# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



# DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

#### 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

#### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### **School Information**

School Name: Roberts Elementary	District Name: Leon
Principal: Kim McFarland	Superintendent: Jackie Pons
SAC Chair: Erma Sever	Date of School Board Approval:

#### **Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **Administrators**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Kim McFarland	Ed. Leadership Certified Elementary/Early Childhood National Board Certified	5	11	Roberts Elementary 2011-12 Grade A – Not AYP 2010-11 Grade B – Not AYP 2009-10 Grade A – Not AYP 2008-09 Grade A – Not AYP Swift Creek Middle 2007-08 Grade A – Not AYP 2006-07 Grade A – Not AYP Oak Ridge Elementary 2005-06 Grade C – Not AYP
Assistant Principal	Carmen Conner	Elementary Education (BS) Elementary Education (Med) Ed. Leadership Certified National Board Certified	2	2	Roberts Elementary 2011-12 Grade A – Not AYP

#### **Instructional Coaches**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading Coach	Lisa Crowe	Elementary Ed (BS) Curriculum/Instruction: Reading (Masters)	1	1	Gilchrist Elementary 2011-12 Grade A – AYP 2010-12 Grade A – AYP 2009-10 Grade A – AYP 2008-09 Grade A – AYP  2011-12 Reading High Standards – 82% Learning Gains – 74% Lowest 25% –- 60%

#### **Effective and Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Des	scription of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	
1.	Involve current employees in the interview process for hiring employees	Principal	On-going	
2.	Schedule support groups for new teachers	Assistant Principal	On-going	
3.	Allow teacher autonomy	Administration	On-going	
4.	Maintain communication through faculty and team meetings	Administration	On-going	
5.	Provide choices for start and end times for teacher work day	Principal	August 2012	

#### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). \*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
N/A	

#### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
61	3 (2)	15 (9)	36 (22)	46 (28)	34 (21)	100(61)	10 (6)	18 (11)	18 (11)

#### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Kathy Douglas	Brianna Hughes	Mentor is an experienced teacher	The mentor and mentee will meet biweekly in a professional learning community to discuss evidence-based strategies for each domain. The mentor will be given release time to observe the mentee. Time will be given for feedback coaching and planning.

Frances Homme	Katie Hinrichs	Mentor is an experienced teacher	The mentor and mentee will meet biweekly in a professional learning community to discuss evidence-based strategies for each domain. The mentor will be given release time to observe the mentee. Time will be given for feedback coaching and planning.

### **Additional Requirements**

#### Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

#### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

#### School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

**Principal**: Provides vision. Ensures that the school-based team is implementing MTSS. Ensures implementation of intervention support. Ensures adequate professional development is provided to support MTSS. Communicates with outside stakeholders regarding school-based MTSS.

**Select ESE Teachers** (Varying Exceptionalities, Speech and Language, Gifted): Provides information about intervention instruction. Participates in student data collection. Collaborates with general education teachers.

**Select General Education Teachers**: Provides information about core instruction. Participates in student data collection. Collaborates with other staff to ensure appropriate instruction and support.

School Psychologist: Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data. Facilitates implementation of intervention plans.

School Referral Coordinator: Conducts initial screening for students having academic difficulties. Schedules MTSS meetings. Gathers progress monitoring data.

**District Program Specialist**: Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data. Facilitates implementation of intervention plans. Provides professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The school MTSS Leadership Team focuses on developing and maintaining a problem-solving system to ensure optimal student achievement for all students. The team meets once a week. The review of data will facilitate identification of students who are not meeting/exceeding benchmarks or are at moderate or high risk for not achieving benchmarks. Based on evaluation of data and identification of student needs, the team will identify professional development and resources needed.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS Leadership Team works with other school personnel to help develop the SIP. The members provide data, help set expectations for instruction, and suggest strategies that will ensure attainment of instructional goals.

#### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data is obtained through the FAIR and AIMSweb assessment and previous test information. The data is made available through the use of the Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN). Progress monitoring is obtained through the administration of FAIR, benchmark assessments, and SM5. Midyear data is obtained through FAIR assessments, benchmark assessments, teacher constructed tests, and SM5. End of year data is obtained through FAIR, AIMSweb, SM5, and FCAT.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development will be provided during monthly Professional Learning Communities. In addition, training will be provided during common planning time and team meetings.

Describe the plan to support MTSS. Grade level teams will meet monthly with the MTSS team to review data and discuss any needed curriculum or instructional changes. All data will be housed in Think Central, Data Director and in AIMSweb so members of the team can access information easily. Changes in student placement or with curriculum will be made with the input of the team.

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

#### School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

- \* At least one teacher from each grade level
- \* At least one ESE teacher
- \* Media Specialist
- \* Reading Coach
- \* Principal

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The purpose of the LLT is to monitor student progress in reading, as well as to promote literacy and encourage a love of reading for students of all ages. The LLT meets monthly to review SM5 reports and other progress monitoring data and discuss interventions for students not making acceptable progress. Strategies are also discussed to ensure that students reading at a higher level remain challenged. LLT members share information discussed and decisions made with the other teachers on their grade level.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The TTL will analyze data and monitor the strategies for each reading objective in the School Improvement Plan. Members will also plan school activities and events to promote literacy.

#### **Public School Choice**

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

*Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.* 

# 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1 \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable. \*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student? \*High Schools Only Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S. How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future? How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful? Postsecondary Transition

1 osisecondary 1 ransmon

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

#### **Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Readi	ng Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	cess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
24% of all students will	O	1A.1. State mandates have put constraints on the amount of time for each subject area.	1A.1. Teams have worked collaboratively to devise efficient schedules to maximize instructional time.	1A.1. Classroom teachers	I.A.1. Monitor class schedules to determine if adjustments are needed to maximize instructional time	
		incorporate independent reading in		1A.2. Classroom teachers; Media Specialist		1A.2. Accelerated Reader reports; Number of students participating in semester AR celebration
		8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	1A.3. Instructional assistants and co-teachers are supporting the inclusion model in order to meet the needs of struggling students.	1A.3. Classroom teachers	I.A.3. Monitor progress of students in RTI meetings with grade levels. Make adjustments to classroom instruction through specific curriculum or instructional methods.	1A.3. Progress monitoring data and charts provided to RTI team
Reading Goal #1B:  The percentage of identified students proficient in reading will increase by at least 1% as	Assessment: Students and 6 in reading.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  0%  0%	1B.1. Curriculum is designed to meet the needs of regular pupil progression students.	1B.1. Explore alternate curriculum options to meet the needs of special pupil progression students.	1B.1. Classroom teachers	IB.1. Monitor progress of students in RTI meetings with grade levels. Make adjustments to classroom instruction through specific curriculum or instructional methods.	IB.1. Progress monitoring data and charts provided to RTI team
evidenced by performance on the FAA.		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
4 4 2012		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 in reading.  Reading Goal #2A:  59% of all students will meet Reading proficiency by scoring a 4 or higher on the 2013 FCAT.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  58% (248)  59 % (249)	2A.1. State mandates have put constraints on the amount of time for each subject area.	2A.1. Teams have worked collaboratively to devise efficient schedules to maximize instructional time.	2A.1. Classroom teachers	2A.1. Monitor class schedules to determine if adjustments are needed to maximize instructional time	2A.1. Class schedules
	2A.2. It is difficult to find time to incorporate independent reading in the day's schedule.  2A.3.	have developed a school-wide implementation plan for the new Accelerated Reader.	2A.2. Classroom teachers; Media Specialist 2A.3.	computer lab available before school for AR quiz taking; Regular review of Accelerated Reader reports by classroom teachers	2A.2. Accelerated Reader reports; Number of students participating in semester AR celebration
	El I.J.				21.3.
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.  Reading Goal #2B:  The percentage of identified students proficient in reading will increase by at least 1% as	2B.1. Curriculum is designed to meet the needs of regular pupil progression students.	2B.1. Explore alternate curriculum options to meet the needs of special pupil progression students.		students in RTI meetings with grade levels. Make adjustments to classroom instruction through specific curriculum or instructional methods.	2B.1. Progress monitoring data and charts provided to RTI team
evidenced by performance on the FAA.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
AA. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.  Reading Goal #3A:  72% of all students will make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Performance:*  71% (201)  72 % (202)	3A.1. State mandates have put constraints on the amount of time for each subject area.	3A.1. Teams have worked collaboratively to devise efficient schedules to maximize instructional time.		3A.1. Monitor class schedules to determine if adjustments are needed to maximize instructional time	3A.1. Class schedules
	3A.2. It is difficult to find time to incorporate independent reading in the day's schedule.	3A.2. Teachers and administrators have developed a school-wide implementation plan for the new Accelerated Reader.	Media Specialist	computer lab available before school for AR quiz taking;	3A.2. Accelerated Reader reports; Number of students participating in semester AR celebration
	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.  Reading Goal #3B:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

reference to "Guiding Q	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.  Reading Goal #4: 2012 Current 2013 Expected		4A.1. State mandates have put constraints on the amount of time for each subject area.	4A.1. Teams have worked collaboratively to devise efficient schedules to maximize instructional time.		4A.1. Monitor class schedules to determine if adjustments are needed to maximize instructional time	4A.1. Class schedules	
63% of all students in the	Level of Performance:* 62% (34)	Level of Performance:* 63% (35)					
			4A.2. It is difficult to find time to incorporate independent reading in the day's schedule.	4A.2. Teachers and administrators have developed a school-wide implementation plan for the new Accelerated Reader.	Media Specialist	computer lab available before school for AR quiz taking;	4A.2. Accelerated Reader reports; Number of students participating in semester AR celebration
			4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.

Objectives (AMOs), idea	Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline dat 2010-2011	a						
Reading Goal #5A:  Roberts Elementary will rereading by 8.3% based on		gap in						
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem	uestions," identify and d	efine	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
The students not making adequate progress in reading will be reduced by 8.3% based on the 2013 FCAT.	n, American Indian) rogress in reading 2012 Current Level of Performance:* White:10 (31) White:	not expected of nance:* 0(30) 45 (32) ic:25(4) ean	5B.1. State mandates have put constraints on the amount of time for each subject area.  White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	collaboratively to devise efficient schedules to maximize instructional time.	5B.1. Classroom teachers	5B.1. Monitor class schedules to determine if adjustments are needed to maximize instructional time		
			5B.2. Due to the class size mandate, intervention groups are larger and less fluid.	co-teachers are supporting the inclusion model in order to meet the needs of struggling students.		students in RTI meetings with grade levels. Make adjustments to classroom instruction through specific curriculum or instructional methods.	2B.2. Progress nand charts provid	
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
SC. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5C:  We do not have any ELL student data.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
	5C.2. 5C.3.		5C.2. 5C.3.		5C.2. 5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5D:  We will reduce the percentage of students not making satisfactory progress in reading by 1%  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Performance:*  57%(44)	5D.1. State mandates have put constraints on the amount of time for each subject area.	5D.1. Teams have worked collaboratively to devise efficient schedules to maximize instructional time.	5D.1. Classroom teachers	5D.1. Monitor class schedules to determine if adjustments are needed to maximize instructional time.	5D.1. Class schedules
on the 2013 FCAT.	5D.2. Due to the class size mandate, intervention groups are larger and less fluid.	5D.2. Instructional assistants and co-teachers are supporting the inclusion model in order to meet the needs of struggling students.	5D.2. Classroom teachers	5D.2. Monitor progress of students in RTI meetings with grade levels. Make adjustments to classroom instruction through specific curriculum or instructional methods.	5D.2. Progress monitoring data and charts provided to RTI team
	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.		5E.1. State mandates have put constraints on the amount of time for each subject area.	collaboratively to devise efficient schedules to maximize instructional	5E.1. Classroom teachers	5E.1. Monitor class schedules to determine if adjustments are needed to maximize instructional		
We will reduce the percentage of economically disadvantaged students	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 48% (37)		time.		time.	
not making adequate progress by 1% on the 2013 FCAT.			5E.1. Due to the class size mandate, intervention groups are larger and less fluid.	5E.1. Instructional assistants and co-teachers are supporting the inclusion model in order to meet the needs of struggling students.	5E.1. Classroom teachers		5E.1. Progress monitoring data and charts provided to RTI team
			5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

### **Reading Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities								
	Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	1 I and/or I (e.g. PIC' subject grade level Land Schedules (e.g. treguency of I Strategy for Follow-un/Monitoring I								
Setting Learning Goals and Monitoring Progress	PreK-5	Team Leaders	All grade levels; ESE; Special Areas	Monthly	Classroom Walkthroughs, Informal and Formal Observations	Administrators; Peers			
Re-teaching after Benchmark Assessment/Differentiating Instruction	PreK-5	Lead Teachers	All academic teachers	At least once each nine weeks	Lesson plans; Data Evaluation; Classroom Observations	Administrators; Reading Coach			
Read-Aloud Strategies	PreK-5	Reading Coach	All PreK-5 Reading teachers	Monthly	Lesson Plans; Classroom Observations	Administrators; Reading Coach			

### Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

0 0 \	,			
Include only school funded activities/ma	aterials and exclude district funded act	ivities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
1A.2. Teachers and administrators have developed a school-wide implementation plan for the new Accelerated Reader.	Accelerated Reader Program	District	\$0.00	
Instructional assistants and co-teachers are supporting the inclusion model in order to meet the needs of struggling students.	Reading Mastery materials Corrective Reading materials Imagine It materials	Instructional Materials	\$22,772.09	
		•	·	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			•	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Reading Goals

### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CEL	LA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition						
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring plistening/speaking.  CELLA Goal #1:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
		1.3.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.3.		
Students read grade-lev similar to r	vel text in English in a manner non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring p  CELLA Goal #2:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.		
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.		

Students write in English at grade level in a similar to non-ELL students.	manner Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2012 Current Percent Proficient in Writing  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Percent Proficient in Writing  Enter numerical data level of performance in	t of Students :: for current	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
	2.2.				2.2.

### **CELLA Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activit	ties/materials and exclude district funded act	ivities/materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of CELLA Goals

### **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary M	athematics Go	als	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
reference to "Guiding Ques	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
#1A:	in mathematics.  2012 Current Level of Level	mance:*	1A.1. State mandates have put constraints on the amount of time for each subject area.	1A.1. Teams have worked collaboratively to devise efficient schedules to maximize instructional time.	1A.1. Classroom teachers	1A.1. Monitor class schedules to determine if adjustments are needed to maximize instructional time	1A.1. Class schedules	
2013 FCAT.			1A.2. Due to the class size mandate, intervention groups are larger and less fluid.	co-teachers are supporting the inclusion model in order to meet the needs of struggling students.		1A.2. Monitor progress of students in RTI meetings with grade levels. Make adjustments to classroom instruction through specific curriculum or instructional methods.	1A.2. Progress monitoring data and charts provided to RTI team	
			1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
#1B:	and 6 in mathem  2012 Current Level of  2013 I	Expected of mance:*	1B.1. Curriculum is designed to meet the needs of regular pupil progression students.	1B.1. Explore alternate curriculum options to meet the needs of special pupil progression students.	1B.1. Classroom teachers	1B.1. Monitor progress of students in RTI meetings with grade levels. Make adjustments to classroom instruction through specific curriculum or instructional methods.	1B.1. Progress monitoring data and charts provided to RTI team	
increase by at least 1% as evidenced by performance on the FAA.			1B.2.	IB.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
			IB.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

reference to "Guiding Ques	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Achievement Levels 4 Mathematics Goal #2A:	and 5 in mathematics.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  54% (228)  55% (229)	2A.1. State mandates have put constraints on the amount of time for each subject area.	2A.1. Teams have worked collaboratively to devise efficient schedules to maximize instructional time.	2A.1. Classroom teachers	2A.1. Monitor class schedules to determine if adjustments are needed to maximize instructional time	2A.1. Class schedules
the 2013 FCAT.		2A.2. Due to the class size mandate, intervention groups are larger and less fluid.	co-teachers are supporting the inclusion model in order to meet the needs of struggling students.		students in RTI meetings with grade levels. Make adjustments to classroom instruction through specific curriculum or instructional methods.	2A.2. Progress monitoring data and charts provided to RTI team
scoring at or above Lower Mathematics Goal #2B:  The percentage of identified students	Assessment: Students evel 7 in mathematics.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  0% (0)  100% (3)	2B.1. Curriculum is designed to meet the needs of regular pupil progression students.	2B.1. Explore alternate curriculum options to meet the needs of special pupil progression students.	2A.3.  2B.1. Classroom teachers	2B.1. Monitor progress of	2A.3.  2B.1. Progress monitoring data and charts provided to RTI team
proficient in math will increase by at least 1% as evidenced by performance on the FAA.		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3A:  82% of all students will make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  81% (232)  82% (233)	3A.1. State mandates have put constraints on the amount of time for each subject area.	3A.1. Teams have worked collaboratively to devise efficient schedules to maximize instructional time.	3A.1. Classroom teachers	3A.1. Monitor class schedules to determine if adjustments are needed to maximize instructional time	3A.1. Class schedules
	3A.2. Due to the class size mandate, intervention groups are larger and less fluid.	co-teachers are supporting the inclusion model in order to meet the needs of struggling students.		students in RTI meetings with grade levels. Make adjustments to classroom instruction through specific curriculum or instructional methods.	3A.2. Progress monitoring data and charts provided to RTI team
	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3B:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	7		3B.2.		3B.2.
	)B.2.	DB.2.	ob.2.	DB.2.	ob.2.
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

reference to "Guiding Que	student achievement data and stions," identify and define areas ent for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
25% making learning  Mathematics Goal #4: 68% of all students in the	Level of Performance:*         Level of Performance:*           67% (50)         68% (51)	4A.1. State mandates have put constraints on the amount of time for each subject area.	4A.1. Teams have worked collaboratively to devise efficient schedules to maximize instructional time.	4A.1. Classroom teachers	4A.1. Monitor class schedules to determine if adjustments are needed to maximize instructional time	4A.1. Class schedules
		4A.2. Due to the class size mandate, intervention groups are larger and less fluid.  4A.3.	co-teachers are supporting the inclusion model in order to meet the needs of struggling students.	4A.2. Classroom teachers  4A.3.	students in RTI meetings with grade levels. Make adjustments to classroom instruction through specific curriculum or instructional methods.	4A.2. Progress monitoring data and charts provided to RTI team  4A.3.

Objectives (AMOs), ide	achievable Annual Measurable ntify reading and mathematics at for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Mathematics Goal #5A  In six years Roberts Element gap in math be	entary will reduce the						
reference to "Guiding Que	student achievement data and stions," identify and define areas t for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluatio	on Tool
Black, Hispanic, Asian making satisfactory pathematics Goal #5B:  The students not making adequate progress in math will be reduced by 8.3% based on the 2013 FCAT.	by ethnicity (White, n, American Indian) not brogress in mathematics.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  White:13% (39) White:12% (38) Black:51% (36) Black:50% (35) Hispanic:32% (5)  Asian: 0% Asian: 0% American Indian:	American Indian:	collaboratively to devise efficient schedules to maximize instructional time.	5B.1. Classroom teachers	5B.1. Monitor class schedules to determine if adjustments are needed to maximize instructional time		
		5B.2. Due to the class size mandate, intervention groups are larger and less fluid.	co-teachers are supporting the inclusion model in order to meet the needs of struggling students.		grade levels. Make adjustments to classroom instruction through specific curriculum or instructional methods.	5B.2. Progress mand charts provid	nonitoring data ed to RTI team
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5C:  We do not have any ELL student data.  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.			5C.1.		5C.1.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and	5C.2. 5C.3. Anticipated Barrier		5C.2.  Person or Position  Person or Position	5C.3.  Process Used to Determine	5C.2.  5C.3.  Evaluation Tool
reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:  5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5D:  We will reduce the percentage of students not making satisfactory  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  56% (44)  55% (43)	5D.2. Due to the class size mandate, intervention groups are larger and less fluid.	5D.2. Instructional assistants and co-teachers are supporting the inclusion model in order to meet the needs of struggling students.		students in RTI meetings with grade levels. Make adjustments to classroom instruction through specific curriculum or instructional methods.	5D.2. Progress monitoring data and charts provided to RTI team
progress in math by 1% on the 2013 FCAT.	5D.2. 5D.3.		5D.2. 5D.3.		5D.2. 5D.3.

reference to "Guiding Que	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal 2012 Current 2013 Expected		5E.1. State mandates have put constraints on the amount of time for each subject area.	5E.1. Teams have worked collaboratively to devise efficient schedules to maximize instructional time.	5E.1. Classroom teachers	5E.1.Monitor class schedules to determine if adjustments are needed to maximize instructional time	5E.1. Class schedules
	Level of Performance:*         Level of Performance:*           49% (38)         48% (37)					
disadvantaged students not making adequate progress by 1% on the 2013 FCAT.	·	5E.2. Due to the class size mandate, intervention groups are larger and less fluid.	5E.2. Instructional assistants and co-teachers are supporting the inclusion model in order to meet the needs of struggling students.	5E.2. Classroom teachers		5E.2. Progress monitoring data and charts provided to RTI team
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

### **Middle School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Middle School Mathematics Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #1A:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	1 d	IA.1.	IA.1.	IA.1.	IA.1.	
	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #1B:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	1 d	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
	1B.2.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	

reference to "Guiding Que	student achievement data and stions," identify and define areas ent for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	nts scoring at or above 4 and 5 in mathematics.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.
Mathematics Goal #2A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  Level of level of performance in this box.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #2B:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
	,	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

reference to "Guiding Ques	student achievement data and stions," identify and define areas ent for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3A. FCAT 2.0: Perceilearning gains in mat	ntage of students making hematics.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.
Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3B:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					3B.1.	3B.1.
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student reference to "Guiding Questions," i in need of improvement for th	identify and define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of 25% making learning gains		4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.
5	f Level of Performance:*  umerical Enter numerical current data for expected level of ance in performance in					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measu Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathem performance target for the following years		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Mathematics Goal #5A:	-2011					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and defin in need of improvement for the following subgroup	ne areas	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) making satisfactory progress in mathem  Mathematics Goal #5B:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  White: Black: Black: Hispanic: American Indian:  Black: Hispanic Asian: American Indian:  Black: Hispanic American Indian:	white: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Americal expected ance in  c:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5C:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Enter narrative for the goel in this box.  Enter narrative for the goel in this box.		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.		5C.2. 5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5D:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	i	5D.1.	5D.1.		5D.1.
	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.		5D.2.
	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantage making satisfactory progress in		5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.
goal in this box.  data for currellevel of	Level of Performance:* ical Enter numerical ent data for expected level of in performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

#### Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>High School Mathematics Goals</b>	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
		Froblem-Solving Fi	rocess to increase Stud	ient Acmevement		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define are in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  2013 Expecte Level of Performance: Performance: Performance in this box.	* val ted	1.1. 1.2. 1.3.	1.1. 1.2. 1.3.	1.1. 1.2. 1.3.	1.1. 1.2. 1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define are in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	* val ted	2.1.	2.1.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

reference to "Guiding Que	student achievement data and stions," identify and define areas ent for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
students making lear mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3:	Mathematics Goal #3: 2012 Current Level of Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.
		3.3.	3.2.	3.2.	3.3.	3.3.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

#### Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra	1 EOC Goals	_	Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis or reference to "Guiding (	f student achievement data and Questions," identify and define ement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring a Algebra 1.  Algebra 1 Goal #1:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	1.1.	1.2.	1.1.	1.2.	1.1.
reference to "Guiding (	f student achievement data and Questions," identify and define ement for the following group:	1.3. Anticipated Barrier	1.3. Strategy	1.3.  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	1.3.  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	1.3. Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring a Levels 4 and 5 in Algabra Goal #2:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		2.1.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.		2.3.

Based on ambitious but achieva Objectives (AMOs), identify re performance target for th	eading and mathematics	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	eline data 2010-2011						
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of studen reference to "Guiding Question areas in need of improvement for	ns," identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Enter data for the level of	nerican Indian) not ress in Algebra 1. Current of Indian in Indian in Indian in Indian in Indian  Person in Indian I	3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.  Algebra 1 Goal #3C:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.		3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.
<b>,</b> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.  Algebra 1 Goal #3D:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expecte level of performance in this box.		3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.
	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.

reference to "Guiding Q	student achievement data and uestions," identify and define ent for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
making satisfactory p		3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

#### Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometr	y EOC Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding (	f student achievement data and Questions," identify and define rement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.  Geometry Goal #1:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of level of performance in performance in		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	this box. this box.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
reference to "Guiding C	f student achievement data and Questions," identify and define rement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.  Geometry Goal #2:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		2.1.	2.2.	2.2.		2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Baseline data 2011-201	2				
Geometry Goal #3A:					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.  Geometry Goal #3B:  Ceometry Goal #3B:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  White:  Black:  Black:  Hispanic:  Asian:  Asian:  American  Indian:  White, White:  Black:  Hispanic:  Asian:  American  Indian:	American Indian:  eal ted n	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
making satisfactory p Geometry Goal #3C:	e Learners (ELL) not progress in Geometry.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.
reference to "Guiding Q	student achievement data and uestions," identify and define ent for the following subgroup:	3C.3.  Anticipated Barrier	3C.3. Strategy	3C.3.  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	3C.3.  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	3C.3.  Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.  Geometry Goal #3D:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.			3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.
		3D.2. 3D.3.	3D.2. 3D.3.	3D.2. 3D.3.	3D.2. 3D.3.	3D.2. 3D.3.

reference to "Guiding Qu	student achievement data and destions," identify and define ent for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
making satisfactory p		3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.

End of Geometry EOC Goals

### **Mathematics Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities								
			Please note that each strategy does not	require a professional developmen	t or PLC activity.				
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus  Grade Level/ Subject  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Focus  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader  PD Facilitator and/or PLC subject, grade level, or school-wide)  PD Facilitator and/or PLC subject, grade level, or school-wide)  Person or Position Responsition Respo						Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
Setting Learning Goals and Monitoring Progress	PreK-5	Team Leaders	All grade levels; ESE; Special Areas	Monthly	Classroom Walkthroughs, Informal and Formal Observations	Administrators; Peers			
Re-teaching after Benchmark Assessment/Differentiating Instruction	PreK-5	Lead Teachers	All academic teachers	At least once each nine weeks	Lesson plans; Data Evaluation; Classroom Observations	Administrators; Reading Coach			

# $\underline{Mathematics\ Budget}\ (\text{Insert\ rows\ as\ needed})$

Include only school-based funded activities	materials and exclude district funded activities	es /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
1A.2. Instructional assistants and co-teachers are supporting the inclusion model in order to meet the needs of struggling students.	Go Math Materials Number Worlds Materials	Instructional Materials	\$4,515.30
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Mathematics Goals

#### **Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary an	d Middle S Soals	Science	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions," identify	y and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
36% of all students will	in science.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 36% (52)	1A.1. State mandates require Tier 3 students to have extended time for reading instruction which prevents them from receiving science instruction.	1A.1. Teachers will use science content-based text in the reading curriculum.	1A.1. Classroom teachers	I.A.1. Teachers will ensure that the reading curriculum will incorporate science concepts and vocabulary	1A.1. Lesson plans
			1A.1. State mandates require Tier 3 students to have extended time for reading instruction which prevents them from receiving science instruction.  1A.3.	content-based text in the reading curriculum.	1A.1. Classroom teachers  1A.3.	1A.1. Teachers will ensure that the reading curriculum will incorporate science concepts and vocabulary 1A.3.	1A.1. Lesson plans 1A.3.
The percentage of identified students proficient in science will increase by at least 1% as	2012 Current Level of Performance:*		IB.1. Curriculum is designed to meet the needs of regular pupil progression students.	1B.1. Explore alternate curriculum options to meet the needs of special pupil progression students.	1B.1. Classroom teachers	1B.1. Monitor progress of students in RTI meetings with grade levels. Make adjustments to classroom instruction through specific curriculum or instructional methods.	1B.1. Progress monitoring data and charts provided to RTI team
evidenced by performance on the FAA.			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Quareas in need of improve	uestions," identify and de	efine	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	and 5 in science.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  2013 Exercise Level of Performance:*	students to have extended time for reading instruction which prevents them from receiving science instruction.	3 2A.1. Teachers will use science content-based text in the reading curriculum.	2A.1. Classroom teachers	2A.1. Teachers will ensure that the reading curriculum will incorporate science concepts and vocabulary	2A.1. Lesson plans
	·	2A.1. State mandates require Tier students to have extended time for reading instruction which prevents them from receiving science instruction.	content-based text in the reading curriculum.	2A.1. Classroom teachers	the reading curriculum will incorporate science concepts and vocabulary	2A.1. Lesson plans
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
The percentage of identified students proficient in science will increase by at least 1% as		meet the needs of regular pupil progression students.  pected f hance:*	IB.1. Explore alternate curriculum options to meet the needs of special pupil progression students.		grade levels. Make adjustments to classroom instruction through specific curriculum or instructional methods.	1B.1. Progress monitoring data and charts provided to RTI team
evidenced by performance on the FAA.		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

#### Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals		Problem-Solving Pr	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.  Science Goal #1:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expecte level of performance in this box.	<u>1</u> d	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.  Science Goal #2:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	d	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

#### Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC	Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
data for cu	ent 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* erical Enter numerical data for expected		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
level of performan this box.	level of ce in performance in this box.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
Based on the analysis of student ac reference to "Guiding Questions," areas in need of improvement for the	identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.  Biology 1 Goal #2:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Curre Level of Performant Enter numerical data for cultivel of performant this box.	ent 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* erical Enter numerical data for expected level of		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

# **Science Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus  Grade Level/Subject									
Setting Learning Goals and Monitoring Progress	PreK-5	Team Leaders	All grade levels; ESE; Special Areas	Monthly	Classroom Walkthroughs, Informal and Formal Observations	Administrators; Peers			
Re-teaching after Benchmark Assessment/Differentiating Instruction	ng after Benchmark ent/Differentiating PreK-5 Lead Teachers All academic teachers At least once each nine week		At least once each nine weeks	Lesson plans; Data Evaluation; Classroom Observations	Administrators; Reading Coach				

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Deterree Buaget (Insert	10 WB dB Heeded)			
Include only school-based fu	inded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	laterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Science Materials	Fusions Science Materials	No cost	\$0.00	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	,		Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Science Goals

## **Writing Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
reference to "Guiding Quest	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.  Writing Goal #1A:  87% of all students will meet writing proficiency by scoring a level 3 or higher on the 2013 FCAT.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  86%(124)  87%(125)		with a higher focus on conventions,	1A.1. Teachers will attend trainings and will collaborate among grade levels.	1A.1. Classroom teachers	IA.1. Teachers will seek , and participate in, training opportunities; Teachers will share and discuss with peers	1A.1. WUR; Benchmark writing assessments		
			with interventions lack the necessary skills needed to achieve Level 3.  1A.3. State mandates have put constraints on the amount of time	1A.2. Students struggling in writing will receive intensive remediation daily.  1A.3. Teams have worked collaboratively to devise efficient schedules to maximize instructional time.	1A.2. Classroom teachers  1A.3. Classroom teachers	1A.2. Remediation will reflect a deeper understanding of the writing process  1A.3. Monitor progress of students in RTI meetings with grade levels. Make adjustments to classroom instruction through specific curriculum or instructional methods.	1A.2. WUR; Benchmark writing assessments  1A.3. Progress monitoring data and charts provided to RTI team	
1B. Florida Alternate scoring at 4 or higher Writing Goal #1B:  100% of students will score a 4 or higher of the FAA writing assessment.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	Students  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  100%(3)	1B.1. Curriculum is designed to meet the needs of regular pupil progression students.	1B.1. Explore alternate curriculum options to meet the needs of special pupil progression students.	1B.1. Classroom teachers	1B.1. Monitor progress of students in RTI meetings with grade levels. Make adjustments to classroom instruction through specific curriculum or instructional methods.	IB.1. Progress monitoring data and charts provided to RTI team	
			1B.2. 1B.3.		1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	

# **Writing Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity									
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmer	nt or PLC activity.					
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	The state of the s									
Setting Learning Goals and Monitoring Progress	PreK-5	Team Leaders	All grade levels; ESE; Special Areas	Monthly	Classroom Walkthroughs, Informal and Formal Observations	Administrators; Peers				
Re-teaching after Benchmark		At least once each nine weeks	Lesson plans; Data Evaluation; Classroom Observations	Administrators; Reading Coach						

#### Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	-	<u> </u>	-	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u> </u>	<u>'</u>		Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	•		Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u>'</u>	,	•	Subtotal:

<b>2012-2013 School</b>	mprovement Plan	(SIP)-For	m SIP-1
-------------------------	-----------------	-----------	---------

Total:

End of Writing Goals

#### Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics	EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis or reference to "Guiding (	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring a Civics.  Civics Goal #1:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
reference to "Guiding (	f student achievement data and Questions," identify and define rement for the following group:	1.3.  Anticipated Barrier	1.3. Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	1.3.  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	1.3. Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring a Levels 4 and 5 in Civ Civics Goal #2:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.2.	2.2.	2.3.

#### **Civics Professional Development**

		(- Z	Please note that each Strategy does no				, 01 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-u	p/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Civics Budget (1							
<u> </u>			ls and exclude district funded	activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Progr	ram(s)/Materials(	(s)					
Strategy		Description	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtota
Technology							
Strategy		Description	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtota
Professional Develop	ment						
Strategy		Description	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
	_	_			_	_	Subtota

**Funding Source** 

Amount

Subtotal: Total:

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

End of Civics Goals

Other Strategy

August 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011 Description of Resources

#### U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

U.S. History EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Pr	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.  U.S. History Goal #1:   2012 Current   Level of   Performance:*     Enter narrative for the goal in this box.   Enter numerical data for current level of   performance in this box.   Enter numerical data for expecte level of   performance in this box.   Enter numerical this box.   Enter numerical level of   Performance in this box   Performance in	ī d	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.2.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.  U.S. History Goal #2:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.  Enter numerical data for expecte level of performance in this box.	ī d	2.1.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

**U.S. History Professional Development** 

		· · · · · <u>·</u>							
Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
			Please note that each Strategy does no	t require a professional developme	ent of PLC activity.				
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	1 I trade I Person of Position Responsible for								

#### **U.S. History Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-base	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·			Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		•	•	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	·	·	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of U.S. History Goals

## **Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attenda	nce Goal(s	s)		Problem-solving	g Process to Increase	Attendance	
Based on the analysis of a "Guiding Questions," ider imp	nttendance data and tify and define a rovement:	nd reference to areas in need of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance  Attendance Goal #1:	Attendance Goal #1: 2012 Current 2013 Expected		planned/unplanned events and trips.	1.1. Continue to monitor attendance and contact parents as needed; give incentives for students who have good attendance		1.1. Monitor attendance records	1.1. Attendance rate at the end of the school year
We will maintain our high daily attendance rate.	Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)  186  2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	Attendance Rate:*  97%  2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)  175  2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
	221	215	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# **Attendance Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		

#### Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activit	ties/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		<u> </u>		Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Continue to monitor attendance and contact parents as needed; give incentives for students who have good attendance	Lanyard Pins	Flex Budget/Principal's Discretionary	\$250.00	
		•	<b>'</b>	Subtotal:
				Total

End of Attendance Goals

#### **Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension					
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Suspension Goal #1: The total number of inschool and out-of-school suspensions will be decreased.  2012 Total Number of Suspensions  41  2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions  41  2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School  23  2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School  23  200  2012 Total Number of Out-of-School Suspensions  2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School  23  20  2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School  21  2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Number of Out-of-School Suspensions  21  2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Number of Out-of-School Suspensions  2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions  21  2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School Suspensions  22  20  2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions  21  2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	behavioral expectations and consequences	1.1. Continue school-wide Positive Behavior Program	1.1. Administration	1.1. Monitor discipline data from Educator's Handbook	1.1. Educator's Handbook	
	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	

**Suspension Professional Development** 

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
		•	Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmen	t or PLC activity.	•			
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	1 I trade I Person or Position Responsible for								
	•								

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Suspension Budget (Insert rows	,			
Include only school-based funded activ	ities/materials and exclude district funded acti	vities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Continue school-wide Positive Behavior Program	Lanyard Pins for Good Behavior/Incentives for Skill of the Week	Flex Budget/Principal's Discretionary	\$1000.00	
		•	•	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Suspension Goals

<u>Dropout Prevention Goal(s)</u> Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Dropout Prevention	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Dropout Prevention Goal #1:  Enter narrative for the goal in this box.  *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school  2012 Current Dropout Rate:*  Enter numerical data for dropout rate in this box.  2012 Current Graduation Rate:*  Enter numerical data for graduation Rate:*  Enter numerical data for graduation rate in this box.  2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*  Enter numerical data for graduation rate in this box.  Enter numerical data for graduation rate in this box.					
year.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

### **Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		

# $\begin{picture}(100,0) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){100}} \put(0,0){\line(1,0){1$

Include only school-based f	unded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/N	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•		•	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

#### **Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involv	ement Goa	l(s)		Problem-solv	ing Process to Pa	arent Involvement	
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Parent Involvement	. Parent Involvement			1.1. Educate parents on the way in which to log volunteer hours; explain the purpose and stress	1.1. PTO officers		1.1. PTO volunteer login webpage; event sign-in sheets
Parent Involvement Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*		the importance			
Volunteer/mentor hours will increase by 10%	3,176 hours	3,493 hours					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

#### **Parent Involvement Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					

### **Parent Involvement Budget**

Include only school-based funded activit	ies/materials and exclude district funded a	activities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		•	Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

## Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)		Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievement	t
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1:  55% of all students will meet Science proficiency by scoring a 4 or higher on the 2013 FCAT.	Tier 3 students to have	1.1. Teachers will use science content-based text in the reading curriculum.		1.1. Teachers will ensure that the reading curriculum will incorporate science concepts and vocabulary	1.1. Lesson plans
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## **STEM Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					
Setting Learning Goals and Monitoring Progress	PreK-5	Team Leaders	All grade levels; ESE; Special Areas	Monthly	Classroom Walkthroughs, Informal and Formal Observations	Administrators; Peers					
Re-teaching after Benchmark Assessment/Differentiating Instruction	PreK-5	Lead Teachers	All academic teachers	At least once each nine weeks	Lesson plans; Data Evaluation; Classroom Observations	Administrators; Reading Coach					

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fund	led activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate	erials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			•	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			•	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	•	·	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

# **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## **CTE Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus  Grade Level/Subject  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Focus  Grade Level/Subject  PD Facilitator and/or PLC subject School-wide)  PD Facilitator and/or plc school-wide (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or PLC Leader school-wide)  Fresh Focus or Position Responsible for Monitoring frequency of meetings)  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring frequency of meetings)										

#### CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

OIL Durages (Institute	, we as need to			
Include only school-based to	funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of CTE Goal(s)

#### **Additional Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additiona			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Health and wellness activity	Level :* 5 opportunities were offered	2013 Expected	activities that meet the needs and interests of school personnel, as well as scheduling at times that are convenient.	1.1. Interest surveys will be sent out to faculty and staff; activities and events will be planned based on the results	and Wellness Committee		1.1. Participation at health and wellness events
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## **Additional Goals Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity											
	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.											
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus  Grade Level/Subject  Grade Level/Subject  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Focus  Grade Level/Subject  PD Facilitator and/or PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)  PD Participants  (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)  Ferson or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Monitoring												

# $Additional\ Goal(s)\ Budget\ ({\tt Insert\ rows\ as\ needed})$

Include only school-based fund	ded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	terials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·	·	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·	·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·	•	•	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Additional Goal(s)

#### Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total: \$22,772.09
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total: \$4,515.30
Science Budget	
	Total: 0
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:\$250.00
Suspension Budget	
	Total:\$1000.00
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total:\$28,537.39

#### **Differentiated Accountability**

#### School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

	School Di	ifferentiated Accountabil	ity Status	]			
	Priority	Focus	Prevent				
Are you reward school? ∑Yes (A reward school is any school tha  • Upload a copy of the Diffe	•						
• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the <i>Upload</i> page							
School Advisory Council (SAC)  SAC Membership Compliance  The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting Yes or No below.							
If No, describe the measures being	tokon to comply w	ith SAC requirements					
ii No, describe the measures being	taken to comply wi	iui SAC requirements.					
Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.							
Describe the projected use of SAC	funds.				Amount		
					\$0		