FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

1

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name:1471Perkins Elementary School	District Name: Pinellas County Schools		
Principal: Tony Pleshe	Superintendent:John A. Stewart, Ed.D.		
SAC Chair: Crystal Rockne	Date of School Board Approval: Pending: October 9, 2012		

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data(Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Tony Pleshe	MS Educational Leadership BS Elementary Education	3	5	A rated 2011 & 2012
Assistant Principal	Richard Ninis Laura Kranzel	MS Educational Leadership BS Elementary Education	18/4	18/4	A rated 2011 & 2012

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as anInstructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Monthly Teacher Appreciation	Principal/PTA	Ongoing
2.		
3.		
4.		

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective. *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
NA	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	%ESOL Endorsed Teachers

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Joel Heller	Ruth Klukoff	Band/Strings Teachers	Observation of mentee's instruction and providing
			feedback; Planning lessons with mentee; Connecting
			lesson activities to content standards; Discussing student

	progress and analyzing student
	work; Modeling or co-teaching
	lessons

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Title I, Part A funds are utilized, in conjunction with district operating funds and other federal resources, to support teaching and learning, parental engagement, and professional development. Title I services are coordinated and integrated with other resources through the Division of Teaching and Learning, Student Assignment, and Research and Accountability.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

NA in Pinellas

Title I, Part D

The district receives Title I, Part D funds which provide transition services from alternative education programs to zoned schools. In addition, a portion of Title I, Part A funds is reserved for services to neglected and delinquent students. Funds are targeted to support continuous education services to students in neglected and delinquent facilities through tutoring, instructional materials and resources, and technology.

Title II

The district receives funds to increase student achievement through professional development for teachers and administrators. Title II funds provide math and science coaches, as required by Differentiated Accountability, in some of the district's lowest performing schools. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide additional reading and math coaches in targeted schools based on FCAT results.

Title III

Title III funds provide educational materials, bilingual translators, summer programs, and other support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners. Bilingual translators provide assistance with parent workshops and dissemination of information in various languages for Title I schools.

Title X- Homeless

The district receives funds to provide resources for students for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is also reserved to provide services to homeless students (social workers, a resource teacher, tutoring, and technology).

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI funds are coordinated with Title I, Part A funds to provide extended learning opportunities for students before/during/after school and during the summer.

Violence Prevention Programs

Nutrition Programs

Title I coordinates with district food services to provide breakfast and lunch to students in Title I summer extended learning camps.

Housing Programs

Head Start

Title I, Part A funds are used to provide Pre-Kindergarten to Kindergarten transition services. Title I schools coordinate with staff from public and private preschool programs, including Head Start, to prepare students for a successful start to school. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide classes for 3 year olds at targeted elementary schools to support early literacy.

Adult Education

Career and Technical Education

Job Training

Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal, Assistant Principal, Guidance Counselor, VE Resource Teacher, Psychologist, Speech Teacher, PreK ESE Teachers, Grade Level Team Leader

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

-Facilitator – generates agenda and leads team discussions

-Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) – assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data

-Technology Specialist – brokers technology necessary to manage and display data

-Recorder/Note Taker – documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access

-Time Keeper -helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda

Meeting time:

Every Thursday – Grade Level PE times

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS meets weekly to discuss students and interventions that aligned with the schools strategic goals. Problem solving sessions are planned during pre school and action plans are written for the school year. Monthly the goals are monitored by the MTSS and revisions are made when necessary.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. EDS is used to gather data for the team to review. The data used is from FAIR, Common Assessment, behavior data (referrals) as well as teacher input.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Monthly training will be provided by the district ESE department in the form of ESE meetings.

Describe the plan to support MTSS. Provide time and resources

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT). Each grade level will have a representative & Principal Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern: Support for text complexity • Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students 0 • Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text 0 Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence) 0 Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction). The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams. What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year? Support for text complexity Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension • • Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parentsin the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Kindergarten Teachers will hold an orientation for incoming students and their parents prior to the beginning of the school year. Readiness skills will be emphasized and good choices for academic and social characteristics will be presented. Materials will be available, as well as pamphlets covering a variety of helpful parenting subjects ranging from parenting skills, helping with homework, students with disabilities and what to expect at a parent teacher conference.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Rea	ding Goal	S		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
and reference to "Gu	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
Achievement Leve			instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	teacher	*Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans			
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and	1a.2. Walkthrough			

	1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	Evidence of:	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Improve current level of performance Performance:* #N/A Decrease level 1,2,3	Insufficient standard based	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2.	1b.2. Walkthrough
	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.

			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
and reference to "Guidefine areas in need of	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
define areas in need of hipprovention for the following group: 2a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or above AchievementLevels 4 and 5 in reading. Reading Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Level of Performance:* Improve current level of performance Performance:* 50% (135) Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%		2a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction 2a.2.		2a.2.	Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	2a.1. Walkthrough 2a.2.	
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
Improve current level of	2012 Current 22 Level of L Performance:* P #N/A In	ading.	2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	AP who evaluates teacher		2b1. Walkthrough

		2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.	and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points 2b.2.	2b.2.
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of student acl and reference to "Guiding Question define areas in need of improvement group:	s", identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of smaking Learning Gains in restrict and the second structure of the	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100%	Lack of student engagement	Instruction		by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.

			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.
3b. Florida Alterna	to Accoccmo	nte	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.
Percentage of stude		Learning	Lack of student	Differentiate	AP who evaluates	Content materials are differentiated	School Summary of observation
Gains in reading.	U	U	engagement	Instruction	teacher	by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of	section of teacher appraisal results
Reading Goal #3b:		2013Expected Level of	1			content, and skill level	IPI data when available
Improve current level of <u>Performance:</u> <u>Performance:</u> <u>Performance:</u>							State instructional walkthrough when applicable
						*Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis and reference to "Guid define areas in need of	ding Questions", improvement for	, identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
group: 4a.FCAT 2.0:Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4a: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Improve current level of Performance:*		4a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. AP who evaluates teacher		4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	

performance	pending		Insufficient intervention supports exist to	Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	sufficient number and variety of intervention courses	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs
			4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.
4b. Florida Alterna Percentage of stude making learning ga	ents in Low	est 25%			4b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural	4b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

Reading Goal #4b: 2012 Curre Improve current level of performance Performance pending	Level of Performance:* 100%	Insufficient intervention supports exist to	Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	*SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of	intervention tea and planning;	re teachers and achers communicating Walkthroughs
	2	4b.3	4b.3.		student success in core courses 4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but A Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Performance Target		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017

5A. Ambitious but Baseline data 2010-2011	86	<mark>89</mark>	9 <mark>2</mark>	<mark>94</mark>	<mark>97</mark>	<mark>100</mark>
Achievable 83						
Annual ⁰⁰⁰ Measurable						
Objectives						
(AMOs). In six						
year school will						
reduce their						
achievement gap by 50%.						
Reading Goal #5A:						
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data,	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Ev	aluation Tool
and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following			Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy		
subgroup:			Wolntoring			
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White,			5b.1.		5b.1.	
Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not	White: Black:	Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural	Lesson Plans &	Walkthrough
	Hispanic:			background, prior knowledge of		
	Asian:			content, and skill level		
	American Indian: Lack of			*Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of		
	differentiation of			diverse learners (learning readiness		
	instruction			and specific learning needs)		
				*Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the		
				needs of diverse learners *Teachers		
				provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.		
				*These small groups are flexible and		
				change with the content, project and		
				assessments *Students are provided opportunities		
				to demonstrate or express		
				knowledge and understanding in		
				different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.		

Improve current level of performance	Level of Performance:* White:142 71% Black: 36 18% Hispanic: 15 7% Asian: 5	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of all subgroups to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of all subgroups by 10%					
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis and reference to "Guid define areas in need of i	ding Questions"	, identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Langua making satisfactory Reading Goal #5C: Improve current level of performance	age Learner y progress in 2012 Current Level of Performance:* pending	reading. 2013Expected Level of	Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

Based on the analysis and reference to "Guid define areas in need of i	ling Questions	s", identify and	5C.2. 5C.3. Anticipated Barrier	5C.2. 5C.3. Strategy	5C.2. 5C.3. Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		5C.2. 5C.3. Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with I making satisfactory Reading Goal #5D: Improve current level of performance	Disabilities v progress i 2012 Current Level of Performanc e:* 50% 13	in reading. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. AP who evaluates teacher		5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
			5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2. 5D.3.		5D.2. 5D.3.
Based on the analysis and reference to "Guid define areas in need of i	ling Questions	s", identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E: Improve current level of performance <i>Performance e.* pending</i> 100% of economically disadvantage d students will learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%	differentiation of instruction	Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3

Reading Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
					Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring						
Common Core	ALL	Various	School-wide	Monthly	Facilitator notes & Participant feedback	Team Leaders & Principal					
Book Talk	K – 5	Scholastic	Instructional Staff	September 14	Participant Feedback	Principal					

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Include only school funded a	ctivities/materials and exclude district funded a	ctivities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	aterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	· · · ·	· ·		Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	I		I	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELL	A Goals		Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increase	e Language Acquisition	
	inderstand spoken English at grade ilar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring profici CELLA Goal #1: Improve current level of performance Number CELLA tested: #N/A	ent in Listening/Speaking. 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: #N/A #N/A	of instruction	1.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	1.1. Walkthrough
			2.1. Differentiate Instruction	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are	2.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

	#N/A				outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
 Students scoring profici CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of performance 	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading : #N/A	2.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	2.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	2.2. AP who evaluates teacher		2.2. Walkthrough
non-ELL	Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	*Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 1.3.	1.3.

		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Improve current level of performance	ent in Writing. 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing : #N/A #N/A #N/A	3.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	3.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	3.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
		2.2. 2.3	2.2. 2.3	2.2. 2.3	2.2.	2.2. 2.3

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fur	nded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	aterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary I	Mathemati	cs Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
reference to "Guiding	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1a.FCAT 2.0: Stude AchievementLevel 3 Mathematics Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance	-		1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction		1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson			
		1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and	1a.2. Walkthrough			

					goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	Evidence of:	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
scoring at Levels 4, Mathematics Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013Expected Level of Performance:* #N/A Decrease in level 1,2 and 3	1b.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher		1b.2. Walkthrough

			1b.2.	16.2.	16.2.	16.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding C areas in need of improv	Questions", identi	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a.FCAT 2.0:Studen AchievementLevels 4 <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#2a:</u> Improve current level of performance	and 5 in ma 2012 Current Level of		Lack of differentiation of instruction	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	2a.1. Walkthrough
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance:*		-	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	AP who evaluates teacher		2b1. Walkthrough	

Improve current level of performance	#N/A	Increase in level 7 by 5%				*Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of studentsaligned to FAA access points	
				o.2. o.3	2b.2. 2b.3	2b.2. 2b.3	2b.2. 2b.3
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions", identitiement for the follo	fy and define owing group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percer Learning Gains in ma <u>Mathematics Goal</u> #3a: Improve current level of performance		U	Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable

			3a.2. 3a.3.	3a.2. 3a.3.	3a.2. 3a3.	assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 3a.2.	3a.2. 3a.3.
3b. Florida Alternate of students making Lo			3b.1. Lack of student	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. AP who evaluates		3b.1. School Summary of
mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of students will make learning gains	ab.2.	3b.2.	teacher	differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	observation section of teacher appraisal results

			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
reference to "Guiding Q	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4a.FCAT 2.0:Percent Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of performance	learning gains i 2012 Current Level of Performance:* pending 100 stu	in 13Expected vel of rformance:* 10% of udents will ake a arning gain	Lack of differentiation of instruction	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. AP who evaluates teacher 4a.2.	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 4a.2.	
			Insufficient intervention supports	Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	SBLT	*SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together	Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning;

			4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	*Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses 4a.3.	4a.3
4b. Florida Alternat of students in Lowes gains in mathematics <u>Mathematics Goal</u> #4b: Improve current level of performance	st 25% making s. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* pending	g learning 2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of students will make a learning gain	Lack of differentiation of instruction	4b.1. Differentiate Instruction	4b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
			Insufficient intervention supports	4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	*SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of	and intervention teachers communicating and planning;

	academic and engagement areas 4b.3.	4b.3.		*Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	Walkthroughs 4b.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Mathematics Goal #5A: Improve current level of performance					90	92
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluat	ion Tool
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not	5b.1. White:	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction		5b.1. Content materials are	5b.1. Lesson Plans	&

#5B: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* White: 73% 130 Black: 15% 26 Hispanic: 7% 13 Asian: 3% 0 American	2013Expected	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction		teacher	differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	Walkthrough
	American Indian: 0% 0					degrees of difficulty.	
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5C. English Languag making satisfactory p Mathematics Goal #5C:	Drogress in 1 2012 Current Level of				5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

Improve current level of performance	pending	100% of ELL students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 5C.2.	5C.2.
				5C.3.	5C.3.		5C.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem	uestions", identi	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Dis making satisfactory p Mathematics Goal #5D: Improve current level of performance	Drogress in m 2012 Current Level of	· ·		5d.1. Differentiate Instruction			5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

				5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2.		5D.2. 5D.3.
Based on the analysis of s reference to "Guiding Qu areas in need of improvem	uestions", identify	y and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disa making satisfactory p Mathematics Goal #5E: Improve current level of performance	rogress in ma 2012 Current Level of Performance:* pending		5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction		5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2
	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and	I Middle Scien	ice Goals		Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	e Student Achievement	
"Guiding Questions", i	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a.FCAT 2.0:Students in science. Science Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 42% 37	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease the number of level 1 and 2	Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	*Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected	1a.2. Walkthrough

				outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
	1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher		
C	based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Determine Lesson:	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans

						activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			1b.2. 1b.3.	1b.2. 1b.3.	1b.2. 1b.3.	1b.2. 1b.3.	1b.2. 1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student a "Guiding Questions", identi- improvement for the	fy and define areas	s in need of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a. FCAT 2.0:Students sco Achievement Levels 4 and Science Goal #2a: Improve current level of performance	5 in science. 2012 Current Level of	ve 2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase the level 4 and 5 students 5%	Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher		2b1. Walkthrough

					access points	
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate Ass or above Level 7 in science Science Goal #2b: Improve current level of performance	nts scoring at 2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase the level 7 by 5%	Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher		2b1. Walkthrough
	·	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.		2b.2.
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Science Professional Development

Profe	ssional Devel	opment (PD)	aligned with Strategies t Please note that each Strategy does not	hrough Professional I	Learning Commun	nity (PLC) o	or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up	/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core	All	Principal & Team Leaders	All Staff	Monthly	Facilitator Notes & Feedback		Principal & Team Leaders
Soionoo Dudaat (I		needed)					
Science Budget (Include only school-ba			and exclude district funded ac	tivities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program							
Strategy		Description	of Resources	Funding Source	A	mount	
Fashnalaay							Subtota
Technology Strategy		Description	of Resources	Funding Source		mount	
Strategy		Description	of Resources		71	mount	
							Subtota
Professional Developm	ent						
Strategy		Description	of Resources	Funding Source	A	mount	
							_
							Subtotal
Other							
Strategy		Description	of Resources	Funding Source	Ai	mount	
							Subtotal
							Total

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

W	riting Goals			Problem-Solving P	Process to Increa	se Student Achievement	ţ
"Guiding Questions"	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a. FCAT:Students and higher in writin Writing Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the	
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	scale or rubric throughout the lesson 1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and	1a.2. Walkthrough

						expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate at 4 or higher in writ	ing.		Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and	1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	*Is aligned with a course	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Writing Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*		learning goals in each lesson		standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing	
	#N/A Level 7 and	Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students				guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class	

	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
				*Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
				activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question	

End of Writing Goals

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PLC Leader PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)		Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		I LC Leader	senoor-wide)	nequency of meetings)			
Budget(Insert row	vs as needed)						
•			and exclude district funded ad	ctivities /materials.			
Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Materials(s)						
trategy		Description	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtot
echnology							
trategy		Description	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtot
Professional Developm	pent						Subiol
trategy	kin	Description	of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
нису		Description				7 iniount	
							Subtot
Other							
trategy		Description	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtot
							Tot

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Attend	dance Goal(s)	· · · · · ·	Problem-solvi	ing Process to In	crease Attendance	
	endance data, and reference to "Guiding define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Improve current level of performance 20 Nu Stu 10 20 Nu Nu Nu Nu Nu Nu Nu Nu Nu Nu Nu Nu Nu	D12 Current 2013 Expected Attendance Rate:* Attendance Rate:* 7% Greater than prior year D12 Current 2013 Expected Number of of Students with Excessive Absences umber of udentswith Excessive Absences (10 or more) 10% decrease from prior year D12 Current umber of of students with Excessive Absences 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 10% decrease from prior year D12 Current umber of of udents with Excessive Tardies 2013 Expected Number of of Students with Excessive Tardies 0 or more) (10 or more)	engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Attendance Budget(Insert rows as needed)

ivities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
3)			
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Subtotal:
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Subtotal:
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Subtotal:
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Subtotal:
			Total:
		Description of Resources Funding Source Image: I	Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Image:

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages	, include the number of students the	percentage represents next to the	percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).
i interi disting percentages			

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension					
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established	Suspension Number of Students	
	1.2.		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	PD Content /Topic Grade PD Facilitator PD Participants Target Dates and Schedules Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible for							
June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811								

Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

		PLC Leader	school-wide)	Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)			
School Wide Discipline	All	Principal	All Staff	August 13	Participant	Feedback	Principal
Suspension Budge	et(Insert row	s as needed)					
			and exclude district funde	ed activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program	n(s)/Materials(s					•	
Strategy		Description of	of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
Technology							
Strategy		Description of	of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
						•	Subtotal:
Professional Developme	ent						
Strategy		Description	of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
						•	Subtotal:
Other							
Strategy		Description	of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
							Total:

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout P	Dropout Prevention Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention					
"Guiding Questions", i	Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Improve current level of performance	al #1: centage of student 2 school year. 2012 Current Dropout Rate:* pending 10 Graduation Rate:* pending In pending In		1.1. Students lack skills to plan for future aspirations and create educational goals	1.1. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1.1. Principal	1.1.	1.1. Walkthrough and teacher appraisal		
		* *	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible f Monitoring									

Dropout Prevention Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)		Problem-solv	ving Process to Parent Involvement			
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Parent Involvement	1.1.	1.1.	1.1. SDLT	1.1.	1.1.	
		Provide frequent home- school communication in a	SBLT			
		variety of formats, and				

participated in school activit unduplicated.	articipated in school activities, duplicated or nduplicated.		allows for families to	allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational			
	level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected	progress	progress			
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				

Parent Involvement Budget

unded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Materials(s)			
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Subtotal:
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
ι	Inded activities/materials and exclude district fur Materials(s)	Inded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Aaterials(s) Description of Resources Funding Source	Materials(s) Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Image:

			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				t
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade PD Facilitator PD Participants Target Dates and Schedules PD Participants Person or Position Responsible Level/Subject PLC Leader school-wide) school-wide) Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible						

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-base	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
June 2012		L		

Subtotal:
Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<u>CTE Goal #1:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade PD Facilitator PD Participants Target Dates and Schedules PD Participants Person or Position Responsible for Schedules Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Level/Subject PLC Leader School-wide) Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring							

CTE Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fund	ded activities/materials and exclude district fun	ded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate	erials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal I Wellness (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Based on the analysis of school data, areas in need of improve		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Wellness	1. Additional Goal: Wellness		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Healthy Inventor Meeting	Level :* Options Set A: s): Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Level on Healthy Schools Schools Py Meeting Bronze Pronze Pronze Pronze Level on Healthy Schools	School Team.	Program 6 Step Processonline	A: Healthy School Team (school administrator, physical education teacher, cafeteria manager, health teacher/elementary classroom teachers (optional members – students, parents, school	A: Completion of 6 th Step of the Healthy School Program online (Celebrate Successes)	A: Healthy School Inventory (Evaluate Your School) online
Inventor Meeting Level on Schools Inventor Meeting Level on Schools Inventor B Data: Being Fi	y Meeting Silver Silver Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Gold Gold Level on Healthy Schools Inventory B Data: it Being Fit Fitnessgr Matters/Fitnessgr am	B: Failure to assess students and upload Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram data	B: Complete Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments and upload data	nurse) B: physical education		B: Being Fit Matters Statistical Report (Portal)
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			

Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-base	ed funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
June 2012				

	Subtotal:
	Total:

Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages.	, include the number of students the	percentage represents next to the	percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).
01 0	,		

Additional Goal(s)		· · ·		se Student Achievemen	t
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black Academic Achievement Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black student achievement Reading level 3 and All black student achievement Reading level 3 and All black students to (36) make learning gains MathLevel in reading 3and above: 15% (26)		1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.

	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity									
	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	Foal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)			
Include only school-base	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development	t			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Addition	al Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
 Additional Goal: Studen Students Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black student engagement 	nt Engagemer 2012 Current Level :* School data for % of black students receiving referrals found on EDS: School Wide Behavior Plan report	2013 Expected Level :* Decrease the percent of Black students receiving referrals, and Receiving in	Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	ded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement
--------------------	---

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Proc Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy ess Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Additional Goal: Black	graduation ra	ate	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
			Lack of Student	Positive behavior	SBLT	Determine:	Increase in black
Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black student graduation rate	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*		supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data- based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	graduation rate
		-	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement
June 2012	
Rule 6A-1.099811	
Revised April 29, 2011	

Addition	Additional Goal(s)						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black Additional Goal #1:	-	2013 Expected	1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural	 1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough Professional Development
There will be an increase percent of black students enrolled in rigorous advanced coursework There will be an increase in performance of black students in rigorousadvanced coursework		Level :* Increase from prior year				content, and skill level	includes equity and cultural responsiveness
		•	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based f	unded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	· · · ·			Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	· · · · ·		· · ·	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
June 2012	

	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total:
Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
CELLA Budget	Tatala
Madhanna d'an Dealach	Total:
Mathematics Budget	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
June 2012	

	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total:

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
Priority	Focus	Prevent

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the Upload page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

Yes

🗌 No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.