FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: SUNSET PARK ELEMENTARY

District Name: Orange

Principal: Carol Russ

SAC Chair: Rakesh Singh/Doug Justice

Superintendent: Barbara M. Jenkins

Date of School Board Approval: January 29, 2013

Last Modified on: 1/25/2013



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
					2011-2012: School Grade A FCAT 2.0 High Standards in Reading 70%, Math 72%, Writing 86%, Science 62% Learning Gains in Reading 75%, Math 75% Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 77%, Math 67% Met 2012 AMO Target Math = Y ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = Y STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = Y ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = Y AMERICAN INDIAN = NA ASIAN = Y BLACK/AFRICAN AMERICAN = N HISPANIC = Y WHITE = Y ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = Y STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = Y ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = Y Met 2012 AMO Target Reading = N

Principal	Carol Russ	Masters Degree in Educational Leadership	6	18	AMERICAN INDIAN = NA ASIAN = Y BLACK/AFRICAN AMERICAN = N HISPANIC = N WHITE = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N 2010-2011: School Grade A Didn't make AYP: ELL, F&R, Hispanic High Standards in Reading 88%, Math 86%, Writing 92%, Science 73% Learning Gains in Reading 76%, Math 56% Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 67%, Math 59% 2009-2010: School Grade A Made AYP High Standards in Reading 90%, Math 84%, Writing 89%, Science 66% Learning Gains in Reading 76%, Math 73% Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 70%, Math 76% 2008-2009: School Grade A Didn't make AYP: ELL, F&R, Hispanic High Standards in Reading 91%, Math 87%, Writing 92%, Science 64% Learning Gains in Reading 79%, Math 74% Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 77%, Math 75%
Assis Principal	Scott Peters	Masters Degree in Educational Leadership	5	8	2011-2012: School Grade A FCAT 2.0 High Standards in Reading 70%, Math 72%, Writing 86%, Science 62% Learning Gains in Reading 75%, Math 75% Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 77%, Math 67% Met 2012 AMO Target Math = Y ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = Y STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = Y ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = Y AMERICAN INDIAN = NA ASIAN = Y BLACK/AFRICAN AMERICAN = N HISPANIC = Y WHITE = Y ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = Y Met 2012 AMO Target Reading = N AMERICAN INDIAN = NA ASIAN = Y BLACK/AFRICAN AMERICAN = N HISPANIC = Y Wet 2012 AMO Target Reading = N AMERICAN INDIAN = NA ASIAN = Y BLACK/AFRICAN AMERICAN = N HISPANIC = N WHITE = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N ENDLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS =

	Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 70%, Math 76%
	2008-2009: School Grade A Didn't make AYP: ELL, F&R, Hispanic High Standards in Reading 91%, Math 87%,
	Writing 92%, Science 64% Learning Gains in Reading 79%, Math 74% Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 77%, Math 75%

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading & Math	Felicia Smith	Specalist Degree in Educational Leadership, Masters Degree in Exceptional Student Education, Bachelors Degree in Marketing, ESOL Certified	6	8	2011-2012: School Grade A FCAT 2.0 High Standards in Reading 70%, Math 72%, Writing 86%, Science 62% Learning Gains in Reading 75%, Math 75% Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 77%, Math 67% Met 2012 AMO Target Math = Y ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = Y STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = Y ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = Y AMERICAN INDIAN = NA ASIAN = Y BLACK/AFRICAN AMERICAN = N HISPANIC = Y WHITE = Y ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = Y STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = Y ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = Y Met 2012 AMO Target Reading = N AMERICAN INDIAN = NA ASIAN = Y BLACK/AFRICAN AMERICAN = N HISPANIC = N WHITE = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH CANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH CANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH CANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH CANGUAGE LEARNERS = N STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES = N ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED = N ENGLISH CANGUAGE P ENGLISH CANGUAGE

Learning Gains in Reading 79%, Math 74%
Lowest 25% Making Gains in Reading 77%,
Math 75%

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Partnering new teachers with veteran staff	Principal	On-going	
2	Regular meetings of new teachers with Principal	Principal	On-going	
3	Job Fairs and E-recruiting	District	On-going	
4	Soliciting referrals from current employees	Principal	On-going	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
No data submitted	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
68	4.4%(3)	26.5%(18)	63.2%(43)	5.9%(4)	32.4%(22)	100.0%(68)	2.9%(2)	4.4%(3)	66.2%(45)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Wanda White	Latrice Smith	Mentor is an experienced, high performing teacher	Weekly meetings with PLC. Monthly meetings with Principal. Common planning time for optimal feedback, coaching, and planning.
Rachael LeVee	Rosemary Ford	Mentor is an experienced, high performing teacher	Weekly meetings with PLC. Monthly meetings with Principal. Common planning time for optimal feedback, coaching, and planning.
			Weekly meetings with

Pam Bacon	Stephanie Gurley	Mentor is an experienced, high performing teacher	PLC. Monthly meetings with Principal. Common planning time for optimal feedback, coaching, and planning.
Donna Beery	Stephanie Krutzler	Mentor is an experienced, high performing teacher	Weekly meetings with PLC. Monthly meetings with Principal. Common planning time for optimal feedback, coaching, and planning.
Lirisa Duncan	Tamia Martinez	Mentor is an experienced, high performing teacher	Weekly meetings with PLC. Monthly meetings with Principal. Common planning time for optimal feedback, coaching, and planning.
Donna Beery	Sharon Michael	Mentor is an experienced, high performing teacher	Weekly meetings with PLC. Monthly meetings with Principal. Common planning time for optimal feedback, coaching, and planning.
Jacqueline Hool	Amy Cheesebrew	Mentor is an experienced, high performing teacher	Weekly meetings with PLC. Monthly meetings with Principal. Common planning time for optimal feedback, coaching, and planning.
Robin Recanati	Megan Mullaney	Mentor is an experienced, high performing teacher	Weekly meetings with PLC. Monthly meetings with Principal. Common planning time for optimal feedback, coaching, and planning.
Brian Gordon	Stephen Biernacki	Mentor is an experienced, high performing teacher	Weekly meetings with PLC. Monthly meetings with Principal. Common planning time for optimal feedback, coaching, and planning.
Samira Zaveri	Kathryn McParland	Mentor is an experienced, high performing teacher	Weekly meetings with PLC. Monthly meetings with Principal. Common planning time for optimal feedback, coaching, and planning.
Kristy Johnson	Heather Stiles	Mentor is an experienced, high performing teacher	Weekly meetings with PLC. Monthly meetings with Principal. Common planning time for optimal feedback, coaching, and planning.
Johanny Ramos	Rachel Frank	Mentor is an experienced, high performing teacher	Weekly meetings with PLC. Monthly meetings with Principal. Common planning time for optimal feedback, coaching, and planning.
Virginia Brown	Pavithra Surkanti	Mentor is an experienced, high performing teacher	Weekly meetings with PLC. Monthly meetings with Principal. Common planning time for optimal feedback, coaching, and planning.
Lirisa Duncan	Ramina Raiford	Mentor is an experienced, high performing teacher	Weekly meetings with PLC. Monthly meetings with Principal. Common planning time for optimal feedback, coaching, and planning.
Rita Grajales	Rita Vallebuona	Mentor is an experienced, high performing teacher	Weekly meetings with PLC. Monthly meetings with Principal. Common planning time for optimal feedback, coaching, and planning.

David Weisberg	Suzanne Willis	Mentor is an experienced, high performing teacher	Weekly meetings with PLC. Monthly meetings with Principal. Common planning time for optimal feedback, coaching, and planning.
Elisha Fuller	Denise Conklin	Mentor is an experienced, high	Weekly meetings with PLC. Monthly meetings with Principal. Common planning time for optimal feedback, coaching, and planning.

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.
Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education

Career and Technical Education

Job Training			
Other			

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

-School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal and Assistant Principal: provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing RtI, conducts assessment of RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.

General Education Teachers: Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teacher: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as coteaching.

Administrative Team: Reading/Math/Science: Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk"; assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Administrative Team: Provides guidance on K-12 reading plan; facilitates and supports data collection activities; assists in data analysis; provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data-based instructional planning; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3 intervention plans.

School Psychologist: Participates in collection, interpretation and analysis of data; facilitates development of intervention plans; provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; provides professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation; facilitates data-based decision making activities.

Curriculum Resource Teacher: Develops documents necessary to manage and display data; provides professional development to teachers and staff regarding data management and display. Facilitates all district and state assessments.

Speech/Language Pathologist: Educates the team in the role language plays in curriculum; assessments, and instructions, as a basis for appropriate program design; assists in the selection of screening measures; and helps identify systemic patterns of student need with respect to language skills.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The Administrative Team will focus meetings around one question: How do we develop and maintain a problem-solving system to bring out the best in our schools, our teachers, and in our students? The team meets once a week to engage in the following activities:

Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI Leadership Team met with the School Advisory Council (SAC) and principal to help develop the SIP. The team provided data on: Tier 1, 2, and 3 targets; academic and social/emotional areas that need to be addressed; helped set clear expectations for instruction(Rigor, Relevance, Relationship); facilitated the development of a systemic approach to teaching (Essential Questions, Teaching Strategies, Extending, Refining, and Summarizing); and aligned processes and procedures with CIM:

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Tier I Core Program- 90 Minute Block

- · Consists of academic and behavioral methodologies, supports are designed for all students.
- Differentiated small group instruction, on grade level material, (guided reading materials, skill or strategies on grade level.
- Students one year below, Targeted Reading or ELL Support Guide, Re-teach Guide
- Students above grade level receive "enrichment" during Tier I time.

Tier II Core Plus More- School Wide Reading Time (30 Minutes)

- Consists of supplemental instruction and intervention that are provided in addition to the effective core program, both academic instruction and behavioral supports
- Students' two years below, 4th & 5th use Triumphs, K-3rd Kaleidoscope.
- Consists of individualized, intensive academic instruction or behavioral supports provided in addition and aligned with the core program.
- The goal is to increase the rate of the student's progress.
- This may also mean using any of the above Tier II Interventions that data indicates may help the child.

Tier III – Individualized (10-15 Minutes)

- Consists of individualized, intensive academic instruction or behavioral supports provided in addition in addition and aligned with the core program.
- The goal is to increase the rate of the student's progress.
- This may also mean using any of the above Tier II Interventions that data indicates may help the child.

Baseline data: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PRMN), FAIR, Edusoft, FCAT

Progress Monitoring: PMRN, Curriculum Based Measurement (CBM, FCAT simulation)

Midyear: Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Edusoft

End of Year: FAIR, FCAT, Edusoft Benchmark

Frequency of Data Days: monthly for data analysis

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Sunset Park Elementary began RtI in 2007. Some of the initial training materials included logistical, step-by-step information for teachers, as well as philosophical background information regarding interventions and monitoring student progress. During the 2012-2013 school year, Sunset Park Elementary will focus on providing students with quality Tier III interventions that are engaging, active and measurable. This includes our implementation of Kaleidoscope, Triumphs and also beginning a new implementation of a math intervention piece, First In Math. Subject area text book intervention.

RtI staff training will include the following information:

- * Review of the roles and expectations of each RtI team member
- * Training on Envision Math! (core math program) and its use for progress monitoring purposes
- * Training on Kaleidoscope, Triumps, Targeted Reading & Math, First In Math (Tier II intervention programs) and their use for progress monitoring purposes

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Professional development overview/review during preplanning by trained team members. Professional development will be provided during "Sunset Park University", grade level meetings and team meetings throughout the year.. Additionally, our district level Rtl Coach works with the school's Rtl team to determine the level of assistance needed throughout the school and assists based on school's academic and behavioral needs.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal & Assistant Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data based decision making, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, assures adequate professional development to ensure literacy acquisition, and communicates with parents regarding literacy plans and activities.

General Education Teachers (Primary and Intermediate: Provide information about literacy instruction, participate in literacy data collection.

CRT: Develop, lead, implement and evaluate literacy content standards/ programs, identify and analyze existing literature on scientifically based literacy curriculum approaches. Updates Staff Development Calendar.

Principal & Assistant Principal: Provides guidance on K-5 literacy plan; facilitates and supports data collection activities, assists in datat analysis; provides professional devlopment and technical assistance to teachers regarding data-based instructional planning.

Media Specialist: Facilitates and Supports Accelerated Reader Program; develops and maintains media collection; facilitates Book Fair; coordinates student and faculty use of media center. Provides teachers support for Language Arts and Reading Benchmarks.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT will focus meetings around one question: How do we achieve AYP goals in the area of literacy?

The team will meet quarterly to engage in the following activities: Review the school-wide literacy plan, survey faculty to determine literacy needs, review universal data and link to instructional decisions. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The LLT will review the school-wide literacy plan, seeking staff input for changes to be made to increase student performance in reading.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

High Schools Only	
Note: Required for Hig	h School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.
How does the school in relevance to their futu	ncorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and re?
	ncorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that
	ncorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that udy is personally meaningful?
students' course of st	udy is personally meaningful?
students' course of st	udy is personally meaningful?
Postsecondary Tra	udy is personally meaningful?

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

vviie	iri using percentages, include	the number of students the p	percentage represents	(e.g., 70% (35)).	
	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
readi	CAT2.0: Students scoringing.	g at Achievement Level (In grades 3-5, 2	24% (93/396)of students a administration on the FCA	
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
	ades 3-5, 24% (93/396)of e 2011-12 administration c			27% of the students will a e 2012-13 FCAT Reading ⁻	
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Student Attendance	Encourage parents to make sure children are in school each day. Provide informational resources to parents related to student achievement.	Attendance Clerk	Attendance Clerk and teachers will monitor student attendance and flag students who are absent for 5 or more days. Parents will be notified of	FTE Reports FCAT District Edusoft Benchmark Assessments 1 and 2
		Provide intervention strategies for students who are below grade level.		importance of student attendance and educational requirements.	FAIR Assessment
2	Children need additional support and assistance in reading	Individual tutoring, group tutoring and constant monitoring	Leadership Team Classroom Teachers	Administration and teachers will work together to identify students who need assistance through monitoring strategies	FCAT FAIR Edusoft Subject Area Assessments
3	Children need reinforcement in reading at school and home.	Use Accelerated Reader program to set reading goals. Provide recognition for students who reach their AR goal	Media Specialist Classroom teachers Leadership Team	Monitor the number of books read/points earned by students	Accelerated Reader Management Destiny
4	Ensuring that teachers are using the focus calendar with fidelity.	Develop an instructional focus calendar for Reading classes.	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT	Administration will be area of the IFC's upcoming focus and monitor implementation through classroom walkthroughs.	Effectiveness will be determined through Edusoft mini-assessments and benchmark assessments.
5	Parents need education regarding strategies to help their children learn to read	Provide Family Curiculum Nights and Workshops	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT	School Effectiveness Survey, Parent Sign In	School Effectiveness Survey, Parent Sign In
6	Children need additional support and assistance in reading	monitoring	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT	Monitor student assessments	FCAT, Fair, Edusoft,Subject Area Assessments
7	Children need reinforcement in reading at school and home by using the Accelerated	Provide recognition for students reaching their Accelerated Reader goals each quarter	Principal, CRT,	Monitor the number of books read by students quarterly	Destiny, Accelerated Reader Management

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:	In grades 3-5, 0% (0/8)of students achieved s Level 4, 5 and/or 6 on the 2011-12 administration on the Floria Alternate Assessment Reading Test.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
In grades 3-5, 0% (0/8)of students achieved s Level 4, 5 and/or 6 on the 2011-12 administration on the Floria Alternate Assessment Reading Test.	In grades 3-5, 13% of the students will score at Levels 4, 5 and/or 6 on the 2012-13 administration of the Floria Alternate Assessment Reading Test.			

Reader Program

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students have not mastered some basic skills	Schedule time for daily intervention to provide small group instruction	Principal Assistant Principal Classroom Teachers	Monitor student performance on informal assessments	Informal and formal assessments
2	Students often struggle with understanding abstract concepts	Provide opportunities for students to work with manipulatives, technology, and/or models as well as real world examples as needed	Principal Assistant Principal Classroom Teachers	Monitor student performance on informal assessments; teacher observations	Information and formal student assessments; teacher observation data
3	Teachers may need additional support with fully understanding the access points	Provide access point training, and ensure that teachers are provided with relevant information available through the district to teachers	Principal Assistant Principal Staffing Specialist	Monitor student performance through information and formal classroom assessments	Class assessment data

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following group:			
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a:	In grades 3-5, 47% (187/396) of students achieved levels 4 and 5 on the 2012-13 administration of the FCAT Reading Test.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
In grades 3-5, 47% (187/396) of students achieved levels 4 and 5 on the 2012-13 administration of the FCAT Reading Test.	In grades 3-5, 50% of students will achieve level 4 and above on the 2012-13 administration of the FCAT Reading Test.		

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Differentiating instruction for above average students	enrichment/enrichment program to include daily	Teacher	assessments and progress	Pre and Post lesson/unit assessments FAIR Edusoft Benchmark Assessment and

2	Not providing enough challenging material to support students capable of scoring above proficiency on FCAT Reading.	Include higher order questions and brain research strategies in lesson plans (Webb's DOK & Bloom's Taxonomy)	Leadership Team Classroom Teachers	Lesson plans will be reviewed during classroom walkthroughs and submitted throughout the year to be reviewed by a member of the Leadership team	Mini Assessments Weekly Subject area assessments District Assessment Protocols to monitor the use of strategies.
3	Reinforcing reading at home and at school through the use of the Accelerated Reader Program	Provide recognition for students reaching their AR goals.	Classroom	Monitor the number of books read and points scored by students throughout the year.	Accelerated Reader Management
4	The Houghton Mifflin core reading program lacks intensity to support students capable of scoring above proficiency on FCAT Reading.		Principal, Asst Principals, CRT	Lesson plans will be reviewed during classroom walkthroughs and will be submitted weekly to administration and leadership team.	Classroom Walkthroughs to monitor the use of strategies.
5	Differentiating instruction for above average students	Provide program on campus for gifted and provide additional resources to challenge all students	Principal, Asst Principals, CRT, Teachers	Monitor student assessments	Subject Area Assessments, SuccessMaker, Edusoft, FAIR
6	9	Provide recognition for students reaching their Accelerated Reader goals each quarter	Principal, CRT,	Monitor the number of books read by students quarterly	Destiny, Accelerated Reader Management

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading.

In grades 3-5, 50% (4/8)of students achieved at or above Achievement Level 7 on the 2011-12 administration on the Floria Alternate Assessment Reading Test.

Reading Goal #2b:

2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance:

In grades 3-5, 50% (4/8)of students achieved at or above Achievement Level 7 on the 2011-12 administration on the Floria Alternate Assessment Reading Test.

In grades 3-5, 53% of the students will score at or above Achievement Level 7 on the 2012-13 administration of the Floria Alternate Assessment Reading Test.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students have not mastered some basic skills	Schedule time for daily intervention to provide small group instruction	Principal Assistant Principal Classroom Teachers	Monitor student performance on informal assessments	Informal and formal assessments
2	Students often struggle with understanding abstract concepts	Provide opportunities for students to work with manipulatives, technology, and/or models as well as real world examples as needed.	Principal Assistant Principal Classroom Teachers	Monitor student performance on informal assessments; teacher observations	Information and formal student assessments; teacher observation data
3	Teachers may need additional support with fully understanding the access points	Provide access point training, and ensure that teachers are provided with relevant information available through the		Monitor student performance through information and formal classroom assessments	Class assessment data

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:	In grades 3-5, 75% (187/249) made learning gains on the 2011-12 adminsitration of the FCAT Reading.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

district to teachers

In grades 3-5, 75% (187/249) made learning gains on the 2011-12 adminsitration of the FCAT Reading.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

In grades 3-5, 78% of students will achieve learning gain on the 2012-13 administration of the FCAT reading test.

Ļ						
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	1	ELL and ESE learners not scoring at 3 and above on FCAT reading.	after school intensive reading tutoring. Additional Reading instruction is provided to improve and support student reading skills and strategies using RtI to identify deficiencies and prescribe intervention. Tutoring sessions are 2 days a week for 1 hour after school beginning in October. The importance of attending these sessions will be stressed to the parents as well as the students.	Leadership Team Tutors	Mini assessments in targeting the reading strategies. PLC group data meetings will be held to ensure the action plan is implemented and progress is being made.	
	2	within these performance groups to Receive additional intervention and support with reading	A schedule will be developed which targets lower performing students. Students receive additional reading support by the reading resource team and leadership team members. Instruction will focus on specific learning standards, skills, and strategies to help the student improve reading skills.	Leadership Team	Continuous monitoring and assessment. Review data to ensure progress is being made. Attendance will be monitored.	Pre and Post lesson/unit assessments FAIR Edusoft Benchmark Assessment and Mini Assessments Weekly Core reading assessments. Easy CBM
	3	incorporate student data	students	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT	achievement thermometers for Data Chats during walkthroughs	Administrators will randomly ask students how they performed on their most recent assessment to determine if data chats are successful during Principal Chats. Review of class data matrix

					form
4	regarding strategies to help their children learn	3		Survey, Parent Sign In	School Effectiveness Survey, Parent Sign In Sheets
5	support and assistance in	5	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, Teachers	assessments	Subject Area Assessments,FCAT, Fair, Edusoft
6	students for high stakes assessments		Teachers	assessment and evaluate staff development	FCAT, FAIR, Edusoft, Staff Development Evaluations

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in In grades 3-5, 50% (4/8) of students achieved learning gains reading. on the 2011-12 administration of the Floria Alternate Assessment Reading Test. Reading Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In grades 3-5, 50% (4/8) of students achieved learning gains In grades 3-5, 53% of the students will score learning gains on the 2012-13 administration of the Floria Alternate on the 2011-12 administration of the Floria Alternate Assessment Reading Test. Assessment Reading Test. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Some students have Provide free books from Media Specialist Library Logs Accelerated limited access to surplus supplies. Staffing Specialist Reader independent reading materials at home. Encourage library visits and book check outs.

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:				In grades 3-5, 78% (50/64) of students in the Lowest 25% made learning gains on the 2010-11 administration of the FCAT Reading.			
2012	Current Level of Perforr	nance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
In grades 3-5, 78% (50/64) of students in the Lowest 25% made learning gains on the 2010-11 administration of the FCAT Reading.				In grades 3-5, 81% of students in the Lowest 25% will acheive learning gains on the 2012-13 administration of the FCAT Reading.			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	Students who are performing below grade level often require additional instructional	Before and after school intensive reading tutoring.	Leadership team Classroom Teachers	Analysis of student performance data.	Student performance data Edusoft		

1	time in reading	Additional Reading instruction is provided to improve and support student reading skills and strategies using RtI to identify deficiencies and prescribe intervention. Tutoring sessions are 2 days a week for 1 hour after school beginning in October. The importance of attending these sessions will be stressed to the parents as well as the students.			FAIR FCAT Easy CBM
2	Parents need education regarding strategies to help their children learn to read				School Effectiveness Survey, Parent Sign In
3	Children are unprepared for high stakes assessment due to learning and experiential gaps	Provide learning and experiences to fill achievement gaps using Safari Montage, Thinking Maps, and Learning Centers, including teacher staff development	1 7 7	Monitor student assessment and evaluate staff development	FCAT, FAIR, Edusoft, Staff Development Evaluations
4	Students need additional support and assistance in reading	Struggling students will participate in after school tutoring and selected pullouts	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, Classroom Teachers	Monitor student assessment	FCAT, Fair, Edusoft

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target Reading Goal # 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual By June 30, 2016, we will decrease our Achievement Gap in _ Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year Reading for Each Identified Subgroup by at least 50%. school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 2010-2011 75 78 80 82 84

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making In grades 3-5, 47% of the Black/ African American /57% of the Hispanic/82% of the White students didn't meet the 2012 satisfactory progress in reading. Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs) target on the 2012 administration on the FCAT Math Test. Reading Goal #5B: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 2012 Current Level of Performance: In grades 3-5, 47% of the Black/ African American /57% of In grades 3-5, 67% of the Black/ African American/66% of the Hispanic/82% of the White students didn't meet the 2012 the Hispanic/87% of the White students will meet the 2013 Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs) target on the 2012 Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs) target on the 2013 administration on the FCAT Math Test. administration on the FCAT Math Test.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:				
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C:	In grades 3-5, 52% of the English Language Leaner students didn't meet the 2012 Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs) target on the 2012 administration on the FCAT Reading Test.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
In grades 3-5, 61% of the English Language Leaner students will meet the 2013 Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs) target on the 2013 administration on the FCAT Reading Test.	In grades 3-5, 61% of the English Language Leaner students will meet the 2013 Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs) target on the 2013 administration on the FCAT Reading Test.			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Student learning deficiency based on language acquisition	Classroom teacher uses Houghton Mifflin ELL instructional strategies to support student learning. Staff development is provided to support teacher understanding and implementation of research-based instructional practices in the classroom.	Leadership Team Classroom Teacher	PLC group meetings focusing on the learning progress of ELL learners. Data chats with students to provide the opportunity to assess students through verbal interaction.	Weekly and mini assessments District Edusoft Benchmarks 1 and 2 FAIR Assessment Data Weekly data chats with students
2	Students who are working towards language acquisition often require additional instructional time in reading	After school intensive reading tutoring. Additional Reading instruction is provided to improve and support student reading skills and strategies using RtI to identify deficiencies and prescribe intervention. Tutoring sessions are 2 days a week for 1 hour after school before school beginning in October. The importance of attending these sessions will be stressed to the parents as well as the students.	Leadership team Reading Coach Classroom Teachers	Analysis of student performance data.	Student performance data Edusoft FAIR FCAT Easy CBM

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

r			In grades 3-5, 20% of the Students with Disabilities didn't meet the 2012 Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs) target on the 2012 administration on the FCAT Reading Test.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
meet the 2012 Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs) target on the 2012 administration on the FCAT Reading Test.		the 2013 administration on the FCAT Reading Test.			
	Froblem-Solving Fr	ocess to H	rici ease 3	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
Decellor Cool #FF			In grades 3-5, 56% of the Economically Disadvantaged students didn't meet the 2012 Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs) target on the 2012 administration on the FCAT Reading Test.			
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
In grades 3-5, 56% of the Economically Disadvantaged students didn't meet the 2012 Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs) target on the 2012 administration on the FCAT Reading Test.			In grades 3-5, 68% of the Economically Disadvantaged will meet the 2013 Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs) target on the 2013 administration on the FCAT Reading Test.			
	Problem-Solvir	ng Process to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement		
for			Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

 ${\it Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.}$

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/	Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Florida Ready Reading	Reading Supplement/ Workbooks	General	\$4,705.74
Tutoring	Reading Intervention	General	\$2,427.36
CARS and STARS	Reading Supplement Workbooks	General	\$4,292.33
McGraw Hill	Social Studies Core	General	\$22,802.00
Accelerated Reader	Reading Enrichment	Media	\$4,088.50
STAR Reading	Reading Assessment	Media	\$772.50
			Subtotal: \$39,088.43
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
I-Ready	Reading Intervention/Computer Software	General	\$6,109.00
			Subtotal: \$6,109.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
MYON Reader	Online Reading Enrichment	General	\$4,650.00
			Subtotal: \$4,650.00
			Grand Total: \$49,847.40

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

effectively interact with provide daily

all of the curriculum due intervention services to Principal, CRT,

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 54 of 112 ELL students scored in the proficient range in 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. listening and speaking on CELLA during the 2011-2012 school year. ESOL best practices will be implemented to CELLA Goal #1: ensure student language acquisition throughout all school operations: academic instruction, extra-curricular activities, and educational field trips. 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking: During the 2011-2012 school year, 63% (54 students) of identified ELL students (112 students) at Sunset Park Elementary, demonstrated proficiency and exited the ELL program. For the 2012-2013 school year, 66% will demonstrate proficiency on the CELLA and exit the ELL program. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students are unable to Have paraprofessional Principal, On-going. This effort Classroom

Assistant

will be monitored via

weekly grade level

observations,

grade level

1	to language barriers	students	Teachers and	planning meetings, the PLC process, student work and classroom observations	planning, PLC meetings, district benchmark assessments, formative and summative assessments, student work product and student performance demonstration
2	Teachers need additional guidance and instructional strategies to improve instruction with ELL students	Conduct PLCs with teachers to provide research-based instructional strategies	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, Classroom Teachers and CCT	Progress monitoring.On- going. This effort will be monitored via weekly grade level planning meetings, the PLC process, student work and classroom observations	

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.				
2. Students scoring proficient in reading. Twenty-Eight of 112 ELL students scored in the				
	proficient range in Reading on CELLA during the 2011- 2012 school year.			

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

During the 2011-2012 school year, 71% (63 students) of identified ELL students (112 students) at Sunset Park Elementary, demonstrated proficiency and exited the ELL program. For the 2012-2013 school year, 74% will demonstrate proficiency on the CELLA and exit the ELL program

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Teachers lack knowledge and expertise of instructional strategies to provide effective instruction for ELL students.	Implementation of professional development training with emphasis on targeted instructional strategies to support ELL students. Additional academic resources that teachers will utilize are: Successmaker		On-going. This effort will be monitored via weekly grade level planning meetings, the PLC process, student work and classroom observations.	Classroom observations, grade level planning, PLC meetings, district benchmark assessments, fromative and summative assessments, student work product and student performance demonstration.
2	Language barrier (student)	ESOL Paraprofessional will provide language support to students.	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, Classroom Teachers and CCT.	Progress monitoring.On- going. This effort will be monitored via weekly grade level planning meetings, the PLC process, student work and classroom observations.	

		student work
		product and
		student
		performance demonstration.
		demonstration.

Stude	ents write in English at gr	ade level in a manner sir	milar to non-ELL st	udents.	
3. Students scoring proficient in writing. CELLA Goal #3:		E7 6440 EU			
			students scored in the pr LA during the 2011-2012	0	
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:					
Eleme	entary demonstrated pro A and exit the ELL progra	ficiency. For the 2012-20	013 school year, 67	tudents (112 students) a 7% will demonstrate profi ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too
1	ELL students have limited success writing in English	Teachers are incorporating writing centers in instructional blocks	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, Classroom Teachers and	Lesson Plans Classroom Observations Data Meetings	School-wide Writing Prompts

CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Progra			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

skills

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in In grades 3-5, 29% (115/404) of students achieved mathematics. proficiency on the 2011-12 administration on the FCAT Math Test. Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In grades 3-5, 29% (115/404) of students achieved In grades 3-5, 32% of the students will achieve proficiency proficiency on the 2011-12 administration on the FCAT Math in reading on the 2012-13 FCAT Math Test. Test. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Teachers will need to Provide training and Principal, Assistant Monitor student FCAT, learn and organize the resources for Envision Principal, CRT assessment, Successmaker, new Envision math Assess staff Edusoft, Staff program development, Monitor development Destiny textbook data. assessment. Destiny Principal, Assistant Parent sign in sheets, Parents lack strategies to Provide Family Curiculum Parent sign in provide children with Principal, CRT School Effectiveness Night, grade level sheets, School real-world math workshops and teacher Survey data will be Effectiveness experiences websites reviewed Survey Students lack proficiency Purchase First In Math FCAT, Principal, Assistant Continuously monitor Successmaker, in math operations computer program Principal, CRT, student progress Technology Edusoft, Envision Coordinator Unit Tests

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need
stadents seeming at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.		and/or 6 on the	In grades 3-5, 0% (0/8)of students achieved s Level 4, 5 and/or 6 on the 2011-12 administration on the Floria Alternate Assessment Math Test.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:	
In grades 3-5, 0% (0/8)of students achieved s Level 4, 5 and/or 6 on the 2011-12 administration on the Floria Alternate Assessment Math Test.		and/or 6 on the	In grades 3-5, 13% of the students will score at Levels 4, 5 and/or 6 on the 2012-13 administration of the Floria Alternate Assessment Math Test.		
	Pr	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Additional training on approved Alternate Assess Curriculum	To provide intense instruction for students struggling in math	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, Staffing Specialist, and ESE Staff		Florida Alternate Assessment
2	Students have not mastered some basic	Schedule time for daily intervention to provide	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT,	Monitor student performance on informal	Informal and formal assessments

small group instruction Staffing Specialist, assessments

			and ESE Staff		
3	Students often struggle with understanding abstract concepts	students to work with manipulatives,	Staffing Specialist,	performance on informal assessments; teacher observations	Information and formal student assessments; teacher observation data
4	Teachers may need additional support with fully understanding the access points.	training, and ensure that	Staffing Specialist,	l .	Class assessment data

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

	In grades 3-5, 44% (177/404) of students achieved levels 4 and 5 on the 2011-12 administration of the FCAT Math Test.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
In grades 3-5, 44% (177/404) of students achieved levels 4 and 5 on the 2011-12 administration of the FCAT Math Test.	

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1		Provide training and resources for Envision	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT	Monitor student assessment, Assess staff development, Monitor Destiny textbook data.	FCAT, Successmaker, Edusoft, Staff development assessment, Destiny
2	students is more difficult	Provide cluster gifted classes for gifted students and top 10% of grade levels		Monitor student assessments	Subject Area Assessments, FCAT, Successmaker, Edusoft
3	Differentiating instruction for above average students is more difficult for teachers than differentiating for below average students	Implement enrichment portion of Envision Math	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, Teachers of the cluster gifted classes	Monitor student assessment	FCAT, Successmaker, Edusoft, Envision Assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:	
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics.	In grades 3-5, 50% (4/8)of students achieved at or above Achievement Level 7 on the 2011-12 administration on the
Mathematics Goal #2b:	Floria Alternate Assessment Math Test.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
In grades 3-5, 50% (4/8)of students achieved at or above Achievement Level 7 on the 2011-12 administration on the Floria Alternate Assessment Math Test.	In grades 3-5, 53% of the students will score at or above Achievement Level 7 on the 2012-13 administration of the Floria Alternate Assessment Math Test.

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students have not mastered some basic skills.	intervention to provide	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, Staffing Specialist, and ESE Staff	performance on informal	Informal and formal assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a:	In grades 3-5, 75% (186/248) made learning gains on the 2011-12 adminsitration of the FCAT Math.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
In grades 3-5, 75% (186/248) made learning gains on the 2011-12 adminsitration of the FCAT Math.	In grades 3-5, 78% of students will achieve learning gain on the 2012-13 administration of the FCAT Math test.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Coordinating the existing order of instruction with the new Envision Math series	Teacher inservice on the new Envision Math series.		Monitor Lesson Plans and Evaluate Staff Development	Lesson Plans on Progress Book, Staff Development Evaluation Form
2		PLC meetings will focus on progress monitoring of individual students in math.	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT	Ongoing progress monitoring	Student profile form, RTI worksheet
3	Struggling students require extra support and assistance	Struggling students in all grades will participate in individual and group after school tutoring	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT	Continuos monitoring of student progress	FCAT, Edusoft, Envision Assessments
4	Parents lack strategies to provide children with real-world math experiences	Provide Family Curiculum Night, grade level workshops and teacher websites	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT	Parent sign in sheets, School Effectiveness Survey data will be reviewed	Parent sign in sheets, School Effectiveness Survey

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in In grades 3-5, 13% (1/8) of students achieved learning gains mathematics. on the 2011-12 administration of the Floria Alternate Assessment Math Test. Mathematics Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In grades 3-5, 13% (1/8) of students achieved learning gains In grades 3-5, 26% of the students will score learning gains on the 2011-12 administration of the Floria Alternate on the 2012-13 administration of the Floria Alternate Assessment Math Test. Assessment Math Test. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students often struggle with understanding abstract concepts	Provide opportunities for students to work with manipulatives, technology, and/or models as well as real world examples as needed	Staffing Specialist,	performance on informal	Information and formal student assessments; teacher observation data

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. In 2011-12 67% 43/64) of students taking the FCAT math test in the lowest 25% made learning gains. Mathematics Goal #4: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In 2011-12 67% 43/64) of students taking the FCAT math In 2012-13 70% of students in the lowest 25% will achieve test in the lowest 25% made learning gains. learning gains on the adminstration of FCAT Math. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of Responsible for Monitoring Strategy Teacher inservice on the Principal, Assistant Monitor Lesson Plans and Lesson Plans on Coordinating the existing order of instruction with new Envision Math series. Principal, CRT Evaluate Staff Progress Book, the new Envision Math Staff Development Development series Evaluation Form Ongoing progress Student profile PLC meetings will focus Principal, Assistant Ongoing progress monitoring of individual on progress monitoring of Principal, CRT monitoring form, RTI individual students in students in new Envision worksheet Math series math. Students have difficulty Students will use Principal, Assistant Classroom Walkthrough Classroom understanding abstract manipulatives and other Principal, CRT and FPMS Observations, Walkthroughs, mathematical concepts concrete objects to help Lesson Plan monitoring FPMS, Lesson 3 them understand Plans on Progress abstract mathematical Book. concepts. Struggling students Struggling students will Principal, Assistant Continuos monitoring of FCAT, Edusoft, require extra support and participate in after school Principal, CRT, Envision student progress Assessments assistance. tutoring Classroom Teachers Parents lack strategies to Provide Family Curiculum Principal, Assistant Parent sign in sheets, Parent sign in provide children with Night, grade level Principal, CRT School Effectiveness sheets, School real-world math workshops and teacher Survey data will be Effectiveness experiences websites reviewed Survey

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target								
5A. Ambitious Measurable Ob school will red by 50%.	ojectives (AMO	s). In six year	Elementary School Mathematics Goal # By June 30, 2016, we will decrease our Achievement Gap in Math for Each Identified Subgroup by at least 50%. 5A:					
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014		2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	69	72	75		77	80		

of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, an Ilowing subgroup:	id refer	ence to "Gu	liding Questions", identify	and define areas in need		
satisfactory progress in mathematics.				In grades 3-5, 50% of the Black/ African American students didn't meet the 2012 Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs) target on the 2012 administration on the FCAT Math Test.			
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Expe	ected Level of Performa	nce:		
didn't meet the 2012 Ann	e Black/ African American stud ual Measureable Objectives (A nistration on the FCAT Math T	AMOs)	will meet t	3-5, 58% of the Black/ Afi he 2013 Annual Measurea the 2013 administration o	ble Objectives (AMOs)		
	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	No	o Data	Submitted				
of improvement for the fo	earners (ELL) not making n mathematics.	nd refer	rence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need		
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Expe	ected Level of Performa	nce:		
	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion tionsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	No	o Data	Submitted				
Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, an llowing subgroup:	ıd refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need		
5D. Students with Disab satisfactory progress in Mathematics Goal #5D:							
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data Submitted		

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fol	student achievement data, and lowing subgroup:	d refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.					
Mathematics Goal E:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to L	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data S	Submitted		

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		N	lo Data Submitted	d		

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Florida Ready Math	Math Supplement/ Workbooks	General	\$4,705.74
Star Math	Math Assessment	General	\$952.75
			Subtotal: \$5,658.49
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$5,658.49

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a:				In 5th Grade, 24% (32/134) of students achieved proficiency on the 2011-12 administration of the FCAT Science.			
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performand	ce:		
	n Grade, 24% (32/134) ciency on the 2011-12 a dice.			On the 2011-12 FCAT Science administration, it is expected that 27% of students will achieve proficiency.			
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students lack real- world experiences to help them undertand scientific concepts	Provide a science lab on the special area rotation with integrated science content	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, Science Teacher	Continuously monitor student progress	FCAT, Edusoft		
2	Core science program in all grades needs enhancement	Weekly hands-on science experiments	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, Science Teacher	Classroom Observations, Lesson Plan monitoring	FCAT, Edusoft		
3	Increased higher order thinking needed in science curriculum	Science word walls in all grades and Science Club	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT	Classroom Observations, Lesson Plan monitoring	FCAT, Edusoft		
4	Parents need strategies to help students understand science better	Provide Family Curiculum Night, grade level workshops and teacher websites	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT	School Effectiveness Survey, Parent Sign In Sheets	School Effectiveness Survey, Parent Sign In Sheets		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.

Science Goal #1b:

In grades 3-5, 100% (1/1)of students achieved s Level 4, 5 and/or 6 on the 2011-12 administration on the Floria Alternate Assessment Science Test.

ı			1			
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
In grades 3-5, 100% (1/1)of students achieved s Level 4, 5 and/or 6 on the 2011-12 administration on the Floria Alternate Assessment Science Test.			Levels 4, 5 and	In grades 3-5, 100% of the students will score at Levels 4, 5 and/or 6 on the 2012-13 administration of the Floria Alternate Assessment Science Test.		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students have not mastered some basic skills	Schedule time for daily intervention to provide small group instruction	Assistant	Monitor student performance on informal assessments	Informal and formal assessments	
2	Students often struggle with understanding abstract concepts	for students to work with manipulatives,	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, ESE Staff and Science Teacher	Monitor student performance on informal assessments; teacher observations	Information and formal student assessments; teacher observation data	

Principal,

Assistant

Principal, CRT,

ESE Staff and

Science Teacher

Monitor student

performance through

information and formal

classroom assessments

Class assessment

data

needed.

teachers.

additional support with training, and ensure

fully understanding the that teachers are

Provide access point

provided with relevant

information available

through the district to

Teachers may need

access points.

3

	d on the analysis of stud s in need of improvement			Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define	
A TOTAL CONTROL CONTRO				In 5th Grade, 49% (66/134) of students achieved levels 4 and above on the 2011-12 administration of the FCAT Science Test.		
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ce:	
In 5th Grade, 49% (66/134) of students achieved levels On the 2011-12 FCAT Scie 4 and above on the 2011-12 administration of the FCAT expected that 52% of students achieve level 4 and above 10 achieve level 4 achieve le				52% of students in 5th		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students lack real- world experiences to help them undertand scientific concepts	Provide a science lab on the special area rotation with integrated science content	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, Science Teacher	Continuously monitor student progress	FCAT, Edusoft	
2	Core science program in all grades needs enhancement	Weekly hands-on science experiments	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, Science Teacher	Classroom Observations, Lesson Plan	FCAT, Edusoft	
3	Increased higher order thinking needed in science curriculum		Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT	Classroom Observations, Lesson Plan monitoring	FCAT, Edusoft	
4	Parents need strategies to help students understand science better	Family Curriculum Night	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT	School Effectiveness Survey, Parent Sign In Sheets	School Effectiveness Survey, Parent Sign In Sheets	

Based	d on the analysis of stud	lent achievement data.	and reference to "	Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define	
	in need of improvement					
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b:			above Achieve	In grades 3-5, 0% (0/0)of students achieved at or above Achievement Level 7 on the 2011-12 administration on the Floria Alternate Assessment Science Test.		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performand	ce:	
above admii	ades 3-5, 0% (0/0)of stree Achievement Level 7 on histration on the Floria Acce Test.	n the 2011-12	above Achieve	In grades 3-5, 13% of the students will score at or above Achievement Level 7 on the 2012-13 administration of the Floria Alternate Assessment Science Test.		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too	
1	Students have not mastered some basic skills	Schedule time for daily intervention to provide small group instruction	Assistant	Monitor student performance on informal assessments	Informal and formal assessments	
2	Students often struggle with understanding abstract concepts	Provide opportunities for students to work with manipulatives, technology, and/or models as well as real world examples as needed	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, ESE Staff and Science Teacher	Monitor student performance on informal assessments; teacher observations	Information and formal student assessments; teacher observation data	
3	Teachers may need additional support with fully understanding the access points	Provide access point training, and ensure that teachers are provided with relevant information available through the district to	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, ESE Staff and Science Teacher	performance through information and formal classroom assessments	Class assessmen data	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

teachers

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
	No Data Submitted								

Science Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

student writing through a writing PLC emphasis

with 4th grade teachers.

rubric used to assess

writing.

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd reference to "Gu	iding Questions", identify	/ and define areas	
3.0 a	CAT 2.0: Students scor and higher in writing. ang Goal #1a:	ing at Achievement Le	In 4th Grade, 8	In 4th Grade, 86% (125/146) of students achieved AYP on the 2010-11 administration of the FCAT Writing.		
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	> :	
	h Grade, 86% (125/146) e 2010-11 administration			On the 2012-13 FCAT Writing administration, 89% of students in 4th Grade will achieve a 3.0 or higher.		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students need to experience writing in testing situations with relevant topics	Conduct practice writing assessments	Principal, Assistant Principal,CRT, Classroom Teachers	Student Performance Data	Writing progress monitoring data	
2	Parents lack strategies to help their children become better writers	Provide Family Curiculum Night, grade level workshops and teacher websites	Principal, Assistant Principal,CRT, 4th Grade PLC	3.	School Effectiveness Survey, Parent Sign In Sheets	
3	Teachers need to understand the expectations of student writing based on the scoring method and the	Teachers will develop their understanding of the rubric requirements and have multiple opportunities to assess	Principal, Assistant Principal,CRT, Classroom Teachers	Monitor PLC sessions and teacher opportunities to practice scoring student writing samples	Scored student writing samples	

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

4	understand expectations of writing based on the rubric used to assess student	lessons with students so that they have opportunities to	· ·		Student and teacher assessment of writing
---	--	--	-----	--	--

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
	Florida Alternate Assess or higher in writing.	sment: Students scorin	0	In 4th Grade, 33% (1/3)of students achieved a Level 4 or			
Writing Goal #1b:				higher on the 2011-12 administration on the Floria Alternate Assessment Writing Test.			
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	e :		
In 4th Grade, 33% (1/3)of students achieved a Level 4 or higher on the 2011-12 administration on the Floria Alternate Assessment Writing Test.			higher on the 2	In 4th Grade, 36% of the students will score a Level 4 or higher on the 2012-13 administration of the Floria Alternate Assessment Reading Test.			
	Pro	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy R		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Some students have limited vocabulary skills	meaningful way through	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT and Classroom Teachers	Classroom Observations	Observation Data Class Assessments		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., early	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Developing Common Assessments	K-5/Writing	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT and Classroom Teachers	Staff	Several sessions to be conducted via early release Wednesdays	during collaborative	Principal Assistant Principal
Writing	4 Grade Writing	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT and Classroom Teachers	4th Grade Team	Wednesdays from September	developing instructional focus items, co-teach,	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT and Classroom Teachers

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount		

No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-	•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-	•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Writing Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

 * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
Attendance Attendance Goal #1:				Sunset Park attained a 96% attendance rate in 2012 as indicated on district reports.		
2012	Current Attendance R	ate:		2013 Expecte	d Attendance Rate:	
				Sunset Park will attain a 97% attendance rate in 2013 as indicated on district reports.		
	Current Number of Stunces (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expecte Absences (10	d Number of Students or more)	with Excessive
1	12 Sunset Park had 304/ It 10 or more days.	944 students who were		In 2013 Sunset Park will reduce the percentage of students absent 10 or more days by 3% (301/1030).		
1	Current Number of Stues (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)		
	12 Sunset Park had 87/9 10 or more days.	44 students who were		In 2013 Sunset Park will reduce the percentage of students absent 10 or more days by 3%(84/1030).		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to I r	ncrease Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Morning traffic pattern during drop off created an environment where excessive traffic backed up in the morning during arrival, causing students to	Move the drop off point to the far end of the school to allow for more cars to unload at one time.		dership Team	Needs Assessment Survey	Needs Assessment Survey District Tardy Data (EDW)

arrive to class late.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:			
1. Suspension In the 2011-2012 school year, Sunset Park Elementary			
Suspension Goal #1:	had 1 in-school suspension.		
2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions		

In the 2011-2012 school year, Sunset Park Elementary had 1 in-school suspension.			For the 2012-2013 school year, we expect there to be 0 in-school suspensions.			
2012	Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended In-Scho	ool	2013 Expected School	d Number of Students	Suspended In-
In the 2011-2012 school year, Sunset Park Elementary had 1 student suspended in-school.			′	For the 2012-2013 school year, we expect there to be 0 in-students suspended in school.		
2012	Number of Out-of-Sch	ool Suspensions		2013 Expected Suspensions	d Number of Out-of-Sc	hool
	e 2010-2011 school year out-of school suspensio	, Sunset Park Elementary ns.	′	For the 2012-2013 school year, we expect there to be less than 0 out-of-school suspensions.		
2012 Scho		ents Suspended Out-of-	-	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School		
	e 2011-2012 school year students suspended ou	, Sunset Park Elementary t-of school.	′	For the 2012-2013 school year, we expect there to be 0 students suspended out-of-school.		
	Pro	olem-Solving Process t	:0 I i	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	er Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Maintaining working contact numbers for our students.	School will cross reference numbers given to teachers and the office staff. Classroom teacher will have emergency information cards completed by parents with current contact information.			We will track the number of students that do not have working numbers. We will send letters home in student planners to try and obtain working numbers when none are found.	SMS Emergency Information Cards

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)							
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount				
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00				
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00				

1			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	•		Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-		Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
_			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	on the analysis of pareled of improvement:	nt involvement data, and	reference to "Guid	ding Questions", identify	and define areas	
1. Pa	rent Involvement					
*Plea	nt Involvement Goal # se refer to the percental sipated in school activities olicated.	ge of parents who	the nation, Sur	In order to be the top producer of successful students in the nation, Sunset Park Elementary School's goal is to have 90% of parents to be involved with their children's education.		
2012	Current Level of Parer	nt Involvement:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Parent Invol	vement:	
establ	lishes a minimum parenta 011-12 school year of 63	e Five Star School Portfo al involvement percentag 3%. olem-Solving Process t	e in 2012-13 schoo	2012-13 school year will be at least 66%.		
	FTOI	olem-solving Frocess t	o merease stade	int Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Inability to communicate with families	Daily planners, monthly newsletters, maintenance of the school website, teacher websites, and monthly updates will all facilitate parent involvement	Specalist	Documentation of parent contact attempts will be maintained. The quality of parent interactions will be assessed.	School Effectiveness Survey	
2	Teacher awareness of cultural and economic diversity	Lesson Studies	Principal, Assistant Principal	Teachers will sign in and evaluate staff development	Staff Development Evaluation	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:							
1. ST	EM 1 Goal #1:		To increase stuactivities	To increase student participation in STEM lessons and activities				
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Teachers need more exposure to STEM methods and instructional practices	Use STEM activities through OCPS	Principal Assistant Principal CRT Science Lab Teacher	Classroom visits I-Observation Weekly assessments Data Meetings Instructional meeting with coaches	•Formative Assessments •FCIM •Scales/Rubrics •Student Engagement			
	Students need more exposure to STEM	Students will participate the OCPS	Principal Assistant Principal	•Classroom visits •I-Observation	•Formative Assessments			

2	activities and content	Units STEM activities		 Weekly assessments 	•FCIM
2			CRT	Data Meetings	 Scales/Rubrics
			Science Lab	 Instructional meeting 	 Student
			Teacher	with coaches	Engagement

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Professional Dev.	K-5	Science Coaches	K-5 Teachers	Quarterly	I-ObservationWeeklyassessments	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT Primary and Intermediate Science Coaches

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

OCPS Essential Outcome #8

Goal:

1	d on the analysis of studed of improvement for the		nd reference to "Gi	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
1. 00	CPS Essential Outcome	#8 Goal	"Destination Co	ementary will continue to ollege" to increase Colleg	e and Career	
OCPS	S Essential Outcome #8	3 Goal #1:	College" Progra	Awareness. Sunset Park will Implement "Destination College" Program 100% (24) of the intermediate classrooms with fidelity.		
2012	Current level:		2013 Expecte	d level:		
"Dest Awar Collec	et Park Elementary will co ination College" to increa eness. Sunset Park will Ir ge" Program 100% (24) c coms with fidelity.	nse College and Career mplement "Destination	will have impleand philosophy	By June 2013, 100% (24) of the intermediate classrooms will have implemented the "Destination College" program and philosophy to support academic rigor and promote college readiness. College" program into the curriculum.		
	Prol	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Available training and support levels necessary to meet teacher/student needs	Classroom Discussions Implementing Cornell Notes in Fifth Grade Webex Training for	Principal Assistant Principal	Classroom observations	Teacher surveys	
1		Destination College Celebrate National College Colors Day College Themed Classroom Decorations	CRT Classroom Teachers			

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	•	•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		<u> </u>	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of OCPS Essential Outcome #8

Goal(s)

OCPS Essential Outcome #1 Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:									
	PS Essential Outcome Essential Outcome #1		third graders t	The 2012 FCAT results showed that 70% (85/121) of all third graders taking the FCAT Reading test scored at Level 3 or higher.					
2012	Current level:		2013 Expecte	ed level:					
third	012 FCAT results showed graders taking the FCAT 3 or higher.	d that 70% (85/121) of a Reading test scored at	By June 2013,	By June 2013, 73% of all third grader students will score at a Level 3 or above on the 2013 FCAT Reading test.					
	Prob	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1	with a retention based on 2011 FCAT Reading results	Provide an additional hour of intensive instruction outside of the 90 minute reading block. Student will work (3 to 5 times per week) with trained paraprofessionls using instructional strategies based on student data while focusing on the learning needs of the student.	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, Classroom Teachers and Media Specialist	STAR Reading Assessment Subject Pre, Mid & Post Tests Subject Area Assessments Easy CBM	Edusoft Reading Mini Assessments Edusoft Reading Benchmark Assessments FAIR STAR Reading Assessment FCAT Reading				
2		Teachers will actively implement differentiated instruction through small group and learning centers to address specific needs.	Principal, CRT,	Progress monitoring of student fluency, accuracy and comprehension	FAIR, Benchmark Assessments, STAR, fluency checks, mini- assessments, A/R				
	Students need to read	Encourage student love	Principal,	Book Checkout	District and grade				

3	often at an early age.	age by implementing an incentive reading	Assistant Principal, CRT, Classroom Teachers and Media Specialist	Progress with incentive program	level assessments in reading
4	K-3 teachers are becoming more familiar with the change of instructional focus as Kindergarten & 1st Grade are implementing Common Core Standards fully this school year while 2nd Grade will be teaching a blend of Next Generation Sunshine State and Common Core Standards.	Provide teams with professional development in the standards	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, Classroom Teachers and Media Specialist	Lesson Plans Teacher Observations	District and grade level assessments in reading

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Implementing Common Core Standards in Grades K-2	K-2 Reading	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, Classrooms Teachers	Grades K-2		Lasson Plans: PLC	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, Classrooms Teachers

Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Pro	ogram(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Florida Ready Reading	Reading Supplement/ Workbooks	General	\$4,705.74
Reading	Tutoring	Reading Intervention	General	\$2,427.36
Reading	CARS and STARS	Reading Supplement Workbooks	General	\$4,292.33
Reading	McGraw Hill	Social Studies Core	General	\$22,802.00
Reading	Accelerated Reader	Reading Enrichment	Media	\$4,088.50
Reading	STAR Reading	Reading Assessment	Media	\$772.50
Mathematics	Florida Ready Math	Math Supplement/ Workbooks	General	\$4,705.74
Mathematics	Star Math	Math Assessment	General	\$952.75
				Subtotal: \$44,746.92
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	I-Ready	Reading Intervention/Computer Software	General	\$6,109.00
				Subtotal: \$6,109.00
Professional Devel	opment			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	MYON Reader	Online Reading Enrichment	General	\$4,650.00
				Subtotal: \$4,650.00
				Grand Total: \$55,505.92

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority jn F	jn Prevent	j ∩ NA
------------------	------------	---------------

Are you a reward school: † Yes † No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Describe projected use of SAC funds Amount								
No data submitted								
Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year								

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Orange School District SUNSET PARK ELEMEN 2010-2011						
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	88%	86%	92%	73%	339	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	76%	56%			132	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	67% (YES)	59% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					597	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Orange School District SUNSET PARK ELEMEN 2009-2010						
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	90%	84%	89%	66%	329	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	76%	73%			149	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?		76% (YES)			146	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					624	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested