## FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: BOYD H. ANDERSON HIGH SCHOOL

**District Name: Broward** 

**Principal: Angel Almanzar** 

**SAC Chair: Valerie Patterson** 

**Superintendent: Robert Runcie** 

Date of School Board Approval: 12/4/12

Last Modified on: 1/16/2013



#### Gerard Robinson, Commissioner

Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

#### Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor

K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

#### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

**School Grades Trend Data** 

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

**High School Feedback Report** 

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position        | Name        | Degree(s)/<br>Certification(s)  | # of<br>Years at<br>Current<br>School | an | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
|-----------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------------|----|---|
| Assis Principal | layea Taran | MA Ed, Urban<br>Teacher Education,<br>Type 75 Certificate<br>Administration and | 2                                     | 32 | Boyd Anderson HS<br>2011-2012 Grade:<br>Reading Mastery: 29%<br>Reading Learning Gains: 52%   |

|                 |                     | Supervision<br>BA Ed, Special<br>Education   |   |   | Reading Gains Lowest 25%: 61% Math Mastery: 34% Math Learning Gains: 45% Math Gains Lowest 25%: 53% Writing Mastery: 75% Boyd Anderson HS 2010-2011 Grade: D Reading Mastery: 23% Reading Learning Gains: 36% Reading Gains Lowest 25%: 48% Math Mastery: 58% Math Learning Gains: 63% Math Gains Lowest 25%: 52% Writing Mastery: 72% Science Mastery: 21% 0% of subgroups met AYP status 2009-2010 Chicago Public School System 2008-2009 Chicago Public School System  |
|-----------------|---------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Assis Principal | Alison<br>Trautmann | Masters in Educational Leadership, Professional Certificate, Middle Grades General Science 5-9, Educational Leadership K-12 19 years in the system, 8 as an AP | 2 | 9 | Boyd Anderson HS 2011-2012 Grade: Reading Mastery: 29% Reading Learning Gains: 52% Reading Gains Lowest 25%: 61% Math Mastery: 34% Math Learning Gains: 45% Math Gains Lowest 25%: 53% Writing Mastery: 75% Stranahan HS 2010-2011 Grade: D Reading Mastery: 41% Reading Learning Gains: 45% Reading Gains Lowest 25%: 45% Math Mastery: 75% Math Hastery: 75% Math Learning Gains: 74% Math Gains Lowest 25%: 58% Writing Mastery: 84% Science Mastery: 39% 0% of subgroups met AYP status Stranahan HS 2009-2010 Grade: B Reading Mastery: 43% Reading Learning Gains: 48% Reading Gains Lowest 25%: 36% Math Mastery: 74% Math Learning Gains: 73% Math Gains Lowest 25%: 56% Writing Mastery: 90% Science Mastery: 37% 0% of subgroups met AYP status |
| Assis Principal | Linda<br>Humphrey   | Masters in Exceptional Student Education Ed Leadership K-12 Reading Endorsement ESOL Endorsement   |   | 2 | Boyd Anderson HS 2011-2012 Grade: Reading Mastery: 29% Reading Learning Gains: 52% Reading Gains Lowest 25%: 61% Math Mastery: 34% Math Learning Gains: 45% Math Gains Lowest 25%: 53% Writing Mastery: 75% Hallandale HS 2010-2011 Grade: pending Reading Mastery: 25%   |

2 of 75

|                 |                   |   |   |   | Reading Learning Gains: 42% Reading Gains Lowest 25%: 53% Math Mastery: 64% Math Learning Gains: 69% Math Gains Lowest 25%: 56% Writing Mastery: 78% Science Mastery: 29% 0% of subgroups met AYP status Hallandale HS 2009-2010 Grade: C Reading Mastery: 28% Reading Learning Gains: 42% Reading Gains Lowest 25%: 46% Math Mastery: 60% Math Learning Gains: 70% Math Gains Lowest 25%: 67% Writing Mastery: 85% Science Mastery: 24% 0% of subgroups met AYP status  |
|-----------------|-------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Assis Principal | Leslie Farr       | Masters in Educational Leadership, Professional Certificate - Physical Education & Health K-12, Educational Leadership K-12 | 1 | 7 | Clarke County High School 2008-2010 Made AYP English 93% Math 87% History 91% Science 83% 2010-2011 Did not make AYP English 96% Math 84% History 91% Science 89% 2011-2012 Did not make AYP English 87% Math 79% History 80% Science 90%  |
| Principal       | Angel<br>Almanzar | M.S. Ed (in<br>Educational<br>Leadership)<br>B.A. (Spanish<br>Certification)  | 3 | 9 | Boyd Anderson HS 2011-2012 Grade: C Reading Mastery: 29% Reading Learning Gains: 52% Reading Gains Lowest 25%: 61% Math Mastery: 34% Math Learning Gains: 45% Math Gains Lowest 25%: 53% Writing Mastery: 75% Boyd Anderson HS 2010-2011 Grade: D Reading Mastery: 23% Reading Learning Gains: 36% Reading Gains Lowest 25%: 48% Math Mastery: 58% Math Hastery: 58% Math Gains Lowest 25%: 52% Writing Mastery: 72% Science Mastery: 21% 0% of subgroups met AYP status Seminole Middle 2009-2010 Grade: A Reading Mastery: 71% Reading Learning Gains: 68% Reading Gains Lowest 25%: 64% Math Mastery: 74% Math Learning Gains: 73% Math Gains Lowest 25%: 74% |

3 of 75

|  | Writing Mastery: 92%            |
|--|---------------------------------|
|  | Science Mastery: 47%            |
|  | 50% of subgroups met AYP status |

#### **INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject<br>Area | Name                | Degree(s)/<br>Certification(s)  | # of<br>Years at<br>Current<br>School | # of Years as<br>an<br>Instructional<br>Coach | Prior Performance Record (include<br>prior School Grades,<br>FCAT/Statewide Assessment<br>Achievement Levels, Learning Gains,<br>Lowest 25%), and AMO progress<br>along with the associated school<br>year)  |
|-----------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Reading         | Linda Kal<br>Sander | University of Florida Bachelor of Science in Broadcasting 1983 Certification: English 5-9 Reading Endorsed ESOL Endorsed  | 2                                     | 7   | Boyd Anderson HS 2011-2012 Grade: C Reading Mastery: 29% Reading Learning Gains: 52% Reading Gains Lowest 25%: 61% Math Mastery: 34% Math Learning Gains: 45% Math Gains Lowest 25%: 53% Writing Mastery: 75% Pompano Beach Middle School 2010-2011 Grade: B Reading Mastery: 64% Reading Learning Gains: 63% Reading Gains Lowest 25%: 66% Math Mastery: 65% Math Learning Gains: 63% Math Gains Lowest 25%: 60% Writing Mastery: 88% Science Mastery: 38% 0% of subgroups met AYP status Pompano Beach Middle School |
| Math            | Maxine<br>Spadaro   | State University of New<br>York @ Oneonta<br>Degree: Bachelor<br>of Science -<br>Elementary<br>Education<br>Certification:<br>Mathematics 6-12<br>Mathematics 5-9<br>ESOL Endorsement | 1                                     | 8   | 2011-2012 Blanche Ely High School Pompano Beach, Florida Grade: Pending 53% Proficiency 58% made learning gains in mathematics 45% of lowest 25% made learning gains in mathematics 2010-2011 Hallandale High School, Hallandale Beach, Florida Grade: C AYP: 85% AYP Math: Hispanic did NOT make adequate yearly progress AYP Reading: Black, Hispanic and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress Math Mastery: 64% 69% made learning gains in mathematics                                  |

|         |           |  |   |   | 56% of the lowest 25% made learning gains in mathematics 2009-2010 McNicol Middle School Hollywood, Florida School grade: C AYP: 82% AYP Math: Black, Hispanic and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress AYP Reading: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress Math Mastery: 53% 54% made learning gains in mathematics 57% of the lowest 25% made learning gains in Math |
|---------|-----------|--|---|---|--|
| Science | Tia Davis | North Carolina State University Bachelor of Science- Microbiology/Zoology Biology Florida Atlantic University Educational Leadership- Certification: Biology, Educational Leadership | 1 | 6 | North Carolina State STEM Early College<br>High School 2011-2012<br>100% EOC pass rate<br>J.D. Clement Early College High<br>School-NCCU University- School of<br>Distinction  |

#### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

|   | Description of Strategy   | Person<br>Responsible                                     | Projected<br>Completion<br>Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------|---|
| 1 | 1. Advertise open positions through the BCPS job advertisement system.  | Principal and<br>Administration                           | August 2012                     |   |
| 2 | 2. New teachers are required to attend the New Teacher Academy. They will receive an overview of course curriculum, effective instruction, and classroom management.    | NESS<br>Coach/Administration                              | August 2012                     |   |
| 3 | 3. New teachers are assigned a coach/mentor via the New Educator Support System (NESS). Teachers attend monthly learning community meetings at the school site.         | NESS Coach  | August 2012                     |   |
| 4 | 4. Teachers (other than new teachers) found in need of support will be provided a coach.  | Administration,<br>Intructional Coaches<br>and NESS Coach | August 2012                     |   |
| 5 | 5. Teachers retention will be maintained through professional development that will be developed and implemented once a week utilizing 30 minutes before classes start. | Curriculum Leaders,<br>Coaches and<br>Administrator       | August 2012,<br>On - going      |   |

#### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

5 of 75

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|--|---|
| 4 teachers signed out of field waivers but received an effective rating                                | Teachers are taking classes necessary to be in field.   |

#### **Staff Demographics**

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total<br>Number of<br>Instructional<br>Staff | leachers | Years of  | Years of  |           |           | Effective  | %<br>Reading<br>Endorsed<br>Teachers | C1611   | % ESOL<br>Endorsed<br>Teachers |
|--|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|--------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|
| 108  | 0.0%(0)  | 19.4%(21) | 42.6%(46) | 38.0%(41) | 62.0%(67) | 97.2%(105) | 12.0%(13)                            | 2.8%(3) | 61.1%(66)                      |

#### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name      | Mentee Assigned         | Rationale for<br>Pairing | Planned Mentoring Activities                                   |
|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Elizabeth Bills  | Shondra Bennett         |                          | Monthly Ness meetings, weekly PLC meetings, support as needed. |
| Ashley Underhill | William Ledegang        | Health and Wellness      | Monthly Ness meetings, weekly PLC meetings, support as needed. |
| Maxine Spadaro   | Adam Fullilove          |                          | Monthly Ness meetings, weekly PLC meetings, support as needed. |
| Camile Cameron   | Margarita<br>Perez-Mena |                          | Monthly NESS Meetings, weekly PLC meetings, support as needed. |
| Maxine Spadaro   | Carlos Marin            |                          | Monthly NESS Meetings, weekly PLC meetings, support as needed. |

#### ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

#### Coordination and Integration

#### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

#### Title I, Part A

#### Title I, Part C- Migrant

Title I, Part D

#### Title II

Title III

#### Title X- Homeless

Homeless students are referred to the School Social Worker and the District's Homeless Coordinator for support services. These services include transportation, counseling, and special communication to staff as necessary. In addition, they are tracked and referred through the Homeless Education Program which is a district initiative.

#### Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

#### **Violence Prevention Programs**

Violence Prevention Programs include:

- Guidance small group counseling
- Anti-Bullying
- Peer Counseling Groups
- Character Education
- Posters
- Social Worker Alcohol and drugs prevention discussions
- Crime watch
- SRO classroom visits

The school resource officer has the largest student Crime Watch Program in the district. The student Crime Watch Programs have been successful in decreasing the school's critical incident numbers. Peer Counseling groups are set up on a weekly basis (or daily depending on the needs/program). Posters and signs are posted throughout the school to stop violence and to encourage character education. The School Social Worker visits classrooms on a monthly basis to discuss the effects of alcohol and drugs.

#### **Nutrition Programs**

#### **Housing Programs**

#### **Head Start**

#### **Adult Education**

#### Career and Technical Education

Allied Health Program

Auto Service Technology

Culinary Arts 1,2 & 3

**Accounting Operations** 

Academy of Finance

First Responder

Manufacturing and Global Logistics Academies

#### Job Training

The following classes afford students the opportunity for job training:

- Teaching Assistant Program Exploring Teaching 1 & 11
- Technology Studies
- Auto mechanics
- Certified Nursing Assistant Program(CNA)
- First Responder 3 Program
- Culinary Arts Programs

Boyd H. Anderson High School seniors are partnered with Work Force One to learn job readiness and customer service skills.

#### Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

#### School-based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal

All Grade Level Administrators

All Guidance Counselors

Reading Coach

Math Coach

Science Coach

**ESE Specialist** 

**ESOL** Coordinator

Behavior Specialist

School Social Worker

School Psychologist

Various teachers and/or teacher leaders as appropriate

Parents/Students as appropriate

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The leadership team will meet quarterly for training and updates on district requirements. The Guidance Director coordinates/facilitates the bi-weekly meetings to address academic and/or behavioral concerns of all students. The RtI team focuses on providing a multi-tiered system of student support. The team reviews existing data, identifies additional data collection needs, develops a hypothesis, and then designs interventions to address concerns and develop an intervention plan. The Grade level Guidance Counselors serve as case managers and designate selected RtI members to collect and analyze the tiered data. Tier 1-In consultation with colleagues, the teacher tries universal, evidence-based interventions. Tier 2-In consultation with several team members the teacher tries targeted, evidence-based interventions. Tier 3-Using the full team support, teachers and others try intensive, evidenced-based interventions. Data is stored and tracked in the school's database-Super Cobra. Depending on the evidence-based intervention, appropriate data will be collected using selected criteria specific to the evidence-based intervention being implemented. Review of the data occurs regularly and the need for a higher tiered evidenced-based intervention is evaluated.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The role of the school-based RtI Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan is to work with the CPS/RtI case managers, administrators, guidance counselors, teachers, and parents to develop the SIP. The RtI Leadership Team will have a representative at each SAC meeting to assist in the development and review of the SIP. All guidance staff will manage the school wide behavior plan. The RtI problem solving process was used in the development of the SIP and will be used in its implementation to guide our focus for the year.

#### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

A variety of data source(s) utilized are:

Benchmark Assessment Test (BAT)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)

**BEEP Mini Assessments** 

Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)

Data Warehouse Reports

Pinnacle reports

DMS

Cobra Connections

Virtual Counselor

**TERMS** 

Classroom observations using a variety of collection methods

Counselor and agency reports

Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR)

District/Monthly Writing Assessments

Functional Behavior Plans

The data management system(s) utilized are Super Cobra and Pinnacle.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The RtI Leadership Team will receive training in RtI during a scheduled leadership meeting. Selected members will attend district and state trainings as offered. All staff will receive training during staff development times throughout the year.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The team will meet every Monday to discuss behavior, attendance and academic data.

PLC will be used to analyze data, develop a prescriptive focused calendar based for benchmarks needing improvement.

Comprehensive remediation program and extended learning opportunities will be provided to support the MTSS.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

#### **School-Based Literacy Leadership Team**

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The Literacy Leadership Team consists of the following members:

Angel Almanzar, Principal; Alison Trautmann Assistant Principal; Joyce Toran, Assistant Principal; Linda Humphrey, Assistant Principal; Leslie Farr, Assistant Principal

Linda Kal Sander, Reading Coach; Jeana Graham, Reading Curriculum Leader; Elizabeth Bills, English Curriculum Leader; Valerie Patterson, Curriculum Leader; Sandi Oscar, ESE Chair and ESE Specialist; David Katz, Media Specialist and Curriculum Leader; Mike Angelo, Social Studies Curriculum Leader; Kara Woodard-Davis, Guidance Director, Mishka Corbitt, IB Coordinator; Ashley Underhill, Health/Wellness Coordinator; Eddie Oliver, Student Government Association President

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Leadership Team will meet monthly to ensure the meeting of the School Improvement goals. The team will collate teacher/student and teacher/administration/coach data chat information into a plan of action for school-wide literacy. They will reflect on instructional practices and make suggestions to redesign instruction as needed, promote and share activities designed to promote literacy, integrate the Common Core Standards, and collaborate with content area teachers through PLCs.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Classroom libraries will be established so that students will have the opportunity to explore books of interest and read independently.

Word of the Day will be implemented using the words for the Item Specs glossary with examples, practice, content application, and assessment during the first two 9 weeks. The Word of the Day for the remainder of the year will include college ready vocabulary.

School-wide literacy will be encouraged through benchmark integration for reading and writing across all content classes.

Implementation of the Common Core Standards.

#### **Public School Choice**

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification **No Attachment** 

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

N/A

\*Grades 6-12 Only

#### Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Based on data, a secondary Instructional Focus calendar will be created listing benchmarks to be infused into all content area classes. Teachers will refer to the benchmark and generate an activity within their curriculum that addresses the skill on the Instructional Focus Calendar. A professional development will be held to train the teachers on identifying and writing questions aligned to the FCAT 2.0 question stems. Collaboration between the reading coach and other content teachers will occur as a follow up after the training to share best practices and assist teachers as needed. Coaches and administration will conduct Classroom Walk-throughs to monitor this infusion of skills.

#### \*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

- •We offer vocational courses where students can earn certifications that can be used in the career field: Culinary operations, Certified Nurse Assistant program, First Responders training, and Web-design.
- Success in these courses, in addition to overall academic success, can be applied towards the Gold Seal Vocational Scholarship through Bright Futures.
- Each applied and integrated course includes real life lessons and hands on activities that are carried out in their actual field of study.
- Junior ROTC program offers students experiences with military careers and training. Upon completion, students earn college credit for their participation.

Collectively, these courses and hands-on activities give students the knowledge of the level of expectation of specific job requirements.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

In support of the District's initiative to prepare students to enter today's highly technical careers, students choose majors reflective of their career interests. Further, Boyd Anderson's Career and Technical Education programs are:

- Certified Nursing Assistant Program
- Auto Service Technology 1, 6, 7 & 9,
- Culinary Arts 1,2 & 3
- Accounting Applications 1
- Finance & Business Technology
- Technology Studies: Web Design, Adobe Photoshop, IT Web/Digital Media, PC Support 1 & 2,
- IT Technology Support/Network, IT Program Database
- Engineering Technology 1
- Engineering Design
- First Responder 3

Boyd Anderson also offers comprehensive job readiness/career planning programs:

• Partnered with Work Force One to learn job readiness skills, customer service skills, and are connected to the real world labor force.

- Students FACTS.org for academic and career planning research.
- Continual re-evaluation of e-PEP beginning in ninth grade.
- Guidance and BRACE teaching units.
- AGP focuses on academic and career planning.
- FCAT, ACT, SAT prep courses during the year.
- FACTS.org is also used for post-secondary planning research and individualized audits for students.
- PSAT administered to 10th Grade Students

•

#### Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

Strategies for improving student readiness for post-secondary level include, but are not limited to:

- •All 10th grade students are required to take the practice SAT test.
- •The Math and English departments have developed effective SAT and ACT "Do Now" activities that are implemented on a daily basis in the classroom.
- •A remedial Math course is offered to senior students who do not meet college readiness according to the CPT.
- •SAT and ACT staff development trainings will be offered to faculty.
- •Princeton Review SAT/ACT Prep-Course will be offered at no cost to students after school hours.
- •Guidance counselors will make classroom visits to promote participation in dual enrollment classes.
- •Students are exposed to the college environment through field trips to local college campuses, where they spend the day learning the college matriculation process.
- •Free courses in college admission test preparation are provided in partnership with the Princeton Review.
- •College Career Fairs are held annually to expose the student body to traditional and non-traditional career paths and new trends in the job-market.
- •A comprehensive schedule of college preparation courses, which include Regular, Honors, Advanced Placement and International Baccalaureate are offered.

#### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

#### **Reading Goals**

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.  Reading Goal #1a:  | By June 2013, 19% (161) of students will attain proficiency on the Reading FCAT. |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |  |  |  |  |
| In 2012, 16% (132) of students attained a level 3 on the Reading FCAT.   | By June 2013, 19% (161) of students will attain proficiency on the Reading FCAT. |  |  |  |  |

#### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|   | 1  | I  | ı   | T   | ı  |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation<br>Tool   |
| 1 | 1.1 Teachers need support in maintaining rigorous instruction in the curriculum through the development of quality lesson plans. | 1.1 Each quarter teachers will integrate a complex text aligned to their content area.  State, district, and school-based personnel will assist content area teachers in identifying complex text aligned to their curriculum.  State, district, and school-based personnel will support teachers in developing instructional strategies to increase student |   | 1.1 Lesson Plans, Post lesson delivery discussion, peer/coach /administrator feedback, walkthroughs with focus on integration of rigorous text, writing to text, and specific feedback to teachers. | Assessments (teacher, district, state, or textbook created), data chats, rubric, projects, written response, portfolio  BAT 1 to BAT 2 |

| engagement in<br>novel study, close<br>reading of text, and<br>response journals.                 |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| State, district, and school-based personnel will assist content area teachers in writing to text. |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

#### 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.

**2012 Current Level of Performance:** 

By 2013 15% (127) will score at a level of 4, 5, or 6 in reading.

#### Reading Goal #1b:

#### **2013 Expected Level of Performance:**

reading.

In 2012 12% (105) scored at a level 4, 5, or 6 in By 2013 15% (127) will score at a level of 4, 5, or 6 in reading.

#### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier | Strategy           | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation<br>Tool      |
|---|------------------------|--------------------|---|--|-------------------------|
| 1 | !                      | provided to ensure | Curriculum<br>Leader<br>Administration                    | Lesson Plans, Post<br>lesson delivery<br>discussion,<br>peer/coach<br>/administrator<br>feedback,<br>walkthroughs, and<br>specific feedback to<br>teachers | Practice FAA<br>testing |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

#### 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading.

In 2013, 15% (127) of students are expected to score at or above a level 4 on the Reading FCAT.

| Reading Goal #2a:  |   |
|--|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| In 2012, 12% of students (105) scored at or above a level 4 on the Reading FCAT. | In 2013, 15% (127) of students are expected to score at or above a level 4 on the Reading FCAT. |

#### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation<br>Tool   |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 1 | 2.1. Teachers need support in maintaining rigorous instruction in the curriculum through the development of quality lesson plans. | 2.1. Each quarter teachers will integrate a complex text aligned to their content area.  State, district, and school-based personnel will assist content area teachers in identifying complex text aligned to their curriculum.  State, district, and school-based personnel will support teachers in developing instructional strategies to increase student engagement in novel study, close reading of text, and response journals.  State, district, and school-based personnel will assist content area teachers in writing to text. |   | 2.1. Lesson Plans, Post lesson delivery discussion, peer/coach /administrator feedback, walkthroughs with focus on integration of rigorous text, writing to text, and specific feedback to teachers. | 2.1. Assessments (teacher, district, state, or textbook created), data chats, rubric, projects, written response, portfolio BAT 1 to BAT 2 |

16 of 75

|  | ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify nd define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |   |   |  |                                |
|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------------------|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading.  Reading Goal #2b:  By June of 2013, 55% of students (1) Level 7 or above on the FAA. |  | s (13) will score   |   |  |                                |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   |  |   | 2013 Exped  | cted Level of Perfor   | mance:                         |
| In June 2012, 52% of students (11) scored at Level 7 or higher on the FAA.   |  |   |   | By June of 2013, 55% of students (13) will score Level 7 or above on the FAA.  |                                |
|  | Problem  | n-Solving Process to                                      | o Increase Stu  | dent Achievement   |                                |
|  | Anticipated<br>Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation<br>Tool             |
| 1  | Teachers need support in maintaining rigorous instruction in the curriculum through the development of quality lesson plans                                      | strategies will be<br>used to develop<br>lessons based on | Curriculum<br>Leader<br>Administration                    | Lesson Plans, Post<br>lesson delivery<br>discussion,<br>peer/coach<br>/administrator<br>feedback,<br>walkthroughs, and<br>specific feedback to<br>teachers | Practice<br>assessments<br>FAA |

|  | Problem              | n-Solving Process to | Increase Stu | dent Achievement   |  |  |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
|  |                      |                      |              |  |  |  |
| In 2012, 53% (416)of students made learning gains on the Reading FCAT.   |                      |                      |              | By June 2013, 56% (441) of students are expected to make learning gains on the Reading FCAT. |  |  |
| 2012   | 2 Current Level of P | erformance:          | 2013 Expec   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |  |  |
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.  Reading Goal #3a:  |                      |                      |              | By June 2013, 56% (441) of students are expected to make learning gains on the Reading FCAT. |  |  |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |                      |                      |              |  |  |  |

17 of 75

|   |   |   | Monitoring     |   |  |
|---|---|---|----------------|---|--|
|   | 3.1. Teachers need support in maintaining rigorous instruction in the curriculum through the development of quality lesson plans. | content area.  State, district, and school-based personnel will assist content area teachers in identifying complex text aligned to their curriculum.   | Administrators | peer/coach /administrator feedback, walkthroughs with focus on integration of rigorous text, writing to text, and specific feedback to teachers.  Data Chats quarterly: teacher | Assessments (teacher, district, state, or textbook created), data chats, rubric, projects, written response, portfolio  BAT 1 to BAT 2 |
|   |   | State, district, and school-based personnel will support teachers in developing instructional strategies to increase student engagement in novel study, close reading of text, and response journals. |                | with student; teacher with other teachers; teacher with administrator.  |  |
| 1 |   | State, district, and school-based personnel will assist content area teachers in writing to text.   |                |   |  |
|   |   | Teachers will use direct and differentiated instruction; along with visual mnemonics and graphic organizers (semantic mapping, concepts definition maps, Frayer Model, word sorts and VIS charts)     |                |   |  |
|   |   | Computer Assisted instruction using Compass Odyssey and FAIR Tool kit will be used to assist instruction  |                |   |  |

|  | Ongoing Progress Monitoring through the use of LearningStation, a computer data base of passages aligned to FLSSS(06) and district created bechmark mini-assessments.  Reading Coaches and support staff will model and co-teach with teachers |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
|--|--|--|--|--|

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.  Reading Goal #3b: | By June of 2013, 88% (16) of students will make learning gains in reading on the FAA |
|---|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| In June of 2012, 85% (14) of students made learning gains in reading on the FAA.                              | By June of 2013, 88% (16) of students will make learning gains in reading on the FAA |

#### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier | Strategy           | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation<br>Tool |
|---|------------------------|--------------------|---|--|--------------------|
|   | Teachers need          | Support will be    | Curriculum  | Lesson Plans, Post   | Practice FAA       |
|   | support in             | provided to ensure | Leader  | lesson delivery  | testing            |
|   | maintaining rigorous   | that test taking   |   | discussion,  | FAA                |
|   | instruction in the     | strategies will be | Administration  | peer/coach   |                    |
| 1 | curriculum through     | used to develop    |   | /administrator   |                    |
|   | the development of     | lessons based on   |   | feedback,  |                    |
|   | quality lesson plans   | Access Point       |   | walkthroughs, and  |                    |
|   |                        | Curriculum         |   | specific feedback to   |                    |
|   |                        |                    |   | teachers.  |                    |

19 of 75

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| By June 2013, 65% (140) of students in the lowest quartile will make learning gains.   |  |  |  |
| 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |  |  |  |
| By June 2013, 65% (140) of students in the lowest quartile will make learning gains.   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

#### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring    | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation<br>Tool   |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | 4.1. Teachers need support in maintaining rigorous instruction in the curriculum through the development of quality lesson plans. | calendar to address<br>increased rigor for<br>the Edge series by | 4.1. Administration Instructional Coaches Curriculum Leaders | 4.1. Lesson Plans, Post lesson delivery discussion, peer/coach /administrator feedback, walkthroughs with focus on integration of rigorous text, writing to text, and specific feedback to teachers. Data Chats (teacher/admin) | Assessments (teacher, district, state, or textbook created), data chats, rubric, projects, written response, portfolio  BAT 1 to BAT 2 Florida Assessment in Reading AP1, AP2 Assessments (Learning Station) |

| Ongoing Progress Monitoring through the use of LearningStation, a computer data bas of passages aligne to FLSSS(06), and district created bechmark mini-assessments. | de<br>d |
|--|---------|
| State, district, and school-based personnel will assistant content area teachers in writing to text.   | st      |

|  | Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target |   |           |           |           |           |
|--|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. |   | Reading Goal # 5A:  By 2013, the number of students not achieving reading mastery of level three will be reduced by 11% (134) students. |           |           |           |           |
| Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013 2010-2011  |   |   | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
|  | 29  | 40  | 46        | 52        | 58        |           |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not By June 2013, 37% (284) of students in the making satisfactory progress in reading. Black subgroup will be proficient in Reading on the FCAT Reading Assessment. Reading Goal #5B: **2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance:** By June 2013, 37% (284) of students in the 2012, 26% (195) of (Black) students were proficient in Reading on the FCAT Reading Black subgroup will be proficient in Reading on Assessment. the FCAT Reading Assessment. **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to** Person or **Anticipated Evaluation** Position Determine Strategy **Barrier Effectiveness of** Tool Responsible

|   |   |  | for<br>Monitoring  | Strategy  |   |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | _ | groups according to<br>areas of weakness<br>to differentiate | 5A.1.<br>Assistant<br>Principal<br>Curriculum<br>Leader<br>Reading Coach | 5A.1.<br>Lesson Plans, Post<br>lesson delivery<br>discussion,<br>peer/coach | 5A1.1 Assessments (teacher, district, state, or textbook created), data chats, rubric, projects, written response, portfolio  BAT 1 to BAT 2 Florida Assessment in Reading AP1, |
|   |   |  |  |   | AP2   |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5C: | By June 2013 80% (60) of the students will not make satisfactory progress in reading. |  |
|---|---|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |
| In 2012, 100% (75) of students in the ELL subgroup did not make satisfactory progress in reading.   | By June 2013 80% (60) of the students will not make satisfactory progress in reading. |  |

#### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation<br>Tool  |
|---|------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| 1 |                        | Each quarter teachers will integrate a complex text aligned to their content area.  State, district, and school-based personnel will assist content area teachers in | Reading Coach<br>ESOL<br>Coordinator                      | Lesson Plans, Post lesson delivery discussion, peer/coach /administrator feedback, walkthroughs with focus on integration of rigorous text, writing to text, and specific feedback to | Assessments<br>(teacher,<br>district, state,<br>or textbook<br>created), data<br>chats, rubric,<br>projects,<br>written<br>response,<br>portfolio |

| identifying complex<br>text aligned to their<br>curriculum.   | teachers. | BAT 1 to BAT 2 |
|---|-----------|----------------|
| State, district, and school-based personnel will support teachers in developing instructional strategies to increase student engagement in novel study, close reading of text, and response journals. |           |                |
| State, district, and school-based personnel will assist content area teachers in writing to text  |           |                |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5D: |   | By June of 2013, 67% (45) of students will make learning gains in reading. |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
|  | 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |  |  |
|  | In June of 2012, 92% (5) of SWD students did not make satisfactory progress in reading. | By June of 2013, 67% (45) of students will make learning gains in reading. |  |  |

#### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier             | Strategy                               | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation<br>Tool |
|---|------------------------------------|--|---|--|--------------------|
|   | support in<br>maintaining rigorous | provided to ensure<br>that test taking | Curriculum<br>Leader                                      | lesson delivery<br>discussion,                                 | Practice FAA       |
| 1 |                                    | used to develop<br>lessons based on    | Administration  | peer/coach<br>/administrator<br>feedback,<br>walkthroughs, and |                    |

|  |  | specific feedback to |  |
|--|--|----------------------|--|
|  |  | teachers.            |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students

| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5E: | In 2013 62% (454) of the students economically disadvantaged will not make satisfactory progress. |
|---|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| In 2012, 73% (538) of the students economically disadvantaged did not make satisfactory progress.       | In 2013 62% (454) of the students economically disadvantaged will not make satisfactory progress. |

#### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation<br>Tool   |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| 1 | Teachers need support in maintaining rigorous instruction in the curriculum through the development of quality lesson plans. | Each quarter teachers will integrate a complex text aligned to their content area.  State, district, and school-based personnel will assist content area teachers in identifying complex text aligned to their curriculum.  State, district, and school-based personnel will support teachers in developing instructional strategies to increase student engagement in novel study, close reading of text, and response journals. | Administration Reading Coach Curriculum Leaders           | lesson delivery  | Assessments (teacher, district, state, or textbook created), data chats, rubric, projects, written response, portfolio  BAT 1 to BAT 2 |

| State, district, and school-based personnel will assist |  |
|---|--|
| content area  |  |
| teachers in writing                                     |  |
| to text   |  |

### Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content<br>/Topic<br>and/or<br>PLC Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring |  |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|--|
|--|------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|--|

| applications to enhance teaching and learning.  Raysa Martinez  Hartinez  Raysa Martinez  Martinez | Teachers will collaborate by department to write and implement lesson plans utilizing the common core performance standards so that students will be able to read and comprehenc complex literary and informationat text independent and proficiently.  Teachers will utilize a variety of web based and smartphone | 9-12        | Linda Kal Sander, Reading Coach Maxine Spadaro, Math Coach, Ms. T. Davis, Science Coach Mishka-Gaye Corbitt, IB Coordinator, Ashley Underhill, Health and Wellness Coordinator, Curriculum Leaders, Assistant Principals State Reading Coordinators, Renee Walden Ramdas and | School-wide | Weekly Tuesday morning PLCs; Early Release days, and Professional Study Days (District)  Sept. 27 (early release or ongoing as needed)  Oct 26 Jan 18 Mar 22 |   | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Curriculum<br>Leaders<br>Administration |
|--|---|-------------|--|-------------|--|---|---|
| applications to enhance teaching and learning.  Teachers   | complex literary and information text independent and proficiently.  Teachers will utilize a variety of web based and   | 9-12<br>tly | Coordinator, Ashley Underhill, Health and Wellness Coordinator, Curriculum Leaders, Assistant Principals  State Reading Coordinators, Renee Walden   |             | Professional<br>Study Days<br>(District)<br>Sept. 27<br>(early release<br>or ongoing as<br>needed)<br>Oct 26<br>Jan 18                                       | Best Practices during PLCs Classroom Walkthroughs Data Chats  Monitor number of teachers signing up for Edmodo accounts. Offer support/assistance | Coaches<br>Curriculum<br>Leaders                                    |
| will receive training on the gradual release of responsibility                                     | applications to enhance teaching and learning.  Teachers will receive training on the gradual release of  |             | -  |             |  |   |   |

#### **Reading Budget:**

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)

| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source    | Available<br>Amount  |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| After school enrichment tutoring in reading and ACT prep | Supplemental materials   | YMCA/21st Century | \$6,000.00           |
|  |                          | 9                 | Subtotal: \$6,000.00 |
| Technology   |                          |                   |                      |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source    | Available<br>Amount  |
| Learning Stations  | on-line testing          | Regular Budget    | \$1,400.00           |
|  |                          | 9                 | Subtotal: \$1,400.00 |
| <b>Professional Development</b>                          |                          |                   |                      |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source    | Available<br>Amount  |
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data           | \$0.00               |
|  |                          |                   | Subtotal: \$0.00     |
| Other  |                          |                   |                      |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source    | Available<br>Amount  |
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data           | \$0.00               |
|  |                          |                   | Subtotal: \$0.00     |
|  |                          | Gra               | nd Total: \$7,400.00 |

End of **Reading** Goals

#### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. By June 2013, 50% (16) of students will make satisfactory gains. CELLA Goal #1: 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking: In 2012 45% (14) of the students scored proficient in listening/speaking. **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement** Person or **Process Used to Position Anticipated Determine Evaluation** Strategy Responsible **Barrier Effectiveness of** Tool for Strategy Monitoring

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

|   | Teachers need    | Teachers will utilize | ELL liaison    | Curriculum guide,  | Formative      |
|---|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|
|   | assistance and   | strategies for        | Reading coach  | peer group         | assessments;   |
|   | support          | differentiated        | Administrators | interaction;       | data from      |
|   | implementing the | instruction and       |                | walkthrough with   | various        |
| 1 | curriculum with  | small group           |                | feedback; use data | sources;       |
| 1 | rigor to         | instructions.         |                | to target need;    | pre/post test; |
|   | non-readers.     |                       |                |                    | written and    |
|   |                  |                       |                |                    | oral           |
|   |                  |                       |                |                    | presentations; |
|   |                  |                       |                |                    | portfolio      |

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

#### 2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

CELLA Goal #2:

By June 2013 20% (7) of the students will score proficient on the CELLA exam.

#### 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

In 2012 18% (6) of the students scored proficient in reading.

#### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier           | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation<br>Tool  |
|---|----------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | implementing the curriculum with | Teachers will utilize strategies for differentiated instruction and small group instructions. | I.A.<br>Reading coach                                     | peer group   | Formative<br>assessments;<br>data from<br>various<br>sources;<br>pre/post test;<br>written and<br>oral<br>presentations;<br>portfolio |

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

#### 3. Students scoring proficient in writing.

#### CELLA Goal #3:

By 2013 15% (5) of the students will score proficient in writing on the CELLA exam.

| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:  In 2012 12% (4) students scored proficient in writing. |          |   |  |                 |
|---|----------|---|--|-----------------|
|   |          |   |  |                 |
| Anticipated<br>Barrier  | Strategy | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted   |          |   |  |                 |

#### **CELLA Budget:**

| Evidence-based Pro  | gram(s)/Material(s)             |                |                     |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy            | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data             | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                     |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology          |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy            | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data             | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                     |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Develo | pment                           |                |                     |
| Strategy            | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data             | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                     |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other               |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy            | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data             | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                     |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                     |                                 |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of **CELLA** Goals

#### Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. By June of 2013, 25% (7) of students will score Level 4,5,and 6 on the FAA Mathematics Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In June 2013, 22% (5) of students scored at By June of 2013, 25% (7) of students will Level 4,5,and 6 on the FAA. score Level 4,5,and 6 on the FAA **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement** Person or **Process Used to Position** Anticipated **Determine Evaluation** Responsible Strategy **Barrier Effectiveness of** Tool for Strategy Monitoring Lesson Plans, Daily Practice FAA Teachers need PLC collaboration Curriculum involving data FAA practice, Post support in Leader Administration lesson delivery maintaining disaggregation, rigorous instruction implementing discussion, in the curriculum research based peer/coach /administrator through the instructional development of strategies, and feedback, quality lesson plans revising the walkthroughs, and instructional focus specific feedback to calendar teachers.

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
|  | By June of 2013, 42% (7) of students will score Level 7 on the FAA. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                                 |  |  |

specific feedback to

teachers.

In June 2012, 39% (9) of students scored at By June of 2013, 42% (7) of students will Level 7 on the FAA. score Level 7 on the FAA. **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement** Person or **Process Used to Position** Anticipated Determine **Evaluation** Responsible Strategy **Barrier Effectiveness of** Tool for Strategy Monitoring Teachers need PLC collaboration Lesson Plans, Daily Practice FAA FAA practice, Post support in involving data Curriculum disaggregation, lesson delivery maintaining Leader rigorous instruction implementing Administration discussion, in the curriculum peer/coach research based through the instructional /administrator development of strategies, and feedback, quality lesson plans revising the walkthroughs, and

instructional focus

calendar

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students making learning gains in By June of 2013, 28% (9) of students will mathematics. make learning gains in mathematics on the FAA. Mathematics Goal #3: 2012 Current Level of Performance: **2013 Expected Level of Performance:** By June of 2013, 28% (9) of students will In June 2012, 21% (7) made learning gains on make learning gains in mathematics on the the math portion of the FAA. FAA. **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement** Person or **Process Used to** Position Anticipated Determine **Evaluation** Responsible Strategy **Barrier Effectiveness of** Tool for Strategy Monitoring PLC collaboration Lesson Plans, Daily Practice FAA Teachers need Curriculum support in involving data Leader FAA practice, Post Administration lesson delivery maintaining disaggregation, rigorous instruction implementing discussion, in the curriculum research based peer/coach through the instructional /administrator development of strategies, and feedback, walkthroughs, and quality lesson plans revising the

| instructional focus | specific feedback to |  |
|---------------------|----------------------|--|
| calendar            | teachers.            |  |

#### High School Mathematics AMO Goals

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Math Performance Target                          |           |           |                |            |           | Reading and |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------------|------------|-----------|-------------|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. |           |           | Mathematics Go | oal # 5A : |           |             |
| Baseline<br>data<br>2010-2011  | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014      | 2014-2015  | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017   |
|  |           |           |                |            |           |             |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White,   |
|--|
| Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not |
| making satisfactory progress in              |
| mathematics.                                 |
|  |

By June 2013, 36% (130) of Black students will make satisfactory progress on the Algebra EOC.

#### Mathematics Goal #5B:

#### 2013 Expected Level of Performance:

In June 2012, 31% (112) of Black students made By June 2013, 36% (130) of Black students will satisfactory progress on the Algebra EOC

2012 Current Level of Performance:

make satisfactory progress on the Algebra EOC.

#### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|     |   | Anticipated<br>Barrier | Strategy             | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation<br>Tool |
|-----|---|------------------------|----------------------|---|--|--------------------|
| ١   |   | Teachers need          | Teachers will        | Mathematics   | Peer review of   | Assessments        |
| ١   |   | support in             | engage students in   | Assistant   | lesson plans on a  | (teacher,          |
| l   |   | maintaining rigorous   | higher order         | Principal,  | bi-monthly basis.  | district, state,   |
| l   | 1 | instruction in the     | thinking activities  | Curriculum  |  | or textbook        |
| l   |   | curriculum through     | that require them to | Leader, Math  | Coach and  | created), data     |
| ١   |   | the development of     | utilize evaluation   | Coach,  | administrative   | chats, rubric,     |
| ١   |   | quality lesson plans.  | and analysis on a    | State and   | walkthroughs   | projects,          |
| - 1 |   |                        |                      |   |  |                    |

|   |   | daily basis.  State, district, and school-based personnel will assist teachers in identifying complex problems and activities that stimulate higher order thinking and analysis.  State, district, and school-based personnel will support teachers in developing instructional strategies to increase the student's ability to provide explanation and reasoning for their responses to complex problems, both verbally and in | District<br>Support   | focusing on student engagement and activities that stimulate higher order thinking and analysis. | written response, portfolio  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 2 | Teachers lack<br>familiarity with<br>End-of-Course Test<br>Item Specifications  | written form.  District mathematics trainers and/or Instructional Facilitator will provide refresher sessions during Professional Learning Communities (PLC) and/or Early Release Days  | trainers, District Instructional Facilitator, Mathematics Coach, Department Chair | District math trainers, District Instructional Facilitator, Mathematics Coach, Department Chair  | Assessments (teacher, district, state, or textbook created), data chats, rubric, projects, written response, portfolio, and ultimately, End-of-Course Algebra exam |
| 3 | Students fail to<br>recall and/or apply<br>prior knowledge to<br>new benchmarks | Teachers will create motivational activities that require students to revisit previously learned benchmarks at the conclusion of each chapter.  Use of technology will be used to assist students in recalling and/or applying  | Mathematics<br>Assistant<br>Principal, Math<br>Coach, District<br>Support         |  | Assessments (teacher, district, state, or textbook created), data chats, rubric, projects, written response, portfolio, and ultimately, End-of-Course Algebra exam |

|  | prior knowledge to<br>new benchmarks. |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|
|  |                                       |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

# 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. By June 2013 76% (25) will not make satisfactory progress in algebra. Mathematics Goal #5C:

## 2012 Current Level of Performance: In 2012 85% (28) students did not make satisfactory progress in Algebra. 2013 Expected Level of Performance: By June 2013 76% (25) will not make satisfactory progress in algebra.

#### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring                                  | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation<br>Tool   |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | _                      | thinking activities<br>that require them to<br>utilize evaluation | Mathematics Assistant Principal, Curriculum Leader, Math Coach, State and District Support | Peer review of lesson plans on a bi-monthly basis.  Coach and administrative walkthroughs focusing on student engagement and activities that stimulate higher order thinking and analysis. | Assessments (teacher, district, state, or textbook created), data chats, rubric, projects, written response, portfolio |

| strategies to increase the student's ability provide explanat and reasoning for their responses to complex problem both verbally an written form. | ion<br>r<br>o<br>s, |
|---|---------------------|
|---|---------------------|

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5D: | By June of 2013, 24% (8) of students will make make satisfactory progress in algebra. |
|--|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| In June of 2012, 21% (7) of SWD students made satisfactory progress in algebra                               | By June of 2013, 24% (8) of students will make make satisfactory progress in algebra. |

#### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation<br>Tool   |
|---|------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| 1 |                        | work closely with<br>general education<br>teachers to develop | Curriculum<br>Leaders<br>Math Coach<br>Administration     | Coach and administrative walkthroughs focusing on student engagement and activities that stimulate higher order thinking and analysis. | Assessments (teacher, district, state, or textbook created), data chats, rubric, projects, written response, portfolio |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal E:  | By June 2013, 37% (132) of Economically Disadvantaged students will make satisfactory progress on the Algebra EOC |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |  |  |
| In June 2012, 32% (115) of Economically Disadvantaged students made satisfactory progress on the Algebra EOC  | By June 2013, 37% (132) of Economically Disadvantaged students will make satisfactory progress on the Algebra EOC |  |  |  |  |

#### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring                                  | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation<br>Tool   |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | Teachers need support in maintaining rigorous instruction in the curriculum through the development of quality lesson plans. | thinking activities<br>that require them to<br>utilize evaluation | Mathematics Assistant Principal, Curriculum Leader, Math Coach, State and District Support | . Peer review of lesson plans on a bi-monthly basis.  Coach and administrative walkthroughs focusing on student engagement and activities that stimulate higher order thinking and analysis | Assessments (teacher, district, state, or textbook created), data chats, rubric, projects, written response, portfolio |

|   |   | both verbally and in written form.  |   |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 2 | Teachers lack<br>familiarity with<br>End-of-Course Test<br>Item Specifications  | District mathematics trainers and/or Instructional Facilitator will provide refresher sessions during Professional Learning Communities (PLC) and/or Early Release Days   | trainers, District Instructional Facilitator, Mathematics Coach, Department Chair | Coach and administrative walkthroughs.   | Assessments (teacher, district, state, or textbook created), data chats, rubric, projects, written response, portfolio, and ultimately, End-of-Course Algebra exam |
| 3 | Students fail to<br>recall and/or apply<br>prior knowledge to<br>new benchmarks | Teachers will create motivational activities that require students to revisit previously learned benchmarks at the conclusion of each chapter.  Use of technology will be used to assist students in recalling and/or applying prior knowledge to new benchmarks. | Assistant<br>Principal, Math<br>Coach, District<br>Support                        | Coach and administrative walkthroughs focusing on motivational activities, including use of technology | Assessments (teacher, district, state, or textbook created), data chats, rubric, projects, written response, portfolio, and ultimately, End-of-Course Algebra exam |

End of **High School Mathematics** Goals

## Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. By June 2013, 33% (130) of the students will score Level 3 on the Algebra End-of-Course Algebra Goal #1: Exam. **2012 Current Level of Performance:** 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In June 2012, 28% (109) of students scored By June 2013, 33% (130) of the students will between 399-424 (Level 3) on the Algebra score Level 3 on the Algebra End-of-Course End-of-Course Exam Exam.

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

|   | Problem-Solving Process to  Anticipated Barrier  Strategy  |   | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring   | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy   | Evaluation<br>Tool  |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| 1 | Teachers need support in maintaining rigorous instruction in the curriculum through the development of quality lesson plans. | Teachers will engage students in higher order thinking activities that require them to utilize evaluation and analysis on a daily basis.  State, district, and school-based personnel will assist teachers in identifying complex problems and activities that stimulate higher order thinking and analysis.  State, district, and school-based personnel will support teachers in developing instructional strategies to increase the student's ability to provide explanation and reasoning for their responses to complex problems, both verbally and in written form. | Mathematics Assistant Principal, Math Coach, State and District Support                         | Peer review of lesson plans on a bi-monthly basis during PLCs, Coach and administrative walkthroughs focusing on student engagement and activities that stimulate higher order thinking and analysis. | created), data<br>chats, rubric,  |  |
| 2 | Teachers lack<br>familiarity with<br>End-of-Course Test<br>Item Specifications   | District mathematics trainers and/or Instructional Facilitator will provide refresher sessions during Professional Learning Communities (PLC) and/or Early  | District math trainers, District Instructional Facilitator, Mathematics Coach, Department Chair | Coach and administrative walkthroughs.  | Assessments<br>(teacher,<br>district, state,<br>or textbook<br>created), data<br>chats, rubric,<br>projects,<br>written<br>response,<br>portfolio, and<br>ultimately, |  |

Course Exam (EOC)

|   |  | Release Days  |                              |   | End-of-Course<br>Algebra exam  |
|---|--|---|------------------------------|---|--|
| 3 | Students fail to recall and/or apply prior knowledge to new benchmarks | Teachers will create motivational activities that require students to revisit previously learned benchmarks at the conclusion of each chapter.  Use of technology will be used to assist students in recalling and/or applying prior knowledge to new benchmarks. | Assistant<br>Principal, Math | _ | Assessments<br>(teacher,<br>district, state,<br>or textbook<br>created), data<br>chats, rubric,<br>projects,<br>written<br>response,<br>portfolio, and<br>ultimately,<br>End-of-Course<br>Algebra exam |

identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra. By June 2013, 8% (32) will earn a level 4 or 5 on the Algebra EOC.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions",

Algebra Goal #2:

2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In May 2012, 5% (20) of students scored between By June 2013, 8% (32) will earn a level 4 or 5 425-475 (Level 4 or 5) on the Algebra End-ofon the Algebra EOC.

### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier               | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring      | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation<br>Tool  |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| 1 | through the                          | engage students in<br>higher order<br>thinking activities<br>that require them<br>to utilize evaluation | Assistant<br>Principal,<br>Curriculum<br>Leader<br>Math Coach, | bi-monthly basis.  Coach and administrative                  | Assessments<br>(teacher,<br>district, state,<br>or textbook<br>created), data<br>chats, rubric, |
|   | development of quality lesson plans. | and analysis on a daily basis. State, district, and   | District<br>Support  | focusing on student engagement and                           | projects,<br>written<br>response,<br>portfolio  |

|   |   | school-based personnel will assist teachers in identifying complex problems and activities that stimulate higher order thinking and analysis.   |  | stimulate higher<br>order thinking and<br>analysis. |  |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|
|   |   | State, district, and school-based personnel will support teachers in developing instructional strategies to increase students' ability to provide explanation and reasoning for their responses to complex problems, both verbally and in written form. |  |   |  |
| 2 | Teachers lack<br>familiarity with<br>End-of-Course Test<br>Item Specifications  | T   | District math trainers, District Instructional Facilitator, Mathematics Coa.ch, Department Chair | Coach and administrative walkthroughs.              | Assessments (teacher, district, state, or textbook created), data chats, rubric, projects, written response, portfolio, and ultimately, End-of-Course Algebra exam |
| 3 | Students fail to<br>recall and/or apply<br>prior knowledge to<br>new benchmarks | Teachers will create motivational activities that require students to revisit previously learned benchmarks at the conclusion of each chapter.  Use of technology will be used to assist students in recalling and/or                                   | Mathematics<br>Assistant<br>Principal, Math<br>Coach, District<br>Support                        | _   | Assessments (teacher, district, state, or textbook created), data chats, rubric, projects, written response, portfolio, and ultimately, End-of-Course Algebra exam |
|   |   | recalling and/or<br>applying prior<br>knowledge to new  |  |   |  |

benchmarks.

End of Algebra EOC Goals

# Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |                  |  |  |
|--|--|------------------|--|--|
| <ol> <li>Students scoring at Achievement Level</li> <li>in Geometry.</li> <li>Geometry Goal #1:</li> </ol>   | By June 2013, 33% (143) of students will score Level 3 on the Geometry End-of-Course Exam    |                  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |                  |  |  |
| In May 2012, 28% (119) of students scored (Level 3) on the Geometry End-of-Course Exam   | By June 2013, 33% (143) of students will score<br>Level 3 on the Geometry End-of-Course Exam |                  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I   | ncrease Stu  | dent Achievement |  |  |
|  | Person or  | Process Used to  |  |  |

|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation<br>Tool   |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | Teachers need support in maintaining rigorous instruction in the curriculum through the development of quality lesson plans. | Teachers will engage students in higher order thinking activities that require them to utilize evaluation and analysis on a daily basis.  State, district, and school-based personnel will assist teachers in identifying complex problems and activities that stimulate higher order thinking and analysis.  State, district, and school-based personnel will | Leader<br>Math Coach<br>State and<br>District<br>Support  | Peer review of lesson plans on a bi-monthly basis.  Coach and administrative walkthroughs focusing on student engagement and activities that stimulate higher order thinking and analysis. | Assessments (teacher, district, state, or textbook created), data chats, rubric, projects, written response, portfolio |

|   |   | support teachers in developing instructional strategies to increase students' ability to provide explanation and reasoning for their responses to complex problems, both verbally and in written form.  |   |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 2 | Teachers lack<br>familiarity with<br>End-of-Course Test<br>Item Specifications  | District<br>mathematics   | District math trainers, District Instructional Facilitator, Mathematics Coach, Department Chair | Coach and<br>administrative<br>walkthroughs. | Assessments<br>(teacher,<br>district, state,<br>or textbook<br>created), data<br>chats, rubric,<br>projects,<br>written<br>response,<br>portfolio, and<br>ultimately,<br>End-of-Course<br>Geometry<br>exam |
| 3 | Students fail to<br>recall and/or apply<br>prior knowledge to<br>new benchmarks | Teachers will create motivational activities that require students to revisit previously learned benchmarks at the conclusion of each chapter.  Use of technology will be used to assist students in recalling and/or applying prior knowledge to new benchmarks. | Mathematics<br>Assistant<br>Principal, Math<br>Coach, District<br>Support                       | _  | Assessments<br>(teacher,<br>district, state,<br>or textbook<br>created), data<br>chats, rubric,<br>projects,<br>written<br>response,<br>portfolio, and<br>ultimately,<br>End-of-Course<br>Geometry<br>exam |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

### Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.

Geometry Goal #2:

By June 2013, 16% (69) of Geometry EOC test-takers will earn a level 4 or 5 on the

| 2012 Current Level of Performance:              | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
|---|--|
| II AVAL 4 Or 5 ON THA (-ANMATRY FINE-OF-LOUITSA | By June 2013, 16% (69) of Geometry EOC test-takers will earn a level 4 or 5 on the |

### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier   | Strategy     | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring                      | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation<br>Tool   |
|---|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | Teachers need support in maintaining rigorous instruction in the curriculum through the development of quality lesson plans. | higher order | Support  | Peer review of lesson plans on a bi-monthly basis.  Coach and administrative walkthroughs focusing on student engagement and activities that stimulate higher order thinking and analysis. | Assessments (teacher, district, state, or textbook created), data chats, rubric, projects, written response, portfolio |
| 2 | Teachers lack<br>familiarity with<br>End-of-Course Test<br>Item Specifications   | -            | District math trainers, District Instructional Facilitator, Mathematics Coach, | Coach and administrative walkthroughs.   | Assessments<br>(teacher,<br>district, state,<br>or textbook<br>created), data<br>chats, rubric,<br>projects,           |

|   |   | Professional<br>Learning<br>Communities (PLC)<br>and/or Early<br>Release Days   | Department<br>Chair          |   | written response, portfolio, and ultimately, End-of-Course Geometry exam   |
|---|---|---|------------------------------|---|--|
| 3 | Students fail to<br>recall and/or apply<br>prior knowledge to<br>new benchmarks | Teachers will create motivational activities that require students to revisit previously learned benchmarks at the conclusion of each chapter.  Use of technology will be used to assist students in recalling and/or applying prior knowledge to new | Assistant<br>Principal, Math | _ | Assessments<br>(teacher,<br>district, state,<br>or textbook<br>created), data<br>chats, rubric,<br>projects,<br>written<br>response,<br>portfolio, and<br>ultimately,<br>End-of-Course<br>Geometry<br>exam |

End of **Geometry EOC** Goals

# Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content<br>/Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or<br>PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| EOC Test<br>Specs                           |                        |  |  |   |  |   |
| Common<br>Core                              | Algebra/Geometry       | Math Chair                                   | Algebra &<br>Geometry<br>Teachers  | Sept –<br>May/monthly   | Classroom<br>Walkthroughs                | Administration;<br>Math Coach;                      |
|   | 9-12 Mathematics       | District                                     | Mathematics<br>Department  | Sept – June/bi-<br>monthly  | Lesson Plans                             | Curriculum<br>Leader, District<br>Support           |
| Higher<br>Order<br>Questioning<br>Skills    |                        |  |  |   |  |   |

#### **Mathematics Budget:**

| Evidence-based Program(s         | s)/Material(s)                  |                   |                     |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Strategy                         | Description of Resources        | Funding Source    | Available<br>Amount |
| After school enrichment tutoring | Supplemental materials          | YMCA/21st Century | \$6,000.00          |
|                                  |                                 | Su                | btotal: \$6,000.00  |
| Technology                       |                                 |                   |                     |
| Strategy                         | Description of Resources        | Funding Source    | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                          | No Data                         | No Data           | \$0.00              |
|                                  |                                 |                   | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| <b>Professional Development</b>  |                                 |                   |                     |
| Strategy                         | Description of Resources        | Funding Source    | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                          | No Data                         | No Data           | \$0.00              |
|                                  |                                 |                   | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                            |                                 |                   |                     |
| Strategy                         | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source    | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                          | No Data                         | No Data           | \$0.00              |
|                                  |                                 |                   | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                                  |                                 | Grand             | Total: \$6,000.00   |

End of **Mathematics** Goals

## Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Florida Alternate Assessment:
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.

Science Goal #1:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

In June 2013, 46% (5) of students scored a Level 4, 5 and 6 on the FAA.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation<br>Tool |
|---|--|---|--|--|--------------------|
| 1 | Teachers need support in maintaining rigorous instruction in the curriculum through the development of quality lesson plans. | Support will be provided to ensure that test taking strategies will be used to develop lessons based on Access Point Curriculum | Leader<br>Adminsistration                              | Lesson Plans, Post<br>lesson delivery<br>discussion,<br>peer/coach<br>/administrator<br>feedback,<br>walkthroughs, and<br>specific feedback<br>to teachers | Practice FAA       |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science. By June 2013, 30% (5) of students will score a Level 7 on the FAA. Science Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: **2013 Expected Level of Performance:** In June 2013, 27% (3) of students scored a By June 2013, 30% (5) of students will score Level 7 on the FAA. a Level 7 on the FAA. **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement** Person or Process Used to Position **Anticipated Determine Evaluation** Responsible Strategy **Barrier Effectiveness of** Tool for Strategy Monitoring Lesson Plans, Post Practice FAA Teachers need Support will be Curriculum provided to ensure Leader lesson delivery support in maintaining that test taking Administration discussion, rigorous strategies will be peer/coach instruction in the used to develop /administrator curriculum through lessons based on feedback, the development Access Point walkthroughs, and of quality lesson Curriculum specific feedback plans. to teachers.

## Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference t | to "Guiding Questions", |
|--|-------------------------|
| identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following | group:                  |

| <ol> <li>Students scoring at Achievement Level</li> <li>in Biology.</li> <li>Biology Goal #1:</li> </ol> | By June 2013 30% (132) of the students will score at a level 3 in Biology. |
|--|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| In 2012 27% (118) of the students scored at a level 3 in Biology.  | By June 2013 30% (132) of the students will score at a level 3 in Biology. |

### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring                               | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation<br>Tool   |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| 1 | Teachers need support in maintaining rigorous instruction in the curriculum through the development of quality lesson plans. | Science Coach, State and/or District personnel will provide training on higher order questioning and lesson delivery. 1.1 b State, district, and school-based personnel will assist teachers in identifying scientific journals and periodicals to support the curriculum for student practice of evidence based writing/writing to text. | Administrator,<br>Science coach,<br>Reading<br>Coach, District<br>and State<br>support. | walkthroughs<br>evidenced by                                 | Teacher made assessments. Lab reports  Observation of teachers' facilitation of student led discussions.  Students citing textual evidence to support their arguments within journals. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions",

| iden  | tify and define area   | s in need of improve   | ement for the fo  | ollowing group:   |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology. Biology Goal #2: |  |  | (50) high sc  | (50) high school students taking will score a level 4 or 5 above on the Biology EOC   |  |  |
| 201   | 2 Current Level of   | Performance:   | 2013 Expe   | cted Level of Perf  | ormance:   |  |
| In M  | lay 2012   |  |   | hool students taking above on the Biolog  |  |  |
|   | Problem-   | Solving Process to   | o Increase Stu  | ıdent Achievemen  | t  |  |
|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring                               | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation<br>Tool   |  |
| 1   | Teachers need support in maintaining rigorous instruction in the curriculum through the development of quality lesson plans. | Science Coach, State and/or District personnel will provide training on higher order questioning and lesson delivery.  State, district, and school-based personnel will assist teachers in identifying scientific journals and periodicals to support the curriculum for student practice of evidence based writing/writing to text. | Administrator,<br>Science coach,<br>Reading<br>Coach, District<br>and State<br>support. | walkthroughs evidenced by student led discussion, engagement and citing textual evidence to support their arguments.  Lab Journals and feedback from student conferencing will be checked | Teacher made assessments. Lab reports Observation of teachers' facilitation of student led discussions. Students citing textual evidence to support their arguments within journals. |  |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content<br>/Topic<br>and/or<br>PLC Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or<br>PLC<br>Leader | subject, grade<br>level, or | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|--------------------------|---|
| Common<br>Core                              | 9-12 Biology           | Science<br>Coach and<br>District             | Science Dept.               | tarly Release<br>day   |                          | Science Coach<br>and<br>Administrator                     |
| Rigor                                       |                        | Support                                      |                             | Planning Day   | walkthrough              | Administrator   |

#### **Science Budget:**

| Evidence-based Program           | (s)/Material(s)          |                   |                     |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Strategy                         | Description of Resources | Funding Source    | Available<br>Amount |
| After school enrichment tutoring | Supplemental materials   | YMCA/21st Century | \$3,000.00          |
|                                  |                          | Subt              | total: \$3,000.00   |
| Technology                       |                          |                   |                     |
| Strategy                         | Description of Resources | Funding Source    | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                          | No Data                  | No Data           | \$0.00              |
|                                  |                          |                   | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developmen          | nt                       |                   |                     |
| Strategy                         | Description of Resources | Funding Source    | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                          | No Data                  | No Data           | \$0.00              |
|                                  |                          |                   | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                            |                          |                   |                     |
| Strategy                         | Description of Resources | Funding Source    | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                          | No Data                  | No Data           | \$0.00              |
|                                  |                          |                   | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                                  |                          | Grand 1           | otal: \$3,000.00    |

End of Science Goals

## Writing Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.

By June 2013 83% (364) of the total number of students tested will make 3.0 or above in writing.

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Writing Goal #1a:   |  |
|---|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:                                  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| In 2012 75% (329) of the students scored a 3.0 or above in writing. | By June 2013 83% (364) of the total number of students tested will make 3.0 or above in writing. |

### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring                  | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation<br>Tool   |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | elaborating in an essay. They exhibit a deficit in ability to provide adequate details and support to enhance their ideas              | and elaboration<br>utilizing real life  | Curriculum Administrator English Department Chair District Support Coaches | Mini Practice Writes (mini assessment) administered monthly and a quarterly Practice Writes (re-assessment). Monthly data chats via 9th -12th Grade PLC meeting with English AP as facilitator. | Student Portfolio Checks District Writing Assessments Classroom Walkthroughs Florida Writes Rubric |
| 2 | Students lack experiences that could be used to provide adequate details and support to enhance their ideas. Such as travel, cultural, | The Springboard curriculum used in English classes, will expose students to multiple writing experiences. | Writing Curriculum Administrator English Department Chair District Support | Mini Practice Writes (mini assessment) administered monthly and a quarterly Practice Writes (re-assessment). Monthly data chats   | Student Portfolio Checks District Writing Assessments Classroom Walkthroughs                       |

50 of 75

|   | professional, and<br>recreational<br>experiences, etc                     |   | Coaches   | where best practices strategies are covered in weekly PLC meeting with Writing AP as facilitator.  | Florida Writes<br>Rubric   |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 3 | Inconsistent correct grammatical use and spelling of advanced vocabulary. | Teachers across the curriculum will attend PD on usage of advanced vocabulary in writing.  Teachers across the curriculum will require students to include Tier II and Tier III words in writing assignments on a daily basis.  Students will attend Writing Workshops to practice writing skills.  | Curriculum<br>Administrator<br>English<br>Department<br>Chair | Mini Practice Writes (mini assessment) administered monthly and a quarterly Practice Writes (re-assessment.) Monthly data chats via department weekly PLC meeting with Writing AP as facilitator   | Portfolio<br>Checks<br>District<br>Writing<br>Assessments<br>Classroom<br>Walkthroughs<br>Florida Writes |
| 4 | Lack of student motivation in regard to revising writing assignments      | Teachers will attend training on Writer's Workshop expectations with the Writing AP and Writing Department Chair. Teachers will conference with students via Monthly Writer's Workshop providing praise and direction to encourage motivation. Teachers will utilize writing data with students to encourage motivation and friendly competition. They will provide incentives for class with greatest improvement. |   | Mini Practice Writes (mini assessment) administered monthly and a quarterly Practice Writes (re-assessment).  Monthly data chats via department weekly PLC meeting with Writing AP as facilitator. | Portfolio Checks District Writing Assessments Classroom Walkthroughs                                     |

| 5 | Inconsistency in regard to analyzing the writing prompt and planning before composing the essay | 10th grade English teachers will be trained in "Analyzing the Writing Prompt and Planning (AWPAP)", during PSD. 10th grade teachers will integrate AWPAP into their Writing Instruction Students will attend Saturday Writing Camp to practice AWPAP   |  | Mini Practice Writes (mini assessment) administered monthly and a quarterly Practice Writes (re-assessment). Monthly data chats via department PLC meeting with Writing AP as facilitator. | Student Portfolio Checks District Writing Assessments Classroom Walkthroughs Florida Writes Rubric |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 6 | Student's lack of<br>thorough<br>understanding of<br>the FCAT Writes<br>2.0 Rubric              | 9th and 10th grade English teachers will be trained and will use the FCAT Writes 2.0 rubric to grade each writing assignment. 9th and 10th grade English teachers will train students on effective use of the FCAT Writes 2.0 rubric Additional practice will be provided during Writer's Workshops. FCAT Writes 2.0 Rubrics will be posted in all 10th Grade English classrooms | Curriculum<br>Administrator<br>English | Mini Practice Writes (mini assessment) administered monthly and a quarterly Practice Writes (re-assessment). Monthly data chats via department PLC meeting with Writing AP as facilitator. | Student Portfolio Checks District Writing Assessments Classroom Walkthroughs Florida Writes Rubric |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.

Writing Goal #1b:

By June 2013 76% (10) students will score a 4.0 or higher on the FAA Writing Exam.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

By June 2013 76% (10) students will score a 4.0 or higher on the FAA Writing Exam.

By June 2013 76% (10) students will score a 4.0 or higher on the FAA Writing Exam.

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  |   |   |  |                    |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|--------------------|--|--|
|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation<br>Tool |  |  |
| 1 | Teachers need support in maintaining rigorous instruction in the curriculum through the development of quality lesson plans. | Support will be provided to ensure that test taking strategies will be used to develop lessons based on Access Point Curriculum | Administrator   | Lesson Plans, Post<br>lesson delivery<br>discussion,<br>peer/coach<br>/administrator<br>feedback,<br>walkthroughs, and<br>specific feedback to<br>teachers | Practice FAA       |  |  |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content<br>/Topic<br>and/or<br>PLC Focus | Grade | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | (e.g., | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring |  |
|---|-------|---|--|--------|--|---|--|
|---|-------|---|--|--------|--|---|--|

| Writing Using Springboard Analyzing Monthly Practice Writes  |   |   |   |                        |                                    |                          |
|--|---|---|---|------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Vocabulary Through Morphemes  Developing Action Steps based on Data for mainstream ESE/ESOL students implementin 6 traits strategies | 9-12 All subject<br>areas<br>10th grade<br>English<br>teachers<br>All English<br>teachers | English<br>Department<br>Writing<br>Chair | 9th-12th Grade<br>English teachers<br>All English<br>teachers | PD days<br>Weekly PLCs | FCIM<br>Classroom walk<br>throughs | Writing<br>Administrator |

### Writing Budget:

| Evidence-based Program( | (s)/Material(s)                 |                |                     |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy                | Description of Resources        | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                 | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                         |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology              |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy                | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                 | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                         |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developmen | t                               |                |                     |
| Strategy                | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                 | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                         |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                   |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy                | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                 | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                         |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                         |                                 |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of **Writing** Goals

### U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement identify and define areas in need of improvement |                   |                     | •                                    |  | g Questions",   |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| <ol> <li>Students scoring at Achievement Level</li> <li>in U.S. History.</li> </ol>           |                   |                     |                                      |  |                 |
| U.S. History Goal #1:   |                   |                     |                                      |  |                 |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  |                   |                     | 2013 Ex                              | pected Level of Pe   | erformance:     |
|   |                   |                     |                                      |  |                 |
| Prob  | olem-Solving Pr   | ocess to I          | ncrease                              | Student Achievem   | ent             |
| Anticipated<br>Barrier  | Strategy          | Posi<br>Resp<br>for | on or<br>tion<br>oonsible<br>itoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|   | No Data Submitted |                     |                                      |  |                 |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History. U.S. History Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement** Person or **Process Used to Position Anticipated** Determine Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Barrier Effectiveness of for Strategy

| Monitoring        |  |
|-------------------|--|
| No Data Submitted |  |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content<br>/Topic<br>and/or<br>PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | Leader | PLC, subject, | Schedules<br>(e.g., | up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring |  |
|---|------------------------|--------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|---|--|
|   | No Data Submitted      |        |               |                     |               |   |  |

### U.S. History Budget:

| Evidence-based Pr  | ogram(s)/Material(s)            |                |                     |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy           | Description of Resources        | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data            | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                    |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology         |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy           | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data            | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                    |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Devel | opment                          |                |                     |
| Strategy           | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data            | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                    |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other              |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy           | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data            | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                    |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                    |                                 |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

# Attendance Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

|   | ne areas in need of ir                      | nprovement:        |   |  | identify and       |
|---|---|--------------------|---|--|--------------------|
| 1. Attendance Attendance Goal #1:   |   |                    | The expecte is 92%.                                       | d student attendanc  | e rate for 2012    |
| 2012 Current Attendance Rate:   |   |                    | 2013 Expe   | cted Attendance Ra   | ate:               |
| The   | current student atter                       | ndance rate is     | The expecte is 96.6%.                                     | d student attendance   | e rate for 2013    |
|   | .2 Current Number<br>essive Absences (1     |                    | _   | cted Number of Stu<br>Absences (10 or m                      |                    |
| In 2012, 694 students were reported having excessive absences (10 or more). |   |                    | -   | 3 the expected num ve absences will be (                     |                    |
|   | .2 Current Number essive Tardies (10        |                    | _   | cted Number of Stu<br>Tardies (10 or mor                     |                    |
| In 2  | 012, 43 students were essive tardies (10 or | re reported having |   | 3 the expected num ve absences will be                       |                    |
|   | Problem-                                    | Solving Process to | Increase Stu  | dent Achievement   | -                  |
|   | -   |                    |   |  |                    |
|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier                      | Strategy           | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation<br>Tool |

|   | students who will<br>be absent for<br>extended periods of<br>time | teachers via CAB   |  |  |                                     |
|---|---|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| 2 | Students unaware of consequences of unexcused absences            | Share consequences of unexcused absences in grade level assemblies   | Grade Level<br>administrators<br>and counselors          |  | End of year<br>attendance<br>report |
| 3 | Inconsistent recording and reporting of tardies by teachers       | Review tardy policy and reporting procedures with teachers  Conduct periodic hall sweeps to identify students who have not reported to class on time | Grade level<br>administrators<br>& security<br>personnel | Conduct quarterly<br>reviews of<br>attendance data | End of year<br>attendance<br>report |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content<br>/Topic<br>and/or<br>PLC Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or<br>PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| Attendance<br>policy                        | All grade levels       | Counselors                                   | All grades  | Friday<br>morning<br>meeting PD  | Quarterly<br>reports                     | Guidance<br>Administration                                |

#### **Attendance Budget:**

| Evidence-based Pr |                          |                |                     |
|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy          | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data           | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                   |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology        |                          |                |                     |

| Strategy            | Description of Resources        | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data             | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                     |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Develo | pment                           |                |                     |
| Strategy            | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data             | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                     |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other               |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy            | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data             | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                     |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                     |                                 |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of **Attendance** Goal(s)

# Suspension Goal(s)

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of suspension data, and define areas in need of improvement: | d reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and   |
|--|--|
| 1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1:  | By June 2012 Boyd Anderson will have a 25% decrease in external suspensions.                           |
| 2012 Total Number of In-School<br>Suspensions                                      | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions  |
| In 2012 the total number of in-school suspensions was 91.                          | In 2013, we expect a 10% (82 students) reduction in in-school suspensions.                             |
| 2012 Total Number of Students<br>Suspended In-School                               | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School   |
| In 2012 the total number of students suspended in-school was 82.                   | In 2013, we expect a drop of 10% (74 students) in the number of students issued in school suspensions. |
| 2012 Number of Out-of-School<br>Suspensions  | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions  |
| In 2012 the total number of out-of-school suspensions was 87.                      | In 2013, we expect a drop of 10% (78 students) in out of school suspensions.                           |
| 2012 Total Number of Students<br>Suspended Out-of-School                           | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School   |
| In 2012, the total number of students suspended out-of-school was 72.              | In 2013, we expect to reduce the number of students suspended out of school by 10% (65 students).      |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement                      |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|   | Anticipated<br>Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation<br>Tool  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Ineffective<br>implementation of<br>school-wide<br>discipline plan           | Effective use of classroom management. All instructional staff must adhere to the schools discipline plan and intervene early when students exhibit inappropriate behaviors.  Early identification of students not on | Teachers,<br>Administrators,<br>Behavior<br>Specialist    | Collection of data through DMS to identify teachers/students with a high number of referrals by quarter | DMS reports   |  |  |  |
|   |  | track for graduation due to behavorial issues and development of success plan for those students.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|   | Inadequate<br>application of<br>CHAMPs classroom<br>management<br>strategies | Teachers will implement the CHAMP strategies, coaches will model effective teaching strategies and teachers will review classroom rules and objectives daily.   | Teachers,<br>Administrators                               | DMS and CHAMPs<br>Look Fors   | Decrease in<br>the number of<br>disciplinary<br>referrals |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | Teachers will implement Character Education in their classrooms. Implement a School Wide Positive Behavioral Rewarding Plan acknowledging positive student behavior.  |   |   |   |  |  |  |

60 of 75

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content<br>/Topic<br>and/or<br>PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | Leader | PLC, subject, | release)<br>and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g., | up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring |  |
|---|------------------------|--------|---------------|--|---------------|---|--|
|   | No Data Submitted      |        |               |  |               |   |  |

#### **Suspension Budget:**

| Evidence-based Pro  | gram(s)/Material(s)             |                |                     |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy            | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data             | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                     |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology          |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy            | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data             | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                     |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Develo | pment                           |                |                     |
| Strategy            | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data             | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                     |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other               |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy            | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data             | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                     |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                     |                                 |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of **Suspension** Goal(s)

## Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

requirements

2

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

|  |   | parent involvement in need of improven  |                                      | ence to "Guiding Que         | estions",                 |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
|  | Propout Prevention                                      |   | ienc.                                |                              |                           |
| Propout Prevention Goal #1:  *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year. |   | The dropout rate is expected to decrease by 1% and the graduation rate is expected to increase by 1%. |                                      |                              |                           |
| 201  | 2 Current Dropout                                       | Rate:   | 2013 Expe                            | cted Dropout Rate            | :                         |
| The  | 2012 dropout rate is                                    | not yet available.  | The 2013 dr                          | opout rate is expect         | ed to decrease            |
| 201  | 2 Current Graduat                                       | ion Rate:   | 2013 Expe                            | cted Graduation Ra           | ate:                      |
| The  | 2012 graduation rate                                    | e is not yet available  | The 2013 gr<br>increase by           | aduation rate is expo<br>1%. | ected to                  |
|  | Problem   | -Solving Process to   | Increase Stu                         | dent Achievement             | :                         |
|  | Anticipated<br>Barrier                                  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible | Process Used to<br>Determine |                           |
|  |   |   | for<br>Monitoring                    | Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation<br>Tool        |
| 1  | Students not feeling connected to significant personnel | Set up grade level<br>learning centers in   | for                                  |                              | Tool End of year data and |

62 of 75 1/18/13 10:41 AM

own progress in

meeting graduation requirements, eg. Virtual counselor, pinnacle, jr/sr

|   |                      | letters                             |                                |  |   |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| 3 | classes required for | Offer opportunities for students to | administrators<br>& counselors | Review end of year<br>data on courses<br>repeated via FLVS | End of year<br>data on<br>courses<br>repeated via<br>FLVS |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content<br>/Topic<br>and/or<br>PLC Focus                      | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or<br>PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| Graduation requirements Accessing student transcript information | s<br>All counselors    | Guidance<br>Director                         | All counselors  | Early Release  | Monitor student<br>progress              | Administration  |

#### **Dropout Prevention Budget:**

| <b>Evidence-based Pro</b> | gram(s)/Material(s)               |                        |                     |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Strategy                  | <b>Description of Resources</b>   | Funding Source         | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                   | No Data                           | No Data                | \$0.00              |
|                           |                                   |                        | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology                |                                   |                        |                     |
|                           |                                   |                        |                     |
| Strategy                  | Description of Resources          | Funding Source         | Available<br>Amount |
| Strategy<br>No Data       | Description of Resources  No Data | Funding Source No Data |                     |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Other    |                          |                |                         |
| a        | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available               |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | r unumg Source | Amount                  |
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data        | <b>Amount</b><br>\$0.00 |
|          | <u> </u>                 |                |                         |

End of **Dropout Prevention** Goal(s)

# Parent Involvement Goal(s)

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 1     | •  | parent involvement<br>in need of improven  | •   | ence to "Guiding Que   | estions",   |
|-------|--|--|---|--|---|
| *Plea |  |  | parental inv  | 3, we will increase t<br>olvement to 1% (10  |   |
|       | 2 Current Level of olvement:   | Parent   | 2013 Expedinvolvement                                     | cted Level of Parer<br>nt:   | nt  |
| 1     | 012, the percentage<br>evement was less tha                            | of consistent parentann 1% (5 families).   | ·   | 3, we will increase to olvement to 1% (10)   |   |
|       | Problem  | -Solving Process to  | Increase Stu  | ident Achievement  | :   |
|       | Anticipated<br>Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                                     | Evaluation<br>Tool                                      |
| 1     | Immigration &<br>Naturalization<br>Services-Status<br>Language Barrier | INS Officials will continue to conduct workshops for parents and students regarding legal immigration status | Assistant<br>Principals                                   | Evaluate and assess the number of parents' attendance and responses to mail and general meetings | Increase in attendance at SAC and other parent meetings |
|       |  | Continue to utilize<br>bilingual (Creole<br>and Spanish  |   |  |   |

|   |   | interpreters during meetings (Utilizing the Parent-Link system in multiple languages will give parents an opportunity to be aware of school activities). |                                 |   |                                  |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
|   |   | Newsletter<br>describing<br>upcoming academic<br>and extracurricular<br>activities.  |                                 |   |                                  |
|   |   | Keys To Success Dinner, Parent University, Family Literacy Night and Freshman Invasion for all incoming 9th graders.                                     |                                 |   |                                  |
| 2 | Parents have<br>children in more<br>than 1 school | Pair with feeder schools to plan activites where parents from both schools could attend.   | Administrators<br>and SAC Chair | - | Increase in parent participation |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| Focus Leader or | release) Strategy for Position |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|
|-----------------|--------------------------------|

#### No Data Submitted

#### **Parent Involvement Budget:**

| Evidence-based Pro  | gram(s)/Material(s)             |                |                     |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy            | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data             | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                     |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology          |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy            | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data             | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                     |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Develo | pment                           |                |                     |
| Strategy            | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data             | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                     |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other               |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy            | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data             | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                     |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                     |                                 |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of **Parent Involvement** Goal(s)

## Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Base   | Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: |                               |   |  |                    |  |  |
|--|---|-------------------------------|---|--|--------------------|--|--|
| 1. STEM  Teachers will incorporate a variety technological student and/or teacher resource to enhance their pedagogy and increase student achievement. |   |                               |   |  | cher resources     |  |  |
|  | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement                                 |                               |   |  |                    |  |  |
|  | Anticipated<br>Barrier  | Strategy                      | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation<br>Tool |  |  |
| 1  | Students lack the familiarity with  | The Mu Alpha Theta<br>student | Administrator<br>Math and                                 | Daily questions will<br>be given to the                      | Daily<br>questions |  |  |

|   | STEM careers.   | organization will research STEM careers to develop school-wide daily announcements during Math and Science Week.   | Science Coach<br>IB Coordinator | students to coincide with the daily announcements. Students will submit their answers to a centrally located box where answers will be pulled for accuracy and incentives will be given. |  |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | Teachers lack<br>familiarity with<br>technology<br>resources/STEM<br>initiatives. | Teachers will be introduced to STEM initiatives during an Early Release Day.  Curriculum coaches will collaborate with teachers to plan lessons together, to integrate STEM into the curriculum. | Science Coach<br>IB Coordinator | walkthrough forms;<br>observation of   | Classroom<br>walkthroughs,<br>lesson plans |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content<br>/Topic<br>and/or<br>PLC Focus                                       | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or<br>PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring                              | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|
| Introduction<br>to STEM<br>initiatives/us<br>of<br>technology<br>in the<br>classroom |                        | Academic<br>coaches                          | All teachers  | PD days  | Monitor<br>classroom<br>implementation<br>of the use of<br>technology | Administration<br>& coaches                               |

STEM Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(  | s)/Material(s)           |                |                     |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy                 | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology               |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy                 | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Development | :                        |                |                     |
| Strategy                 | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                    |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy                 | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                          |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of **STEM** Goal(s)

# Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 1. CTE teachers will increase the number of students preparing for Industry Certifications Exams.  1. CTE  2. CTE teachers and administrators will create and industry certification timeline in order to have all certification exams completed.  3. CTE teachers and administrators will participate in Professional Development in all of the new technology areas such as Dreamweaver, Photoshop, QuickBooks, and Global Logistics.  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | Anticipated Barrier  Strategy  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy |          |  |   |                  | Evaluation<br>Tool |
|--|---|----------|--|---|------------------|--------------------|
| students preparing for Industry Certifications Exams.  1. CTE  2. CTE teachers and administrators will create and industry certification timeline in order to have all certification exams completed.  3. CTE teachers and administrators will participate in Professional Development in all of the new technology areas such as Dreamweaver, Photoshop, QuickBooks, and  |   | Problem- | -Solving Process to  | o Increase Stu  | dent Achievement |                    |
|  |   |          | students pre<br>Exams. 2. CTE teach<br>and industry<br>have all cert<br>3. CTE teach<br>participate in<br>the new tech<br>Dreamweave | students preparing for Industry Certifications Exams.  2. CTE teachers and administrators will create and industry certification timeline in order to have all certification exams completed.  3. CTE teachers and administrators will participate in Professional Development in all of the new technology areas such as Dreamweaver, Photoshop, QuickBooks, and |                  |                    |

| 1 | Hands on experience needed to grasp concepts of programs.   | CTE Teachers will create a plan to broaden exposure to programs (ex. Career fest, Fieldtrips, guest speakers, Middle School Visits, College Visits, Parent Nights, etc.) b. CTE teachers will encourage students to participate in after school YMCA/21st Century Program. | CTE<br>Administrators                 | Walk-throughs,<br>Attendance at after<br>school program | Industry<br>Certification<br>Test Pass Rate |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| 2 | Availability of Certification Center to schedule tests  Availability of computer labs within school for testing | CTE teachers will develop a timeline to include pre-testing, lesson plans, ongoing assessments, and Certification Tests.   | CTE Teachers<br>CTE<br>Administrators | Walk-throughs,<br>review of testing<br>results          | Industry<br>Certification<br>Test Pass Rate |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content<br>/Topic<br>and/or<br>PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | Leader | PLC, subject, | Schedules<br>(e.g., | up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|---|
| No Data Submitted                                 |                        |        |               |                     |               |   |

#### CTE Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)

| Strategy                        | Description of Resources        | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data                         | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                                 |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology                      |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy                        | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                         | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                                 |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| <b>Professional Development</b> |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy                        | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                         | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                                 |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                           |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy                        | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                         | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                                 |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                                 |                                 |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of **CTE** Goal(s)

70 of 75

## Additional Goal(s)

To provide additional enrichment activities for students achieving at Level 1. Goal:

|  | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", dentify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1. To provide additional enrichment activities for students achieving at Level 1. Goal To provide additional enrichment activities for students achieving at Level 1. Goal #1: |   |  | will score a l  | By June 2013 31% of the 9th grade students will score a level 1 in reading and 36% of the 10th grade students will score a level 1 in          |  |  |
| 201  | 2 Current level:  |  | 2013 Expe   | cted level:  |  |  |
| a lev  | In 2012 35% of the 9th grade students scored a level 1 in reading and 40% of the 10th grade students scored a level 1 in reading.                                 |  |   | By June 2013 31% of the 9th grade students will score a level 1 in reading and 36% of the 10th grade students will score a level 1 in reading. |  |  |
|  | Problem   | -Solving Process to  | Increase Stu  | dent Achievement   | :  |  |
|  | Anticipated<br>Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation<br>Tool                       |  |
| 1  | Students need additional practice in reading.   | Enrichment pull-out<br>program for level 1<br>students<br>Enrichment after<br>school program for<br>level 1 students | _   | Monitoring and analyzing assessment data   | Formative and summative assessments FCAT |  |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content<br>/Topic<br>and/or<br>PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | release)<br>and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g., | up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--------|---|--|---------------|---|
| No Data Submitted                                 |                        |        |   |  |               |   |

#### **Budget:**

| Evidence-based Pr  | ogram(s)/Material(s)            |                |                     |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy           | Description of Resources        | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data            | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                    |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology         |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy           | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data            | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                    |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Devel | opment                          |                |                     |
| Strategy           | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data            | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                    |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other              |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy           | Description of Resources        | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data            | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                    |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                    |                                 |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of **To provide additional enrichment activities for students achieving at Level 1.** Goal(s)

### FINAL BUDGET

| Goal             | Strategy  | Description of<br>Resources | Funding Source    | Available Amount      |
|------------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
|                  | After school                                      |                             |                   |                       |
| Reading          | enrichment tutoring<br>in reading and ACT<br>prep | Supplemental<br>materials   | YMCA/21st Century | \$6,000.00            |
| Mathematics      | After school enrichment tutoring                  | Supplemental materials      | YMCA/21st Century | \$6,000.00            |
| Science          | After school enrichment tutoring                  | Supplemental materials      | YMCA/21st Century | \$3,000.00            |
|                  |   |                             | S                 | Subtotal: \$15,000.00 |
| Technology       |   |                             |                   |                       |
| Goal             | Strategy  | Description of<br>Resources | Funding Source    | Available Amount      |
| Reading          | Learning Stations                                 | on-line testing             | Regular Budget    | \$1,400.00            |
|                  |   |                             |                   | Subtotal: \$1,400.00  |
| Professional Dev | velopment   |                             |                   |                       |
| Goal             | Strategy  | Description of<br>Resources | Funding Source    | Available Amount      |
| No Data          | No Data   | No Data                     | No Data           | \$0.00                |
|                  |   |                             |                   | Subtotal: \$0.00      |
| Other            |   |                             |                   |                       |
| Goal             | Strategy  | Description of<br>Resources | Funding Source    | Available Amount      |
| No Data          | No Data   | No Data                     | No Data           | \$0.00                |
|                  |   |                             |                   | Subtotal: \$0.00      |
|                  |   |                             | Gran              | nd Total: \$16,400.00 |

## Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

| <ul><li>Priority</li></ul> | <ul><li>Focus</li></ul> | <ul><li>Prevent</li></ul> | O NA |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------|

Are you a reward school: ○Yes 

No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

View uploaded file (Uploaded on 1/18/2013)

## School Advisory Council

#### School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

✓ Yes. Agree with the above statement.

|                   | Amount |  |
|-------------------|--------|--|
| No data submitted |        |  |

#### Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

Assist with development and monitor progress of school improvement plan. Parent involvement activities to include Keys to Success, literacy night, technology night etc.

## AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

## **SCHOOL GRADE DATA**

No Data Found

| Broward School District<br>BOYD H. ANDERSON HIGH SCHOOL<br>2010-2011 |         |           |         |         |                           |   |
|--|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
|  | Reading | Math      | Writing | Science | Grade<br>Points<br>Earned |   |
| % Meeting High<br>Standards (FCAT<br>Level 3 and Above)              | 23%     | 58%       | 72%     | 21%     | 174                       | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students<br>Making Learning<br>Gains                            | 36%     | 63%       |         |         | •                         | 3 ways to make gains:<br>Improve FCAT Levels<br>Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5<br>Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2   |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?                       |         | 52% (YES) |         |         | 100                       | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.  |
| FCAT Points Earned   |         |           |         |         | 373                       |   |
| Percent Tested = 97%   |         |           |         |         |                           | Percent of eligible students tested   |
| School Grade*  |         |           |         |         | D                         | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested  |

| Broward School District<br>BOYD H. ANDERSON HIGH SCHOOL<br>2009-2010 |          |           |         |         |                          |   |
|--|----------|-----------|---------|---------|--------------------------|---|
|  | Reading  | Math      | Writing | Science | Grade<br>Points<br>Earne | 3   |
| % Meeting High<br>Standards (FCAT<br>Level 3 and Above)              | 25%      | 57%       | 82%     | 20%     | 184                      | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students<br>Making Learning<br>Gains                            | 36%      | 71%       |         |         | 10/                      | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2  |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?                       | 36% (NO) | 76% (YES) |         |         | 112                      | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.  |
| FCAT Points Earned   |          |           |         |         | 413                      |   |
| Percent Tested = 99%   |          |           |         |         |                          | Percent of eligible students tested   |
| School Grade*  |          |           |         |         | С                        | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested  |

75 of 75