FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: TRENTON HIGH SCHOOL

District Name: Gilchrist

Principal: Cheri S. Langford

SAC Chair: Donn Keels

Superintendent: Don Thomas

Date of School Board Approval: 10/16/2012

Last Modified on: 10/17/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
		Master's Educational Leadership			2006-07: Trenton High School School Grade A/AYP-No *FCAT Level 3 and Above: Reading-74%; Math-84%; Science-66%; *Learning Gains: Reading-67%; Math-74%; *Lowest 25% Learning Gains: Reading-66%; Math-61% 2007-08: Trenton High School School Grade B/AYP-No *FCAT Level 3 and Above: Reading-75%; Math-82%; Science-70% *Learning Gains: Reading-64%; Math-77% *Lowest 25% Learning Gains: Rdg-48% Math-66% 2008-09: Trenton High School School Grade A/AYP-No *FCAT Level 3 and Above: Reading-82%; Math-84%; Science-58% *Learning Gains: Reading-70%; Math-74%

Principal	Cheri S. Langford	Certification: *Ed. Leadership (All Levels) *Elem. Ed. (Grades 1-6)	6	6	*Lowest 25% Learning Gains: Rdg-69% Math-64% 2009-10: Trenton High School School Grade A/AYP-No *FCAT Level 3 and Above: Reading-81%; Math-87%; Science-68% *Learning Gains: Reading-61%; Math-79% *Lowest 25% Learning Gains: Rdg-50%; Math-66% 2010-11: Trenton High School School Grade B/AYP-No *FCAT Level 3 and Above: Reading-80%; Math-80%; Science-69% *Learning Gains: Reading-62%; Math-66% *Lowest 25% Learning Gains: Rdg-47%; Math-58% 2011-12: Trenton High School School Grade Pending *FCAT Level 3 and Above: Reading-69%; Math-68%; Science-63% *Learning Gains: Reading-72%; Math-72% *Lowest 25% Learning Gains: Rdg-71%; Math-78%
Assis Principal	Linda L. Gartin	Master's Educational Leadership Certification: *Ed. Leadership (All Levels) *Mathematics (Grades 5-9) *Elem. Ed. (Grades 1-6)		2	2010-11:Bell Elementary School Grade A/AYP-No *FCAT Level 3 and Above: Reading-87%; Math-87%; Writing-89%; Science-65% *Learning Gains: Reading-69%; Math-64% *Lowest 25% Learning Gains: Rdg-69%; Math-59% 2011-12:Bell Elementary School Grade A/AYP-No FCAT Level 3 and Above: Reading-62%; Math-73% *Learning Gains: Reading-66%; Math-79% *Lowest 25% Learning Gains: Rdg-76%; Math-76%

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading Coach	Karen Welch	Master's Degree Exceptional Student Education with a concentration in adolescent literacy. Certification: *Elem. Education *Exceptional Student Education (K-12) *Reading Endorsement	1	1	2011-12:Trenton High School/School Grade Pending *FCAT Level 3 and Above: Reading-69% *Learning Gains: Reading-72% *Lowest 25% Learning Gains: Reading- 71%

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Work closely with the Gilchrist County Human Resource Department to ensure all positions are filled with highly qualified personnel	Principal & HR Director	On Going	
2	EPI "Educator Prep Institute" Grow your own recruitment effort for current employees	NEFEC and the Foundation for Rural Education Excellence	On Going	

3	Teacher Cadet Program	Principal and Director of Secondary Education	On Going
4	Teacher Mentoring Program for Beginning, Alternatively certified and struggling teachers	NEFEC and Principal	On Going
5	Performance Pay (Merit Award Program)	NEFEC, Director of Secondary Education, Principal, and Superintendent	On Going
6	IPDP Individual Professional Development Plans	Reading Coach, AP, and Principal	On Going

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
11%(5/44)are teaching out of field 0% recieved less than an effective rating	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading		% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
44	2.3%(1)	15.9%(7)	38.6%(17)	43.2%(19)	45.5%(20)	100.0%(44)	9.1%(4)	4.5%(2)	9.1%(4)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale	Planned Mentoring
	Assigned	for Pairing	Activities
Chris Dunn - Bell High School Band Director	Anna Malhiot	Program and	Daily routines, classroom management techniques, curriculum and instructional strategies.

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Nutrition riograms
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other
Other State of the
Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)
rSchool-based MTSS/RtI Team
Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.
The school based RtI leadership team consist of the following members: Cheri Langford - Principal
Linda Gartin - Assistant Principal Ray Stoel- Dean of Students
Mandy NesSmith - High School Guidance
Alana Tatoris - Middle School Guidance Karen Welch- Reading Coach

Sharon Langford - Truancy Officer District Office Staff when needed

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The School Based RtI Leadership Team meets monthly in order to discuss school level data, i.e. FCIM, progress monitoring results, grades, attendance and discipline data. Members of the SBLT meet once a month on early release days with the District RtI coach and other SBLT members from other schools in the district. At these meetings we discuss our school based plans, ideas for up coming school level RtI meetings with our faculty, implementation and consensus building activities.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Trenton High School faculty review all school level data and reviewed last years SIP goals then worked collaboratively to identify areas in need of improvement to write the THS 2012-13 SIP. The faculty carefully reviewed student data from the 2011-12 FCAT reading, math, science, and writing, student discipline data, attendance, graduation results, and parental involvement surveys in order to write the goals for the 2012-13 SIP. The faculty and administration of THS review the results of progress monitoring data and other vital statistics in order to make sound educational decisions for the students at our school. It is an ongoing process to look for trends, self analyze and move forward.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Trenton High School's data management system and data source for ongoing progress monitoring is FCAT Test Maker - Reading, Math and Science. Teachers are given FCAT Test Maker reports three times per year for the Fall, Winter and Spring Progress Monitoring. After each PM assessment has been given teachers create FCIM calendars based on the results from each Progress Monitoring assessment. The reading, math and science teachers reteach, spiral review and enrich based on these results.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Trenton Middle/High School received training in Spring 2009 and Fall 2010. The staff looked at class data and identified areas of concern. Teachers were introduced to the Problem Solving Model, Tiers I, II and III instructional decision making. The staff began identifying instructional sound intervention resources available at THS. Teachers looked at student progress monitoring data and began matching interventions to identified areas of concern. THS teachers and administration focused on tier I - 80% Core instruction to ensure curriculum and instruction was meeting the needs of all students. We then moved into the beginning stages of graphing student data and peer group comparison data for students identified in need of Tier II or III support.

All faculty at Trenton High School participate in bi-weekly RtI meetings, middle school during planning and high school from 7:45 am-8:10am every other Wednesday. During these meetings student data results are reviewed, the problem solving model is used to develop a plan of action to ensure students' academic success. The main focus at THS was for teachers to understand how students moved in and out of the Tiers based on their level of need. Support is given to all students at any point it is needed.

In addition the Assistant Principal, Cheri Langford is on the District level RtI team and attends State and Regional Rti Meetings. Once she returns from any of the meetings she brings the information back to the school level team and then presents relevant information to the faculty and staff.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.							

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Lucia Avila

Pam Hickox Sue Reed Sarah Rendek Mac Rendek Karen Welch Leanne Alverez

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The purpose of the Reading Leadership Team is to create capacity of reading knowledge within the school building and focus on areas of literacy concerns across the school. The principal, reading coach, mentor reading teachers, content area teachers, and other principal appointees will serve on this team that will meet at least once a month.

At the monthly meetings, the team will review data, teacher surveys, teacher concerns and comments and work together to design an action plan that will use scientifically based researched methods in efforts to build a strong literacy culture among all stakeholders.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The major initiative of the LLT this year is to help facilitate a school wide sequence of instruction, which combines strong researched based instructional practices and instructional practices that establish high cognitive demands which in turn will expand the reading abilities of all students to successfully and consistently extract meaning from a variety of complex text.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/11/2012)

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Reading instruction is embedded in all subject area classes. All teachers, content and elective area, incorporate comprehension, vocabulary and metacognitive strategies within their instructional delivery practices, each day. All teachers will use a variety of text which includes district adopted text books, leveled texts, dense complex texts, and supplemental materials that address the New Generation Sunshine State Standards. All content area teachers, as well as elective teachers, will incorporate reading into subject areas with consideration to the following:

- 1. Explicit, guided and differentiated instruction in comprehension, vocabulary, and metacognitive strategies/learning through scientifically researched based instructional delivery methods with include but not limited to the following: CRISS strategies, FRI strategies, NGCAR-PR, and the Comprehension Instructional Sequence model. All teachers are supported in these different instructional delivery methods though monthly school based professional development (Huddles) as well as Morning Meetings, district based professional development on early release days, colleague supported activities such as lesson studies, and individual support from the reading coach.
- 2. Teachers will use a variety of complex texts, in addition with their district adopted text book, to provide differentiated scaffold instruction to provide the opportunity for all students to learn through independent reading tasks.
- 3. Administration, teachers and reading coach will work collaboratively to determine students' instructional reading levels, so appropriate texts will be chosen and used during instructional time to challenge all students without undo frustration.
- 4. Reading teachers will team with content and elective teachers in their grade level to correlate lessons with the goal of providing all students with the opportunity to navigate through a variety of texts that contain different structures, purposes, levels of meaning, and complexity.
- 5. Lead team members from each content area and level will serve as part of a Lead Literacy Team. The Lead Literacy team will serve to help the administration to implement the reading plan as well as develop school wide literacy activities that will build a strong literacy rich culture among students, teachers, and community. This culture will help support the school's goal of

100% reading proficiency for its students.

6. All teachers are giving the opportunity to become reading endorsed and will be reimbursed by the district once they have received their endorsement.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

FCIM calendars are shared with Construction and Engineering Technology Academy teachers so they can help students see the relevance of academics to their everyday lives and their future.

Students participate in applied and integrated science and agriculture classes, as well as combination English/Social Studies classes. Hands on projects, community guest speakers and cooperative, distributive education experiences are provided (to those who qualify) to help students see the relationship between each subject and their present and future goals. Quadrant D lessons are emphasized each nine weeks to give students real world problem-solving experiences. "Quadrant D lessons" are those experiences that provide real world problems to students who then must find a solution, justify it, implement it and present the completed work for grading by a rubric.

Career academies at the high school level enable students to participate in on-the-job activities and hands-on projects. Students may choose from a variety of electives, both career and technical and academic that can be a springboard to a future career.

Students go through an extensive career prep program in middle school, are counseled during the registration process, and an open house is held for parents and students each spring so that new graduation requirements, class descriptions and teacher discussions can be held.

As the schedule allows and as teachers are certified, new courses are added to the curriculum. Florida Virtual School courses as well as on-line dual enrollment classes are available for students to enroll in and a lab and class time is provided to students who take these courses.

Starting with the rising seniors, and moving down through the grades to the rising 9th grade, space in applied and integrated courses is filled. Teachers also volunteer to do one-on-one directed study and tutoring to assist students in their academic choices and course completion.

In middle school, students take three wheel classes of one nine week's length to give them a feel for career and technical programs provided by the high school. Students travel to the other high school in the county to observe the academy and technical programs offered there, and all programs use an interview system to admit students. Students from both schools may attend either school to complete the program of their choice.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Each year an extensive registration and counseling program is implemented at the middle and high school level to ensure that students and their parents are given every opportunity to set and pursue goals that are both personally relevant and future-oriented as far as the school is able to provide. In the 8th grade students use EPEP's to explore careers and receive counseling on goal setting and careers. Grades 6 through 8 have exploratory wheel classes and career expos. High school students attend career fairs, and individual teachers invite speakers, relevant to their subject matter, who can provide personal insight into careers for the students.

All student records are checked at least once a nine weeks and the counselor and teachers initiate parent conferences to discuss grades, discipline, career goals(attainability and sustainability) and to ensure adequate progress is being made toward those goals.

Two guidance counselors provide students with individualized counseling and registration assistance. Guidance sessions are also incorporated into the English classes or the FCIM time to provide information, discussion opportunities and face-to-face time between each student and the counselor.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> Feedback Report

Based on the High School Feedback Report, the district has expanded its commitment to offer more Dual Enrollment opportunities for students. Algebra I is now offered to qualifying students in grade 8. College Algebra, Trigonometry and Pre-Calculus are offered on campus as is Physics and Chemistry (depending on enrollment and teacher availability). A dual enrollment lab, staffed by a qualified para-professional is open all periods of the school day. Students are identified in the 8th grade and (with testing and counseling) 9th graders may

take dual enrollment courses. Students are encouraged to take the PERT/ACT/SAT in the 8th and 9th grade to qualify for dual enrollment. Distance Learning is used each period so that students may take courses not offered directly on campus. An extensive career counseling regimen is coordinated by the middle and high school guidance offices; this includes career fairs, college visitations, college speakers, group and individual counseling, monthly meeting with class groups and grades/GPA

counseling. Early enrollment in post secondary institutions is offered to qualifying students. Registration is held each year in the Spring, so that parents and students are informed of their choices; Career Pathways is used as are EPEP's in the 8th grade. Career and Technical teachers coordinate course content with Florida Gateway College and Santa Fe College so that college credit testing can take place at the completion of the course. All career and technical programs have at least one industry certification test that is given. Engineering courses were added to the curriculum in the 2007-8 school year and ENC 1101 and 1102 are offered on campus as well. Students may advance at their own pace through dual enrollment and Florida Virtual School. Counseling ensures grades remain high and problems are hopefully avoided. In addition, all computer labs were updated with new equipment. The school now has a dedicated Middle School lab, a dual enrollment lab and two smart labs.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading. The percentage of students scoring below Achievement Level 3 in reading will decrease by at least 4%. Reading Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 29% (162) of students scored at Achievement Level 3 on the 73% of students are expected to score a level 3 or higher in 2012 FCAT in Reading. Reading on the 2013 FCAT. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Determine Position **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Each year a certain % of Reading classes for all Reading Coach, Deep FRI refresher, FCIM assessments students' scores will go THS Admin. District dissagrigation of data, and enrichment students in grades 5-9. down due to the 10th -12th grade level 1 Admin. team. on- going progress activities and 2013 difficulty of the next students have a reading monitoring of all FCAT reading, year's test. students, FCIM calendars FAIR, Star results class. All teachers engaged in for each subject and effective reading grade level, which instruction. include, assessments and enrichment activities. Students entering THS Identify and isolate any New Students are given FCIM assessments Reading not have the same level reading difficulties in Coach/Reading the FAIR assessment to FCAT Testmaker of instruction as current incoming students Teachers determine level of data (3 times THS students. proficiency (and any yearly) 2013 FCAT deficiencies if they exist) Reading. Reading difficulties FCAT testmaker Targeted Interventions Reading Increase in scores on persist, despite for weak areas and, ultimately, 3 Coach/Reading progress monitoring tools continued remediation 2013 Reading FCAT Teachers Students continue to 21st Century after school Admin./Reading Increased success on all All classroom need additional program Coach/ assessments assessments. remediation beyond the Teachers/21st **Progress** school day Century Monitoring tools and 2013 FCAT Coordinator Reading

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:	50% of Florida Alternate Assessment students at Trenton Middle/High will score a level 6 in mathematics.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
50% (2 out of 4)	75% (3 out of 4)				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	not be familiar with the	will need to become familiar with the Florida	Teachers	teachers and students so	Florida Alternate Assessment Reading
2	Students may not make achieved performance level due to increased difficulty of the FAA at the next grade level.	Individual and small group instructions Guided Practice Independent Practice			Florida Alternate Assessment Reading

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement At least 95% of students who scored at or above Level 4 in reading. Achievement Level 4 on the 2012 FCAT in Reading will maintain their status as high performing on the 2013 Reading Reading Goal #2a: FCAT. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 39% (214) of students scored at or above Achievement Level It is expected that at least 95% of the students will remain 4 on the 2012 FCAT in Reading. high performing. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Evaluation Determine Anticipated Barrier Responsible for Strategy Effectiveness of Tool Monitoring Strategy Teachers/Administration Increase enrollment of Registration and Student achievement level may Enrichment activities fall as the difficulty level of the duall enrollment enrollment for students who records for dual next year's test increases. scored a level 3 or classes and upper higher. Challenge level classes. For enrollment and students to a more upper grades, upper level consistently high encourage students to classes. standard of take upper level performance classes and dual enrollment. Quadrant D projects and activities. Loss of "edge" as time moves FCIM progress Teachers/Admin Disaggregate data Progress beyond 2010 FCAT. Need for monitoring and from each assessment monitoring using continued instruction. Classroom used to remediate/ FCAT testmaker and 2013 FCAT emphasis/remediation/enrichment instruction with reassess. Enrichment progress monitoring also assessed using Reading 2 every two weeks. progress monitoring Continued classroom attention to FCAT benchmarks and effective strategies

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
reading.	50% of Florida Alternate Assessment student at Trenton Middle/High will maintain a level 7 or above in Reading.			

2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
50% ((2 out of 4)		50% (2 out of 4	50% (2 out of 4)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1			Classroom Teachers ESE Staffing Specialist	teachers and students so	Florida Alternate Assessment Reading	
2		Individual and small group instructions Guided Practice Independent Practice	Classroom Teacher ESE Staffing Specialist	Curriculum Assessments FCIM Assessments STAR Results	Florida Alternate Assessment Reading	

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
gains	CAT 2.0: Percentage of s s in reading. ing Goal #3a:	tudents making learning	75% of student	75% of students will increase their reading level by one or more year's growth.		
2012	Current Level of Perforr	mance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	(397) of THS students mad 012 FCAT.	de learning gains in Readin		n 75% of students are expected to make learning gains in Reading on the 2013 FCAT.		
	Pr	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Positior Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Difficulty in raising lowest students' scores. Meeting the needs of the students is diverse and complicated	FCIM calendar. Assess	Teachers/guidance	FCIM test data and progress monitoring on FCAT testmaker. Observation and teacherstudent discussion.	FCAT Testmaker 3 times a year and 2013 FCAT Reading.	
2	Some students have not/are not responding positively to present reading remediation	Continued Intensive Remediation and targeted interventions in specific areas of weakness	Reading Coaches/Reading Teachers	Ongoing progress monitoring	Progress monitoring and, ultimately, 2013 FCAT Reading.	
3		21st Century after school program. Mentoring and emotional support	All Faculty	One-on-one discussions with each student/ troubleshooting problem. PBS. After school tutoring; FCIM progress monitoring	2013 FCAT Reading	
4	Some students have not/are not responding positively to present reading remediation	Increased and intensive tutoring and remediation through after school sessions, small group in- class sessions. Each teacher knows these students by name and	All Faculty	Progress monitoring in classroom and of FCIM plan implementation	FCIM progress monitoring, grades in classes, and 2013 FCAT Reading	

mentoring conversations daily

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

	100% of Trenton Middle/High School students taking the Florida Alternate Assessment will increase their reading level by one year's growth or more.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:

100% (1 out of 1) of Florida Alternate Assessment students at Trenton Middle/High School made learning gains in reading, in 2012.

100% (1 out of 1) of Florida Alternate Assessment students at Trenton Middle/High School are expected to make learning gains in reading, in 2013. Three students will be taking the FAA for the first time.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Due to the time the FAA is given, students may not have enough time to learn classroom material necessary to make gains.	material that is relevent to the FAA.	Classroom Teacher	FCIM Report	Florida Alternate Assessment
2	Due to increased difficulty of the Florida Alternate Assessment at the next grade level, student may not make learning gains.	Individual and small group instructins	Classroom Teacher	FCIM Report	Florida Alternate Assessment
3	Due to students taking FCAT the past few years, some students will not be familiar with the Florida Alternate Assessment format.	Students and teachers will need to become familiar with the Florida Alternate Assessment format.	Classroom Teachers	FCIM Report	Florida Alternate Assessment

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:	The percent of non-proficient students in the lowest 25% will be reduced by 10% on the 2013 Reading FCAT 2.0.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
on the 2012 FCAT in Reading	It is expected that at least 50% of students in the lowest quartile will make learning gains as measured by the 2013 Reading FCAT 2.0.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool
1		Identify students, develop relationships, and work individually with each student to maintain/increase		33 3	FCIM assessments and 2013 FCAT Reading.

		Reading sc measured or reading	ore as on 2013 FCAT			
Based on Amb	oitious but Ach	ievable Annual		ves (AMOs), AMO-2,	Reading and Math Pe	erformance Target
Management to Ole to address (AMO). The advance of			By the 2016- demonstratin	<u> </u>	the percentage o reading will incr	_
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	69%	73%	75%	78%	81%	
of improvements 5B. Students	nt for the follow	wing subgroup: ethnicity (Wh	ite, Black,	ence to "Guiding Que	stions", identify and	define areas in nee
Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			J		e students will score the 2013 FCAT in Rea	

Reading Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: It is expected that at least 78% of white students will score 75%(414)of white students scored at Achievement Level 3 or at Achievement Level 3 or higher on the 2013 FCAT in higher on the 2012 FCAT in Reading. Reading. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy All faculty Through the bi-monthly Tracking all students to continued monitoring/ FCAT Reading ensure all subgroups are tracking all students in all RtI meetings each meeting AMO targets teacher will continue categories tracking students' progress from progress monitoring, daily grades, attendance in order to identify students at risk.

1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C:			All ELL students	All ELL students will reach AMO targets in Reading.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
the TF ELL st	HS population is Hispanic a udents. THS will continue	Trenton High School 3% of II of which do not qualify a to track the progress of al are reaching AMO targets.	IS I of All subgroups wi	of All subgroups will reach AMO targets.		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase S				t Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	

L			Monitoring	Strategy	
	relevant group	strategies applied to all other groups applied here as well	9	FCAT progress monitoring	2013 FCAT Reading

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making The percentage of students with disabilities scoring below satisfactory progress in reading. Achievement Level 3 in reading will be reduced by at least 6% on the 2013 FCAT 2.0. Reading Goal #5D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: It is expected that at least 46% of students with disabilities 40% of students with disabilities scored at Achievement will score at or above Achievement Level 3 on the 2013 FCAT Level 3 or higher on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 in Reading. 2.0 in Reading. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy District implementation of Reading Coach/ Student scores have Progress monitoring of FCIM Assessments "Failure Free Reading" for Reading Teachers leveled out despite program and FCIM remediation students who are not progress monitoring with FCAT testmaker phonemic learners enrichment and remediation as needed FCAT 2.0 Students need Continue Read 180, reading Coach, All Placement in remediation FCIM Assessments remediation on an Language X, Reading Faculty class with individual. ongoing and intensive remediation as needed, small group and whole FCAT testmaker manner and on-going group instruction, both FCAT 2.0 2 instruction/remediation by teacher and by classroom teachers computer. Progress monitoring of FCIM, FCAT testmaker and in class materials Modification of disability Communication between Cooperative Consultation process, FCAT testmaker status classroom teacher, Consult teacher/ dissemination of guidance and cooperative All Faculty accommodations for FCAT 2.0 consultation teacher students, frequent mentoring/consulation 3 between guidance, teacher, students and parents, FCIM and FCAT testmaker data as well as student grades

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.

Reading Goal #5E:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

1 is expected that at least 67% of economically disadvantaged students will score at or above Achievement Level 3 on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 in Reading.

1 is expected that at least 67% of economically disadvantaged students will score at or above Achievement Level 3 on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 in Reading.

coordinator/ All

Faculty

Monitor grades, growth

student through grades,

FCIM scores and FCAT

and development of

testmaker scores

FCAT 2.0

Students with Disabilities Utilize 21st Century after 21st Century

support

school program to aid in

provide nourishment and

mentoring/tutoring and

need frequent feedback,

mentoring and intensive

tutoring

Ī	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		Students may not get proper nutrition to maintain healthy mind/body		coordinator/teachers	Progress monitoring tools, teacher observation	student grades, FCIM assessments and 2013 FCAT Reading.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
	6th-12th Grades All subjects	District Office Staff	School-wide	on Early Release	Classroom Observations and Walk-throughs	Administrators

Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentage.	s, include the number (of students the percentag	ge represents next to the p	percentage (e.g., 70% (35),
Students speak in Engli	sh and understand sp	poken English at grade	level in a manner simila	ar to non-ELL students.
1. Students scoring p	roficient in listenin	g/speaking.		
CELLA Goal #1:				
2012 Current Percent	t of Students Profic	ient in listening/spea	ıking:	
	Problem-Solving	g Process to Increase	e Student Achievemer	t
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data Submitte	d	1
Students read in Englis	h at grade level text	in a manner similar to	non-ELL students.	
2. Students scoring p				
CELLA Goal #2:	J	,		
2012 Current Percent	t of Students Profic	ient in reading:		
	Problem-Solving	g Process to Increase	Student Achievemer	it
		Person or	Process Used to	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	•	No Data Submitte	d	
Students write in Englis	sh at grade level in a	manner similar to non-	-ELL students.	
3. Students scoring p	roficient in writing.			
CELLA Goal #3:				
2012 Current Percent	of Students Profici	ient in writing:		
	Problem-Solving			

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	tor	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
No Data Submitted							

CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Strategy Responsible Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Strategy Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance:

	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data S	Submitted		
Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the fo	student achievement data, an llowing group:	d refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", identify	y and define areas in need
2b. Florida Alternate As Students scoring at or a mathematics.	ssessment: above Achievement Level 7	in			
Mathematics Goal #2b:					
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Ехр	ected Level of Performa	ance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No		Submitted		
Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the fo	student achievement data, an llowing group:	d refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", identify	y and define areas in need
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentaç gains in mathematics.	ge of students making learn	ing			
Mathematics Goal #3a:					
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Ехр	ected Level of Performa	ance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	o Data s	Submitted		

Based on the a of improvemen			ent data, and refe	rence to "Gi	uiding Ques	stions", identify	and c	define areas in need
3b. Florida Al	ternate As	sessment:						
Percentage of	fstudents	making Learning	g Gains in					
mathematics								
Mathematics	Goal #3b:							
2012 Current	Level of Pe	erformance:		2013 Ехр	ected Leve	el of Performaı	nce:	
		Problem-Sol	ving Process to	Increase St	tudent Ach	nievement		
				son or	Process l	Jsed to		
Anticipated B	Barrier	Strategy		tion oonsible	Determin		Eval	uation Tool
			for		Effective Strategy			
			Mon	itoring	on aragy			
			No Data	Submitted				
Based on the a			ent data, and refe	rence to "G	uiding Ques	stions", identify	and c	define areas in need
4. FCAT 2.0: F	Percentage	of students in L	owest 25%					
making learni	ing gains ir	n mathematics.						
Mathematics	Goal #4:							
Matrierriatics	σσαι π 4 .							
2012 Current	Level of Pe	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Leve	el of Performar	nce:	
		Problem-Sol	ving Process to	I ncrease St	tudent Ach	nievement		
			Pers	son or	Danasasi	1000 40		
				tion	Process l Determin			
Anticipated B	sarrier	Strategy	for	oonsible	Effective		Evai	uation Tool
			Mon	itoring	Strategy			
			No Data	Submitted				
Based on Ambi	itious but A	chievable Annual	Measurable Objec	tives (AMOs	s), AMO-2,	Reading and Ma	ith Pe	erformance Target
			Elementary Scho	ol Mathemat	ics Goal #			
5A. Ambitious Measurable Ob		ble Annual MOs). In six year						_
		hievement gap						
by 50%.			5A :			T		✓
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-201	2 2012-2013	2013-2014	2014	4-2015	2015-2016	5	2016-2017
	r	,	1	,		,		,

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups	s by ethnicity (White	Black				
Hispanic, Asian, Ameri satisfactory progress	can Indian) not makir					
Mathematics Goal #5B	3:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	nance:	
	Problem-Solving	Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		No Data	Submitted			
Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f		lata, and refer	rence to "G	uiding Questions", identi	fy and define areas in need	
5C. English Language I satisfactory progress		aking				
Mathematics Goal #50	3					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	nance:	
	Problem-Solving	Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		'	Submitted		<u>'</u>	
Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f		lata, and refer	rence to "G	uiding Questions", identi	fy and define areas in need	
5D. Students with Disa satisfactory progress		king				
Mathematics Goal #5D) :					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solving	Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
No Data Submitted							

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fol	student achievement data, and llowing subgroup:	d refere	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
5E. Economically Disadv satisfactory progress in	vantaged students not mak n mathematics.	ing			
Mathematics Goal #5E:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to Ir	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data S	Submitted		

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

complicated.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

relationships with the

studens to open lines of

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. The percentage of students scoring below Achievement Level 3 in Mathematics will decrease by at least 2%. Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 35% (136) of students scored at Achievement Level 3 on the It is expected that at least 70% of students will score at or 2012 FCAT 2.0 in Mathematics. above Level 3 on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 in Mathematics. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy FCIM test data and Difficulty in raising lowest Maintain data through Teachers & FCAT Testmaker 3 students' scores. Meeting FCIM calendar. Assess Guidance times a year and progess monitoring on the needs of the frequently. Drill down the FCAT testmaker. 2013 FCAT Observation and teacher- Mathematics students is diverse and skills frequently. Develop

student discussion.

		communication and learning.			
	New Common Core State Standards (CCSS)	Enrichment activities for proficent students in order to achieve a consistently high performance level.	Teachers	and Walk-throughs. Frequent progress monitoring.	iObservation FCAT Testmaker FCIM Assessments Chapter Tests FCAT 2.0
2		Implementation of Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). Marzano instructional strategies.			FCAT 2.U

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. 50% of Florida Alternate Assessment students will score a level 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 50% (2 out of 4) 25% (1 out of 4) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students will not be able Individual and small group Classroom Curriculum Assessments Florida Alternate to make achieved level instructions Teachers Assessment due to increased **FCIM Assessments** difficulty of the test at ESE Staffing Reciprocal Teaching the next grade level Specialist Some students will not Get the students and Classroom Florida Alternate Conduct Training with be familiar with the teachers familiar with the Teachers teachers and students so Assessment format of Florida Florida Alternate that both groups become Alternate Assessment ESE Staffing familiar with the FAA Assessment Specialist format

1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2a:			Trenton High So scoring at or ab	Trenton High School will increase the percentage of students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 in Mathematics by 5%.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
	(117) of students scored a 4 on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 ir		'	It is expected that at least 35% of students will score at or above Achievement Level 4 on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 in Mathematics.				
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool			

		Monitoring	Strategy	
1	Enrichment activities for high performing students to ensure those students receive the opportunity to apply higher order thinking skills and reach their academic potential. Implementation of Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). Marzano instructional strategies.	Administration	Classroom Observations Frequent Progress Monitoring	iObservation FCAT 2.0 FCAT Testmaker FCIM Assessments Chapter Tests

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in 100% of Florida Alternate Assessment students will score a mathematics. Level 7 or higher in mathematics on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment. Mathematics Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 75% (3 out of 4) 100% (4 out of 4) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring Students will not be able Individual and small group Classroom Curriculum Assessments Florida Alternate to make commended level instruction Teachers Assessment **FCIM Assessments** due to increased Reciprocal Teaching difficulty of the test at ESE Staffing the next grade level Specialist Some students will not Help teachers and Conduct training with Classroom Florida Alternate be familiar with the students become familiar Teachers teachers and students so Assessment format of the FAA with the format of the that both groups become FAA familiar with the format ESE Staffing Specialist of the FAA

1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a:			t t	75% of students will achieve at least one year's growth in their math level as measured by the 2013 Mathematics FCAT 2.0.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
72% (279) of student made learning gains on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 in mathematics.				It is expected that at least 75% of students will make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 in mathematics.		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

1	Students continue to need remediation beyond school day	J		monitoring data	Classroom assessments, progress monitoring assessments, and 2013 Mathematics FCAT
	New Common Core State Standards (CCSS)	Training for teachers on the CCSS	Administrators	Classroom Observations	iObservation
2				Frequent Progress	FCAT Testmaker
_		Implementation of		Monitoring	FCIM Assessments
		Marzano Instructional			FCAT 2.0
		Strategies			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in 100% of FAA students will increase their math level by at mathematics. least one year's growth in 2013. Mathematics Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 100% (1 out of 1) of FAA students are expected to make 100% (1 out of 1) of Floria Alternate Assessment students learning gains in math in 2013. Three students will be taking made learning gains in math in 2012. the FAA for the first time. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Due to the date of the Teach material that is Classroom Frequent Progress FCIM Assessments FAA adminisration, relevant to the FAA prior Teachers Monitoring students may not have to the test. Florida Alternate adequate time to learn Assessment Small group instruction classroom material necessary to make learning gains Increased difficulty of Individual and small group Classroom Frequent Progress **FCIM Assessments** the FAA at the next Teachers Monitoring instruction grade level Florida Alternate Assessment Due to students taking Implement the Unique Frequent Progress **FCIM Assessments** Classroom Monitoring FCAT previously, some Teachers Learning System students will not be Florida Alternate 3 familiar with the format Manipulatives Assessment of the FAA Technology

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4:	At least 75% of students in the lowest quartile will make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 in mathematics.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
78% (302) of students in the lowest 25% made learning gains on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 in mathematics.	It is expected that at least 75% of students in the lowest 25% will make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 in mathematics.			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1		Professional Development for teachers on the CCSS		Frequent Progress Monitoring	iObservation FCAT Testmaker FCIM Assessments FCAT 2.0	

Based on Amb	Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target							
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Middle School Mathematics Goal # By the 2016-2017 school year, the percentage of middle school students demonstrating proficiency in mathematics will increase by 18%. 5A:					
Baseline data 2010-2011 2011-2012 2012-2013			2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
	68%	70%	73%	76%	79%			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making 78% of white students will score at or above Achievement satisfactory progress in mathematics. Level 3 on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: It is expected that at least 78% of white students will score 75% of white students scored at or above Achievement Level at or above Achievement Level 3 on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 in 3 on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 in mathematics. mathematics. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy New Common Core State Professional Development Administrators iObservation Classroom Observations Standards (CCSS) for teachers on the CCSS FCAT Testmaker Frequent Progress **FCIM Assessments** Monitoring Implementation of FCAT 2.0 Marzano insructional strategies

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:				
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C:	At least 70% of English Language Learners will score at or above Achievement Level 3 in Mathematics on the 2013 FCAT 2.0.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

86% of English Language Learners scored at or above Achievement Level 3 in Mathematics on the 2012 FCAT 2.0.

It is expected that at least 70% of English Language Learners will score at or above Achievement Level 3 in Mathematics on the 2013 FCAT 2.0.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	1	Professional Development for teachers on CCSS	Administrators		iObservation FCAT Testmaker
1		Implementation of		- 1 - 3	FCIM Assessments
		Marzano instructional			FCAT 2.0
		strategies		Florida Continuous	
				Improvment Model (FCIM)	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D:	48% of students with disabilities will score at or above Achievement Level 3 in mathematics on the 2013 FCAT 2.0.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
	It is expected that at least 48% of students with disabilities will score at or above Achievement Level 3 in mathematics on the 2013 FCAT 2.0.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Standards (CCSS)	Professional Development for teachers on CCSS Implementation of Marzano instructional strategies	Administrators	Frequent Progress Monitoring	iObservation FCAT Testmaker FCIM Assessments FCAT 2.0

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5E:	63% of economically disadvantaged students will score at or above Achievement Level 3 in mathematics on the 2013 FCAT 2.0.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
61% of economically disadvantaged students scored at or above Achievement Level 3 in mathematics on the 2012 FCAT 2.0.	It is expected that at least 63% of economically disadvantaged students will score at or above Achievment Level 3 in mathematics on the 2013 FCAT 2.0.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1		Professional Development for teachers on CCSS	School Based Administrators	Classroom Observations	iObservation

1		Implementation of Marzano instructional strategies		Monitoring	FCAT Testmaker FCIM Assessments FCAT 2.0
---	--	--	--	------------	--

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

 $^*\ \textit{When using percentages, include the number of students\ the\ percentage\ represents\ next\ to\ the\ percentage\ (e.g.,\ 70\%\ (35)).}$

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	y and define areas	
Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1:			50% of Florida	50% of Florida Alternate Assessment students at Trenton Middle/High will score a level 6 in mathematics.		
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performance) :	
25%	(1 out of 4)		50% (2 out of	50% (2 out of 4)		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students will not be able to make achieved level due to increased difficulty of the test at the next grade level.	Individual and small group instructions Reciprocal Teaching	Classroom Teachers ESE Staffing Specialist	Curriculum Assessments FCIM Assessments	Florida Alternate Assessment	
2	Some student will not be familair will the Florida Alternate Assessment format	Get the students and teachers familiar with the Florida Alternate Assessment.	Classroom Teachers ESE Staffing Specialist	Conduct Training with teachers and students so that both groups become familiar with the FAA format	Florida Alternate Assessment	

1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
or ab	orida Alternate Assessi ove Level 7 in mathem ematics Goal #2:	ment: Students scoring natics.	100% of Florid	100% of Florida Alternate Assessment students at Trenton Middle/High will score a level 7 or above in				
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
75%	(3 out 4)		100% (4 out o	100% (4 out of 4)				
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
	Students will not be able to make	Individual and small group instructions	Classroom Teachers	Curriculum Assessments	Florida Alternate Assessment			

1	commended level due to increased difficulty of the test at the next grade level.		ESE Staffing Specialist	FCIM Assessment		
2	Florida Alternate	and teachers are familiar with the Florida	Teachers ESE Staffing	Conduct training with teachers and students so that both groups become familiar with the FAA	Florida Alternate Assessment	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students 100% of Trenton Middle/High School students taking the making learning gains in mathematics. Florida Alternate Assessment will increase their math level by one year's growth or more. Mathematics Goal #3: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 100% (1 out of 1) of Florida Alternate Assessment 100% (1 out of 1) of Florida Alternate Assessment students at Trenton Middle/High School are expected to students at Trenton Middle/High School made learning make learning gains in math, in 2013. Three students will gains in math, in 2012. be taking the FAA for the first time. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Florida Alternate Due to the time the Make sure to teach Classroom FCIM Report FAA is given, students material that is relevent Teachers Assessment may not have enough to the FAA. time to learn classroom material necessary to Small group instructions make gains. Due to increased Individual and small Classroom FCIM Report Florida Alternate difficulty of the Florida group instructins Teachers Assessment Alternate Assessment at the next grade level, student may not make

Classroom

Teachers

FCIM Reports

Floridaa Alternate

Assessment

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

learning gains.

3

Due to students taking

will not be familiar with

FCAT the past few

years, some students

the Florida Alternate

Assessment format.

Students and teachers

familiar with the Florida

Alternate Assessment

format.

will need to become

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.

Algebra Goal #1:

The percentage of Algebra 1 students who score below Achievement Level 3 on the Algebra 1 EOC will decrease by 5%.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

It is expected that at least 75% of Algebra 1 students will score at or above Achievement Level 3 on the Algebra 1 EOC Assessment.

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1	Students lacking basic skills in math.	Spiral Review		Frequent Progress Monitoring	FCAT Testmaker FCIM Assessments Class Assessments Algebra 1 EOC				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 The percentage of Algebra 1 students that score at or above and 5 in Algebra. Achievement Level 4 on the Algebra 1 EOC Assessment will increase by 2%. Algebra Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: It is expected that at least 30% of Algebra 1 students will 28% (25) of Algebra 1 students scored at or above score at or above Achievement Level 4 on the Algebra 1 EOC Achievement Level 4 on the Algebra 1 EOC Assessment. Assessment. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on Amb	ased on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target							
3A. Ambitious Measurable Ob school will red by 50%.	ojectives (AMO	e Annual s). In six year	Algebra Goal # 3A:					
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making At least 75% of white Algebra 1 students will score at or satisfactory progress in Algebra. above Achievement Level 3 on the Algebra 1 EOC Assessment. Algebra Goal #3B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 72% (58) of white Algebra 1 students scored at or above It is expected that at least 75% of white Algebra 1 students Achievement Level 3 on the Algebra 1 EOC Assessment. will score at or above Achievement Level 3 on the Algebra 1 Other subgroups have no data reported because the total EOC Assessment. number in each group is less than 10. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data Submitted		

Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the fo	student achievement data, ar Ilowing subgroup:	nd refere	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3C:			No data		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nce:
No data			No data		
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to Li	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Perso Positi Respo for Monit	ion onsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	N	o Data S	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. At least 45% of Algebra 1 Students with Disabilities will score at or above Achievement Level 3 on the Algebra 1 EOC. Algebra Goal #3D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 41% (7) of Algebra 1 students with Disabilities scored at or It is expected that at least 45% of Algebra 1 Students with above Achievement Level 3 on the Algebra 1 EOC Disabilities will score Level 3 or higher on the Algebra 1 EOC Assessment. Assessment. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.

Algebra Goal #3E:

70% of economically disadvantaged Algebra 1 students will score at or above Achievement Level 3 on the Algebra 1 EOC Assessment.

				I		
20°	2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
64% (29) of economically disadvantaged Algebra 1 students scored at or above Achievement Level 3 on the Algebra 1 EOC Assessment.				It is expected that at least 70% of economically disadvantaged Algebra 1 students will score Level 3 or above on the Algebra 1 EOC Assessment.		
		Problem-Solving Prod	cess to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Pos Respon			son or sition sible for toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Student Motivation	Create engaging activities	Teacher School E Adminis	Based	Teacher/AdministratorObservation of level of student engagement Progress Monitoring	Class Assessments FCIM Assessments Geometry EOC iObservation

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in 70% of Geometry students will score at or above Geometry. Achievment Level 3 on the 2013 Geometry EOC Assessment. Geometry Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: It is expected that at least 70% of Geometry students 23% (17) of students scored in the medium range on the will score at or above Level 3 on the 2013 Geometry EOC 2012 Geometry EOC Assessment. Assessment. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Student Motivation Creating Engaging Math Teachers **Progress Monitoring** Class Activities Assessments School Based Teacher/Administrators **FCIM** Administrators Monitoring Level of Assessments Student Engagment Geometry EOC iObservation

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels
4 and 5 in Geometry.

Geometry Goal #2:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

1 is expected that at least 60% of students will score at or above assessment.

1 it is expected that at least 60% of students will score at or above Achievement Level 4 on the 2013 Geometry EOC assessment.

		Problem	n-Solving Proces	s to Incr	ease St	tudent	Achievement	
Anticipated Barr	Anticipated Barrier Strategy			Person Position Respons for Monitor	n sible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool
		•	No	Data Sub	mitted			
Based on Ambitiou Target	ıs but	Achievable	e Annual Measurat	ole Object	tives (Al	MOs), i	AMO-2, Reading a	nd Math Performance
3A. Ambitious but Annual Measurable (AMOs). In six yea reduce their achie 50%.	e Obje ir scho	ectives ool will	Geometry Goal #					<u></u> ★
Baseline data 2011-2012	201	12-2013	2013-2014	2	2014-201	15	2015-2016	2016-2017
Based on the analy				and refe	rence to	"Guid	ing Questions", id	entify and define areas
3B. Student subg Hispanic, Asian, a satisfactory prog Geometry Goal #	Ameri gress	ican India	n) not making	κ,				
2012 Current Lev	el of	Performa	nce:	20)13 Exp	ected	Level of Perform	nance:
		Problem	n-Solving Proces	s to Incr	rease St	tudent	: Achievement	
Anticipated Barr	ier	Strategy		Person Position Respond for Monitor	n sible	Deter	iveness of	Evaluation Tool
			No	Data Sub	mitted			
Based on the analin need of improve				and refe	rence to	"Guid	ing Questions", id	entify and define areas
3C. English Lang satisfactory prog	_			9				
Geometry Goal #	3C:							
2012 Current Lev	/el of	Performa	nce:	20)13 Exp	ected	Level of Perform	nance:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questi in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					lentify and define areas
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.					
Geometry Goal #3D:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No	Data :	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.						
Geometry Goal #3E:						
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfor	mance:	
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Posi Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for			on or tion oonsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	ım(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

		ent achievement data, ar for the following group:	nd reference to "	Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define	
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a:		100 % of Florida Alternate Assessment students at Trenton Middle/High School will score higher than levels 4, 5, 6 on the 2013 FAA in Science.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
0% (0 out of 3)			N/A			
	Prob	lem-Solving Process to	Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
			Person or	Process Used to		

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	years, some students will not be familiar with	teachers and students are familiar with the	Teachers ESE Staffing	Conduct trainings with teachers and students so that both groups become familiar with the FAA.	
2	year the Florida Alternate Assessment is given, students may not have enough time	Make sure to teach material that is most relevent to the FAA. Small group instructions	Classroom teacher	FCIM report	Florida Alternate Assessment Science

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
Stud	lorida Alternate Asses ents scoring at Levels nce Goal #1b:		the Florida Alte	100% of Trenton Middle/High School students taking the Florida Alternate Assessment will maintain a level 7 or above in Science.		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
100%	o(3 out of 3)		100% (1 out c	100% (1 out of 1)		
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Due to students taking FCAT the past few years, some students will not be familiar with the Florida Alternate Assessment format.	teachers and students are familiar with the	Classroom Teacher ESE Staffing Specialist	Conduct trainings with teachers and students so that both groups become familiar with the FAA.	Florida Alternate Assessment Science	
2	Due to the time of year the Florida Alternate Assessment is give, students may not have enough time to become proficient with the curriculum being taught.	Make sure to teach material that is most relevent to the FAA Small group instructions	Classroom Teachers	FCIM Report	Florida Alternate Assessment Science	
3	Due to students taking FCAT previously, some students will not be familiar with the format of the FAA	Learning System	Classroom Teachers	Frequent Progress Monitoring	FCIM Assessments Florida Alternate Assessment	

sed on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define as in need of improvement for the following group:		
	At least 25% of 8th grade students will score at or above Achievement Level 4 on the 2013 FCAT Science.	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:	

It is expected that at least 25% of 8th grade students 19% (37) of 5th and 8th grade students scored at or will score at or above Achievment Level 4 on the 2013 above Achievment Level 4 on the 2012 Science FCAT. Science FCAT. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 100% of the FAA students will score a level 7 or above in science. on the 2013 FAA in science. Science Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 100% (3 out of 3) 100% (1 out of 1) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Due to the date of the Teach material that is Classroom Frequent Progress FCIM FAA adminisration, relevant to the FAA Teachers Monitoring Assessments students may not have prior to the test. adequate time to learn Florida Alternate Small group instruction classroom material Assessment

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.

Science Goal #1:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

0% (0 out of 3)

N/A

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1		teachers and students are familiar with the	Classroom Teachers ESE Staffing Specialist	Conduct trainings with teachers and students so that both groups become familiar with the FAA.			
2	Due to the time of year the Florida Alternate Assessment is given, students may not have enough time to become proficient with the curriculum being taught.	Make sure to teach material that is most relevent to the FAA. Small group instructions	Classroom teacher	FCIM report	Florida Alternate Assessment Science		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring 100% of Trenton Middle/High School students taking at or above Level 7 in science. the Florida Alternate Assessment will maintain a level 7 or above in Science. Science Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 100% (1 out of 1) 100%(3 out of 3) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Determine Position **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of Responsible for Monitoring Strategy Due to students taking Make sure that Classroom Conduct trainings with Florida Alternate FCAT the past few teachers and students Teacher teachers and students Assessment so that both groups years, some students are familiar with the Science will not be familiar with Florida Alternate ESE Staffing become familiar with the Florida Alternate Assessment format. Specialist the FAA. Assessment format. Florida Alternate Due to the time of Make sure to teach Classroom FCIM Report year the Florida material that is most Teachers Assessment Alternate Assessment relevent to the FAA Science is give, students may not have enough time Small group to become proficient instructions with the curriculum being taught.

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in
Biology. 75% of THS Biology students will score level 3 or higher

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Biology Goal #1:			on the 2013 B	on the 2013 Biology EOC.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
46% (19) of THS Biology students scored in the medium range on the 2012 Biology EOC Assessment.				score at or above Achievement Level 3 on the 2013		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	attendance, lack of	and use of inquiry learning strategies.	teachers at all grade levels	analysis of FCAT Biology EOC results, FCAT Testmaker progress monitoring, and classroom assessments.	FCAT Biology EOC	

	d on the analysis of stud s in need of improvemen			"Guiding Questions", ide	entify and define	
Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology.			At least 35%	At least 35% of Biology students will score at or above Achievement Level 4 on the 2013 Biology EOC Assessment.		
Levels Fand of the Blotogy.						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expec	ted Level of Performar	nce:	
29% (12) of Biology students scored in the high range on the 2012 Biology EOC Assessement.			score at or a	It is expected that at least 35% of Biology students will score at or above Achievement Level 4 on the 2013 Biology EOC Assessment.		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stu	dent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible fo Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too	
1	poor student attendance, lack of prior student knowledge, and lack of classroom contact time for the volume of tested material.		teachers at all grade levels	analysis of FCAT Biology EOC results, FCAT Testmaker progress monitoring, and classroom assessments.	FCAT Biology EOC	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus PD Facilitat and/or PL Level/Subject Leader	subject grade	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	---------------	---	--	--

Comprehension Instructional Sequence (CIS) Model	6th-12th Grade Science Teachers		School-wide	. ,	Classroom Observations	District Staff and School Based Administrators
---	---------------------------------------	--	-------------	-----	---------------------------	--

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	arri(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level3.0 and higher in writing.Writing Goal #1a:			At least 96% of instruction/ass the 2013 FCAT	At least 96% of THS 8/10 graders will progress through instruction/assessment on the holistic scoring rubric for the 2013 FCAT Writes and score a 3 or above on the 2013 FCAT writing test.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performance	e:	
91% OF 8TH Graders achieved a score of 3 or higher on 2012 FCAT writes 8th Grade (86/95) 90@ of 10th graders achieved a score of 3 or higher on 2012 FCAT writes 10TH Grade (80/89			96% of studen	96% of students in 8th and 10th grades will score a level 3 or higher on the 2013 FCAT writes		
	Prob	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	proficiency (or higher)	Writing program that is rigorous and comprehensive in all grades	Director of Secondary Ed/ Teachers	Student grades on inclass assessments, Gilchrist Writes assessments and classroom instruction	FCAT Writes 2013	
		In county program of writing instruction, assessment and	Director of Secondary Education, Admin,	Assessment topic from DSE, students write, teachers trade papers	Gilchrist Writes and FCAT Writes 2013	

2		cooperative grading between the two schools in-county	to grade. Each assessments' grades collated and used for evaluation purposes. Students see how other graders view their writing	
3	Writing becoming more	Lesson plans for all Engligh/LA teachers will include rubrics for scoring the students' writing samples with the more rigerous requirements and holistic scoring emphasis. Teachers received 2 days of intensive training on calibration papers and anchor papers for grading rubric.	Grades on writing assignments and Gilchrist Writes scores	FCAT 2013

1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
at 4 d	lorida Alternate Assess or higher in writing. ng Goal #1b:	sment: Students scorin	100% of Florid Trenton Middle	100% of Florida Alternate Assessment students at Trenton Middle/High School will maintain a score of 4 or higher in writing.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performance	> :		
100% (2 out of 2)			100% (1 out o	100% (1 out of 1)			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	the FCAT the past few years, some students	Make sure that teachers and students are familiar with the Florida Alternate Assessment format.	Classroom Teachers ESE Staffing Specialist	Conduct trainings with teachers and students so that both groups are familiar with the FAA.	Florida Alternate Assessment Writing		
2	Due to the time of year the Florida Alternate Assessment is given, student may not have enough time to become proficient with writing.	material that is most relevent to the FAA.	Classroom Teachers	Student work samples	Florida Alternate Assessment Writing		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

Τ

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	(e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Deep discussion of state calibration and anchor papers for grades 8,10 Gilchrist Writes grading to reflect state changes. Common Core Standards preparation and integration	Language Arts and English teachers 6- 12 and including ESE/inclusion teachers	Director of Secondary Education	All county language arts, English, and inclusion teachers	Pre-planning and Sept. 10, 11	Gilchrist Writes and data collaboration. Schools trade papers to ensure fidelity	Director of Secondary Education, Principals and teachers
Teachers meet to grade papers together at MS and HS levels (3 times during the year)	Language Arts and English teachers 6- 12. To include inclusion and ESE teachers	Director of Secondary Education	All language arts and English teachers.	Sept. 20/21 Nov. 14/15 Jan. 17/18 grading dates	compare grades and remediate students using weekly writing assignments and in class collaboration	Director of Secondary Education, Principals, teachers

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.

Civics Goal #1:

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
Problem-Solving Process to			ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement for the following group:					
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in Civics.						
Civics Goal #2:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of in need of improvement	f student achievement data, for the following group:	and r	eference to	o "Guiding Questions", id	entify and define areas
 Students scoring at History. 	Achievement Level 3 in U	.S.			
U.S. History Goal #1:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces:	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define area in need of improvement for the following group:				
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels				
4 and 5 in U.S. History.				
U.S. History Goal #2:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

U.S. History Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	arri(3)/ Materiar(3)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	ed on the analysis of at mprovement:	tendance data, and refe	rence	to "Guiding Ques	tions", identify and defi	ne areas in need	
Attendance Attendance Goal #1:			Reduce the % of students with excessive absences and tardies by 10%				
201	2 Current Attendance	Rate:		2013 Expected	Attendance Rate:		
97%	6 students attend each	day		97% attendance	97% attendance rate will be maintained		
	2 Current Number of Sences (10 or more)	Students with Excessiv	/e	2013 Expected Absences (10 c	Number of Students vor more)	with Excessive	
155	155			Reduce the # of students with 10 or more absences by 10% (139)			
	2 Current Number of S dies (10 or more)	Students with Excessiv	/e	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			
205				Reduce the number of students with 10 or more tardies by 10% (184)			
	Pı	roblem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease Studen	t Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	son or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students continue to be tardy and absent	Continue Home Visits by Truancy Officer, Child Study Team meetings, weekly attendance letters 5, 10, and 15 day letters notifying parents of the absences, student incentives for not having any additional absences or tardies	Admii Office		Reduced number of absences and tardies	Attendance Reports	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

(PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
	No Data Submitted							

Evidence-based Progra	(-)(-)		Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Awaliable
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference of improvement:	to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
Suspension Suspension Goal #1:	Trenton High School will reduce the percent of students receiving out of school suspension and in school suspension for the 2012-13 school year. We will strive to create other interventions and alternatives to suspensions through use of the Positive Behavior Support Team.
2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions
478	Reduce by 10%
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School
190	Reduce by 10%
2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions
242	Reduce by 10%
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of- School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School
136	Reduce by 10%

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Limited consequence options & Community Expectations	THS will utilize the LEAPS program for behavior modification and responsibility training Community forum to discuss the student code of conduct and bus code of conduct	Administration	Review of student discipline data	% of overall student referrals for OSS and ISS		
2	students need positive behavior incentives	PBS team will continue to work to give positive motivation for behaviors	team	review of student data	% of overall student referrals for discipline infractions		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PBS/MTSS	6th-12th Grades All Subjects	Administration	School-wide	IIV/onthiv/	Analyze Discipline Data	PBS Coach School Based Administrators

Suspension Budget:

			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
1. Dr	ropout Prevention					
Drop	oout Prevention Goal #1	:			nas a 92% graduation ra THS administration and t	
*Plea	ase refer to the percenta	ge of students who			percent of students drop	
drop	ped out during the 2011	2012 school year.				
2012	2 Current Dropout Rate:			2013 Expecte	d Dropout Rate:	
THS currently has a drop out rate of less than .0014%				THS will reduce the % of students that drop out to 0%.		
2012	2 Current Graduation Ra	ite:		2013 Expected Graduation Rate:		
	ton High School's NGA (so uation rate is 92%	chool grades) current		THS would like to increase the 2012-13 graduation rate to 98%.		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to I r	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students who after repeated interventions choose to drop out and mostly pursue programs outside the traditional school campus	Child Study Team meetings, involve outside agencies to help the student see the relevence of obtaining a high school diploma	gui		Admin. will monitor closely the attendance patterns of students at THS	Graduation rate for the 2012-13 SY.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Dropout Prevention Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. Parent Involvement As a rurual community, parents must travel some distance to commute to work; it is, therefore, difficult for Parent Involvement Goal #1: parents to participate extensively. Teachers are willing to give students incentives to help raise parent *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participation. Administration will make weekly phone calls participated in school activities, duplicated or home using the automated calling system in order to keep unduplicated. parents informed regarding school events and news. 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: Less than 5% (30) participated in a PTO during the 2011-Increase PTO participation by 10%. 12 SY. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	,	Parent/Guardians have access to view student grades and attendance by use of Skyward, an online grade input and reporting program, link on the district/school web site	1	parent feedback and positive survey results	survey results at the end of 2012- 13 school year
	Parents cannot always come to P/T meetings. Teachers cannot always reach parents	THS will keep parents and guardians informed of current school events	Admin./Teachers	parent survey/ discussions with parents	parent survey

2	by phone or personal contact	using the phone message school messaging system, email, and classroom newsletters			
3	Lack of a large scale parental participation hinders TMHS's ability to effectively provide feedback, leadership and cooperative productive interaction.	The newsletter will be produced by a group of supervised students, in cooperation with the school faculty to include information from all grade levels and organizations within the school.		Parend feedback (formal and informal)	parent feedback and survey
4	Low parental involvement. Difficulty in contacting parents	Website calendar maintained to keep parents apprised. Also newpaper calendar.	Admin/Teachers	Parent feedback	parent feedback

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
1. STEM STEM Goal #1:			careers, and posterior STEM fields in	To increase student awareness and knowledge of STEM careers, and provide guidance to students interested in STEM fields in selecting STEM-related courses at the high school level and through dual enrollment classes.	
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students' lack of background knowledge about STEM career options	Provide information and guidance to students about STEM courses and career options	Guidance Counselors	Analyze enrollment in STEM related courses	Course enrollment records

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
	No Data Submitted						

STEM Budget:

			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis	of school data, ident	ify and define a	areas in ne	eed of improvement:	
1. CTE			Increase	the number of student	s gaining industry
CTE Goal #1:			Increase the number of students gaining industry certification by 10%.		
	Problem-Solvin	g Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	t
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Submitted		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
	No Data Submitted						

CTE Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

Student Technology Safety Goal Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
Student Technology Safety Cool Cool #1.			Internet security and safety to protect students and faculty from internet threats as well as protect students and faculty from threats within our network		
2012 Current level:			2013 Expected level:		
Anti-virus software installed on all computers. Web based security and screening of all access to the internet. Each student computer is "frozen" so that no malicious or non-instructional materials can be installed or downloaded. In addition, students cannot change settings.					
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to Inc	crease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
	No Data Submitted						

Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	om(e)/Motorial(e)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Student Technology Safety Goal Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based	Program(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Dev	/elopment			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
				Grand Total: \$0.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance



Are you a reward school: † Yes † No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



If NO, describe the measures being taken to Comply with SAC Requirement

Describe projected use of SAC funds	Amount		
No data submitted			

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Gilchrist School Distric TRENTON HIGH SCHOO 2010-2011						
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	80%	80%	86%	69%	315	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	62%	66%			128	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?		58% (YES)			105	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					548	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					В	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Gilchrist School District TRENTON HI GH SCHOOL 2009-2010						
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	81%	87%	89%	68%	325	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	61%	79%			140	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	50% (YES)	66% (YES)			116	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					581	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested