FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



School Improvement Plan (SIP) for Juvenile Justice Education Programs

2012-2013

2012 – 2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name: Alachua Academy	District Name: Alachua
Principal: Madelyn Vallery	Superintendent: Dr. Dan Boyd
SAC Chair: NA	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data:

Use data from the Common Assessment to complete reading and mathematics goals. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012.

Administrators

List your school's on-site administrators who are responsible for educational services (e.g., principal, lead educator) and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data learning gains. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012. The school may include the history of Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years	Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment data
		Certification(s)	Years at	as an	learning gains). The school may include AMO progress along with the
			Current School	Administrator	associated school year.
Principal	Madelyn Vallery	Professional Certification	3	12	50% of students showed gains on FCAT assessment
		BA – Fisk University			
		M.Ed. – Antioch			
		University			
Lead					
Educator					

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data learning gains. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012. The school may include the history of AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment
Area		Certification(s)	Years at	an	data learning gains). The school may include AMO progress
			Current School	Instructional Coach	along with the associated school year.
		BS – Secondary Social			
Reading	Cottie Wright	Studies	2	6	Several schools continue to receive AYP and measurable gains
		M.Ed. – Secondary Ed.			

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

List your school's highly effective teachers and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as a teacher, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data learning gains. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012. The school may include the history of AMO progress. Highly effective teachers refers to teachers who provide instruction in core academic subjects, hold an acceptable bachelor's degree or higher, have a valid temporary or professional certificate, and whose students demonstrate learning gains via the common assessment, end of course exams, or any supplemental assessment the school uses.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment
Area		Certification(s)	Years at	an	data learning gains). The school may include AMO progress
			Current School	Instructional	along with the associated school year.
				Teacher	
Reading	Dana Jones	BA – Human Services	2	3.5	40% of students showed gains on FCAT
Language		ESE k – 12			60% of students earned credits enough to move up at least 2
Arts		Reading Endorsed			grade levels
		English 5-9			

Math	Lowell Adams	BS – Math 6-12 M.Ed. Math Education	1	16	Classroom math support for Ed Options and GED prep.
GED Prep.	Danielle Klum (per diem)	BS – Education UF	3	9	Total of 32 graduates in 3 years. Averaging approximately 11 per year

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1. Advertizing via Florida Works	Program Director	As needed	
2. SBAC Department of professional and paraprofessional personnel	Principal	As needed	
3. Local University Job Fairs	Principal	As scheduled	
4.			

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who are NOT highly effective.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
None	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school who are teaching at least one academic course.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
3	0	50%	50%	0	100%	100%	50%	0	0

Teacher Mentoring Program

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Organization in progress			

*Grades 6-12 Only- Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Students begin each day in Intensive Reading. All teachers are responsible for approving the content. Students read in groups or individually.

Workshops, staff development, Infinite Campus "My Learning Plan" provide reading strategies available to all Alachua County Staff

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1003.413 (2)(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Due to the nature of the program and students' needs, teachers offer guidance and direction to help students actually see the possibility of a meaningful future. Many students have difficulty seeing beyond the present day.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful to their future?

"Career Choices" offers insight and reality strategies to help students see the correlation between subject matter and job preparation.

Postsecondary Transition

May 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 25, 2012

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

Helping as many students as possible gain HS credit and/or enroll in GED prep classes to help them pass the GED exam which will make them more marketable upon release.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- Based on a comparison of 2010-2011 common assessment data and 2011-2012 common assessment data, what was the percent increase or decrease of students maintaining learning gains?
- What percentage of students made learning gains?
- What was the percent increase or decrease of students making learning gains?
- What are the anticipated barriers to increasing the percentage of students making learning gains?
- What strategies will be implemented to increase and maintain proficiency for these students?
- What additional supplemental interventions/remediation will be provided for students not achieving learning gains?
- * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

READING GOALS			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
			lack of prolonged,	assisted intensive	1.1. Reading Teacher		1.1. Teacher generated tests, FCAT, FAIR, PMRN		
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected	sustained academic stamina	reading component		Standardized, school			

his box. Enter numerical Enter numerical Enter numerical Pamadiata basic mandated taginng							
this box.		data for expected		Remediate basic		mandated tesinng	
To improve reading	level of	level of	Questionable	comprehension skills			
level by a minimum of	performance in	performance in this box.	assessment validity	as evidenced by pre-			
•	inis box.	11113 0000	Refusal to test upon	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
one school year gain			*	Immerse students in			
				vocabulary development			
				activities			
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
2. Ambitious but	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Achievable Annual							
Measurable Objectives							
(AMOs). In six year							
school will reduce their							
achievement gap by 50%.							
Reading Goal #2:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this	s box.						
ТВО							

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs Reading Professional Development

Professi	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					
TBD											

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activiti	ies/materials and exclude district funded activ	vities/materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount000

Zoll Zole School im	o verment i turi ou verme oustice Buucuti	711 1 1 0 5 1 411115		
			·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount	
		·	Grand	Total:000

End of Reading Goals

Mathematics Goals

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- Based on a comparison of 2010-2011 common assessment data and 2011-2012 common assessment data, what was the percent increase or decrease of students maintaining learning gains? Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012.
- What percentage of students made learning gains?
- What was the percent increase or decrease of students making learning gains?
- What are the anticipated barriers to increasing the percentage of students making learning gains?
- What strategies will be implemented to increase and maintain proficiency for these students?
- What additional supplemental interventions/remediation will be provided for students not achieving learning gains?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g. 70% (35)).

	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
MATHEMATICS GOALS	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refe "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Percentage of students making learning gain mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of level	xpected f nance:* tumerical r expected learning learning	1.1. Direct instruction, computer assisted instruction Guided practice	1. Math teacher.	1.1. Classroom Quizzes	1.1. Noble Stars test from Renaissance Learning
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs),Reading and Math Performance Target		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
2. Ambitious but Baseline data 2010-2011							
Achievable Annual							
Measurable Objectives							
(AMOs). In six year							
school will reduce their							

achievement gap by 50%.		S		
Mathematics Goal #2:	-			
Enter narrative for the goal in this box. The number of students passing the math section of the GED will increase by 50% (24) over six year period				

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

<u> </u>			ichts the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (33)).					
Algebra	EOC Goals	5	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Ach	at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra			1.1.	1.1.	1.1	1.1.	
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of	in testing	Direct instruction, computer assisted instruction Guided practice	Math teacher	Classroom Quizzes	Informal Teacher generated test EOC exam	
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	to Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluatio	on Tool
Algebra Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Percentage of students scoring at or above Achievement Levels and 5 will increase by 10% 2012 Current Level of Performance: Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Lack of interest in testing POOR TESTING SKILLS Level data l of	Direct instruction Computer assisted instruction Guided practice	Math teacher	2.1. Classroom Quizzes	Classroom EOC exam	
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objec (AMOs),Reading and Math Performance Target	tives 2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	57% (24)	60% (24)	67% (24)	73% (24)	79% (24)	85% (24)

Algebra Goal #3:			
Enter narrative for the goal in this box. The percentage of students enrolled in either semester one or two of Algebra one, who complete the class with a C or higher, will increase by 50%(24)			

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Geometry EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
 Students scoring a Geometry. 	t Achievem	ent Level 3 in	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.	1.1
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	Lack of interest	Cross-section of teaching strategies	Math teacher	Classroom quizzes	EOC exam.
by gain of 10%(24)	data for current level of performance in this box.	for expected level of performance in this box.	skills				

2012-2013 School Im	provement	Plan Juvenile	Justice Education	n Programs				
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
"Guiding Questions", identify an	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to uiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluatio	on Tool
2. Students scoring at or	above Achievo	ement Levels 4	2.1.	2	2	2.1.	2.1.	
Geometry Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Students will increase by gain of 10% (24)	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of	Some students will not be interested in learning or testing	.1. Direct instruction, computer assisted instruction Guided practice	Math Teacher	Classroom quizzes	EOC	
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achie (AMOs), Reading and Math Perfo	vable Annual Mormance Target	easurable Objectives	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	Baseline data	0	33% (24)	36% (24)	39% (24)	42% (24)	46% (24)	50% (24)

Geometry Goal #3:			
Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Students enrolled in either first or second semester of geometry, who complete the class with a C or better will increase by 50% 924)			

Mathematics Professional Development

Professi	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.											
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PD Facilitator (e.g., PLC, subject, school-w				Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring						
NA												

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Budget

Mathematics Dauget									
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.									
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)									
Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Available Amount									

2012-2013 School IIII	provement rian suvenne susuce Educatio	n i iugianis	
		<u> </u>	Subtotal
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtotal
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount000
			Subtotal
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
	<u> </u>	·	Grand Total:000

End of Mathematics Goals

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals: There is no science teacher at Alachua Academy.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Biology EOC Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Based on the analysis of student a			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
"Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of			I		Responsible for	Effectiveness of	
improvement for the	ne following group	o:			Monitoring	Strategy	
1. Students scoring at Achi	evement Leve	el 3 in	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Biology.							
Biology Cour # 11	Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical	Performance:* Enter numerical					
NA	level of performance in	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student a "Guiding Questions", identif improvement for the	y and define areas	s in need of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or a 4 and 5 in Biology.	above Achiev	ement Levels	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Blology Godi #2.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in					
NA	this box.	this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

	ontent /Topic or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
NA							

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Science Duaget (mse				
Include only school-based	I funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		•	Subtotal:
				Total:000

End of Science Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

May 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 25, 2012

Civics 1	EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Acl	nievement Lev	vel 3 in Civics.	1.1.	1.1	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Civics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. All students will show learning gains As evidenced by post testing	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	Rapid Transition		Social Studies Teacher		Pre and post computer generated Civics test	
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
				1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of studen "Guiding Questions", identify an for the fo	it achievement dat d define areas in n llowing group:	a, and reference to need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

Civics Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.											
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	1 PD Bacilitator PD Participants C											
NA												

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-base	ed funded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Developmen	t			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·	•	•	Subtotal:

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

U.S. History EOC Goals			lents the percentage	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at U.S. History.	Achieveme	nt Level 3 in	1.1.	1.1	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
U.S. History Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 70% (24) will show 20% (24) gains in historical knowledge as evidenced by pre/post testing data		2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	Apathy	Teach with multiple learning techniques.		Post testing data	Teacher made and computer generated pre/post tests measurability	
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History. Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:*		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		

 L .			0			
data for current	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

U.S. History Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring										
NA										

$\textbf{U.S. History Budget} \ (\text{Insert rows as needed})$

Include only school-based funded activit	ies/materials and exclude district funded acti	vities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

	P1 0 / 01110110 1 10111 0 0 / 0111110 0 01201100 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
	·	·		Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:000

End of U.S. History Goals

Career Education Goals

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- What career type does the program offer?
- How does the program provide career exploration for all students?
- What hands-on technical training does the program provide (type 3 programs)?
- For type 3 programs what industry certifications are offered?
- How many students earned industry certifications?
- Is the program a Career and Professional Education (CAPE) Academy?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CAREER EDUCA	ATION GO	OAL(S)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level :*	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
this box.	data for current	goal in this box.	law prohibit pursuit	EACTS Organization	Reading/LA ESE/Career	Develop exit transition	Job security		
Provide career guidance to all students			of certain career choices	Allow students to	Choices Teacher	portfolio for student			

to assist them transition to college and/or the job market		training	complete certifications in: CPR/First aid, Drugs & alcohol, Serve Safe			
			1.2. Enroll/register students for ready to work	1.2.		1.2Attainment of certificates by assessment.
		1.3.	1.3. Prepare resumes	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Career Education Professional Development

Professi	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PD Facilitator school-wide) PD Facilitator (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Participants (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring										
NA										

$Career\ Education\ Goal(s)\ Budget\ ({\tt Insert\ rows\ as\ needed})$

Career Education Goal(s) Budget (misert rows as needed)								
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.								
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)	Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)							
Strategy	Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Available Amount							

Zorz Zore Senoor imp	TO VEHICITE I IAII SU VEHICE SUSUCE L'AUCATIO	n i i ogi umb	
			Subtot
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
	<u> </u>	<u>'</u>	Subtot
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
	<u> </u>		Subtot
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
	<u>, </u>	•	Grand Total:0

End of Career Education Goal(s)

Transition Goal(s)

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- How does the program deal with transition planning (entry and exit transition)?
- How many students successfully transition (e.g., return to school, find employment)?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

TRANSITION GOAL(S)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Transition Goal	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
2012 Current Level:* Enter narrative for the goal in 2013 Expected Level:*					Up to date student

To eliminate communication barriers between other schools in reference to receiving and sending student information expeditiously	ada for current goal in this box.	accessibility to Infinite Campus throughout the state	on an "as needed" basis	Data Base Manager	More timely efficient accessibility to student information	record keeping
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Transition Professional Development NA

Professi	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity										
		Pl	ease note that each Strategy does not re	quire a professional development	or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					
NA											

Transition Budget (Insert rows as needed)NA

Include only school-based funde	ed activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate	erials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount	
	•		Su	ıbtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount	

2012-2013 School IIII	provement i ian auvenne ausuce Euucano	ii i i ogi aiiis	
			Subtota
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtota
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
	<u>'</u>	•	Grand Total:0

End of Transition Goal(s)

Attendance Goal(s) (For Day Treatment Programs Only)

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- What was the attendance rate for 2011-2012?
- How many students had excessive absences (10 or more) during the 2011-2012 school year?
- What are the anticipated barriers to decreasing the number of students with excessive absences?
- What strategies and interventions will be utilized to decrease the number of students with excessive absences for 2012-2013?
- How many students had excessive tardies (10 or more) during the 2011-2012 school year?
- What are the anticipated barriers to decreasing the number of students with excessive tardies?
- What strategies and interventions will be utilized to decrease the number students with excessive tardies for 2012-2013?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

ATTENDANCE GOAL(S)		Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance					
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance Goal # 1		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
goal in this box.	Attendance Rate:* Enter numerical data for current attendance rate in this box. 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) Enter numerical data for current number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) Enter numerical data for current number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) Enter numerical data for current number of data for current number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:* Enter numerical data for expected attendance rate in this box. 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) Enter numerical data for expected number of absences in this box. 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) Enter numerical data for expected number of students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
	box.	box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
NA						

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)NA

2012-2013 School Improve	ment I fan suvenne sustice Educatio	n i i ogi ams		
Include only school-based funde	ed activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Mater	rials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount	
	·		Gra	and Total:000

End of Attendance Goals

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)NA

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
_	-

May 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 25, 2012

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Educat	ion Programs
U.S. History Budget	
	Tota
Career Budget	
	Tota
Transition Budget	
	Tota
Attendance Budget	
	Total:0
	Grand Total:0
School Advisory Council	
School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance	
	trict. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of
	school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of
the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Pleas	se verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.
Yes	\square No
<u> </u>	
If No, describe measures being taken to comply with SAC requirement	t.
Organization, in the new year, is already on the January, 201	3 agenda

May 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 25, 2012

Describe projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year.	