## FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: WEST BROWARD HIGH SCHOOL

**District Name: Broward** 

**Principal: Teresa Hall** 

SAC Chair: Randye Shanfeld

Superintendent: Robert Runcie

Date of School Board Approval: 12/4/12

Last Modified on: 10/24/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

## PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

**School Grades Trend Data** 

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

**High School Feedback Report** 

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position        | Name                | Degree(s)/<br>Certification(s)  | # of<br>Years at<br>Current<br>School | # of Years as<br>an<br>Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include<br>prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide<br>Assessment Achievement Levels,<br>Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and<br>AMO Progress along with the<br>associated school year) |
|-----------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Assis Principal | Jimmy Arrojo        | BA in French and<br>Spanish<br>MS in Educational<br>Leadership  | 4                                     | 11                                   | School Grades;<br>2009 – B<br>2010 – A<br>2011 - A   |
| Assis Principal | Wendolynn<br>Mola   | BA in English<br>with Writing<br>focus; MA in<br>Educational<br>Leadership;<br>Certifications in<br>ESOL, CAR-PD,<br>Reading<br>Endorsement,<br>English Grades<br>6-12, Educational<br>Leadership | 4                                     | 4                                    | School Grades:<br>2009 – B<br>2010 – A<br>2011 - A   |
| Assis Principal | Richard<br>Gonzalez | BA in Education;<br>MA in History;<br>Education<br>Specialist<br>Certification in   | 3                                     | 8                                    | School Grades:<br>2009 - B<br>2010 - A<br>2011 - A   |

|                 |              | Education<br>Leadership  |   |   |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|---|---|--|
| Assis Principal | Lisa Spencer | BA in Political<br>Science; MA in<br>Public<br>Administration<br>and Policy<br>Analysis;<br>Certification in<br>Ed. Leadership,<br>Social Science<br>Grades 6-12 and<br>Grades 5-9 | 2 | 8 | School Grades:<br>2009 - C<br>2010 - A<br>2011 - A                     |
| Principal       | Teresa Hall  | BS- Math<br>MS- Math<br>Education<br>Certification-<br>Education<br>Leadership   | 3 | 9 | 2010: A - WBHS<br>2011: A - Attucks Middle<br>2012: B - Attucks Middle |

#### INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name                 | Degree(s)/<br>Certification(s)  | # of<br>Years at<br>Current<br>School | # of Years as<br>an<br>Instructional<br>Coach | Prior Performance Record (include<br>prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide<br>Assessment Achievement Levels,<br>Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and<br>AMO progress along with the<br>associated school year)  |
|--------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Reading      | Ann Wayland-<br>Cook | BS in Elementary<br>Education; MS in<br>Elementary<br>Education;<br>Certifications in<br>ESOL, Reading<br>Endorsement,<br>ESE | 2                                     | 2   | School Grades:<br>2009 - C<br>2010 - A<br>2011 - A<br>% meeting high standards in reading:<br>2008 - 41<br>2009 - 40<br>2010 - 62<br>% making learning gains in reading:<br>2008 - 47<br>2009 - 44<br>2010 - 61<br>% lowest 25% making LGs in reading:<br>2008 - 51<br>2009 - 48<br>2010 - 54 |

### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

|   | Description of Strategy   | Person<br>Responsible  | Projected<br>Completion<br>Date | Not Applicable (If not, please<br>explain why) |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 1. All teachers will be trained to implement technology in the 21st Century classroom for student success | Depart Chairs,<br>Team Leaders,<br>Literacy Coach,<br>Media<br>Specialist,<br>District Support<br>Personnel                | Ongoing                         |  |
| 2 | <ol> <li>All staff will be recognized for exceptional performance<br/>throughout the year.</li> </ol>     | SAC, Sunshine<br>Committee,<br>Student Activity<br>Organizations,<br>PTSA,<br>Community<br>Partners                        | Ongoing                         |  |
| 3 | NESS  | TBA, NESS<br>Coordinator   | Ongoing                         |  |
| 4 |   | Team Leaders<br>as identified by<br>Department<br>Chairs and<br>Principal - Train<br>teachers on<br>data<br>disaggregation | Ongoing                         |  |

|   |  | via Virtual<br>Counselor |         |  |
|---|--|--------------------------|---------|--|
| 5 | 7. Personalized relationships between departments and administration | Assistant<br>Principals  | Ongoing |  |

### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of<br>staff and<br>paraprofessional<br>that are<br>teaching out-<br>of-field/ and<br>who are not<br>highly<br>effective. | Provide the strategies<br>that are being<br>implemented to<br>support the staff in<br>becoming highly<br>effective |
|---|--|
| 1   | Vocational Certification<br>classes  |

#### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number<br>of<br>Instructional<br>Staff | % of<br>First-Year<br>Teachers | % of<br>Teachers<br>with 1-5<br>Years of<br>Experience | % of<br>Teachers<br>with 6-14<br>Years of<br>Experience | % of<br>Teachers<br>with 15+<br>Years of<br>Experience | % of<br>Teachers<br>with<br>Advanced<br>Degrees | % Highly<br>Effective<br>Teachers | % Reading<br>Endorsed<br>Teachers | % National<br>Board<br>Certified<br>Teachers | % ESOL<br>Endorsed<br>Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|--|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| 126  | 1.6%(2)                        | 18.3%(23)  | 46.8%(59)   | 33.3%(42)  | 57.9%(73)                                       | 99.2%(125)                        | 19.0%(24)                         | 10.3%(13)                                    | 73.8%(93)                      |

#### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name      | Mentee<br>Assigned | Rationale<br>for Pairing                     | Planned Mentoring<br>Activities                |
|------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Wendolynn Mola   | Randye<br>Shanfeld |  | Bi-weekly meetings,<br>shared responsibilities |
| Wendolynn Mola   | Eric Hillebert     | LEAD Pairing<br>based on<br>responsibilities | Bi-weekly meetings,<br>shared responsibilities |
| Richard Gonzalez | Javeshnev<br>Azua  | LEAD Pairing<br>based on<br>responsibilities | Bi-weekly meetings,<br>shared responsibilities |

## ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

#### Coordination and Integration

#### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

#### n/a

Title I, Part C- Migrant

n/a

n/a

Title II

n/a

Title III

ESOL Services – Services are provided through the district for educational materials and ELL District Support Services, to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners.

Title X- Homeless

District Homeless Social Worker provides resources (clothing, school supplies, social services referrals) for students identified as homeless under the McKinney – Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate public education.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Supplemental Academic Instruction will be offered through after school tutoring sessions. Focus groups (based on AYP subgroups) will be identified and encouraged to attend to ensure that students are receiving the support needed, beyond the classroom, to ensure academic success.

Violence Prevention Programs

WBH implements several programs that offer non-violence and anti-drug programs that incorporate field trip, community service, and counseling, and Youth Crime Watch.

Nutrition Programs

WBH considers itself to be a wellness education center. The goal of helping students to make healthier decisions for life-long wellness are incorporated into the curricular programs provided in the PE/HOPE and Culinary Arts programs.

Housing Programs

n/a

Head Start

n/a

Adult Education

N/A

Career and Technical Education

WBH offers 9 CTE programs. The Career and Technical Education Programs are designed to prepare students for entry into a variety of careers. The program emphasizes math, science, communications, and technical subjects related to career interests. These programs are: Culinary Operations (ProStart), Pre-Veterinary Assisting, Early Childhood Education, First Responder, Multi-Media and Design Technology, Television Production, Pathways to Engineering, Marketing, and Finance and Business.

Job Training

Job training and assessment is incorporated into the coursework offered in West Broward High's CTE programs. Upon completion of the necessary coursework, students may earn industrial certification in the areas as follows, including but not limited to: Pre-Veterinary Assisting, Early Childhood Education, Pro-Start (Culinary), Customer Service (Marketing), Photoshop, Adobe Dreamweaver, CAD (Pathways to Engineering) as well as a variety of others.

Other

n/a

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

-School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

- Teresa Hall, Principal
- Richard Gonzalez, Assistant Principal (9th Grade)
- \* Lisa H. Spencer, Assistant Principal (10th Grade)
- Jimmy Arrojo, Intern Principal (11th Grade)

- Wendolynn Thomson, Assistant Principal (12th Grade)
- Lorraine Liverpool, English Dept. Chair
- Lisa Brown, Math Dept. Chair
- Erin Diaz, Reading Dept. Chair
- \* Ann Wayland-Cook, Literacy Coach
- Miriam Rivas, Social Studies Dept. Chair
- Eileen Torraca, World Languages Dept. Chair and ELL Coordinator
- Alyson Laureano, ESE Dept. Chair and ESE Specialist
- Norman Alford, Science Dept. Chair
- Elizabeth Hart, Fine Arts Dept. Chair
- Jim Darr, PE Dept Chair
- Dawn Vazquez, CTE and JROTC Dept. Chair
- Racquel Segionot, School Psychologist
- Linda Friedman, School Social Worker
- Lisa Albee, Guidance Director

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

#### RTI Tier 1- Targeting all Students

Focus on RtI by creating weekly meetings that address areas of need by department and student population

\*Academic Tuesdays; Department Meetings; Vertical Alignment; Horizontal Meetings

\*RtI Meetings (Twice a month) – facilitated and coordinated by the reading coach; disaggregate student data from common formative and/or summative assessments and data brought from meetings listed above

\*Pre-tests – common assessments created from end of unit expectations for student knowledge acquirement

\*Post-tests – created by horizontal teaching teams, 80% common material covered for core knowledge, 20% optional for teacher-specific material/information

\*Common Formative/Summative Assessments

RtI Meetings: During weekly leadership meetings and 4th Tuesday of each month ~ Team Leaders within department areas work together to focus efforts on the Lowest 30%ile, subgroups, and instructional strategies that will help to address the needs of struggling students in the areas of reading, math, science, and behavior and reinforce effective teaching practices via professional development.

#### RTI- Tier 2

Students will be identified as not being successful in Tier 1 by teachers/members of RTI team and will be assigned a case worker. The case worker will decide on a specific intervention with the help of the team. Progress will be monitored and data (Intervention Records) will be maintained by the case worker (Progress Monitoring Graphs for each student) and will meet with the student weekly.

#### RTI- Tier 3

Students not successful in Tier 2 will be identified by the case worker and team. Intervention will become more intensive and student will be met with daily. Interventions that might be used are assigned to intensive reading or math classes; daily behavior reports, etc. Progress will be monitored and data (Intervention Records) will be maintained by the case worker (Progress Monitoring Graphs for each student).

Staff will be trained on the meaning of RTI and proper use of referrals to RTI team.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

• Administration will meet weekly to discuss the progress and implementation of curriculum and instruction that promotes West Broward as a center of learning and instruction.

• Administration will meet bi-weekly with Department Chairs to discuss expectations and implementation of cross-curricular strengths, common assessments, Instructional focus calendars, and staff development.

- Administration will review the School Improvement Plan with the SAC Committee at each of the monthly meetings.
- Progress monitoring meeting to review data from departments (i.e. grade assessments, aligned curriculum, IFCs)

#### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

#### • Mini Assessments

Pre-tests

Formative Assessments

| <ul> <li>Summative Assessments</li> <li>Literacy Coach and English Teachers will collect data that may be used for student progress monitoring and place it onto Virtual Counselor</li> <li>Literacy Coach will collect reading data from the reading teachers and record it onto the Reading Assessment Matrix for progress monitoring</li> <li>Literacy Coach will collect reading data form the reading teachers and record it onto the PMRN for progress monitoring</li> <li>Literacy Coach will collect reading data form the reading teachers and record it onto the PMRN for progress monitoring</li> <li>Date will be tracked using terms, Bobcat Tracker, and department data gathering. Data will be stored within departments.</li> <li>To access Reading, Writing, Math, and Science, BATs (both baseline and midyear) data will be analyzed</li> </ul> |
|---|
| For attendance and behavior, data from DMS and Pinnacle will be analyzed  |
| Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.   |
| <ul> <li>Discuss RtI with the Department Chairs</li> <li>Principal Data Chats to share information on learning gains and the implementation of school-wide literacy</li> <li>Academic Tuesdays: Department Meetings, Horizontal Teaming Mtgs, Vertical Teaming Mtgs, RtI Mtgs discussing:</li> <li>Academic Alignment Meetings and Data Chats</li> <li>CWT data, Common assessment results, progress monitoring, etc.</li> </ul>  |
| <ul> <li>FPMS/ Scripted Observations</li> <li>Summative and Formative Observations</li> <li>Other trainings will occur as needed determined by the Staff Development Committee by qualified staff.</li> <li>Meeting with each Individual teacher to review the observation</li> <li>Staff Development</li> <li>NESS Coaches/Program</li> </ul>  |
| Through DWH, teacher will be provided with DDS and Learning Gains for their students.<br>• Teachers will review their 2011 results and work in teams to make adjustments to learning and instructional practices for the 2012-13 school year.   |
| Describe the plan to support MTSS.  |
|   |
| iteracy Leadership Team (LLT)   |

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal, Assistant Principals, Department Chairs, ESE Specialist, ELL Coordinator, Media Specialist, Guidance Director and Counselors, and Literacy Coach, Reading Teachers, Content Area Teachers

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

• April/May – Sharing of Literacy Plan with Department Chairs, ESE Specialist, ELL Coordinator, Media Specialist, Guidance Director, and Reading Coach

• May-August - Planning meetings to revise previous year's literacy plan, make changes based on state assessment scores

• Fall Semester - Implement staff development per department to integrate the Literacy Plan

• Spring Semester – Monitor summative assessments that include literacy components, disaggregate data to monitor potential/anticipated growths and need for adjustments to the plan for the year to come.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

• To create and implement a comprehensive Literacy Plan that addresses the integration of effective writing strategies across all curricular areas and grade levels, while emphasizing meeting the needs of the AYP Subgroups and Lowest 30%. o Students literate in reading and writing practices that are practiced across curricular areas may score better as a result on common formative and summative assessments within a subject area.

o The whole school speaking and practicing the same vocabulary of literacy makes for a stronger foundation of knowledge acquirement.

#### **Public School Choice**

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

n/a

#### \*Grades 6-12 Only

#### Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

West Broward High will focus on integrating strategies of school-wide literacy, incorporating both reading and writing practices that encourage and foster the growth of effective reading strategies as provided by professional staff development and reinforced by sharing Instructional Focus Calendars and common pre-post tests. The goal is to measure this progress by checking the common summative and formative assessments, specifically summative unit assessments where individual teacher data may be disaggregated and compared to the team of teachers to identify teaching and re-teaching needs for student growth.

#### \*High Schools Only

#### Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

- Identified CTE teachers will work with community and business partners to prepare our student for the transition from school to career.

- Establish partnerships with community business partners and advisory boards to grow a community of self-reliant young adults who will explore and challenge their individual talents for future success.

- Network with businesses in the community informing them of WBHS CTE programs and future candidates for employment.

- Local businesses (i.e. Post-Secondary Institutions, Animal Hospitals, Civic Municipalities, Daycare Centers, and Preschools)

- Students engaged in mentoring and volunteer programs, Internships and Dual enrollment

- Guidance will work with teachers to identify students who have successfully completed the required coursework to satisfy the vaiety of certifications and programs for proper recognition upon graduation.

- Students awarded Gold Seal Scholarships
- Students awarded Pro-Start Certificates
- Students awarded 20-30 hour CDA certificates to work in a daycare

- Students earning Vet-assisting certification for real-world employment

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Personalization is a key aspect of High School Reform that needs to be at the focus of how we impact the students when a program is researched for implementation. For this reason, West Broward High School plans to implement an Advisory Program that allows for the adults on campus to work with small groups of students in order to personalize the high school experience for each student. Research shows that successful students were engaged and actively participated in the educational process of their high school experience.

The WBHS advisory program is planned to be implemented as follows: 20:1 students to adult; meeting monthly; topics to be discussed will be needs-based and specific to grade level (i.e. Student service learning projects for 9th grades including a 9th grade Board of Directors targeting level 1 and 2 – Silver Knights project planning for 10th grades – College application process for 11th graders, etc.); teacher will keep the same group of students for four years (in order to foster the relationships between the teachers and students, and build the capacity of the teachers for grade-specific knowledge); and curriculum will be built by instructional leaders specific to topic being discussed (guidance, administration, AP teachers, Literacy Coach, etc).
On IEP, Post secondary employment goals are written based on career interest inventory done by students, along with student input.

Postsecondary Transition

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

PASS Program: ESE Team from district assisting in developing program for 18-22 year old ESE student; PSAT for all 10th grade students, scores are also used to determine college readiness; SAT/ACT participation and use of fee waivers for disadvantaged students; National College Fair participation; Implementation of the Annual Guidance Plan, focusing on academic, career, and post secondary activities; PERT offered on campus; ePEP is updated by guidance staff after visiting classrooms and holding individual sessions with students

## PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

read outside of school

1

## **Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in<br>reading.<br>Reading Goal #1a: | WBHS will increase the percentage of students Achieving greater than level 3 in Reading by 2%. |
|--|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| 29.2% (389)  | 31.2% (415)  |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement                     |   |  |   |                                     |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool                     |  |  |  |
| 1 | Lack of motivation to<br>read outside of school                             | Phone calls home<br>Student conferences<br>Data Chats<br>Technology<br>School Website | Teachers<br>Parents<br>Administration                  | Common Formative<br>Assessments used to<br>determine strengthening<br>of skills;<br>Vertical/horizontal<br>Planning for teachers to<br>discuss strategies | Oral Reading<br>FAIR<br>FCAT Scores |  |  |  |
| 2 | proficiency are not<br>assigned a reading class,<br>which could cause those |   | Teachers<br>Parents<br>Administration                  | FCAT Scores<br>exit slips<br>common assessments<br>Data Chats-ongoing<br>August through June  | Oral Reading<br>FAIR<br>FCAT Scores |  |  |  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |                       |                          |  |   |                 |  |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|---|-----------------|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.<br>Reading Goal #1b:   |                       |                          |  | WBHS will increase the percentage of students achieving at levels 4, 5, and 6 by 6.7% |                 |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   |                       |                          | 2013 Expected  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |                 |  |
| 53.3% (8)  |                       |                          | 60% (9)  | 60% (9)   |                 |  |
|  | Pr                    | oblem-Solving Process to | o Increase Studen                                      | It Achievement  |                 |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy                 | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                          | Evaluation Tool |  |
|  | Lack of motivation to | phone calls home         | teachers   | Common formative  | Oral Reading    |  |

parents

administrators

ESE Specialist

assessments used to

of skills;

determine strengthening FAIR

student conferences

data chats

technology

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement<br>Level 4 in reading.<br>Reading Goal #2a: | WBHS will increase the percentage of students at or above level 3 in Reading by 2%. |
|--|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| 39.4% (524)  | 41.4% (551)   |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  |   |  |   |                                     |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool                     |  |  |
| 1 | Absenteeism  | Phone calls home<br>Student conferences<br>Data Chats<br>Technology<br>School Website | Teachers<br>Parents<br>Administration                  | Common Formative<br>Assessments used to<br>determine strengthening<br>of skills;<br>Vertical/horizontal<br>Planning for teachers to<br>discuss strategies | Oral Reading<br>FAIR<br>FCAT Scores |  |  |
| 2 | Lack of motivation to read outside of school   | Phone calls home<br>Student conferences<br>Data Chats<br>Technology<br>School Website | Teachers<br>Parents<br>Administration                  | Common Formative<br>Assessments used to<br>determine strengthening<br>of skills;<br>Vertical/horizontal<br>Planning for teachers to<br>discuss strategies | Oral Reading<br>FAIR<br>FCAT Scores |  |  |
| 3 | Students scoring in the<br>low level 4 and 5 could<br>"slide" down to level 3<br>and 4 respectively. | Enrichment through<br>reading "pull-out"<br>program                                   | Teachers<br>Parents<br>Administration                  | Common Assessments<br>Formative Assessments<br>Vertical/horizontal<br>Planning<br>Exit slips<br>Data Chats-ongoing<br>August through June                 | Oral Reading<br>FAIR<br>FCAT Scores |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in<br>reading.<br>Reading Goal #2b: | WBHS will increase the percentage of students at or above achievement level 7 in reading by 6.6% |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |  |  |
| 6.7% (1)  | 13.3% (2)  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement   |  |  |  |

|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy         | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|--|---------------------|------------------|--|--|-----------------|
|  | Absenteeism         | Phone calls home | teachers   | daily attendance logs  | Attendance data |

| 1 |                                   | parents<br>administrators<br>ESE Specialist |  |                                     |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| 2 | student conferences<br>data chats | parents<br>administrators<br>ESE Specialist | assessments used to<br>determine strengthening<br>of skills; | oral reading<br>FAIR<br>FCAT Scores |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |                                     |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| <b>3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:</b>  |                                     |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |  |
| 65.7% (840)  | 67.7% (865)                         |  |  |  |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement                             |  |                                |   |                      |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Process Used to<br>Position Determine<br>Responsible for Effectiveness of<br>Monitoring Strategy |   |  |                                |   |                      |  |  |  |
|   | Relying on excessive use Sil-<br>of technology as opposed wit<br>to printed text qu | with guided higher order questions                 | Student<br>Teachers<br>Parents | Jeres Jeres Jeres J   | Oral Reading<br>FAIR |  |  |  |
| 1   |   | Differentiated Instruction<br>Parental Involvement |                                | of skills;<br>Vertical/Horizontal<br>Planning for teachers to<br>discuss strategies | FCAT Scores          |  |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Percentage of students making Learning Gains in<br>reading.<br>Reading Goal #3b: | WBHS will increase the percentage of students making learning gains in reading by 5%. |
|---|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| 52.1% (7)   | 57.1% (8)   |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement                 |  |  |  |                      |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|----------------------|--|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy       | Evaluation Tool      |  |  |  |
|   | Relying on excessive use<br>of technology as opposed<br>to printed text | with guided higher order questions                 | student<br>teachers<br>parents                         | Common formative<br>assessments used to<br>determine strengthening | oral reading<br>FAIR |  |  |  |
| 1 |   | Differentiated instruction<br>Parental involvement | administrators   | of skills;<br>Vertical/Horizontal<br>planning                      | FCAT scores          |  |  |  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following group: |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25%<br>making learning gains in reading.<br>Reading Goal #4:  | WBHS will increase the percentage of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains in Reading by 2%. |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |  |  |
| 66.9% (225)   | 68.9%% (232)  |  |  |  |  |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |   |  |   |                                     |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool                     |  |  |  |
| 1 | Lack of motivation to<br>read individually              | Differentiated Instruction<br>Data Chats<br>Book Clubs<br>Recognition | Students<br>Teachers<br>Parents<br>Literacy Coach      | Common Formative<br>Assessments used to<br>determine strengthening<br>of skills;<br>Vertical/Horizontal<br>Planning for teachers to<br>discuss strategies | Oral Reading<br>FAIR<br>FCAT Scores |  |  |  |
| 2 | Absenteeism   | Differentiated Instruction<br>Data Chats<br>Book Clubs<br>Recognition | Students<br>Teachers<br>Parents<br>Literacy Coach      | Common Formative<br>Assessments used to<br>determine strengthening<br>of skills;<br>Vertical/Horizontal<br>Planning for teachers to<br>discuss strategies | Oral Reading<br>FAIR<br>FCAT Scores |  |  |  |
| 3 | Student confidence in their reading                     | Differentiated Instruction<br>Data Chats<br>Book Clubs<br>Recognition | Students<br>Teachers<br>Parents<br>Literacy Coach      | Common Formative<br>Assessments used to<br>determine strengthening<br>of skills;<br>Vertical/Horizontal<br>Planning for teachers to<br>discuss strategies | Oral Reading<br>FAIR<br>FCAT Scores |  |  |  |

| Based on Amb  | itious but Achi | evable Annual                              | Measurable Objectiv  | res (AMOs), AMO-2, I | Reading and Math Pe  | erformance Target    |
|---|-----------------|--|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual<br>Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year<br>school will reduce their achievement gap<br>by 50%.                   |                 | Reading Goal #<br>WBHS will reasing years. | duce their achieve   | ement gap by 50%     | over the next 🛌      |                      |
| Baseline data<br>2010-2011 2011-2012 2012-2013  |                 |  | 2013-2014  | 2014-2015            | 2015-2016            | 2016-2017            |
|   |                 |  |  |                      |                      |                      |
|   | ,               | dent achieveme<br>ving subgroup:           | ,  | nce to "Guiding Ques | tions", identify and | define areas in need |
| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Blac<br>Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making<br>satisfactory progress in reading.<br>Reading Goal #5B: |                 | naking<br>A                                | NBHS will decrease t<br>Asian, and American<br>Progress in Reading b | Indian students not  |                      |                      |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  |                 |  | 2  | 2013 Expected Leve   | el of Performance:   |                      |
| Black 42% (60) White 26.7% (124) Hispanic 34.5% (213)   |                 |  | c 34.5% (213)  | Black 40% (57) White | e 24.7% (115) Hispa  | nic 32.5% (201)      |

Г

Asian 14.3% (9) American Indian 25% (1)

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |                                     |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                     | Evaluation Tool                     |  |  |  |
| 1 | Parental Involvement                                    | Reading outside of School<br>Parent Nights<br>Partnerships | Student<br>Parents<br>Teachers<br>Community            | of skills;   | Oral Reading<br>FAIR<br>FCAT Scores |  |  |  |
| 2 | Confidence  | Reading outside of School<br>Parent Nights<br>Partnerships | Student<br>Parents<br>Teachers<br>Community            | of skills;   | Oral Reading<br>FAIR<br>FCAT Scores |  |  |  |
| 3 | Economic Status   | Reading outside of School<br>Parent Nights<br>Partnerships | Student<br>Parents<br>Teachers<br>Community            | Common Formative<br>Assessments used to<br>determine strengthening<br>of skills; | Oral Reading<br>FAIR<br>FCAT Scores |  |  |  |

## Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making<br>satisfactory progress in reading.<br>Reading Goal #5C: | WBHS will decrease the percentage of English Language<br>Learners not making adequate progress in Reading by 5%. |
|--|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| 75% (24)   | 70% (22)   |

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool                     |
|---|----------------------|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | Language             | Reading outside of<br>School<br>ESOL placement<br>Parent nights<br>Differentiated<br>Instruction<br>Phone calls home | Students<br>Teachers<br>Parents<br>ESOL Dpt.           | Common Formative<br>Assessments used to<br>determine strengthening<br>of skills;<br>Vertical/Horizontal<br>Planning for teachers to<br>discuss strategies<br>Follow-up with Parents | Oral Reading<br>FAIR<br>FCAT Scores |
| 2 | Parental Involvement | Reading outside of<br>School<br>ESOL placement<br>Parent nights<br>Differentiated<br>Instruction<br>Phone calls home | Students<br>Teachers<br>Parents<br>ESOL Dpt.           | Common Formative<br>Assessments used to<br>determine strengthening<br>of skills;<br>Vertical/Horizontal<br>Planning for teachers to<br>discuss strategies<br>Follow-up with Parents | Oral Reading<br>FAIR<br>FCAT Scores |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and report of improvement for the following subgroup:  | ference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need   |
|---|---|
| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making<br>satisfactory progress in reading.<br>Reading Goal #5D: | WBHS will decrease the percentage of Students with Disabilities not making adequate progress in Reading by 3.2% |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| 67.7% (84)  | 64.5% (80)  |
| Problem-Solving Process to  | Dincrease Student Achievement   |

|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool                     |
|---|-----------------------|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | Learning Disabilities | Differentiated Instruction<br>Recognition<br>Reading Outside of<br>School<br>Data Chats | Students<br>Teachers<br>ESE Dpt.<br>Administration     | Assessments used to<br>determine strengthening<br>of skills; | Oral Reading<br>FAIR<br>FCAT Scores |
| 2 | Confidence            | Differentiated Instruction<br>Recognition<br>Reading Outside of<br>School<br>Data Chats | Students<br>Teachers<br>ESE Dpt.<br>Administration     | Assessments used to<br>determine strengthening<br>of skills; | Oral Reading<br>FAIR<br>FCAT Scores |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

|                                    | WBHS will decrease the percentage of economically disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Reading by 1.8%. |
|------------------------------------|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| 42.6% (141)                        | 40.8% (135)   |

#### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement** Person or **Process Used to** Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy **Responsible for** Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Motivation Reading Strategies in Teachers (CAR-PD Common Formative Oral Reading Content Areas trained) Assessments used to FAIR Recognition Literacy Coach determine strengthening 1 Technology of skills; Vertical/Horizontal FCAT Scores Planning for teachers to discuss strategies Reading Strategies in Confidence Common Formative Oral Reading Teachers (CAR-PD Content Areas trained) Assessments used to Literacy Coach Recognition FAIR determine strengthening Technology of skills; Vertical/Horizontal FCAT Scores

## Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC Focus  | Grade                | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader       | PD Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC, subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g.,<br>early release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|----------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| Reading<br>across the<br>content<br>areas | 9-12 all subject     | Literacy<br>Coach                            | School-wide on PSD  | ongoing  | classroom walk<br>throughs<br>Marzano    | Administration                                      |
| Bobcat Big 6<br>reading<br>strategies     | 9-12 all<br>subjects | Literacy<br>Coach                            | School-wide on PSD  | ongoing  | classroom walk<br>throughs<br>Marzano    | Administration                                      |
| ((()))                                    | 9-12 all<br>subjects | Literacy<br>Coach<br>PD committee<br>members | School-wide on PSD  | ongoing  | classroom walk<br>throughs<br>Marzano    | Administration                                      |

#### **Reading Budget:**

| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          | ·              | Subtotal: \$0.0     |
| <b>Technology</b>      |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developme | nt                       |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                  |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                        |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of **Reading** Goals

## Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

| student engages in conversations, expresses feelings |
|--|
| emotions.  |

i.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

54.7 (47)

t

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy                                 | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool  |  |  |
| 1 | Lack of vocabulary                                      | use of a heritage<br>language dictionary | classroom<br>teachers                                  | interactive word wall  | formative<br>assessments such<br>as interviews and<br>observations |  |  |

| Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. Students scoring proficient in reading.       The student understands and interprets written and spoken language.         CELLA Goal #2:       Spoken language. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30% (27)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool                                  |  |  |
| lack of vocabulary<br>idiomatic expressions             | chunking<br>restate and rephrase<br>simple info | classroom<br>teachers                                  | graphic organizers   | students are able<br>to retell a short<br>story. |  |  |

| Stud | ents write in English at gr             | ade level in a manne               | r similar to non-ELL stu                               | udents.  |                        |
|------|---|------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------|
|      | tudents scoring proficie<br>LA Goal #3: | nt in writing.                     | The student pr<br>a variety of to                      | esents information, con<br>pics.                             | cepts and ideas or     |
| 201  | 2 Current Percent of Stu                | Idents Proficient in               | writing:   |  |                        |
| 27%  | <b>`</b>                                | blem-Solving Proce                 | ss to Increase Stude                                   | nt Achievement   |                        |
|      |   |                                    |  |  | 1                      |
|      | Anticipated Barrier                     | Strategy                           | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Too         |
| 1    | not able to transfer concepts such as   | flexible timing<br>use of computer | classroom<br>teachers                                  | PBLs   | observation of project |

|   | grammatical structures | software |  | presentation |  |
|---|------------------------|----------|--|--------------|--|
| _ |                        |          |  |              |  |

#### **CELLA Budget:**

| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount    |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                 |
|                       |                          | •              | Subtotal: \$0.00       |
| Technology            |                          |                |                        |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount    |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                 |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00       |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                        |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount    |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                 |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00       |
| Other                 |                          |                |                        |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount    |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                 |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0        |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | End of <b>CELLA</b> Go |

## Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| <ol> <li>Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at<br/>Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</li> <li>Mathematics Goal #1:</li> </ol>                         | WBHS will increase the percentage of students achieving at levels 4, 5, or 6 on the Florida Alternate Assessment by 6.7%. |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |  |  |
| 60% (9)  | 66.7% (10)  |  |  |  |  |

#### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|   | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy            | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool                           |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|---|
| 1 | Lack of motivation  | assessed benchmarks | RtI Leadership<br>Team                                 | Horizontal/Vertical  | summative and<br>formative<br>assessments |
| 2 | Lack of confidence  | assessed benchmarks | Department CHair                                       | planning;  | summative and<br>formative<br>assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

|                                    | WBHS will increase the percentage of students scoring at or above level 7 in math by 6.6%. |
|------------------------------------|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| 6.7% (1)                           | 13.3% (2)  |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |   |  |  |                                    |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy               | Evaluation Tool                    |  |  |
| 1 | Lack of motivation                                      | Implementation of IFC<br>pacing that will<br>address indicated<br>annually assessed<br>benchmarks and 9-12<br>next generation SSS | Department Chair<br>RtI Leadership                     | Horizontal/Vertical<br>planning;<br>Marzano Art and<br>Science of Teaching | Summative/formative<br>assessments |  |  |
|   | Lack of confidence                                      | Implementation of IFC pacing that will  | Team leaders<br>Department Chair                       | Horizontal/Vertical<br>planning;   | Summative/formative<br>assessments |  |  |

| 2 | annually assessed<br>benchmarks and 9-12 | Team | Marzano Art and<br>Science of Teaching |  |
|---|--|------|--|--|
|   | next generation SSS                      |      |  |  |

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement   |                                     |  |  |  |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 37% (5)   | 43% (6)                             |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students         making learning gains in mathematics.         WBHS will increase the percentage of students making learning gains in math by 6%. |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:                            |                                     |  |  |  |  |

|   | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy               | Evaluation Tool                    |
|---|---------------------|---|--|--|------------------------------------|
| 1 | Lack of motivation  | Implementation of IFC<br>pacing that will<br>address indicated<br>annually assessed<br>benchmarks and 9-12<br>next generation SSS | Department Chair<br>RtI Leadership                     | Horizontal/Vertical<br>planning;<br>Marzano Art and<br>Science of Teaching | Summative/formative<br>assessments |
| 2 | Lack of confidence  | Implementation of IFC<br>pacing that will<br>address indicated<br>annually assessed<br>benchmarks and 9-12<br>next generation SSS | Department Chair<br>RtI Leadership                     | Horizontal/Vertical<br>planning;<br>Marzano Art and<br>Science of Teaching | summative/formative<br>assessments |

## Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.<br>Algebra Goal #1: | WBHS will increase the percentage of students scoring at or above proficiency by 1%. |
|--|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| 44.8% (277)  | 45.8% (283)  |

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |                                |          |  |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier            | Strategy | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring     | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool  |
|   | computer based (<br>assessment |          | Team leaders<br>Department CHair<br>RtI Leadership<br>Team | implementation;  | BEEP Mini<br>Assessments<br>District; summativ<br>quarter exams; |

| 1 |  | and 9-12 next generation<br>SSS;<br>Utilize EPat computer<br>based training for<br>Algebra, Geometry, and<br>Biology. |  | Marzano Art and Science | 2012 Algebra,<br>Geometry, and<br>Biology EoC Exam |  |
|---|--|---|--|-------------------------|--|--|
|---|--|---|--|-------------------------|--|--|

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need<br>of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4  |  |  |  |
| and 5 in Algebra.   | WBHS will maintain achievement above proficiency and   |  |  |
| Algebra Goal #2:  | provide enrichment opportunities to increase the percentage of students scoring above proficiency by 1%. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |  |  |
| 36.6% (226)   | 37.6% (232)  |  |  |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  |   |  |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier                                      | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                       | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |  |
| 1 | Lack of familiarity with<br>computer based<br>assessment | Implementation of IFC<br>pacing that will address<br>indicated annually<br>assessed benchmarks<br>and 9-12 next generation<br>SSS;<br>Utilize EPat computer<br>based training for<br>Algebra, Geometry, and<br>Biology. | Team leaders<br>Department Chair<br>RtI Leadership<br>Team<br>Administration | Classroom observation to<br>ensure EPat<br>implementation;<br>Horizontal/Vertical<br>planning;<br>Marzano Art and Science<br>of Teaching | Assessments<br>District; summative<br>quarter exams;<br>2012 Algebra, |  |
| 2 | Lack of familiarity with<br>computer based<br>assessment |   | Team leaders<br>Department Chair<br>RtI Leadership<br>Team<br>Administration | Classroom observation to<br>ensure EPat<br>implementation;<br>Horizontal/Vertical<br>planning;<br>Marzano Art and Science<br>of Teaching | Assessments<br>District; summative<br>quarter exams;<br>2012 Algebra, |  |

| Based on Amb   | Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target |                             |   |                   |                  |                 |
|--|---|-----------------------------|---|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 3A. Ambitious<br>Measurable Ot<br>school will red<br>by 50%. | jectives (AMO   | e Annual<br>s). In six year | Algebra Goal #<br>WBHS will rec<br>six years.<br>3A : | duce their achiev | ement gap by 50% | over the next 🔺 |
| Baseline data<br>2010-2011                                   | 2011-2012   | 2012-2013                   | 2013-2014   | 2014-2015         | 2015-2016        | 2016-2017       |
|  | <u> </u>  |                             |   |                   |                  |                 |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.

WBHS will decrease the percentage of White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, and American Indian students not making adequate progress in Algebra by an average of 2%.

Algebra Goal #3B:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance:                       | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                     |
|--|---|
| White 14.9% (30), Black 24.7% (20), Hispanic 21.1% (60), | White 12.9% (26), Black 23.5% (19), Hispanic 20.4 (58), |
| Asian 3.6% (1), Indian 0% (0)                            | Asian 0% (0), Indian 0% (0)                             |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |   |  |  |   |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |  |
| 1 | Lack of motivation of<br>students                       | 17 instructional<br>strategies by Daggett to<br>differentiate instruction<br>and provide rigor and<br>relevance;<br>teacher utilization of<br>Virtual Counselor for<br>data-driven instructional<br>needs | Team leaders<br>department chair<br>administration     | review formative<br>assessments to ensure<br>progress is being made<br>and adjust intervention<br>as needed;<br>Observations;<br>PLC minutes | formative<br>assessments;<br>summative results<br>from 2013 EoC<br>Exam |  |
| 2 | Weak foundation   | 17 instructional<br>strategies by Daggett to<br>differentiate instruction<br>and provide rigor and<br>relevance;<br>teacher utilization of<br>Virtual Counselor for<br>data-driven instructional<br>needs | Team leaders<br>department chair<br>administration     | review formative<br>assessments to ensure<br>progress is being made<br>and adjust intervention<br>as needed;<br>Observations;<br>PLC minutes | formative<br>assessments;<br>summative results<br>from 2013 EoC<br>Exam |  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| 3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making<br>satisfactory progress in Algebra.<br>Algebra Goal #3C:  | WBHS will decrease the percentage of ELL students not making adequate progress in Algebra by 5%. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |  |  |
| 45% (9)   | 40% (8)  |  |  |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                 | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|---------------------|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | Confidence          | 17 instructional<br>strategies by Daggett to<br>differentiate instruction<br>and provide rigor and<br>relevance;<br>teacher utilization of<br>Virtual Counselor for<br>data-driven instruction | team leaders<br>department chair<br>ESOL coordinator<br>administration | will show proficiency<br>being met by AYP<br>subgroups;      | formative<br>assessments;<br>summative results<br>from 2013 EoC<br>Exam |
| 2 | Lack of motivation  | 17 instructional<br>strategies by Daggett to<br>differentiate instruction<br>and provide rigor and<br>relevance;<br>teacher utilization of<br>Virtual Counselor for<br>data-driven instruction | team leaders<br>department chair<br>ESOL coordinator<br>administration | will show proficiency<br>being met by AYP<br>subgroups;      | formative<br>assessments;<br>summative results<br>from 2013 EoC<br>Exam |
|   | Language            | 17 instructional   | team leaders   | EoC assessment results                                       | formative   |

| 3 |  | strategies by Daggett to<br>differentiate instruction<br>and provide rigor and<br>relevance;<br>teacher utilization of<br>Virtual Counselor for<br>data-driven instruction | ESOL coordinator<br>administration | being met by AYP subgroups; | assessments;<br>summative results<br>from 2013 EoC<br>Exam |
|---|--|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
|---|--|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: |   |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | WBHS will decrease the percentage of students not making adequate progress by 3%. |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |
| 49% (30)  | 46% (28)  |  |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   |                     |  | 1  |  |   |
|---|---------------------|--|--|--|---|
|   | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool   |
| 1 | Confidence          | 17 instructional<br>strategies by Daggett to<br>differentiate instruction<br>and provide rigor and<br>relevance;<br>teacher utilization of<br>Virtual Counselor for<br>data-driven instruction | team leaders<br>department chair<br>administration     | will show proficiency<br>being met by AYP<br>subgroups;      | formative<br>assessments;<br>summative results<br>from 2013 EoC<br>Exam |
| 2 | Lack of motivation  | 17 instructional<br>strategies by Daggett to<br>differentiate instruction<br>and provide rigor and<br>relevance;<br>teacher utilization of<br>Virtual Counselor for<br>data-driven instruction | team leaders<br>department chair<br>administration     | will show proficiency<br>being met by AYP<br>subgroups;      | formative<br>assessments;<br>summative results<br>from 2013 EoC<br>Exam |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making<br>satisfactory progress in Algebra.<br>Algebra Goal #3E: | WBHS will decrease the percentage of economically disadvantaged students not making adequate progress by 2%. |
|--|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| 26% (43)   | 24% (40)   |

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |                          |  |   |  |
|---|--------------------------|--|---|--|
| Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy                 | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy        | Evaluation Tool                                |
| Confidence  | strategies by Daggett to | department chair                                       | EoC assessment results<br>will show proficiency<br>being met by AYP | formative<br>assessments;<br>summative results |

| 1 | and provide rigor and<br>relevance;<br>teacher utilization of<br>Virtual Counselor for<br>data-driven instruction |                                    | 5 5 5 5 7 5 7   | from 2013 EoC<br>Exam   |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---|---|
| 2 | strategies by Daggett to  | department chair<br>administration | will show proficiency<br>being met by AYP<br>subgroups; | formative<br>assessments;<br>summative results<br>from 2013 EoC<br>Exam |

End of Algebra EOC Goals

## Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

| * Whe   | <sup>k</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)). |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | d on the analysis of stude  |   | nd reference to "Gu                                    | iiding Questions", identif   | y and define areas   |  |
| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in<br>Geometry.<br>Geometry Goal #1: |   |   |  | WBHS will increase the percentage of students scoring at or above proficiency in Geometry by 2%.   |  |  |
| 2012  | 2 Current Level of Perfo  | rmance:   | 2013 Expecte   | d Level of Performance   | 9:   |  |
| 28.1% (161)   |   |   | 30.1% (172)  | 30.1% (172)  |  |  |
|   | Pro   | blem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Stude                                       | nt Achievement   |  |  |
|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool  |  |
| 1   | Lack of familiarity with<br>computer based<br>assessment  | Implementation of IFC<br>pacing that will address<br>indicated annually<br>assessed benchmarks<br>and 9-12 next<br>generation SSS;<br>Utilize EPat computer<br>based training for<br>Algebra, Geometry, and<br>Biology. | RtI Leadership<br>Team<br>Administration               | Classroom observation<br>to ensure EPat<br>implementation;<br>Horizontal/Vertical<br>planning;<br>Marzano Art and<br>Science of Teaching | BEEP Mini<br>Assessments<br>District;<br>summative<br>quarter exams;<br>2012 Algebra,<br>Geometry, and<br>Biology EoC Exam |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

|                                    | WBHS will increase the percentage of students scoring at or above proficiency in Geometry by 2%. |
|------------------------------------|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| 52.5% (301)                        | 54.5% (312)  |

**Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement** 

|   | Anticipated Barrier                                      | Strategy            | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                       | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool  |
|---|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | Lack of familiarity with<br>computer based<br>assessment | assessed benchmarks | Team leaders<br>Department Chair<br>RtI Leadership<br>Team<br>Administration | Classroom observation<br>to ensure EPat<br>implementation;<br>Horizontal/Vertical<br>planning;<br>Marzano Art and<br>Science of Teaching | BEEP Mini<br>Assessments<br>District;<br>summative<br>quarter exams;<br>2012 Algebra,<br>Geometry, and<br>Biology EoC Exam |
| 2 | lack of familiarity with<br>computer based<br>assessment | assessed benchmarks | Team leaders<br>Department Chair<br>RtI Leadership<br>Team<br>Administration | Classroom observation<br>to ensure EPat<br>implementation;<br>Horizontal/Vertical<br>planning;<br>Marzano Art and<br>Science of Teaching | BEEP Mini<br>Assessments<br>District;<br>summative<br>quarter exams;<br>2012 Algebra,<br>Geometry, and<br>Biology EoC Exam |

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

| 3A. Ambitious but Achievable<br>Annual Measurable Objectives<br>(AMOs). In six year school will<br>reduce their achievement gap by<br>50%. |           | Geometry Goal #<br>WBHS will dec<br>six years.<br>3A : | rease the achieve | ement gap by 50% c | over the next |
|--|-----------|--|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Baseline data<br>2011-2012   | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014  | 2014-2015         | 2015-2016          | 2016-2017     |
|  |           |  |                   |                    |               |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black,<br>Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making<br>satisfactory progress in Geometry.<br>Geometry Goal #3B: | WBHS will decrease the percentage of White, Black,<br>Hispanic, Asian, and Indian students not making adequate<br>progress by an average of 2%. |
|---|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| White 12.4% (24), Black 36.7% (22), Hispanic 22.7%<br>(64), Asian 5.6% (1), Indian 0 (0)  | White 11.3% (22), Black 33.3% (20), Hispanic 21.3%<br>(60), Asian 0% (0), Indian 0% (0)   |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |   |  |  |                 |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|-----------------|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool |  |  |
| 1 | Lack of motivation of<br>students                       | 17 instructional<br>strategies by Daggett<br>to differentiate<br>instruction and provide<br>rigor and relevance;<br>teacher utilization of<br>Virtual Counselor for<br>data-driven<br>instructional needs | team leaders<br>department chair<br>administration     | review formative<br>assessments to ensure<br>progress is being made<br>and adjust intervention<br>as needed;<br>Observations;<br>PLC minutes |                 |  |  |
|   | Weak foundation   | 17 instructional  | team leaders   | review formative   | formative       |  |  |

| 2 | strategies by Daggett<br>to differentiate<br>instruction and provide<br>rigor and relevance;<br>teacher utilization of<br>Virtual Counselor for<br>data-driven<br>instructional needs | administration | assessments to ensure<br>progress is being made<br>and adjust intervention<br>as needed;<br>Observations;<br>PLC minutes | summative results |  |
|---|---|----------------|--|-------------------|--|
|---|---|----------------|--|-------------------|--|

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define area<br>n need of improvement for the following subgroup: |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.  | WBHS will decrease the percentage of ELL students not |  |  |  |

| Geometry Goal #3C:                 | making adequate progress in Geometry by 6.2%. |  |
|------------------------------------|---|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:           |  |
| 68.8% (11)                         | 62.5% (10)                                    |  |

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier            | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|--------------------------------|---|--|--|-------------------|
| 1 | Lack of motivation of students | 17 instructional<br>strategies by Daggett<br>to differentiate<br>instruction and provide<br>rigor and relevance;<br>teacher utilization of<br>Virtual Counselor for<br>data-driven<br>instructional needs | team leaders<br>department chair<br>administration     | review formative<br>assessments to ensure<br>progress is being made<br>and adjust intervention<br>as needed;<br>Observations;<br>PLC minutes | summative results |
| 2 | Weak foundation                | 17 instructional<br>strategies by Daggett<br>to differentiate<br>instruction and provide<br>rigor and relevance;<br>teacher utilization of<br>Virtual Counselor for<br>data-driven<br>instructional needs | team leaders<br>department chair<br>administration     | review formative<br>assessments to ensure<br>progress is being made<br>and adjust intervention<br>as needed;<br>Observations;<br>PLC minutes | summative results |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: |   |          |  |  |                 |  |
|---|---|----------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| 3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making<br>satisfactory progress in Geometry.<br>Geometry Goal #3D:   |   |          |  | WBHS will decrease the percentage of students with disabilities not making adequate progress in Geometry by 2.4% |                 |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  |   |          | 2013 Expecte   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |                 |  |
| 31% (13)  |   |          | 28.6% (12)   | 28.6% (12)   |                 |  |
|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |          |  |  |                 |  |
|   | Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool |  |

| 1 | Lack of motivation of<br>students | 17 instructional<br>strategies by Daggett<br>to differentiate<br>instruction and provide<br>rigor and relevance;<br>teacher utilization of<br>Virtual Counselor for<br>data-driven<br>instructional needs | <br>assessments to ensure<br>progress is being made<br>and adjust intervention | summative results |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|-------------------|
| 2 | cinfidence                        | 17 instructional<br>strategies by Daggett<br>to differentiate<br>instruction and provide<br>rigor and relevance;<br>teacher utilization of<br>Virtual Counselor for<br>data-driven<br>instructional needs | <br>assessments to ensure<br>progress is being made<br>and adjust intervention | summative results |

 Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

 **3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.** 

 WBHS will decrease the percentage of economically disadvantaged students not making adequate progress in Geometry.

| Geometry Goal #3E:                 | Geometry by 2%.                     |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 28.4% (44)                         | 26.5% (41)                          |

#### **Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement**

|   | Anticipated Barrier               | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|-------------------|
| 1 | lack of motivation of<br>students | 17 instructional<br>strategies by Daggett<br>to differentiate<br>instruction and provide<br>rigor and relevance;<br>teacher utilization of<br>Virtual Counselor for<br>data-driven<br>instructional needs | team leaders<br>department chair<br>administration     | review formative<br>assessments to ensure<br>progress is being made<br>and adjust intervention<br>as needed;<br>Observations;<br>PLC minutes | summative results |
| 2 | confidence                        | 17 instructional<br>strategies by Daggett<br>to differentiate<br>instruction and provide<br>rigor and relevance;<br>teacher utilization of<br>Virtual Counselor for<br>data-driven<br>instructional needs | team leaders<br>department chair<br>administration     | review formative<br>assessments to ensure<br>progress is being made<br>and adjust intervention<br>as needed;<br>Observations;<br>PLC minutes | summative results |

End of **Geometry EOC** Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC Focus | Grade     | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants (e.g.<br>, PLC, subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g.,<br>early release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|--|---|--|--|---|
| Differentiated<br>instruction         | 9-12 math | in house<br>personell                  | 9-12 math teachers  | ongoing- PSD   | observation                              | administration                                      |
| Unwrapping<br>the<br>benchmarks       | 9-12 math | in house<br>personell                  | 9-12 math teachers  | ongoing- PSD   | observation                              | administration                                      |
| Digital<br>classroom<br>training      | 9-12 math | in house<br>personell                  | 9-12 math teachers  | ongoing-PSD  | observation                              | administration                                      |
| Common<br>Assessments                 | 9-12 math | in house<br>personell                  | 9-12 math teachers  | ongoing-PSD  | observation                              | administration                                      |

#### **Mathematics Budget:**

| Strategy               | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data                | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.0     |
| Technology             |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources        | Funding Source | Available<br>Amoun  |
| No Data                | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.0     |
| Professional Developme | ent                             |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources        | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.0     |
| Other                  |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources        | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| N. D. I                | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
| No Data                |                                 |                |                     |

End of Mathematics Goals

### Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. WBHS will increase the percentage of students scoring at levels 4, 5, and 6 in science by 13.6%. Science Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 71.4% (5) 85% (6)

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| 1 | Lack of motivation<br>Implementation of IFC<br>pacing that will<br>address indicated<br>annually assessed<br>benchmarks and 9-12<br>next generation SSS<br>Team leaders<br>Department CHair<br>RtI Leadership Team<br>Administration<br>Horizontal/Vertical<br>planning;<br>Marzano Art and<br>Science of Teaching<br>summative and<br>formative assessments |          |  |  |                 |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring<br>at or above Level 7 in science.<br>Science Goal #2: | WBHS will increase the percentage of students scoring at or above level 7 in science by 13.7%. |
|--|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| 14.3% (1)  | 28% (2)  |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |   |  |  |   |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|
|   | Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                       | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy               | Evaluation Tool                           |
| 1 | Lack of motivation                                      | Implementation of IFC<br>pacing that will<br>address indicated<br>annually assessed<br>benchmarks and 9-12<br>next generation SSS | Team leaders<br>Department CHair<br>RtI Leadership<br>Team<br>Administration | Horizontal/Vertical<br>planning;<br>Marzano Art and<br>Science of Teaching | summative and<br>formative<br>assessmentS |
| 2 | Lack of confidence                                      | Implementation of IFC<br>pacing that will<br>address indicated<br>annually assessed<br>benchmarks and 9-12<br>next generation SSS | Team leaders<br>Department CHair<br>RtI Leadership<br>Team                   | Horizontal/Vertical<br>planning;<br>Marzano Art and<br>Science of Teaching | summative and<br>formative<br>assessmentS |

## Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1. | Students | scoring | at Achievemen | t Level | 3 in |
|----|----------|---------|---------------|---------|------|
| Bi | ology.   |         |               |         |      |

Biology Goal #1:

÷

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

29.6% (207)

30.6% (214)

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation To                                    |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | Lack of familiarity with<br>Biology EOC Computer<br>based assessment | Implementation of<br>instructional focus<br>calendar pacing for the<br>Biology EOC that will<br>address indicated<br>annually assessed<br>benchmarks in Science<br>9-12 next generation<br>Sunshine State<br>standards;<br>Implementation of<br>EPAT with Biology<br>classes | Dpt. Head<br>Administrator                             | Classroom Observation<br>Teacher Evaluations  | 2013 EOC   |
| 2 | Absenteeism  | Phone calls home,<br>student conferences,<br>student link technology   | Dpt. Head  | District Pinnacle<br>attendance summary   | Attendance<br>summary                            |
| 3 | Unfamiliarity with new<br>biology standards                          | Biology teachers will<br>attend professional<br>development from<br>Dept. Chair, who<br>attended state<br>meeting on unwrapping<br>standards.  | Administration<br>Dpt. Head                            | Classroom<br>Observation;<br>Examination of lesson<br>plans; department<br>discussion minutes;<br>Teacher Evaluations | State, district,<br>and classroom<br>assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

|                                    | WBHS will increase the percentage of students scoring above proficiency in Biology by 1%. |
|------------------------------------|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| 53% (371)                          | 54% (378)   |

|   | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---------------------|--|--|--|-----------------|
| 1 | ,                   | Implementation of<br>instructional focus<br>calendar pacing for the<br>Biology EOC that will<br>address indicated<br>annually assessed<br>benchmarks in Science<br>9-12 next generation<br>Sunshine State<br>standards;<br>Implementation of<br>EPAT with Biology<br>classes |  | Classroom Observation<br>Teacher Evaluations                 | 2013 EOC        |

2

## Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| CCSS  | 9-12                   | Literacy<br>Coordinator                | Science teacher  |   | lesson plans<br>i-portfolio              | Administration   |

#### Science Budget:

| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amoun |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00             |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0    |
| <b>Fechnology</b>     |                          |                |                    |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amoun |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.0              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0    |
| Professional Developr | nent                     |                |                    |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amoun |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.0              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                    |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Availabl<br>Amoun  |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.0              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.0 |

End of **Science** Goals

### Writing Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

|                                    | WBHS's goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students achieving level 3 or higher in writing by 1%. |
|------------------------------------|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool                            |  |
| 1 | Effectively practicing<br>differentiated<br>instruction | Target weak writers<br>with special pull-out or<br>tutoring sessions   | Team Leaders<br>Dpt. Chairs<br>Administration          | Monthly Writing<br>Prompts                                   | Tracking scores<br>on Virtual<br>Counselor |  |
| 2 | Revision Process  | Students will revise &<br>rewrite paragraphs for<br>special skills   | Team Leaders<br>Dpt. Chairs<br>Administration          | Classroom Formative<br>Assessments                           | Six Traits Rubric                          |  |
| 3 | Students' use of<br>elaboration                         | Stress elaboration<br>techniques to reach<br>level 4 by drafting<br>based on 6 traits of<br>effective writing;<br>Practice prompts to<br>determine strengths<br>and weaknesses | Team Leaders<br>Dpt. Chairs<br>Administration          | Classroom Formative<br>Assessments                           | Six Traits Rubric                          |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring<br>at 4 or higher in writing.<br>Writing Goal #1b: | WBHS's goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students achieving level 4 or higher in writing by 11.1%. |
|---|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| 55.6% (5)   | 66.7% (6)  |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |          |  |  |  |
|---|---|----------|--|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool                            |
| 1 | Effectively practicing<br>differentiated<br>instruction | 5        | Team leaders<br>Dpt Chairs<br>Administration           |  | tracking scores<br>on Virtual<br>Counselor |
| 2 | Revision process  | 1 3 1    | Team leaders<br>Dpt Chairs<br>Administration           | assessments  | DOE FCAT rubric<br>and anchor<br>papers    |
| 3 | Students' use of elaboration                            |          | Team leaders<br>Dpt Chairs<br>Administration           | Classroom formative<br>assessments                           | Six traits rubric                          |

## Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus   | Grade<br>Level/Subject   | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|--------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Ellaboration<br>and writing                   | 9-12 English<br>Teachers | Dpt CHairs<br>Team leaders                | 9-12 English<br>Teachers   | PSD- ongoing  | review lesson<br>plans                   | Administration   |
| Writing<br>across<br>curriculum               | - J -                    | PARCC<br>Expert                           | 9-12 school-wide   | PSD- ongoing  | review lesson<br>plans                   | Administration   |
| FCAT Writes!<br>and<br>Springboard<br>Writing | - 5-                     | PARCC<br>Expert                           | 9-12 English<br>Teachers   | PSD- ongoing  | review lesson<br>plans                   | Administration   |

#### Writing Budget:

| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0     |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0     |
| Professional Developn | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0     |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of **Writing** Goals

## U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas<br>n need of improvement for the following group: |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S.<br>History.<br>U.S. History Goal #1:  | WBHS will meet or exceed the state average percentage for this year's exam. |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |  |
| N/A  | N/A   |  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I   | ncrease Student Achievement   |  |  |  |
|  | Person or Process Used to   |  |  |  |

|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy           | Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                      | Evaluation Tool  |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|---|--|--|
|  | computer based EoC  | implement IFC that | department chairs<br>team leaders         | formative and<br>summative assessments<br>and district midterm | formative and<br>summative<br>assessments<br>midterm<br>2013 EoC |

 Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels

|                                    | WBHS will meet of exceed the state average percentage for this years exam. |
|------------------------------------|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| NA                                 | NA   |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier                                       | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring         | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |  |  |
| 1 | Unfamiliarity will new<br>NGSSS and teaching<br>materials | attend district<br>workshops;<br>attend staff<br>development;<br>use test item specs as<br>a model for writing<br>formative and<br>summative assessments | administration<br>department chair<br>team leaders<br>teachers | approval of formative<br>and summative<br>assessments by<br>administration and team<br>leaders;<br>results of district<br>midterm | lesson plans<br>sign-in sheets                                     |  |  |
| 2 | Lack of release exams                                     | search online for other<br>states' EoC practice<br>exmas   | all US history<br>teachers                                     | continue updating<br>teacher-made test<br>banks   | online and paper<br>made formative<br>and summative<br>assessments |  |  |

### **Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community** (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g., frequency<br>of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| US NGSSS                                    | u_I /                  | Department<br>Chair                       | US History<br>teachers   | PSD   | Lesson plans                          | administration   |
| IFCs  | 9-12                   | Team leader                               | SS teachers  | PSD   | lesson plans                          | administrations  |
| Data Chats                                  | 9-12                   | Team<br>Leaders                           | SS teachers  | Academic<br>Tuesdays  | Formative/Summative<br>assessments    | administration   |

| Evidence-based Program | n(s)/Material(s)         |                |                     |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          | -              | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology             |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developme | nt                       |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                  |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                        |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of **U.S. History EOC** Goals

## Attendance Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

|                                      | d on the analysis of atte<br>provement:    | ndance data, and refe | erence        | to "Guiding Que   | stions", identify and de                                     | fine areas in need |
|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---------------|---|--|--------------------|
| 1. Attendance<br>Attendance Goal #1: |  |                       |               | WBHS will increase the attendance rate by 1%                          |  |                    |
| 2012                                 | Current Attendance R                       | ate:                  |               | 2013 Expected   | d Attendance Rate:   |                    |
| 93.3% (2,573)                        |  |                       | 94.3% (2,600) |   |  |                    |
|                                      | Current Number of St<br>ences (10 or more) | udents with Excessiv  | ve            | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) |  |                    |
| 577                                  |  |                       | 520           |   |  |                    |
|                                      | Current Number of St<br>ies (10 or more)   | udents with Excessiv  | ve            | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)  |  |                    |
| 554                                  |  |                       | 499           |   |  |                    |
|                                      | Pro  | blem-Solving Proce    | ss to I       | ncrease Stude   | nt Achievement   |                    |
|                                      | Anticipated Barrier                        | Strategy              | Re            | Person or<br>Position<br>esponsible for<br>Monitoring                 | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Too     |
| -                                    | Motivation                                 | Letters home          | Cti           | udents  | Leadership Meetings  | Quarterly          |

| 1 | Transportation   | <br>Teachers<br>Guidance Staff<br>Administration |              | Attendance Rate              |
|---|--|--|--------------|------------------------------|
| 2 | Lack of 100% of school staff following discipline plan | Administration                                   | Je i je i je | Quarterly<br>Attendance Rate |

## Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g.,<br>PLC,subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |  |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted                           |                        |  |   |  |  |  |  |

Attendance Budget:

| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0     |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developn | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.0  |

End of **Attendance** Goal(s)

## Suspension Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

| 1. Suspension |   |
|---------------|---|
|               | WBHS will decrease the number of suspensions, days suspended, and students suspended by 5%. |

| 2012  | 2 Total Number of In-Sc                       | hool Suspensions  |       | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions                |  |                 |
|---|---|---|-------|--|--|-----------------|
| 121   |   |   |       | 115  |  |                 |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School |   |   |       | 2013 Expecte<br>School                                       | d Number of Students   | Suspended In-   |
| 100   |   |   | 95    |  |  |                 |
| 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions          |   |   |       | 2013 Expecte<br>Suspensions                                  | d Number of Out-of-S   | chool           |
| 184   |   |   |       | 175  |  |                 |
| 2012<br>Scho                                      | 2 Total Number of Stude<br>ool                | ents Suspended Out-of   |       | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-<br>of-School |  |                 |
| 150   |   |   |       | 143  |  |                 |
|   | Pro   | blem-Solving Process  | to Iı | ncrease Stude  | nt Achievement   |                 |
|   | Anticipated Barrier                           | Strategy  |       | Person or<br>Position<br>sponsible for<br>Monitoring         | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1   | Lack of parental<br>involvement<br>Motivation | Parent nights<br>Individual counseling  | Gui   | achers<br>dance Staff<br>ninistration                        | Monitoring of suspension rates                               | Suspension rate |
| 2   | Classroom management                          | Progressive discipline<br>through classroom<br>managements<br>strategies such as<br>CHAMPS; parent- | Adr   | ninistration   | Monitoring of<br>suspension rates                            | Suspension rate |

### **Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community** (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

teacher conferences

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g.,<br>PLC,subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted                           |                        |  |   |  |  |  |

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)

| Available<br>Amount | Funding Source | Description of Resources | Strategy               |
|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| \$0.00              | No Data        | No Data                  | No Data                |
| Subtotal: \$0.00    |                |                          |                        |
|                     |                |                          | rechnology             |
| Available<br>Amount | Funding Source | Description of Resources | Strategy               |
| \$0.00              | No Data        | No Data                  | No Data                |
| Subtotal: \$0.00    |                |                          |                        |
|                     |                | ent                      | Professional Developme |
| Available<br>Amount | Funding Source | Description of Resources | Strategy               |
| \$0.00              | No Data        | No Data                  | No Data                |
| Subtotal: \$0.00    |                |                          |                        |
|                     |                |                          | Other                  |
| Available<br>Amount | Funding Source | Description of Resources | Strategy               |
| \$0.00              | No Data        | No Data                  | No Data                |
| Subtotal: \$0.00    |                |                          |                        |
| Grand Total: \$0.00 |                |                          |                        |

End of **Suspension** Goal(s)

## Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

| <b>1. Dropout Prevention</b><br><b>Dropout Prevention Goal #1:</b><br>*Please refer to the percentage of students who<br>dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year. | WBHS will maintain the percentage of students who dropped out. |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2012 Current Dropout Rate:   | 2013 Expected Dropout Rate:                                    |  |  |  |
| 0%   | 0%   |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Graduation Rate:  | 2013 Expected Graduation Rate:                                 |  |  |  |
| 98%  | 98%  |  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  |  |  |  |  |

|   | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---------------------|--|--|--|-----------------|
| 1 |                     | Parent nights<br>Calls home<br>Website communication | Administration   | Monitoring of drop out rate                                  | Drop out rate   |
| 2 | FCAT/GPA            | Intensive Reading/Math                               | Administration   | Monitoring of drop out rate                                  | Drop out rate   |

#### Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g.,<br>PLC,subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted                           |                        |  |   |  |  |  |

#### **Dropout Prevention Budget:**

| Evidence-based Progr  | ram(s)/Material(s)       |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0     |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0     |
| Professional Developn | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0     |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0     |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of **Dropout Prevention** Goal(s)

### Parent Involvement Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

#### **1. Parent Involvement**

#### Parent Involvement Goal #1:

\*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or

WBHS will increase parental involvement by 1%.

| undu                                      | unduplicated.                                     |                 |  |  |                 |  |
|---|---|-----------------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: |   |                 | 2013 Expecte   | 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:                   |                 |  |
| 265% (6,657.288 hours)                    |   |                 | 266% (6,724.2  | 266% (6,724.288 hours)                                       |                 |  |
|   | Problem-Solving Process to I                      |                 |  | ent Achievement  |                 |  |
|   | Anticipated Barrier                               | Strategy        | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |
| 1   | Economic status<br>incurring longer work<br>hours | Acknowledgement | Administration<br>PTSA                                 | Monitoring of hours  | Volunteer hours |  |

# Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g.,<br>PLC,subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted                           |                        |  |   |  |  |  |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of **Parent Involvement** Goal(s)

## Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: |   |
|---|---|
|   | WBHS will increase curricula driven by problem-solving,             |
|   | discovery, and exploratory learning that actively engages students. |

**Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement** 

|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|--|--|-----------------|
| 1 | Opportunities for<br>mentoring by<br>businesses, industry,<br>and research<br>professionals | Recommend to teachers<br>to reach out to experts<br>in STEM related fields<br>that correlate to<br>concepts to speak in<br>specified subject area |  | End products of PBLs<br>related to experts<br>presentation   | Administration  |

## Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Schedules (e.g. | Strategy for Follow-<br>up/Monitoring   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|-----------------|---|--|
| Grant Writing                               | 9-12                   |   | 9-12 science<br>instructors  |                 | Teachers will show<br>evidence of grants<br>that they wrote<br>toward STEM<br>Program | Administration   |

#### STEM Budget:

Г

| Strategy               | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amoun |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| No Data                | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00             |
|                        |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.0    |
| Technology             |                                 |                |                    |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources        | Funding Source | Available<br>Amoun |
| No Data                | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00             |
|                        |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.0    |
| Professional Developme | ent                             |                |                    |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources        | Funding Source | Available<br>Amoun |
| No Data                | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00             |
|                        |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.0    |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|          | •                        | •              | Subtotal: \$0.00    |

Grand Total: \$0.00

End of **STEM** Goal(s)

## Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:

| 1. CTE       | WBHS will increase the percentage of students earning      |
|--------------|--|
| CTE Goal #1: | industrial certification by 2% from 84% (244) to 86% (249) |

|   | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy                                     | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool                      |
|---|---------------------|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | Lack of motivation  | Marzano domain 1, 41<br>behavioal strategies | team leaders<br>administration                         |  | industrial<br>certification<br>exams |
| 2 | absenteeism         | phone calls home                             | team leaders<br>administration                         |  | industrial<br>certification<br>exams |

## Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
|   |                        |   | school-wide on<br>PSD  |   | classroom walk<br>throughs               | administration   |

**CTE Budget:** 

| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
|------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data    | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|            |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.0     |
| Technology |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data    | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|            |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |

| Strategy | <b>Description of Resources</b> | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data  | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|          |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other    |                                 |                |                     |
| Strategy | Description of Resources        | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data  | No Data                         | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|          |                                 | -              | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|          |                                 |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |

Additional Goal(s) No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

## FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based   | Program(s)/Material(s) |                             |                |                     |
|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Goal             | Strategy               | Description of<br>Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount    |
| No Data          | No Data                | No Data                     | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                  |                        |                             |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology       |                        |                             |                |                     |
| Goal             | Strategy               | Description of<br>Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount    |
| No Data          | No Data                | No Data                     | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                  |                        |                             |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Dev | elopment               |                             |                |                     |
| Goal             | Strategy               | Description of<br>Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount    |
| No Data          | No Data                | No Data                     | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                  |                        |                             |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other            |                        |                             |                |                     |
| Goal             | Strategy               | Description of<br>Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount    |
| No Data          | No Data                | No Data                     | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                  |                        |                             |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                  |                        |                             |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

## Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

C Priority C Focus C Prevent C NA

Are you a reward school: O Yes O No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 8/20/2012)

## School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

#### Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Describe projected use of SAC funds

Amount

No data submitted

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

## AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010 SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| 2010-2011   | Reading   | Math      | Writing | Science |        |   |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|--------|---|
|   |           |           |         |         | Earned |   |
| % Meeting High<br>Standards (FCAT<br>Level 3 and Above) | 62%       | 85%       | 92%     | 44%     | 283    | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making<br>Learning Gains                  | 61%       | 76%       |         |         | 137    | 3 ways to make gains:<br>Improve FCAT Levels<br>Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5<br>Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2   |
| Adequate Progress of<br>Lowest 25% in the<br>School?    | 54% (YES) | 67% (YES) |         |         |        | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.  |
| FCAT Points Earned                                      |           |           |         |         | 551    |   |
| Percent Tested = 98%                                    |           |           |         |         |        | Percent of eligible students tested   |
| School Grade*   |           |           |         |         | A      | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested  |

|   | Reading | Math      | Writing | Science | Grade<br>Points<br>Earned |   |
|---|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
| % Meeting High<br>Standards (FCAT<br>Level 3 and Above) | 62%     | 89%       | 94%     | 50%     |                           | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on<br>Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the Distric<br>writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science<br>component. |
| % of Students Making<br>Learning Gains                  | 60%     | 80%       |         |         | 140                       | <ul> <li>3 ways to make gains:</li> <li>Improve FCAT Levels</li> <li>Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5</li> <li>Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2</li> </ul>   |
| Adequate Progress of<br>Lowest 25% in the<br>School?    |         | 71% (YES) |         |         |                           | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.  |
| FCAT Points Earned                                      |         |           |         |         | 565                       |   |
| Percent Tested = 99%                                    |         |           |         |         |                           | Percent of eligible students tested   |
| School Grade*   |         |           |         |         | A                         | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested  |