# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



# DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

1

#### 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

#### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### **School Information**

School Name:1131 Eisenhower Elementary School	District Name: Pinellas County Schools
Principal: Sandra Downes	Superintendent: John A. Stewart, Ed.D.
SAC Chair: Christine York-Amstutz	Date of School Board Approval: Pending: October 19, 2012

#### **Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data(Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **Administrators**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Sandra Downes	Master of Ed Leadership	9	13	2011-2012 school grade of a "C", 50% @ level 3 or higher in reading, 49% at a level 3 or higher in Math. 66% making learning gains in reading, 75% learning gains for lowest 25%, 63% making gains in math and 75% of the lowest 25% making learning gains
Assistant Principal	Thomas Allen	Masters of Ed Leadership	11	13	2011-2012 school grade of a "C", 50% @ level 3 or higher in reading, 49% at a level 3 or higher in Math. 66% making learning gains in reading, 75% learning gains for lowest 25%, 63% making gains in math and 75% of the lowest 25% making learning gains

#### **Instructional Coaches**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
RTI-All Content Areas	Allison Sisco	Elem. ED, Educational Leadership	8	8	2011-2012 school grade of a "C", 50% @ level 3 or higher in reading, 49% at a level 3 or higher in Math. 66% making learning gains in reading, 75% learning gains for lowest 25%, 63% making gains in math and 75% of the lowest 25% making learning gains
Reading	Sharon Earle	Masters of ESOI, Bilingual	2nd	1	2011-2012 school grade of a "C", 50% @ level 3 or higher in reading, 49% at a level 3 or higher in Math. 66% making learning gains in reading, 75% learning gains for lowest 25%, 63% making gains in math and 75% of the lowest 25% making learning gains
Reading	Michelle Gallagher	Masters in Elementary Language Arts	2nd	6	2011-2012 school grade of a "C", 50% @ level 3 or higher in reading, 49% at a level 3 or higher in Math. 66% making learning gains in reading, 75% learning gains for lowest 25%, 63% making gains in math and 75% of the lowest 25% making learning gains

#### **<u>Highly Effective Teachers</u>**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

ſ	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
	1. Provide new staff member and new grade level teacher a mentor.	Principal	6/10/13
	2. District new teacher training	Principal	Ongoing
ſ	3. Monthly site based new teacher/new to grade level training	Principal	Ongoing

#### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective. \*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
N/A	

#### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	%ESOL Endorsed Teachers
51	3.92% (2)	13.73% (7)	35.29%(18)	47.06%(24)	31.37% (16)		9.80% (5)	1.96 (1)	78.43%(40)

#### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Monica Vilardi	Stephanie Quinn	District Trained mentor closest to grade level.	Observation of mentee's instruction and providing feedback; Planning lessons
Natalie Henry	Paula Dangler	District Trained mentor closest to grade level.	with mentee; Connecting lesson activities to content standards;
			Discussing student progress and analyzing student work; Modeling or co-teaching lessons

#### **Additional Requirements**

#### **Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only**

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

#### Title I, Part A

Title I, Part A funds are utilized, in conjunction with district operating funds and other federal resources, to support teaching and learning, parental engagement, and professional development. Title I services are coordinated and integrated with other resources through the Division of Teaching and Learning, Student Assignment, and Research and Accountability.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

NA in Pinellas

Title I, Part D

The district receives Title I, Part D funds which provide transition services from alternative education programs to zoned schools. In addition, a portion of Title I, Part A funds is reserved for services to neglected and delinquent students. Funds are targeted to support continuous education services to students in neglected and delinquent facilities through tutoring, instructional materials and resources, and technology.

Title II

The district receives funds to increase student achievement through professional development for teachers and administrators. Title II funds provide math and science coaches, as required by Differentiated Accountability, in some of the district's lowest performing schools. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide additional reading and math coaches in targeted schools based on FCAT results.

Title III

Title III funds provide educational materials, bilingual translators, summer programs, and other support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners. Bilingual translators provide assistance with parent workshops and dissemination of information in various languages for Title I schools.

Title X- Homeless

The district receives funds to provide resources for students for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is also reserved to provide services to homeless students (social workers, a resource teacher, tutoring, and technology).

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI funds are coordinated with Title I, Part A funds to provide extended learning opportunities for students before/during/after school and during the summer.

Violence Prevention Programs

Nutrition Programs

Title I coordinates with district food services to provide breakfast and lunch to students in Title I summer extended learning camps.

Housing Programs

Head Start

Title I, Part A funds are used to provide Pre-Kindergarten to Kindergarten transition services. Title I schools coordinate with staff from public and private preschool programs, including Head Start, to prepare students for a successful start to school. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide classes for 3 year olds at targeted elementary schools to support early literacy.

Adult Education

Career and Technical Education

Job Training

Other

#### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Sandra Downes, Principal, Thomas Allen, Asst. Principal, Allison Sisco, RTI coach, Vickie Graham, Learning/Technology specialist, Sarah Price, Guidance, Kelly Moore, Psychologist, Vicki Koller, Social Worker, Stephanie Whitaker, AP Intern.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The RTI coach is the facilitator of the meetings and coordinates and schedules the teachers who submit students for review by the team. The classroom teacher works with the team to analyze data and make suggestions for interventions and other supplemental support for the student(s).

-Facilitator – generates agenda and leads team discussions

-Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) – assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data

-Technology Specialist – brokers technology necessary to manage and display data

-Recorder/Note Taker – documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access

-Time Keeper -helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda

#### Meeting time: The MTSS Team meets every Monday morning at 7:30 am with a specific agenda.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? The SBLT, or School Based Leadership Team, will be responsible for managing and coordinating the efforts between all grade level and specialist teams as well as reviewing and revising the School Improvement Plan on an ongoing basis.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. Tier 1: FAIR, FCAT, OPM, Formative Assessments, FCIM assessments, Positive Behavior System Data Tier 2: Ongoing Progress monitoring every two weeks specific to interventions for all subject areas.

Tier 3: AIMSWEB

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The training for MTSS takes place within SBLT meetings and Team Leader meetings. Team leaders train grade level teams via PLC and grade level planning meetings. Additional training will be provided at staff meetings and curriculum meetings as necessary.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

PDSA process is incorporated into the weekly MTSS agenda to determine what next steps or supports the team needs.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Sandra Downes, Principal, Allison Sisco, RTI Coach, Vickie Graham, Curriculum specialist, Michelle Gallagher, District Reading Coach, Teachers: Rachael Treiser, Catalina Andujar, Christine Amstutz, Paula Ciardullo, Vicky Tarantino, Paula Stephens, Donna Carone, Rosanne Segura

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

- Support for text complexity
- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
  - o Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
  - Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
  - o Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
  - o Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
  - Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)

• Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Support for text complexity

- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects

#### **Public School Choice**

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Kindergarten Teachers will hold an orientation for incoming students and their parents prior to the beginning of the school year. Readiness skills will be emphasized and good choices for academic and social characteristics will be presented. Materials will be available, as well as pamphlets covering a variety of helpful parenting subjects ranging from parenting skills, helping with homework, students with disabilities and what to expect at a parent teacher conference.

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

#### **Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievemen				
Based on the analysis of student achieved and reference to "Guiding Questions", id define areas in need of improvement for the group:	entify and	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Improve current level of performance (24%)	Insufficient standard based instruction crease el 1&2 m	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans	

		1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	teacher	*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1a.2. Walkthrough
	1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
Ib. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.         Reading Goal #1b:       2012 Current Level of         Improve current level of       Performance:*	Insufficient standard based	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1b.2. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and	1b.2. Walkthrough

performance N/A Decrease level 1,2,3	3			expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
	1b.2. 1b.3.		1b.2. 1b.3.	1b.2. 1b.3.	1b.2. 1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify an define areas in need of improvement for the followi group:	l l ng	Strategy	for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or abo         Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in reading.         Reading Goal #2a:       2012 Current Level of       2013Expect Level of         Improve current level of performance       24% (76)       Increase level 4 an by 5%	Lack of differentiation of ad instruction	assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	AP/Principal who evaluates teacher		2a.1. Walkthrough
	2a.2	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.

		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
<b>2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.</b> Reading Goal #2b:       2012 Current Level of       2013Expected Level of         Improve current level of performance       Performance:*       Performance:*         N/A       Increase level 7 by 5%		Lack of differentiation of instruction	assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b1. Walkthrough
		2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
and reference to "Gui	of student achievement data, ding Questions", identify and improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Improve current level of	0	3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable

			3a.2 3a.3.	3a.2. 3a.3.	3a.2. 3a.3.	assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 3a.2. 3a.3.	3a.2. 3a.3.
Improve current level of	ents making 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	Learning 2013Expected Level of	3b.1. Lack of student engagement	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis and reference to "Guid define areas in need of	ding Questions"	, identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

4a.FCAT 2.0:Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.         Reading Goal #4a:       2012 Current Level of       2013Expecte Level of         Improve current level of performance       2017 Current (100%)       2013Expecte Performance		4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
				appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	that support core instructional goals and objectives		communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs
	4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.

4b. Florida Alternate Assessment:         Percentage of students in Lowest 25%         making learning gains in reading.         Reading Goal #4b:         Improve current level of performance         N/A         100%	4b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	Instruction	4b.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
	4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	that support core instructional goals and objectives		4b.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	4b.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs
	4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016 2016-2017

Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math						
Performance Target 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six years schools will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Reading Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	69	75	82	88	94	100
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		aluation Tool
Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.		5b.1. Differentiate Instruction			5b.1. Lesson Plans &	a Walkthrough

Improve current level of performance	Level of Performance:* White: 46 30% Black: 27 18% Hispanic: 72 47% Asian: 3	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of all subgroups to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of all subgroups by 10%					
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis and reference to "Guid define areas in need of i	ding Questions"	, identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Langua making satisfactory Reading Goal #5C: Improve current level of	age Learner y progress in 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 35%(39)	<b>reading.</b> 2013Expected Level of	Lack of differentiation of instruction		AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

Based on the analysis and reference to "Guid define areas in need of i	ling Questions	", identify and		5C.2. 5C.3. Strategy	5C.2. 5C.3. Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		5C.2. 5C.3. Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with D making satisfactory Reading Goal #5D: Improve current level of performance	Disabilities progress i 2012 Current Level of Performanc e: * 23% (9)	n reading. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher		5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
			5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2.	5D.2. 5D.3.
						5D.3.	
Based on the analysis and reference to "Guid define areas in need of i su	ling Questions	", identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

<b>5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b> Reading Goal #5E:       2012         Improve current level of performance       2012 <b>49% (116)</b> 100% of economically disadvantage d students will learning gain         An increase in proficiency by 10%		Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3

# **Reading Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities										
			Please note that each strategy does not	require a professional development	t or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	D Content/Topic Grade PD Facilitator PD Participants Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., fraquency of Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Response										
Teachers College Running Record training	All	Sisco	All Instructional staff and interventionist	August 15, 2012	PLC, Data meetings, team meetings	Sisco					
Intervention Rodeo	All	Sisco/ Graham	All Instructional staff and interventionist	September 14, 2012	PLC meetings	Sisco/Graham					
Reading Common Core	All	Downes, Allen, Sisco, Graham	All Instructional staff	Ongoing	PLC meetings, Walk-throughs	Downes					
Core reading Curriculum	All	Michelle Gallagher, Sharon Earle	All Instructional staff	Ongoing	PLC's, Grade level planning,	Downes					

#### **Reading Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-funded activities/ma	aterials and exclude district-funded activities	materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
LLI Intervention	Intervention teacher	Title I	57,829.69
Higher leveled and interest text.	Books and periodicals (Time for kids, Scholastic, etc)	Title I	5,000
Sunshine State Reading Books	Books	Title I	4,000
	·		Subtotal
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Integration of technology into the curriculum via smart boards, educational software and internet sites.	Technology Specialist	Title I	20,172.47 (33.3% of salary)
			Subtotal
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Analyze / monitor data, model best practices, support for targeted interventions	RTI coach	Title I	29,208.46 (50% of salary)
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Differentiated reading instruction for 30 mins of reading 4x's per week.	<b>4</b> Title I Hourly teachers that support small group intervention in classroom.	Title I	58,752.96
	•		Subtotal
			Total: \$174,963.58

End of Reading Goals

#### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELL	A Goals		Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	e Language Acquisition	
	Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring profic	ient in Listening/Speaking.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
CELLA Goal #1: Improve current level of performance Number CELLA tested: 239	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: 33% 79	Lack of differentiation of instruction	Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	Walkthrough
		2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Differentiate Instruction	2.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher		2.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade non-ELL	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Improve current level of	 Insufficient standard	2.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	2.2. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher		2.2. Walkthrough
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to ne ELL students.	on- Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Students scoring proficient in Writing.         CELLA Goal #3:         Improve current level of performance         23%         (54)	3.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	3.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	3.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	Determine Lesson:	
	2.2. 2.3	2.2. 2.3	2.2. 2.3		2.2. 2.3

#### **CELLA Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fund	ed activities/materials and exclude district-fu	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate	erials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Imagine Learning	Computer based Program			
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of CELLA Goals

### **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

				Problem-Solvir	ng Process to Increas	e Student Achievement	
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding areas in need of impro	Questions", iden	tify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	H1o: Level of Level of		1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction		1a. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	*Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
	rove current level of 30% (95) Decrease in				*Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson		
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction		1a.2. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	1a.2.	1a.2. Walkthrough

			1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate As	accompante Studenta	1b.2.	1b.2.		supports to access higher order questions and tasks 1b.2.	1b.2.
scoring at Levels 4, 5, an <u>Mathematics Goal</u> #1b: 2012 Leve	and 6 in mathematics.         2 Current       2013Expected         el of       Performance: *         Yerformance: *       Performance: *         A       Decrease in level 1,2 and 3	Insufficient standard based instruction	Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate * Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. * Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	Walkthrough
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.

			11.2	11.2	11.2	11.2	11.2
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding C areas in need of improv	Questions", identify and	define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a.FCAT 2.0:Studen Achievement Levels <u>Mathematics Goal</u> #2a: Improve current level of performance			2a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction 2a.2.		2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students 2a.2.	2a.1. Walkthrough 2a.2.
			2a.2. 2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
<b>2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.</b> Mathematics Goal       2012 Current Level of Performance: *       2013Expected Level of Performance: *         Improve current level of performance       100% (1)       Increase in level 7 by 5%		2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal	2b1. Walkthrough	

			262. 28	o.2.		and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points 2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3 2t	p.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Qu areas in need of improve	uestions", identif	y and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
#3a:	athematics. 2012 Current Level of Performance: * 60% (104)	-	3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction		Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable

		3a.2. 3a.3.	3a.2. 3a.3.	3a.2. 3a3.	understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 3a.2. 3a.3.	3a.2. 3a.3.
<b>3b. Florida Alternate Assession of students making Learning mathematics.</b> Mathematics Goal #3b:       2012 Cur Level of Performa         Improve current level of performance       100% (1	g Gains in          rent       2013Expected         Level of       Performance: *         Performance: *       Performance: *         IO0% of       students will         make       learning         gains       gains		3b.1. Differentiate Instruction 3b.2.	3b.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher 3b.2.	3b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 3b.2.	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.

reference to "Guiding C	f student achievement data Questions", identify and de ement for the following gr	fine	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
#4a:	0	of instruction <u>ance: *</u> of ts will	4a.1. n Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	*Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together	and intervention teachers communicating and planning;

			4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	*Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses 4a.3.	4a.3
#4b:	t 25% making s. 2012 Current Level of Performance: * 100% (1)	g learning	Lack of differentiation of instruction	4b.1. Differentiate Instruction	4b.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
				4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	4b.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives	and intervention teachers communicating and planning;

	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	*Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses 4b.3.	4b.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Mathematics Goal #5A: Improve current level of performance	65	68	72			81
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluat	tion Tool
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.Mathematics Goal #5B:2012 Current Level of Performance: *2013Expected Level of Performance: *	5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	Content materials are	5b.1. Lesson Plans Walkthrough	&

Improve current level of performance	White: 31% 45 Black: 14% 20 Hispanic: 50% 72 Asian: 2% 1 American Indian: 1% 1	100% of student subgroups will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%	Lack of differentiation of instruction			*Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
			56.5.	JD.J.	55.5.	55.5.	56.5.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding ( areas in need of improver	Questions", iden	tify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Languag making satisfactory Mathematics Goal #5C: Improve current level of performance	,	mathematics. 2013Expected Level of		5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and	5C.2. 5C.3. Anticipated Barrier	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2.		5C.2. 5C.3. Evaluation Tool
reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Strategy	for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001
<b>5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b> <u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5D:         Improve current level of performance         28% (11)         100% of SWD students will make learning gains         An increase in proficiency by 10%	Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough 5D.2.
	5D.2.	סט.2.	SD.2.	עס.2.	5D.2.

		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
reference to "Guiding Qu	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	advantaged students not         rogress in mathematics.         2012 Current       2013Expected         Level of       Performance: *         Performance: *       Performance: a         48% (113)       100% of         Economical       ly         Disadvanta       ged         students       will make         learning       gains         An increase       in         proficiency       by 10%	Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	evaluates teacher	differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		5E.2	5E.2		5E.2	5E.2
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				
Math Common Core training.	K-5	Learning spec and grade level team leader	All	PLC meetings, curriculum updates and meetings held weekly and monthly.	PLC and curriculum meeting notes and updates	Principal				
Book study: Uncovering student thinking in Mathematics	K-5	Various	School wide	PLC meetings	PLC and book study minutes	Team Leaders				
MFAS-CCSS Study	K-1	Learning Spec, Principal	(4) k teachers, (3) $1^{st}$ teachers	Weekly	Weekly minutes, PLC	Principal				

#### Math Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activiti	ies/materials and exclude district fund	ed activities/materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Marilyn BurnsDo the Math	Small group intervention sets		0
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Integration of technology into the curriculum via smart boards, educational software and internet sites.	Technology Specialist	Title I	20,172.47 (33.3% of salary)
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Analyze / monitor data, model best practices, support for targeted interventions	RTI coach	Title I	29,208.46 (50% of salary)
			Subtotal
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Revised April 29, 2011

Differentiated math instruction for 30 mins of	<b>2</b> Title I Hourly teachers that support small	Title I	29,376.48
reading 3x's per week.	group intervention in classroom.		
Additional time to do data analysis and writing of specific lesson plans and formative assessments.	Classroom teachers and coaches	Title I	2906.54
			Subtotal:
			Total: 81,663.95

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

## **Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and	Elementary and Middle Science Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1a.FCAT 2.0:Students s         in science.         Science Goal #1a:         Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance: * 30% 32		Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans		
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for	1a.2. Walkthrough		

					learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade- level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	
Le	D12 Current         2013Expected           evel of         Level of           erformance: *         Performance: *		1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	1b.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans

						answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			1b.2. 1b.3.	1b.2. 1b.3.	1b.2. 1b.3.		1b.2. 1b.3.
"Guiding Questions", identi	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Achievement Levels 4 and	2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.		2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform	2b.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Teachers regularly assess	2b1. Walkthrough
Science Goal #2a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2% 2	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase the level 4 and 5 students 5%		differentiation in instruction		students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	

		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
<b>2b. Florida Alternate Ass or above Level 7 in science</b> <u>Science Goal #2b:</u> Improve current level of performance	nts scoring at 2013Expected Level of Performance: * Increase the level 7 by 5%	Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress	
		2b.2. 2b.3	2b.2. 2b.3	2b.2. 2b.3	throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points 2b.2. 2b.3	2b.2. 2b.3

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

# Science Professional Development

Profes	ssional Devel	opment (PD)	aligned with Strategies t Please note that each Strategy does not			unity (PLC)	or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Science Literacy integration	K-5	Stephanie Whitaker	School wide	Ongoing	Team planning not	es, lesson plans	Stephanie Whitaker
Science Workshop modeling/Journaling	5th	Stephanie Whitaker	5 <sup>th</sup> grade	Ongoing	Observations, exit slips, review of student journal entries, district assessments		Stephanie Whitaker
Evidence-based Progra		3)	s and exclude district-funded a				
Strategy	., .		n of Resources	Funding Source	Amount		
Quarterly science proje	ects	-	oards and project materials	Title I			
							Subtotal: \$1000.00
Technology							
Strategy Description of Resources			Funding Source		Amount		
			y Specialist	Title I		20,172.47 (50%	6 of salary)

				Subtotal: \$20,172.47
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Parent workshop	Various Science workshop materials	Title I	1000.00	
		·	·	Subtotal:
				Total: \$21,172.47

### End of Science Goals

### Writing Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

W	riting Goals			Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a. FCAT: Students         Level3.0 and higher         Writing Goal #1a:         Improve current level of         performance			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	*Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	the lesson 1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and	1a.2. Walkthrough

						expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate at 4 or higher in writ	ing.	C	Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and	1b.1. AP/Principal who evaluates teacher	*Is aligned with a course	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Writing Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level of Performance: *	2013Expected Level of Performance: *		learning goals in each lesson		standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing	
	0% Level 7 and	Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students				guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class	

				activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuse class discussion by referr back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubri that relates to the learnir goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughou the lesson	ing ic ng e t
	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

### End of Writing Goals

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
			Please note that each Strategy does no	t require a professional developm	ent or PLC activity.				
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
District writing training (Elaboration and Conventions)	4 <sup>th</sup> grade	District Coaches	4 <sup>th</sup> grade	Ongoing	Conferences/observations, Review of Journals at PLC and planning meetings.	Team leader and Principal			
Writing Rubrics and Calibration Papers	All	Team leader/ Coaches, Learning spec	All grades	Ongoing	Monthly review of writing pieces and discussions at PLC mtgs.	Principal			

### Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded	l activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materi	als(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Writers Response Journals	Composition books	Title I	200.00	
	· ·	· ·		Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
June 2012				
Rule 6A-1.099811				
Revised April 29, 2011				

	Subtotal:								
Professional Development									
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount						
			Subtotal:						
Other									
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount						
Subtotal:									
			Total: \$200.00						

# **Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Atte	endance Goa	l(s)		Problem-solvi	lving Process to Increase Attendance		
	Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance Attendance Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	Attendance Rate: *95% 2012 Current Number of Students with	2013 Expected Attendance Rate: * Greater than prior year 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 10% decrease from prior year	1.1 Lack of student engagement	1.1 Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established	Suspension Number of Students

Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) 90	2013Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) 10% decrease from prior year					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
TIPS Staff training	All staff	Social worker	School wide	Oct 2013	Staff sign in sheets	Principal		
SBLT Attendance Mtgs	Pre K-5	SOCIAL WORKER	School wide	Ongoing	Sign sheets and minutes of SBLT team meetings	Principal		

### Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	· · · · ·	· · ·	· · ·	Subtotal:
				Total:

### End of Attendance Goals

## **Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)		Problem-solvi	ng Process to Do	ecrease Suspension	
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Suspension         Suspension Goal #1: Improve current level of performance       2012Total Number of In -School       2013 Expected         4       10% decrease from prior year         2012Total Number of Suspensions       2013 Expected         4       10% decrease from prior year         2012Total Number of Students Suspended In-School       Number of Students Suspended In -School         4       10% decrease from prior year         2012Number of Out- of-School       2013 Expected Number of Suspensions         4       10% decrease from prior year         2012Number of Out- of-School       2013 Expected Number of Suspensions         4       10% decrease from prior year         2012Total Number of Suspensions       2013 Expected Number of Suspensions         4       10% decrease from prior year         2012Total Number of Suspensions       Suspensions	1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making	Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell

	<u>Suspended</u> Out- of-School					
	10% decrease from prior year					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## **Suspension Professional Development**

Profes	sional Devel	opment (PD)	aligned with Strategies t			inity (PLC)	or PD Activity
		-	Please note that each Strategy does not		nt or PLC activity.	•	-
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Book study: Teaching Children to Care	ALL	Downes/Allen	School wide	Sept 19, 2012- Ongoing monthly	Monthly strategies shared at staff meetings by grade level.		Principal
Second Step	2-5	Whitaker/Price	Instructional staff	September 2012	Lesson observations, behavior plans, RTI/B database monitoring		Principal
District Mentor Program Training	All	Sharon Henderson, Michelle Roberge	All staff not yet trained	October 2012, Ongoing dates in LMS	Sign in sheets, LMS transcripts, Check in Check out data		Principal
Suspension Budg		,					
Include only school-b	ased funded ac	tivities/material	s and exclude district funded a	ctivities /materials.			
Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Materials(	s)					
Strategy		Description	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
Technology							
Strategy		Description	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtatal
Professional Developm	ent						Subtotal:
Strategy	iont	Description	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
Sualegy		Description	ii oi resources	Tununig Source		Anount	

Second Step	Behavior intervention kit	Title I	500.00	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total: 500.00

#### End of Suspension Goals

#### Parent Involvement Goal(s)

### Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

#### \* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involv	vement Goa	l(s)		Problem-solv	ing Process to P	arent Involvement	
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<ol> <li>Parent Involvement         Parent Involvement Goal #         *Please refer to the percent participated in school activ unduplicated.         Improve current level of parent participation at school activities         Portal logins by parents         Portal logins by parents         Output         Description:         Description</li></ol>	tage of parents		school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's	1.1. Provide frequent home- school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational progress	1.1. Principal Title I contact	1.1. Parent survey and parent questionnaires.	1.1. Survey results
i onai lognis by parents			1.2. Lack of access to the world wide web.	1.2. Invite and provide access to cpu's during the school day.	1.2. Principal Title I contact	1.2. Sign in sheets, exit slips, training evaluations.	1.2. Survey results
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# Parent Involvement Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
Reading and Math Strategies at Home	All	Coaches, Learning spec	School wide	Oct 2012, Jan 2013	Sign in sheets, Surveys, Workshop evaluations	Coaches, Learning spec			
FCAT for Families	Grades 3-5	Coaches, Learning spec	Grades 3-5	January 2013	Sign in sheets, Surveys, Workshop evaluations	Coaches, Learning spec			
Science Night	ALL	AP Intern	All grades	December 2012	Sign in sheets, Surveys, Workshop evaluations	AP Intern/Principal			

#### Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded act	ivities/materials and exclude district funded a	activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s	)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Science Night Workshop	Science workshop materials	Title I		
Reading and Math support at home trainings	Books, math manipulatives, etc.	Title I		
FCAT for Families Workshop	Copies of FCAT 2.0 sample materials	Title I		
			·	\$3,176.62
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Parent Portal Training	Computers			
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Providing light refreshments at parent trainings		Title I	1,500.00	
Central printing	Parent tip sheets and materials for instructional support.	Title I	1,000.00	
Mailings	Postage for workshop and meeting reminders.	Title I	400.00	
	·		Subt	otal: \$2,900.00
			T	otal: \$6076.62

#### End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

### Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
STEM Goal #1: The SBLT will utilize district resources to learn more about STEM and based on school needs develop a plan for next year.	*	1.1. Research practices that involve the development of math and science	1.1. School based leadership team	Meeting minutes and action plan	1.1. An increase in math and science scores		
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		

## STEM Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity												
	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.												
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring							
STEM Introduction training	All grades	Grade level teams	Classroom teachers	June 2013	Meeting minutes and sign in sheets	Principal							

### **STEM Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	ded activities /materials.		
Materials(s)			
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Subtotal:
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Subtotal:
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Subtotal:
	Materials(s)  Description of Resources  Description of Resources	Description of Resources       Funding Source         Image: Description of Resources       Funding Source         Image: Description of Resources       Funding Source	Materials(s)          Description of Resources       Funding Source       Amount         Image: I

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

### Additional Goal I Wellness (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Wellness		1.1.A: Failure to form a Healthy School Team.	1.1A: Complete Healthy Schools Program 6 Step Process	1.1.A: Healthy School Team (school administrator,	1.1.A: Completion of 6th Step of the Healthy School Program online	1.1.A: Healthy School Inventory (Evaluate Your School) online
Additional Goal #1: 2012 Current Level: * Improve current level of	2013 Expected Level: *			physical education teacher, cafeteria	(Celebrate Successes)	
performance A Data (Options): Not yet meeting	Level on Healthy	Failure to assess students and upload Being Fit Matters/Fitness gram data	1.1.B: Complete Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitness gram student assessments and upload data	(optional members –	1.1B: Compare Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitness gram student assessments results	1.1B: Being Fit Matters Statistical Report (Portal)

5% from the fall, 2012 pre assessment to the end of course post assessment.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

### Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity											
	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.											
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring						
Health Standards Training	Pre K-5	Wellness Coordinator	School-wide	Monthly early release/one PLC per grading period	Lesson Plans/PLC minutes	Principal						

## Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Evidence-based Program(s			1	
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				S-sh4a4a1
				Subtotal
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

### Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Addition	Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<ol> <li>Additional Goal: Black</li> <li>Additional Goal #1:</li> <li>There will be an increase in black student achievement</li> </ol>	2012 Current Level: * Reading level 3 and above: 18% (27) Math Level 3 and above: 14%	2013 Expected Level: *	1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	Monitoring 1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	
	(20)					*Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes		

				varying degrees of difficulty.	
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

### Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
LL	Downes/Allen	School wide			Principal			
]	Grade Level/Subject	Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator PLC Leader	Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Program         PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader         PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)         Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)           I         Downes/Allen         School wide         Sept 19, 2012- Ongoing	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.         Grade Level/Subject       PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader       PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)       Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)       Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring         L       Downes/Allen       School wide       Sept 19, 2012- Ongoing       Monthly strategies shared at staff			

## Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		I		Subtotal
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

			Subtotal:
Other Strategy			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

## Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Addition	al Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Student Engagement for Black         Students         Additional Goal #1:       2012 Current         2013 Expected		Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		Expectations are clearly and	Suspension	
There will be an increase in black student engagement	for 15%(106) of black students receiving referrals found on	Level: * Decrease the percent of Black students receiving referrals, and Receiving in school and out of school suspensions				taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established	
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Profes	sional Devel	opment (PD)	aligned with Strategies t Please note that each Strategy does not			nity (PLC) o	r PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	Please note that each Strategy does not PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-u	p/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Engagement activities. (ie: morning meetings)	All	Team Leaders	School wide	Ongoing	SBLT meeting disc discussions at		Principal
Mentor Training	All	Sharon Henderson, Michelle Roberge	All staff not yet trained	Ongoing	Mentors will be assigned American student and feedback to classroom t via PLC, SBL	d will provide eachers monthly	Principal
Additional MOU Include only school-b Evidence-based Progra	ased funded act	ivities/material	rows as needed) s and exclude district funded a	ctivities /materials.			
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtota
Technology							
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal
Professional Developn	nent						
Professional Developn Strategy	nent	Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
I	nent	Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
I	nent	Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	Subtota
I	nent	Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	Subtota

### Additional MOU III Goals Professional Development

Mentorship	Faculty and volunteers	
		Subtotal:
		Total:

### Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)		· · ·	<u> </u>	se Student Achievemen	t
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black graduation rate         Additional Goal #1:         There will be an increase in black student graduation rate         2012 Current Level: *         Level: *         Image: student graduation rate	1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT		1.1. Increase in black graduation rate
	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.

Profe	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
Book study: <i>Teaching</i> <i>Children to Care</i>	ALL	Downes/Allen	School wide		Monthly strategies shared at staff meetings by grade level.	Principal			

# Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-base	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	ded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development	t			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
June 2012	1	1		

Subtotal:
-----------

## Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additiona	al Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
There will be an increase percent	2012 Current Level :* N/A	2013 Expected Level :* Increase from prior year	1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough Professional Development includes equity and cultural responsiveness
			1.2.	1.2.		understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

## Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	I funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	ded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	· · · ·			Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

	Subtotal:
	Total:

End of Additional Goal(s)

### **Final Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total: \$ 174,963.58
Mathematics Budget	
	Total: 81,663.95
Science Budget	
	Total: \$21,672.47
Writing Budget	
	Total: \$200.00
Attendance Budget	
	Total:0
Suspension Budget	
	Total: \$500.00
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:0
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total: \$6,076.62
Additional Goals	
	Total: 0
	Grand Total: \$285,076.62

#### **Differentiated Accountability**

#### School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, *this* will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status			
Priority	Focus	Prevent	

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the Upload page

### School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

Review of school data. Information presented to members regarding strategies to raise the percentage of students meeting expectations, review of SIP and parent involvement activities.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Supplemental Instructional materials	