Data (Making Informed Decisions)
- 5. Research Based Interventions What do we have?

Individual professional development is provided to classroom teachers, as needed.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

MTSS will be supported by its team members and administration. Ongoing weekly meetings will be held to ensure the fidelity and validity of the process. District personnel will also participate in weekly meetings to ensure the process and provide professional development, if needed.

### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Team members include: Principal, Assistant Principal, Reading Coach, SAI Resource Teacher, ESE and ESOL Coordinator, Media Specialist and PLC Facilitator

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) creates capacity of reading knowledge within the school building and focuses on areas of literacy concern across the school. The principal, reading coach, mentor reading teachers, content area teachers, and other principal appointees serve on the team. The LLT meets at least once a month.

The principal meets with the LLT at least once a month. Agenda topics include the discussion of the team's goals and progress, as well as identification of new strategies and activities to

implement. As additional needs and concerns arise, the LLT investigates the concern, studies and plans a course of action, implements the action, analyzes its effectiveness, and reflects on the process. This is a continuous process throughout the entire school year.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The LLT plays an integral role in fostering a rich literacy environment at the school for all students and staff. The team builds professional conversations; promotes collegiality, collaboration, and a literacy culture. Initiatives are based on literacy-related data and needs assessments related to the school, including literacy achievement, motivation, and building a community of readers, both at school and home on the process. This is a continuous process throughout the entire school year.

### Public School Choice

### \*Elementary Title | Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

At the beginning of the school year at Forest Hill Elementary, all kindergarten students are assessed using the Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener (FLKRS) to gather information on a child's overall development and to specifically address the readiness of each student for kindergarten based on the VPK Education Standards. The FLKRS is also used to calculate the VPK Provider Kindergarten Readiness Rate, which measures how well a VPK provider prepares four-year-olds to be ready for kindergarten based upon Florida's VPK Education Standards. The (FLKRS) includes a subset of the Early Childhood Observation System<sup>TM</sup> (ECHOS<sup>TM</sup>) and the new Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR). This Broad Screening includes measures of Letter Naming and Phonemic Awareness, which are included in the VPK Education Standards. These data are used to calculate a student's Probability of Success in Reading score.

In addition, Palm Beach County School District uses Fountas & Pinnell, which assess Early Literacy Behaviors and oral language in students.

Through these assessments, data will be used to plan academic instruction for all students and for groups of students or individual students who may need intervention beyond core instruction. Core kindergarten academic and behavioral instruction will include daily explicit instruction, modeling, guided practice and independent practice of all academic/or social emotional/skills identified by screening data.

The Fountas & Pinnell Literacy Assessment System will be used on-going throughout the school year in order to determine learning gains in order to determine the need for changes to the instructional/intervention programs.

We will provide professional development opportunities for our local feeder day care centers once a trimester in order to disseminate appropriate expectations for school readiness, invite them to Curriculum Night and professional development days.

Kindergarten Round-up is provided once a year. Parents are provided with a short training on Partners in Print. This is a program that guides parents on how to help young reader's at home. A review of the readiness skills and assessments given during the first days of school are presented. Information of what kindergartners should know and do is also shared.

Also, our school has a parent/child center for ages 2-5 that includes education for parents as well as early childhood education for the children. The parents and children who attend our within our SAC boundaries and transition into our kindergarten classes when they turn the age of 5 and ready to start kindergarten.

Our school also participates in the staggered start initiative. During the first three days of school, a 1/3 of the class participates daily. On the fourth day of school, all the kindergartner's attend school. School tours are provided to parents and preschool providers throughout the school year.

### \*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

N/A

\*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

N/A

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

| Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High Scho</u><br><u>Feedback Report</u> | <u>ool</u> |
|---|------------|
| N/A   |            |

## PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

## Reading Goals

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| * Whe | n using percentages, include   | the number of students the p  | percentage represents                                  | (e.g., 70% (35)).   |  |
|-------|--|---|--|---|--|
|       | on the analysis of student<br>provement for the following                              |   | eference to "Guiding                                   | Questions", identify and c  | define areas in need   |
| readi | CAT2.0: Students scoring<br>ng.<br>ing Goal #1a:                                       | g at Achievement Level (  | In grades 3 to 5                                       | 5, an increase of 25% or 10<br>3 or above on the 2013 R   |  |
| 2012  | Current Level of Perforn   | nance:  | 2013 Expected  | d Level of Performance:   |  |
|       | l on 2012 Reading FCAT 2.<br>d a Level 3 or above in gra                               |   |  | 50% (204) of the students<br>e on the 2013 Reading FCA  |  |
|       | Pr   | oblem-Solving Process t   | to Increase Studer                                     | nt Achievement  |  |
|       | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |
| 1     | Consistency of students reading books at appropriate levels                            | Implement Reader's<br>Workshop. Increase<br>access to appropriately<br>leveled "just right' books   | Principal, Reading<br>Coach and Reource<br>Teachers    | Ongoing analysis of formative and summative assessments/reading logs  |  |
| 2     | Aligning standards,<br>content materials in a<br>variety of formats and<br>assessments | Students will be assessed weekly using mini-<br>assessments, bi-weekly common assessments, trimester SRI, and ongoing Reading Running Records   | Assistant Principal<br>and Reading Coach               | Data from the mini-<br>assessments and<br>Common<br>Assessments will be<br>discussed at PLC<br>meetings<br>using the Data-<br>Feedback-Strategy<br>form | Single School<br>Culture for<br>Academics Data-<br>Feedback-<br>Strategy form  |
| 3     | of rigor according to the<br>four Webb's Depth of<br>Knowledge Levels                  | Model higher order thinking skills using "think-alouds" to verbalize thinking, such as forming mental pictures, connecting information to prior knowledge, creating analogies, clarifying confusing points, and/or making/revising predictions. | Assistant Principal<br>and Reading Coach               | Lesson plans will be reviewed during classroom walkthroughs.  | The classroom<br>walkthrough tool<br>will be utilized.   |
| 4     | used as a basis for  | Create and implement<br>Instructional<br>Focus Calendar (IFC) for<br>all<br>reading classes   | Assistant Principal                                    | Administration will be aware of the IFC's upcoming focus and monitor implementation through classroom walkthroughs.                                     | Effectiveness will be determined through the printout of results of assessments (Common Assessments IBM/MBA, SRI, SSS Fall and Winter Diagnostics) |
| 5     | administer FAIR  | Determine core<br>instructional needs by<br>reviewing FAIR (Florida<br>Assessment for<br>Instruction in Reading)  | Reading Coach  | Student progress is<br>assessed using FAIR<br>Ongoing Progress (OPM)<br>as needed.  | FAIR OPM data  |

|   |  | assessment data to differentiate instruction.   |   |   |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| 6 | Making distinctions<br>between learning goals<br>and learning activities or<br>assignments | Setting and<br>Communicating Learning<br>Goals to establish clear<br>learning targets | Learning Team<br>Facilitator and<br>Principal | Data from the mini-<br>assessments and<br>Common<br>Assessments will be<br>discussed at PLC<br>meetings<br>using the Data-<br>Feedback-Strategy<br>form | The classroom walkthrough tool will be utilized. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: In grades 3 to 5, an increase of 50% or 8 students will Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. achieve a Level 4-6 on the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment. Reading Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Based on 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment 25% (4) of the In grades 3-5, 50% (12) of the students will achieve a Level students scored a Level 4,5 and 6 in grades 3-5. 4-6 on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students will be assessed Assistant Principal Data from the Aligning standards, Lesson plans and content materials in a weekly using Unique and Reading Coach assessments and classroom variety of formats and Learning unit monitoring of IEP goals walkthrough tool assessments assessments and Reading will be discussed at PLC will be utilized. Running Records meetings. Knowing how to follow an Model higher order Assistant Principal Lesson plans will be The classroom and Reading Coach reviewed during appropriate progression thinking skills such as walkthrough tool classroom walkthrough. of rigor according to the forming mental pictures, will be utilized. DOK levels. connecting information to prior knowledge, creating analogies, clarifying confusing points, and/or

|   | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |                              |  |  |                 |
|---|--|------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading.  Reading Goal #2a:     |  |                              | In grades 3 t  | to 5, an increase of 25% or 10<br>I 4 or 5 on the 2013 Reading |                 |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  |  |                              | 2013 Expec   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                            |                 |
| Based on 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading data 20% (71) of the students scored a Level 4 or 5 in grades 3-5. |  |                              | In grades 3-5, 45% (171) of the students will achieve a Level 4 or 5 on the 2013 Reading FCAT 2.0. |  |                 |
| Problem-Solving Process to  |  |                              | to Increase Stud   | dent Achievement   |                 |
|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy                     | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring   | Process Used to<br>Determine Effectiveness<br>of Strategy      | Evaluation Tool |
|   | Aligning standards,  | Utilize inquiry methods to A | Assistant Principal  | Lesson plans will be   | The classroom   |

making/revising predictions.

| 1 | content materials in a variety of formats and assessments | promote conceptual<br>change and a deeper<br>understanding of the<br>content | O O            | 5   | walkthrough tool<br>will be utilized  |
|---|---|--|----------------|---|---|
| 2 | Consistent attendance<br>from students in<br>afterschool  | Enrichment after school tutorial program                                     | ·              | assessment/Diagnostic /mini-                            | Assessment  |
| 3 | Consistency of book discussions                           |  | Reading Coach, | Ongoing analysis of formative and summative assessments | Formative and summative assessments including miniassessments, Diagnostics and RRR. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in In grades 3 to 5, an increase of 10% or 3 students will reading. achieve a Level 7 or above on the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment. Reading Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Based on 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment 75% (12) of the In grades 3-5, 85% (15) of the students will achieve a Level students scored a Level 7 or above in grades 3-5. 7 and above on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring The classroom Making distinctions Setting and Principal and Data form the Unique between learning goals Assistant Principal walkthrough tool communicating learning Learning Unit Assessments, mid-term and learning activities or goals to establish clear will utilized. assignments learning target progress reports and trimester report cards Appropriate assessments Practice FAA testing ESE Grade Chair Lesson plans, practice The classroom aligned to FAA strategies throughout the and Assistant testing schedule, testing walkthrough tool Principal school year results will be utilized.

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.  Reading Goal #3a:  | In grades 3 to 5, an increase of 8% or 33 students will make Learning Gains on the 2013 Reading FCAT 2.0. |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |
| Based on 2012 Reading FCAT 2.0 data 67% (140) of the students made Learning Gains in grades 3-5.   | In grades 3-5, 75% (173) of the students will achieve a Learning Gain on the 2013 Reading FCAT 2.0.       |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  |   |  |

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine Effectiveness<br>of Strategy   | Evaluation Tool  |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | Provide small group iii<br>instruction with fidelity<br>and continuous<br>monitoring |  | Assistant Principal<br>and Reading<br>Coach            | Lesson plans will be reviewed<br>during classroom<br>walkthroughs   | The classroom<br>walkthrough tool<br>will be utilized  |
| 2 | Consistent attendance<br>from students in<br>afterschool                             | After school tutorial will<br>be provided  | Assistant Principal                                    | Student progress is assessed using the Common assessment/Diagnostic /mini-assessments/Reading Running Records/SRI data each month. In addition student classroom assessment folder is reviewed. | Assessment   |
| 3 | Consistency of students reading books at appropriate levels                          | Implement Reader's<br>Workshop. Increase<br>access to approrpriately<br>leveled "just right" books |  | Ongoing analysis of<br>formative and sumamtive<br>assessments, reading logs   | Formative and summative assessments including miniassessments IBM/MBA, Diagnostics,RRR and SRI |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in In grades 3 to 5, an increase of 31% or (5) students will reading. make Learning Gains on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment. Reading Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Based on 2012, 31% (5) Florida Alternate Assessment of the In grades 3-5, 62% (10) of the students will achieve students made Learning Gains in grades 3-5. Learning Gains on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Providing small group iii Reading iii will be an Principal and Lesson plans will be The classroom instruction consistently additional 30 minutes of Assistant Principal reviewed during walkthrough tool with fidelity reading instruction classroom walkthroughs will be utilized. (outside of the 90 minute reading block). Weekly progress Determine core Assistant Principal Student progress is The classroom assessed using Reading monitoring instructional needs by and ESE Grade walkthrough tool reviewing all assessment | Chair will be utilized. Running Records, data and IEP goals. Plan progress reports, lesson differentiated instruction plans and student work using evidence based folder. instructions/

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

interventions within the 90 minute reading block.

4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.

In grades 3 to 5, an increase of 10% of the Lowest 25% of students will make Learning Gains on the 2013 Reading FCAT 2.0.

| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
|--|--|
| Based on 2012 Reading FCAT 2.0 data 60% of the students in the Lowest 25% demonstrated Learning Gains in grades 3-5. | In grades 3-5, 70% of the students in the Lowest 25% will achieve Learning Gains on the 2013 Reading FCAT 2.0. |

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine Effectiveness<br>of Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | Providing small group instruction with fidelity                                     | An additional 30 minutes<br>of reading (SAI) outside<br>of the 90 minute reading<br>block  | and Reading  | Lesson plans will be reviewed during classroom walkthroughs   | The classroom walkthrough tool will be utilized   |
| 2 | Consistent attendance<br>from students<br>afterschool                               | After school tutorial will<br>be provided  | Assistant Principal                                    | Student progress is assessed using the Common assessment/Diagnostic /mini-assessments/Reading Running Records/SRI data each month. In addition student classroom assessment folder is reviewed. | Assessment  |
| 3 | Program implemented<br>without fidelity (ensuring<br>fidelity of<br>implementation) | Implement Fundations in all Kinder. and 1st grade classes during the 90 minute reading block and provide double dose of lesson to lowest 25% during iii.   |  | Weekly progress monitoring  | Fundation<br>Assessments and<br>Reading Running<br>Records  |
| 4 | Program implemented<br>without fidelity (ensuring<br>fidelity of<br>implementation) | Implement Triumphs in all<br>2nd -5th grade classess<br>during iii to lowest 25%<br>of students.   |  | Weekly progress monitoring  | SRA Reading<br>Mastery Weekly<br>and Bi-weekly<br>Assessments, SRI,<br>and Reading<br>Running Records |
| 5 | Program implemented<br>without fidelity (ensuring<br>fidelity of<br>implementation) | The AIMSWeb program will be used for all Tier 2 and Tier 3 students to provide interventions and aligned assessments. This program will also provide graphs to monitor student strengths/weaknesses. | SBT Facilitator  | SBT Weekly Meetings   | AIMSWeb<br>Assessments  |

| Based on Amb   | ased on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target |           |                                  |                   |                   |              |
|--|--|-----------|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. |  |           | Reading Goal # In six years 50%. | our school will : | reduce the achiev | ement gap by |
| Baseline data<br>2010-2011   | 2011-2012  | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014                        | 2014-2015         | 2015-2016         | 2016-2017    |
|  | 60   | 63        | 67                               | 71                | 74                |              |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.

The following subgroups did not meet 2012 Reading targets: Black, Hispanic and White. All subgroups will meet the 2013

| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  2013 Expected Level of Performance:  By 2013, 47% Black, 37% Hispanic, and 24% White, make satisfactory progress. |             |
|---|-------------|
|   |             |
| make satisfactory progress.   | e, will not |

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine Effectiveness<br>of Strategy   | Evaluation Tool  |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| 1 |   | Determine core instructional needs by reviewing all assessment data for all White students. Plan differentiated instruction using evidence based instructions/interventions within the 90 minute reading block (as well as an additional 30 minutes of Reading iii). | Reading Coach  | Student progress is assessed using the Common Assessment/Diagnostic/miniassessments/Reading Running Record/SRI data each month. In addition, student classroom assessment folder is reviewed. |  |
| 2 | Professional development<br>on how to administer<br>The FAIR Assessment | Determine core instructional needs by reviewing FAIR (Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading) assessment data for all subgroups.  | Reading Coach  | Student progress is assessed and adequate progress is determined by comparing student's trendline to aimline.   | FAIR OPM data will<br>be used to<br>determine<br>adequate progress<br>of benchmarks. |
| 3 | Consistent attendance of tutorial students                              | Provide Tuesday and<br>Thursday tutorial   | Assistant Principal                                    | The Tuesday and Thursday Reading tutorial schedule will be implemented with fidelity and monitored by the principal.  | Improvement on common assessments, mini-assessments and Diagnostics Assessments      |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.

Reading Goal #5C:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

ELL students did not meet 2012 Reading target. All ELL students will meet the 2013 target.

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

By 2013, 40% ELL will not make satisfactory progress.

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier        | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine Effectiveness<br>of Strategy                   | Evaluation Tool                                |
|---|----------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | Weekly progress monitoring | Determine core<br>instructional needs by<br>reviewing all assessment<br>data for all students.<br>Plan differentiated<br>instruction using<br>evidence based | Reading Coach  | assessed using Common<br>Assessment/Diagnostic/mini-<br>assessments/Reading | using the Data<br>Feedback<br>Strategy Method. |

|   |   | instructions/interventions within the 90 minute reading block. |                                  | is reviewed.                                |  |
|---|---|--|----------------------------------|---|--|
| 2 | Consistent attendance<br>from students in<br>afterschool tutorial |  | and Learning<br>Team Facilitator | Diagnostics. In addition, student classroom | Common Assessments will be analyzed using the Data Feedback Strategy method. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5D: | SWD students did not meet Reading target. SWD students will meet the 2013 target. |
|--|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| 167% OF SWD STUDENTS   | By 2013, 52% of SWD students, will not make satisfactory progress.                |

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation<br>Tool  |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | Consistent<br>attendance of<br>tutorial students  | Reading Tutorial on Tuesday and Thursday   | Assistant<br>Principal                                    | The Tuesday and Thursday Reading tutorial schedule will be implemented with fidelity and monitored by the principal. | Improvement<br>on common<br>assessments,<br>mini-<br>assessments<br>and<br>Diagnostics<br>Assessments |
| 2 | development which   | Create a learning team consisting of Alternate<br>Assessment teachers focusing on FAA data<br>analysis and best practices  | ESE<br>Coordinator<br>and Assistant<br>Principal          | Student progress is assessed using common assessment data.   | Improvement<br>on common<br>assessments,<br>mini-<br>assessments<br>and<br>Diagnostics                |
| 3 | Appropriate funds to purchase researched based materials  | Access adopted district reading programs for SWD   | ESE<br>Coordinator<br>and Assistant<br>Principal          | Common<br>assessments  | Lesson plans<br>and classroom<br>walkthroughs   |
| 2 | Professional<br>development on<br>appropriate use of<br>resources and<br>monitoring tool                                  | Access District ESE assessment website:<br>http://www.palmbeachschools.org/ese/faa.asp,<br>which posts State and District resources<br>including progress monitoring tools | ESE<br>Coordinator<br>and Assistant<br>Principal          | Common<br>assessments and<br>student targeted<br>monitoring plan   | Lesson plans<br>and classroom<br>walkthroughs   |
| Ę | Adequate time for professional development to educate teachers on how to appropriately create student targets and rubrics | Increase time on task through the use of schedules, clear student friendly targets and the use of Marzano's research based strategies                                      | Assistant<br>Principal and<br>PLC                         | Common<br>assessments  | Lesson plans<br>and<br>iObservation   |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.

Economically Disadvantaged students did not meet 2012 target. All Economically Disadvantaged students will meet the

| Read | ing Goal #5E:                           |   | 2      | 2013 target.   |   |   |  |
|------|---|---|--------|--|---|---|--|
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn                | nance:  | 2      | 2013 Expected  | Level of Performance:   |   |  |
| 50%  | 50% Economically Disadvantaged students |   |        |  | By 2013, 38% Economically Disadvantaged students will not make satisfactory progress.   |   |  |
|      | Pr                                      | oblem-Solving Process   | to I n | icrease Studer                                       | nt Achievement  |   |  |
|      | Anticipated Barrier                     | Strategy  |        | Person or<br>Position<br>sponsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool   |  |
| 1    | how to appropriately                    | Increase time on task<br>through the use of<br>schedules, clear student<br>friendly targets and the<br>use of Marzano's<br>research based<br>strategies   | and    | stant Principal<br>PLC                               | Common Assessments  | Lesson plan and iObservation  |  |
| 2    |   | Determine core instructional needs by reviewing all assessment data for all ED students. Plan differentiated instruction using evidence based instructions/ interventions within the 90 minute reading block. |        |  | Student progress is<br>assessed using the<br>Common Assessments. In<br>addition, student<br>classroom assessment<br>folder is reviewed. | Common<br>assessment data<br>will be analyzed<br>using the Data<br>Feedback Strategy<br>method. |  |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus   | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader             | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring      | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| Effective Implementation of the Instructional Focus Calendar   | K-5                    | Reading Coach<br>and PLC<br>Facilitator            | School-wide  | Twice a<br>month<br>during PLC<br>meetings                                     | Lesson plans and<br>Classroom<br>Walkthroughs | Principal,<br>Assistant<br>Principal,<br>Reading Coach |
| Implementation of Wilson Reading, Passport, SRA Reading Mastery, AIMSweb, Fundation, and Reader's/ Writer's Workshop, Unique Learning System, and LLI Kits | K-5                    | ESOL<br>Coordinator,<br>District ESE<br>Department | Reading<br>Teachers  | August<br>25,2012 and<br>once month<br>training<br>during PLC<br>meetings      | Lesson plans and<br>Classroom<br>Walkthroughs | Principal,<br>Assistant<br>Principal,<br>Reading Coach |

| Total Curriculum approach to reading frameworks   | K-5          | Reading Coach  | School-wide             | 3-4 times a<br>month<br>during PLC<br>meetings | Lesosn plans and<br>Classroom<br>Walkthroughs   | Principal,<br>Assistant<br>Principal,<br>Reading Coach |
|---|--------------|--|-------------------------|--|---|--|
| Participate in district and school-site based opportunities for staff development relative to reading instructional materials adopted SWD and assessment of alternative assessed SWD located on the District ESE website, http://www.palmbeachschools.org/ese | K-5          | District ESE<br>Department   | ESE teachers            | Ongoing  | Lesson plans and<br>Classroom<br>Walkthroughs   | Principal,<br>Assistant<br>Principal                   |
| Literacy<br>Assessment<br>Administraion/Analysis<br>of Data in<br>English and<br>Spanish  | Teachers k-5 | Reading Coach<br>and Resource<br>Teachers  | K-5                     | September<br>25 and 27<br>and ongoing          | Monitor Reading<br>Running Records<br>and lesson plans  | Administration   |
| Reader's<br>Workshop  | K-5          | Teachers College Reading and Writing Project Columbia University Staff Developers, District Resource Teacher and School Reading Coach and Resource Teacher | K-5 Reading<br>Teachers | ТВА  | Monitor Reading<br>Running Records,<br>Reading Logs,<br>Conferring Notes,<br>Flexible Grouping,<br>Walkthroughs,<br>Lesson plans and<br>student work<br>samples | Adminsitration   |
| Understanding<br>The FCAT<br>Test Specs   | Teachers 3-5 | District Reading<br>Specialist   | 3-5 Reading<br>Teachers | Weekly<br>PLC's                                | Lesson plan<br>review, classroom<br>walktrhoughs<br>and analysis of<br>students data  | Administration   |
| 8th Annual<br>Language<br>Training  | K-5          | Dual Language<br>and ESOL<br>Teachers  | K-5 ESOL<br>Teachers    | October 19,<br>2012                            | Lesson Plans  | Administration   |

## Reading Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate   | Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) |                |                     |  |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Strategy   | Description of Resources              | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |  |  |  |  |
| No Data  | No Data                               | No Data        | \$0.00              |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                       |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |  |  |  |  |
| Technology   |                                       |                |                     |  |  |  |  |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources              | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |  |  |  |  |
| On-line subscription of Reading resource - A-Z Kids, RAZ Kids, Science Kids  | On-line reading subscription          | Title I        | \$6,000.00          |  |  |  |  |
| Provides nonverbal students with communication, speech and handwriting opportunities, video models of appropriate social situations to help them learn appropriate ways to interact with others. | iPads 2 (21 count)                    | Title I        | \$10,000.00         |  |  |  |  |

| Provides nonverbal students with communication, speech and handwriting opportunities, video models of appropriate social situations to help them learn appropriate ways to interact with others.                               | iPad screen protectors   | Title I        | \$46.00                   |
|--|--|----------------|---------------------------|
|  |  |                | Subtotal: \$16,046.00     |
| Professional Development   |  |                |                           |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources   | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount       |
| Provide Total Curriculum approach to reading frameworks  | Provide Total Curriculum approach<br>to reading frameworks- Reading<br>Resource salary     | Title I        | \$67,588.00               |
| Effective implementation of the<br>Instructional Focus Calendar,<br>standards and FCIM   | LTM Facilitator part itme salary   | Title I        | \$31,822.00               |
| Provide reports to teachers for data analysis  | Color printer for EDW Reports  | Title I        | \$1,500.00                |
| Staff will attend Reader's/Writer's<br>Workshop Institute at Columbia<br>University to implement<br>Reader's/Writer's Workshop   | Conference registration, travel, hotel and meals   | Title I        | \$9,000.00                |
| Professional reading to support<br>reading comprehension skills,<br>teacher evaluation and Common<br>Core  | The Art and Science of Teaching,<br>Pathways to Common Core and 7<br>Keys to Comprehension | Title I        | \$3,000.00                |
| Professional development for dual language teachers  | Dual Language Registration Workshop  | Title I        | \$200.00                  |
| Reading Coach will attend<br>Reader's/Writer's Workshop<br>Institute Coaches training at<br>Columbia University to implement<br>Reader's/Writer's Workshop   | Conference registration, travel, hotel and meals   | Title I        | \$5,000.00                |
| ESOL Teachers will attend 8th<br>Annual Language Conference  | Conference registration  | Title 1        | \$100.00                  |
| Substitutes for professional development training  | Substitutes  | Title 1        | \$1,700.00                |
|  |  |                | Subtotal: \$119,910.00    |
| Other  |  |                |                           |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources   | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount       |
| Chart paper, student folders, post it notes and baskets for classroom libraries to support Reader's Workshop model   | Classroom Supplies   | Title I        | \$1,600.00                |
| Effective implementation of the Instructional Focus Calendar, standards, Fundations Reading Program, SRA Reading mastery, AIMSWeb, LLI Reading Kits, Reader's/Writer's Workshop Kits (Units of Study Reading Bundles) and FCIM | Staff Development Supplies   | Title I        | \$1,030.00                |
| After school tutorial will be provided to 3-5 students   | Tutorial   | Title I        | \$12,800.00               |
| Reading carpets, bookshelves and book baskets for shared reading and reader's workshop   | Carpet, bookshelves, book baskets  | Title I        | \$9,000.00                |
| Classroom libraries - Books for instruciton to build on Reader's Workshop Curriculum   | Classroom libraries  | Title I        | \$41,000.00               |
| Units of Study Reading and Writing<br>Bundles for Reader's Workshop  | Classroom Supplies   | Title I        | \$2,400.00                |
| Reading/Writing Summer school tutorial for students in grades K-2  | Tutorial   | Title I        | \$11,000.00               |
| After school tutorial will be provided to 3-5 students   | Supplemental resources for<br>tutorial- Curriculum Associates -<br>STAMS and Florida Ready | Title 1        | \$3,800.00                |
|  |  |                | Subtotal: \$82,630.00     |
|  |  |                | Grand Total: \$218,586.00 |

## Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

CELLA Goal #1:

In grades 3 to 5, an increase of 9% of students will achieve a Level 3 or above on the 2013 CELLA.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

Based on 2012 CELLA 36% (96) of the students scored a Level 3 or above in grades 3-5.

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | Knowing how to follow<br>an appropriate<br>progression of rigor<br>acoording to the<br>Webb's depth of<br>Knowledge Levels | Model Higher order thinking skills using "think-alouds" to verbalize thinking, such as forming mental pictures, connecting information to prior knowledge, creating analogies, clarifying confusing points, and/or making/revising predictions. | Administration<br>and Reading<br>Coach                 | Lesson plans will be<br>reviewed during<br>classroom<br>walkthroughs.                                 | The classroom<br>walkthrough tool<br>will be utilized. |
| 2 | Making distinctions<br>between learning goals<br>and learning activities<br>or assignments                                 | Setting and<br>communicating learning<br>goals to establish clear<br>learning targets   | Administration   | Common assessments<br>will be discussed at PLC<br>meetings using the<br>Data-Fedback-Strategy<br>form | The classroom<br>walkthrough tool<br>will be utilized. |

2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

CELLA Goal #2:

In grades 3 to 5, 8% of the students will increase to achieve a Level 3 or above on the 2013 CELLA.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

Based on 2012 CELLA 22% (60) of the students scored a Level 3 or above in grades 3-5.

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool  |
|---|---------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | performance and     | Instrucional Focus<br>Calendar (IFC) for all<br>reading classes | Learning Team<br>Facilitator                           | aware of the IFC's upcoming focus and monitor implementation | Effectivess will be determined through the printout of results of assessments. |

| Stude | ents write in English at gra   | ade level in a manner sir   | milar to non-ELL st                                    | udents.   |                                     |  |  |
|-------|--|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 3. St | udents scoring proficier   | nt in writing.  |  | In grades 3 to 5, an increase of 6% of students will  |                                     |  |  |
| CELL  | A Goal #3:   |   | achieve a Leve   | el 3 or above on the 2013   | CELLA.                              |  |  |
| 2012  | Current Percent of Stu   | dents Proficient in writ  | ting:  |   |                                     |  |  |
| Based | d on 2012 CELLA 19% (5.  | 2) of the students scored   |  |   |                                     |  |  |
|       | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Too                      |  |  |
| 1     | Consistency of scoring using FCAT rubric   | Monitor studnets' progress with Grade 4 weekly times writing assessments using expository and narrative writing prompts (scored using FCAT rubric). | Assistant Prinicpa                                     | Teachers will input data in EDW to update montly writing assessments. EDW data will be monitored and discussed during LTMs.   | writing will be<br>used to determin |  |  |
| 2     | Amount of time required in order to confer will all studnets and differentiate instruction |   | Principal  | Teachers will input data into EDW. EDW data will be monitored and idscussed during PLC meetings. In addition, administration will monitor the implementation and fidelity of Writer's Workshop. |                                     |  |  |

## CELLA Budget:

|                        |                          |                | Available           |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount              |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology             |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developme | nt                       |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                  |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                        |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

## **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. In grades 3 to 5, an increase of 23% or 92 students will achieve a Level 3 or above on the 2013 Math FCAT 2.0. Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Based on 2012 Math FCAT 2.0 data 27% or 98 students In grades 3-5, 50% or 199 students will achieve a Level 3 or scored a Level 3 or above in grades 3-5. above on the 2013 Math FCAT. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Single School Aligning standards, Twice a month students Assistant Principal Data from the Math content materials in a will be assessed using Common Assessments wil Culture for variety of formats and math common be discussed at PLC Academics Dataassessments assessments and weekly meetings using the Data-Feedback-Strategy mini-assessments Feedback-Strategy form Knowing how to follow an Model higher order Assistant Principal Lesson plans will be The classroom walkthrough tool appropriate progression thinking skills using reviewed during of rigor according to the classroom walkthroughs will be utilized. "think-alouds" to four Webb's Depth of verbalize thinking, such Knowledge Levels as forming mental pictures, connecting 2 information to prior knowledge, creating analogies, clarifying confusing points, and/or making/revising predictions. Create and implement Analyzing student Assistant Principal The administration will be Effectiveness will performance and Instructional aware of the IFC's be determined assessment data and Focus Calendar (IFC) for upcoming focus and through the monitor implementation printout of results used as a basis for providing specific levels math classes through classroom of assessments 3 of differentiated walkthroughs. (Common instruction Assessments, miniassessments, SSS Fall and Winter Diagnostics). Consistent daily use of Blackboard Configuration Assistant Principal Focused walkthroughs by The classroom walkthrough tool following an instructional including problem of the administration making delivery model and day, Do Now, student sure the blackboard will be utitilized. friendly objective, focus blackboard configuration configuration is being and homework used

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #1b:

In grades 3 to 5, an increase of 30% or 10 students will achieve a Level 4-6 on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Based on 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment 20% (3) of the In grades 3-5, 50% (16) of the students will achieve a Level students scored a Level 4,5 and 6 in grades 3-5.

4-6 on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy      | Evaluation Tool                                  |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | Aligning standards,<br>content materials in a<br>variety of formats and<br>assessments | Model higher order thinking skills using "think-alouds" to verbalize thinking, such as forming mental pictures, connecting information to prior knowledge, creating analogies, clarifying confusing points, and/or making/revising predictions | Assistant Principal                                    | Lesson plans will be<br>reviewed during<br>classroom walkthroughs | The classroom walkthrough tool will be utilized. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students | coring at or above Achievement |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Level 4 in mathematics |                                |

Mathematics Goal #2a:

In grades 3 to 5, an increase of 20% or 86 students will score a Level 4 or 5 on the 2013 Math FCAT 2.0.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Based on 2012 Math FCAT 2.0 data 36% or 130 students scored a Level 4 or 5 in grades 3-5.

In grades 3-5, 56% or 216 students will achieve a Level 4 or 5 on the 2013 Math FCAT 2.0.

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy      | Evaluation Tool                                       |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | Aligning assessment<br>items to Test Item<br>Specifications   | Incorporate FCAT higher order problem solving items in lessons, homework, and assessment to apply scientific and mathematical thinking and skills. | Assistant Principal                                    | Lesson plans will be<br>reviewed during<br>Classroom Walkthroughs | The Classroom<br>walkthrough tool<br>will be utilized |
| 2 | Aligning word problems to Test Item Specifications incorporated into every mathematics lesson and all homework sets |  | Assistant Principal                                    | Lesson plans will be reviewed during classroom walkthroughs       | The Classroom<br>Walkthrough tool<br>will be utilized |
| 3 | Using consistent gridding format established by school  | Incorporate FCAT<br>Gridding Format  | Assistant Principal                                    | Lesson plans will be reviewed during classroom walkthroughs       | The Classroom<br>Walkthrough tool<br>will be utilized |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

### 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics.

In grades 3 to 5, an increase of 20% or 6 students will achieve a Level 7 or above on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.

Mathematics Goal #2b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Based on 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment 67% (10) of the In grades 3-5, 87% (25) of the students will achieve a Level students scored a Level 7 and above in grades 3-5.

7 and above on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy          | Evaluation Tool                            |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | The use appropriate manipulatives  | Increased hands-on activities and the use of manipulatives to reinforce mathematics concepts  | '  | will assist teachers in the   | Progress of<br>students on<br>assessments. |
| 2 | Adequate time for professional development to educate teachers on how to appropriately create student targets. | Increase time on task<br>through the use of<br>schedules, clear student<br>friendly targets and the<br>use of Marzono's<br>research based<br>strategies | ESE Grade Chair<br>and Assistant<br>Principal          | Data from the<br>assessments will be<br>discussed at PLC<br>meetings. | Lesson plans and iObservation              |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #3a:

In grades 3 to 5, an increase of 15% or 60 students will make Learning Gains on the 2013 FCAT Math Assessment.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Based on 2012 FCAT Math Assessment 50% or 100 students made Learning Gains in grades 3-5.

In grades 3-5, 65% or 259 students will achieve a Learning Gain on the 2013 FCAT.

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier                           | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine Effectiveness<br>of Strategy | Evaluation Tool  |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | The use of appropriate manipulatives          | Increased hands-on<br>activities and the use of<br>manipulatives to<br>reinforce mathematics<br>concepts | ·  | assist teachers in the                                    | Progress of<br>students on<br>assessments              |
| 2 | Consistent attendance<br>of tutorial students | Afterschool tutorial will<br>be provided for<br>struggling students.                                     | Assistant Principal                                    | month. In addition student classroom assessment folder    | data/mini-<br>assessment will be<br>analyzed using the |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.

In grades 3 to 5, an increase of 50% or 16 students will make Learning Gains on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.

Mathematics Goal #3b:

| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn                               | nance:  | 2013 Expected  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |
|------|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| 1    | on 2012, 0%(0)Florida Al<br>nts made Learning Gains in | ternate Assessment of the grades 3-5.   | 0  | In grades 3-5, 50% (16) of the students will achieve Learning Gains on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment. |  |  |
|      | Pr   | oblem-Solving Process t   | o Increase Studer                                      | nt Achievement  |  |  |
|      | Anticipated Barrier                                    | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool                                  |  |
| 1    | The use of appropriate manipulatives                   | Increased hands-on<br>activities an dthe use of<br>manipulatives to reinforce<br>mathematics concepts | Assistant Principal                                    | Lesson plans will be reviewed during classroom walkthroughs   | The classroom walkthrough tool will be utilized. |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need

of improvement for the following group:

4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% In grades 3 to 5, an increase of 15% (60) of the Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. will make a Learning Gain on the 2013 FCAT Math Assessment. Mathematics Goal #4: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Based on 2012 FCAT Math Assessment 56% students in the In grades 3-5, 71% or 283 students in the Lowest 25% will Lowest 25% demonstrated Learning Gains in grades 3-5. achieve a Learning Gain on the 2013 FCAT. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Effectiveness **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible for of Strategy Monitoring Consistent attendance Tutorial will be provided Student progress is Assistant Principal Common assessed using the Common of morning tutorial Assessment Assessment/Diagnostic/mini- data/ministudents assessments data each assessment will be month. In addition student analyzed using the classroom assessment folder Data Feedback is reviewed. Strategy method. Using appropriate Increase hands-on Assistant Principal Math resource will assist Progress of manipulatives activities and the use of teachers in the creation of students on manipulatives to centers, stations, and assessments reinforce mathematics administration will ensure concepts activities are implemented. Missing pieces to Daily use of Every Day Assistant Principal Lesson Plans will be Progress of calendar Counts reviewed during classroom students on walkthroughs assessments

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target              |           |           |    |           |                                    |  |                 |              |         |
|--|-----------|-----------|----|-----------|------------------------------------|--|-----------------|--------------|---------|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. |           |           |    |           | Mathematics Goal<br>our school wil |  | duce the achiev | ement gap by | <u></u> |
| Baseline data<br>2010-2011   | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |    | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015                          |  | 2015-2016       | 2016-2017    |         |
|  | 57        | 61        | 65 | 5         | 69                                 |  | 73              |              |         |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making The following subgroups did not meet 2012 Math targets: satisfactory progress in mathematics. Black, Hispanic and White. All subgroups will meet the 2013 Targets. Mathematics Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: By 2013, 39% Black, 42% Hispanic, and 33% White, will not Black 64%, Hispanic 50%, and White 42% make satisfactory progress. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Weekly progress Tier 1: Determine core Assistant Principal Single School Data from the Math monitoring instructional needs by Common Assessments will Culture for reviewing Common be discussed at PLC Academics Data Feedback-Strategy assessments and mini-Meetings using the Dataassessment data for Feedback-Strategy Form Black and Hispanic subgroups; plan differentiated instruction using evidence based instructions/ interventions; increase the use of manipulatives and hands-on activities to reinforce mathematics concepts

|        | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in neo<br>of improvement for the following subgroup: |   |  |   |                                 |  |  |
|--------|---|---|--|---|---------------------------------|--|--|
| satist | nglish Language Learnei<br>factory progress in math<br>ematics Goal #5C:  |   |  | ELL students did not meet 2012 Math target. All ELL students will meet the 2013 target.   |                                 |  |  |
| 2012   | Current Level of Perform  | nance:  | 2013 Expected  | d Level of Performance:   |                                 |  |  |
| 62% (  | of ELL students   |   | By 2013, 46% I   | By 2013, 46% ELL will not make satisfactory progress.   |                                 |  |  |
|        | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process t   | to Increase Studer                                     | nt Achievement  |                                 |  |  |
|        | Anticipated Barrier Strategy R  |   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool                 |  |  |
| 1      | Knowing when to adjust instruction  | Make adjustments in instruction (e.g., pace, modality, questioning, and collaborative structures) for all ELL students in the classroom based on students engagement throughout a lesson. | Assistant Principal                                    | Math resource teacher will assist teachers in the creation of lessons, stations, and administration will ensure activities are implemented. | Progress on<br>student progress |  |  |

| С  | f improvement for the   | following subgroup:   |  |   |   |  |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5D: |   |   | SWD students did not meet Math target. SWD students will meet the 2013 target. |   |   |  |
| 2  | 2012 Current Level o  | f Performance:  | 2013 Ex  | pected Level o  | f Performance:  |  |
| 61% of SWD students  |   |   | By 2013, 48% of SWD students, will not make satisfactory progress.             |   |   |  |
|  |   | Problem-Solving Process to I  | ncrease \$   | Student Achiev  | /ement  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  |  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation<br>Tool                           |
| 1  | Professional<br>development on<br>appropriate use of<br>resources and<br>monitoring tool                                  | Access District ESE assessment webs<br>http://www.palmbeachschools.org/es<br>which posts State and District resour<br>including progress monitoring tools | e/faa.asp,   | ESE<br>Coordinator<br>and Assistant<br>Principal          | Common<br>assessments and<br>student targeted<br>monitoring plan  | Lesson plans<br>and classroom<br>walkthrough |
| 2  | Adequate time for professional development to educate teachers on how to appropriately create student targets and rubrics | Increase time on task through the use<br>schedules, clear student friendly targ<br>the use of Marzano's research based                                    | ets and  | ESE<br>Coordinator<br>and Assistant<br>Principal          | Data from the math<br>common<br>assessments will be<br>discussed at PLC<br>meetings using the<br>Data-Feedback-<br>Strategy | Lesson plans<br>and<br>iObservation          |

|        | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: |   |        |  |   |                               |  |
|--------|---|---|--------|--|---|-------------------------------|--|
| satist | onomically Disadvantage<br>Factory progress in math<br>ematics Goal E:  | 9   | ta     | Economically Disadvantaged students did not meet 2012 target. All Economically Disadvantaged students will meet the 2013 target. |   |                               |  |
| 2012   | Current Level of Perforn  | nance:  | 2      | 2013 Expected  | Level of Performance:   |                               |  |
| 54% (  | of the Economically Disadv  | antaged students  |        | By 2013, 42% Economically Disadvantaged students will not make satisfactory progress.  |   |                               |  |
|        | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process   | to Ind | crease Studer  | nt Achievement  |                               |  |
|        | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Res    | Person or<br>Position<br>sponsible for<br>Monitoring   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy    | Evaluation Tool               |  |
| 1      | Aligning benchmark to weakness and strength   | Meet weekly to assess<br>student strengths and<br>weaknesses of<br>benchmarks | Assis  | tant Principal   | Progress monitoring of common assessments and class assignments | Test results                  |  |
| 2      | Progress monitoring assessments for revisions or reteaching of the focus lessons.   | Develop Instructional Ass   |        | tant Principal   | 9   | Mini-assessments<br>and tests |  |

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC Focus                                       |          | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader     | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates (e.g.,<br>early release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for Follow-<br>up/Monitoring   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|----------|---|--|--|---|--|
| Differentiated<br>Instruction   | K-5      | District<br>Specialist,<br>PLC<br>Facilitator | School-wide  | 4 times a month<br>during PLC<br>meetings  | District and school<br>leadership will ocnduct<br>classroom walkthroughs<br>to monitor effectiveness of<br>differentiated instruction<br>training | Administration<br>and District Math<br>Specialist      |
| Effective<br>Implementation<br>of the<br>Instructional<br>Focus<br>Calendar | K-5      | District<br>Specialist,<br>PLC<br>Facilitator | School-wide  | 4 times a month<br>during PLC<br>meetings  | Modeling of lessons,<br>classroom walkthroughs  | Principal  |
| Understanding<br>the FCAT test<br>specs                                     | Math 3-5 | District<br>Specialist                        | Math teachers 3-<br>5  | Elementary in-<br>service date<br>October 19th   | Lesson plan review,<br>classroom walkthroughs<br>and analysis of student<br>data  | Administration   |
| Math<br>Stations  | Math K-5 | District<br>Specialist                        | Math K-5<br>teachers   | Elementary in-<br>service date<br>October 19th   | Lesson plan review,<br>classroom walkthroughs<br>and analysis of student<br>data  | Administration   |

### Mathematics Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat                             | erial(s)                                |                |                          |
|---|---|----------------|--------------------------|
| Strategy  | Description of Resources                | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount      |
| No Data   | No Data                                 | No Data        | \$0.00                   |
|   |   |                | Subtotal: \$0.00         |
| Technology  |   |                |                          |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources                | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount      |
| No Data   | No Data                                 | No Data        | \$0.00                   |
|   |   |                | Subtotal: \$0.00         |
| Professional Development                                  |   |                |                          |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources                | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount      |
| No Data   | No Data                                 | No Data        | \$0.00                   |
|   |   |                | Subtotal: \$0.00         |
| Other   |   |                |                          |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources                | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount      |
| Provide Math tutorial and enrichment program after school | Part-time in system salary for teachers | Title I        | \$10,000.00              |
|   |   |                | Subtotal: \$10,000.00    |
|   |   |                | Grand Total: \$10,000.00 |

End of Mathematics Goals

## Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

 $^*\ When\ using\ percentages,\ include\ the\ number\ of\ students\ the\ percentage\ represents\ (e.g.,\ 70\%\ (35)).$ 

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.

In grade 5, an increase of 18% (22) of students will

| Science Goal #1a:                  | score a Level 3 or above on the 2013 Science FCAT.  |
|------------------------------------|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
|                                    | In grade 5, 50% or 63 students will achieve a Level 3 and above on the 2013 Science FCAT. |

## Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier Strategy  |  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | Providing lab experiments on a regular and consistent basis.  | Utilize hands-on laboratory experiments (in the classroom setting) using the 5E model. | Assistant<br>Principal                                 | Every K-5 class will<br>conduct at least two<br>hands-on lab lessons<br>weekly.  | The classroom walkthrough tool will be utilized, progress on student assessments, and lesson plans. |
| 2 | Providing real world<br>experiences relevant<br>to students' gender,<br>ethnicity, age, and<br>culture                            | relevant science experiences pender, and engaging activities le, and                   |  | Lesson plans will be<br>reviewed during<br>classroom walkthrough   | The classroom walkthrough tool will be utilized.  |
| 3 | Consistent attendance<br>of weekend tutorial<br>students  | 3  | Assistant<br>Principal                                 | The Monday Science<br>Tutorial schedule will<br>be implemented with<br>fidelity and monitored<br>by the principal.   | Improvmeent on<br>science<br>unit/chapter<br>tests  |
| 4 | Analyzing student performance and assessment data and used as a basis for providing specific levels of differentiated instruction | students not<br>responding to core plus<br>supplemental                                | Assistant<br>Principal, RtI<br>Facilitator             | Data from the Science<br>Common Assessments,<br>mini-assessments, and<br>Diagnostics will be<br>discussed at PLC<br>meetings using the<br>Data-Feedback-<br>Strategy | Single School<br>Culture for<br>Academics Data-<br>Feedback-<br>Strategy form                       |

|  | d on the analysis of stud<br>s in need of improvemen                                       |  |  | Guiding Questions", ider   | ntify and define                                |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b: |  |  | 0  | In grade 5, an increase of 5% (2) of students will score a Level 4-6 on the 2013 Science Florida Alternate Assessment. |   |  |
| 2012   | 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                     |  |  |  |   |  |
| In grade 5, 75% or 3 students achieved a Level 4-6 on the 2012 Science Florida Alternate Assessment.   |  |  |  | % (26) students will ach<br>cience Florida Alternate   |   |  |
|  | Prob   | lem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Stude                                       | ent Achievement  |   |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Too                                  |  |
| 1  | Provide real world<br>experiences relevant<br>to student's gender,<br>ethinicity, age, and | Provide real world science experiences and engaging activities | Assistant<br>Principal                                 | Lesson plans will be<br>reviewed during<br>classroom walkthrough   | The classroom walkthrough too will be utilized. |  |

culture. Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. In grade 5, an increase of 7% (9) of students will score a Level 4 or 5 on the 2013 Science FCAT. Science Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In grade 5, 13% or 17 students achieved a Level 4 on In grade 5, 20% or 26 students will achieve a Level 4 or 5 on the 2013 Science FCAT. the 2012 Science FCAT. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy School leadership will Generating scoring Incorporate FCAT Assistant Principal and Principal rubrics Assistant short response and conduct classroom extended response walkthroughs to Principal items in lessons, monitor effectiveness

homework, and assessment to apply

skills

In grade 5, 25% or 1 student achieved a Level 7 and

above on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.

tutorial

Consistent attendance

of Monday tutorial

students

scientific thinking and

Provide after school

of differentiated

instruction training

Tutorial schedule will

be implemented with

fidelity and monitored

and above on the 2013 Florida Alternate Science

by the principal.

The Saturday Science Improvement on

science

tests

unit/chapter

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science.

Science Goal #2b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

In grade 5, an increase of 10% (3) of students will score a Level 7 and above on the 2013 Florida Alternate Science Assessment.

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

In grade 5, 35% or 11 students will achieve a Level 7

Assistant

Principal

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Assessment.

|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool                                  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Analyzing student performance and assessment data and used as a basis for providing specific levels of differentiated instruction | intervention for<br>student. Interventions<br>will be matched ot<br>individual student |  | Data from the Science<br>Assessments                         | The classroom walkthrough tool will be utilized. |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC Focus                                      |             | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | release) and   | Strategy for Follow-<br>up/Monitoring  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|--|-------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Effective<br>Implementationof<br>the<br>Instructional<br>Focus<br>Calendar | K-5         | PLC<br>Facilitator                        | School-wide   | Early release<br>dates, Dec. 6<br>and January<br>24th; and twice<br>a month during<br>PLC meetings | Modeling of lessons<br>and classroom<br>walkthroughs   | Principal  |
| Differentiated<br>Instruction  | K-5         | PLC<br>Facilitator                        | School-wide   | Twice a month<br>during PLC<br>meeting   | District and school<br>leadership will conduct<br>classroom<br>walkthroughs to<br>monitor effectiveness<br>of differentiated<br>instruction training | Principal,<br>Assistant<br>Principal                   |
| Science<br>Fusion  | Science K-5 | District<br>Specialist                    | Science<br>Teachers   | ТВА  | Lesson plan review,<br>classroom<br>walkthroughs   | Administration   |

### Science Budget:

| Characteristic  | December 1               | F !! C         | Available               |
|---|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy  | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount                  |
| No Data   | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|   |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0         |
| Technology  |                          |                |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data   | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|   |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Professional Development                              |                          |                |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data   | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|   |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Other   |                          |                |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| Afterschool Science tutorial for students in grade 5. | Science Tutorial         | Title I        | \$2,100.00              |
|   |                          |                | Subtotal: \$2,100.00    |
|   |                          |                | Grand Total: \$2,100.00 |

End of Science Goals

## Writing Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

|      | nd higher in writing.<br>ng Goal #1a:  |   |  | In grade 4, an increase of 11% (23) of students will score a Level 3 on the 2013 Writing FCAT.   |  |  |  |
|------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo   | rmance:   | 2013 Expecte   | d Level of Performance   | <b>:</b> :   |  |  |
|      | on 2012 Writing FCAT don't scored a Level 3 on the   |   | In grade 4, 90°<br>3 on the 2013                                       | % (122) of the students<br>Writing FCAT.   | will score a Level   |  |  |
|      | Prok   | olem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Stude   | nt Achievement   |  |  |  |
|      | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                 | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool  |  |  |
| 1    | Consistency of scoring using FCAT rubric   | Monitor students' progress with Grade 4 weekly timed writing assessments using expository and narrative writing prompts (scored using FCAT rubric). | Assistant Principal  | Teachers will input data into EDW to update monthly writing assessments. EDW data will be monitored and discussed during LTMs.   | writing will be<br>used to determine<br>progress between<br>the pre-test |  |  |
| 2    | Consistency of scoring using FCAT rubric   | Grades K to 5 will<br>complete a monthly<br>Palm Beach Writes or<br>Forest Hill Writes<br>prompts (scored using<br>FCAT rubric).                    | Assistant Principal  | Teachers will input data into EDW to update monthly writing assessments. EDW data will be monitored and discussed during PLC meetings.   | writing will be<br>used to determine                                     |  |  |
| 3    | Amount of time required in order to confer with all students and differentiate instruction | Grades K-4 will use<br>Lucy Calkins Writer's<br>Workshop lesson plans.  | once a month to<br>monitor the<br>progress of the K-<br>4 Lucy Calkins | Teachers will input data into EDW. EDW data will be monitored and discussed during PLC meetings. In addition, administration will monitor the implementation and fidelity of Writer's Workshop |  |  |  |
| 4    | Consistent attendance of tutorial students   | Provide Monday tutorial<br>in Writing   | Assistant Principal  | The Monday Writing Tutorial schedule will be implemented with fidelity and monitored by the principal.   | Improvmeent on<br>the Palm Beach<br>and Forest Hill<br>Writes            |  |  |

|   | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |                        |  |  |                 |  |  |  |
|---|--|------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.  Writing Goal #1b:            |  |                        | In grade 4, an   | In grade 4, an increase of 24% (26) of students will score a Level 4 and above on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment. |                 |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  |  |                        | 2013 Expecte   | d Level of Performance   | e:              |  |  |  |
| Based on 2012 data 26% or 32 of the students scored a Level 4 or above on the Florida Alternate Assessment. |  |                        | 3 ,  | % (55) of the students with the 2013 Florida Alternate   |                 |  |  |  |
|   | Pro  | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude                                       | nt Achievement   |                 |  |  |  |
|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy               | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool |  |  |  |
|   | Lack of professional   | Create a learning team | ESE Grade Chair  | Student progress is  | Improvement on  |  |  |  |

|   | 1 | focuses on FAA data  | J  |         | assessed using common assessment data. | common<br>assessments.                     |
|---|---|--|--|---------|--|--|
| 4 | 2 | Adequate time for professional development to educate teachers on how to appropriately create student targets and rubrics. | through the use of<br>scheudles, clear<br>studnet friendly targets<br>and the use of | and PLC |  | Lesson plan<br>review and<br>iObservation. |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus                            | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| Effective<br>Implementation<br>of the<br>Writer's<br>Workshop<br>Model | K-5                    | District<br>Facilitator                   | K-5 Writing<br>Teachers   |   | Lesson plans and<br>classroom<br>walkthroughs                                       | Administration<br>and District<br>Facilitator          |
| Scoring<br>training  | K-4                    |   | K-4 Writing<br>teachers   | Days  | Lesson plan review,<br>classroom<br>walkthroughs and<br>analysis of student<br>data | Administration   |

### Writing Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma                          | aterial(s)                   |                |                         |
|---|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy  | Description of Resources     | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data   | No Data                      | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|   |                              |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Technology  |                              |                |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources     | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data   | No Data                      | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|   |                              |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Professional Development                              |                              |                |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources     | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data   | No Data                      | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|   |                              |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Other   |                              |                |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources     | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| Afterschool Writing tutorial for students in grade 4. | Salary for tutorial teachers | Title I        | \$2,100.00              |
|   |                              |                | Subtotal: \$2,100.00    |
|   |                              |                | Grand Total: \$2,100.00 |

## Attendance Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

|       |   | ndance data, and referer   | nce to "Guiding Qu                                     | estions", identify and defi   | ine areas in need  |  |  |
|-------|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
|       | provement:  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 1. At | tendance  |  | By June 2013,  | By June 2013, the absentee rate will decrease by 10%  |  |  |  |
| Atter | ndance Goal #1:   |  | (77).  |   |  |  |  |
| 2012  | Current Attendance R  | ate:   | 2013 Expecte   | ed Attendance Rate:   |  |  |  |
| 70%   | (535)   |  | 80% (612)  |   |  |  |  |
|       | Current Number of Stunces (10 or more)                              | udents with Excessive  | 2013 Expecte<br>Absences (10                           | ed Number of Students<br>O or more)   | with Excessive   |  |  |
| 35%   | (252)   |  | 27% (200)  | 27% (200)   |  |  |  |
| 1     | Current Number of Stules (10 or more)                               | udents with Excessive  | · ·  | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)  |  |  |  |
| 25%   | (178)   |  | 18% (135)  | 18% (135)   |  |  |  |
|       | Pro   | blem-Solving Process t   | to Increase Stude                                      | ncrease Student Achievement   |  |  |  |
|       | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |  |  |
| 1     | Student medical concerns, transportation, and parent non-compliance | Teachers will monitor students with an increasingly high number of absences.   | Teacher and attendance team leader                     | Attendance log sheet  | Teacher records and district data  |  |  |
| 2     | Parent non-compliance   | As soon as a student is absent for 10 days in a 90 day period, an Attendance Study Team meeting will be held to determine the cause of the absences. | Attendance Study<br>Team Leader                        | The School District Of<br>Palm Beach County<br>provides forms that are<br>to be used to conduct<br>an Attendance Study<br>Team meeting. | A record of all<br>Attendance<br>Study Team<br>meetings will be<br>kept on file. |  |  |
| 3     | Consistent monitoring of tardies                                    | Continue to implement tardy policy for students who have excessive tardies   | Assistant Principa                                     | Classroom attendance<br>roster  | Daily attendance<br>roster   |  |  |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
|---|------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|

| How to effectively communicate with parents | K-5 | Administration | School-wide |  | conference | Administration<br>and Attendance<br>Liaison |
|---|-----|----------------|-------------|--|------------|---|
|---|-----|----------------|-------------|--|------------|---|

#### Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          | -              | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | ent                      |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

# Suspension Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Suspension     Suspension Goal #1:  | By June 2013, in-school suspensions will decrease by 2%.     |  |  |
| 2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions  | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions                |  |  |
| 3% (21)   | 1% (8)   |  |  |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School   | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-<br>School     |  |  |
| 2% (18)   | 1% (8)   |  |  |
| 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions  | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School<br>Suspensions         |  |  |
| 7% (55)   | 4% (30)  |  |  |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of-<br>School   | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-<br>of-School |  |  |

| 7% ( | 36)  |  | 3% (20)  | 3% (20)  |                             |  |
|------|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------|--|
|      | Prol   | olem-Solving Process t   | to Increase Stude                                      | nt Achievement   |                             |  |
|      | Anticipated Barrier                              | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy           | Evaluation Tool             |  |
| 1    | momentum of program                              | Positive Behavior<br>Support Program<br>through Single School<br>Culture to include<br>school climate,<br>discipline, academics,<br>and behavior | Assistant Principal                                    | Monthly meetings will<br>review student referrals<br>and staff surveys | Staff surveys               |  |
| 2    | Inconsistent implementation by parents and staff | Create PBS matrix for behavior and setting school expectations   | PBS Committee  | Monthly meetings will review student referrals and staff surveys       | Staff surveys               |  |
| 3    | Inconsistent implementation by staff             | School-wide<br>activities/events for<br>anti-bullying protocols  | PBS Committee  | Monthly meetings will review referrals                                 | Referrals and staff surveys |  |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus  | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring             | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| SWPBC will provide training on major and minor incidences and conduct monthly meetings on referal data and interventions | K-5                    | SwPBS Team                                | K-5   | Once a month<br>during faculty<br>meetings                                     | Once a month PBS<br>team will meet to<br>review data | Administration   |

### Suspension Budget:

| Evidence-based Program  | n(s)/Material(s)         |                |                     |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy                | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                 | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                         |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology              |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy                | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                 | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                         |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developmer | nt                       |                |                     |
| Strategy                | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                 | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |

|          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Other    |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|          |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

# Parent Involvement Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

|                 | d on the analysis of parered of improvement:   | nt involvement data, and  | I reference to "Guid                                   | ding Questions", identify a                                  | and define areas                       |
|-----------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1. Pa           | rent Involvement   |   |  |  |  |
| *Plea<br>partio | nt I nvolvement Goal #7 ase refer to the percenta<br>cipated in school activitie<br>plicated.                                    | ge of parents who   | By June 2013, increase by 5%                           | parent involvement in all<br>6.                              | grades will                            |
| 2012            | 2 Current Level of Parer   | nt Involvement:   | 2013 Expecte   | d Level of Parent Invol                                      | vement:                                |
| two s           | 2012, 65% of our paren<br>school-wide activities, as<br>is and conference notes.   | evidenced on sign-in  | By June 2013,  | 70% of our families will pol-wide activities.                | participate in at                      |
|                 | Prob   | olem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Stude                                       | nt Achievement   |  |
|                 | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Too                         |
| 1               | Parent attendance<br>varies based on<br>topic/interest of event  | Increase teacher communication with parents to a minimum to once a month including school wide morning, evening and weekend events.   | Parent Liaison   | Collect feedback<br>surveys from parents<br>and teachers     | Parent and teacher survey              |
| 2               | Enrollment limitations   | Continue affording our parents with language and educational studies (adult ed.)  | Assistant Principal<br>and Parent Liaison              |  | District Parent<br>survey              |
| 3               | Parent volunteers will<br>be encouraged to assist<br>students or offer<br>support to classroom<br>teachers on a weekly<br>basis. | Plan and implement family hands-on training event that supports and provides strategies to use at home for students to increase academic achievement in the area of math, science & reading in the parents home language. |  | surveys from parents   | Parent survey<br>and sign-in shee      |
| 4               | Sudden event notices<br>may not be timely for<br>parents   | Send home parent invitations of events in English Creole, and/or Spanish and send reminders using the Parent Link Call Outs prior to events.  | Teachers and<br>CLF's                                  | Collect feeback surveys from parents                         | Parent<br>Attendance Sign<br>in sheets |
|                 | Adequate Parent attendance   | ESOL PLC meetings will be scheduled a minimum   | ESOL Coordinator                                       | Collect feedback surveys from parents                        | Parent<br>Attendance sign              |

| 5  |  | of twice per year   |                                      |  | in sheets  |
|----|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| 6  | Availability of Resource<br>Center for parents | Encourage and increase<br>the use of the Family<br>Resource Center  | Parent Liaison and<br>Administration | Collect and analyze surveys              | Parent sign-in<br>attendance<br>sheets                                   |
| 7  | Adequate parent attendance                     | Annual meeting will be held in order to give parents an explanation of Title 1 and "Parents' Right to Know" which includes school-wide test results and notification of teacher and paraprofessional qualifications | Administration                       | Collect feedback survey<br>from parents  | Parent sign-in<br>attendance<br>sheets                                   |
| 8  | Adequate parent attendance                     | Once a month a volunteer orientation meeting will be held for our parent/community and business partners. Morning and evening hours will be available.  | Volunteer<br>Coordinator             | VIPS sign-on<br>documentation            | Parent sign-in<br>sheet and<br>documentation of<br>volunteering<br>hours |
| 9  | SAC attendance                                 | Monthly, the SAC will meet to evaluate school-wide assessments (FCAT,FAIR, SRI, Diagnostics) to design and implement changes to the SWP.  | SAC Chair and<br>Title I Contact     | Approval of strategy by<br>SAC members   | SAC Meeting<br>Minutes   |
| 10 | SAC Attendance                                 | Annually, the SAC will evaluate the Parent/Studen/Teacher Compact, Parental Involvement Plan, SAC By-Laws, Title I Surveys, and make necessary recommendations to align to the SIP                                  | SAC Chair and<br>Title I Contact     | Approval of evaluation<br>by SAC members | SAC Meeting<br>Minutes   |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus                                | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring                 | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Topics<br>related to<br>improving<br>the family-<br>school<br>relationship | K-5                    | Administrators                         | School-wide   | Early release,<br>faculty meetings  | conference notes,<br>phone logs,<br>attendance<br>sheets | Administration   |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) |                          |                |                     |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy                              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |

| Technology  |                          |                |                         |
|---|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy  | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data   | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|   |                          | -              | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Professional Development  |                          |                |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data   | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|   |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Other   |                          |                |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| Provide enrichment books,<br>refreshments for training, child<br>care and materials such as<br>paper, ink, and dictionaries | Supplies                 | Title I        | \$4,096.27              |
|   |                          |                | Subtotal: \$4,096.27    |
|   |                          |                | Grand Total: \$4,096.27 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

## Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis | of school data, iden | tify and define areas in ne                               | eed of improvement:  |                 |  |  |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---|--|-----------------|--|--|
| 1. STEM               |                      |   |  |                 |  |  |
| STEM Goal #1:         |                      |   |  |                 |  |  |
|                       | Problem-Solvir       | ng Process to Increase S                                  | Student Achievemen   | t               |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy             | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |
| No Data Submitted     |                      |   |  |                 |  |  |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

 ${\it Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.}$ 

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g. ,<br>PLC,subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |  |  |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted                           |                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### STEM Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

## Additional Goal(s)

## Appreciation of Multicultural Diversity Goal:

| г   |   |   |   |   |  |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|
|   | Based on the analysis of student achievement dat<br>n need of improvement for the following group:                        | a, and  | reference to  | o "Guiding Que  | estions", identify and                                       | d define areas  |
| 1   | I. Appreciation of Multicultural Diversity Goal   |   | Maintain o  | r increase the  | number of multicult  | ural activities   |
| F   | Appreciation of Multicultural Diversity Goal #1   | :   |   |   | nonthly school-wide  |   |
| 2   | 2012 Current level:   |   | 2013 Exp  | ected level:  |  |   |
| S   | Based on last years data, Forest Hill Elementary sponsored 4 multicultural like trainings/events through the school year. | oughout   | 7 out of 9 months Forest Hill will present and/or engage parents in diverse appreciation presentations. |   |  |   |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |   |   |   |   |  |   |
|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Stı   | rategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation<br>Tool  |
| 1   | Online Professional Development at http://www.palmbeachschools.org/Multicultureal   | K-5   |   | Title I<br>Contact  | Staff Surveys  | Assistant<br>Principal and<br>Principal   |
| 2   | Limited multicultural books available in classrooms   | to incluand factorial that and culting the contraction of the current | om libraries ude fiction ctual texts e gendr lture c through e of ltural                                | Reading<br>Coach  | Review lesson<br>plans                                       | Review<br>classroom<br>libraries and<br>reading<br>resource<br>room<br>collection of<br>multicultural<br>diversity. |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|   |                        | Ν                                      | lo Data Submitted  | d  |  |  |

Budget:

| Strategy                 | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data                  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology               |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy                 | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Development |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy                 | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                    |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy                 | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                          |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Appreciation of Multicultural Diversity Goal(s)

### FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based P  | rogram(s)/Material(s)  | December of  |                |                      |
|---|--|--|----------------|----------------------|
| Goal  | Strategy   | Description of<br>Resources  | Funding Source | Available Amoun      |
| No Data   | No Data  | No Data  | No Data        | \$0.00               |
|   |  |  |                | Subtotal: \$0.0      |
| echnology   |  | Decembring   |                |                      |
| Goal  | Strategy   | Description of Resources   | Funding Source | Available Amoun      |
| Reading   | On-line subscription of<br>Reading resource - A-Z<br>Kids, RAZ Kids, Science<br>Kids   | On-line reading subscription   | Title I        | \$6,000.00           |
| Reading   | Provides nonverbal students with communication, speech and handwriting opportunities, video models of appropriate social situations to help them learn appropriate ways to interact with others. | iPads 2 (21 count)   | Title I        | \$10,000.00          |
| Reading   | Provides nonverbal students with communication, speech and handwriting opportunities, video models of appropriate social situations to help them learn appropriate ways to interact with others. | iPad screen protectors   | Title I        | \$46.00              |
|   |  |  |                | Subtotal: \$16,046.0 |
| Professional Deve   | elopment   |  |                |                      |
| Goal  | Strategy   | Description of<br>Resources  | Funding Source | Available Amoun      |
| Reading   | Provide Total<br>Curriculum approach to<br>reading frameworks  | Provide Total<br>Curriculum approach to<br>reading frameworks-<br>Reading Resource<br>salary     | Title I        | \$67,588.00          |
| Reading   | Effective<br>implementation of the<br>Instructional Focus<br>Calendar, standards<br>and FCIM   | LTM Facilitator part itme salary   | Title I        | \$31,822.00          |
| Reading   | Provide reports to<br>teachers for data<br>analysis  | Color printer for EDW<br>Reports   | Title I        | \$1,500.00           |
| Reading   | Staff will attend Reader's/Writer's Workshop Institute at Columbia University to implement Reader's/Writer's Workshop  | Conference<br>registration, travel,<br>hotel and meals   | Title I        | \$9,000.00           |
| Reading   | Professional reading to<br>support reading<br>comprehension skills,<br>teacher evaluation and<br>Common Core   | The Art and Science of<br>Teaching, Pathways to<br>Common Core and 7<br>Keys to<br>Comprehension | Title I        | \$3,000.00           |
| Reading   | Professional<br>development for dual<br>language teachers  | Dual Language<br>Registration Workshop   | Title I        | \$200.00             |
| Reading Coach will attend Reader's/Writer's Workshop Institute Reading Coaches training at Columbia University to implement Reader's/Writer's Workshop ESOL Teachers will |  | Conference<br>registration, travel,<br>hotel and meals   | Title I        | \$5,000.00           |

| Reading            | attend 8th Annual<br>Language Conference   | Conference registration  | Title 1        | \$100.00                  |
|--------------------|--|--|----------------|---------------------------|
| Reading            | Substitutes for<br>professional<br>development training  | Substitutes  | Title 1        | \$1,700.00                |
| 0.1                |  |  |                | Subtotal: \$119,910.0     |
| Other              |  |  |                |                           |
| Goal               | Strategy   | Description of<br>Resources  | Funding Source | Available Amount          |
| Reading            | Chart paper, student folders, post it notes and baskets for classroom libraries to support Reader's Workshop model   | Classroom Supplies   | Title I        | \$1,600.00                |
| Reading            | Effective implementation of the Instructional Focus Calendar, standards, Fundations Reading Program, SRA Reading mastery, AIMSWeb, LLI Reading Kits, Reader's/Writer's Workshop Kits (Units of Study Reading Bundles) and FCIM | Staff Development<br>Supplies  | Title I        | \$1,030.00                |
| Reading            | After school tutorial will be provided to 3-5 students   | Tutorial   | Title I        | \$12,800.00               |
| Reading            | Reading carpets,<br>bookshelves and book<br>baskets for shared<br>reading and reader's<br>workshop   | Carpet, bookshelves,<br>book baskets   | Title I        | \$9,000.00                |
| Reading            | Classroom libraries -<br>Books for instruciton to<br>build on Reader's<br>Workshop Curriculum  | Classroom libraries  | Title I        | \$41,000.00               |
| Reading            | Units of Study Reading<br>and Writing Bundles for<br>Reader's Workshop   | Classroom Supplies   | Title I        | \$2,400.00                |
| Reading            | Reading/Writing<br>Summer school tutorial<br>for students in grades<br>K-2   | Tutorial   | Title I        | \$11,000.00               |
| Reading            | After school tutorial will<br>be provided to 3-5<br>students   | Supplemental<br>resources for tutorial-<br>Curriculum Associates -<br>STAMS and Florida<br>Ready | Title 1        | \$3,800.00                |
| Mathematics        | Provide Math tutorial<br>and enrichment<br>program after school  | Part-time in system salary for teachers  | Title I        | \$10,000.00               |
| Science            | Afterschool Science tutorial for students in grade 5.  | Science Tutorial   | Title I        | \$2,100.00                |
| Writing            | Afterschool Writing tutorial for students in grade 4.  | Salary for tutorial teachers   | Title I        | \$2,100.00                |
| Parent Involvement | Provide enrichment<br>books, refreshments<br>for training, child care<br>and materials such as<br>paper, ink, and<br>dictionaries  | Supplies   | Title I        | \$4,096.27                |
|                    |  |  |                | Subtotal: \$100,926.27    |
|                    |  |  |                | Grand Total: \$236,882.27 |

## Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

| jn Priority | jn Focus | jn Prevent | jn NA |  |
|-------------|----------|------------|-------|--|
|             |          |            |       |  |

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 9/14/2012)

### School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Projected use of SAC Funds | Amount     |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Classroom libraries        | \$4,000.00 |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The SAC has an imprtant function for the success of Forest Hill Elementary. Listed below are some of the activities for this year.

- 1. Support family events throughout the school year
- 2. Reach out to the community to obtain more business partners and volunteers
- 3. Monitor SIP

## AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

## SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Palm Beach School District<br>FOREST HILL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL<br>2010-2011 |           |           |         |         |                           |   |  |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|--|
|  | Reading   | Math      | Writing | Science | Grade<br>Points<br>Earned |   |  |
| % Meeting High<br>Standards (FCAT<br>Level 3 and Above)                  | 80%       | 77%       | 96%     | 57%     |                           | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |  |
| % of Students Making<br>Learning Gains                                   | 75%       | 63%       |         |         |                           | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2  |  |
| Adequate Progress of<br>Lowest 25% in the<br>School?                     | 70% (YES) | 61% (YES) |         |         |                           | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.  |  |
| FCAT Points Earned   |           |           |         |         | 579                       |   |  |
| Percent Tested = 99%   |           |           |         |         |                           | Percent of eligible students tested   |  |
| School Grade*  |           |           |         |         | А                         | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students<br>tested   |  |

| Palm Beach School Dis<br>FOREST HILL ELEMENT<br>2009-2010 |           | DL        |         |         |                           |   |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
|   | Reading   | Math      | Writing | Science | Grade<br>Points<br>Earned |   |
| % Meeting High<br>Standards (FCAT<br>Level 3 and Above)   | 76%       | 73%       | 87%     | 50%     | 286                       | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making<br>Learning Gains                    | 73%       | 60%       |         |         | 133                       | 3 ways to make gains:  Improve FCAT Levels  Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5  Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2   |
| Adequate Progress of<br>Lowest 25% in the<br>School?      | 75% (YES) | 52% (YES) |         |         | 127                       | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.  |
| FCAT Points Earned  |           |           |         |         | 546                       |   |
| Percent Tested = 100%                                     |           |           |         |         |                           | Percent of eligible students tested   |
| School Grade*   |           |           |         |         | А                         | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested  |