FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

| School Name: 1211 Fairmount Park Elementary School | District Name: Pinellas County Schools |
|--|--|
| Principal: Cooper Dawson | Superintendent: John A. Stewart, Ed.D. |
| SAC Chair: TBA | Date of School Board Approval: Pending: October 19, 2012 |

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | Number of Years at Current School | Number of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year) |
|------------------------|----------------|--|---|---|--|
| Principal | Cooper Dawson | B.A. Exceptional Student Education; M.A. Leadership | 3 | 14 | Fairmount Park Elementary Reading 2010 (42%); 2011 (44%); 2012 (22%) Lowest 25% Learning Gains 2010 (43%); 2011 (61%); 2012 (70%) Math 2010 (41); 2011(30%); 2012(22%) Lowest 25% Learning Gains 2010 (78%); 2011 (72%); 2012 (72%) School Grades 2010 (F); 2011 (D); 2012 (D) |
| Assistant Principal | Michael Rebman | B.S. Criminal Justice; M.A. Ed. Leadership | 3 | 3 | Fairmount Park Elementary Reading 2010 (42%); 2011 (44%); 2012 (22%) Lowest 25% Learning Gains 2010 (43%); 2011 (61%); 2012 (70%) Math 2010 (41); 2011(30%); 2012(22%) Lowest 25% Learning Gains 2010 (78%); 2011 (72%); 2012 (72%) School Grades 2010 (F); 2011 (D); 2012 (D) |
| Assistant Principal | Neala Jackson | B.A. Elementary Education; M.A. Educational Leadership | 2 | 1 | Fairmount Park Elementary Reading 2012 (22%) Lowest 25% Learning Gains 2012 (70%) Math 2012(22%) Lowest 25% Learning Gains 2012 (72%) School Grades 2012 (D) |

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | Number of Years at Current School | Number of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|------|----------|--|---------------------------------|----------|----|
| Reading (Primary) | Helen Lukavec | B.S. M.A. Certified: Elem Ed 1-5, Early Childhood, ESOL | 2 | 7 | DISTRICT Reading DATA 2011/2012 56% 60% 60% 2010/2011 58% 59% 57% 2009/10 B 66% 67% 61% 2008/09 B 72% 69% 62% | | | | | | |
| Reading (Intermediate) | Caroline Robinson | Elementary Education | 0 | 1 | DISTRICT Reading DATA 2011/2012 56% 60% 60% | | | | | | |
| | Elementary Education 1-6 B.S. | | | Year | School Grade | Prof | iciency | | Percent Making Leaning Gains | Lo Ga | |
| Math | Joe Gaymore | University of South Florida | 2 | 7 | 11/12 | D | 3 18% | | 5 15% | 59% | 72 |
| | | | | | 10/11 | D | 30% | | | 50% | 72 |
| Science | Tiffani Vinson | Bachelor's Degree/Child Life & Elementary Ed. Master's Degree/ Math & Science Elementary Ed. | 2 | 4 | 2011-2012/Fairmount Park Elementary: Science FCAT Scores of Level 3 or higher was 24%; previous year was 26% | | | | | | |

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

| Des | scription of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date |
|-----|--|--------------------|---------------------------|
| 1. | New Teacher Orientation are monthly meetings with the principal to discuss concerns and ask questions | Principal | Ongoing |
| 2. | Mentor-Mentee Program - Weekly meeting with mentor to discuss challenges and planning | Principal | Ongoing |
| 3. | Culture Building Activities such as Hospitality Socials, Staff luncheons, First Friday Activities etc. | Hospitality Chair | Ongoing |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|--|---|
| 1 Teacher (Out of Field) | |
| | |
| | |

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Total Number of Instructional Staff | % of First- Year Teachers | % of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | % Highly Effective Teachers | % Reading Endorsed Teachers | % National Board Certified Teachers | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 32 | 13%(4) | 38% (12) | 19% (6) | 31% (10) | 22% (7) | | 13% (4) | 3% (1) | 50% (16) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee Assigned | Rationale for Pairing | Planned Mentoring Activities |
|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---|
| TBA | | | Observation of mentee's instruction and providing |
| | | | feedback; Planning lessons with mentee; Connecting |
| | | | lesson activities to content standards; Discussing student |

| 2012-2013 School In | provement Plan | (SIP)-Form SIP-1 |
|---------------------|----------------|------------------|
|---------------------|----------------|------------------|

| | progress and analyzing student work; Modeling or co-teaching |
|--|--|
| | lessons |

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I. Part A

Title I, Part A funds are utilized, in conjunction with district operating funds and other federal resources, to support teaching and learning, parental engagement, and professional development. Title I services are coordinated and integrated with other resources through the Division of Teaching and Learning, Student Assignment, and Research and Accountability.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

NA in Pinellas

Title I, Part D

The district receives Title I, Part D funds which provide transition services from alternative education programs to zoned schools. In addition, a portion of Title I, Part A funds is reserved for services to neglected and delinquent students. Funds are targeted to support continuous education services to students in neglected and delinquent facilities through tutoring, instructional materials and resources, and technology.

Title II

The district receives funds to increase student achievement through professional development for teachers and administrators. Title II funds provide math and science coaches, as required by Differentiated Accountability, in some of the district's lowest performing schools. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide additional reading and math coaches in targeted schools based on FCAT results.

Title III

Title III funds provide educational materials, bilingual translators, summer programs, and other support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners. Bilingual translators provide assistance with parent workshops and dissemination of information in various languages for Title I schools.

Title X- Homeless

The district receives funds to provide resources for students for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is also reserved to provide services to homeless students (social workers, a resource teacher, tutoring, and technology).

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI funds are coordinated with Title I, Part A funds to provide extended learning opportunities for students before/during/after school and during the summer.

Violence Prevention Programs

Nutrition Programs

Title I coordinates with district food services to provide breakfast and lunch to students in Title I summer extended learning camps.

Head Start

Title I, Part A funds are used to provide Pre-Kindergarten to Kindergarten transition services. Title I schools coordinate with staff from public and private preschool programs, including Head Start, to prepare students for a successful start to school. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide classes for 3 year olds at targeted elementary schools to support early literacy.

Adult Education

Career and Technical Education

Job Training

Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Cooper Dawson - Principal

Neala Jackson – Assistant Principal Curriculum

Michael Rebman - Assistant Principal RTI

Katy Foley – RTI Coach (SIG)

Beth Woodard - RTI Coach Academic

Kourtney Bell - RTI Coach Behavior

Gema Matanle - RTI Coach Behavior

Joe Gaymore – Math Coach

Helen Lukavek - Primary Literacy Coach

Carollaine Robinson - Intermediate Literacy Coach

Tiffani Vinson - Science Coach

Amanda Dryden – Initiative Coordinator

Kama Conrad – Initiative Social Worker

Kelly Davidson - Initiative Social Worker

Brian Piscalko – Social Worker – Attendance

Marcia Youngerman – Social Worker

Jennifer Paradis – Intermediate Teacher

Julia Quinn – Primary Teacher

Jesse Steif – Psychologist

Susan Schilt – Instructional Staff Developer RTI (SIG)

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

- Facilitator – generates agenda and leads team discussions

Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) – assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data

Recorder/Note Taker – documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access

Time Keeper –helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda

Meeting time: Tuesdays, 4:15 – 5:15 pm

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? Based on our DA Plan, the MTSS Team met and problem solved regarding our student and teacher effectiveness. The team also met over the summer to create our SIP Action Plan. We problem solved and created a focus for each strand which includes: setting a clear purpose for learning, gradual

release, increasing rigor, differentiating instruction using data, behavior management, and wellness. The MTSS Team will continue to monitor the progress and calendar specific strategies to help increase effective instruction and behavior management.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Tier 1 literacy data is collected from FCAT, FAIR and district writing assessments. Tier 2 literacy data is collected from DIBELS and writing prompts. Tier 3 reading data is collected from Aimsweb.

Tier 1 math data is collected from FCAT, benchmark assessments, and Aimsweb. Tier 2 data is collected from pre- and post benchmark assessments. Tier 3 math data is collected from Aimsweb.

Tier 1 Science data is collected from FCAT, district assessments, and benchmark assessments.

Tier 1 behavior data is collected from STOIC walk throughs, Office Discipline Referrals, In and out of School Suspensions. We are in the process of deciding data that will be used for Tier 2.

Tier 3 data is collected from specific interventions, and FBA monitoring.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. The staff is trained on the RtI process through Professional Learning Communities, School Improvement planning and faculty meetings. An SBLT member is assigned to each grade level Professional Learning Community to facilitate the problem solving process as we analyze data, student work, and learning strategies.

Describe the plan to support MTSS. Continue to have weekly meetings, common planning time for teachers, PLCs with a focus on MTSS, calendaring SIP strategies, and meet with the DA Team for support systems.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Armela Dhamo

Neala Jackson

Helen Lukavec

Jeanie Robinson

Jennifer Paradis

Julia Quinn

Patti Tsaousis

Beth Woodard

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

- Support for text complexity
- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
 - o Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
 - o Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
 - o Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
 - o Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
 - o Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Support for text complexity

- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Kindergarten Teachers will hold an orientation for incoming students and their parents prior to the beginning of the school year. Readiness skills will be emphasized and good choices for academic and social characteristics will be presented. Materials will be available, as well as pamphlets covering a variety

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

of helpful parenting subjects ranging from parenting skills, helping with homework, students with disabilities and what to expect at a parent teacher conference.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | ling Goal | | Statement of State | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|--|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | | |
| Improve current level of performance | 1 3 in reading 2012 Current Level of Performance:* (15%) | _ | instruction | learning and learning goals in each lesson | evaluate teachers, Classroom Teachers, Academic Coaches | *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson | 1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans | | | |
| | | | 1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction | Strategies | evaluate teachers, Classroom Teachers, Academic Coaches | 1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes | 1a.2. Walkthrough | | | |

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

| | | | | *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and | |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; | |
| | | | | Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur | |
| | 1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction | 1a.3. Increase instructional rigor | 1a.3. Administrators who evaluate teachers, Classroom Teachers, Academic Coaches | | 1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results |
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. | Insufficient | 1b.2. Implement High Yield | | 1b.2. Determine: | 1b.2. Walkthrough |
| Reading Goal #1b: Level of Improve current level of performance 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Perform | standard based instruction | Instructional Strategies | evaluate teachers | *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and | |

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

| | - | | | | |
|---|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | Independent Practice occur | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 1b.2. | 1b.2. | 1b.2. | 1b.2. | 1b.2. |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 1b.3. | 1b.3. | 1b.3. | 1b.3. | 1b.3. |
| | | | | | |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, | Anticipated Barrier | Ctusts | D | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness | Evaluation Tool |
| and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for Monitoring | of | Evaluation 1001 |
| define areas in need of improvement for the following | | | for Monitoring | Strategy | |
| group: | | | | Strategy | |
| 2a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or above | 2a.1. | 2a.1. | 2a.1. | 2a.1. | |
| | Lack of | | Administrators who | | Walkthrough |
| AchievementLevels 4 and 5 in reading. | differentiation of | | evaluate teachers, | *Teachers regularly assess | vvanca ii oagri |
| Reading Goal #2a: 2012 Current 2013Expected | instruction | inform differentiation | | students' readiness for learning | |
| Reading Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Level of | instruction | | | and achievement of knowledge | |
| Improve current level of Performance:* Performance:* | | | 7 toddornio oddorios | and skills during instruction | |
| | | | | *Teachers facilitate effective | |
| performance 5% (13) Increase | | | | classroom discussions and tasks | |
| level 4 and 5 | | | | that elicit evidence of learning | |
| by 5% | | | | *Teachers collect both formal | |
| | | | | and informal data regarding | |
| | | | | students' learning and provide | |
| | | | | feedback regularly to students | |
| | | | | regarding their personal | |
| | | | | progress throughout the lesson | |
| | | | | cycle | |
| | | | | *Teachers utilize data to modify | |
| | | | | and adjust teaching practices | |
| | | | | and to reflect on the needs and | |
| | | | | progress of students | |
| | 2a.2. | 2a.2. | 2a.2. | 2a.2. | 2a.2. |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 2 2 | h 2 | 2 2 | 2 2 | 2 2 |
| | 2a.3 | 2a.3 | 2a.3 | 2a.3 | 2a.3 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students | 2h 1 | 2b.1. | 2b.1. | 2b.1. | 2b1. |
| | Lack of | | Administrators who | | Administrator Walkthrough Form |
| scoring at or above Level 7 in reading. | differentiation of | assessments to | evaluate teachers, | *Teachers regularly assess | , tanimistrator wantinough roilli |
| | Miller Critiation of | 03303311101113 10 | evaluate teachers, | reactions regularly assess | |

| Improve current level of | Level of Performance:* 44% | 2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase level 7 by 5% | instruction | in instruction | Classroom Teachers, Academic Coaches | students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points | |
|---|---|---|---------------------|----------------|---|--|--|
| | | | 2b.2. | | 2b.2. | 2b.2. | 2b.2. |
| | | | 2b.3 | 2b.3 | 2b.3 | 2b.3 | 2b.3 |
| Based on the analysis and reference to "Gui define areas in need of | ding Questions' | ', identify and | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Improve current level of | Gains in reac 2012 Current Level of | | | Instruction | evaluate teachers, Classroom Teachers, Academic Coaches | 3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments | 3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable |

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

| - | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | | *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. | |
| | | 3a.2. | 3a.2. | 3a.2. | 3a.2. | 3a.2. |
| | | 3a.3. | 3a.3. | 3a.3. | 3a3. | 3a.3. |
| 3b. Florida Alternate Ass Percentage of students in Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b: Improve current level of performance 100% | Current of Level of Performance:* | | Instruction | Academic Coaches | Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of | 3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable |
| | | 3b.2. | 3b.2. | 3b.2. | 3b.2. | 3b.2. |
| | | 3b.3. | 3b.3. | 3b.3. | 3b.3. | 3b.3. |
| Based on the analysis of stud and reference to "Guiding Qu define areas in need of improve group: | uestions", identify and vement for the following | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |

| 4a.FCAT 2.0:Percentage of Lowest 25% making learni reading. Reading Goal #4a: Level of Performance 70% | ng gains in 2013Expected Level of | | Differentiate Instruction | Administrators who evaluate teachers, Classroom Teachers, Academic Coaches | 4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. | |
|---|------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| | | Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas | Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives | SBLT | *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses | 4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs |
| | | 4a.3 | 4a.3. | 4a.3. | 4a.3. | 4a.3. |

| 4b.3 4b.3. 4b.3. 4b.3. 4b.3. 4b.3. | 4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4b: Improve current level of performance 100% (9) 100% | 4b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction 4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas | 4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives | 4b.1. AP who evaluates teachers 4ab.2. SBLT | background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 4b.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|------------------------------|
| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual I 2011-2012 I 2012-2013 I 2013-2014 I 2014-2015 I 2015-2016 I 2016-2017 | Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual | 4b.3 2011-2012 | 4b.3. 2012-2013 | 4b.3. 2013-2014 | 4b.3. 2014-2015 | 4b.3. 2015-2016 2016-2017 |

| Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------|---|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Reading Goal #5A: | | their achievement levels by 20% | Reading and Math: Students will increase their achievement levels by 20% | increase their achievement levels by 10% | Math: Students will | Reading and Math: Students will maintain 100% academic success. |
| Improve current level of performance | 42% SUCCESS | 62% SUCCESS | 82% SUCCESS | 92% SUCCESS | 100% SUCCESS | 100% SUCCESS |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Ev | valuation Tool |
| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. | 5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction | 5b.1. Differentiate Instruction | Teachers, Academic Coaches | 5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. | Walkthrough F | & Administrator Form |

| Improve current level of performance | Level of Performance:* White:4 8% Black: 41 84% Hispanic: 4 8% Asian: | 2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of all subgroups to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of all subgroups by 10% | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---------------|---|--|---|
| | | | 5B.3. | 5B.3. | 5B.3. | 5B.3. | 5B.3. |
| Based on the analysis and reference to "Guid define areas in need of si | ding Questions' | ', identify and | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 5C. English Langua making satisfactory Reading Goal #5C: Improve current level of performance | y progress it 2012 Current Level of Performance:* | 2013Expected Level of | Lack of differentiation of instruction | Differentiate | AP who evaluates teacher | Content materials are differentiated | 5c.1. Lesson Plans & Administrator Walkthrough Form |

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

| | | | | | knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. | |
|--|--|--|----------|---|---|---|
| | | 5C.2. | 5C.2. | 5C.2. | 5C.2. | 5C.2. |
| | | 5C.3. | 5C.3. | 5C.3. | | 5C.3. |
| Based on the analysis of student achi and reference to "Guiding Questions define areas in need of improvement for subgroup: | ", identify and | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Improve current level of performance e:* 0% 0 | n reading. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* | 5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction | | 5d.1. AP who evaluates teacher | background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes | 5d.1. Lesson Plans & Administrator Walkthrough Form |
| | | 5D.2. | 5D.2. | 5D.2. | varying degrees of difficulty. 5D.2. | 5D.2. |
| | | 5D.3. | 5D.3. | 5D.3. | 5D.3. | 5D.3. |
| Based on the analysis of student achi and reference to "Guiding Questions define areas in need of improvement for subgroup: | ", identify and | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |

| 5E. Economically Dis | advantag | ged students | 5e.1. | | 5e.1. | 5e.1. | 5e.1. |
|--------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|------|------------------|--|------------------------------|
| not making satisfacto | ry progr | ess in | | | | Content materials are differentiated | Lesson Plans & Administrator |
| reading. | •• | | differentiation of | | | | Walkthrough Form |
| | 2012 | 2013Expected | instruction | | - | background, prior knowledge of | |
| reading Goal #32. | | Level of | | | Academic Coaches | content, and skill level | |
| Improve current level of | Level of | Performance:* | | | | *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of | |
| performance | Performanc | | | | | diverse learners (learning readiness | |
| | e:* | | | | | and specific learning needs) | |
| | 22% (47) | 100% of | | | | *Models, examples and questions are | |
| | | economically | | | | appropriately scaffolded to meet the | |
| | disadvantage | | | | | needs of diverse learners *Teachers | |
| | | d students | | | | provide small group instruction to | |
| | | will learning | | | | target specific learning needs. | |
| | | gain | | | | *These small groups are flexible and | |
| | | An increase | | | | change with the content, project and | |
| | | | | | | assessments | |
| | | in proficiency | | | | *Students are provided opportunities | |
| | | by 10% | | | | to demonstrate or express | |
| | | | | | | knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes | |
| | | | | | | varying degrees of difficulty. | |
| | | | 5E.2. | 5E.2 | 5E.2. | 5E.2. | 5E.2. |
| | | | 52.2. | 22.2 | J.L.2. | | 5.2. |
| | | | 5E.3 | 5E.3 | 5E.3 | 5E.3 | 5E.3 |

Reading Professional Development

| Profes | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/ Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | | | |
| Gradual Release | ALL | Reading Coaches | School-wide | August 29, 2012 | Walkthroughs, Lesson Plans, and teacher conferences | API, Principal, Coaches | | | | | |
| Implementing Rigor in Reading | ALL | Reading Coaches | School-wide | September, 2012 | Walkthroughs, Lesson Plans, and teacher conferences | API, Principal, Coaches | | | | | |
| Running Records Training | ALL | Reading Coaches | School-wide | August 23, 2012 | Data collection | API, Principal, Coaches | | | | | |
| Lesson Study | ALL | Reading Coaches | School-wide | October, 2012 | Data collection | API, Principal, Coaches | | | | | |
| Data Analysis | 3-5 | Reading Coach/API | PLC | October, 2012 | FAIR and Running Record Data | API, Principal, Coaches | | | | | |

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school funded activities/ma | terials and exclude district funded a | ctivities/materials. | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|------------|-----------|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Testing students for intervention | Running Records | Title 1 Part A | \$1000 | |
| programs | | | | |
| Increase Reading Proficiency (Grades 3-5) | FCIM Lessons | Title 1 Part A | \$1000 | |
| | | | • | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Gradual Release | Training for all teachers | Title 1 Part A | TBA | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Utilize Hourly Teachers for small group | Hourly Teachers | Title 1 Part A | 181,983.38 | |
| interventions | | | | G 14 4 1 |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| | | | | Total: |

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| CELLA | A Goals | | Problem-Solving Pr | rocess to Increase | Language Acquisition | |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| | nderstand spoken English at grade ar to non-ELL students. | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1. Students scoring proficies CELLA Goal #1: Improve current level of performance Number CELLA tested: 2 | 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: 0% 0 | 1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction | 1.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction | evaluate teachers | Determine: | 1.1. District Administrator Walkthrough Form |
| | | 2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction | 2.1. Differentiate Instruction | 2.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers | Content materials are differentiated by student | 2.1. Lesson Plans & District Administrator Walkthrough Form |

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

| | | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 1.3. | 1.3. |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| | e level text in a manner similar to students. | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 2. Students scoring profici CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of performance | | 2.2. Insufficient standard based instruction | 2.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies | evaluate teachers | | 2.2. District Administrator Walkthrough Form |
| | | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. | | 2.2. |
| | | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |

| Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students. | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------|---|---|-----------------|
| because seeing profeser in writing. | | Set and communicate a | evaluate teachers | 3.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal/essential question *Tocuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson | |
| | 2.2. | | 2.2. | 2.2. | 2.2. 2.3 |

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| 0 = = = = = = = (1115) | 210 10 110 000 110 000 00) | | | |
|---------------------------|--|----------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Include only school-based | funded activities/materials and exclude district fun | nded activities/materials. | | |
| Evidence-based Program(s) | /Materials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources Funding Source | Amount | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | · | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| | | | | Total: |

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Elementary I | Mathemati | cs Goals | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding areas in need of impro | Questions", iden | tify and define | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| | 1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at AchievementLevel 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal 2012 Current 2013Expected | | 1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction | 1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson | evaluate teachers, | | 1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans | | |
| #1a: Improve current level of performance | Level of Performance:* 32 13% | Level of Performance:* Decrease in level 1 and 2 from 81% To 71% | | | coach | the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale | | | |
| | | | 1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction | 1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies | evaluate teachers, | | 1a.2. Walkthrough | | |

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

| | | | | | | *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur | |
|------------------------|---------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | 1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction | 1a.3. Increase instructional rigor | evaluate teachers, teachers, and curriculum coach | Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction | 1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results |
| 1b. Florida Alternat | | | 1b.2. Insufficient | 1b.2. Implement High Yield | 1b.2. Administrators who | | 1b.2. Walkthrough |
| scoring at Levels 4, 5 | 5, and 6 in m | athematics. | standard based | Instructional Strategies | evaluate teachers, | *Lesson focuses on essential | waiktiiiougii |
| | Level of | 2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease in level 1,2 and 3 | instruction | | coach | learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur | |
| | | | 1b.2. | 1b.2. | 1b.2. | 1b.2. | 1b.2. |

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | T | ı | T | |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | | 1b.3. | 1b.3. | lb.3. | 1b.3. | 1b.3. |
| reference to "Guiding Q | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 2a.FCAT 2.0:Studen AchievementLevels 4 Mathematics Goal #2a: Improve current level of performance | and 5 in ma 2012 Current Level of | | 2a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction | 2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction | coach | *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students | 2a.1. Walkthrough and pre-post assessments |
| | | | 2a.2. 2a.3 | 2a.2. 2a.3 | 2a.2. 2a.3 | 2a.2. 2a.3 | 2a.2. 2a.3 |
| 2b. Florida Alternate scoring at or above I Mathematics Goal #2b: Improve current level of performance | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* | | 2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction | 2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction | coach | 2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal | 2b1. Walkthrough |

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

| | • | | ` | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | | | | and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points | |
| | | | 262. 21 | 5.2. | 2b.2. | 2b.2. | 2b.2. |
| | | | 2b.3 2t | 5.3 | 2b.3 | 2b.3 | 2b.3 |
| Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve | uestions", identifuent for the follow | fy and define owing group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percen | tage of stude | ents making | 3a.1. | 3a.1. | 3a.1. | 3a.1. | 3a.1. |
| Learning Gains in ma | athematics. | | Lack of student engagement | Differentiate Instruction | Administrators who evaluate teachers, | Content materials are differentiated by student | School Summary of observation section of |
| #3a: | Level of Performance:* 59% | 2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of students will make a learning gain | | | coach | prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse | teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable |

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

| | | <u> </u> | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | | | understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. | |
| | | 3a.2. | 3a.2. | 3a.2. | 3a.2. | 3a.2. |
| | | 3a.3. | 3a.3. | 3a3. | 3a.3. | 3a.3. |
| 3b. Florida Alternate of students making L mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b: Improve current level of performance | Assessment: Percentage earning Gains in 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 100% of students will make learning gains | Lack of student engagement | 3b.1. Differentiate Instruction | teachers, and curriculum coach | Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. | IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable |
| | | 3b.2. | 3b.2. | 3b.2. | 3b.2. | 3b.2. |
| | | 3b.3. | 3b.3. | 3b.3. | 3b.3. | 3b.3. |

| reference to "Guiding Q | student achievement data, and Questions", identify and define ement for the following group: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---------------------|--|--|---|---|
| 4a.FCAT 2.0:Percent Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of performance | | of instruction | 4a.1. Differentiate Instruction | 4a.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers, teachers, and curriculum coach | 4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying | 4a.1. Lesson Plans & District Administrator Walkthrough Form Pre/Post Assessments |
| | | | 4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives | 4a.2. SBLT | degrees of difficulty. 4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together | and intervention teachers communicating and planning; |

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

| | | | 4a.3. | 4a.3. | 4a.3. | *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses 4a.3. | 4a.3 |
|------|--|------------|--|--|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| #4b: | t 25% makings. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* pending | g learning | 4b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction | 4b.1. Differentiate Instruction | coach | Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and | 4b.1. Lesson Plans & District Administrator Walkthrough Form |
| | | | | | | understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. | |
| | | | Insufficient intervention supports | 4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives | 4ab.2. SBLT | *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together | and intervention teachers communicating and planning; |

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

| | 4b.3. | 4b.3. | | *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses | 4b.3 | |
|---|--|--|---|--|------------------------|--|
| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. | | Reading and Math: Students will increase their achievement levels by 20% | | will increase their achievement levels by 10% | Students will increase | Math: Students will maintain 100% academic |
| Mathematics Goal #5A: Improve current level of performance | 42% SUCCESS | 62% SUCCESS | 82% SUCCESS | 92% SUCCESS | 100% SUCCESS | 100% SUCCESS |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evalua | ion Tool |
| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:* | 5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: | Differentiate Instruction | teachers, and curriculum | 5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level | Form | & District · Walkthrough |

| | 9% 4 Black: 84% 38 Hispanic: | 100% of student subgroups will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10% | Lack of differentiation of instruction | | | *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. | |
|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | 5B.3. | 5B.3. | 5B.3. | 5B.3. | 5B.3. |
| Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem | uestions", identi | fy and define | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 5C. English Languag making satisfactory particles Mathematics Goal #5C: Improve current level of performance | 2012 Current Level of | , | | 5c.1. Differentiate Instruction | teachers, and curriculum coach | Content materials are | 5c.1. Lesson Plans & District Administrator Walkthrough Form |

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

| | 1 | | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|
| Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q | uestions", identi | nent data, and fy and define | 5C.2. 5C.3. Anticipated Barrier | 5C.2. 5C.3. Strategy | 5C.2. 5C.3. Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | 5C.3. Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of | 5C.2. 5C.3. Evaluation Tool |
| areas in need of improvem | ent for the follow | wing subgroup: | | | | Strategy | |
| #5D: | rogress in m 2012 Current Level of Performance:* | . — , | Lack of differentiation of instruction | 5d.1. Differentiate Instruction 5D.2. | evaluate teachers, teachers, and curriculum coach r | Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 5D.2. | 5d.1. Lesson Plans & District Administrator Walkthrough Form 5D.2. |
| | | | | | | | |

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

| Based on the analysis of s reference to "Guiding Qu areas in need of improvement | estions", identify | and define | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|--|---|------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| #5E: | rogress in ma 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 78% | | | 5e.1. Differentiate Instruction | Administrators who evaluate teachers, teachers, and curriculum coach | Content materials are | 5e.1. Lesson Plans & District Administrator Walkthrough Form |
| | | | | 5E.2 | 5E.2 | 5E.2 | 5E.2 |
| | | | 5E.3 | 5E.3 | 5E.3 | 5E.3 | |

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Elementary and M | Iiddle Scien | ce Goals | | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|--|--|----------|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| "Guiding Questions", identi | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1a.FCAT 2.0:Students scorin science. Science Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 11% 7 | | Insufficient standard based instruction | 1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson | evaluate teachers, teachers, and curriculum coach | Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal/essential question *Tincludes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson | 1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans | | |
| | | | 1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction | 1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies | 1a.2. Administrators who evaluate teachers, teachers, and curriculum coach | 1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for | 1a.2. District Administrator Walkthrough Form | | |

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

| | | | | | learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur | |
|--------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | 1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction | 1a.3. Increase instructional rigor | 1a.3. Administrators who evaluate teachers, teachers, and curriculum coach | 1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of gradelevel standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks | |
| Improve current level of | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Decrease the number of level 1,2, and 3 | | 1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson | 1b.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers, teachers, and curriculum coach | 1b.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to | 1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans |

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

| | | | | | answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson | | |
|---|--|--------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | 1b.2. | 1b.2. | 1b.2. | | 1b.2. |
| | | | 1b.3. | 1b.3. | 1b.3. | 1b.3. | 1b.3. |
| Based on the analysis of student a "Guiding Questions", identi improvement for the | fy and define areas | s in need of | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 2a. FCAT 2.0:Students sco Achievement Levels 4 and Science Goal #2a: Improve current level of performance | ring at or abo 5 in science. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* | ve | | 2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction | 2b.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers, teachers, and curriculum coach | 2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement | 2b1. Walkthrough Formative assessments Pre/Post Assessments |

| | | | 2a.2. | 2a.2. | 2a.2. | 2a.2. | 2a.2. |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|------------------|-------|--|-------|
| | | | 2a.3 | 2a.3 | 2a.3 | 2a.3 | 2a.3 |
| or above Lever / in science. | | Lack of differentiation of instruction | 2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction | curriculum coach | | 2b1. Walkthrough | |
| | | | | | | learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points | |
| | | | 2b.2. | 2b.2. | 2b.2. | 2b.2. | 2b.2. |
| | | | 2b.3 | 2b.3 | 2b.3 | 2b.3 | 2b.3 |

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Science/Math Professional Development

| Profes | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | | | |
| Increasing rigor in science | ALL | Science Coach | School-wide | September, 2012 | Walkthroughs, lesson plans, data collection | Science Coach and API | | | | | |
| | | Science Coach | School-wide | Sentember 2012 | Walkthroughs, lesson plans, data collection | Science Coach and API | | | | | |
| Planning with 5 th grade teachers | 5 th Grade | Science Coach | PLC | Ongoing | Lesson plans, science pre/post test | Science Coach and API | | | | | |
| ST Math Training | Various | ST Math Consultant | 1 st , and 4 th Grade Teachers | September, 2012 | ST Math data | Math Coach/API | | | | | |
| Unpacking the benchmarks | Grades 3-5 | Math Coach | Grades 3-5 | October, 2012 | Student math data | Math Coach/API | | | | | |
| Data Chats | Grades 3-5 | Math Coach | Grades 3-5 | November, 2012 | Student math data | Math Coach/API | | | | | |

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school-based funded activiti | ies/materials and exclude district funded activ | vities/materials. | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Subtotal: | | | | | | | | | |
| Technology | | | | | | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Subtotal: | | | | | | |
| Professional Development | | | | | | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | Subtotal: |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|--------|-----------|
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | · | • | · | Subtotal: |
| | | | | Total: |

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| W | riting Goals | | | Problem-Solving P | rocess to Increas | e Student Achievement | , |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|----------------------------------|
| "Guiding Questions" | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1a. FCAT:Students and higher in writin Writing Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* | 2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students | 1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction | 1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson | 1a.1. Administrators, curriculum coach, and district support | | 1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans |
| | | Insufficient standard based instruction | Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies | Administrators, curriculum coach, | | Walkthrough | |

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

| | | | | | | connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | | 1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction | 1a.3. Increase instructional rigor | 1a.3. Administrators, curriculum coach, and district support | 1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks | Teacher Appraisal Results |
| 1b. Florida Alternate at 4 or higher in write Writing Goal #1b: Improve current level of performance | 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 0% Level 7 and above 50% | _ | 1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction | 1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson | 1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher | 1b.1. | 1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans |

 ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013\ School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

| | | | | question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|---|-------|
| | 1b.2. | 1b.2. | 1b.2. | 1b.2. | 1b.2. |
| | 1b.3. | 1b.3. | 1b.3. | 1b.3. | 1b.3. |

End of Writing Goals

| Profe | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | |
| Increasing rigor in the writing block | Intermediate | Coaches and District Support | Grade levels | October, 2012 | Writing data and walkthroughs | Coaches, API | | |
| Dual Scoring | ALL | Coaches, API, and District Support | All Grades | October, 2012 | Writing data and walkthroughs | Coaches, API | | |
| Core Connection | 3 rd /4 th Grade | | 3 rd /4 th grade | October-March, 2013 | Writing Data | Principal | | |

Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| zaagee (msere ro ws as neede | (4) | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Include only school-based funded | activities/materials and exclude district fur | nded activities /materials. | | |
| Evidence-based Program(s)/Materia | als(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | • | · | · | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | • | | • | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | • | • | • | |

| 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1 |
|--|
|--|

Subtotal:

Total:

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

| Atte | Attendance Goal(s) | | | Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance | | | | |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------|--|---|--|----------------------------------|--|
| Based on the analysis of a Questions", identify an | | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| Improve current level of performance | Attendance Rate:* 95% 2012 Current Number of Studentswith Excessive Absences (10 or more) 214 2012 Current Number of | 2013 Expected Attendance Rate:* Greater than prior year 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 10% decrease from prior year 2013Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) 10% decrease from | Lack of student engagement | 1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan | | Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making | Suspension Number of Students | |
| | | prior year | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | |
| | | | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | |

| Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| | Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | |
| PD Content /Topic | Grade | PD Facilitator | PD Participants | Target Dates and Schedules | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for | |
| and/or PLC Focus | Level/Subject | and/or | (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or | (e.g., Early Release) and | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Monitoring | |

| | PLC Leader | school-wide) | Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | |
|--|------------|--------------|---|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Attendance Budget(Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school-based | d funded activities/materials and exclude district fur | nded activities /materials. | | |
|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Evidence-based Program(s |)/Materials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | <u>'</u> | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | · | • | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | · | | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | <u>'</u> | • | , | Subtotal: |
| | | | | Total: |

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

| Suspension Goal(s) | | Problem-solvi | ng Process to De | ecrease Suspension | |
|---|-------------------------------|--|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | Lack of Student Engagement | 1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan | | Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established | Suspension Number of Students |
| | 1.2. | | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. |
| | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. |

Suspension Professional Development

| Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | |
| O Content /Topic ad/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | |

| Suspension Rudget | (Insert rows as needed) | | | |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------|-----------|
| | d funded activities/materials and exclude district | funded activities /materials. | | |
| Evidence-based Program(s | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | i . | | | Subtotal. |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

| when using percentages, mercae the number of students the percentage represents new to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)). | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Dropout Prevention Goal(s) | Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention | | | | | |

Total:

| "Guiding Questions", iden | nt involvement data, and reference to ntify and define areas in need of rovement: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| out during the 2011-2012 s Improve current level of performance pend 201 Gra | #1: ntage of students who dropped | Students lack skills to plan for future | 1.1. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies | 1.1. Principal | | 1.1. Walkthrough and teacher appraisal |
| | | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. |
| | | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. |

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

| Profes | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | 1 PD Facilitator PD Participants S | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

$\begin{picture}(100,0) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){100}} \put(0,0){\line(1,0){1$

| nclude only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) | vidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) | | | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | | | | |

| | | | Subtotal: |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| | | | |
| | • | | Subtotal: |
| | | | Total: |

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

| Parent Involvement Goal(s) | | Problem-solv | ing Process to Parent Involvement | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|-----------------|
| Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1. Parent Involvement | 1.1. Lack of frequent | 1.1. Provide frequent home- | 1.1. SBLT and parent | 1.1.Data collection from parent events and more | 1.1.Surveys |
| | • | school communication in a | • | communication between | |
| J. I. | communication in a variety of formats, and | variety of formats, and allows for families to | | school and home | |

| unduplicated. | | | | support and supervise their child's educational progress | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|----------|--|------|------|------|
| Improve current level of performance | level of Parent Involvement:* | 2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:* Increase by 20% | progress | | | | |
| | | | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. |
| | | | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. |

Parent Involvement Professional Development

| Profes | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | | |
| Reading Under the Stars | All | Coaches | School Wide | INIArch ZULS | Reviewing Reading Data and sign in sheets | API and Coaches | | | | |
| Math Family Night focusing on new math games and ways to explore math activities | All | Coaches | School Wide | iOctober/iviarch, 2015 | Reviewing Math Data and sign in sheets | API and Coaches | | | | |
| Polar Express Night focusing on Writing Tips for Parents and Students | AII | Coaches | School Wide | | Reviewing Writing Data and sign in sheets | | | | | |
| Student-led conferences | AII | Coaches | School Wide | November2012 February, 2013 | Reviewing Writing Portfolios Data and sign in sheets | API and Coaches | | | | |
| Science FAIR/5Es Workshop Model Training | AII | Coaches | School Wide | May, 2013 | Reviewing Science Data and sign in sheets | API and Coaches | | | | |

Parent Involvement Budget
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
|---|--|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Increase parent involvement through curriculum nights | TBA | SIG | \$1000 | |
| Increase parent involvement through student led conferences | Writing materials | SIG | \$500 | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Increase parent involvement through reading under the stars | Curriculum Resources | SIG | \$500 | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Increase parent communication | Student Agenda Books | SIG | 5,000 | |
| Parent Community Liaison | Assist with Parent Involvement Initiatives | SIG | 25,803.00 | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| | | | | Total: |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

| STEM Goal(s) | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |
|---|---|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |

| STEM Goal #1: | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. | 1.1. |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Increased opportunities for regular student engagement with rigorous problem based math/science tasks. These tasks will be of an investigative nature and require student perseverance as well as proficiency with problem solving and using the scientific method to complete. | for planning rigorous tasks with grade 3-5 teachers. | Grade level / like needs teacher planning that provides for rich Stem tasks that will be built into the schedule each week. The Math & Science Curriculum Guides and AIMS will be used as a primary resource. | Math Coach Science Coach Assistant Principal | tasks Student explanation of concepts | Teacher Lesson Plans Walkthroughs Common Assessment Scores Pre/Post Assessments |
| Increased teacher pedagogical understanding of inquiry based learning. | knowledge is limited. | 1.2. Ongoing professional development will occur monthly based on science and math content. | 1.2. Math Coach Science Coach Reading Coach | 1.2. Teacher participation in professional development. Teacher survey of professional development. Lesson plan | 1.2. Teacher Lesson Plans Walkthroughs Common Assessment Scores Pre/Post Assessments |
| | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. |

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Professional Development

| Profes | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | | | |
| Content – Life, Physical, Earth | 3-5 | Vinson & Gaymore | 3-5 Teachers | Sept-June, 2012 | Walkthroughs and Coaching Cycles | Tiffani Vinson Joe Gaymore Neala Jackson (AP) | | | | | |
| Planning | 3-5 | Vinson & Gaymore | Grade Level Teachers | Sept-June, 2012 | Lesson Plans and Coaching Cycles | Tiffani Vinson Joe Gaymore Neala Jackson (AP) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Materia | 19(9) | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Strategy Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | Description of Resources | = | | |
| AIMS Subscription | | SIG Grant / Title 1 | \$600 | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Assist with STEM Initiatives | Technology Specialist | Title 1 Part A | \$53,972.00 | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Inquiry Based Instruction | Teacher Materials/Supplies for Instruction | SIG Grant / Title 1 | \$450 | |
| Classroom Discussion | | SIG Grant / Title 1 | \$100 | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| Pay for Planning | After Hours Pay for Teachers | SIG Grant / Title 1 | \$4,500 | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| | | | | Total: |

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal I Wellness (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

| Additional Goal(s) | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | t |
|---|---|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |

| 1. Additional Goal: Wellness | | Failure to form a Healthy | Complete Healthy Schools | 1.1. Healthy School Team | 1.1. Completion of 6 th Step of the | 1.1. Healthy School Inventory |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| Improve current level of performance A Data (Options): Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Silver Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Gold Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Gold Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Fit Matters/Fitnessgr am Data by school will be inserted here. | On Set A: Options Set A: Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools nventory Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools nventory Meeting Silver Level on Healthy Schools nventory Meeting Gold Level on Healthy Schools nventory Meeting Gold Level on Healthy Schools nventory Meeting Gold Level on Healthy Schools nventory Being Gold Level on Healthy Schools Newtory | B: Failure to assess students and upload Being Fit | Program 6 Step Process online https://schools.healthiergeneration.org/ | (school administrator, physical education teacher, cafeteria manager, health teacher/elementary classroom teachers (optional members – students, parents, school nurse) | Healthy School Program online (Celebrate Successes) B: | Healthy School Inventory (Evaluate Your School) online B: Being Fit Matters Statistical Report (Portal) |
| | | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. |
| | | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. |

Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development

| Profes | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | _ | | | | | |

Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school-based funde | ed activities/materials and exclude district fur | nded activities /materials. | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mater | rials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | <u>'</u> | <u>'</u> | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | <u>.</u> | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | · | | · | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP- | 201 | 12-2013 | School In | nprovement Plan | (SIP |)-Form SIP- |
|---|-----|---------|-----------|-----------------|------|-------------|
|---|-----|---------|-----------|-----------------|------|-------------|

| Subtot | tal: |
|--------|------|
| Tot | tal: |

Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

| Addition | | | 1 | Problem-Solving P | | se Student Achievemen | t |
|---|--|---------------------------|----------------|---|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| There will be an increase in black student achievement | 2012 Current Level :* To be complete in the pre-populated version | 2013 Expected Level :* | of instruction | 1.1. Differentiate Instruction | 1.1. Administrators, teachers, and curriculum coaches | 1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. | 1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough |
| | | | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | | 1.3. |

| | Ī | | Ī | |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | |

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

| The state of the s | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | | | | |
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | | | |
| AVID Strategies | 3-5 | AVID Trainer | Grade level | 14 11 (11) (11) | Walkthroughs, data collection, and FCAT | APB and Principal | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| 110010101101111101011(8) 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | (Institute was the court) | | |
|--|---|----------------------|--------------|
| Include only school-based funded activity | ties/materials and exclude district funded ac | tivities /materials. | |
| Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| Stipends for Professional Development | Use stipends for trainings to increase in black student achievement | SIG | \$ 64,998.00 |
| | | | |
| | | | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| - | | | |

| Subtotal: | | |
|-----------|--|--|
| Total: | | |

Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

| | al Goal(s) | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| There will be an increase in black | 2012 Current Level:* 2013 Expected Level:* P8% Decrease the percent of Black students receiving referrals, and Receiving in school and out of school suspensions | | 1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan | | Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted | Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule |
| | | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. |
| | | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. |

Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development

| Tidaltional IVI | oc II Goals I | orcomonar | bevelopment | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|----------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Pro | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity | | | | | | | |
| | | | Please note that each Strategy does not | require a professional developmen | nt or PLC activity. | | | |
| PD Content /Topic | Grade | PD Facilitator | PD Participants | Target Dates and Schedules | | Person or Position Responsible for | | |
| and/or PLC Focus | Level/Subject | and/or | (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or | (e.g., Early Release) and | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Monitoring | | |
| | Level/Subject | PLC Leader | school-wide) | Schedules (e.g., frequency of | | Monitoring | | |

| | | meetings) | |
|--|--|-----------|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| | oal(s) Budget (insert rows as needed) | | | |
|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Include only school-based | d funded activities/materials and exclude district fun | ided activities /materials. | | |
| Evidence-based Program(s | s)/Materials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | · | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | · | · | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | • | • | Subtotal: |
| | | | | Total: |

Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Additional Goal(s) | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|---|---------------------------|
| | Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1. Additional Goal: Black | graduation ra | nte | 1.1. Lack of Student | 1.1. Positive behavior | 1.1. SBLT | 1.1. Determine: | 1.1. Increase in black |
| | | Level :* To be complete in | Engagement | supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan | | | graduation rate |
| | | | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. |
| | | | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. | 1.3. |

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

| Profes | Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | | |
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | 2012-2013 School Im | provement Plan | (SIP |)-Form | SIP-1 |
|--|---------------------|----------------|------|--------|-------|
|--|---------------------|----------------|------|--------|-------|

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school-based f | funded activities/materials and exclude district fur | nded activities /materials. | | |
|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/I | Materials(s) | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | - | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | <u>'</u> | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | ' | • | | Subtotal: |
| | | | | Total: |

Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Addition | al Goal(s) | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| There will be an increase percent of black students enrolled in | 2012 Current Level :* To be complete in | 2013 Expected Level :* Increase from prior year | instruction | 1.1. Differentiate Instruction | Monitoring 1.1. AP who evaluates teacher | 1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of | Professional Development includes equity and cultural responsiveness |
| | | | 1.2. | 1.2. | 1.2. | understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 1.2. | 1.2. |
| | | | | | | | |

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

| Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. | | | | | | or PD Activity |
|---|------------------------|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

| Include only school-based funded activit | ties/materials and exclude district fur | nded activities /materials. | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | · | | Subtotal: |
| Technology | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| Other | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal: |
| | | | | Total: |

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.

Reading Budget

Total: \$183,983.38

CELLA Budget

| | Total: |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Mathematics Budget | |
| | Total:\$3,000 |
| Science Budget | |
| | Total:\$3,000 |
| Writing Budget | |
| | Total:\$1,000 |
| Civics Budget | |
| | Total: |
| U.S. History Budget | |
| | Total: |
| Attendance Budget | |
| | Total: |
| Suspension Budget | |
| | Total: |
| Dropout Prevention Budget | |
| | Total: |
| Parent Involvement Budget | |
| | Total:\$32,803 |
| STEM Budget | |
| | Total:59,662 |
| CTE Budget | |
| | Total: |
| Additional Goals | |
| | Total: |
| | \$ 64,998.00 |
| | |
| | |
| | Grand Total:\$348,446.38 |

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

| School Differentiated Accountability Status | | | |
|---|-------|---------|--|
| ⊠Priority | Focus | Prevent | |
| | | | |

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the Upload page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

| ∑ Yes | |
|--|--------|
| If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year. | |
| Monthly Meetings to discuss updates, Parent Involvement Plan, Title 1 Updates, and school initiatives. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Describe the projected use of SAC funds. | Amount |
| N/A | |
| | |
| | |