

# Student Achievement Data:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

<u>School Grades Trend Data</u> (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

### <u>Administrators</u>

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Nomo		Number	Number of	Drian Dorformance Depart (include prior
Name				Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment
	oor tineation(3)			Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest
			/ lanningtrator	25%), and AMO progress along with the
		0011001		associated school year)
Dale Johns	M.Ed Educational Leadership; B.S. Physical Education and Health; Certification: School Principal all grade levels; Reading Endorsoment	3.5	13	<ul> <li>11-12 School Grade: Pending, 51% met high standards in reading; 61% made learning gains in reading; 62% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in reading; 62% met high standards in Algebra EOC; 79% met high standards in writing</li> <li>10-11 School Grade: B, 46% met high standards in reading; 76% met high standards in math; 50% made learning gains in reading; 76% the lowest quartile made learning gains in reading; 63% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in in math; 87% scored 3.5 or higher in writing</li> </ul>
	Endorsement			2009-2010 School Grade: A, 77% met high standards in reading; 79% met high standards in math; 69% made learning gains in reading; 81% made learning gains in math; 72% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in reading; 78% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in math
				2008-2009 School Grade: A, 76% met high standards in reading; 78% met high standards in math;67% made learning gains in reading; 74% made learning gains in math; 70% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in reading; 66% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in math; AYP: Yes
				2007-2008 School Grade, A, 70% met high standards in reading; 75% met high standards in math; 68% made learning gains in reading; 78% made learning gains in math; 68% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in reading; 73% of the lowest quartile made learning gain in math; AYP: Yes
Dr. Linda Connors	Ed.D Educational Leadership; M.Ed Educational Leadership; B.S Elementary Ed. Certification: School Principal (all levels); Elementary Ed. 1.6: Methemetics	8	16	<ul> <li>11-12 School Grade: Pending, 51% met high standards in reading; 61% made learning gains in reading; 62% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in reading; 62% met high standards in Algebra EOC; 79% met high standards in writing</li> <li>2010-11 School Grade: B; FCAT Data: 46% met high standards in reading; 76% met high standards in math; 50% made learning gains in reading;74% made learning gains in math; 45% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in math; 87% scored 3.5 or higher in</li> </ul>
	Dr. Linda	Dale JohnsM.Ed Educational Leadership; B.S. Physical Education and Health; Certification: School Principal all grade levels; Reading EndorsementDr. Linda ConnorsEd.D Educational Leadership; M.Ed Educational Leadership; B.S Elementary Ed. Certification: School Principal all grade levels; Reading Endorsement	Certification(s)of Years at Current SchoolDale JohnsM.Ed Educational Leadership; B.S. Physical Education and Health; Certification: School Principal all grade levels; Reading Endorsement3.5Dr. LindaEd.D Educational Leadership; M.Ed Educational Leadership; B.S. Elementary Ed. Certification: School Principal (all levels); Reading8	Certification(s)of Years at Current SchoolYears as an AdministratorDale JohnsM.Ed Educational Leadership; B.S. Physical Education and Health; Certification: School Principal all grade levels; Reading Endorsement3.513Dr. LindaEd.D Educational Leadership; B.S. Physical Endorsement816Dr. LindaEd.D Educational Leadership; B.S. Physical Educational Leadership; M.Ed Educational Leadership; B.S. Elementary Ed. Leither School Principal (all levels); Elementary Ed. Leadership; B.S. Elementary Ed. Liefication: School Principal (all levels); Elementary Ed. Liefica

Assistant Principal	Teresa Alvarado	M.Ed,Educational Leadership; B.A., English and American Literature; B.A., English Education; Certifications: Educational Leadership; English 5-9; English 6-12; ESOL k-12; Reading Endorsed	12	3	<ul> <li>2009-10 School Grade: A, 46% met high standards in reading; 76:% met high standards in math; 50% made learning gains in reading; 74%: made learning gains in reading; 63% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in math, 45% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in math</li> <li>08-09 School Grade: C, 42% met high standards in reading; 76% met high standards in math; 43% made learning gains in reading; 75% made learning gains in reading; 55% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in math, 38% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in reading; 65% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in reading; 79% met high standards in math, 38% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in math</li> <li>2007-08 School Grade: A, 50% met high standards in reading; 79% met high standards in math; 60% made learning gains in reading; 73% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in reading; 73% of the lowest quartile made learning gain in math</li> <li>11-12 School Grade: Pending, 51% met high standards in reading; 61% made learning gains in reading; 62% of the lowest quartile made learning gain in math; 50% made learning gains in reading; 62% met high standards in reading; 76% met high standards in reading; 61% made learning gains in reading; 76% met high standards in math; 50% made learning gains in reading; 76% met high standards in math; 50% made learning gains in reading; 76% met high standards in math; 50% made learning gains in math; 87% scored 3.5 or higher in writing;</li> <li>2009-10 School Grade: A, 46% met high standards in reading; 76% met high standards in reading; 76% met high standards in reading; 76% met high standards in math; 43% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in math; 45% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in math; 45% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in math; 45% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in math; 45% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in math; 45% of the lowest quartile made learning gains in math; 45% of the lowest quartile</li></ul>
Assistant Principal	Deon Copeland	M.Ed Educational Leadership; B.S. Physical Education; Certification: Educational Leadership all grade levels; Physical Education; ESE	1	6	IV/A

### Highly Effective/Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective and effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy		Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	
1.	Administrators and lead teachers seek and interview highly qualified/certified candidates for instructional positions.	Dale Johns, Principal	On-going	
2.	CCSB Teacher Induction Program for all teachers new to CHS.	Dr. Linda Connors, Assistant Principal	Monthly until May 2013	
3.	Mentoring Program: partnering new teachers with veteran teachers	Dr. Linda Connors, Assistant Principal and Department Chairs	On- going Two year program based on hire date for each new teacher	
4.	Soliciting referrals from current CCSB employees	Dale Johns, Principal and Leadership Team	On-going	

## Not-Highly Effective/Not Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective/not effective (this would include needs improvement, unsatisfactory teachers overall rating). \*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff that are teaching out-	Provide the strategies that are being
of-field/ and who are not highly	implemented to support the staff in
effective/not effective.	becoming highly effective
Teachers working out of field 8.6% (7) Pending results of teachers who include needs improvement or unsatisfactory overall rating	<ul> <li>i Individual Professional Development Plan Conferences (4 times a year)</li> <li>i Weekly Professional Development Sessions</li> <li>i Team Collaboration Opportunities</li> <li>i Peer Observation Opportunities</li> </ul>

# Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
101	8% (8)	15% (15)	36% (36)	42% (42)	46% (46)	Pending	18% (18)	N/A	16% (16)

### School-Based MTSS/Rtl Team

#### Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.

Citrus High School's Response to Instruction/Intervention Team consists of the following members: Leadership Team, all Guidance Counselors, Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Specialist, Student Services Social Worker, lead teachers, and our District Literacy Coach. Team members provide a wide-range of quality services and expertise designed to assist in student interventions from counseling to programs such as our Positive Behavior Referrals. The CHS Leadership team is charged with providing a common vision for the utilization of data based decision-making and facilitates data-based decision-making instructional team planning activities. This team ensures the implementation of intervention support and documentation, and provides opportunities for professional development to support student achievement. The Leadership Team identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies. Each member of the Leadership team oversees RtI implementation in their designated areas.

Our Guidance Counselors provide information regarding the social/emotional needs, academic needs, as well as counseling services. Counselors utilize their skills and knowledge to assist students in academic and career planning. The Citrus High School ESE Specialist provides support and assistance to all current and prospective students within ESE. The ESE Specialist coordinates IEP meetings; collaborates with teachers, parents and students in formulating IEPs. The ESE Specialist disseminates information regarding individual needs as referenced in Individual Education Plan (IEP) along with information regarding the social/emotional needs, and academic history to the ESE team. Student Services Personnel: Provides quality services and expertise designed to assist with student behavioral related interventions. The Social Workers: continue to link child-serving and community agencies to the schools and families to support the children's academic, emotional behavioral and social success. The Child Study Team (Child Study Team) is comprised of the district attendance assistant, our social workers, guidance counselors, and an assistant principal. The CST team reviews and assists students with excessive absenteeism; counsels and advises students (and their families) with academic, attendance challenges, and importance of regular attendance. Our District Literacy Coach provides weekly professional development in the Comprehension Instructional Sequence Model. She is available to assist teachers individually in student data disaggregation and the implementation of core instructional activities/ materials into every content area.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? The team works to maintain a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, implementing and assessing RtI. The CHS RtI team has developed processes to monitor and address students in needs of interventions based on attendance, academic performance, behavior and performance on progress monitoring and standardized test results. These processes involve the coordination of and collaboration of data team studies, a professional development and the professional development calendar based on comprehensive data studies, as well as a collaboration of teachers through vertical, horizontal, and interdisciplinary teamwork.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the Rtl Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? Many members of the Rtl team serve on the School Improvement Plan writing team and/ or oversee the development of the plan utilizing school data from a variety of sources. The team will monitor all processes throughout the school year.

#### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

#### **Baseline Data:**

- i Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN)
- i Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
- i Citrus County Formative Assessments via Student Response Systems (Clicker Systems) for FCAT reading, EOC Algebra, EOC Biology, EOC Geometry, and EOC US History
- i Performance Matters
- i Skyward

### Mid-Year:

- i Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR),
- i Citrus County Formative Assessments via Student Response Systems (Clicker Systems) for FCAT reading, EOC Algebra, EOC Biology, EOC Geometry, and EOC US History
- i Performance Matters
- i Skyward

#### End of Year:

- i Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR),
- i Citrus County Formative Assessments via Student Response Systems (Clicker Systems) for FCAT reading, EOC Algebra, EOC Biology, EOC Geometry, and EOC US History
- i Performance Matters
- i Skyward

Frequency of Data Days: Monthly after Citrus County Formative Assessments and FAIR data is collected.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. Professional development will continue to be provided during teachers' planning periods. Additional small group sessions will occur throughout the year. ESE Department to be trained initially during professional development days followed by planning period meetings. The remainder of the staff will follow. Training for staff will be coordinated with the Citrus County School District Director of Professional Development.

Describe plan to support MTSS. Mulli-Tier Support System is supported by monitoring, training teachers, reviewing and reflecting on the practices of each RtI team; support of programs necessary to facilitate teams and teachers; student progression is monitored through various members of the RtI team.

### School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

🛛 Yes

No No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements

In Compliance

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year

The Citrus High School Advisory Council will meet four times during the 2012-2013 school year. The SAEC will review and approval the upcoming 2013-2014 Citrus High School budget. The SAEC will support and fund various projects including, but not limited to, Project Graduation, Staff Appreciation, Campus Beautification, Positive Behavioral Support, and Graduation Assistance Fund. In addition to these activities, the SAEC will be involved in various other projects as listed below.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Total Amount of SAEC Funds	+6,341.30
Staff Appreciation	\$700.00
Literacy Magazine	\$750.00
Project Graduation	\$1,000.00
Campus Beautification	\$500.
Chicago Band Trip	\$1,000.00

OPTIONAL IMPI	ROVEMENT GOAL AREAS
FCAT 2.0 Reading	Scoring Level 3
FCAT 2.0 Reading	Scoring Levels 4 & 5
FCAT 2.0 Reading	Percent Making Learning Gains
FCAT 2.0 Reading	Percent of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains
Florida Alternative Assessment Reading	Scoring Levels 4, 5, & 6
Florida Alternative Assessment Reading	Scoring Levels 7, 8 & 9
Florida Alternative Assessment Reading	Percent Making Learning Gains
Florida Alternative Assessment Reading	Percent of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains
Reading	Subgroups making progress/reducing achievement gap: Economically Disadvantaged, SWD, ELL, White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian
FCAT 2.0 Math, Algebra I, Geometry	Scoring Level 3
FCAT 2.0 Math, Algebra I, Geometry	Scoring Levels 4 & 5
FCAT 2.0 Math, Algebra I, Geometry	Percent Making Learning Gains
FCAT 2.0 Math, Algebra I, Geometry	Percent of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains
Florida Alternative Assessment Math	Scoring Levels 4, 5, & 6
Florida Alternative Assessment Math	Scoring Levels 7, 8 & 9
Florida Alternative Assessment Math	Percent Making Learning Gains
Florida Alternative Assessment Math	Percent of Lowest 25% Making Learning Gains
FCAT 2.0 Math, Algebra I, Geometry	Subgroups making progress/reducing achievement gap: Economically Disadvantaged, SWD, ELL, White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian
FCAT 2.0 Science	Scoring Level 3
FCAT 2.0 Science	Scoring Levels 4 & 5
Florida Alternative Assessment Science	Scoring Levels 4, 5, & 6
Florida Alternative Assessment Science	Scoring Levels 7, 8 & 9
Biology End-of-Course	Scoring Level 3
Biology End-of-Course	Scoring Levels 4 & 5
FCAT Writing	Scoring Level 3 or Higher
FCAT Writing	Scoring Level 4 or Higher
Florida Alternative Assessment Writing	Scoring Levels 4 or Higher
Civics End-of-Course	Scoring Level 3
Civics End-of-Course	Scoring Levels 4 & 5
History End-of-Course	Scoring Level 3
History End-of-Course	Scoring Levels 4 & 5
Attendance	
Suspension	
Dropout Preventions	
Parent Involvement	
Science, Technology, Engineering, & Math (STEM)	
Career & Technical Education	

Please check "yes" on those components that are part of your school plan (those elements that are essential to all plans and required by FLDOE have been checked):

DA/FLDOE Required School Improvement Components	Components Included in School/District School Improvement Template?
Data Analysis	Yes 🗙
Lesson Study	Yes 🗙
Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS)/Response to Intervention (RtI)	Yes 🗙
Increasing Student Achievement	Yes 🗙
Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)	Yes
Comprehensive English Learning Assessment (CELLA)	Yes
Annual Measurable Objectives (In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50% in reading and mathematics)	Yes
End-of -Course Subject Areas	Yes
Postsecondary Readiness	Yes
Dropout Prevention	Yes
Academic Intervention	Yes
Professional Development	Yes 🗷

Improvement Area: Reading Proficiency 9-12 Grade	
<ul> <li>Goal 1: Increase student proficiency rate in reading as measured by FCAT 2.0</li> <li>i At least 60% of all eligible 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> grade students will score proficient, 3 or above, as measured by the Spring 2013 FCAT 2.0.</li> <li>i At least 45% of all eligible Reading Retake students will score proficient, 3 or above, as measured by the 2012-2013 FCAT Reading Retake and/or obtain a concordant score on ACT or SAT.</li> </ul>	Graphic/Data/Chart to Support Goal and/or Outcome:
Student Group 1: All 9 <sup>th</sup> - 12 <sup>th</sup> Grade students taking FCAT 2.0	2011-12 Data: <b>FCAT 2.0 Reading 9<sup>th</sup>/10<sup>th</sup></b>
2011-12     2012 - 2013       Current Level of     Performance       Actual (%)     Expected (%)     Actual (%)       51 (9 <sup>th</sup> /10 <sup>th</sup> )     60 (9 <sup>th</sup> /10 <sup>th</sup> )	$ \begin{array}{c} 60 \\ 50 \\ + \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$
25% (Retake Fall)45% (Retake Fall)20% (Retake Spring)40% (Retake Spring)Data Analysis:	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
<ul> <li>9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> Grade FCAT 2.0 Reading 2012</li> <li>Performance: Overall 51% of all 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> grade students scored proficient on FCAT 2.0 in Spring of 2012, an increase of 6 percentage points over the previous year. Learning Gains: Overall 61% of all 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> grade students made learning gains, an increase of 11 percentage points over the previous year. Learning Gains of the Lowest Quartile: Overall 62% of 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> grade students from the lowest quartile made learning gains, an increase of 14 points over the previous year.</li> <li>11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> Grade FCAT Reading Retake 2012 Spring 2012: Overall 20% of students taking the FCAT 2.0 Reading Retake scored a 3 or above. Fall 2011: Overall 25% of students taking the FCAT 2.0 Reading Retake scored a 3 or above.</li> </ul>	0       Level 1       Level 2       Level 3       Level 4       Level 5       Percent 3>         9 9th Grade       14       29       28       22       7       57         10th Grade       21       35       21       14       9       45         FCAT 2.0 Reading Retakes 11 <sup>th</sup> /12 <sup>th</sup> 0       60

	Goal 1: Strategy/Action Plan 1
Strategy/Action Steps 9 <sup>th</sup> and 10 <sup>th</sup> Grade	<ul> <li>Provide monthly professional development sessions designed to assist all teachers with implementing NG-CARPD. LFS Top Five-Highest Yielding Instructional Strategies, and Common Core State Standards across the curriculum</li> <li>Incorporate NGCAR-PD strategies, Learning Focused Solution's Top Five Highest-Yielding Instructional Strategies, and initiate Common Core State Standards across the curriculum in all classrooms</li> <li>Provide targeted monthly data team meetings with all reading and English teachers to enable teachers to analyze leading/lagging data, plan instructional interventions and strategies for both whole group and individual instruction</li> <li>Utilize Core Readings with FCAT 2.0 style questions in all 9<sup>th</sup>-10<sup>th</sup> grade reading, English, and social studies classes.</li> <li>I dentify the lowest quartile ('Hurricane Watch List') and work in data teams to plan strategies to meet the needs of those students: each teacher will also identify the lowest quartile within their classes as well</li> <li>Utilize Formative Assessments utilizing the Student Response Systems (Clickers) to target areas of concern that can be addressed for both individual instruction from both leading and lagging data sources</li> <li>Utilize trained SAI aide to work within reading and English classes and with students, as well as whole class</li> <li>Utilize trained SAI to assist in English with FAIR, SRI, Orchard, FCAT Explorer, and USA Test Prep</li> <li>Utilize USA Test Prep to assist in targeted skills unique to individual students</li> <li>Utilize Learning Lab for students who need additional assistance with individual skills</li> <li>Collaborate with the 9<sup>th</sup>-10<sup>th</sup> Social Studies data team</li> <li>Collaborate with CSB Program Specialist for Secondary Reading to ensure effective utilization of FAIR results and understanding of all upcoming changes to FCAT 2.0</li> <li>Collaborate with District Literacy Coach to ensure completion of NG-CARPD practicums with</li></ul>
Anticipated Barrier	Student absenteeism     Intrinsic student motivation     Targeted resources for level I students
Resources (Human, Material)	<ul> <li>i Formative assessments using Student Response Systems (Clickers)</li> <li>i Digital testing such as Orchard computer program, USATestPrep, FCAT Explorer, and Read 180</li> <li>i SAI Teacher Aides</li> <li>i Online resources</li> <li>i Presentation technology including Elmos and multi-media projectors as well as InterwriteMOBI</li> </ul>

	i Media center		
	i Core Readings with FCAT 2.0 style answer sets Class libraries		
	i Performance Matters		
Funds Needed/Allocated	i Title II Data Day Funding		
	i Grants		
Team/Person Responsible	Steve Harper- English Department Chair		
for Progress Monitoring	Greg Naruta- Hurricanes Data Team Leader		
	Nancy Smith-Black Data Team Leader		
	Jill Williams- Gold Data Team Leader		
	School Administrators- Dale Johns, Linda Connors, Teresa Alvarado, Deon Copeland		
Action Step Progress	FAIR Testing, SRI Testing, Formative Assessments, Core Readings, Performance		
Monitoring	Matters, Data Team Meetings, Individual Professional Development Plan		
	Conferences		
Status (HI, MD, SAT, EXC)	Midyear: Year End:		
	hieved very little gains, if any, MD - Moderate Need: Achieved moderate gains, but NOT reached y: Achieved significant gains, but NOT reached proficiency target, EXC- Excellent: Achieved significant		
Measure of Effectiveness	i FCAT 2.0		
	Goal 1: Strategy/Action Plan 2		
Stratogy/Action Stone			
Strategy/Action Steps 11 <sup>th</sup> and 12 <sup>th</sup> Grade	<ul> <li>Provide monthly professional development sessions designed to assist all teachers with implementing NG-CARPD, LFS Top Five-Highest Yielding</li> </ul>		
	Instructional Strategies, and Common Core State Standards across the		
	curriculum		
	i Incorporate NGCAR-PD strategies, Learning Focused Solution's Top Five		
	Highest-Yielding Instructional Strategies, and initiate Common Core State		
	Standards across the curriculum in all classrooms		
	<ul> <li>Provide targeted 11<sup>th</sup>/12<sup>th</sup> Black Data Team monthly meetings with all</li> </ul>		
	11 <sup>th</sup> /12 <sup>th</sup> grade reading, English, and AVID teachers to enable teachers to		
	analyze leading/lagging data, plan instructional interventions and strategies for both whole group and individual instruction; This group will focus on		
	ACT/SAT, PERT, and Common Core Strategies to prepare students for college readiness, concordant score possibilities, as well as FCAT reading		
	retake		
	i Design and share common units with assessments that mimic ACT/SAT and PERT style questions in all reading and English classes.		
	i Incorporate ACT/SAT and PERT units within the curriculum		
	i Identify the lowest quartile ("Hurricane Watch List") and work in data		
	teams to plan strategies to meet the needs of those students; each teacher		
	will also identify the lowest quartile within their classes as well		
	i Utilize Formative Assessments utilizing the Student Response Systems		
	(Clickers) to target areas of concern that can be addressed for both		
	individual students, as well as whole class when applicable (all reading		
	teachers)		
	i Differentiate instruction according to what the data reveals in both whole		
	group and individual instruction from both leading and lagging data sources		
	i Utilize trained SAI aide to work within reading and English classes and with		
	students in targeted reading pull-out program		
	i Utilize USA Test Prep to assist in targeted skills unique to individual		
	students		
	i Utilize Learning Lab for students who need additional assistance with		

	<ul> <li>individual skills</li> <li>i Collaborate with CCSB Research and Accountability personnel to ensure effective utilization of Formative Assessments, Student Response Systems, and Performance Matters</li> <li>i Collaborate with CCSB Program Specialist for Secondary Reading to ensure effective utilization of FAIR results and understanding of all upcoming changes to FCAT 2.0</li> <li>i Collaborate with District Literacy Coach to ensure completion of NG-CARPD practicums with all eligible teachers to equip all teacher across the curriculum with highly-effective reading strategies</li> </ul>	
Anticipated Barrier	<ul><li>i Intrinsic student motivation</li><li>i Student absenteeism</li></ul>	
Resources (Human, Material)	<ul> <li>Formative assessments using Student Response Systems (Clickers)</li> <li>Digital testing such as Orchard computer program, USATestPrep, FCAT Explorer, and other various ACT/SAT preparation websites</li> <li>SAI Teacher Aides</li> <li>Presentation technology including Elmos and multi-media projectors as well as InterwriteMOBI</li> <li>Media center</li> <li>Class libraries</li> <li>Performance Matters</li> </ul>	
Funds Needed/Allocated	i Title II Data Day Funding i Grants	
Team/Person Responsible for Progress Monitoring	Steve Harper- English Department Chair Nancy Smith- Black Data Team Leader Jill Williams- Gold Data Team Leader School Administrators- Dale Johns, Linda Connors, Teresa Alvarado, Deon Copeland	
Action Step Progress Monitoring	FAIR Testing, Formative Assessments, SAT/ACT and PERT pre- and post tests, Performance Matters, Data Team Meetings, Individual Professional Development Plan Conferences	
Status (HI, MD, SAT, EXC)	Midyear: Year End:	
	nieved very little gains, if any, MD - Moderate Need: Achieved moderate gains, but NOT reached y: Achieved significant gains, but NOT reached proficiency target, EXC- Excellent: Achieved significant	
Measure of Effectiveness	i FCAT 2.0 i ACT i SAT	

Improvement Area: Mathematics	
<ul> <li>Goal 2: Increase student proficiency rate in mathematics as assessed by the state EOC exam</li> <li>i 75% of all students taking Algebra 1 EOC will score a level 3 or higher in mathematics</li> <li>i 75% of all students taking Geometry EOC will score a level 3 or higher in mathematics</li> </ul>	Graphic/Data/Chart to Support Goal and/or Outcome:
Student Group 1: All eligible students taking Algebra I or Geometry during the 2012-2013 school year.	2011-12 Data: Algebra I EOC
2011-12 Current Level of Performance2012 – 2013Actual (%)Expected (%)Actual (%)46% (Algebra EOC) 62% (Geometry)75% Algebra 	70       60         50       40         30       20         10       0         Level       Level       Level       Level         1       2       3       4       5         - CHS Winter 2011       10       28       54       6       2       62         - CHS Spring 2012       20       33       42       2       2       46
<ul> <li>46% of eligible students scored proficient, 3 or higher, on the Spring Algebra I EOC</li> </ul>	CHS
Overall 62% of eligible students scored a level 2 or higher on the Geometry EOCs.	30 20 10 Level 1 Level 2
	2012-13 Outcome Data: (completed at end of 2012-13 school year) N = 364 STUDENTS, MEAN SCORE = 48

	Goal 2: Strategy/Action Plan 1
Strategy/Action Steps Algebra 1 Action Plan:	<ul> <li>Actively participate in professional development sessions designed to assist all teachers with implementing NGCAR-PD, LFS's Top Five Highest-Yielding Instructional Strategies, and Common Core State Standards</li> <li>Incorporate NGCAR-PD strategies, LFS's Top Five Highest-Yielding Instructional Strategies, and initiate Common Core State Standards across the curriculum in all classrooms</li> <li>Provide targeted Algebra 1 team meetings to enable teachers to analyze leading/lagging data, plan instructional interventions and strategies for both whole group and individual instruction</li> <li>Identify the lowest quartile (*Hurricane Watch List*) and work in teams to plan strategies to meet the needs of those students; each teacher will also identify and work with the lowest quartile students that are in their classes</li> <li>Utilize Formative Assessments with the Student Response Systems to target areas of concern that can be addressed for both individual students, as well as whole class</li> <li>Differentiate instruction from both leading and lagging data sources</li> <li>Collaborate with CCSB Research and Accountability personnel to ensure effective utilization of Formative Assessments and Student Response Systems</li> <li>Collaborate with CCSB Research and Accountability Director to analyze data and establish a plan for student improvement</li> <li>Provide a Freshmen Homework Center for students to receive assistance with academic requirements</li> <li>Provide a Credit Recovery Algebra 1 course for students who did not meet passing scores on the Algebra 1 EOC exam in 2011-12</li> </ul>
Anticipated Barrier	<ul> <li>i Student absenteeism</li> <li>i Intrinsic student motivation</li> <li>i Transportation home from after school tutoring such as the Homework Center</li> </ul>
Resources (Human, Material)	<ul> <li>Master Schedule – build in Credit Recovery Classes</li> <li>Formative assessments using Student Response Systems (Clickers)</li> <li>Digital learning resources such as USATestPrep</li> <li>Presentation technology including Elmos and multi-media projectors</li> <li>Performance Matters</li> </ul>
Funds Needed/Allocated	i Title II Funding for Homework Center
Team/Person Responsible for Progress Monitoring	CHS Admin Team (Dale Johns, Linda Connors, Teresa Alvarado, Deon Copeland); Mathematics Department Chair (Karen Davis); Algebra Team Leader (Mindy Melito); All Algebra Team Members; Homework Center Instructor (Vickie Joseph); Credit Recovery Team (Mindy Melito, Angie Blasl, Patrick Rich)
Action Step Progress Monitoring	Formative Assessments, Performance Matters, Analysis of unit assessments, Data Team Meetings, Individual Professional Development Plan Conferences
Status (HI, MD, SAT, EXC)	Midyear: Year End:
	Achieved very little gains, if any, MD - Moderate Need: Achieved moderate gains, but NOT reached tory: Achieved significant gains, but NOT reached proficiency target, EXC- Excellent: Achieved significant
Measure of Effectiveness	i Algebra EOC

Strategy/Action Steps       i       Actively participate in professional development sessions designed to assist all teachers with implementing NCOR PD. LES's Top Five Highest Yielding Instructional Strategies, and Common Core State Standards across the curriculum in all classrooms         i       Incorporate NCOAR-PD strategies, LES's top Five Highest Yielding Instructional Strategies, and Common Core State Standards across the curriculum in all classrooms         i       Provide targeted Geometry team meetings to enable teachers to analyze teading/agging date, pion instructional inserventions and strategies for both whole group and individual instruction         i       Udentify the lowest quartile those students that are in their classes in Utilize Formative Assessments with the Student Response Systems to target acress of concern that can be addressed for both individual students, as well as whole dates         i       Differentiate instruction according to what the data reveals in both whole group and individual instruction from both leading and lagging data sources         i       Collaborate with CSB Research and Accountability personnels systems, and Performative Assessments and Student Response Systems, and Performative Assessments and Student Response Systems         i       Collaborate with CSB Research and Accountability Director to analyze data and establish aplan for student Reporce Systems         i       Collaborate with CSB Research and Accountability Director to analyze data and establish aplan for student Response Systems         i       Collaborate with CSB Research and Accountability Director to analyze data and establish aplan for student Response Systems </th <th></th> <th>Goal 2: Strategy/Action Plan 2</th>		Goal 2: Strategy/Action Plan 2
iIntrinsic student motivationiTransportation home from after school tutoring such as the Homework CenterResources (Human, Material)iFormative assessments using Student Response Systems (Clickers)iDigital learning resources such as USATestPrepiPresentation technology including Elmos and multi-media projectorsiPerformance MattersFunds Needed/AllocatediTeam/PersonCHS Admin Team (Dale Johns, Linda Connors, Teresa Alvarado, Deon Copeland): Mathematics Department Chair (Karen Davis): Geometry Team Leader (Vickie Joseph): Geometry Team MembersAction Step Progress MonitoringFormative Assessments, Performance Matters, Analysis of unit assessments, Data Team Meetings. Individual Professional Development Plan ConferencesStatus (HI, MD, SAT, EXC)Midyear:Year End:Status Code: HI - High Need: Achieved very little gains, if any, MD - Moderate Need: Achieved moderate gains, but NOT reached proficiency target, SAT - Satisfactory: Achieved significant gains, but NOT reached proficiency target, SAT - Satisfactory: Achieved significant gains, but NOT reached proficiency target, EXC- Excellent: Achieved significant gains and reached proficiency		<ul> <li>Actively participate in professional development sessions designed to assist all teachers with implementing NGCAR-PD, LFS's Top Five Highest-Yielding Instructional Strategies, and Common Core State Standards</li> <li>Incorporate NGCAR-PD strategies, LFS's Top Five Highest-Yielding Instructional Strategies, and initiate Common Core State Standards across the curriculum in all classrooms</li> <li>Provide targeted Geometry team meetings to enable teachers to analyze leading/lagging data, plan instructional interventions and strategies for both whole group and individual instruction</li> <li>Identify the lowest quartile ("Hurricane Watch List") and work in teams to plan strategies to meet the needs of those students: each teacher will also identify and work with the lowest quartile students that are in their classes</li> <li>Utilize Formative Assessments with the Student Response Systems to target areas of concern that can be addressed for both individual students, as well as whole class</li> <li>Differentiate instruction according to what the data reveals in both whole group and individual instruction from both leading and lagging data sources</li> <li>Collaborate with CCSB Research and Accountability personnel to ensure effective utilization of Formative Assessments, Student Response Systems, and Performance Matters</li> <li>Collaborate with CCSB Research and Accountability pirector to analyze data and establish a plan for student improvement</li> <li>Provide before/after school help sessions for students to receive assistance</li> </ul>
Material)iDigital learning resources such as USATestPrepiPresentation technology including Elmos and multi-media projectorsiPerformance MattersFunds Needed/AllocatediDepartmental FundsTeam/PersonCHS Admin Team (Dale Johns, Linda Connors, Teresa Alvarado, Deon Copeland);Responsible for ProgressMathematics Department Chair (Karen Davis); Geometry Team Leader (Vickie Joseph): Geometry Team MembersAction Step ProgressFormative Assessments, Performance Matters, Analysis of unit assessments, Data Team Meetings, Individual Professional Development Plan ConferencesStatus (HI, MD, SAT, EXC)Midyear:Year End:Status Code: HI - High Need: Achieved very little gains, if any, MD - Moderate Need: Achieved moderate gains, but NOT reached proficiency target, SAT - Satisfactory: Achieved significant gains, but NOT reached proficiency		<ul> <li>i Intrinsic student motivation</li> <li>i Transportation home from after school tutoring such as the Homework Center</li> </ul>
Team/PersonCHS Admin Team (Dale Johns, Linda Connors, Teresa Alvarado, Deon Copeland); Mathematics Department Chair (Karen Davis); Geometry Team Leader (Vickie Joseph); Geometry Team MembersAction Step Progress MonitoringFormative Assessments, Performance Matters, Analysis of unit assessments, Data Team Meetings, Individual Professional Development Plan ConferencesStatus (HI, MD, SAT, EXC)Midyear:Year End:Status Code: HI - High Need: Achieved very little gains, if any, MD - Moderate Need: Achieved moderate gains, but NOT reached proficiency target, SAT - Satisfactory: Achieved significant gains, but NOT reached proficiency target, EXC- Excellent: Achieved significant gains and reached proficiency		<ul> <li>i Digital learning resources such as USATestPrep</li> <li>i Presentation technology including Elmos and multi-media projectors</li> </ul>
Responsible for Progress MonitoringMathematics Department Chair (Karen Davis): Geometry Team Leader (Vickie Joseph): Geometry Team MembersAction Step Progress MonitoringFormative Assessments, Performance Matters, Analysis of unit assessments, Data Team Meetings, Individual Professional Development Plan ConferencesStatus (HI, MD, SAT, EXC)Midyear:Year End:Status Code: HI - High Need: Achieved very little gains, if any, MD - Moderate Need: Achieved moderate gains, but NOT reached proficiency target, SAT - Satisfactory: Achieved significant gains, but NOT reached proficiency target and reached proficiencyNot reached proficiency target, EXC- Excellent: Achieved significant gains and reached proficiency	Funds Needed/Allocated	
Monitoring       Team Meetings, Individual Professional Development Plan Conferences         Status (HI, MD, SAT, EXC)       Midyear:       Year End:         Status Code: HI - High Need: Achieved very little gains, if any, MD - Moderate Need: Achieved moderate gains, but NOT reached proficiency target, SAT - Satisfactory: Achieved significant gains, but NOT reached proficiency target, EXC- Excellent: Achieved significant gains and reached proficiency	Responsible for Progress Monitoring	Mathematics Department Chair (Karen Davis); Geometry Team Leader (Vickie Joseph); Geometry Team Members
proficiency target, SAT - Satisfactory: Achieved significant gains, but NOT reached proficiency target, EXC- Excellent: Achieved significant gains and reached proficiency	Monitoring	Team Meetings, Individual Professional Development Plan Conferences
Measure of Effectiveness i Geometry FOC	proficiency target, SAT - Satisfact	
	Measure of Effectiveness	i Geometry EOC

Improvement Area: Biology EOC				
Goal 3: Increase student proficiency rate in Biology as assessed by the State EOC exam i At least 70% of eligible students will pass the Biology EOC for the 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> semester combined test data.	Graphic/Data/Chart to Support Goal and/or Outcome:			
Student Group 1: All Students taking the Biology during the 2012-2013 school year	2011-12 Data: Biology EOC			
2011-122012 - 2013Current Level of PerformanceExpected (%)Actual (%)Expected (%)59%70%Data Analysis:Performance:59% of eligible students scored a level 2 and above on the Biology EOC.	50     40       30     20       10     10       10			
	N = 324 STUDENTS, MEAN SCORE = 47			

	Goal 3: Strategy/Action Plan 1
Strategy/Action Steps	<ul> <li>i Provide monthly professional development sessions designed to assist all teachers with implementing NG-CARPD, LFS Top Five-Highest Yielding Instructional Strategies, and Common Core State Standards across the curriculum</li> <li>i Incorporate NGCAR-PD strategies, Learning Focused Solution's Top Five Highest-Yielding Instructional Strategies, and initiate Common Core State Standards across the curriculum in all classrooms</li> <li>i Provide targeted monthly biology EOC data team meetings to enable teachers to analyze leading/lagging data, plan instructional interventions and strategies for both whole group and individual instruction</li> <li>i Identify the lowest quartile ("Hurricane Watch List") and work in data teams to plan strategies to meet the needs of those students; each teacher will also identify the lowest quartile within their classes as well</li> <li>i Utilize Citrus County Formative Assessments and Biology EOC Team created assessments utilizing the Student Response Systems to progress monitor and target areas of concern that can be addressed for both</li> </ul>

	<ul> <li>individual students, as well as whole class</li> <li>i Differentiate instruction according to what all data sources reveal in both whole group and individual instruction from both leading and lagging data sources</li> <li>i Utilize Learning Lab for students who need additional assistance with individual skills</li> <li>i Collaborate with CCSB Research and Accountability personnel to ensure effective utilization of Formative Assessments, Student Response Systems, and Performance Matters</li> </ul>		
Anticipated Barrier	<ul><li>i Student absenteeism</li><li>i Intrinsic student motivation</li></ul>		
Resources (Human, Material)	<ul> <li>Formative assessments using student response systems</li> <li>Online resources</li> <li>Presentation technology including Elmos and multi-media projectors</li> <li>Media center</li> <li>C-wing Science/Social Studies Computer Lab</li> <li>Class libraries</li> <li>Performance Matters</li> </ul>		
Funds Needed/Allocated	<ul><li>i Title II Data Day Funding</li><li>i Grants</li><li>i High Cost Lab Money</li></ul>		
Team/Person Responsible for Progress Monitoring	Eugene Trescott - Science Department Chair; Biology Team Leader School Administrators- Dale Johns, Linda Connors, Teresa Alvarado, Deon Copeland		
Action Step Progress Monitoring	Formative Assessments (both district and department quizzes utilizing clickers), Performance Matters, Data Team Meetings, Individual Professional Development Plan Conferences		
Status (HI, MD, SAT, EXC)	Midyear: Year End:		
	d: Achieved very little gains, if any, MD - Moderate Need: Achieved moderate gains, but NOT T - Satisfactory: Achieved significant gains, but NOT reached proficiency target, EXC- Excellent: reached proficiency		
Measure of Effectiveness	i Biology EOC		

Improvement Area: Dr	op-out Prever	ition			
Goal 4: Increase the graduation rate among students in our at risk population			Graphic.	:/Data/Cha	art to Support Goal and/or Outcome:
	Student Group 1: All Students who did not pass FCAT reading and math in 8 <sup>th</sup> grade are considered at risk.		2011-12		Risk Graduation Rate
2011-12 Current Level of Performance	2012 -	2013	Percent	66 65 64	
Actual (%)	Expected (%)	Actual (%)		63 -	At Risk Graduation Rate
63%	70%			11-2012 10-2011	64 65
Data Analysis: At least 70% of our at ri graduate on time with a diploma					

	Goal 4: Strategy/Action Plan 1
Strategy/Action Steps	<ul> <li>i Provide monthly professional development sessions designed to assist all teachers with implementing NG-CARPD, LFS Top Five-Highest Yielding Instructional Strategies, and Common Core State Standards across the curriculum</li> <li>i Incorporate NGCAR-PD strategies, Learning Focused Solution's Top Five Highest-Yielding Instructional Strategies, and initiate Common Core State Standards across the curriculum in all classrooms</li> <li>i Identify and track the at risk students' progress</li> <li>i Provide a targeted pull-out program designed for individual assistance for students in need of credit recovery, FCAT/SAT/ACT preparation, Plato, Penn Foster, and other course work assistance</li> <li>i Utilize Learning Lab for students who need additional assistance with individual skills</li> <li>i Utilize Plato Lab and provide targeted assistance when students are not progressing as needed</li> <li>i Utilize the After School Program to enable additional opportunities for students to obtain needed coursework and credits</li> </ul>

Anticipated Barrier	<ul> <li>i Intrinsic student motivation</li> <li>i Transportation for after school assistance and recovery class</li> </ul>				
Resources (Human, Material)	<ul> <li>i Online resources</li> <li>i Plato Lab and Program</li> <li>i USA Test Prep On-line Program</li> </ul>				
Funds Needed/Allocated	i Grants				
Team/Person Responsible for Progress Monitoring	Guidance Counselors; Nancy Smith (At Risk Mentor); Plato Teachers School Administrators- Dale Johns, Linda Connors, Teresa Alvarado, Dion Copeland				
Action Step Progress Monitoring	Credit Checks; Monitoring by Plato teachers; Monitoring of Progress by At Risk Mentor				
Status (HI, MD, SAT, EXC)	Midyear: Year End:				
proficiency target, SAT - Satisfa	Status Code: HI - High Need: Achieved very little gains, if any, MD - Moderate Need: Achieved moderate gains, but NOT reached proficiency target, SAT - Satisfactory: Achieved significant gains, but NOT reached proficiency target, EXC- Excellent: Achieved significant gains and reached proficiency				
Measure of Effectiveness	i At Risk Data				

Improvement Area: Writin	q				
	roficiency rate in w eligible 10 <sup>th</sup> grade st measured by the Sp	udents will	Graphic/Data/Chart t Outo	to Support G come:	ioal and/or
Student Group 1: All 10 <sup>th</sup> gr	_	0010	2011-12 Data: FCAT	Writing	
	Expected (%)       85%       10 <sup>th</sup> grade student       iting: 50% scored 3.		100 90 80 70 <b>tu 6</b> 0 <b>50</b> <b>40</b> 30 20 10 0 0 • CHS 2010-11 • CHS 2010-11 • CHS 2011-12 • DISTRICT 2011-12	% 3> %3.1 % 3> %3.1 94 79 50 83 58	5 %4> 75 29 35
Strategy/Action Steps	<ul> <li>i Provide all teach Instruct curricul</li> <li>i Incorpo Highest State St</li> <li>i Provide English instruct individu</li> <li>i Collabo</li> </ul>	hers with impleme tional Strategies, a um orate NGCAR-PD st c-Yielding Instructi candards across th e targeted monthly teachers to enablic cional intervention ual instruction orate with Hurricar	n Plan 1 onal development session enting NG-CARPD, LFS To and Common Core State crategies, Learning Focus onal Strategies, and initi e curriculum in all classr data team meetings wi e teachers to analyze co as and strategies for both mes Data Team (all 9 <sup>th</sup> an mon essay language and	op Five-High Standards a sed Solution iate Commo cooms ith all readin mmon essay h whole grou	est Yielding across the 's Top Five in Core ing and ys, plan up and e social

	<ul> <li>effort to standardize writing expectations among these courses</li> <li>i Utilize common essay prompts in all 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> grade English and social studies classes: analyze results on individuals, whole classes, and with cohort as a whole: adjust writing curriculum based on the data obtained from the common essay prompts</li> <li>i Differentiate instruction according to what the data reveals in both whole group and individual instruction from both leading and lagging data sources</li> <li>i Utilize trained SAI aide to work within English classes and with students in targeted writing pull-out programs</li> <li>i Utilize Learning Lab for students who need additional assistance with individual skills</li> <li>i Collaborate with CCSB Program Specialist for Secondary Reading to ensure effective understanding of all upcoming changes to FCAT Writes</li> <li>i Collaborate with District Literacy Coach to equip all teacher across the curriculum with highly-effective literacy strategies that will impact reading and writing skills</li> </ul>
Anticipated Barrier	<ul><li>Student absenteeism</li><li>Intrinsic student motivation</li></ul>
Resources (Human, Material)	i Online resources
Funds Needed/Allocated	i Title II Data Day Funding
Team/Person Responsible for Progress Monitoring	Gold Data Team Member (All 9 <sup>th</sup> & 10 <sup>th</sup> grade English and reading teachers) Hurricanes Data Team Members (All 9 <sup>th</sup> & 10 <sup>th</sup> grade social studies teachers) English Department Chair School Administrators- Dale Johns, Linda Connors, Teresa Alvarado, Dion Copeland
Action Step Progress	
Monitoring Status (HI, MD, SAT, EXC)	Midyear: Year End:
Status Code: HI - High Need: Ach	nieved very little gains, if any, MD - Moderate Need: Achieved moderate gains, but NOT reached Achieved significant gains, but NOT reached proficiency target, EXC- Excellent: Achieved significant
Measure of Effectiveness	i FCAT Writing

# Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
What Moves You: Planning for Instructing Higher Level Thinking	9-12	LFS Presenter Debbie Willingham	All teachers/ All content areas	08-06-2012	Walk-throughs; Lesson Plan Reviews; Monthly Best Practice Share Sessions	Administrative Team and Department Chairs	
Learning Focused Solutions: Top 5 Highest Yielding Instructional Strategies	9-12	Lead Teachers	All teachers/ All content areas	Monthly Planning Period Sessions	Walk-throughs; Lesson Plan Reviews; Monthly Best Practice Share Sessions	Administrative Team and Department Chairs	
Common Core State Standards: Overview	9-12	Melissa Zaniewski	All teachers/ All content areas	08-02-2012	Walk-throughs; Lesson Plan Reviews; Monthly Best Practice Share Sessions	Administrative Team and Department Chairs	
Common Core State Standards: Reading	9-12	Melissa Zaniewski	All teachers/ All content areas	08-22-2012	Walk-throughs; Lesson Plan Reviews; Monthly Best Practice Share Sessions	Administrative Team and Department Chairs	
Common Core State Standards: Writing	9-12	Melissa Zaniewski	All teachers/ All content areas	Monthly Planning Period Sessions	Walk-throughs; Lesson Plan Reviews; Monthly Best Practice Share Sessions	Administrative Team and Department Chairs	
Reading and Common Core	9-12	Presenter Karen Jordan	9-12 Reading Teachers	9-28-2012	Walk-through; Lesson Plan Reviews; Monthly Best Practice Share Sessions	Administrative Team and Department Chairs	