FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH SCHOOL

District Name: Broward

Principal: Jon Marlow

SAC Chair: Michele Eade

Superintendent: Robert Runcie

Date of School Board Approval: 12/4/2012

Last Modified on: 10/25/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner
Florida Department of Education

325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | an | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
|----------|------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----|---|
|----------|------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----|---|

| Principal | Jon Marlow | Bachelor's in Psychology, Master's in Educational Leadership, and certified in Math: 6-12 and Educational Leadership: K-12 | 6 | 10 | 2011-2012 - Principal at Deerfield Beach High School: Grade? Students making learning gains in reading: 62%, Reading level 3 or higher: 45%, Bottom quartile making learning gains in reading: 63%, Students making learning gains in math: 59%, Geometry level 3 or higher: 57%, Algebra level 3 or higher 68%, Bottom quartile making learning gains in math: 52%, Writing level 3 or higher: 86%, Biology level 3 or higher: 55%, No sub groups met AMO in reading, Asian subgroup met AMO in math. 2010-2011 - Principal Deerfield Beach High School: Grade: B Reading mastery: 43%, AYP met in reading and math with the white students. 2009-2010 - Principal Deerfield Beach High School: Grade: B Reading mastery: 41%, Math mastery:72%, Science mastery: 33%, AYP: The Black, Economically Disadvantaged, English Language Learners, Hispanic, Students with Disabilities, and White subgroups did not make AYP in Reading. The Black, Economically Disadvantaged, English Language Learners, Hispanic, and Students with Disabilities subgroups did not make AYP in Math. |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|---|----|--|
| Assis Principal | Formoso, Maria | Bachelor's in Psychology, Master's in Educational Leadership, and certified in Psychology, Spanish, and Educational Leadership: K-12 | 6 | 6 | 2011-2012 - Assistant Principal at Deerfield Beach High School: Grade? Students making learning gains in reading: 62%, Reading level 3 or higher: 45%, Bottom quartile making learning gains in reading: 63%, Students making learning gains in math: 59%, Geometry level 3 or higher: 57%, Algebra level 3 or higher 68%, Bottom quartile making learning gains in math: 52%, Writing level 3 or higher: 86%, Biology level 3 or higher: 55%, No sub groups met AMO in reading, Asian subgroup met AMO in math. 2010-2011 - Assistant Principal at Deerfield Beach High School: Grade: Reading mastery: 43%, Math mastery: 71%, Science mastery: 34%, AYP met in reading and math with the white students. |

| | | | | | Deerfield Beach High School: Grade: B, Reading mastery: 41%, Math mastery:72%, Science mastery: 33%, AYP: The Black, Economically Disadvantaged, English Language Learners, Hispanic, Students with Disabilities, and White subgroups did not make AYP in Reading. The Black, Economically Disadvantaged, English Language Learners, Hispanic, and Students with Disabilities subgroups did not make AYP in Math. |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Assis Principal | Fulton, Latori | Bachelor's in English, Master's in Educational Leadership, and certified in Educational Leadership: K-12 | 9 | 2 | 2011-2011 - Principal at Deerfield Beach High School: Grade? Students making learning gains in reading: 62%, Reading level 3 or higher: 45%, Bottom quartile making learning gains in reading: 63%, Students making learning gains in reading: 63%, Students making learning gains in math: 59%, Geometry level 3 or higher: 57%, Algebra level 3 or higher 68%, Bottom quartile making learning gains in math: 52%, Writing level 3 or higher: 86%, Biology level 3 or higher: 55%, No sub groups met AMO in reading, Asian subgroup met AMO in math. 2010-2011 - Language Arts teacher and behavior specialist at Deerfield Beach High School. Grade: Reading mastery: 43%, Math mastery: 71%, Science mastery: 34%, AYP met in reading and math with the white students. 2009-2010 - Language Arts teacher and behavior specialist at Deerfield Beach High School: Grade: B, Reading mastery: 41%, Math mastery:72%, Science mastery: 33%, AYP: The Black, Economically Disadvantaged, English Language Learners, Hispanic, Students with Disabilities, and White subgroups did not make AYP in Reading. The Black, Economically Disadvantaged, English Language Learners, Hispanic, and Students with Disabilities subgroups did not make AYP in Math. |
| Assis Principal | Roberson, Keith | Bachelor's in Sociology, Master's in Educational Leadership, and certified in Social Science: 5-9 and Educational Leadership: K-12 | 6 | 5 | 2011-2012 - Assistant Principal at Deerfield Beach High School: Grade? Students making learning gains in reading: 62%, Reading level 3 or higher: 45%, Bottom quartile making learning gains in reading: 63%, Students making learning gains in math: 59%, Geometry level 3 or higher: 57%, Algebra level 3 or higher 68%, Bottom quartile making learning gains in math: 52%, Writing level 3 or higher: 86%, Biology level 3 or |

| | | | | | higher: 55%, No sub groups met AMO in reading, Asian subgroup met AMO in math. 2010-2011 – Assistant Principal Deerfield Beach High School: Grade: Reading mastery: 43%, Math mastery: 71%, Science mastery: 34%,AYP met in reading and math with the white students. 2009-2010 - Assistant Principal at Deerfield Beach High School: Grade: B, Reading mastery: 41%, Math mastery:72%, Science mastery: 33%, AYP: The Black, Economically Disadvantaged, English Language Learners, Hispanic, Students with Disabilities, and White subgroups did not make AYP in Reading. The Black, Economically Disadvantaged, English Language Learners, Hispanic, and Students with Disabilities subgroups did not make AYP in Math. |
|-----------------|--------------------|---|----|---|--|
| Assis Principal | May, Kenneth | Masters in Educational Leadership; Bachelor of Science in Education | 2 | 7 | 2011-2012 - Assistant Principal at Deerfield Beach High School: Grade? Students making learning gains in reading: 62%, Reading level 3 or higher: 45%, Bottom quartile making learning gains in reading: 63%, Students making learning gains in math: 59%, Geometry level 3 or higher: 57%, Algebra level 3 or higher 68%, Bottom quartile making learning gains in math: 52%, Writing level 3 or higher: 86%, Biology level 3 or higher: 55%, No sub groups met AMO in reading, Asian subgroup met AMO in math. 2010 - 2011: Assistant Principal at Coconut Creek High School Moved Coconut Creek high from a D to a C. Increased learning gains in the lowest quartile in math 7 points. 2008 - 2009: Assistant Principal at Coconut Creek High School. Moved Coconut Creek High School from an F to D. Increased graduation rate 8% |
| Assis Principal | Clark Gwendolyn | Masters in Educational Leadership, Bachelors of Science in English | 12 | 1 | 2011-2012 - Assistant Principal at Deerfield Beach High School: Grade? Students making learning gains in reading: 62%, Reading level 3 or higher: 45%, Bottom quartile making learning gains in reading: 63%, Students making learning gains in math: 59%, Geometry level 3 or higher: 57%, Algebra level 3 or higher 68%, Bottom quartile making learning gains in math: 52%, Writing level |

| | 3 or higher: 86%, Biology level 3 or higher: 55%, No sub groups met AMO in reading, Asian subgroup met AMO in math. |
|--|--|
| | 2010-2011 – Assistant Principal Deerfield Beach High School: Grade: Reading mastery: 43%, Math mastery: 71%, Science mastery: 34%,AYP met in reading and math with the white students. |
| | 2009-2010 - Assistant Principal at Deerfield Beach High School: Grade: B, Reading mastery: 41%, Math mastery:72%, Science mastery: 33%, AYP: The Black, Economically Disadvantaged, English Language Learners, Hispanic, Students with Disabilities, and White subgroups did not make AYP in Reading. The Black, Economically Disadvantaged, English Language Learners, Hispanic, and Students with Disabilities subgroups did not make AYP in Math. |

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
|--------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Reading | Eade, Michele | Bachelor's in Elementary Education, Master's in Reading, and certified in Elementary Education: K-6 and Reading: K- 12, English: 6-12, ESOL endorsed | 5 | 4 | 2011-2012 - Reading Coach at Deerfield Beach High School. Students making learning gains in reading: 62%, Reading level 3 or higher: 45%, Bottom quartile making learning gains in reading: 63%, Students making learning gains in math: 59%, Geometry level 3 or higher: 57%, Algebra level 3 or higher 68%, Bottom quartile making learning gains in math: 52%, Writing level 3 or higher: 86%, Biology level 3 or higher: 55%, No sub groups met AMO in reading, Asian subgroup met AMO in math. 2010-2011 - Reading Coach at Deerfield Beach High School: Grade: Reading mastery: 43%, Math mastery: 71%, Science mastery: 34%, AYP met in reading and math with the white students. |

| | | Be m Sc Ec La wi nc Ec La St | 009-2010 - Reading Coach at Deerfield each High School: Grade: B, Reading nastery: 41%, Math mastery:72%, cience mastery: 33%, AYP: The Black, conomically Disadvantaged, English anguage Learners, Hispanic, Students with Disabilities, and White subgroups did ot make AYP in Reading. The Black, conomically Disadvantaged, English anguage Learners, Hispanic, and tudents with Disabilities subgroups did ot make AYP in Math. |
|--|--|---|--|
|--|--|---|--|

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Communication will be ongoing with the instructional staff to identify qualified candidates. | Jon Marlow, Principal and all assistant principals | Ongoing | |
| 2 | Field experience for potential educators will be provided through local colleges and universities leading to possible employment. | Maryna Trevisol, NESS Liason | Ongoing | |
| 3 | 3. Teacher induction and the NESS program will provide ongoing support to new teachers. | Maryna Trevisol, NESS Liason | Ongoing | |
| 4 | 4. One on one meetings will be held with all teachers and their supervising administrator to maintain positive rapport and to align goals. | All assistant Principals | Ongoing | |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| | Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective | | |
|-----|--|---|--|--|
| N/A | | | | |

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number of Instructional Staff | Teachers | Years of | | | | Effective | % Reading Endorsed Teachers | | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|--|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|
| 113 | 2.7%(3) | 29.2%(33) | 39.8%(45) | 28.3%(32) | 43.4%(49) | 100.9%(114) | 9.7%(11) | 9.7%(11) | 19.5%(22) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee Assigned | Rationale for Pairing | Planned Mentoring Activities |
|------------------|-----------------|---|---|
| Carolyn Flanagan | Ashley Santy | common discipline area | Monthly NESS meetings - assist with learning the database systems such as Virtual Counselor, Starbucks and Pinnacle. |
| Alison Cantu | Alberto Gomez | more readily available - mentor not classroom based | Monthly NESS Meetings - assist with learning the database systems such as Virtual Counselor, Starbucks and Pinnacle. |
| Tavia Handoga | Gilles Lamarche | classroom proximity | Monthly NESS meetings - assist with learning the database systems such as Virtual Counselor, Starbucks and Pinnacle. |
| Maryna Trevisol | Sarah Coffman | more readily available - mentor not classroom based | Monthly NESS meetings - assist with learning the database systems such as Virtual Counselor, Starbucks and Pinnacle. |
| Rebecca Johnson | Michael Handler | more readily available - mentor not classroom based | Monthly NESS meetings - assist with learning the database systems such as Virtual Counselor, Starbucks and Pinnacle. |

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

| N/A |
|-----|
|-----|

Title I, Part C- Migrant N/A Title I, Part D N/A Title II

Title III

The Deerfield Beach High School (DBHS) English Language Learners (ELL) program, facilitated by Pam Haley, is coordinated and integrated in collaboration with the Broward County School District's Multicultural and English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) Program Services Department. Through ELL district meetings and collaboration with the district's ELL resource teacher, the ELL contact gathers and distributes county, state, and federal ELL requirements to DBHS teachers, administrators, and guidance counselors and assists with student support. The district has also supported DBHS through Title III funding of ELL supplemental personnel and instructional materials. The district's resource teacher has supported the school by coaching and mentoring instructional staff and administrators. The ELL contact also monitors both active (current) and inactive (former ELL students who are monitored for two years after exiting from the program) ELL students. Monitoring for active students includes annual individual student reviews with emphasis on student progress. The ELL committee, composed of the ELL contact, guidance counselors, administrators, teachers, and parents, recommends when students should continue or exit the ESOL program and if other interventions are needed. When a student enters DBHS and indicates on his/her registration form that another language is spoken in the home, the student is given a language proficiency test (IPT) which determines if the student is placed in sheltered or regular classes with ELL accommodations. Depending on English proficiency level progression, a student is then gradually placed in non-sheltered classes and eventually exited from the ELL Program when he/she demonstrates proficiency in both oral language development and reading and writing skills. Most students are ready to enter regular classes after two years of sheltered classes, although transition earlier or later depending on individual progress. ELL students are also placed in non-sheltered art and physical education classes. In addition to student incorporation explained above, all teachers who teach ELL students at DBHS are either ESOL endorsed or in the process of completing the ESOL training requirements.

| Title X- Homeless | | |
|---|--|--|
| N/A | | |
| Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) | | |
| N/A | | |
| Violence Prevention Programs | | |
| N/A | | |
| Nutrition Programs | | |
| N/A | | |
| | | |

Housing Programs

N/A

| Head Start | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| N/A | |
| Adult Education | |
| N/A | |
| Career and Technical Education | |
| N/A | |
| Job Training | |
| N/A | |
| Other | |
| N/A | |
| | |

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Gwendolyn Clark - A.P.

Nancy Carter - Guidance Director

Randy Blatt - School Social Worker

Cindy O'Brien - 9th grade academy coordinator

Latori Fulton - A.P.

Keith Roberson - A.P.

Margaret Williamson, Paula Bailey, Tracy Cerra - Guidance Counselors

Michele Eade - Reading Coach

Michelle Scott - Support Facilitator

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The school based MTSS/RtI team meets biweekly with each meeting focusing on problem-solving collaboration through analysis of data collection, progress monitoring, and responses to interventions. The MTSS/rtI leadership team then aligns with the Child Study Team, Academic Coaches, and the Core Team to coordinate pertinent interventions both academically and/or behaviorally for students that are referred by parents, teachers, administrators, and guidance counselors. The Guidance Director serves as the organizer for the student data folders and communication with teachers. Assistant Principals and academic coaches assist the team with analysis of data for the decision making at Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3. Michele Eade and Cindy O'Brien are charged with providing the assistance to all general education teachers with data chats and the interventions indicated for Tier 2 and Tier 3 students.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS team will work collaboratively with the instructional coaches in the development and ongoing implementation of the School Improvement Plan. Using the Problem-solving process to assist in the development and implementation of the SIP, the MTSS team initially identifies problems in measurable terms comparing data from expected student level of performance and then peer level of performance. After assessing the data, the RtI team then moves to problem analysis identifying the problems and analyzing why the problems are happening either due to skill deficit, production deficit, or behavior deficit. Then from this analysis, the MTSS team designs and implements interventions through the school improvement plan. Finally, the MTSS team reviews and analyzes the data making further instructional/intervention decisions and recommended changes to the school improvement if needed.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

The data sources used by the MTSS team for Tier 1 includes school-wide discipline, school-wide curriculum, Broward Assessment Test (BAT) for reading, mathematics, science and writing, and Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) for reading, mathematics, science and writing, and the FAIR assessment data. Tier 2 data sources include academic and behavioral observations, intervention data, gap analysis of academics and behavioral data, and academic and/or behavior concerns. Tier

3 data sources include FBA's, and individual progress monitoring data for academics and behavior. The data management systems used in the collection and access to data includes the school based data system called Starbucks, Pinnacle, Broward

County's Data Warehouse, and RtI data graphs for intervention data.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Through Professional Developments, Professional Learning Communities, and direct individual support by Coaches or Administrators, the MTSS team will continuously be training and working with the staff on instructional/behavioral interventions using problem-solving approaches when responding and implementing interventions needed. Within the Professional Learning Communities, the staff will learn to recognize the different needs of students based in the three Tier Model and how to implement the proper strategies for interventions at each Tier.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

In order to support MTSS we will evaluate the program quarterly and analyze the data, support strategies, and interventions used for the students. We will continue to reassess the progress of MTSS and effectiveness.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Jon Marlow, Principal, Maria Formoso, Assistant Principal, Kenneth May, Assistant Principal Latori Fulton, Assistant Principal, Gwen Clark, Assistant Principal, Nancy Carter, Guidance Director, Michele Eade, Reading Coach, Cindy O'Brien, 9th grade coordinator, Jondria Thompson, Reading Team Leader, Maryna Trevisol, ESE Team Leader, James Scales, Social Studies Team Leader, Frank Pizzo, Vocational Arts Team Leader, Pam Haley, ESOL Coordinator, Jeffrey Romance, Magnet Coordinator, Judith Olivero, IB Coordinator, Janet Andrade, Math Dept. Rep., Alison Cantu, ESE Dept. Rep.

The above members were chosen to assist with the reading and writing process as a school wide initiative. A representative from each content area was selected as an expert teacher that could readily aid teachers with literacy in the classroom. Each member will be charged with developing strategies and professional development seminars to encourage reading/writing across the curricula.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT will meet monthly with Ms. Formoso, Mr. Fulton and Mr. May who will facilitate the reading and writing process as a school wide initiative. The team will work collaboratively in order to develop this goal by establishing a writing program, evaluating data from BATs, mini-BATs, FCAT, ACT, and PSATs. This information will be disseminated monthly in LLT meetings and data stored in our school RtI database. It will be the primary function of the team to disaggregate data twice a month in order to analyze literacy strengths and weaknesses. In addition the team will create professional development seminars with the focus on content area literacy.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The major goals of the LLT for this year will be:

- Providing continuous training for teachers working towards the NGCAR-PD and reading endorsement.
- •Using data to analyze effectiveness of instruction and redesign instruction and resources to meet student learning and intervention needs
- •Monitoring and supporting the implementation of the Comprehensive Intervention Programs and scientifically based reading instruction with fidelity
- •Leading and supporting Professional Learning Communities and Study Groups
- •Creating and sharing a writing program to increase scores on the FCAT Writing assessment as well as preparing for the transition to Common Core Standards
- •Encouraging informational literacy across the curricula
- •Establishing the research process within the content area classes

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

N/A

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

All teachers will be trained in the NGSSS strategy of CIS during PLCs and training will continue throughout the year. The academic coaches will assist the content area teachers to incorporate reading strategies through modeling lessons, assisting with lesson planning and project based learning. Social studies and science teacher servicing the bulk of our bottom quartile students have been identified and are part of our RACC (Reading Across Content Class) and are being provided with additional modeling, team teaching and monitoring of reading strategies in the classroom. PLCs will be designed to foster collaboration of implementing reading strategies among the teachers in each department.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

The school offers students elective courses in: ACT prep, art, business, career study, FCAT and college preparation, and technology.

A daily focus of the school is for teachers and students to ask each other "Why are we learning this?" to ensure that instruction is always relevant.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Teachers are expected to create and implement lessons that are standards based and relevant. DBHS offers students elective courses in art, business, career study, and technology. Many of these courses focus on job skills and offer students internships. In addition to DECA, DBHS offers Fashion Design, Medical Skills and Culinary. Every year, after FCAT testing, students and parents participate in a course selection fair that exposes them to next year's curriculum. The course progression charts are utilized in scheduling. Counselors meet face-to-face with each child to assist in course selection. After the course selection fair, students meet one-on-one with a counselor for informational meetings to decide what classes will be taken. Parents are invited to these meetings and final course selection is sent home for parent's signature. We use FACTS.org for academic and career planning with students. Students are required to reevaluate their ePEP beginning with this year's 9th graders. We implement the AGP to focus on academic and career planning. We provide ACT and SAT after school tutoring classes. All 11th and 12th graders on FRL are guided in acquiring ACT, SAT and college application waivers and registration. PSAT is administered to all 10th grade students and is offered to 11th grade students as an option. Our 11th graders are given the opportunity to attend a local

college fair. We also have an on-campus college FAIR for juniors and seniors. Our BRACE advisor provides assistance in post-secondary planning, scholarship, registration and applications.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report

Teachers have been trained during professional development on how to increase rigor within their courses across all content areas. NGCAR-PD will be provided for all teachers to aid in increasing the reading rigor within all classes. We are increasing the number of A.P. classes being offered for 2012-2013. More students are being encouraged to register for A.P. classes. We currently have 7 teachers trained in Princeton Review/ ACT. We are offering ACT prep classes after school and on Saturdays to assist our students in preparing for the ACT test. We are incorporating the College Board Spring Board program into all of our 9th and 10th grade classes. We are also utilizing PERT test results to determine college readiness and/or remediation for our seniors.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

18% (205)

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: Our reading goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the level proficiency (level 3) to 23%. In order to accomplish this we will implement the county IFC's and the Edge 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at curriculum with fidelity. Will also offer after Achievement Level 3 in reading. school and Saturday school tutoring to enhance the regular curriculum. We will increase the Reading Goal #1a: reading rigor in all CAR-PD and NGCAR-PD classes. We will provide NGCAR-PD training for all interested content area teachers. We will attempt to establish communication early with parents to enlist their help in encouraging their child to reduce absenteeism and attend tutoring. 2012 Current Level of Performance: **2013 Expected Level of Performance:**

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

23% (261)

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| 1 | Lack of understanding of the Straight 7 Schedule | Provide all teachers with training on the new schedule and how to plan for the 50 min. period | All administrators | Classroom Walk-Through, data chats, BASIS data reports | Teacher obsevations, end-of-year standarized test results. |
| 2 | Lack of content area reading strategies. | Provide all teachers with CIS training to incorporate more in depth, meaningful reading into content classes | All administrators | CWTs, data chats | Teacher observations, end-of-year test results |
| 3 | Lack of higher level vocabulary skills necessary for | Teachers will utilize various vocabulary development | All reading, English and content area | CWTs, Quarterly Data Chats with administrator and | Mini BAT data, BAT data and 2013 reading |

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | advanced academic rigors. | strategies, incorporate interactive Word Walls, and daily vocabulary direct instruction. | teachers, administrators and reading coach | teacher/coach conferences. | FCAT |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| 4 | Student Engagement and motivation. | The Edge curriculum will be used with fidelity in all 9th and 10th grade intensive reading classes. Differentiated instruction will be used to meet the needs of every child. Monitoring of interventions will be done int he RtI data base. | teachers, reading coach Eade, Kenneth May, A.P. over reading | Monitoring of county IFC's, classroom walk-throughs, quartely data chats, reduction of incidents in the RtI data base. | Pinnacle reports of student grades and teacher lesson plans, Virtual Counselor discipline reports |
| 5 | Lack of practice taking computer based tests. | Each class will be scheduled time to to do online reading test practice for several weeks leading up to the FCAT | Kenneth May, A.P. over reading, Michele Eade, reading coach | Increased time on task and test results. | 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 |

| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b: | | | and teacher | t story read aloud, wi prompting, the stude el 4,5 and 6 on the 20 | nts will score at | |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|---|--|--------------------|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | 2013 Expec | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 23%(5) | | | 32%(7) | 32%(7) | | |
| | Problem | n-Solving Process to | o Increase Stu | dent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |

| 1 | Regression common to students with moderate to severe intellectual disabilities | Daily reading drills using Unique Curriculum with fidelity. | SVE classroom teachers | Monthly progress monitoring through the Unique Curriculum | 2013 FAA |
|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|----------|
| 2 | Medical/behavioral issues common to the SVE students | Daily reading drills using Unique Curriculum with fidelity. | SVE classroom teachers | Monthly progress monitoring through the Unique Curriculum | 2013 FAA |
| 3 | Insufficient differentiation with appropriate level of reading passage. | PLCs designed to increase the differentiation within the SVE classrooms | teacher, Gwen Clark, A.P. over | observations | 2013 FAA |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

The goal at DBHS is increase the number

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: 27% (308) | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 32% (364) |
|--|--|
| Reading Goal #2a: | increase the rigor in the honors English classes, incorporate high level reading and questioning in the content area classes and expand our ACT and SAT preparation. |
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. | The goal at DBHS is increase the number students achieving levels 4 and 5 on the 2013 FCAT in reading to 32%. To achieve this we will |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| 1 | Т | | the weekly lessons rather an intense FCAT Crunch for the six weeks prior to | English teachers,English Team Leader, reading coaches and Kenneth | informal post-walk- though conferences are held between the teacher, reading | mock AP, PSAT and ACT tests 2013 FCAT reading |

| 2 | Reading stamina | Collaboration of all curriculum areas to increase the reading stamina by gradually increasing the amount and of reading and the reading level of material used in all classes throughout the year. | academic coaches, all administrators | 5 -, | Teacher created assessments, BAT tests, mini assessments, 2013 FCAT reading results |
|---|-----------------|--|--|------|---|
|---|-----------------|--|--|------|---|

| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b: | Given a reading passage read aloud, with picture cues and teacher prompting, the students will score at or above level 7 on the 2013 FAA. |
|--|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 64% (14) | 73%(16) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|---|--|--------------------|
| 1 | Regression common to students with moderate to severe intellectual disabilities | Daily reading drills using Unique Curriculum with fidelity. | SVE classroom teachers | Monthly progress monitoring through the Unique Curriculum | 2013 FAA |
| 2 | Medical/behavioral issues common to the SVE students | Daily reading drills using Unique Curriculum with fidelity. | SVE classroom teachers | Monthly progress monitoring through the Unique Curriculum | 2013 FAA |
| 3 | Receiving more higher order questioning | PLCs working to develop higher order questions appropriate for the SVE population. Teacher modeling | SVE teachers, Gwen Clark, A.P. over ESE Dept. | CTWs, observations, data chats | 2013 FAA |

| | and/or observations | | |
|--|---------------------|--|--|
| | of SVE teachers | | |

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.

Reading Goal #3a:

We plan to increase the percent of students making learning gains for next year to 65%. To achieve this we plan to change some teaching assignments to better meet the needs to of the students, provide modeling and coach/teacher teaming to assist the classroom teachers with differentiation of instruction, provide after school and Saturday school tutoring to enhance the regular curriculum and provide ongoing progress monitoring based on FAIR test data.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

62% (670)

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

65% (704)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 1 | Lack of effective reading strategies incorporated into lessons. | Model classrooms will be established and time provided for teachers to visit and observe quality reading strategies being taught that can be utilized in any subject. | | CWTs, observations, data chats | 2013 FCAT 2.0 reading test, BASIS data reports |
| 2 | Student engagement and motivation. | Increase motivation through project based learning in all reading curriculum with fidelity. Provide differentiated instruction to meet the needs of all students. An extensive progress incentive program has been developed in | All reading and English teachers, reading coach Eade, Kenneth May, A.P. over reading | Weekly Classroom Walk throughs, quarterly data chats, Teacher/coach conferences | 2013 FCAT reading results. |

| 3 | Students with English language problems | collaboration with our school business partners. Implementing ESOL strategies with fidelity, differentiated instruction and reciprocal teaching. Providing ESOL para-professionals to assist with language issues in the classrooms. | English teachers, reading coaches,ESOL para-professionals, | Monitoring implementation of county IFC's, classroom walk throughs, quarterly data chats, teacher/coach conferences | 2013 FCAT reading results, 2013 ESOL testing (CELLA etc.) |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | Students reading significantly below grade level | After school tutoring, Saturday tutoring, differentiated instruction and increased personalization between the teachers and students. | Reading and English teachers, reading coaches, Kenneth May, A.P. over reading | Carefully planned and monitored individualized curriculum for after school and Sat. school tutoring. | 2013 FCAT reading results |
| 5 | Attendance issues | Attendance will be closely monitored and the necessary communication will be made to parents through administration, guidance counselors, school social workers and SRO | All teachers, administrators, and guidance couselors | Attendance records at the end of each quarter, incident reports in the RtI data base. | 20123 FCAT reading results |

| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b: | Given a 5 sentence short story with picture cues and teacher prompting, the students will show learning gains in reading on the 2013 FAA. |
|--|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 59% (12) | 71%(15) |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|---|--|--------------------|
| 1 | Regression common to students with moderate to severe intellectual disabilities | Daily reading drills using Unique Curriculum with fidelity. | SVE classroom teachers | Monthly progress monitoring through the Unique Curriculum | 2013 FAA |
| 2 | Medical/behavioral issues common to the SVE students | Daily reading drills using Unique Curriculum with fidelity. | SVE classroom teachers | Monthly progress monitoring through the Unique Curriculum | 2013 FAA |
| 3 | Lack of higher order questioning within the SVE classrooms. | higher level | SVE teachers, Gwen Clark, A.P. over ESE Dept. | CWTs, data chats, observations | 2013 FAA |

| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4: | Attendance and language barriers are two factors that will be addressed in an effort to increase the number of students making learning gains to 68% for 2013. |
|---|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 65%(186) | 68% (193) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | Lack of motivation and not taking the tests seriously | Provide a year-long incentive and monitoring program to encourage the students to work toward improvement | Reading coach | Analyze student progress from BAT and from one mock test to the next. | BAT , mini BATs, mock FCATs |

| 2 | Attendance issues | Closer monitoring of daily attendance and tardies, increased communication with parents pertaining to their child's attendance. | teachers, administrators and guidance | Quarterly attendance records, ongoing progress monitoring based on FAIR data | 2013 reading FCAT |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--|--|-----------------------------|
| 3 | Language barriers | Provide sheltered ESOL and Wilson classes for specific ELL students, Provide an ESOL para-professional to assist in the sheltered classrooms and differentiated instruction to accommodate the language specific of each child. | Classroom teachers, Pam Haley, ESOL coordinator, Michele Eade, reading coach, Kenneth May, A.P. over reading | Ongoing formal and informal language assessments, FAIR, teacher created assessments. | CELLA, 2013 reading FCAT |
| 4 | Student engagement and motivation. | Increase student motivation through differentiated instruction, teacher /coach collaboration to increase rigor in the classroom, assisitance for students provided by the ESOL para-professional and the ESE support facilitaors. | A.P. over reading | Student grades, FAIR test data | 2013 reading FCAT |

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target Reading Goal # 5A: 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Continue with the Reading and Reading Across the Curriculum Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In plans with a focus on the common core standards. Ensure six year school will reduce their proper reading placement for all students by following the Reading Placement Charts. Teach all county reading curriculum achievement gap by 50%. with fidelity. Baseline 2011-2012 2012-2013 data 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 2010-2011

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify

| and define areas in need of improvement for the f | following subgroup: |
|---|---|
| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5B: | White students did make AYP. Black and Hispanic subgroups did not meet the requirement for proficiency in reading. Our goal is meet AYP in all sub-groups. To achieve this we will provide differentiated instruction in all classroom, coach/teacher collaboration to provide extra support for teachers and students, ongoing progress monitoring based on FAIR test results, after school and Saturday tutoring to enhance the regular curriculum. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| White: 31%(76) | White: 28%(69) |
| Black: 74%(382) Hispanic: 52%(148) | Black: 71%(392) Hispanic: 50%(142) |
| Asian: 12%(6) | Asian: 9%(5) |
| American Indian: 40%(2) | American Indian: 37%(2) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|---|----------------------|
| 1 | Home-School communication | Monitor student progress and call home as needed. Provide various parent involvement nights to keep parents informed. Provide translators for parent/teacher conferences | All teachers, administrators and guidance counselors | Sign-in sheets from parent involvement nights, 2013 FCAT and EOCs | on the 2013 |
| 2 | Attendance | Careful monitoring of attendance by all teachers, coaches and administrators. Verify correctness of phone numbers to parents the first 2 weeks of school. Phone calls to parents with follow-up by school social workers and SRO if necessary. | guidance | Attendance reports at the end of each quarter | 2013 reading FCAT |
| 3 | Language barriers | Provide translators to communicate with parents in their native | Classroom teachers, ESOL para-professionals, Pam Haley, ESOL | Ongoing language proficiency testing in the classroom. Informal language | 2013 reading FCAT |

| | after school and Saturday school | Kenneth May, A.P. over reading and Michele Eade reading coach | assessments . | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|---------------|--|
|--|-------------------------------------|--|---------------|--|

| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C: 2012 Current Level of Performance: | language. There will be more effort made to integrate the ELL students with students of other languages. More effort will be made to encourage the ELL students to attend after school and Saturday tutoring. We will reach out to parents early to enlist assistance in getting the students to attend tutoring, ongoing progress monitoring based on FAIR test results. 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
|---|--|
| 99%(73) | 94%(70) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------|
| 1 | with parent. | Provide translators for meetings, send out communications in the parents' native language | , , | Positive response in attendance to meetings, sign-in sheets | 2013 FCAT and EOCs |
| 2 | - | Provide bus passes for the activity bus | | Attendance records for tutoring, | 2013 reading FCAT |

| | | so they will be able to stay for after school tutoring. | reading coaches and Kenneth May,A.P. over reading Keith Roberson, A.P.over transportation | ongoing language assessments both formal and informal. | |
|---|-------------------|--|---|---|-----------------------------|
| 3 | Language barriers | Provide sheltered ELL classrooms that create a comfortable environment for practicing English, provide ample opportunities to practice written and spoken English.ESOL para-professional scheduled into the sheltered classrooms to assist the students. | Classroom teachers, reading coaches, Kenneth May, A.P. over reading, Pam Haley, ESOL coordinator, ESOL para=professionals | formal and informal, ongoing progress monitoring based on FAIR test results | CELLA, 2013 reading FCAT |

| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D: | reading classrooms is one of our major goals for this year. This will allow teachers to more closely monitor the SWD students and be able to recommend higher levels of intervention when a child isn't making sufficient progress. |
|--|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 74%(71) | 69%(66) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---|--|--------------------|
| | Lack of motivation and test | Provide incentives for improvement, | | 3 3 | 2013 FCAT and EOCs |
| 1 | preparation. | after school and Satuday school tutoring. | | EOCs | |

| 2 | Teachers getting overwhelmed by the differentiation process | ongoing throughout the year. Reading coaches assisting in | Michele Eade, reading coach, Kenneth May, A.P. over reading, RtI team | FAIR, mini-assessments, ongoing progress monitoring | 2013 reading FCAT |
|---|---|--|--|---|----------------------|
| 3 | Student motivation | Provide differentiated instruction to meet the students' needs and increase motivation, provide after school and Saturday tutoring. Support facilitators will assist in keeping students motivated by providing strategies to be successful in the classrooms. | Classroom teachers, Michele Eade, reading coach, Maryna Trevisol, ESE coordinator, ESE support facilitators, Kenneth May, A.P.over reading, Gwen Clark, A.P. over ESE | FAIR, mini-assessments, ongoing progress monitoring, classroom formal and informal assessments. | 2013 reading FCAT |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

Attendance is always an issue for the FRI

| 65%(500) | 59%(451) |
|---|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E: | Many of them hold part-time jobs and are the only one employed in their family. These students cannot attend after school tutoring regularly for the same reasons. The FRL students are frequently tardy or very tired in school because of working late. Their nutritional habits are compounding the lethargy they often exhibit in school. Increased parental involvement will be emphasized. |
| | Attendance is always an issue for the FRL students because of responsibilities at home. |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Lack of motivation, attendance issues and test preparation | and Saturday | All teachers, A.P.s and guidance counselors | Sign-in sheets for attendance at after-school tutoring. | Learning gains as determined by the 2013 FCAT and EOCs | | |
| 2 | Communication with parents due to language barriers, wrong phone number or no phone. Poor nutritional habits. Lack of attendance at free tutoring. | Establish contact with parents early in the year and verify phone number. Encourage the students to eat breakfast and lunch from the cafeteria rather than the vending machines. Recruit students to attend free tutoring by providing bus passes to get home | Michele Eade, reading coach, Kenneth May, A.P. over reading | Attendance records for tutoring, record of parental communication | 2013 reading FCAT | | |
| 3 | Attendance issues | Provide incentives to students for improving attendance, constant communication with parents when attendance issues occur. | teachers, | Quarterly attendance records in Pinnacle | Annual attendance records, 2013 reading FCAT | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic | Grade | PD Facilitator | Participants | Target Dates (e.g., early | Follow- | Person or Position |
|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| and/or PLC | Level, Subject | and/or PLC | (e.g. , PLC, | release) and | up/Monitoring | Responsible |

| Focus | | Leader | subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | for Monitoring |
|------------------|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| FAIR Training | 9-12 reading teachers, Eng. I & II teachers | Michele Eade, reading coach | All language arts teachers with any level 1, 2 or 3 readers. | Early release, common planning | FAIR reports, CTWs while FAIR testing is going on | Kenneth May, A.P. over reading, Latori Fulton, A.P. ove Eng. Dept., Michele Eade, reading coach |
| CIS Training | 9-12 all subjects | Cindy O'brien, in-service trainer, Michele Eade, reading coach | School-wide | Block Buddies | Trainers and A.P. will monitor through CWTs, observations | Latori Fulton, A.P. over training, Cindy O'brien, trainer, Michele Eade, reading coach |
| ОРМ | 9-12 reading teachers, Eng. I & II teachers | Michele Eade, reading coach | All language arts teachers with any level 1, 2 or 3 readers. | Early release, common planning | FAIR reports, CTWs, monitoring of reading test data | Kenneth May, A.P. over reading, Latori Fulton, A.P. ove Eng. Dept., Michele Eade, reading coach |

Reading Budget:

| Evidence-based Pro | gram(s)/Material(s) | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Develo | pment | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |

Subtotal: \$0.00 Grand Total: \$0.00

End of **Reading** Goal

http://www.flbsi.org/1213_SIP/Public/print.aspx?uid=061711

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

CELLA Goal #1:

The majority of the ELL students do not speak English in social settings and rely heavily on friends to translate for them in class.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

37% (73)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| 1 | Students using home language in social situations. Inability to communicate with parent because of incorrect or no phone access. | DLAE teachers will teach 15 minute activities using oral practice. Weekly practice using Rosetta Stone language program. | | Dictation practice; Oral reading; oral response | Dictation tests; oral response tests; IPT Spring testing |

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

CELLA Goal #2:

Increasing self confidence for the ELLs attempting to speak and read English will be a major focus. Once they have more confidence they will be more productive and achievement

| | will increase. | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| 201 | 2 Current Percent | of Students Profici | ent in reading | j: | | | |
| 6% | 5% (11) | | | | | | |
| | Problem | -Solving Process to | o Increase Stu | dent Achievement | : | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Lack of motivation to complete reading assignments. Inability to communicate with parent because of incorrect or no phone access. | Teachers will assign various reading assignments on nightly basis. | Pam Haley, ESOL coordinator, Latori Fulton, A.P. over ESOL | Oral and silent reading exercises | Benchmark testing; classroom assessments | | |

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 3. Students scoring proficient in writing. Daily written practice will occur in all ELL classes for both formal (academic) and CELLA Goal #3: informal (pleasure or social) type of writing. 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing: 9% (20) **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement** Person or **Process Used to Position Anticipated Determine Evaluation** Strategy Responsible **Barrier Effectiveness of** Tool for Strategy Monitoring Lack of student **CELLA** Teacher will assign Pam Haley, Language level appropriate level **ESOL** appropriate motivation to writing exercises complete writing writing coordinator, assignments. assignments Latori Fulton, Inability to on weekly basis. A.P. over ESOL communicate with parent because of

| i | ncorrect or no | | |
|---|----------------|--|--|
| ŗ | phone access. | | |

CELLA Budget:

| Evidence-based Prog | gram(s)/Material(s) | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Develop | oment | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of CELLA Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in Given word problems requiring addition and mathematics. subtraction with picture cues and teacher assistance, the students will score in levels 4,5 Mathematics Goal #1: or 6 of FAA 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 36%(8) 54%(12) **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement** Person or **Process Used to** Position **Anticipated Determine Evaluation** Strategy Responsible **Barrier Effectiveness of** Tool for Strategy **Monitoring** Daily reading drills SVE classroom Monthly progress 2013 FAA Regression common to using Unique teachers monitoring through Curriculum with the Unique students with moderate to severe fidelity. Curriculum intellectual disabilities Medical/behavioral Daily reading drills SVE classroom Monthly progress 2013 FAA issues common to using Unique teachers monitoring through 2 the SVE students Curriculum with the Unique fidelity. Curriculum Lack of hands on Provide training for SVE teachers, CWTs, data chats, 2013 FAA Gwen Clark, observations activities with math use and lesson planning with math A.P. over ESE centered manipulatives manipulatives. Dept.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students Given word problems requiring addition with scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.

regrouping and subtraction with borrowing with picture cues and teacher assistance, the students will score in levels 7 of FAA

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Matl | nematics Goal #2: | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--------------------|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Exped | cted Level of Perfo | rmance: |
| 46% | (10) | | 54%(12) | | |
| | Problem- | Solving Process to | Increase Stu | dent Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Regression common to students with moderate to severe intellectual disabilities | Daily reading drills using Unique Curriculum with fidelity. | teachers | Monthly progress monitoring through the Unique Curriculum | 2013 FAA |
| 2 | issues common to the SVE students | Daily reading drills using Unique Curriculum with fidelity. | teachers | Monthly progress monitoring through the Unique Curriculum | 2013 FAA |
| 3 | Effectively modeling the use of math manipulatives for borrowing and regrouping. | | Gwen Clark, | CWTs, data chats, observations | 2013 FAA |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students making learning gains in Given word problems requiring addition and mathematics. subtraction focusing on real world application, with picture cues and teacher assistance, the Mathematics Goal #3: students will show learning gains on the FAA 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 58%(12) 66%(14) **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement** Person or **Process Used to Anticipated Evaluation** Strategy **Barrier Position Determine** Tool

| | | | Responsible for Monitoring | Effectiveness of Strategy | |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|--|----------|
| 1 | Regression common to students with moderate to severe intellectual disabilities | Daily reading drills using Unique Curriculum with fidelity. | teachers | Monthly progress monitoring through the Unique Curriculum | 2013 FAA |
| 2 | issues common to | Daily reading drills using Unique Curriculum with fidelity. | teachers | Monthly progress monitoring through the Unique Curriculum | 2013 FAA |
| 3 | Lack of real world experiences to practice the math skills needed for daily living. | Provide opportunities such as banking and shopping | Gwen Clark, ´ | CWTs, observations, data chats | 2013 FAA |

High School Mathematics AMO Goals

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Mathematics Goal # 5A: Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. | | | | | | | |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-201 | 4 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify | | | | | | | |
| and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: All 9th and 10th grade Level 1 students and students 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not 1a, Algebra 1a/Algebra 1b, or Algebra | | | | | | | |
| making satisfactory progress in mathematics. | | | mo | re math teache | etry, double blo r contact time a | nd instruction. | |
| Mathematics Goal #5B: | | | ma stu | de satifactory p dents make sat | re the only sub progress. The go ifactory progres elevant and rigo | al is to have all s. DBHS will | |

| | development to our educators with a focus on lesson study groups and professional learning communities that will target ethnic subgroups. We will also provide after school and Saturday tutoring to all students. |
|------------------------------------|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| White: 30%(26) | White:25% (22) |
| Black: 53%(134) | Black:48% (122) |
| Hispanic: 36%(41) | Hispanic:31% (35) |
| Asian: 13%(2) | Asian:8% (1) |
| American Indian: NA | American Indian:NA |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | Black: attendance, language Hispanic: attendance, language Asian: none American Indian: none | Attendance will be monitored through Pinnacle. Parent contact will be made and the county-wide attendance policies will be adhered to. Check for accurate phone numbers and have them updated so the parents receive the Robot attendance call | | Improvement of attendance over the previous marking period.Reduced number of attendance referrals in the RtI system. | Data pulled from Pinnacle system |
| 2 | class for in depth | Extra tutoring will be provided after school or on Saturdays for the FCAT, ACT, and SAT and math content | Maria Formoso, A.P. over math and master schedule, Math Tutors | Tutors will monitor student progress | All students student enrolled in Algebra 1B will take the 2013 End of Course Exam in Algebra. and/or the 2013 FCAT Math Retake Test for grades 12. Students will also take a course |

| | | | | curriculum final exam. |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| 3 | Barriers include student attitudes and lack of basic skills to be successful on the FCAT retake test. | Maria Formoso, A.P.over math | monitor student progress through periodic miniassessments and skill | 12th grade students who have not met the math test requirement for graduation will Take the FCAT retake test and be encouraged to take the ACT and SAT. |

| | ELL students face various challenges. We will |
|---|--|
| | offer |
| | sheltered mathematics courses for ELL students. |
| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C: | The teacher will implement various strategies to improve student achievement and will be proactive by calling parents. Parent nights will also take place to reinforce the importance of education. Students will be encouraged to attend after school and Saturday tutoring |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 79%(38) | 74% (36) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | deficiencies | classes will | ESOL Coordinator, | will review ESOL | All students student enrolled in Algebra 1B |

| | | para-professionals | | ESOL | will take the 2013 End of Course Exam in Algebra. and/or the 2013 FCAT Math Retake Test for grades 12. Students will also take a course curriculum final exam. |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| 2 | Home-School communication | Monitor student progress and call home as needed. Provide various parent involvement nights to keep parents informed. Provide translators for parent/teacher conferences | All teachers, administrators and guidance counselors | Sign-in sheets from parent involvement nights, 2013 FCAT and EOCs | |
| 3 | Barriers include student attitudes, teacher expectations, family involvement, and school culture | Students will use Dinah Zinh's notetaking methods to learn math vocabulary with prefixes, roots, and suffixes. | Classroom teachers | Students will turn in Vocabulary notes for classroom review and/or grade. | Teachers will use a roster checklist to record student progress |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

Mathematics courses will be offered specifically

| | Mathematics courses will be offered specifically |
|--|---|
| | for ESE |
| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not | students. In these courses, the educator will be |
| making satisfactory progress in | able to |
| mathematics. | differentiate instruction based on the student's |
| | needs. |
| Mathematics Goal #5D: | The educator will provide services in line with the |
| | student's IEP and will monitor progress. Students |
| | will be |
| | encouraged to attend Saturday and after school |
| | |

| | tutoring. |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 57%(28) | 52% (25) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | Lack of motivation and test preparation | tutoring. Students in ESE classes will receive behavioral support and math assistance from the duel certified ESE and Math support facilitator. | | Learning gains on the 2013 FCAT and EOCs ESE Coordinators will use checklists to determine adequate progress and review learning strategies with teachers as needed. | 2013 FCAT and EOCs |
| 2 | Barriers include student attitudes, teacher expectations, family involvement, and school culture. | Weekly visits to the computer lab or use of the carts with course curriculum if the technology is available | | Standards based questions in chapter tests and/or mini-BATS | Checklist for Course Standards |
| 3 | Barriers include student attitudes, teacher expectations, family involvement, and school culture. | Students will demonstrate the correct use of calculators using readworld word problems | Classroom ESE teachers | Evaluation of Chapter Tests with real-world word problems | Tests and Checklist for Course Standards |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| | 5 5 |
|--|--|
| E. Economically Disadvantaged students not | Students will be encouraged to attend Saturday |
| making satisfactory progress in | school |
| mathematics. | and after school tutoring sessions. Students will |
| mathematics. | also be |
| Mathematics Goal E: | identified as not having filled out free lunch forms |
| Hattiematics doar E. | and will |
| | be encouraged to do so. |

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 45%(156) | 40% (139) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | Lack of motivation, attendance issues and test preparation | Provide after school and Saturday tutoring. Carefully monitoring of attendance and making the necessary parent communication | All teachers, A.P.s and guidance counselors | Sign-in sheets for attendance at afterschool tutoring. | Learning gains as determined by the 2013 FCAT and EOC |

End of High School Mathematics Goal

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: Level 3 students in Algebra will be enrolled in 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level Algebra 1a/Algebra 1b, 3 in Algebra. Algebra 1, Algebra 1b/Informal Geometry, Geometry, for a full year to provide Algebra Goal #1: reinforcement of the Next Generation Math Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS) benchmarks, according to the county matrix. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 45%(216) 49% (238) **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement** Person or **Process Used to** Anticipated **Evaluation Position Determine** Strategy **Barrier** Tool Responsible **Effectiveness of**

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | | | for Monitoring | Strategy | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | Lack of understanding of the Straight 7 Schedule | Provide all teachers with training on the new schedule and how to plan for the 50 min. period | | Classroom Walk-Through, data chats, BASIS data reports | Teacher obsevations, end-of-year standarized test results. |
| 2 | Barriers include student attitudes and teacher expectations | Extra tutoring will be provided after school or on Saturdays for the FCAT, ACT, and SAT and math content | Keith Roberson,A.P over after-school tutoring, Maria Formoso, A.P.over math, Math Tutors | Tutors will monitor student progress | All students student enrolled in Algebra 1, Algebra 1B, will take the 2013 End of Course Exam in Algebra. Use of BASIS data reports |
| 3 | Barriers include lack of student preparedness for the upcoming EOCs in algebra and geometry. | Each student enrolled in Algebra 1, Algebra 1H, Algebra 1B, will employ an EOC checklist for the next generation standards based on the curriculum for the enrolled course | · · | Students will be administered mini assessments based on the course curriculum using EOC Standards. | All students student enrolled in Algebra 1H, Algebra 1B, will take the 2013 End of Course Exam in Algebra. |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|--|--|------------|--|
| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra. Algebra Goal #2: | Algebra 1, H Honors Geor the MathNex | Level 4 and 5 students will be enrolled in Algebra 1, Honors Algebra 1, Geometry, and/or Honors Geometry, to provide reinforcement on the MathNext Generation Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS) | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expe | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 13% (62) | 18% (87) | 18% (87) | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to | Increase Stu | ident Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Strategy | Person or | Process Used to | Evaluation | |

| | Barrier | | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Tool |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | Barriers include lack of student preparedness for the upcoming EOCs. | enrolled inAlgebra 1, Algebra 1H, Algebra 1B, employ an EOC checklist for the next | Teachers, Parents, and Math Team | Students will be administered mini-assessments based on the course curriculum using EOC Standards | 2013 End of Course Exam in Algebra. Geometry |
| 2 | Barriers include student attitudes and teacher expectations | be provided after | Maria Formoso, A.P. over math Math Tutors | Tutors will monitor student progress through regular mini-assessments | 2013 End of Course Exam in either Algebra or Geometry |

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: Level 3 students in Algebra will be enrolled in Algebra 1a/Algebra 1b, Algebra 1, Algebra 1b/Informal Geometry, 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level Geometry, for a full year to 3 in Geometry. provide reinforcement of the Next Generation Math Geometry Goal #1: Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS) benchmarks, according to the county matrix. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 31%(101) 36% (117) **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement** Person or **Process Used to** Anticipated **Evaluation** Strategy **Position Determine Barrier** Tool

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | | | Responsible for Monitoring | Effectiveness of Strategy | |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | Lack of understanding of the Straight 7 Schedule | Provide all teachers with training on the new schedule and how to plan for the 50 min. period | , | Classroom Walk-Through, data chats, BASIS data reports | Teacher obsevations, end-of-year standarized test results. |
| 2 | Barriers include student attitudes and teacher expectations and student preparedness. | Extra tutoring will be provided after school or on Saturdays for the FCAT, ACT, and SAT and math content. Due diligence will be used to ensure proper placement of students according to the county matrix. | Keith Roberson,A.P over after-school tutoring, Maria Formoso, A.P. over math, Math Tutors, guidance department. | students progress, guidance will adjust schedules of students who were | • |
| 3 | Barriers include lack of student preparedness for the upcoming EOCs in geometry | Each student enrolled in Geometry, or Geometry Honors will employ an EOC checklist for the next generation standards based on the curriculum for the enrolled course | Math Teachers, Parents, and Math Team | Students will be administered mini assessments based on the course curriculum using EOC Standards. | All students enrolled in Geometry, or Geometry Honors will take the 2013 End of Course Exam in Geometry |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: Level 4 and 5 students who have successfully 2. Students scoring at or above completed Algebra 1 or Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry. Honors Algebra 1, will be enrolled in Geometry or Honors Geometry, to provide reinforcement Geometry Goal #2: on the Math Next Generation Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS) benchmarks, according to the county math matrix. **2012 Current Level of Performance:** 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 31% (100) 26%(84)

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Barriers include lack of student preparedness for the upcoming EOCs. | Each student enrolled in Geometry, or Geometry Honors will employ an EOC checklist for the next generation standards based on the curriculum for the enrolled course | Maria Formoso, A.P. over math, Math Teachers, Parents, and Math Team Leader | Students will be administered mini assessments based on the course curriculum using EOC Standards. | 2013 End of Course Exam in Geometry |
| 2 | Barriers include students' attitudes and teacher expectations. | Extra tutoring will be provided at after school or on Saturdays for the FCAT, ACT, and SAT and math content | Maria Formoso, A.P. over math Math Tutors | Tutors will monitor students' progress through regular mini-assessments | 2013 End of Course Exam in Geometry |

End of **Geometry EOC** Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Algebra EOC | Algebra 1 Algebra 1H Algebra 1B | Team leader | Teachers of Algebra 1 Algebra 1H Algebra 1B | Pre-Planning days, once per month | Lesson plans and data chats | Maria Formoso, Math administrator |
| Geometry EOC | Geometry Geometry H | Team leader | Teachers of Geometry Geometry Honors | Pre-Planning days, once per month | Lesson plans and data chats | Lesson plans and data chats |

Mathematics Budget:

| Evidence-based Progr | ram(s)/Material(s) | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Develop | ment | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.

Science Goal #1:

Civen basic scientific concept questions with picture cues and teacher assistance, the students will score at levels 4,5 and 6 on the FAA

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

64%(12)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--------------------|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Regression common to students with moderate to severe intellectual disabilities | Daily reading drills using Unique Curriculum with fidelity. | classroom teachers | Monthly progress monitoring through the Unique Curriculum | 2013 FAA | | |
| 2 | Medical/behavioral issues common to the SVE students | Daily reading drills using Unique Curriculum with fidelity. | classroom teachers | Monthly progress monitoring through the Unique Curriculum | 2013 FAA | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in Given basic scientific concept questions with science. picture cues and teacher assistance, the students will score at level 7 on the FAA Science Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: **2013 Expected Level of Performance:** 29%(5) 41%(7) **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement** Person or **Process Used to** Position **Anticipated Determine Evaluation** Responsible Strategy **Barrier Effectiveness of** Tool for Strategy **Monitoring** Regression Daily reading drills SVE Monthly progress 2013 FAA common to using Unique classroom monitoring through the Unique Curriculum with students with teachers 1 fidelity. Curriculum moderate to severe intellectual disabilities Daily reading drills SVE Monthly progress 2013 FAA Regression using Unique classroom monitoring through common to Curriculum with the Unique students with teachers moderate to fidelity. Curriculum

| severe intellectual | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|
| disabilities | | | |

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level

| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level3 in Biology.Biology Goal #1: | Given instruction based on the NGSSS, 28% of students will score at level three or above on the 2013 EOC Biology exam. |
|---|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 25% (125) | 30% (150) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | Student mastery of core content standards and annually assessed benchmarks | Utilize county Instructional Focus Calendars which align to adopted textbooks and NGSSS benchmarks | Assistant Principal and Science Team Leader and teachers | | Improvement on the science mini-assessments and BAT assessments |
| 2 | Student mastery of scientific processes and scientific thinking | All students will complete hands-on lab activities weekly using | | common biology assessment data every three | 2013 EOC |

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | | scientific inquiry. | and teachers | to determine progress toward benchmarks (75% on common assessment) | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--------------|---|------------------|
| 3 | Student apathy and attendance | Increase student motivation through differentiated instruction, teacher collaboration to increase rigor in the classroom | | Quarterly attendance records, ongoing progress, student grades, RtI and/or BASIS database | 2013 Biology EOC |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology. Biology Goal #2: | Given instruction based on the NGSSS, 33% of students will score at level four or five above on the 2013 EOC Biology exam |
|---|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 30% (150) | 33% (165) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|---|--|------------------|
| | Student | Incorporation of | - 1 / | Administration | Improvement on |
| | performance | higher | Assistant | and team leader | the science |
| | mastery in higher | order questions | Principal | will | mini-assessments |
| | order | during | Science Team | conduct | and BAT |
| | questions | core content area | Leader, and | classroom | assessments. |
| | | instructional | Biology | walkthrough | |
| 1 | | practices, | Teachers | observations to | |
| | | formative | | collect and | |
| | | assessments, | | analyze data on | |
| | | and summative | | learning | |
| | | assessments. | | objectives and | |
| | | | | instructional | |
| | | | | practices. | |

| | | | | Teachers will analyze data through professional learning community. | |
|---|----------------------------------|------------|--|--|------------------|
| 2 | Student apathy and attendance | motivation | Assistant Principal Science Team | Quarterly attendance records, ongoing progress, student grades, RtI and/or BASIS database | 2013 Biology EOC |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | (e.g., | Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|----------|--|--|
| Data Interpretatio | 19-17/ science | Cindy O'Brien | Science Teachers- Biology, Chemistry, Earth | meetings | Completion of Lesson Study cycle | Principal, Assistant Principal, Keith Roberson, Science Team Leader, Cindy O'brien |

Science Budget:

| Evidence-based Pr | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Develo | pment | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of **Science** Goals

Writing Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 1 | ed on the analysis of s tify and define areas | | | • | | stions", |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a: | | | All subgroups in 10th grade will meet Adequate Yearly Progress for the 2012-2013 school year by achieving the state standards on the Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT Writing Assessment Test). | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | mance: | | | |
| 86%(434) | | | 89%(449) | | | |
| | Problem | -Solving Process | to I | ncrease Stu | dent Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | R | Person or Position Sesponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Students must be proficient in using proper conventions (punctuation, | Teachers will implement daily grammar mini lessons. | A. wı | tori Fulton, P. over riting, and epartment | CWTs, quarterly data chats with administrators, student progress as | Six Trait Rubric FCAT Writing Rubric, 2013 Writing FCAT |

| | spelling, capitalization, subject verb agreement, pronoun- antecedent agreement correct verb usage, correct modifiers, and word choice. | | Head | shown on the 3,6,9 week writing assessments. | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 2 | Students must be proficient in sentence structure. | Students will be given writing activities that require them to edit, revise, and improve sentence structure through sentence variety and elaboration. | Assistant principal and Department Head | CWTs, quarterly data chats with administrators, student progress as shown on the 3,6,9 weekly writing assessments. | Six Trait Rubric FCAT Writing Rubric, 2013 Writing FCAT |
| 3 | Students must be proficient in Vocabulary usage | Teachers will devise various strategies to improve students' vocabulary usage (ie. replacing ordinary words with more specific and explicit word choice and understanding connotation and denotation of words.), utilization of interactive word walls, journal writing and response to literature. | principal, Writing Coach, and Department Head | Students will be given various opportunities to enhance their diction, syntax, and word choice, CWTs, quarterly data chats with administrators, student progress as shown on the 3,6,9 weekly writing assessments. | Six Trait Rubric FCAT Writing Rubric |
| 4 | Students lack prior knowledge of sufficient grammar conventions for career and college readiness writing | Daily grammar warm-ups focusing on grammar usage and mechanics | Classroom teachers and Assistant principal over writing | Pre-assessment to determine need, mid-year and end of year grammar test. Integration within the six week writing assessments. | Grammar Conventions |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.Given word of students will

Writing Goal #1b:

Given word cards and with teacher assistance, students will compose a grammatically correct sentences scoring at level 4 or higher on the FAA.

| 201 | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|--|----------|--|
| 83% | 83% (10) | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| Anticipated Strategy Re | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Regression common to students with moderate to severe intellectual disabilities | Daily reading drills using Unique Curriculum with fidelity. | SVE classroom teachers | Monthly progress monitoring through the Unique Curriculum | 2013 FAA | |
| 2 | Medical/behavioral Daily reading drills S | | SVE classroom teachers | Monthly progress monitoring through the Unique Curriculum | 2013 FAA | |
| 3 | Grammatical issues pertaining to verb tenses | Teacher will provide weekly examples and non-examples of correct grammar usage. | SVE teachers, Gwen Clark A.P. over ESE Dept. | CWTs, data chats, observations, student work | 2013 FAA | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | (e.g., | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| Six Traits | over writing, Ciriuy | Language arts, reading and social studies | Early release and common | School-wide CWTs, monthly writing assessments | Administrative Team |

| | | | teachers | planning | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Grammar | 9-10 Language Arts Courses ricalGrammar | Latori Fulton, A.P. over writing, Cindy O'brien, training coordinator | Language arts, reading and social studies teachers | Early release and common planning | Grammar Book Project/portfolios, classroom walk-throughs | Administrative Team |
| Vocabulary Across the Curriculum | 9-10 Language Arts Courses | Latori Fulton, A.P. over writing, Cindy O'brien, training coordinator | Language arts, reading and social studies teachers | Early release and common planning | Grammar Book Project/portfolios, classroom walk-throughs | Administrative Team |
| | 9-12 All Subjects ng | PLC Leader based on discipline/ Mr. Fulton Assistant Principal/Department Head | School-wide | Early Release Days and Teacher Planning Days | Portfolio and lesson plan check | Latori Fulton, A.P. over English Dept. |

Writing Budget:

| | | | Available |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Develo | pment | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History. Classes will work to improve reference and research skills and content area writing. U.S. History Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: **2013 Expected Level of Performance:** No Data 75% (182) **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement** Person or **Process Used to Position Anticipated** Determine **Evaluation** Responsible Strategy **Barrier Effectiveness of** Tool for Strategy Monitoring Lack of Provide all teachers All Teacher Classroom understanding of with training on the administrators Walk-Through, data obsevations, the Straight 7 new schedule and chats, BASIS data end-of-year 1 Schedule how to plan for the reports standarized 50 min. period test results. Lack of content Provide all teachers All CWTs, data chats Teacher with CIS training to administrators area reading observations, strategies. incorporate more in end-of-year 2 depth, meaningful test results reading into content classes Historically, Increasing the use Jim Scales -Use County EOC County EOC reference and of reference and Social Studies midterm exam and midterm research has been research items in Department U.S. History EOC exam. judge effectiveness U.S. History a weakness for the classroom Head Keith Roberson of instruction. This 3 students, 65% of especially as daily FOC bellringer activities. EOC will consist of - A.P. for would also require Classroom reference and Social Studies ongoing monitoring assessment. research items. by the classroom teacher. Lack of retention of Active Reading Use County EOC In class formal Jim Scales activities in all informational text. Social Studies midterm exam and assessments. Social Studies Ability to properly Department U.S. History EOC County EOC

| | interpret test items. | classes. | Keith Roberson – A.P. for Social Studies | ongoing monitoring by the classroom | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 5 | Become familiar with testing procedure. | Access to laptops and computer lab. Review of item specs. | Jim Scales – Social Studies Department Head Keith Roberson – A.P. for Social Studies | monitoring. | County EOC midterm exam. U.S. History EOC |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.U.S. History Goal #2: | To provide strategies to help students better understand and remember informational text. |
|---|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| No data | 75%(263) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Students struggle to retain information over extended periods of time. | Model and use a variety of active reading activities | Jim Scales – Social Studies Department Head Keith Roberson – A.P. for Social Studies Michele Eade – DBHS Reading Coach | Ongoing classroom observation by classroom teacher. In class assessment. Administrative Observation/CWTs | County EOC midterm exam. U.S. History EOC |
| 2 | Decoding subject specific vocabulary. | Vocabulary Improvement | Jim Scales – Social Studies | Ongoing classroom observation by | County EOC midterm |

| use of Word Walls. | Head Keith Roberson – A.P. for Social Studies Michele Eade – | exam. U.S. History EOC |
|--------------------|---|---------------------------|
| | DBHS Reading | |
| | Coach | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| CIS Strategy Lesson Study | 9/12 Social Studies | Cindy O'brien, inservice facilitator, Jim Scales, S.S. Dept. Chair | School-wide | 2012-2013 school year. Using early release days and planning days. | Delivery of developed lesson in PLC. Administrative observation/CWTs | Keith Roberson – A.P. Social Studies, Jim Scales S.S. Sept. Chair |

U.S. History Budget:

| Evidence-based Pro | gram(s)/Material(s) | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| recimology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| 5, | Description of Resources No Data | Funding Source No Data | |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | |

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of a define areas in need of im | · | d reference to " | Guiding Questions", | identify and | |
|--|--------------------|---|---|--------------------|--|
| Attendance Goal #1: | | _ | The goal of Deerfield Beach High is to increase attendance by 5% for the 2012-2013 school year. | | |
| 2012 Current Attendan | ce Rate: | 2013 Expe | 2013 Expected Attendance Rate: | | |
| 91.2% (2253) | | 96.2% (229 | 96.2% (2299) | | |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) | | - | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) | | |
| 14% (357) | | 13% (996) | 13% (996) | | |
| 2012 Current Number of Excessive Tardies (10 of | | - | cted Number of Stu Tardies (10 or more | | |
| 5% (118) | | 3% (72) | 3% (72) | | |
| Problem- | Solving Process to | Increase Stu | dent Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |

| 1 | Wrong addresses | | Principals | monitored on a | County Reports, BASIS data reports |
|---|------------------------|---------|------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| 2 | Wrong phone numbers | Pilotti | Principal | monitored on a quarterly basis or | County Reports, BASIS data reports |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | Leader | PLC, subject, | release) and Schedules (e.g., | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--------|---------------|--|--|---|
| | | | No Data Submi | tted | | |

Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Pro | gram(s)/Material(s) | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Strategy No Data | Description of Resources No Data | Funding Source No Data | |
| | · | | Amount |

End of **Attendance** Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

| areas in need of improvement: | |
|---|--|
| 1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1: | Suspension Goal #1: By June, 2013, Deerfield Beach High will reduce suspension rate by 10% in total number of In-School and Out of-school suspsensions. |
| 2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions |
| 27% (677) | 20%(500) |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School |
| 48% (1210) | 40%(1000) |
| 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions |
| 15% (385) | 12% (300) |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School |
| 21% (526) | 20% (500) |
| | |

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Getting the behavior report cards completed and filled out and turned in by the student along with having parental participation and review in a timely manner – 2 days. | meet with students on behavior report cards and contact teachers, parents, | PBS Team, RtI Team Guidance Counselor Behavior Specialist School Psychologist | Maintain logs and data on L27 panel to ensure meeting with students and support facilitators. Chart the intensity of behavior after personalized meetings. Administrators will utilize "Student Success Plans" to insure students are receiving adequate interventions. | |
| 2 | Teachers reluctant to follow and buy in to Discipline Plan for school | Discussion of discipline plan during team meetings with feedback from teachers. PLC's focusing on positive behavior improvement strategies during instruction within our lesson study groups. | Administrators, behavior specialist, and team leaders | Surveys, discussions, mentoring groups | Discipline Matri System, BASIS data reports |
| 3 | Inconsistent Classroom Management | | Administrator | Classroom walkthroughs, observations, data chats | Discipline Matri Systems |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | (e.g., | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person o Position Responsit for Monitorin |
|--|------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|
| Referral Writing using the DMS system | 9-12 All subjects | Administrators | Teachers All subjects new to the district | Preplanning | Classroom Walkthroughs/Observations | Administrate Team Leade Leadership Team |
| IObservation strategies from Marzano for teacher evaluation (Adherence to Rules and Procedures) Domain 1 | 9-12 All subjects | Administrators | Teachers All subjects new to the district and | Ongoing once a week throughout the year every month afterschool | Classroom Walkthroughs/Observations | Administrato Team Leade Leadership Team |

Suspension Budget:

| | (s)/Material(s) | Evidence-based Prog |
|----------------|--|--|
| Funding Source | Description of Resources | Strategy |
| No Data | No Data | No Data |
| | | |
| | | Technology |
| Funding Source | Description of Resources | Strategy |
| No Data | No Data | No Data |
| | | |
| | t | Professional Develop |
| Funding Source | Description of Resources | Strategy |
| No Data | No Data | No Data |
| | | |
| | | Other |
| Funding Source | Description of Resources | Strategy |
| No Data | No Data | No Data |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Funding Source No Data Funding Source No Data Funding Source | Description of Resources Funding Source No Data No Data Description of Resources Funding Source No Data No Data Description of Resources Funding Source No Data Description of Resources Funding Source No Data Description of Resources Funding Source No Data |

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify an areas in need of improvement:

| 1. Dropout Prevention Dropout Prevention Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year. | Our goal is to decrease the number of student dropping out of school by providing them with skills and strategies necessary to be successful will also increase parental involvement to elicit assistance from the parents in combating this problem. | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| 2012 Current Dropout Rate: | 2013 Expected Dropout Rate: | | |
| 21.2% of students did not graduate from Deerfield Beach High School in 2012. | The goal is to decrease the percentage of non-graduates by 5%. | | |
| 2012 Current Graduation Rate: | 2013 Expected Graduation Rate: | | |
| 78.8% of seniors graduated | The goal is to graduate 83.8% of seniors. | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evalu To |
|---|---------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | Attendance | Parent/Student Conference conducted after 3rd Abs. The School's Social Worker makes a home visit after 4th abs and administration and guidance counselors notified. Referral to the Response to Intervention Team | | Pinnacle Attendance Reports | End of te attendan reports, data repo |
| 2 | Low G.P.A/Credits | Parent and student conference outline different Extended Learning | Keith Roberson | Student Interim Report | End of te attendan reports. Report C |

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Opportunities offered to students. Differentiated Instruction for struggling students. Alternative placement. Response to | | BASIS da reports |
|---|--|---------------------|
| Intervention Team | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Pe P Res |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|------------------------------|
| High School Graduation Rate Classroom Intervention PLC | Subjects/Guidance Department | Nancy Carter, Guidance Director | School-Wide All Disciplines/Guidance Department | monthly | Failure List, Interventions documented, Lesson Plans, Classroom Walk-through | Lato A.P. droj prev |
| | Credit Recovery/Improvement PLC | Nancy Carter, Guidance Director | Guidance department | Teacher Planning/Early Release/Professional Study Days | Students' schedule, Recovery intervention folder | Lato A.P. droj prev |

Dropout Prevention Budget:

| | Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|--|--|
| Av A | Funding Source | Description of Resources | Strategy | | |
| | No Data | No Data | No Data | | |
| Subtotal | | | | | |
| | | | Technology | | |
| Av. A | Funding Source | Description of Resources | Strategy | | |
| | No Data | No Data | No Data | | |
| Subtotal | | | | | |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Ava A |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|----------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | _ |
| | | | Subtotal |
| Other | | | _ |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Ava A |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | |
| | | | |
| | | | Subtotal |

End of **Dropout Prevent**

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | ed on the analysis of tify and define areas | - | | ence to "Guiding Que | estions", |
|---|---|--|---|--|-----------------------|
| 1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. | | | The goal is to offer various parent nights with topics that addresses various needs. Topics to be addressed are as follows: Preparation for FCAT, Literacy Parent Night, Technology, ESOL parent night and freshmen academy night. We plan to increase parental involvement by 20% for the 2012-2013 school year. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: | | | 2013 Expedinvolvement | cted Level of Parer | nt |
| 20% | o(494) | | 40%(956) | | |
| | Problem | -Solving Process to | Increase Stu | ıdent Achievement | : |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Parents are unable to attend because English is not their primary language. | Employ ESOL paraprofessionals to be of assistance to those parents who need translation. Additionally, create announcement | Latori Fulton | The number of parents who attend the events will be used to determine the effectiveness of the activity. Additionally, parents will be given the | Involvement Survey |

| | | languages. | | opportunity to complete a Parental Involvement Survey. | |
|---|--|---|---------------|---|--|
| 2 | Parents are unable to attend because they have their younger children who need to be supervised in the home. | Employ ESOL paraprofessionals to babysit the younger children. | Latori Fulton | | Involvement Survey |
| 3 | Parents are unable to attend because they do not have a flexible work schedule | Provide different times for parent nights in order to get more involvement. | Latori Fulton | Sign-in sheets | . 2012-2013 Parent Involvement Survey |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PLC, subject, | Schedules (e.g., | up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---------------|---------------------|---------------|---|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | |

| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Other | | | |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Professional Devel | opment | | |
| | | · | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Technology | | | |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|------------------------|--|
| 1. STEM Our goal is to expand the number of students who ultimately pursue advanced degrees and careers in STEM fields and broaden the participation of women and minorities in those fields. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Enrollment of students for enrollment of advanced coursework | Promote course offerings for all potential students through course selection process, teachers, guidance counselors | Principal, Assistant Principal | Virtual Counselor course selection | Course registration | |

| 2 | Lack of interest toward scientific research and project based learning | School Science Fair through core science classes with | Assistant Principal, Science Team Leader, Activity Sponsors | Class grade and teacher evaluation | Data determined by the level of participation in the Science Fair |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 3 | Participation in extended learning opportunities though clubs, events, and competitions | pathways to involve | Principal, Science Team Leader, | Sign-in sheets for after school activities related to science technology and math | Course registration |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PLC Leader | PLC, subject, | Schedules (e.g., | up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | |
|---|------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|---|--|
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

STEM Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | | |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 | | | |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 | | | |
| Technology | | | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | | |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 | | | |

| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of **STEM** Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|---|---|--------------------|
| | Problem | -Solving Process to | o Increase Stu | dent Achievement | |
| Our CTE courses consist of highly teachers, among our Prostart, HO Design, Web Design and Marketin programs, each consisting of at le sequential classes. Of these five in four are CAR-PD certified and one Endorsed. Our first goal would be other four teachers in becoming Findersed by the end of the school Secondly, Prostart and HOSA institutional Industry Certified and are CAPE A would seek to obtain industry certified other three teachers. Finally, seek to ensure our five programs the needs of our students by bein equipped with the best available if activities and innovative technologappropriate student/teacher ratio. | | | | y qualified OSA, Fashion ng Education east three instructors, e is Reading e to assist the Reading ol year. tructors are Academies, we rtifications for we would are meeting ng well hands-on ogy, with | |
| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | |

| 1 | Lack of understanding of the straight 7 Schedule, teachers may not have available time to enroll and complete the necessary reading workshops. | to plan for the 50 min. period, thus allowing extra time | Classroom Walk- Through, Data Chats, Administrator Conference, Team Leader Conference | Teacher Observations by Administrator and Team Leader, Lesson Study, Team Teaching opportunities |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| 2 | Unforeseen changes at the FL DOE which change Industrial Certification regulations for the school year. | Work closely with District School board to develop opportunities for current program who match similar certification opportunities | Classroom Walk- Through, Data Chats, Administrator Conference, Team Leader Conference | Teacher Observations by Administrator and Team Leader, Lesson Study, Team Teaching opportunities |
| 3 | | Review technology needs and repairs with administrator and on-site Tech Facilitator. Provide all teachers with training on the new schedule and how to plan for the 50 min. period. | Classroom Walk- Through, Data Chats, Administrator Conference, Team Leader Conference | Teacher Observations by Administrator and Team Leader, Lesson Study, Team Teaching opportunities |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|
|--|------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|

| We plan to increase reading in the CTE classes through training on the NGCAR-PD strategy of CIS | 9-12 | Cindy O'brien, inservice trainer, Michele Eade, reading coach | school-wide | 9 | With facilitators | Cindy O'brien, Michele Eade, all assistant principals |
|---|------|--|-------------|---|-------------------|--|
|---|------|--|-------------|---|-------------------|--|

CTE Budget:

| Evidence-based Pro | ogram(s)/Material(s) | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Develo | ppment | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-base | d Program(s)/Mater | rial(s) | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional D | evelopment | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

Differentiated Accountability

| School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------|----|--|--|--|--|
| Priority | O Focus | O Prevent | NA | | | | |
| Are you a reward | Are you a reward school: ⊙Yes ○No | | | | | | |
| A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A. | | | | | | | |
| No Attachment | (Uploaded on 10 |)/29/2012) | | | | | |

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and

economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

✓ Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Describe projected use of SAC funds | Amount |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| No data submitted | |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

Monitor the SIP, make recommendation for changes to the SIP, organize and execute our Athletics and Academics Symposium, monitor monthly the progress being made toward full implementation of the Common Core Standards, make recommendations for continuing our PSD Waiver, address any safety and maintenance issues that are brought to the committee.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Broward School District DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH SCHOOL 2010-2011 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | | | | | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 43% | 71% | 83% | 34% | 231 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. | | | | |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 46% | 73% | | | 119 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 | | | | |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 47% (NO) | 68% (YES) | | | 115 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. | | | | |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 465 | | | | | |
| Percent Tested = 97% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested | | | | |
| School Grade* | | | | | В | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested | | | | |

| Broward School District DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH SCHOOL 2009-2010 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------|---|--|--|--|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Points | | | | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 41% | 72% | 88% | 33% | Earned 234 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. | | | |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 46% | 74% | | | 120 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 | | | |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 40% (NO) | 65% (YES) | | | 105 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. | | | |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 469 | | | | |
| Percent Tested = 97% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested | | | |
| School Grade* | | | | | В | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested | | | |