FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: 3741.00 Safety Harbor Middle School	District Name: Pinellas County Schools
Principal: Mary A. Kennedy	Superintendent: John A. Stewart, Ed.D.
SAC Chair: Ryan Hickey	Date of School Board Approval: Pending: October 9, 2012

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	MARY KENNEDY	Master's in Educational Leadership	11	11	Reading: 63%, Math: 76%, Writing: 81%, Science: 60%, Annual Learning Gains Reading: 66%, Annual Learning Gains Math: 72%, Lowest 25% Reading: 56%, Lowest 25% Math: 62%
Assistant Principal	DIONISIOS BOULIERIS	Master's in Educational Leadership	7	2	Reading: 63%, Math: 76%, Writing: 81%, Science: 60%, Annual Learning Gains Reading: 66%, Annual Learning Gains Math: 72%, Lowest 25% Reading: 56%, Lowest 25% Math: 62%
Assistant Principal	KIMBERLY MILLER	Master's in Educational Leadership	6	.5	Reading: 63%, Math: 76%, Writing: 81%, Science: 60%, Annual Learning Gains Reading: 66%, Annual Learning Gains Math: 72%, Lowest 25% Reading: 56%, Lowest 25% Math: 62%

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Language Arts	Michelle Tibbs Brown	English, Reading, Masters in Curriculum and Instruction, Principal's Licensure's Certification	0	3 years in Florida; 6 years total	Previously at a center, % of students meeting/exceeding for the past three years was 7%, 8% and 9%
Reading	Mary Musser	Bachelor of Arts; Master of Education, Instructional Systems; Elementary Education K-6; Reading Endorsement	0	2	Served prior two years as an embedded literacy coach at Calvin Hunsinger, a K-12 EBD center that does not receive a school grade. The majority of students scored below grade level on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading test, consistent with all prior years, with a 7 point drop in learning gains. Priority School Improvement Plan goals for 2011-12 were to increase independent reading and provide literacy enrichment. Successes in these areas include: 50% of elementary students participated in a take-home independent reading program, up from 0% previous year; 100% of middle school reading classes instituted regular inclass independent reading, up from 50% previous year; Students in the only high school, regular diploma reading class increased average independent reading time by 600%; For the first time, both an elementary and a middle school Battle of the Books team competed at the county level; Three students submitted writing to the Cross Creek Chronicle, the Pinellas County annual elementary literary magazine. One was published.

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

De	scription of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1.	Recruitment: Transition to Teaching, Teach Pinellas, EDGE program, college partnerships	Principal, Teachers, Mentors	Ongoing
2.	Teacher appreciation programs: Team building trips, morning socials at Starbucks, snacks, breakfasts, luncheons, holiday dinners, end of the year barbeque.	Principal, Teachers, PTSA, Mentors	Ongoing
3.	Ongoing Professional Development - Guest Speakers	Principal	Ongoing
4.	Professional Learning Communities	Leadership Team, Department Heads	Ongoing
5.	Instructional Review Team - Utilizing the Differentiated Accountability Classroom Observation Data Form, self-assessment, formal visitations and professional development.	Principal, Assistant Principals	Ongoing

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
12% (9)	Ongoing Professional Development Certification Test/Exams Endorsements Classroom observations Meetings with mentor teacher

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
76	13.16% (10)	25% (19)	27.63% (21)	34.21% (26)	27.63% (21)	88% (67)	10.53% (8)	5.26% (4)	13.16% (10)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Colleen Skerry	Math TBA	Same grade level or subject area	Observation of mentee's

${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

Annette Gallagher	Matthew Pfeiffer	Behavior Specialist/VE Liaison	instruction and providing feedback; Planning lessons
Amber Schmittdiel	Mary Sweet	Same grade level or subject matter	with mentee; Connecting lesson activities to content standards; Discussing student progress and analyzing student work; Modeling or co-teaching lessons
Amy Greth/Natalie Bobrovetski	Dan Schmittdiel	Same grade level or subject matter	
Jeanne Gagliardo	Kellie DeSchutter	Same grade level or subject matter	
Melissa Miller	Jessica Howald	Same grade level or subject matter	
Luis Morales	Wei Wang	World Language Department Head	
Matt Miller	Jennifer Cotton	Same grade level or subject matter	
Michael MacDonald	Barrie Gostlin	Same grade level and proximity	
Deborah Gomez	Maria Little	Same grade level or subject matter	
Alayna Poole	Erica Lake	Same grade level or subject matter	
Eartha Mims	Michael Esposita	Same grade level or subject matter	

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Title I, Part A funds are utilized, in conjunction with district operating funds and other federal resources, to support teaching and learning, parental engagement, and professional development. Title I services are coordinated and integrated with other resources through the Division of Teaching and Learning, Student Assignment, and Research and Accountability.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

NA in Pinellas

Title I. Part D

The district receives Title I, Part D funds which provide transition services from alternative education programs to zoned schools. In addition, a portion of Title I, Part A funds is reserved for services to neglected and delinquent students. Funds are targeted to support continuous education services to students in neglected and delinquent facilities through tutoring, instructional materials and resources, and technology.

Title II

The district receives funds to increase student achievement through professional development for teachers and administrators. Title II funds provide math and science coaches, as required by Differentiated Accountability, in some of the district's lowest performing schools. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide additional reading and math coaches in targeted schools based on FCAT results.

Title III

Title III funds provide educational materials, bilingual translators, summer programs, and other support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners. Bilingual translators provide assistance with parent workshops and dissemination of information in various languages for Title I schools.

Title X- Homeless

The district receives funds to provide resources for students for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is also reserved to provide services to homeless students (social workers, a resource teacher, tutoring, and technology).

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI funds are coordinated with Title I, Part A funds to provide extended learning opportunities for students before/during/after school and during the summer.

Violence Prevention Programs

Nutrition Programs

Title I coordinates with district food services to provide breakfast and lunch to students in Title I summer extended learning camps.

Housing Program	ms	
-----------------	----	--

Head Start

Title I, Part A funds are used to provide Pre-Kindergarten to Kindergarten transition services. Title I schools coordinate with staff from public and private preschool programs, including Head Start, to prepare students for a successful start to school. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide classes for 3 year olds at targeted elementary schools to support early literacy.

Adult Education

Career and Technical Education

Job Training

Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Alison Kennedy - Principal, Danny Boulieris, Kim Miller- Assistant Principals, Eartha Mims, Michael Esposita, Linda Storrs - Guidance Counselors, Christina Barry - Social Worker, Taryn McCormick – School Psychologist, Annette Gallagher - Behavior Specialist, Matthew Pfeiffer - VE Liaison, Tonia Cunningham, Susan Loucks, Autumn Lunin, Matthew Miller, Luis Morales, Julia Ward, Andrew Wilmot - Teachers

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

- -Facilitator generates agenda and leads team discussions
- -Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data
- -Technology Specialist brokers technology necessary to manage and display data
- -Recorder/Note Taker documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access
- -Time Keeper -helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda

Meeting time: A core group of the RtI Leadership Team meets weekly on Thursdays at 2:00 p.m. in the Career Room (Administrator, Psychologist, Social Worker, Guidance Counselors, VE Liaison, and Behavior Specialist) to discuss at-risk students. The team will collaborate weekly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team meets four times per month: once for behavior concerns, once for academic concerns, once for child study, and once for SBTL. They will perform the following on an ongoing basis: Data analysis and review of progress monitoring tools to identify student behavior and academic performance, identify students who are at-risk for not meeting behavioral expectations and/or academic performance standards, develop interventions to increase student performance using the Problem Solving Process, identify appropriate professional development and resources to assist instructional staff in implementing interventions, collaborate with stakeholders to ensure effective practices, accurate data collection, and analysis are performed in a timely manner and results are communicated to all parties for highest student achievement in a safe learning environment.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI Leadership Team met with the principal to help develop the SIP and RtI processes. The team provided data on Tier 1,2 and 3 targets; academic and social/emotional areas that needed to be addresses, helped set clear expectations for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, Relationships); facilitated the development of a systemic approach to teaching (Gradual Release, Essential Questions, Activating Strategies, Teaching Strategies, Extending, Refining, and Summarizing); and aligned processes and procedures.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), FAIR Reading Assessment, Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)

Progress Monitoring: PMRN, AIMS web, Curriculum Based Measurement (CBM), FCAT Simulation

Midyear: Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR)

End of Year: FAIR, FCAT, End of Course Exams

Frequency of Data Days: Twice a month for data analysis

Office/classroom referral data will be utilized as ongoing progress monitoring to measure effectiveness of Tier 2 behavior interventions.

PCS Portal will be utilized to manage student data school wide. DMT will enter reading and mathematics Tier 2 progress monitoring data into Portal and will have graphed data

prepared for data review.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The SBLT will utilize the PS/RtI Beliefs Survey and Perception of Ps/RtI Skills Survey to assess current levels of consensus and problem-solving skills within the school-building. This data will be presented and analyzed during the regularly scheduled SBLT meeting time. Monthly professional development activities will be provided. Every other month, skills will be introduced to the entire staff by SBLT during the faculty meeting. Follow-up professional development will occur monthly during PLC's through practical application of presented skills with facilitation and support by SBLT members. PS/RtI Beliefs and Perception of PS/RtI Skills. Surveys will be used to evaluate effectiveness of professional development efforts. Additionally, applied practice opportunities will be reviewed by the SBLT every other month to inform instruction of future activities as well as assessing effectiveness of professional development.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The plan to support MTSS is by attending and offering professional development, staff and parent surveys when needed, discussions in SBLT meetings, and modify the action plan if necessary.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Alison Kennedy - Principal, Kimberly Miller-Assistant Principal, Eartha Mims - Guidance Counselor, Patty Owen - Media Specialist ,Natalie Bobrovetski, Cynthia Neel, Kellen Scott, Julia Romerhaus, Cynthia Johnson, Denise Callahan, Michele Salmon and Ben Kinney - Teachers.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

- Support for text complexity
- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
 - o Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
 - o Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
 - Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
 - Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
 - Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Support for text complexity

- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

- The school Literacy Leadership Team is established to grow the use of literacy strategies in all disciplines. The Team is composed of a cross section of the faculty that act as liaisons to help grow department wide literacy strategies in all classrooms
- The school has a Student Literacy Team that assists in the development and implementation of classroom literacy strategies.
- Teacher evaluations include a provision for teaching reading strategies to students. The teacher summative evaluation, in most cases, uses reading data as a portion of teacher performance.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goa			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
Reading Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance 23% 317	ing.	instruction	learning and learning goals in each lesson	teacher	*Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans			
			Implement High Yield	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and	1a.2. Walkthrough			

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

						personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
]	1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	AP who evaluates teacher	Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1h Elouido Altomoto Aggaggment.						questions and tasks	
1b. Florida Alterna	ate Assessment:			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
		nd 6 in	Insufficient	Implement High Yield	1b.2. AP who evaluates	1b.2. Determine:	1b.2. Walkthrough
1b. Florida Alterna Students scoring at reading.		nd 6 in	Insufficient standard based	Implement High Yield Instructional	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential	
Students scoring at reading.	2012 Current 201	nd 6 in 13Expected	Insufficient standard based	Implement High Yield	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by	
Students scoring at reading. Reading Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level of Level of	nd 6 in 13Expected vel of	Insufficient standard based	Implement High Yield Instructional	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose	
Students scoring at reading. Reading Goal #1b: Improve current level of	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	13Expected vel of rformance:*	Insufficient standard based	Implement High Yield Instructional	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to	
Students scoring at reading. Reading Goal #1b: Improve current level of	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:	13Expected vel of rformance:*	Insufficient standard based	Implement High Yield Instructional	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate	
Students scoring at reading. Reading Goal #1b: Improve current level of	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:	13Expected vel of rformance:* ecrease vel 1,2,3	Insufficient standard based instruction	Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	Walkthrough
Students scoring at reading. Reading Goal #1b: Improve current level of	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:	13Expected vel of rformance:* ecrease vel 1,2,3	Insufficient standard based instruction	Implement High Yield Instructional	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and	

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

	-					
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	lb.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		and	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Improve current level of performance	s 4 and 5 in reading 2012 Current Level of Level of	Lack of differentiation of instruction	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	*Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	2a.1. Walkthrough
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
Improve current level of	cor above Level 7 in 2012 Current Level of Level of	instruction of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher		2b1. Walkthrough

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

		5%	2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.		2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis and reference to "Guidefine areas in need of	ding Questions' improvement fo group:	', identify and or the following	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
reading Goar waar	Sains in reactions of the second seco	ding.	Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

			r			_	
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b: Improve current level of performance:* 67% (10)		Lack of student engagement	Differentiate Instruction	teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.		
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis and reference to "Guid define areas in need of i	ling Questions" mprovement fo	', identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
group: 4a.FCAT 2.0:Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4a: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013Expected Level of Performance:*		gains in 2013Expected Level of		4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

performance 53% (17)		Insufficient intervention supports exist to	4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2.	*SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.
4b. Florida Alternate Asses Percentage of students in L making learning gains in re	Lowest 25%		Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural	4b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

Improve current level of performance: Level of Performance:* 53% (176) 100%	Insufficient intervention supports exist to	4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	*SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	4b.2. Evidence of coi intervention te and planning; Lesson Plans &	re teachers and achers communicating . Walkthroughs
	4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017

${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.		ta 2010-2011	62	71	74	77	80	83
Reading Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		valuation Tool	
	ian, America y progress ir 2012 Current Level of Performance:* White:72% 596 Black: 5% 45.00 Hispanic: 13% 112.00	n Indian) not n reading. 2013Expected Level of Performance:*	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of	Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.		& Walkthrough

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

			I	Т			
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis and reference to "Guic define areas in need of i	ding Questions	", identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Langua making satisfactory Reading Goal #5C:	C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:*		Lack of differentiation of instruction		teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
					5C.2.		5C.2.
			5C.3.		5C.3.		5C.3.
Based on the analysis and reference to "Guid define areas in need of i	ding Questions	", identify and or the following	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with I making satisfactory Reading Goal #5D: Improve current level of performance	y progress i 2012 Current Level of Performanc e:* 31% (47)	(2112)22		Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

		learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
Based on the analysis of and reference to "Guidin define areas in need of im subs	g Question	s", identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Improve current level of performance		2013Expected Level of Performance:*	5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
		will learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%				target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		will learning gain An increase in proficiency	5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5E.2.

${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

Reading Professional Development

Profes	sional Develo	opment (PD)	aligned with Strategies the Please note that each strategy does not		earning Community (PLC) on t or PLC activity.	r PD Activities
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Horizontal articulation for assessment planning, lesson plan review, differentiated instruction, and addressing student concerns.	Each grade level of Reading and Language Arts	Member of each grade level/ department works to serve as the facilitator of the PLC	Language Arts and Reading Teachers	3 rd Wednesday of the month	All PLC minutes are read and addressed in Administration meeting the following Monday.	Department Head, Reading Coach, and Administrative Team
Complexity of Text	6-8/All Subjects	Michelle Tibbs Brown	School-wide	Early release	PLC and Department Meetings, walk through, review and discuss	Department Heads
Multimedia Text	6-8/ All Subjects	Autumn Lunin	School-wide	Pre-school	Walkthroughs, Lesson plan review	Department Heads, Assistant Principals

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/ma	terials and exclude district funded activities/	materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
To build upon students' background knowledge to improve student learning	Set of Sunshine State books for each LA and Reading teacher	PTSA	\$2,000	
				Subtotal: \$2,000
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
To accelerate the development of reading skills.	Kindle Lab	Grant Money	\$1,600	
Duefossional Dovelopment				Subtotal:\$1,600
Professional Development			T .	
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
To educate teachers in use of complex and multimedia text.	Pre-school trainings	Department Chairs provided training		
				Subtotal
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
To build upon students' background knowledge to improve student learning	Time for Kids, Choices, Action magazines	Referendum money		
Nourishment during FCAT testing	FCAT Snacks	PTSA	\$500	Subtotal: \$500
				Total: \$4,100

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELL	A Goals		Problem-Solving Pr	rocess to Increase	e Language Acquisition	
	nderstand spoken English at grade lar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring proficion CELLA Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: 45% 29	1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	1.1. Walkthrough
		2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Differentiate Instruction	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are	2.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

	-	10,011011011111111111111111111111111111					
2.2. Insufficient standard based instruction 2.2. Insufficient standard based instruction 2.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies 2.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies 2.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies 2.2. AP who evaluates teacher 2.2. AP who evaluates teacher 2.3. AP who evaluates teacher 2.4. AP who evaluates teacher 2.5. AP who evaluates teacher 2.6. AP who evaluates teacher 2.7. AP who evaluates teacher 2.8. AP who evaluates teacher 2.9. AP who evaluates teacher 2.1. AP who evaluates teacher 2.2. AP who evaluates teacher 2.3. AP who evaluates teacher 2.4. AP who evaluates teacher 2.5. AP who evaluates teacher 2.5. AP who evaluates teacher 2.6. AP who evaluates teacher 2.7. AP who evaluates teacher 2.8. AP who evaluates teacher 2.9. AP who evaluates teacher 2.9. AP who evaluates teacher 2.1. AP who evaluates teacher 2.2. AP who evaluates teacher 2.3. AP who evaluates teacher 2.4. AP who evaluates teacher 2.5. AP who evaluates teacher 2.6. AP who evaluates teacher 2.7. AP who evaluates teacher 2.8. AP who evaluates teacher 2.9. AP who evaluates teacher 2.1. AP who evaluates teacher 2.2. AP who evaluates teacher 2.3. AP who evaluates teacher 2.4. AP who evaluates teacher 2.5. AP who evaluates teacher 2.6. AP who evaluates teacher 2.7. AP who evaluates teacher 2.8. AP who evaluates teacher 2.9. AP who evaluates teacher					Person or Position Responsible for	*Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 1.3. Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	
Insufficient standard based instruction Insufficient standard Instructional Strategies Insufficient in Reading : Insufficient standard Instructional Strategies Insufficient in Reading : Insufficient standard Instructional Strategies Insufficient in Reading : Insufficient standard Instructional Strategies Insufficient in Reading : Insufficient in Reading : Insufficient standard Instructional Strategies Insufficient standard Insufficient standard Instructional Strategies Insufficient standard Instructional Strategies Insufficient standard Instructional Strategies Insufficient standard Instructional Strategies Insufficient standard Instructional Strategies					Monitoring	Strategy	
		2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:	Insufficient standard	Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	AP who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and	2.2. Walkthrough
2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Students scoring proficient in Writing. CELLA Goal #3: Improve current level of performance 28% 18	based instruction	3.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	3.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal/essential question *Tocuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
	2.2.2.3	2.2. 2.3	2.2. 2.3		2.2.2.3

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activit	ies/materials and exclude district funded	activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Meet needs of diverse learners	Dictionaries and selection of books	PTSA	\$200	
				Subtotal:\$150
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Increase student familiarity with different technology	Computers, Language Lab	n/a school has these items on hand		
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Horizontal articulation for assessment planning, lesson plan review,	PLC meetings	None needed		
differentiated instruction, and addressing student concerns.				
student concerns.				
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Increase students test taking strategies	Classroom projects/presentations	None needed		
				Subtotal:
				Total: \$150.00

End of CELLA Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Middle School	Mathema	tics Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
reference to "Guiding	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Improve current level of	dents scoring at 1 3 in mathematics. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			AP who evaluates teacher	*Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans		
				Implement High Yield	teacher	or rubric throughout the lesson 1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.	1a.2. Walkthrough	

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

#1b:	5, and 6 in ma 2012 Current Level of	t: Students	Insufficient standard based instruction 1b.2. Insufficient	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor 1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher 1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks 1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback;	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results 1b.2. Walkthrough
			1b.2.	Ib.2.			1b.2.

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	lb.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	Questions", identif	y and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a.FCAT 2.0:Student AchievementLevels 4 Mathematics Goal #2a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 37% 500		Lack of differentiation of	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b1. Walkthrough
			2a.2. 2a.3	2a.2. 2a.3	2a.2. 2a.3	2a.2. 2a.3	2a.2. 2a.3
				Za.3	£4.3	<u></u>	<u>za.</u> 3
2b. Florida Alternate scoring at or above L		hematics		2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform		2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess	2b1. Walkthrough

${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

Mathematics Goal #2b: Improve current level of performance	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase level 7 by 5%		differentiation in instruction		students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b.2.
			2b.3 2	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions", identi	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percet Learning Gains in many Mathematics Goal #3a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of students will make learning gains	3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction		Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 3a.2.	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.
#3b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 53% (8)	ns in	3b.1. Lack of student engagement	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	Questions", identi	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4a.FCAT 2.0:Percent Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of performance	tage of studer learning gain 2012 Current Level of	nts in	4a.1. Lack of student engagement	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction		4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
				4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is	and intervention teachers communicating and planning;

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

				aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	
	4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percof students in Lowest 25% making learn gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4b: Improve current level of performance Symmetry (2013 Exercise Level of Performance:* Description of Performance Symmetry (2000) Studer make learning gains	Lack of student engagement pected f mance:* of of this will	4b.1. Differentiate Instruction	4b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

	Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	SBLT	*SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	and intervent communicatir planning; Lesson Plans Walkthroughs	ion teachers ng and &
	4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Mathematics Goal #5A: Improve current level of performance	63	73	76	79	81	84

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q	Questions", iden	tify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool
areas in need of improven	nent for the follo	owing subgroup:				Strategy	
5B. Student subgroup Black, Hispanic, Asian making satisfactory of Mathematics Goal #5B: Improve current level of performance	n, American progress in 1 2012 Current Level of Performance:* White: 71% 581 Black: 6% 46 Hispanic:	Indian) not mathematics. 2013Expected Level of	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
							5B.2.
	5B.3.		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.		5B.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improven	Questions", iden	tify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Languag making satisfactory j				5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. AP who evaluates	5c.1. Content materials are	5c.1. Lesson Plans &

Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013Expected	of instruction		teacher	differentiated by student	Walkthrough
#5C:	Level of	Level of				interests, cultural background,	-
<u></u>	Performance:*	Performance:*				prior knowledge of content, and	
Improve current level of	41% (65)	100% of				skill level	
performance		ELL				*Content materials are	
		students to				appropriately scaffolded to	
		make a				meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness	
		learning gain				and specific learning needs)	
		icarining gain				*Models, examples and	
		T				questions are appropriately	
		Increase				scaffolded to meet the needs of	
		proficiency				diverse learners *Teachers	
		of ELL				provide small group instruction	
		students by				to target specific learning	
		10%				needs.	
						*These small groups are	
						flexible and change with the content, project and	
						assessments	
						*Students are provided	
						opportunities to demonstrate or	
						express knowledge and	
						understanding in different	
						ways, which includes varying	
			50.0		T.O.O.	degrees of difficulty.	50.0
					5C.2.		5C.2.
D 1 1 1 1 6	. 1 . 1:				5C.3.		5C.3.
Based on the analysis of s reference to "Guiding Qu			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
areas in need of improvement					Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of	
_			F.J. 4	FJ 4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Strategy	F 4
5D. Students with Dis		. — /		5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. AP who evaluates		5d.1. Lesson Plans &
making satisfactory p		iathematics.	of instruction	Differentiate fristruction	teacher		Walkthrough
		2013 Expected	or mad action		tedener	interests, cultural background,	Walkernough
#5D:	Level of Performance:*	<u>Level of</u> Performance:*				prior knowledge of content, and	
						skill level	
	22% (33)	100% of				*Content materials are	
performance		SWD				appropriately scaffolded to	
		students to				meet the needs of diverse	
		make a				learners (learning readiness	
		learning gain				and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and	
						questions are appropriately	
		Increase				scaffolded to meet the needs of	
		proficiency				diverse learners *Teachers	
		of SWD				provide small group instruction	
		ot SWD				provide siriali group ilistruction	

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

					1	,	-
		students by				to target specific learning	
	1	10%				needs.	
						*These small groups are	
						flexible and change with the	
						content, project and	
						assessments	
						*Students are provided	
						opportunities to demonstrate or	
						express knowledge and	
						understanding in different	
						ways, which includes varying	
						degrees of difficulty.	
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.		5D.2.
			55.2.	55.2.	DD.2.	55.2.	30.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
			DD.3.	50.5.	DD.3.	DD.3.	SD.S.
D 1 1 1 1 6	. 1 . 1.	. 1 1	A street ID	G: ·	D D 12 D 21	D W 1: D:	F 1 F 1
Based on the analysis of			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
reference to "Guiding Qui					for Monitoring	Effectiveness of	
areas in need of improvem	ent for the follow	ing subgroup:				Strategy	
5E. Economically Dis	advantaged s	tudents not	5e.1.	5e.1.	5e.1.	5e.1.	5e.1.
making satisfactory p			Lack of differentiation	Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates	Content materials are	Lesson Plans &
		2013Expected	of instruction		teacher	differentiated by student	Walkthrough
Mathematics Goal	Level of					interests, cultural background,	•
#5E:		Level of				prior knowledge of content, and	
	Performance:*	Performance:*				skill level	
Improve current level of	47% (291)	100% of	1			*Content materials are	
performance	` /						
periormanee		Economical				appropriately scaffolded to	
		ly				meet the needs of diverse	
		Disadvanta				learners (learning readiness	
						and specific learning needs)	
		ged				*Models, examples and	
		students to				questions are appropriately	
		make a				scaffolded to meet the needs of	
		learning				diverse learners *Teachers	
		_				provide small group instruction	
1					•	provide Siliali group ilistruction	
		gain					
		gain				to target specific learning	
						to target specific learning needs.	
		Increase				to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are	
		Increase proficiency				to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the	
		Increase				to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and	
		Increase proficiency of				to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the	
		Increase proficiency				to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments	
		Increase proficiency of Economical ly				to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided	
		Increase proficiency of				to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments	

st	ed tudents by 0%				understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2.
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3		5E.3

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebi	ra EOC Goal	s	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Process Used to Determine Evaluation Tool						
"Guiding Questions", identify	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvemen for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at A	Achievement Le	vel 3 in Algebra.				1a.1. Determine Lesson:	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson		
Algebra Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 27% 55	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease level 1 and 2	based instruction	purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	teacher	*Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	Plans		
		•	Insufficient standard	Implement High Yield	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and	1a.2. Walkthrough		

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

				expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
	Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	teacher	Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
and 5 in Algebra.	Lack of	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	AP who evaluates teacher		2b1. Walkthrough

C	500/	.	1	T	1	In the second second		1
performance		Increase level 4				during instruction		
	141	and 5 by 5%				*Teachers facilitate		
						effective classroom		
						activities and tasks that		
						elicit evidence of learning		
						*Teachers collect both		
						formal and informal data		
						regarding students'		
						learning and provide feedback regularly to		
						students regarding their		
						personal progress		
						throughout the lesson		
						cycle		
						*Teachers utilize data to		
						modify and adjust teaching		
						practices and to reflect on	Ί	
						the needs and progress of		
						students aligned to FAA		
						access points		
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
D 1 4 122 1 4 12	11 4 1 1 1	11 01' '	2011 2012	2012 2012	2012 2014	2014 2015	2017 2016	2017 2015
Based on Ambitious but Achie (AMOs),Reading and Math Perfo	rmance Target		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. Ambitious but	Baseline data	2010-2011	96%	96%	97%	98%	99%	100%
Achievable Annual		0.00						
Measurable Objectives		<u>96%</u>						
(AMOs). In six year								
school will reduce their								
achievement gap by 50%.								
Algebra Goal #3A:								
Aigebra Goar #3A.								
Improve current level of performan	ce							
Based on the analysis of studer	nt achievement dat	a, and reference to	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation	on Tool
"Guiding Questions", identify an	nt achievement dat ad define areas in n owing subgroup:	a, and reference to need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Evaluation	on Tool

			5b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
						varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	3B.2. 3B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
ingesia esan mee.		-	5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

	pending	100% of ELL students to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of ELL students by 10%				skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes	
						varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5C.2.		5C.2.	5C.2.	3C.2.
Based on the analysis of student ac "Guiding Questions", identify and de		nd reference to	5C.3. Anticipated Barrier	5C.3. Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	5C.3. Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	3C.3. Evaluation Tool
for the following		1				Strategy	
3D. Students with Disabilities satisfactory progress in Algeb		-	Lack of differentiation of		AP who evaluates teacher	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Algebra Goal #3D:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of	instruction			interests, cultural background, prior	
Improve current level of performance	Performance:* pending	Performance:* 100% of all SWD students to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of				knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are	

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

		SWD students by 10%	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	meet the n learners *T small group target spec needs. *These sm flexible and the content assessmen *Students opportuniti demonstratknowledge	are provided es to te or express and ling in different th includes	3D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	I n	3D.3.
Based on the analysis of student ac "Guiding Questions", identify and de for the following	efine areas in need ng subgroup:	of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Respo Monitoring	onsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3E. Economically Disadvanta; satisfactory progress in Algebalgebalgebalgebalgebalgebalgebalgeba			5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. AP who evaluates teach	ner	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

Economically				learners	
Disadvantage				(learning	
Disadvantage				readiness and	
d students by				specific	
10%				learning needs)	
				*Models,	
				examples and	
				questions are	
				appropriately	
				scaffolded to	
				meet the needs	
				of diverse	
				or diverse	
				learners *Teachers	
				provide small	
				group	
				instruction to	
				target specific	
				learning needs.	
				*These small	
				groups are	
				flexible and	
				change with	
				the content,	
				project and	
				assessments	
				*Students are	
				provided	
				opportunities	
				to demonstrate	
				or express	
				knowledge and	
				understanding	
				in different	
				ways, which	
				includes	
				varying	
				degrees of	
				difficulty.	
	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	3E.2.
	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3		3E.3
		<u> </u>			

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometr	ry EOC Goa	ls	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
"Guiding Questions", identify a	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Ad Geometry. Geometry Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 0%	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease level 1 and 2 students	Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson 1a.2.			
			Insufficient standard		AP who evaluates teacher		Walkthrough		

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

			•	T	
				*Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
	based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry. Geometry Goal #2: Improve current level of 2012 Current 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher		2b1. Walkthrough

	1000/ (55)	h	ı	I		Manager to a process of the second	T	1
performance.	100% (66)	Increase level 4				during instruction		
		and 5 by 5%				*Teachers facilitate		
						effective classroom		
						activities and tasks that		
						elicit evidence of learning		
						*Teachers collect both		
						formal and informal data		
						regarding students'		
						learning and provide		
						feedback regularly to		
						students regarding their		
						personal progress		
						throughout the lesson		
						cycle *Teachers utilize data to		
						modify and adjust		
						teaching practices and to		
						reflect on the needs and		
						progress of students		
						aligned to FAA access		
						points		
		•	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achie	L evable Annual M	leasurable Objectives	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
(AMOs), Reading and Math Perfo	ormance Target							
3A. Ambitious but	Baseline data	a 2010-2011	To be complete in	To be complete in the	To be complete in the	To be complete in the pre-	To be	To be
Achievable Annual			the pre-populated	pre-populated version	pre-populated version	populated version	complete in	complete
Measurable Objectives			version				the pre-	in the pre-
(AMOs). In six year								populated
school will reduce their								version
achievement gap by 50%.								
Geometry Goal #3A:							1	
Scomery Gournsin.								
Improve current level of performan	ce							

Based on the analysis of student ac "Guiding Questions", identify and de for the followir	fine areas in need of improvement		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Pending: White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Increase proficiency all student subgroups 10% Increase proficiency all student subgroups 10%	Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction in	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
						3B.2.
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	3B.3.
Based on the analysis of student act "Guiding Questions", identify and de for the following	fine areas in need of improvement subgroup:	nt	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learne satisfactory progress in Geom	` /	5c.1. Lack of differentiation of	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

Geometry Goal #3C: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Pending	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of ELL students to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of of ELL students by 10%	instruction			interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to	
			50.2	50.2		opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	20.2
				5C.2.		5C.2.	3C.2. 3C.3.
"Guiding Questions", identify and de-	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	5C.3. Strategy	5C.3. Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	5C.3. Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.		Lack of differentiation of	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates	Content materials are differentiated by student	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Geometry Goal #3D: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Pending	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of SWD students to make a learning gain	instruction			interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific	

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

	Increase proficiency o SWD student by 10%		5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2.	learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 5D.2.	3D.2. 3D.3.
Based on the analysis of student act "Guiding Questions", identify and de	fine areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Evaluation Tool
for the followin 3E. Economically Disadvantas satisfactory progress in Geom Geometry Goal #3E: Improve current level of performance	ged students not making		5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. AP who evaluates teacher		5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

Increase proficiency of Economically Disadvantage d students by 10%				appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5E.2		3E.2.
	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3		3E.3

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Profes	sional Develo	opment (PD)			earning Community (PLC) or	PD Activities					
	Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader		Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					
Horizontal Articulation for lesson planning, assessment review, differentiated instruction, and assesses student concerns	Each grade level	Member of each grade level serves as a facilitator of this PLC	Mathematics teachers	Monthly Third Wednesday	PLC minutes are reviewed and comments written by an administrator	Administrative Team					
Vertical articulation for continuum and student preparation.	Grade 5-9	Member of each grade level	Mathematics teachers	Professional Education Day	Share ideas with Administrator, Guidance Counselor, Grade level teacher	Dept. Head Administrator					

$\underline{Mathematics\ Budget}\ (\text{Insert\ rows\ as\ needed})$

Include only school-based funded activities	es/materials and exclude district funded	activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Sequenced, prescriptive instruction	Voyager Math	District		
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Improved Delivery of Instruction	SMART clickers	Grant money	\$500	
Improved Delivery of Instruction	iPad Lab	Grant money	\$21,000	
				Subtotal: \$21,500
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Update on learning strategies, common core state standards, EOC updates, technology, current trends	FCTM convention	Grant money	\$4,000	
				Subtotal: \$4,000
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Nourishment during FCAT testing	FCAT snacks	PTSA	\$500	
Encouraged student growth of math knowledge and competition	Math Counts	Grant money	\$250	
	·			Subtotal:
				Total: \$26,250

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and M	Elementary and Middle Science Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
"Guiding Questions", ident	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Ia.FCAT 2.0:Students sco in science. Science Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 38.6% 177		Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal/essential question *Tocludes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans		
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher		1a.2. Walkthrough		

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

					1	learning occurs by	
						connecting instructional	
						objectives and goals to	
						students' background	
						knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.	
						*Explicit Instruction;	
						Modeled Instruction; Guided	
						Practice with Teacher	
						Support and Feedback;	
						Guided Practice with Peer	
						Support and Feedback; and	
						Independent Practice occur	
			1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.		1a.3.
				Increase instructional	AP who evaluates		Walkthrough
			based instruction	rigor	teacher	Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the	leacher Appraisal Results
						cognitive complexity levels	
						of standards and	
						benchmarks	
						The cognitive complexity of	
						models, examples,	
						questions, tasks, and	
						assessments are appropriate	
						given the cognitive complexity level of grade-	
						level standards and	
						benchmarks	
						Students are provided with	
						appropriate scaffolding and	
						supports to access higher	
	4 64 7		11. 1	1b.1.	15.4	order questions and tasks 1b.1.	45.4
1b.Florida Alternate Asses				Set and communicate a	1b.1. AP who evaluates		1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson
Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.	•			purpose for learning and	teacher		Plans
G : G 1 #11	2012 G	2012F . 1		learning goals in each		standard or benchmark and	
Science Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level of	2013Expected Level of		lesson		to the district/school pacing	
Improve current level of		Performance:*				guide	
performance						*Begins with a discussion of	
		Decrease the				desired outcomes and learning goals	
		number of				*Includes a learning	
		level 1,2, and				goal/essential question	
		3 from to				*Includes teacher	
						explanation of how the class	
						activities relate to the	
						learning goal and to	

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

					answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson		
			16.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.		1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student "Guiding Questions", identi improvement for t	ify and define areas	s in need of o:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a. FCAT 2.0:Students sco Achievement Levels 4 and	5 in science.		Lack of differentiation of instruction	Provide formative assessments to inform		Determine: *Teachers regularly assess	
Science Goal #2a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 20.3% 93	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase the level 4 and 5 students 5%		differentiation in instruction		students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle	

			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
or above Level 7 in science.		2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher		2b1. Walkthrough	
Improve current level of	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase the level 7 by 5%		instruction		learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Science Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity									
	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				
differentiated	Each grade	Members of each grade level		Monthly-third Wednesday of the month	PLC minutes will be reviewed by Science Department Chair by following Friday and then submitted to and read in the administrative team meeting the following week.	Science Department Chair and the Administrative team				
Collaborative planning with other middle schools	All	Members of each grade level	Science Teachers	Unce per semester	Share minutes with administrative team for review	Science Department Chair and Administrative team				

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded acti	vities/materials and exclude district funded	d activities/materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Integrate Science and Reading in the classroom.	Science World magazine	Flex funds	\$400.00
Enrich Science curriculum.	Online newspaper in Education	None	
			Subtotal:\$40
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Enhance Science Curriculum.	Sarasota Science Partnership	None	\$0.00
	Smart Board Clickers (set/grade)	TBD	\$2,400.00
	Thermometers (set/grade)	TBD	\$45.00
	Balances (set of 20)	TBD	\$800.00
	,	,	Subtotal: \$3245.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Horizontal articulation for assessment	PLC meetings	None needed	\$0.00	
planning, lesson plan review,				
differentiated instruction, and addressing				
student concerns.				
		·	·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
		·		Total: \$3645.00

End of Science Goals

81%

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

W	riting Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	student achievement d ", identify and define a ent for the following g	areas in need of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1a. FCAT: Students	1a. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expect 2013 Expect 2014 Evel of 2015 Evel		1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	Monitoring 1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question		
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	*Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson 1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and	1a.2. Walkthrough	

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

				expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
	1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher		1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
at 4 or higher in writing.	1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.1. Determine Lesson:	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

				activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

- * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).
- * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Civics	EOC Goals		l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
"Guiding Questions", identify an	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Ach	nievement Lev	vel 3 in Civics.	1a.1. Insufficient standard	1a.1. Set and communicate a	1a.1. AP who evaluates	1a.1. Determine Lesson:	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson		
Civics Goal #1: Establish baseline level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* pending	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Improved from baseline	based instruction		teacher	*Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout	Plans		
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction		1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	the lesson 1a.2.	1a.2. Walkthrough		

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

<u> </u>					
				expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
	based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
and 5 in Civics.	Insufficient standard based instruction	2a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	2a.1. AP who evaluates teacher		2a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans

performance	Improved from baseline				of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
		2.2.	2.2.			2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

Civics Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity									
			Please note that each Strategy does no	ot require a professional developme	ent or PLC activity.					
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC school-wide) PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or PLC leader) Ferson or Position Responsible for Monitoring Monitoring										
I-Civics training	7/Civics	Martanovic	7 th grade Social Studies	September 2012	Teacher Feedback	Department Head				
Model UN	Model UN 7/Civics Miller 7 th			October 2012	Evaluation of UN	Department Head				
Civics Projects	Model UN 7/Civics Miller 7 th grade Social Studies October 2012 Evaluation of UN Department Head Civics Projects 7/Civics Miller/ Martanovic 7 th grade Social Studies Monthly Discuss at monthly meetings Department Head									

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
To build upon students' background	Various books/novels	Referendum Funds	\$200.00
knowledge to improve student learning			
			Subtotal:\$200.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
To build upon students' background	Mobile lab	None needed	
knowledge to improve student learning			
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Collaborative planning with other middle	Meeting place/time with other middle	None needed	
schools	school (professional development day)		
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:\$200.00

End of Civics Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Atte	ndance Goal(s)	, ,		ing Process to In	crease Attendance	
	Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance			Lack of student	1.1. Positive behavior supports	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine:	1.1. Decrease in
Improve current level of performance	Attendance Rate:* 94%	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:* Greater than prior year 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 10% decrease from prior year 2013Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) 10% decrease		are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping	Suspension Number of Students
		from prior year	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	

Creating Positive Relationships	All	Various	IATI grade levels and silbiects	of each month	All PLC meeting minutes read and addressed in administrative meetings	Administration Team
Horizontal and vertical articulation		Various	All grade levels and subjects	of each month	All PLC meeting minutes read and addressed in administrative meetings	Administration Team

Attendance Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activit	ies/materials and exclude district funded acti	vities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Positive Student Recognition	Achievement Certificates	Flex Funds	\$150.00
Perfect Attendance Recognition	Ice Cream Party	PTSA	\$200.00
			Subtotal: \$350.00
			Total: \$350.00

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension					
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Suspension Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	In —School Suspensions 409 2012Total Number of Students Suspended In-School 159 2012Number of Out- of-School Suspensions 381 2012Total Number of Students Suspended Out- of- School	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions 10% decrease from prior year 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School 10% decrease from prior year 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions 10% decrease from prior year 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions 10% decrease from prior year 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School 10% decrease from prior year	1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule	
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Effective Mentoring Strategies	6-8	Social Worker	School-wide	Early release days	Teacher Surveys	RtI behavior facilitator
Behavior Tracking Forms	6-8	Admin. team	ISCHOOL-WIGE	Pre-school, grade level meetings	Follow up at grade level meetings	Admin. team

Suspension Budget(Insert rows as needed)

	3 43 1100404)			
Include only school-based funded acti	vities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Portal Reports	Computer	none		
			·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Effective Mentoring Training	Book	None		
Review "Behavior Tracking" Forms	Electronic Forms	None		
			·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
•		·		Subtotal:
				Total:\$0.00

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Fool(s) Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
D . C 1 1/1	Students lack skills to plan for future	1.1. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1.1. Principal	1.1.	1.1. Walkthrough and teacher appraisal
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Profe	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					

Dropout Prevention Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section.

Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement			rent Involvement	
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
"Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of			Responsible for	Effectiveness of	
improvement:			Monitoring	Strategy	

*Please refer to the percenta participated in school activity unduplicated. Improve current level of performance	Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. Improve current level of performance Portal logins by parents Parent Involvement: 2012 Current level of Parent Involvement: SAC-7 In the areas of SAC, PTSA and SAC, PTSA and		Lack of frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and	Provide frequent home- school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational	SBLT	1.1.	1.1.
	approved Portal Logins- 674 (43.26%)	parent volunteers we hope to increase participation by at least 10%					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PD Facilitator (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Participants (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring										

Parent Involvement Budget

Turche in volvement budget								
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.								
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)	Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)							
Strategy	Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount							

			·	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Develop a parent email communication list	Computer & Tech coordinator	none		
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Volunteer Program	Training & Resources	PTSA	\$200.00	
				Subtotal: \$200.00
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Mentor Appreciation	Mentoring Breakfast	PTSA	\$125.00	
Great American Teach In	Supplies	PTSA	\$125.00	
				Subtotal: \$250.00
				Total: \$450.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

when using percentages, metade the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (33)).						
STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

STEM Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Begin looking into STEM programs to implement within the school beginning the 2012-2013 school year.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

	51Livi 1 tolessional Development									
	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic PD Participants Target Dates and Schedules						Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				
L										

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.						
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			

			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				t
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

CTE Goal #1:	1.1. Students do not have the	1.1 Provide instruction regarding life	1.1. 8 th grade teachers		1.1. Unit assessments
Support high student achievement through career preparation and		skills	grade teachers	Finance Park in 8 th grade	om ussessments
lifelong learning.	entry level career.				
	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
	1.2. Students do not have career	1.2. Provide exposure to different	1.2. 6 th , 7 th and 8 th grade	1.2. Career evaluation questionnaires	1.2. Questionnaire
	visions and goal.		teachers, Guidance counselors	Facts.org	
			counsciors		
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		1.3.
	Students do not have career visions and goal.		6 th , 7 th and 8 th grade teachers	Great American Teach-In	# of Guest Speakers
	visions and goal.	••			

CTE Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)					Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.						
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			

			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal I Wellness (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Wellness	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.

Additional Goal #1:	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
	A Data (Options): Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	Options Set A: Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	School Team.	Program 6 Step Process online		A: Completion of 6 th Step of the Healthy School Program online (Celebrate Successes)	A: Healthy School Inventory (Evaluate Your School) online
	Meeting Silver Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Gold Level on Healthy Schools Inventory B Data: Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgr am Data by school will be inserted here.	Inventory Meeting Gold Level on Healthy Schools Inventory B Data: Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgr am	upload Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram data	B: Complete Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments and upload data	B: physical education teachers	Compare Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments results	B: Being Fit Matters Statistical Report (Portal)
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6-8 PE and Health Teachers		·		Submit meeting minutes for review to the administrative team	Department Chair, Administrative team			

Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activiti	es/materials and exclude district funded ac	tivities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Students provide input on the implementation of wellness activities	Create wellness club for students	TBD	
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
School staff have opportunities to participate in physical activities or healthy eating programs	Nurse, materials for physical activities	None needed	
School annually evaluates the employee wellness program	Wellness evaluation tool	None needed	

				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		•	Subtotal:
				Total:\$0.00

Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school d areas in need of imp		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
There will be an increase in black student achievement Reac made 2012 Math	2 Current Level:* Adding 5% de gains in 2 th 6 % de gains in learning gains gains in learning gains gains in learning gains g		1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	

				knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PD Facilitator school-wide) PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsite Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Monitoring										
Horizontal articulation for lesson planning, assessment review, differentiated instruction, and addressing student concerns.	6-8,all subjects	Dept. Chair	Department meetings	IL INCE 9 MONTH	Dept. chair to turn in meeting minutes to administrative team	Dept. Chair and administrative team				

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activ	<u> </u>	ded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
To build upon students' background knowledge to improve student learning	Novels, classroom libraries	TBD-Referendum money	\$200.00	
T. 1 1.				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				_
				Subtotal:

Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Meet the needs of diverse learners	Provide teachers with training	School provided	\$0.00	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total: \$200.00

Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Addition	al Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
•	nool data, identify and define f improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black	2012 Current Level:* 2013 Expected Level:* 56% (70) Decrease the percent of Black students receiving referrals, and Receiving in school and out of school suspensions		1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established	Suspension Number of Students	
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

ſ		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development

	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1									
Profe	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity									
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional development	nt or PLC activity.					
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC subject (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Participants (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring										
Effective Mentoring Strategies	6-8	Social Worker	School-wide	Early release days	Teacher Surveys	RtI behavior facilitator				
Behavior Tracking Forms	6-8	Admin. team	School-wide	Pre-school, grade level meetings	Follow up at grade level meetings	Admin. team				

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Tuditional Moc Goal(s) Dud	iget (misert rows as needed)			
Include only school-based funded activ	vities/materials and exclude district funded	activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Student involvement in school based activities	5000 Role Models, Girlfriends Club	School/District	TBD	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Portal Reports	Computer			
iPad Lab reward	iPad Lab			
	'		<u>, </u>	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Effective Mentoring Training	Book	None		
Review "Behavior Tracking" Forms	Electronic Forms	None		
			<u> </u>	Subtotal:
Other				
Review "Behavior Tracking" Forms				

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Successful Seahawk Breakfast	Supplies and Food	PTSA	\$550.00
Honor Roll and Principal's list parties	20% of total for party for black and econ disadv.	PTSA	\$800.00
Excellent Conduct Party	Supplies and Food	PTSA	\$400.00
			Subtotal:\$1750.00
			Total:\$1750.00

Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Addition	al Goal(s)			Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievemen	t
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black	graduation ra	ate	1.1. Lack of Student	1.1. Positive behavior	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine:	1.1. Increase in black
Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black student graduation rate	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*	Engagement	supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Databased monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	graduation rate

1	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
1	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring										

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activit	ies/materials and exclude district funded activ	vities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	Additional Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
There will be an increase percent of black students enrolled in rigorous advanced coursework There will be an increase in performance of black students in rigorous advanced coursework	2012 Current Level :* Algebra-26%	2013 Expected Level :*	1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	content, and skill level	Professional Development includes equity and cultural responsiveness
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.					
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
differentiated	All grade levels/subject areas	Department Chair	Department meetings	Wednesday-once a month	Report Department minutes to Administration who will review during the team meeting	Department Chair, Administrative Team

$Additional\ MOU\ Goal(s)\ Budget\ ({\tt Insert\ rows\ as\ needed})$

Include only school-based funded activit	ies/materials and exclude district funded act	tivities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
To build upon students' background knowledge to improve student learning	Set of Sunshine State books for each LA and Reading teacher	PTSA	\$2,000
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
To accelerate the development of reading skills.	Kindle Lab	Grant Money	\$1,600
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

To educate teachers in use of complex	Pre-school trainings	Department Chairs provided training		
and multimedia text.				
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		•	•	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:\$4,100.00
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:\$26.250.00
Science Budget	
	Total:\$3,645.00
Writing Budget	
	Total:\$0.00
Attendance Budget	
	Total:\$350.00
Suspension Budget	
	Total: \$0.00
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:\$0.00

Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:\$450.00
Additional Goals	
	Total:\$1,750.00
	Grand Total:\$36,545.00

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:\$4,100.00
CELLA Budget	
	Total: \$150.00
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:\$26,250.00
Science Budget	
	Total:\$3,645.00
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:\$200.00
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
The Chamber Dauget	Total:\$350.00
Suspension Budget	1 σται. φουσίου
Suspension Budget	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	Total
Dropout Frevention Budget	Total:
D (I I (D I (Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	T . 1 44T0 00
	Total: \$450.00
STEM Budget	
	Totals
CTE Budget	
	Total
Additional Goals	
	Total:\$1,750.00

Grand Total:\$36,895.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status				
Priority	Focus	⊠Prevent		

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

⊠ Yes □ No
If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.
Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.

No SAC funds available.

Amount

The role of the SHMS SAC is to approve the SIP, work towards cultivating a strong tie between the school administration, teachers, students, parents and the community.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP	2012-2013	013 School In	nprovement Plan	(SIP)-For	rm SIP-
--	-----------	---------------	-----------------	-----------	---------