FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Killearn Lakes Elementary	District Name: Leon County		
Principal:	Superintendent: Jackie Pons		
Brenda McGalliard			
SAC Chair: Champayne Ricciardi	Date of School Board Approval:		

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Brenda McGalliard	B.S. Masters, Specialist Educational Leadership(FSU)	7	13	AP – Gilchrist, 2000-2006 (Grade A) Principal Killearn Lakes 2006- 2012 (Grade A)
Assistant Principal	Hank McGrotha	B.S. Masters, Ed. Leadership	6	8	AP – Desoto Trail 2005-2007 (Grade A) AP- Killearn Lakes 2007-2012 (Grade A)

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Roberta Klawinski	M.S. – Ed. Reading K-12	13	3	School Grade A

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

De	scription of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1.	new teacher meetings with administration	Principal /Assistant Principal	On -going
2.	partnering new teachers with mentor	Principal	On-going
3.	Best professional practices	Expert teachers	On -going
4.	Wonderful Wednesdays – teacher planning/training	Principal/ Assistant Principal	Wednesdays – throughout school year

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
N/A	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
64	8%	22%	25%	45%	48%	100%	17%	11%	22%

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Lisa Branch	Brittany Crutchfield Megan David Allison Pogue Kelly Olson Blake Hill	Mrs. Branch is an excellent teacher who demonstrates student achievement. Mrs. Branch has successfully completed the District's Mentor Training Program.	Mastery of the Florida Educator Accomplished Practices will be the focus of bi-weekly meetings of the mentor and mentee. Release time is provided for required pre-observation conferences, classroom

		observations, and post- observation feedback conferences.

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal, Assistant Principal, Referral Coordinator; School Psychologist; Program Specialist; School Social Worker; Classroom Teacher and School administrator/ designee. Support Members: ESE teacher; Speech/Language Pathologist; OT/PT and Assistive Technology Contact

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The school RTI Leadership team focuses on developing and maintaining a problem-solving system to ensure optimal student achievement for all students. The team meets twice monthly. The RTI team meets to review student data (screening and progress monitoring). Based on evaluation of data and identification of student needs the team will identify professional development and resources needed.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RTI Leadership team will meet with the administration and other staff representatives to help develop the SIP. The team also collaborated with the School Advisory Council to obtain input from the council. The team provided data, helped set goals and expectations, and suggested strategies that would ensure attainment of instructional goals

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data is collected for the initial meeting from FAIR,FCAT,KTEA, and Pearson. Progress monitoring: Pearson and weekly assessments are collected for a minimum of 6 weeks.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development is provided onve a month or as needed during pre-planning and by grade level monthly meetings. Team leaders and/or teachers may request additional training at any given time throughout the school year.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Brenda McGalliard, Hank McGrotha, Karen Allen, Kathy Preston, Colleen Sellers, Roberta Klawinski, Niikki Bruner, Sherry Lawrence, Teresa Horn, Michelle Garcia, Pam Morgan, Karen Walker, Dale Grigas, Angie Hickman, Joy Green

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). At the beginning of the year, one of the main purposes of the team is to edit the School Improvement Reading goal and also to revise the Reading plan. The committee meets throughout the year as needed.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year? The major focus of the LLT is the accelerated reader program and KLES reading plan.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.
Describe plans for assisting presences emicaren in transition from early emicalised programs as apprecion.
*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?
*High Schools Only
Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?
How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?
Postsecondary Transition Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Readin	g Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Achievement Level 3 in Reading Goal #1A: By the end of the 2012-13 academic year, a minimum of 25% of all students (Grades 3-5) will meet or exceed proficiency by scoring a Level 3 on the FCAT Reading assessment.	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	Anticipated barriers include the following: Time and resources, and technology issues.	1.1. Students will receive a 90 minute reading block to include Corrective Reading, Imagine It/Open Court, Early Intervention Reading, Successmaker, STAR/Accelerated Reader, BrainPop and or SRA Reading Mastery Plus instruction. Students will be provided differentiated instruction utilizing fluid groups and computer assisted instruction.		IA.1 Monitoring of progress toward goals, results from benchmark assessments.	1A.1. Appropriate benchmark assessment; classroom observation tools; various classroom assessments (FAIR,report cards, STAR and SM4 reports.		
			1A.3.		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.		
1B. Florida Alternate A scoring at Levels 4, 5, a Reading Goal #1B: N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance: * Enter	Students	IB.1.	1B.1.	IB.1.	1B.1.	IB.1.		

performance in this box.					
	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

reference to "Guiding Q	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
700/ of our	in reading. 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of	Resources and time.	2A.1. Students will receive a 90 minute reading block to include Imagine It/Open Court, and or SRA Reading Mastery Plus instruction.	Principal/Assistant Principal and Reading Coach	2A.1. Monitoring of progress toward goals, Including results from benchmark assessments.	2A.1. Appropriate benchmark assessments; classroom observation tools; various classroom assessments including FAIR, STAR, and SM4 reports.
FCAT Reading Assessment.			2A.2. 2A.3.	2A.2. 2A.3.		2A.2. 2A.3.	2A.2. 2A.3.
reading Goar #2B.	evel 7 in reac 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	2B.1. 2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.1. 2B.2.	2B.1. 2B.2.	2B.1. 2B.2.
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions," identif	y and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Reading Goal #3A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*			3A.1. Students will receive a 90 minute reading block to include, Imagine It/Open Court, and or SRA reading mastery plus instruction. Students will be provided differentiated instruction utilizing fluid groups and computer assisted instruction.		3A.1. Monitoring of progress toward goals, results from benchmark assessments	3A.1Appropriate benchmark assessment; classroom observation tools; various classroom assessments (FAIR; report cards, STAR and SM4 reports.)
make learning gains in			3A.2. 3A.3.	3A.2. 3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.2. 3A.3.	3A.3.
Reading.							
3B. Florida Alternate of students making le	arning gains	in reading.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of						
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions," identif	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
25% making learning Reading Goal #4:	gains in rea 2012 Current Level of Performance:*		and time.	4A.1. Students will receive a 90 minute reading block to include Imagine It/Open Court, and or SRA Reading Mastery Plus instruction. Students will be provided differentiated instruction utilizing fluid groups and computer assisted instruction including Great Leaps, FAIR resources and Starfall.	4A.1. Principal/Assistant Principal and Reading Coach.	4A.1. Monitoring of progress toward goals, results from benchmark assessments	4A.1. Appropriate benchmark assessment; classroom observation tools; various classroom assessments (FAIR,report cards, STAR and SM4 reports.)
assessment.			4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.
			4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.

Objectives (AMOs), ider	chievable Annual Measurab ntify reading and mathematic t for the following years		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Reading Goal #5A:		d Anticipated Barrier					
reference to "Guiding Qu	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Black: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Indian:		Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: **rical** **ected**	5B.1.		5B.1.	5B.1.	
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.		5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem	uestions," identif	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
reading Goal #501	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
N/A	Enter numerical data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
reference to "Guiding Q	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Dis	sabilities (SW	/D) not	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1	5D.1.
making satisfactory p	orogress in re	eading.	Limited time allotment to	Services are provided	Administration, ESE	Progress toward IEP	IEP and test data
reading Goal #35.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	address individual needs.	based on IEP requirements and as		goals.	in and test data
Decrease by 1% Students with Disabilities (SWD)		31%	disability.	determined by the intervention time.	Coordinator		
not making satisfactory progress			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
in reading.			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem	uestions," identi	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
making satisfactory p			Students not prepared for academic instruction (coming to school hungry, tired, and without materials), some are without parental support. Encourage free breakfast involvement, PTO and guidance services provides school supplies, Utilize school mentoring	Administration ,	Classroom observations, teacher	5E.1. Formative and	
Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*	guidance services provides school supplies,				benchmark assessments.	
			5E.2. 5	5E.2. 5E.3.	5E.2. 5E.3.	5E.2. 5E.3.	5E.2. 5E.3.

Reading Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
Book study (Rigor, Relevance, and Relationships)	all	administration	School - wide	2012-2013 grade level meetings, Wonderful Wednesdays, Team Meetings	Observation, report review, grade level meetings	Administration			
Technology training	all	Teacher leader	School – wide	Faculty Meetings	Observations	Administration			

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school fund	ed activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/	materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	Imagine It materials	Textbook funds	24,635.00	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	22 IPADS	County funds, Internal Funds	26,295.00	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	Subs provided for Wonderful Wednesday	SAC/ Internal Funds	6,900.00	
	Common Core Standards Flipchart	County Funds	919.00	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELI	LA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
	and understand spoken English er similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring p listening/speaking. CELLA Goal #1: The percentage of ELL students proficient in listening and speaking English	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: 43%.of students scored proficient in listening/speaking on CELLA		assist in educating	1.1. Referral Coordinator 1.2.	I.1. Student growth on CELLA, report card, and daily classroom observations.	1.1. Performance on CELLA	
will increase by at least 1% as evidenced by performance on the CELLA.		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
	el text in English in a manner on-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading: 43% of students scored proficient in		Utilize ESOL funding to assist in educating parents, and utilize technology for communication.	1.1. Referral Coordinator	1.1. Student growth on CELLA, report card, and daily classroom observations.	1.1. Performance on CELLA	

	Reading on Cella					
performance on the CELLA.		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

	Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Students scoring pr	G		1.1. Litiliza ESOI funding to	1.1. Pafarral Coordinator	1.1. Student growth on	1.1. Parformance on
The percentage of ELL students proficient in writing will increase by at least 1% as	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing:	language proficiency; tests, instruction materials and assessments are not	Utilize ESOL funding to assist in educating parents, and utilize technology for communication.	Referral Coordinator	Student growth on CELLA, report card, and daily classroom observations.	Performance on CELLA
performance on the CELLA.		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Chilling Dauget (III)	ert rows as needed)			
Include only school-based	I funded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s))/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u>'</u>	•	<u> </u>	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u>'</u>	•	<u> </u>	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u>'</u>	•	<u> </u>	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	1	1	-	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary M	lathematics	s Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Que- in need of improvement	stions," identify a	nd define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
#1A: By the end of the 2012-13 academic year, a minimum of 26% of all students (Grades 3-5) will meet or exceed proficiency by scoring a Level 3 on the FCAT Math	in mathemat 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 25%	ics. 2013 Expected	in the curriculum.	IA.I. Go Math training and planning time to collaborate math standards. Additional strategies include: utilization of SM 5 (additional sessions before /after school), Facts in a Flash.; BrainPop, Drops in a Bucket, Mountain Math, Sunshine Math, SRA math.	1A.1. Administration and Math teacher.	IA.1. Classroom observation, progress monitoring, results from benchmark assessments.	1A.1. iboservation; classroom observations, benchmark assessments, SM4 reports, report cards, STAR math, Facts in a Flash.		
assessment.			1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.		
			1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.		
#1R·	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in		IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.		

	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.
	12.0.	12.0.	12.0.	12.0.	12.0.

reference to "Guiding Que	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Stude	nts scoring at	or above	2A.1. Time,	2A.1. Go Math training	2A.1. Administration	2A.11. Classroom	2A.1iboservation;
Achievement Levels 4	and 5 in ma	thematics.	transition/pacing, gaps		and Math teacher.	observation, progress	classroom observations,
Mathematics Goal #2A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 70%	in the curriculum.	collaborate math standards. Additional strategies include: utilization of SM5 (additional sessions before /after school), Facts in a Flash; Khan Academy, Brain Pop, Drops in a Bucket, Mountain Math, Sunshine Math, SRA Math, SM5	and Main teacher.	monitoring, results from benchmark assessments.	benchmark assessments, SM5 reports, report cards, STAR math, Facts in a Flash.
assessificiti.				Remediation (small			
				group and remediation).			
			2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
			2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate scoring at or above L			2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
Mathematics Goal #2B: N/A	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected					
µ v / Æ	level of	udui for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.

	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Ques in need of improvements"	stions," identify a	nd define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percellearning gains in mat Mathematics Goal #3A:	ntage of stude hematics. 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	ents making		pa.i Oo maii i aiiiiig	3A.1 Administration and Math teacher.	3A.1. Classroom observation, progress monitoring, results from benchmark assessments	3A.1iboservation; classroom observations, benchmark assessments, SM5 reports, report cards, STAR math, Facts in a Flash.
			3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.
			3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
3B. Florida Alternate of students making le mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3B: N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current		3B.1.	3B.1. 3B.2.	3B.1. 3B.2.	3B.1. 3B.2.	3B.1. 3B.2.
			۵۵.۷.	DD.2.	JJ.2.	OD.2.	JD.2.

	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 54% 60% or more of the lowest 25% of students in grades 3-5 will make learning gains on the 2012 FCAT Math assessment.		and planning time to collaborate math standards. Additional strategies include: utilization of SM5 (additional sessions before /after school), Facts in a Flash; Khan Academy, Brain Pop, Drops in a Bucket, Mountain Math, Sunshine Math, SRA Math, SM5 Remediation (small group and remediation) Also, RTI groups and Afterschool LAST program.	3A.1. Administration and Math teacher.	3A.1. Classroom observation, progress monitoring, results from benchmark assessments	iboservation; classroom observations, benchmark assessments, SM5 reports, report cards, STAR math, Facts in a Flash.
	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.
	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.

Based on ambitious but achievable Ann Objectives (AMOs), identify reading an performance target for the follow	nd mathematics	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Mathematics Goal #5A:	ata 2010-2011						
Based on the analysis of student achiev reference to "Guiding Questions," identify in need of improvement for the follows	y and define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
	Indian) not mathematics. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* al Enter numerical data for expected level of	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Ques in need of improvemen	stions," identify a	and define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
#5C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
			5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Ques in need of improvemen	stions," identify a	and define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Decrease by 1% Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Math. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 44% 45%		Limited time allotment to address individual needs. Identifying primary disability	based on IEP requirements and as determined by the intervention time.	Administration, ESE teacher, classroom teacher, Referral Coordinator	5D.1. Progress toward IEP goals.	5D.1. IEP and Test Data	
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5E. Economically Dis			5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1	5D.1.
Mathematics Goal 2012 Current 2013 Expe #5F: Level of Level of		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	needs. Identifying primary		Administration, ESE teacher, classroom teacher, Referral Coordinator	Progress toward IEP goals.	IEP and test data
Disadvantaged students not making			5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
satisfactory progress in Math.			5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Middle School Mat	thematics Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
reference to "Guiding Questions,	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter narrative in this box. Mathematics Goal Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.		1A.1.	IA.1.	1A.1.	IA.1.	IA.I.		
		1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.		
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
WH. 3.		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.		
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.		

reference to "Guiding Ques	student achievement data and stions," identify and define areas ent for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Achievement Levels 4 Mathematics Goal #2A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:* Enter narrative for the oal in this box. Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in performance in		2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.
			2A.2. 2A.3.	2A.2. 2A.3.	2A.2. 2A.3.	2A.2. 2A.3.
			2B.1.	2B.1.		2B.1.
#2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current devel of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.		2B.2.
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percer learning gains in math Mathematics Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	ntage of students making	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.
			3A.2. 3A.3.	3A.2. 3A.3.	3A.2. 3A.3.	3A.2. 3A.3.
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.			3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

reference to "Guiding Ques	student achievement data and stions," identify and define areas ent for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4: 2012 Current 2013 Expected		4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Mathematics Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluatio	on Tool
Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter narrative for the Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Indian:	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.		5B.1.	5B.1.	
	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

reference to "Guiding Que	student achievement data and stions," identify and define areas at for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
making satisfactory p Mathematics Goal #5C	e Learners (ELL) not progress in mathematics. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Page 1013 Expected Level of performance in this box.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
		5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.
reference to "Guiding Que	student achievement data and stions," identify and define areas at for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Mathematics Goal	Description of the progress in mathematics. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current data for current devel of performance in this box. Performance in this box.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

reference to "Guiding Ques	student achievement data and stions," identify and define areas t for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	advantaged students not progress in mathematics.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.
#5E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Level of Level of Performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School N	Mathematics Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis or reference to "Guiding Que	f student achievement data and estions," identify and define areas ent for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
reference to "Guiding Que		1.2. 1.3. Anticipated Barrier	1.2. 1.3. Strategy	1.2. Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	1.2. 1.3. Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	1.2. 1.3. Evaluation Tool
2. Florida Alternate	Assessment: Students evel 7 in mathematics. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
		2.3.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.3.

reference to "Guiding Que	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.
			3.2.	3.2.			3.2.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra	1 EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Pr	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
reference to "Guiding (f student achievement data and Questions," identify and define ement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring a Algebra 1. Algebra 1 Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Level of Level of	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current level of level of performance in this box. Performance:* Enter numerical enter numerical data for expected level of level of this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
reference to "Guiding Q	f student achievement data and Questions," identify and define ement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring a Levels 4 and 5 in Alg	. 01 400 (0 1101110 (01110110	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Algebra Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Based on ambitious but a Objectives (AMOs), ide performance targe		thematics	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2	2010-2011						
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:	•							
Enter narrative for the goal	l in this box.							
reference to "Guiding Q	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	n, American Indi progress in Alge 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical Endata for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: Asamerican Indi Level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: Asamerican An	an) not bbra 1. 13 Expected vel of rformance:* teer numerical ta for expected el of formance in s box. hite: ack: spanic: ian: merican lian:	3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

reference to "Guiding Q	student achievement data and uestions," identify and define ent for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
making satisfactory p Algebra 1 Goal #3C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance in this box.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.
		3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.
reference to "Guiding Q	student achievement data and uestions," identify and define tent for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 2014 Expected level of expected level of performance in this box.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.

reference to "Guiding Q	student achievement data and questions," identify and define nent for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	advantaged students not progress in Algebra 1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometr	ry EOC Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding"	of student achievement data and Questions," identify and define wement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring a Geometry.	at Achievement Level 3 in	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Geometry Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.							
		1.3.	1.2.	1.3.	1.2.	1.2.		
reference to "Guiding	of student achievement data and Questions," identify and define wement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring a Levels 4 and 5 in Ge Geometry Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	at of above fielder chieffe	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	level of level of performance in this box. this box.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.		

Objectives (AMOs), idea	achievable Annual Measurable ntify reading and mathematics t for the following years	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Geometry Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal	Baseline data 2011-2012 in this box.					
reference to "Guiding Q	student achievement data and uestions," identify and define ent for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Black, Hispanic, Asian making satisfactory p Geometry Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	s by ethnicity (White, a, American Indian) not crogress in Geometry. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Black: Hispanic: Asian: Asian: American Indian: Indian:	d	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.2.
reference to "Guiding Q		3C.3. Anticipated Barrier	3C.3. Strategy	3C.3. Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	3C.3. Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	3C.3. Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.
		3D.2. 3D.3.	3D.2. 3D.3.	3D.2. 3D.3.	3D.2. 3D.3.	3D.2. 3D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.		3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Profess	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
						Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					
Book study Rigor, Relevance,	all	administration	School - wide	2012-13 Grade Level Meetings, Team Meetings, Wonderful Wednesdays	Observation, report review, grade level meetings	Administration					
Technology training	echnology training all Teacher leader		School – wide	Faculty Meetings	Observations	Administration					

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities	s /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	GO Math materials	Textbook allocation	3,357.00	
	I		I	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	22 IPADS	County funds, Internal Funds	26,295.00	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	Subs provided for Wonderful Wednesday	SAC/ Internal Funds	6,900.00	
	Common Core Standards Flipchart	County Funds	919.00	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle	Science	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Goals							
reference to "Guiding Questions," identify	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1A: By the end of the 2012-13 academic year, a minimum of 43% of all students 5th grade) will meet or exceed proficiency by scoring a Level 3 on the FCAT Science assessment.	2013 Expected Level of	1.1.Students lack skills that enable them to use look for errors in logic or reasoning	instruction and participate in the scientific process (including the online component).	Administration and the Science teacher.	from benchmark assessments. 1.1.Observation of students using strategies; lesson plans that support the	Benchmark assessments, classroom observations, and report cards 1.1.iobservation; classroom walkthroughs; examination of evidence provided by teacher	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

				grade.			
			1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.
			1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.		1B.1.	IB.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
IN/A	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of					
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students s Achievement Levels 4 and Science Goal #2A: 2012 Leve	scoring at on the scient of th	or above nce. 013Expected evel of erformance:*	1.1.Students lack skills that enable them to use look for errors in logic or reasoning	component). Science Fusion in grades 4 and 5. High Touch/High Tech in Kindergarten 1.1.The teacher helps students deepen their knowledge of informational content by helping them construct ways to examine their own reasoning or the logic of the information presented. BrainPop Science Fair Leveled Readers GEMS	Administration and the Science teacher.	from benchmark assessments. 1.1.Observation of students using strategies; lesson plans	Benchmark assessments, classroom observations, and report cards 1.1.iobservation; classroom walkthroughs; examination of evidence provided by teacher
				Astronomy Night for 5 th grade.	24.2	24.2	24.2
			2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
			2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate Ass scoring at or above Level			2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.

Science Goal #2B:		2013Expected Level of					
N/A		Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	level of					
	arts box.		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High Schoo	ol Science Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
reference to "Guiding (f student achievement data and Questions," identify and define ement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in performance in		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	this box. this box.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
reference to "Guiding (f student achievement data, and Questions", identify and define ement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Science Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		2.2.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.2.	2.2.	2.3.	2.3.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1. Biology 1 Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and	1.2. 1.3. Anticipated Barrier	1.2. 1.3. Strategy	1.2. 1.3. Person or Position	1.2. 1.3. Process Used to Determine	1.2. 1.3. Evaluation Tool		
reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1. Biology 1 Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.		
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.		

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity									
	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				
Book study (Rigor, Relevance, Relationships)	all	administration	School - Wide	2012-13 grade level meetings, team meetings, and Wonderful Wednesdays.	Observation, report review, grade level meetings	Administration				
Technology training	Teacher leader		School – wide	Faculty Meetings	Observations	Administration				

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Science Budget (Inse				
Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s),	/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	Fusion	Textbooks	1130.00	
				C. Lavasli
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writi	ng Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Quest need of improvemen	ions," identify an	d define areas in	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1A. FCAT: Students : Level 3.0 and higher i	in writing.		1A.1. Lack of resources,	Students in grades K-5	1A.1. Administration and	1A.1. Ongoing progress	1A.1. K-2 Writing snapshots		
	<u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>			will participate in daily writing and grammar activities. Teachers will	Writing Teachers.	monitoring, results from benchmark assessments.	through datadirector. 3-5 Writes Upon Request data		
90% of students in 4 th grade will		90%		also receive training on new writing strategies and expectations.					
meet or exceed writing			1A.2.		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.		
proficiency on the FCAT 2.0 Writing assessment.			IA.3.	IA.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.		
1B. Florida Alternate scoring at 4 or higher	in writing.	Students	IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.	1B.1.		
Writing Goal #1B: N/A	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.							
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.		
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.		

Writing Professional Development

Profes	ssional Devel		aligned with Strategies t Please note that each Strategy does not		Learning Community (PLC) on to or PLC activity.	or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Book study (Rigor, Relevance, Relationships)	all	administration	School - Wide	2012-13 team meetings, grade level meetings, Wonderful Wednesdays.	Observation, report review, grade level meetings	Administration
District Writing workshops	4 th grade teachers	District writing advocates	4 th grade	Monthly	WUR (District assessments)	Administration

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activit	ies/materials and exclude district funded acti-	vities/materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
	Writing materials/resources	Internal	1551.00
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics 1	EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q	f student achievement data and Questions," identify and define ement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Civics.	t Achievement Level 3 in	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Civics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
reference to "Guiding C	f student achievement data and Questions," identify and define ement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring a Levels 4 and 5 in Civ	t or above Achievement ics.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Civics Professional Development

Profes	ssional Devel	opment (PD)	aligned with Strategies to Please note that each Strategy does no		Learning Community (PLC)	or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
	<u>I</u>	l				

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Civics Dauget (miser	t rows as needed)			
Include only school-based	I funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•		•	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

U.S. Histo	ry EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
reference to "Guiding Q	f student achievement data and Questions," identify and define ement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
U.S. History. U.S. History Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical atta for current data for current level of level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
reference to "Guiding C	f student achievement data and Questions," identify and define ement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Levels 4 and 5 in U.S	. History.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
U.S. History Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Level of Level of level of his box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

U.S. History Professional Development

Profe	essional Devel	opment (PD)) aligned with Strategies and Please note that each Strategy does no	C	Learning Community (PLC) ent or PLC activity.	or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·			Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·	·		Subtotal:
				Total:

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Go	oal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance									
Based on the analysis of attendance "Guiding Questions," identify and de- improvement:				Anticipated Barrier Strategy				Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluat	tion Tool
1. Attendance		1.1. Parental res	ponsibility	Parent comme health education hand washing	nunication, ation (proper	1.1. Administra guidance co attendance	ounselor,	1.1. Data analys attendance		Pinpoint re	eports
Increase by 1% the current attendance rate (96%) Decrease by 1% the average number of students with excessive absences. Decrease by 1% the average number of students with excessive tardies.	Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 203 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) 219	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 202 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) 218	1.2.		1.2.		1.2.		1.2.		1.2.
			1.3.		1.3.		1.3.		1.3.		1.3.

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
Pin Point training	School wide	Attendance officer	School wide	Daily	Daily reports	Attendance officers	

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/	/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		•		Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•		,	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	1 5	Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension				
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Suspension Goal #1: 2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions In-School Suspensions 12	Home effect	Positive Behavior Support	1.1.	••	Pin Point/Genesis	
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out- of- School 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity							
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
ent /Topic LC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	

Suspension Budget	(Insert rows as needed)			
Include only school-base	ed funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		<u> </u>		Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·	•	Subtotal:
Professional Development	t			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	•	•	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout I	Prevention Goal(s)		Problem-solv	ing Process to D	ropout Prevention	
"Guiding Questions,"	arent involvement data, and reference to identify and define areas in need of mprovement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Dropout Prevention	n	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Dropout Prevention Goal #1:	2012 Current 2013 Expected Dropout Rate:* Dropout Rate:*					
	Enter numerical adata for dropout for expected dropout rate in this box. 2012 Current Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box. 2013 Expected					
Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school	Graduation Rate: Graduation Rate:* Enter numerical Enter numerical data for for expected graduation rate in this box. Graduation rate in this box.					
year.		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmen	nt or PLC activity.				
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC Focus PD Facilitator (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or PLC Leader) School-wide) PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or School-wide) Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Person or Position Responsible Monitoring									

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based f	funded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/N	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•			Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		<u> </u>	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		•		Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involv	ement Goal	l(s)	Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement				
Based on the analysis of parent in "Guiding Questions," identifier improv			Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Strategy Strategy Evaluation Strategy				
Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: Increase teacher communication with parents to 100% of their student's parents	Level of Parent	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*	1.1.	Parent conferences, weekly planners, bi –weekly newsletters,	Faculty, Administration	1.1. Climate surveys, Teacher surveys	1.1.
at least once per			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
month.			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based for	unded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/N	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			•	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	•	<u>,</u>	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)		Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievemen	t
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
80% of students in grade 5 will meet or exceed proficiency on the 2012 FCAT Science assessment.	1.1.Students lack skills that enable them to use look for errors in logic or reasoning	Students will receive instruction and participate in the scientific process (including the online component). Science Fusion in grades 4 and 5. High Touch/High Tech in Kindergarten 1.1.The teacher helps students deepen their knowledge of informational content by helping them construct ways to examine their own reasoning or the logic of the information presented. BrainPop Science Fair Leveled Readers GEMS	Administration and the Science teacher.	On-going progress monitoring, results from benchmark assessments. 1.1.Observation of students using strategies; lesson plans that support the use of strategies	Benchmark assessments, classroom observations, and report cards 1.1.iobservation; classroom walkthroughs; examination of evidence provided by teacher
		Astronomy Night for 5 th grade.			

	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

STEM Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmen	nt or PLC activity.				
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	1 I Grade I Person or Position Responsible for								
STEM district training	K-5 Math/Science	STEM advocates	Grade Level teachers	Monthly	Lesson plans, observations	District coordinators, Administration, Advocates			

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	ded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			-	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·	·		Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			•	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u>,</u>	·	,	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
CTE Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2		
			1.2.		1.2.		
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		

CTE Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	1 Cirade Person or Position Responsible for							

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fund	led activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat	erials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·	·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·	·	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Additional Goal		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level:* Enter numerical data for current goal in this box. 2013 Expected Level:* Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.						
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
			_			

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fu	unded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·	·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		·	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

_ = ====== = + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total:

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

	School Di	ifferentiated Accountabil	ity Status		
	Priority	Focus	Prevent		
Are you reward school? Yes (A reward school is any school tha	□No t has improved their	r letter grade from the prev	vious year or any A	graded school.)	
 Upload a copy of the Diffe 	erentiated Accountal	bility Checklist in the design	gnated upload link o	on the <i>Upload</i> page	
School Advisory Council (SAC) SAC Membership Compliance The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting Yes or No below.					
If No, describe the measures being	taken to comply wi	th SAC requirements.			
Describe the activities of the SAC	for the upcoming sc	chool year.			
Describe the projected use of SAC	funds.				Amount