FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: LAKEVIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Sarasota

Principal: Joan M. Bower

SAC Chair: Jennifer Yahraus

Superintendent: Lori White

Date of School Board Approval: Pending

Last Modified on: 10/15/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Joan M. Bower	B.A. Elementary Education (PK-8), University of Michigan M.S. Administration/ Supervision K-12), Nova University	8	15	2011-2012 Grade A FCAT Data - TBD AYP: N/A

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Years at Current School	an Enstructional Coach	Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
N/A					

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	All teachers are Lakeview are Highly Qualified.	Joan Bower	2012/2013	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
N/A	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
41	0.0%(0)	0.0%(0)	26.8%(11)	73.2%(30)	92.7%(38)	0.0%(0)	2.4%(1)	17.1%(7)	65.9%(27)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale	Planned Mentoring
	Assigned	for Pairing	Activities
N/A			

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Title I, Part D

Title II

Title III

Title X- Homeless

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Violence Prevention Programs

Nutrition Programs

Housing Programs

Head Start

Adult Education

Career and Technical Education

Job Training

Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

School-based MTSS/Rtl Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

The school-based MTSS Leadership Team is comprised of general education personnel that facilitate PBS/MTSS as a related but distinct process from the CARE (Children At Risk in Education) eligibility determination process. At Lakeview Elementary School the MTSS Leadership Team is comprised of:

The Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision making, ensures that the school based team is implementing MTSS, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation to make sure of adequate professional development to support MTSS implementation, communicates with parents regarding school-based MTSS plans and activities.

Primary and Intermediate General Education Teachers: All provide information about core instruction, participate in student data collection by grade level, deliver Tier I instruction/intervention, collaborate with other staff to implement Tier 2 intervention, integrate Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities. Progress monitor all students by grade level.

Social Worker/SLP/TOSA/ESE Teachers: Participate in student data collection, integrate core materials/activities to Tier 3 instruction, collaborate with general education teachers.

School Psychologist/Guidance Counselor/ESE/ELL Liaison: Participate in data collection and interpretation of data. Assist with development of intervention plans when needed, provide assistance with problem solving, and facilitate data-based decision making activities.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The team at Lakeview Elementary School meets weekly to engage in the following activities: The team reviews summative and formative data to identify school, team, and class level academic needs. Individual student information is reviewed. Based on the data review, instructional strategies are identified and a timeline of implementation is constructed. Student progress is monitored and individual cases are reviewed systematically to determine progress and to reassess further instructional interventions.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS Leadership Team at Lakeview Elementary School will do the following to develop and implement our SIP: Analyze relevant school profile data for the purpose of problem analysis.

Review and revise established infrastructure.

Analyze data in order to identify trends and groups in need of further intervention. Set goals.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

The school uses a variety of reports produced by the district Office of Research, Assessment and Evaluation on the academic achievement of students at all Tiers. Disaggregated AYP subgroup data by reading, mathematics, science and writing is utilized. Further, the school will participate in the FAIR Reading assessment and utilize the Florida Achieves Science assessments, as well as district testing in Math to summarize data for students at Tier 1, 2, and 3. Each grade level will progress monitor students on a continual basis. Staff will use data from SuccessMaker (ILS), the EnVision series (Math), and Storytown (Reading).

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The Lakeview Elementary MTSS/PBS team provided training on MTSS/PBS to 100% of the instructional staff in August of 2012. Training will be on-going throughout the year at team leader meetings, PBS meetings, CPTs, and staff meetings.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The team at Lakeview Elementary School meets weekly to engage in the following activities: The team reviews summative and formative data to identify school, team, and class level academic needs. Individual student information is reviewed. Based on the data review, instructional strategies are identified and a timeline of implementation is constructed. Student progress is monitored and individual cases are reviewed systematically to determine progress and to reassess further instructional interventions. The MTSS/CPT teams meet weekly.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The LLT is made up of the following members:

Principal, TOSA, and members of the instructional and classified staff.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT team meets throughout the year to analyze data to determine strategies for students to be successful readers. The team also collaborates with PTO to facilitate activities on campus to encourage reading for all students.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Our major initiative this year will be to promote schoolwide reading incentive programs in AR and SSYR, with incentives from local business partners.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

N/A

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

N/A

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

N/A

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

N/A

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

1.3 Due to the Florida

Class Size Amendment,

blended classes have

occurred.

3

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	l on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and c	lefine areas in need	
readi		g at Achievement Level (3 in Levels 3,4,5). T point increase f currently demoi 90% or more st or demonstrate overall proficier	By the year 2013, there will be a minimum of a four percentage point increase for Level 3 students, when less than 70% are currently demonstrating proficiency (across Levels 3,4,5). There will be a minimum of a two percentage point increase for Level 3 students where 70% or more are currently demonstrating proficiency (across Levels 3,4,5). If 90% or more students are proficient, the school can maintain or demonstrate an increase in the percent proficient. No overall proficiency target will be less than 35% (across Levels 3,4,5) for any subgroup.		
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
	Level 3 - 24%(87) Level 3,4,5 - 73%(261) Level 3,4,5 - 75% (240)					
	Pr	roblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	1.1 Funding decreases for professional development opportunities	1.1 Use trained staff at Lakeview Elementary School to provide professional development to teachers. Use volunteers to work with students. Continue using research- based materials in the classroom.	1.1 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor, Professional Development Rep	1.1 Professional development rosters, percentage of teachers taking advantage of professional development offerings, volunteer log in sheets, CWT data	1.1 FCAT 2013, FAIR, SM4, Storytown assessments	
2	1.2 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, teachers are working at grade levels in which they are not accustomed.	1.2 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring		1.2 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	1.2 FCAT 2013, FAIR, SM4, Storytown assessments, TES	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group:	rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:	
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.	
Reading Goal #1b:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance

Counselor

1.3 FCAT 2013,

assessments, TES

FAIR, SM4,

Storytown

1.3 CPT meeting notes,

requests for resources,

CWT data

1.3 Provide teachers with 1.3 Principal,

resources as needed and TOSA, Team

as available.

support team. Schoolwide mentoring

Frequent contact with principal and pupil

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a:	By the year 2013, there will be a minimum of a two percentage point increase for Level 4,5 students, when less than 70% are currently demonstrating proficiency (across Levels 3,4,5). There will be a minimum of a one percentage point increase for Level 4,5 students where 70% or more are currently demonstrating proficiency (across Levels 3,4,5). If 90% or more students are proficient, the school can maintain or demonstrate an increase in the percent proficient. No overall proficiency target will be less than 35% (across Levels 3,4,5) for any subgroup.	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:	
 Level 4,5 - 49%(174) Level 3,4,5 - 73%(261)	Level 4,5 - 53% (170) Level 3,4,5 - 75% (240)	

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	2A.1 Funding decreases for professional development opportunities	2A.1 Use trained staff at Lakeview Elementary School to provide professional development to teachers. Use volunteers to work with students. Continue using research- based materials in the classroom.	2A.1 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor, Professional Development Rep		2A.1 FCAT 2013, FAIR, SM4, Storytown assessments			
2	2A.2 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, teachers are working at grade levels in which they are not accustomed.	2A.2 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring	2A.2 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor		2A.2 FCAT 2013, FAIR, SM4, Storytown assessments, TES			
3	2A.3 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, blended classes have occurred.	2A.3 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring	2A.4 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor	requests for resources, CWT data	2A.4 FCAT 2013, FAIR, SM4, Storytown assessments, TES			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading.

Reading Goal #2b:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A					

	l on the analysis of studen provement for the following	it achievement data, and re g group:	eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need				
gains	in reading.	students making learning	percentage poir less than 70% a gain. There will	By the year 2013, there will be a minimum of a four percentage point increase for all student subgroups when less than 70% are currently demonstrating an annual learning gain. There will be a minimum of a two percentage point					
Readi	ing Goal #3a:			student groups where 70% nstrating an annual learning					
2012	Current Level of Perform	mance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:					
70%(′	159)		72%	72%					
	Pr	roblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1	3.1 Funding decreases for professional development opportunities	3.1 Use trained staff at Lakeview Elementary School to provide professional development to teachers. Use volunteers to work with students. Continue using research- based materials in the classroom.	3.1 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor, Professional Development Rep	3.1 Professional development rosters, percentage of teachers taking advantage of professional development offerings, volunteer log in sheets, CWT data	3.1 FCAT 2013, FAIR, SM4, Storytown assessments				
2	3.2 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, teachers are working at grade levels in which they are not accustomed.	3.2 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring		3.2 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	3.2 FCAT 2013, FAIR, SM4, Storytown assessments, TES				
3	3.3 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, blended classes have occurred.	3.3 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil		3.3 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	3.3 FCAT 2013, FAIR, SM4, Storytown assessments, TES				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in

support team. Schoolwide mentoring

reading.						
Read	ing Goal #3b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Pro	oblem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Studen	t Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A					

	on the analysis of studer	nt achievement data, and re g group:	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and c	lefine areas in need	
maki	AT 2.0: Percentage of st ng learning gains in read ing Goal #4:		percentage poir	By the year 2013, there will be a minimum of a four percentage point increase in the number of students demonstrating a learning gain in the lowest quartile.		
2012	Current Level of Perform	mance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
63%(38)		67% (36)	67% (36)		
	P	roblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	4.1 Funding decreases for professional development opportunities	4.1 Use trained staff at Lakeview Elementary School to provide professional development to teachers. Use volunteers to work with students. Continue using research- based materials in the classroom.	4.1 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor, Professional Development Rep	4.1 Professional development rosters, percentage of teachers taking advantage of professional development offerings, volunteer log in sheets, CWT data	4.1 FCAT 2013, FAIR, SM4, Storytown assessments	
2	4.2. Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, teachers are working at grade levels in which they are not	4.2 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil		4.2 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	4.2 FCAT 2013, FAIR, SM4, Storytown assessments, TES	

Leaders, ESE/ELL

4.3 CPT meeting notes,

requests for resources,

CWT data

4.3 FCAT 2013,

FAIR, SM4,

Storytown

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

4.3 Provide teachers with 4.3 Principal,

resources as needed and TOSA, Team

support team. Schoolwide mentoring

as available.

accustomed.

4.3 Due to the Florida

blended classes have

Class Size Amendment,

Measu	urable Ob I will red	but Achievable ojectives (AMOs uce their achiev). In six year	each yea populati	r fro on.	om SY 2012-1 The target	013 to for yo	arget goals for 2016-1017 for t ur school's tota r project ion (2	his l population
	line data 0-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-201	4	2014-201	15	2015-2016	2016-2017
		78	80	82		84		86	
		analysis of stud nt for the follow			eferer	nce to "Guiding	g Quest	ions", identify and o	define areas in need
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5B:				y T ir a	The FLDOE has identified the target goals for the AMOs each year from SY 2012-1013 to 2016-1017 for this population. The target for your this subpopulation(s) for SY 2012-2013 is indicated below. If your schools percent proficient is at or above 95%, the school can maintain that percentage. Your school can also achieve their goal by reducing the percent non-proficient within this population by 10% (Safe Harbor).				
2012	Current	Level of Perfo	ormance:		2	013 Expected	d Leve	l of Performance:	
	77%(22 nic 57%(,				Vhite 84% Iispanic 54% E	Exceede	ed AMO Target	
			Problem-Sol	ving Process 1	to I no	crease Stude	nt Achi	evement	
	Antic	ipated Barrier	St	rategy	Res	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring		rocess Used to Determine ffectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	5B.1 Fui for profe developi opportui	ment	Lakeview E School to p professiona to teacher Use volunt with stude Continue u	Elementary provide al development s. eers to work ents. ising research- erials in the	aff at 5B.1 Principal, y TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL oment Liaison, Guidance Counselor, ork Professional Development Rep arch-		develo percer taking profes offerir	Professional opment rosters, ntage of teachers advantage of sional development ogs, volunteer log in s, CWT data	
2	Class Siz teachers		with resources a as availabl Frequent c principal ar support tea	e. contact by nd pupil	5B.2 Principal, TOSA, Team d Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor			CPT meeting notes, sts for resources, data	5B.2 FCAT 2013, FAIR, SM4, Storytown assessments, TES
3	Class Siz	e to the Florida ze Amendment, classes have d.	5B.3 Provid with resou and as ava Frequent o principal ar support tea	de teachers rces as needed ailable. contact by nd pupil am.	eachers 5B.3 Principal, as needed TOSA, Team le. Leaders, ESE/ELL act by Liaison, Guidance			CPT meeting notes, sts for resources, data	5B.3 FCAT 2013, FAIR, SM4, Storytown assessments, TES

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C:	The FLDOE has identified the target goals for the AMOs each year from SY 2012-1013 to 2016-1017 for this population. The target for your this subpopulation(s) for SY 2012-2013 is indicated below. If your schools percent proficient is at or above 95%, the school can maintain that percentage. Your school can also achieve their goal by reducing the percent non-proficient within this population by 10% (Safe Harbor).
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:

+

t

N/A	A		N/A					
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	N/A							

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D:	The FLDOE has identified the target goals for the AMOs each year from SY 2012-1013 to 2016-1017 for this population. The target for your this subpopulation(s) for SY 2012-2013 is indicated below. If your schools percent proficient is at or above 95%, the school can maintain that percentage. Your school can also achieve their goal by reducing the percent non-proficient within this population by 10% (Safe Harbor).
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
33%	46%

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	5D.1 Funding decreases for professional development opportunities	Lakeview Elementary School to provide professional development to teachers.	Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance	5D.1 Professional development rosters, percentage of teachers taking advantage of professional development offerings, volunteer log in sheets, CWT data		
2	5D.2 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, teachers are working at grade levels in which they are not accustomed.	5D.2 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring	5D.2 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor	5D.2 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	5D.2 FCAT 2013, FAIR, SM4, Storytown assessments, TES	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in ne of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E:	The FLDOE has identified the target goals for the AMOs each year from SY 2012-1013 to 2016-1017 for this population. The target for your this subpopulation(s) for SY 2012-2013 is indicated below. If your schools percent proficient is at or above 95%, the school can maintain that percentage. Your school can also achieve their goal by reducing the percent non-proficient within this population by 10% (Safe Harbor).				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	5E.1 Funding decreases for professional development opportunities	5E.1 Use trained staff at Lakeview Elementary School to provide professional development to teachers. Use volunteers to work with students. Continue using research- based materials in the classroom.	TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL	5E.1 Professional development rosters, percentage of teachers taking advantage of professional development offerings, volunteer log in sheets, CWT data	5E.1 FCAT 2013, FAIR, SM4, Storytown assessments			
2	5E.2 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, teachers are working at grade levels in which they are not accustomed.	5E.2 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring	5E.2 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor	5E.2 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	5E.2 FCAT 2013, FAIR, SM4, Storytown assessments, TES			
3	5E.3 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, blended classes have occurred.	5E.3 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring	5E.3 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor	5E.3 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	5E.3 FCAT 2013, FAIR, SM4, Storytown assessments, TES			

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Introduction to Common Core	All grade levels	Principal and 3 Teacher Leaders		August 28-August 30	CPT Minutes PM Data SWST Data CWT data	Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor
Analyze student data from FCAT, SM, common assessments, FAIR, and classroom lessons. Based on data, develop lesson plans. Discuss and review MTSS strategies and interventions.	Regular ed and ESE students in Kindergarten, 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, and Specials	Principal, Team Leaders, TOSA, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor	Grade Level CPTs Staff Meetings Team Leader Meetings	Weekly Monthly As Needed	CPT Minutes PM Data SWST Data	Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor, SWST Members

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

CELLA Goal #1:

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A				

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

CELLA Goal #2:

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A				

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.						
3. St	3. Students scoring proficient in writing.					
CELL	A Goal #3:					
2012	Current Percent of Stud	dents Proficient in w	riting:			
	Prob	elem-Solving Process	s to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A					

CELLA Budget:

Church a sur	Description of Description		Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "(Guiding	Questions", identify and c	lefine areas in need
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1a:				By the year 2013, there will be a minimum of a four percentage point increase for Level 3 students, when less than 70% are currently demonstrating proficiency (across Levels 3,4,5). There will be a minimum of a two percentage point increase for Level 3 students where 70% or more are currently demonstrating proficiency (across Levels 3,4,5). If 90% or more students are proficient, the school can maintain or demonstrate an increase in the percent proficient. No overall proficiency target will be less than 35% (across Levels 3,4,5) for any subgroup.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Ex	pectec	Level of Performance:	
Level 3 - 24%(86) Level 3 - 28% (90) Level 3,4,5 - 68%(244) Level 3,4,5 - 72% (230) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person Positio Responsib Monitor	or on Ile for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1.1 Funding decreases for professional development opportunities	1.1 Use trained staff at Lakeview Elementary School to provide professional development to teachers. Use volunteers to work with students. Continue using research- based materials in the classroom.	1.1 Principal TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE	E/ELL Iance	1.1 Professional development rosters, percentage of teachers taking advantage of professional development offerings, volunteer log in sheets, CWT data	1.1 FCAT 2013, Math Benchmark testing, SM4, enVision assessments
2	1.2 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, teachers are working at grade levels in which they are not accustomed.	1.2 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring		i E/ELL lance	1.2 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	1.2 FCAT 2013, Math Benchmark testing, SM4, enVision assessments, TES
3	1.3 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, blended classes have occurred.	1.3 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring		i E/ELL lance	1.3 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	1.3 FCAT 2013, Math Benchmark testing, SM4, enVision assessments, TES

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1		N/A					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

By the year 2013, there will be a minimum of a two

percentage point increase for Level 4,5 students, when less than 70% are currently demonstrating proficiency (across 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 3,4,5). There will be a minimum of a one percentage Level 4 in mathematics. point increase for Level 4,5 students where 70% or more are currently demonstrating proficiency (across Levels 3,4,5). If Mathematics Goal #2a: 90% or more students are proficient, the school can maintain or demonstrate an increase in the percent proficient. No overall proficiency target will be less than 35% (across Levels 3,4,5) for any subgroup. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Level 4,5 - 44% (305) Level 4,5 - 46% (147) Level 3,4,5 - 68% (244) Level 3,4,5 - 70% (224)

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	2.1 Funding decreases for professional development opportunities	professional development to teachers. Use volunteers to work with students.	2.1 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor, professional development rep, math rep	percentage of teachers			
2	2.2 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, teachers are working at grade levels in which they are not accustomed.	2.2 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team.		2.2 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	2.2 FCAT 2013, Math Benchmark testing, SM4, enVision assessments, TES		
3	2.3 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, blended classes have occurred.	2.3 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring		2.3 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	2.3 FCAT 2013, Math Benchmark testing, SM4, enVision assessments, TES		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:	
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in	
mathematics.	
Mathematics Goal #2b:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	N/A							

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a:	By the year 2013, there will be a minimum of a four percentage point increase for all student subgroups when less than 70% are currently demonstrating an annual learning gain. There will be a minimum of a two percentage point increase for all student groups where 70% or more are currently demonstrating an annual learning gain.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
72% (164)	74% (237)			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	3.1 Funding decreases for professional development opportunities		3.1 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor, Professional development rep, math rep	percentage of teachers			
2	3.2 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, teachers are working at grade levels in which they are not accustomed.	3.2 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring		3.2 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	3.2 FCAT 2013, Math Benchmark testing, SM4, enVision assessments, TES		
3	3.3 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, blended classes have occurred.	3.3 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring			3.3. FCAT 2013, Math Benchmark testing, SM4, enVision assessments, TES		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #3b:

2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy N/A 1

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need f improvement for the following group:							
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4:			percentage poin	By the year 2013, there will be a minimum of a four percentage point increase in the number of students demonstrating a learning gain in the lower quartile.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
58% (:	34)		62% (32)	62% (32)				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	it Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	4.1 Funding decreases for professional development opportunities		4.1 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor, Professional development rep, math rep	development rosters, percentage of teachers	
2	4.2 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, teachers are working at grade levels in which they are not accustomed.	4.2 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring		requests for resources, CWT data	4.2 FCAT 2013, Math Benchmark testing, SM4, enVision assessments, TES
3	4.3 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, blended classes have occurred.	4.3 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring		requests for resources, CWT data	4.3 FCAT 2013, Math Benchmark testing, SM4, enVision assessments, TES

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target Elementary School Mathematics Goal # 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual The FLDOE has identified the target goals for the AMOs Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year each year from SY 2012-1013 to 2016-1017 for this school will reduce their achievement gap population. The target for your school's total population 5A : for SY 2012-2013 and the 5 year project ion (2016-2017) is by 50%.

-

	ine data D-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	4	2014-201	5	2015-2016	2016-2017
		68	71	74		77		80	
		analysis of stud it for the follow		ent data, and re	efere	ence to "Guiding	Question	ns", identify and o	define areas in nee
Hispa satisf	inic, Asia ^c actory p		ethnicity (Wh Indian) not m athematics.			year from SY 20 The target for y indicated below above 95%, the school can also	012-1013 your this s . If your e school o achieve	to 2016-1017 for subpopulation(s) schools percent p can maintain that their goal by redu	for SY 2012-2013
2012	Current	Level of Perf	ormance:			2013 Expected	d Level o	f Performance:	
	nic 47% 74%(20					Hispanic 49% (* White 75% (18*			
			Problem-Sol	ving Process t	to I r	ncrease Studer	nt Achiev	vement	
	Antic	ipated Barrie	r Sti	rategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	C Effe	cess Used to Determine ctiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too
1	5A.1 Fui for profe developr opportui	ment	Lakeview E School to p professiona to teachers Use volunt with stude Continue u	provide al development s. eers to work	TOS Lea Liai Cou Pro dev	SA, Team iders, ESE/ELL	developr percenta taking a professio offerings	ofessional ment rosters, age of teachers dvantage of onal development s, volunteer log in CWT data	
2	Class Siz teachers		, with resour	ontact by nd pupil am.	5A.2 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor, math rep			T meeting notes, for resources, a	5A.2 FCAT 2013, Math Benchmark testing, SM4, enVision assessments, TES
3	Class Siz	e to the Florid: ze Amendment classes have d.	, with resour and as ava Frequent c principal ar support tea	ontact by nd pupil	TOS Lea Liai	iders, ESE/ELL ison, Guidance unselor, math		T meeting notes, for resources, ta	5A.3 FCAT 2013, Math Benchmark testing, SM4, enVision assessments, TES
Based	on the a	analysis of stud	dent achieveme	ent data, and re	efere	ence to "Guidinc	Question	ns", identify and o	define areas in nee
		nt for the follow							
satisf	actory p	anguage Lear progress in m Goal #5C:	ners (ELL) no athematics.	t making		year from SY 20 The target for y indicated below above 95%, the school can also	012-1013 your this s . If your e school o achieve	to 2016-1017 for subpopulation(s) schools percent p can maintain that	for SY 2012-2013 proficient is at or percentage. Your ucing the percent

2012 Current Level of Performance:

N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

N/A

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A				

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and c	lefine areas in need		
satis	tudents with Disabilities factory progress in math ematics Goal #5D:	. , .	year from SY 20 The target for y indicated below above 95%, the school can also	The FLDOE has identified the target goals for the AMOs each year from SY 2012-1013 to 2016-1017 for this population. The target for your this subpopulation(s) for SY 2012-2013 is indicated below. If your schools percent proficient is at or above 95%, the school can maintain that percentage. Your school can also achieve their goal by reducing the percent non-proficient within this population by 10% (Safe Harbor).			
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:			
30%			38%				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	5C.1 Funding decreases for professional development opportunities.	5C.1 Use trained staff at Lakeview Elementary School to provide professional development to teachers. Use volunteers to work with students. Continue using research- based materials in the classroom.	TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL	taking advantage of	5C.1 FCAT 2013, Math Benchmark testing, SM4, enVision assessments		
2	5C.2 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, teachers are working at grade levels in which they are not accustomed.	5C.2 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring	5C.2 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor, math rep	5C.2 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	5C.2 FCAT 2013, Math Benchmark testing, SM4, enVision assessments, TES		
3	5C.3 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, blended classes have occurred.	5C.3 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring	5C.3 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor, math rep	5C.3 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	5C.3 FCAT 2013, Math Benchmark testing, SM4, enVision assessments, TES		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following subgroup:						
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	The FLDOE has identified the target goals for the AMOs each year from SY 2012-1013 to 2016-1017 for this population. The target for your this subpopulation(s) for SY 2012-2013 is indicated below. If your schools percent proficient is at or					
Mathematics Goal #5E:	above 95%, the school can maintain that percentage. Your school can also achieve their goal by reducing the percent non-proficient within this population by 10% (Safe Harbor).					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1	5D.1 Funding decreases for professional development opportunities.	Use volunteers to work with students.	TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL	5D.1 Professional development rosters, percentage of teachers taking advantage of professional development offerings, volunteer log in sheets, CWT data					
2	5D.2 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, teachers are working at grade levels in which they are not accustomed.	5D.2 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring	5D.2 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor, math rep	5D.2 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	5D.2 FCAT 2013, Math Benchmark testing, SM4, enVision assessments, TES				
3	5D.3 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, blended classes have occurred.	with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil	5D.3 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor, math Rep	5D.3 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	5D.3 FCAT 2013, Math Benchmark testing, SM4, enVision assessments, TES				

Т

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Introduction to Common Core	All grade levels	Principal and 3 Teacher Leaders	Instructional staff	August 28 - August 30	CPT Minutes PM Data SWST Data CWT Data	Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor
Analyze student data from FCAT, SM, common assessments, benchmark assessments, and classroom lessons. Based on data, develop lesson plans. Discuss and review MTSS strategies and interventions.	Regular ed and ESE students in Kindergarten, 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, and Specials	Principal, Team Leaders, TOSA, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor	Grade Level CPTs Staff Meetings Team Leader Meetings	Weekly Monthly As Needed	CPT Minutes PM Data SWST Data	Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor, SWST Members

T

Evidence-based Program	m(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: By the year 2013, there will be a minimum of a four

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a:	percentage point increase for all student subgroups when less than 70% are currently demonstrating proficiency (across Levels 3,4,5). There will be a minimum of a two percentage point increase for all student groups where 70% or more are currently demonstrating proficiency (across Levels 3,4,5) Any subgroup that is 90% or higher can maintain or demonstrate an increase in the percent proficient. No proficiency target will be less than 35% (across Levels 3,4,5) for any subgroup.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Level 3 - 28% (35) Level 3,4,5 - 61% (78)	Level 3 - 32% (119) Level 3,4,5 - 65% (77)

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
Anticipated Barrier Strategy		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool					
	1.1 Func for profe developr opportur	essional nent		TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor, Professional development rep	development rosters, percentage of teachers taking advantage of	1.1 FCAT 2013, District Benchmark Assessment, Harcourt assessments			

		materials in the classroom. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring			
2	1.2 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, teachers are working at grade levels in which they are not accustomed.	1.2 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoowide mentoring	1.2 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor	1.2 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	1.2 FCAT 2013, District Benchmark Assessment, Harcourt assessments, TES
3	1.3 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, we have a blended grade 4/5 homeroom.	1.3 Fifth grade team will assist with instructional resources. Provide teacher with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring	1.3 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor	1.3 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	1.3 FCAT 2013, District Benchmark Assessment, Harcourt assessments
4	1.4 Science lab is scheduled once every six days – therefore, science lab teacher cannot follow IFC as written.	1.4 Science lab teacher rewrote IFC to go along with the six- day rotation, thereby allowing students to receive important NGSSS instruction before spring FCAT. Science fair is mandatory for all grade 3-5 students.	Teachers, Science Lab Teacher, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor		1.4 FCAT 2013, District Benchmark Assessment, Harcourt assessments

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b:						
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	ormance:		2013 Expecte	d Level of Performan	ce:
	Probl	em-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. By the year 2013, there will be a minimum of a four percentage point increase for all student subgroups when less than 70% are currently demonstrating proficiency (across Levels 3,4,5). There will be a minimum of a two percentage point increase for all student groups where 70% or more are currently demonstrating proficiency (across Levels 3,4,5) Any subgroup that is 90% or higher can maintain or demonstrate an increase in the percent proficient. No

Science Goal #2a:

	proficiency target will be less than 35% (across Levels 3,4,5) for any subgroup.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Level 4,5 - 34% (43) Level 3,4,5 - 61% (78)	Level 4,5 - 38% (45) Level 3,4,5 - 64% (76)

	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	2.1 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, teachers are working at grade levels in which they are not accustomed.	2.1 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring	2.1 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor	2.1 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	2.1 FCAT 2013, District Benchmark Assessment, Harcourt assessments, TES
2	2.2 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, we have a blended grade 4/5 homeroom.	2.2 Fifth grade team will assist with instructional resources.Provide teacher with resources as needed and as available.Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team.Schoolwide mentoring	2.2 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor	2.2 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	2.2 FCAT 2013, District Benchmark Assessment, Harcourt assessments
3	2.3 Science lab is scheduled once every six days – therefore, science lab teacher cannot follow IFC as written	2.3 Science lab teacher rewrote IFC to go along with the six- day rotation, thereby allowing students to receive important NGSSS instruction before spring FCAT. Science fair is mandatory for all grades 3-5 students.	2.3 Principal, TOSA, Classroom Teachers, Science Lab Teacher, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor	2.3 Science lab books, lesson plans	2.3 FCAT 2013, District Benchmark Assessment, Harcourt assessments
4	2.4 Funding decreases for professional development opportunities.	2.4 Use trained staff at Lakeview Elementary School to provide professional development to teachers. Use volunteers to work with students. Continue using research-based materials in the classroom.	2.4 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor, Professional development rep	2.4 Professional development rosters, percentage of teachers taking advatage of professional development offerings, volunteer log in sheets, CWT data.	2.4 District Benchmark Assessment, Harcourt Assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:					
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7					
in science.					
Science Goal #2b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				

	Problem-Solving Proces	s to Increase S	itudent Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Science - Train the Trainer	(ar 3-5	Brad Porinchak	3,4, 5 target instructors	September 25	CWT data CPT minutes	Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor

Science Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and r in need of improvement for the following group:	reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a:	By the year 2013, there will be a minimum of a four percentage point increase for all student subgroups when less than 75% are currently demonstrating 3.0 or higher on the writing essay. There will be a minimum of a two percentage point increase for all student groups where 75% or more are currently demonstrating 3.0 or higher on the writing essay. Any subgroup that is 90% or higher must maintain or demonstrate an increase in the percent proficient. No proficiency target will be less than 35% for any subgroup.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
87%(106)	89% (82)

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	1.1 Due to the Florida Class Size Amendment, we have a blended grade 4/5 homeroom.	 1.1 Fourth grade team will assist with instructional resources. Provide teacher with instructional resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring 	1.1 Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor	1.1 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	1.1 2013 FCAT Writes, District Writing Assessments, Weekly Writing Assessments, TES			
2	1.2 Interpretation and uncertainty about state scoring.	1.2 Provide teachers with resources as needed and as available. Frequent contact by principal and pupil support team. Schoolwide mentoring	1.2 Principal, TOSA	1.2 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	1.2 2013 FCAT Writes, District Writing Assessments, Weekly Writing Assessments, TES			

	l on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the	ent achievement data, an e following group:	d reference to "Gu	iding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
at 4 c	lorida Alternate Assess or higher in writing. ng Goal #1b:	sment: Students scoring	percentage poin less than 75% on the writing percentage poin 75% or more a the writing ess must maintain	By the year 2013, there will be a minimum of a four percentage point increase for all student subgroups when less than 75% are currently demonstrating 4.0 or higher on the writing essay. There will be a minimum of a two percentage point increase for all student groups where 75% or more are currently demonstrating 4.0 or higher on the writing essay. Any subgroup that is 90% or higher must maintain or demonstrate an increase in the percent proficient. No proficiency target will be less than 35% for any subgroup.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
44%(54)			48%	48%		
	Prot	olem-Solving Process to	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1	Class Size Amendment, we have a blended	will assist with instructional resources.	TOSA, Team	reesources, CWT data	1.1 2013 FCAT Writes, District Writing Assessments, Weekly Writing Assessments, TES
2	1.2 Interpretation and uncertainty about state scoring.			1.2 CPT meeting notes, requests for resources, CWT data	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Professional development on the writing process		Consultant, Chris		from August 2012 - March	notes, data from writing	Principal, TOSA, Team Leaders, ESE/ELL Liaison, Guidance Counselor

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)	'Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Su	ubtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Su	ubtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Chris Lewis, Consultant Substitutes for Teachers	Teacher Workshops Direct Instruction	Title II Funds, Child Care Funds	\$15,500.00
		Subtota	I: \$15,500.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Su	ubtotal: \$0.00
		Grand Tota	I: \$15,500.00

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

			ATTENDANCE (
	tendance ndance Goal #1:		will increase. I 90%, there wil percentage of will maintain o ATTENDANCE (By the year 20 who are absen When 40% or absences annu percentage po If less than 40 absences annu percentage po ATTENDANCE (By the year 20 who are Tardy When 30% or Tardies annual percentage po If less than 30 Tardies annual percentage po	% of the students have ally, there will be a minir int decrease GOAL- TARDY 013, there will be a decre ten or more days. more of the students have (y, there will be a minimu int decrease. % of the students have (y, there will be a minimu int decrease. If the curr or less, the school can	e rate is less than ase. If the current reater, the school e. ease of students ve ten or more num of a 4 ten or more num of a 2 ease of students ve ten or more im of a 4 ten or more im of a 2 ent percent of			
2012	2 Current Attendance Ra	ate:		2013 Expected Attendance Rate:				
95.7%	% (665/695)		97.7% (549)					
	2 Current Number of Stu ences (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)				
189			175	175				
	2 Current Number of Stu ies (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)				
96			82					
	Prot	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
	1.1 Elementary school children cannot be held accountable when they are absent/tardy. This is a family/parent concern.		1.1 Registrar, Receptionist, CARE Team, Homeroom Teachers, Truancy Worker, Schoowide mentors	1.1 Letters Monthly Data Review SWST/CARE Notes Feedback from mentors/mentees/ parents	1.1 2012-2013 School Year Attendance Data			

1	been frequently absent/tardy. Remind families of importance of school attendance in principal's blog, newsletter, teacher websites. Invite parents to CARE meetings to help solve attendance issues. New CrossPointe/Student Parent Portal Schoolwide mentoring involving staff/community businesses (This represents our Five Star School Improvement Goal).			
---	---	--	--	--

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A					

Attendance Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	lent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of susp provement:	ension data, and referen	ice to "Gi	uiding Que	stions", identify and define	ne areas in need	
s 1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1: t			susp perce main perce the p or hi	ensions fro entage of s ltain or de entage is l bercentage gher than	13, there will be a reduction the previous year. If suspensions is 10% or lecrease the percentage. I between 11-49%, the sceby 5%. If the current p the previous year, the sceby 10%.	the current ss, the school will f the current hool will reduce ercentage is 50%	
2012	Total Number of In–Sc	hool Suspensions	2013	3 Expecte	d Number of In-School	Suspensions	
0							
2012	Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended In-Sch	ool 2013 Scho		d Number of Students	Suspended In-	
0				0			
2012	Number of Out-of-Sch	ool Suspensions		2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions			
18				18			
2012 Scho	Total Number of Stude ol	ents Suspended Out-of-		2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School			
12			12				
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to Increa	ase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Pos Respor	son or sition hsible for itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	1.1 New staff unfamiliar with schoolwide Time to Teach iniative.	1.1 One-on-one tutorial with target staff. Refresher session at staff meeting.	1.1 Prine Guidanc Counsele	e	1.1 CPT meetings, Team Leader meetings, staff meetings, PBS meetings, CWT data	1.1 District Reports, Discipline Referrals	
2	1.2 Staff unfamiliar with RtI-b initiative.	1.2 Professional development inservices at PBS, CPT, and staff meeting.	1.2 Prine Guidanc Counsele	e	1.2 CPT meetings, Team Leader meetings, staff meetings, PBS meetings	1.2 District Reports, Discipline Referrals	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
RtI-b training	K-5	Guidance Counselor	All K-5 instructional staff	PBS, CPT, Team Leader meetings, staff meetings	PBS meetings, CPT meetings, Team Leader meetings, staff meetings.	Principal, Guidance Counselor

Suspension Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and in need of improvement:	reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas			
 Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. 	90% or more of Lakeview Elementary School families will become involved or participate in school activities.			
2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:			
2012 Current level of Parent Involvement: 90% (581)	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement: 90% (543)			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data Submitted		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A					

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Program			A
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Fechnology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developmen	it		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
1. STEM					
STEM Goal #1:					

	Prol	olem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	lo Data Submitteo	t	-	

STEM Budget:

			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Fechnology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

N/A Goal:

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		٢	lo Data Submitted	b	-	

Budget:

Evidence-based Progra			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
No Data	Data No Data		\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Fechnology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00
			End of N/A Goal

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based F	Program(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data No Data		\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Deve	elopment			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Writing	Chris Lewis, Consultant Substitutes for Teachers	Teacher Workshops Direct Instruction	Title II Funds, Child Care Funds	\$15,500.00
				Subtotal: \$15,500.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
				Grand Total: \$15,500.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

j∩ Priority j∩ Focus j∩ Prevent j∩ NA

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/3/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
Possible contracted instructional remediation services	\$3,005.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The Lakeview Elementary School SAC/SDMT will monitor our 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan, monitor school data; review climate survey results, and discuss other timely topics.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010 SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Sarasota School Distri LAKEVI EW ELEMENTA 2010-2011						
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	89%	87%	94%	75%	245	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	67%	67%			134	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	52% (YES)	59% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					590	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	89%	86%	96%	72%	343	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	69%	67%			136	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?		53% (YES)			115	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					594	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested